arXiv:1912.00542v1 [nlin.SI] 2 Dec 2019

Long-time asymptotics in the modified Landau-Lifshitz equation with nonzero boundary conditions *

Wei-Qi Peng and Shou-Fu Tian*

School of Mathematics and Institute of Mathematical Physics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221116, People's Republic of China

Abstract

In this work, we consider the long-time asymptotics of the modified Landau-Lifshitz equation with nonzero boundary conditions (NZBCs) at infinity. The critical technique is the deformations of the corresponding matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem via the nonlinear steepest descent method, as well as we employ the g-function mechanism to eliminate the exponential growths of the jump matrices. The results indicate that the solution of the modified Landau-Lifshitz equation with nonzero boundary conditions admits two different asymptotic behavior corresponding to two types of regions in the xt-plane. They are called the plane wave region with $x < (\beta - 4\sqrt{2}q_0)t$, $x > (\beta + 4\sqrt{2}q_0)t$, and the modulated elliptic wave region with $(\beta - 4\sqrt{2}q_0)t < x < (\beta + 4\sqrt{2}q_0)t$, respectively.

Key words: Long-time asymptotics; Modified Landau-Lifshitz equation; Riemann-Hilbert problem; Nonlinear steepest descent method.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Reconstructing the basic Riemann-Hilbert problem **5**

2.1 Direct scattering problem with NZBCs 5

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: wqpeng@cumt.edu.cn (W.Q. Peng) and sftian@cumt.edu.cn, shoufu2006@126.com (S. F. Tian)

2.2 Inverse scattering problem and reconstructing the formula for potential 9
2.3 The sign structure of Im(θ) 10

3 Plane wave region I **11**
3.1 First deformation 11
3.2 Second deformation 13
3.3 Third deformation 13
3.4 The g-function and model problem 14

4 Modulated elliptic wave region **16**
4.1 Eliminating of the exponential growth 16
4.2 Further deformation 21
4.3 Model problem and the results 22

5 Plane wave region II **25**
5.1 A transformation between $m^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{m}^{(0)}$ 25
5.2 A transformation between $\tilde{m}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{m}^{(2)}$ 26
5.3 Eliminating the jump across the cut (k_1^+, ∞) 27
5.4 Removing the term $\Delta(k)$ 28
5.5 The g-function and model problem 28

6 Acknowledgements **30**

1 Introduction

The modified Landau-Lifshitz equation which can be employed to depict the dynamic behavior of local magnetization in electromagnetics takes of the form[1, 2]

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} = -\varphi \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{H}_{eff} + \frac{\upsilon}{M_s} \mathbf{P} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} + \tau_b, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{P} \equiv \mathbf{P}(x,t)$ represents the localized magnetization, the Gilbert damping parameter is be expressed by υ, and φ means the gyromagnetic ratio. The effective magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_{eff}$ can be redefined as $\mathbf{H}_{eff} = (2E/M_s^2)\partial^2\mathbf{P}/\partial x^2 + [(H_K/M_s - 4\pi)M_\ell + H_{ext}]\mathbf{e}_\ell$ which contains the exchange constant E, the anisotropy field H_K, the applied external field H_{ext}, and the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_\ell$ along the ℓ direction. Taking $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{P}/M_s$ and making $l_0 = \sqrt{2E/[(H_K - 4\pi M_s)M_s]}, t_0 = 1/[\varphi(H_K -$

$4\pi M_s)$] to rescale the coordinates x, t respectively, we can reduce the Eq.(1.1) into the following dimensionless form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{p} \times \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{p}}{\partial x^2} + \upsilon \mathbf{p} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial t} + \frac{b_J t_0}{l_0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial x} - \left(p_\ell + \frac{H_{ext}}{H_K - 4\pi M_s} \right) (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{e}_\ell) \,. \tag{1.2}$$

Obviously, $\mathbf{p} \equiv (p_x, p_y, p_\ell) = (0, 0, 1)$ constitutes the ground state of the system, and there are two nonlinear excited states. Since the magnetization of the excited state has little deviation from the ground state as the magnetic field being large enough, we can perform a suitable transformation

$$u = p_x + i p_y, \qquad p_\ell = \sqrt{1 - \mid u \mid^2}. \tag{1.3}$$

Substitution of Eq.(1.3) into Eq.(1.2) leads to

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = p_\ell \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - u\frac{\partial^2 p_\ell}{\partial x^2} - \upsilon \left(p_\ell \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - u \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \right) + i\frac{b_J t_0}{l_0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \left(p_\ell + \frac{H_{ext}}{H_K - 4\pi M_s} \right). \tag{1.4}$$

As a non-integrable equation, (1.4) can reduce to the following integrable equation by considering the undamped case and the long wavelength approximation[3] and only retaining the nonlinear terms of the order of magnitude of $\mid u \mid^2 u$

$$i\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2} \mid u \mid^2 u + \left(1 + \frac{H_{ext}}{H_K - 4\pi M_s} \right) u - i\frac{b_J t_0}{l_0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Setting $1 + \frac{H_{ext}}{H_K - 4\pi M_s} = \alpha$, $\frac{b_J t_0}{l_0} = \beta$ and $u = 2q$, we have

$$iq_t - q_{xx} - 2 \mid q \mid^2 q + \alpha q - i\beta q_x = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

where the subscripts mean partial derivatives. The Eq.(1.6) is an absolutely integrable model possessing the soliton solutions[4, 5, 6], rogue wave solutions, conservation laws, and modulation instability[7]. To analyze the characteristics of the soliton solution in the context of spin waves, the general soliton expression is constructed by Darboux transformation[8]. The research for Eq.(1.6) reveals the accumulation of energy plays a crucial role in the generation of magnetic rogue waves[9]. Besides, different kinds of soliton solutions for Eq.(1.6) under non-zero boundary conditions are expected to be obtained via the Riemann-Hilbert(RH) problem[10].

In the past years, the asymptotic behavior of solutions has been an significant research topic, which has been reported in a large number of literatures[11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In 1993, inspired by classical steepest descent method and earlier work of Its[16], Deift and Zhou advocated the nonlinear steepest descent method to discuss the long-time asymptotic behavior for the mKdV equation with a oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problem [17]. Later on, this method had a further development in Refs.[18, 19, 20]. Nowadays, the nonlinear steepest descent method have been used to asymptotically analyze lots of integrable equations, such as the non-focusing NLS equation[21],

the KdV equation[22], the sine-Gordon equation[23], the Cammasa-Holm equation[24], the Hirota equation[25, 26], the Kundu-Eckhaus equation[27], coupled NLS equations[28] et al. As well as, the long-time asymptotics of the solution with shock problem[29], with the time-periodic boundary condition[30, 31], and with the steplike initial data[32, 33, 34] have been studied. Moreover, as a important development of Riemann-Hilbert problem, Fokas method was raised to solve the boundary value problems for integrable nonlinear evolution equations[35, 36, 37, 38, 39].

Recent years, the researches about nonzero boundary conditions at infinity have already been become a hot topic. Biondini and his cooperators have studied the soliton solutions and the long-time asymptotics for the focusing NLS equation with nonzero boundary conditions in [40] and [41], respectively. Very recently, Tian and his cooperators have reported the soliton solutions for modified Landau-Lifshitz equation [10], focusing Kundu-Eckhaus equation [42], the NLSLab equation [43] and higher-order dispersive NLS quation [44] with nonzero boundary conditions. After that, long-time asymptotics of the focusing Kundu-Eckhaus equation with nonzero boundary conditions were studied in [45], and long-time dynamics of the Gerdjikov-Ivanov type derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions were studied in [46]. Besides, for the multi-component systems, through employing the theory of inverse scattering transform, the focusing Manakov system and the three-component defocusing NLS equation with nonzero boundary conditions at infinity has been discussed in [47] and [48], respectively.

In this work, motivated by the long-time asymptotic analysis presented in [41], we consider the long-time asymptotics of Eq.(1.6) with the following nonzero asymptotic boundary conditions at infinity

$$q(x,t) \sim q_{\pm} e^{-i\beta x}, \qquad x \to \pm\infty, \tag{1.7}$$

where $q_{\pm}$ is independent of x, t and $\mid q_{\pm} \mid = q_0 > 0$. To the best knowledge of the authors, much research work has been done for the Eq.(1.6). However, the long-time asymptotics under the nonzero boundary conditions has never been reported up to now.

The major results of this work is summarized in what follows:

Theorem 1.1. *For the plane wave sector I, i.e. $\xi > \xi_2 = \beta + 4\sqrt{2}q_0$, as $t \to \infty$, the long-time asymptotics of $q(x,t)$ is given by*

$$q(x,t) = q_{-} e^{i[2g(\infty) - \beta x + (\alpha - 2q_0^2)t]} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{1.8}$$

where $g(\infty)$ is shown in Eq.(3.19).

Theorem 1.2. *For the modulated elliptic wave sector, i.e. $\beta - 4\sqrt{2}q_0 = \xi_1 < \xi < \xi_2 = \beta + 4\sqrt{2}q_0$,*

as $t \to \infty$, the long-time asymptotics of $q(x,t)$ is given by

$$q(x,t) = \frac{q_0(\chi_2+q_0)}{q_-^*} \frac{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0})}{2\pi} - V(\infty)+C\right)\Theta(V(\infty)+C)}{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0})}{2\pi} + V(\infty)+C\right)\Theta(-V(\infty)+C)} e^{i[2\tilde{g}(\infty)-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2-2G(\infty))t]} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{1.9}$$

where $V(\infty) = \int_{iq_0-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\infty} d\vartheta$, and $\chi_2, \Lambda, G(\infty), \vartheta, \tilde{g}(\infty), C$ are given by Eqs. (4.18)*,* (4.30)*,* (4.33)*,* (4.37)*,* (4.38)*,* (4.53)*.*

Theorem 1.3. *For the plane wave sector II, i.e. $\xi < \xi_1 = \beta - 4\sqrt{2}q_0$, as $t \to \infty$, the long-time asymptotics of $q(x,t)$ is given by*

$$q(x,t) = q_+ e^{i[2g(\infty)-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{1.10}$$

where $g(\infty)$ is shown in Eq.(5.23)*.*

Organization of this work: In Section 2, in terms of the inverse scattering transform, we derive the basic Riemann-Hilbert problem for the Eq.(1.6) with nonzero boundary conditions in Eq.(1.7). In Section 3, the long-time asymptotics of the solution for Eq.(1.6) in the plane wave region I is computed. In Section 4, we analyse the asymptotic behavior in modulated elliptic wave region. Lastly, by similar procedure, we present the long-time asymptotics of the solution in the plane wave region II.

2 Reconstructing the basic Riemann-Hilbert problem

2.1 Direct scattering problem with NZBCs

Eq.(1.6) admits the following Lax pair

$$\phi_x = U\phi, \qquad \phi_t = V\phi, \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$U = ik\sigma_3 + Q,$$
$$V = \left[2ik^2 + i\beta k + \frac{1}{2}\left(i\alpha - 2i \mid q \mid^2\right) + iQ_x\right]\sigma_3 + 2kQ + \beta Q, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ -q^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.3}$$

where k is an spectrum parameter, the superscript $*$ represents the complex conjugate, and the function ϕ is a 2×2 matrix.

Thought the gauge transformation $\phi(x,t) = e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]\sigma_3/2}\psi(x,t)$, the Lax pair (2.1) can turn into

$$\psi_x = X\psi, \qquad \psi_t = T\psi, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= i(k+\frac{\beta}{2})\sigma_3 + Q_1, \qquad Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & qe^{-i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} \\ q^* e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ T &= \left[2ik^2 + i\beta k - i\mid q\mid^2 + iq_0^2\right]\sigma_3 - iQ_2 + 2kQ_1 + \beta Q_1, \\ Q_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_x e^{-i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} \\ q_x^* e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For $x\to\pm\infty$, defining $Q_\pm = \lim\limits_{x\to\pm\infty} Q$, and using the nonzero asymptotic boundary condition (1.7), the Lax pair in Eq.(2.4) becomes

$$\psi_{\pm x} = X_\pm\psi_\pm, \qquad \psi_{\pm t} = T_\pm\psi_\pm, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_\pm &= i(k+\frac{\beta}{2})\sigma_3 + Q_\pm, \quad T_\pm = 2kX_\pm, \\ Q_\pm &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_\pm \\ -q_\pm^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $X_\pm$ are $\pm i\lambda$, where λ satisfies

$$\lambda = \sqrt{(k+\frac{\beta}{2})^2 + q_0^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

The branch cut of λ is $\eta = \eta_+\cup\eta_-$ with $\eta_+ = [A, iq_0 - \frac{\beta}{2}]$ and $\eta_- = [-iq_0 - \frac{\beta}{2}, A], A = -\frac{\beta}{2}$, of which the branch cut η is oriented upward.(see Fig. 1)



Figure 1. (Color online) The contour $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \cup \eta$ of the basic RH problem.

The solution of the asymptotic spectral problem (2.6) can be derived into

$$\psi_{\pm} = Y_{\pm} e^{if(x,t,k)\sigma_3}, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$f = \lambda\left[x + 2kt\right], \quad Y_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{i(\lambda - k - \frac{\beta}{2})}{q_{\pm}^{*}} \\ \frac{i(\lambda - k - \frac{\beta}{2})}{q_{\pm}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.10}$$

Next, we suppose that $\Psi_{\pm}(x,t,k)$ are both the solutions of the Lax pair in Eq.(2.4), and they meet the asymptotic conditions $\Psi_{\pm}(x,t,k) = \psi_{\pm}(x,t,k) + o(1)$ as $x \to \infty$. Naturally, $\Psi_{\pm}(x,t,k)$ keep boundedness in $k \in \Sigma = \mathbb{R} \cup \eta$ as $x \to \pm\infty$, where contour Σ is shown in Fig. 1. Further, by making transformation

$$\mu_{\pm}(x,t,k) = \Psi_{\pm}(x,t,k) e^{-if\sigma_3}, \tag{2.11}$$

we then get

$$\mu_{\pm}(x,t,k) = Y_{\pm} + o(1), \qquad x \to \pm\infty. \tag{2.12}$$

Then it is easily to find $\mu_{\pm}$ subject to the following Lax pair

$$\begin{aligned} \left(Y_{\pm}^{-1}\mu_{\pm}\right)_x + i\lambda[Y_{\pm}^{-1}\mu_{\pm}, \sigma_3] &= Y_{\pm}^{-1}(Q - Q_{\pm})\mu_{\pm}, \\ \left(Y_{\pm}^{-1}\mu_{\pm}\right)_t + 2i\lambda k[Y_{\pm}^{-1}\mu_{\pm}, \sigma_3] &= Y_{\pm}^{-1}(T - T_{\pm})\mu_{\pm}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Eq.(2.13) can be written as full derivative form

$$d\left(e^{if\hat{\sigma}_3} Y_{\pm}^{-1}\mu_{\pm}\right) = e^{if\hat{\sigma}_3}\left[Y_{\pm}^{-1}\left((Q - Q_{\pm})\,dx + (T - T_{\pm})\,dt\right)\mu_{\pm}\right], \tag{2.14}$$

which arrives two Volterra integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{-}(x,t,k) &= Y_{-} + \int_{-\infty}^{x} Y_{-} e^{i\lambda(x-y)\hat{\sigma}_3}\left[Y_{-}^{-1}(Q - Q_{-})\mu_{-}(y,t,k)\right] dy, \\ \mu_{+}(x,t,k) &= Y_{+} - \int_{x}^{+\infty} Y_{+} e^{i\lambda(x-y)\hat{\sigma}_3}\left[Y_{+}^{-1}(Q - Q_{+})\mu_{+}(y,t,k)\right] dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

We first suppose $u(x,t) - q_{\pm} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{\pm})$ and define $\mu_{\pm} = [\mu_{\pm 1}, \mu_{\pm 2}]$. The first column of μ_{-} involves the exponential function $e^{-i\lambda(x-y)}$, which demonstrates that the first column of μ_{-} is analytical on $\mathbb{C}_{-} \setminus \eta_{-}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{-} = \{k : \mathrm{Im}(k) < 0\}$. The same argument suggests that the second column of μ_{-} is analytical on $\mathbb{C}_{+} \setminus \eta_{+}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{+} = \{k : \mathrm{Im}(k) > 0\}$. Ultimately, we conclude that μ_{-2} and μ_{+1} can be analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}_{+} \setminus \eta_{+}$, while μ_{-1} and μ_{+2} can be analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}_{-} \setminus \eta_{-}$.

According to the Abel's theorem, one has

$$\det \Psi_{\pm}(x,t,k) = \det Y_{\pm} = \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda + k + \frac{\beta}{2}} \triangleq \Delta(k), \tag{2.16}$$

which keeps nonzero and non-singular for $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma \setminus \{\pm iq_0 - \frac{\beta}{2}\}$. Since $\Psi_{\pm}(x,t,k)$ satisfy the Lax pair (2.4) for $k \in \Sigma_0$, the scattering matrix $s(k)$ is defined as

$$\Psi_-(x,t,k) = \Psi_+(x,t,k)s(k), \qquad k \in \Sigma_0. \tag{2.17}$$

We have the symmetry

$$\Psi^*_{\pm}(k^*) = -\sigma_0 \Psi_{\pm}(k)\sigma_0, \qquad s^*(k^*) = -\sigma_0 s(k)\sigma_0, \qquad k \in \Sigma_0. \tag{2.18}$$

where $\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, the scattering matrix $s(k)$ can be expressed as

$$s(k) = \begin{pmatrix} a(k) & -b^*(k) \\ b(k) & a^*(k) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a(k)a^*(k) + b(k)b^*(k) = 1, \tag{2.19}$$

where $a^*(k) = a^*(k^*), b^*(k) = b^*(k^*)$ means the Schwartz conjugates. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{-1}(x,t,k) &= a(k)\Psi_{+1}(x,t,k) + b(k)\Psi_{+2}(x,t,k), \\ \Psi_{-2}(x,t,k) &= a^*(k)\Psi_{+2}(x,t,k) - b^*(k)\Psi_{+1}(x,t,k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Considering jumps of the eigenfunctions and scattering data across the branch cut and taking η to be oriented upwards, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^-_{-1}(k) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mu_{-1}(k+\varepsilon) = \mu_{-1}(k), \\ \mu^-_{+2}(k) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mu_{+2}(k+\varepsilon) = \mu_{+2}(k), \quad k \in \eta_-. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

After a direct calculation, we find the columns of fundamental solutions $\Psi_{\pm}$ have the following jump conditions across the branch cut η, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^+_{+1}(x,t,k) &= \frac{\lambda + k + \frac{\beta}{2}}{iq_+}\Psi_{+2}(x,t,k), \\ \Psi^+_{-2}(x,t,k) &= \frac{\lambda + k + \frac{\beta}{2}}{iq^*_-}\Psi_{-1}(x,t,k), \\ \Psi^+_{-1}(x,t,k) &= \frac{\lambda + k + \frac{\beta}{2}}{iq_-}\Psi_{-2}(x,t,k), \\ \Psi^+_{+2}(x,t,k) &= \frac{\lambda + k + \frac{\beta}{2}}{iq^*_+}\Psi_{+1}(x,t,k), \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

and the scattering data a yields the following condition across η_+:

$$(a^*)^+(k) = \frac{q_-}{q_+}a(k), \qquad k \in \eta_+. \tag{2.23}$$

2.2 Inverse scattering problem and reconstructing the formula for potential

First of all, the fundamental matrix-value function can be defined as

$$m^{(0)}(x,t,k)=\begin{cases} (\frac{\Psi_{+1}}{a^*\Delta},\Psi_{-2})e^{-if\sigma_3} & k\in\mathbb{C}_+\setminus\eta_+,\\ (\Psi_{-1},\frac{\Psi_{+2}}{a\Delta})e^{-if\sigma_3} & k\in\mathbb{C}_-\setminus\eta_-. \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

Then the jump condition of the matrix-value function $m^0(x,t,k)$ across $\mathbb{R}$ is

$$m_+^{(0)}(x,t,k)=m_-^{(0)}(x,t,k)\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\Delta}[1+\gamma(k)\gamma^*(k)] & \gamma^*(k)e^{2if(x,t,k)}\\ \gamma(k)e^{-2if(x,t,k)} & \Delta(k) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.25}$$

where $m_\pm(x,t,k)$ mean the boundary values of $m(x,t,k)$ in a chosen orientation. The reflection coefficient $\gamma(k)=-\frac{b(k)}{a^*(k)}$.

Notably, the discontinuities of μ_{-1} and μ_{+2} across η_- and μ_{+1} and μ_{-2} across η_+ will affect the jump of m across the branch cut η. Therefore, according to (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23), we can derive the jump condition of the matrix-value function $m^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ across η_+, given by

$$m_+^{(0)}(x,t,k)=m_-^{(0)}(x,t,k)\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\lambda-k-\beta/2}{iq_-}\gamma^*(k)e^{2if(x,t,k)} & \frac{2\lambda}{iq_-^*}\\ \frac{q_-^*}{2i\lambda}[1+\gamma(k)\gamma^*(k)] & -\frac{\lambda+k+\beta/2}{iq_-^*}\gamma(k)e^{-2if(x,t,k)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k\in\eta_+. \tag{2.26}$$

Analogously, the jump condition of the matrix-value function $m^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ across η_- is given as follows

$$m_+^{(0)}(x,t,k)=m_-^{(0)}(x,t,k)\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda+k+\beta/2}{iq_-}\gamma^*(k)e^{2if(x,t,k)} & \frac{q_-}{2i\lambda}[1+\gamma(k)\gamma^*(k)]\\ \frac{2\lambda}{iq_-} & \frac{\lambda-k-\beta/2}{iq_-^*}\gamma(k)e^{-2if(x,t,k)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k\in\eta_-. \tag{2.27}$$

Now, to realize that there is no discrete spectrum, we suppose that $a\neq 0$ for all $k\in\mathbb{C}_-\cup\Sigma$. Then, the matrix-value function $m^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ is the solution to the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

$$\begin{cases} m^{(0)}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C}\setminus\Sigma,\\ m_+^{(0)}(x,t,k)=m_-^{(0)}(x,t,k)J^{(0)}(x,t,k), \qquad k\in\Sigma,\\ m^{(0)}(x,t,k)\to I, \qquad k\to\infty, \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

of which the jump matrix $J^{(0)}(x,t,k)=\{J_i^{(0)}(x,t,k)\}_{i=1}^3$ read (see Figure 1)

$$J_1^{(0)}=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\Delta}[1+\gamma(k)\gamma^*(k)] & \gamma^*(k)e^{2i\theta t}\\ \gamma(k)e^{-2i\theta t} & \Delta(k) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_2^{(0)}=\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\lambda-k-\beta/2}{iq_-}\gamma^*(k)e^{2i\theta t} & \frac{2\lambda}{iq_-^*}\\ \frac{q_-^*}{2i\lambda}[1+\gamma(k)\gamma^*(k)] & -\frac{\lambda+k+\beta/2}{iq_-^*}\gamma(k)e^{-2i\theta t} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3^{(0)}=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda+k+\beta/2}{iq_-}\gamma^*(k)e^{2i\theta t} & \frac{q_-}{2i\lambda}[1+\gamma(k)\gamma^*(k)]\\ \frac{2\lambda}{iq_-} & \frac{\lambda-k-\beta/2}{iq_-^*}\gamma(k)e^{-2i\theta t} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\theta = \lambda(\xi + 2k), \xi = \frac{x}{t}$.

In order to reconstruct the formula for potential $q(x,t)$, we need to expand the $M^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ at large k as

$$m^{(0)}(x,t,k) = I + \frac{m_1^{(0)}(x,t)}{k} + \frac{m_2^{(0)}(x,t)}{k^2} + O(\frac{1}{k^3}), \qquad k \to \infty. \tag{2.29}$$

Combining equations (2.4), (2.24) and (2.29), one can also recover the solution of the Eq.(1.6) in the form

$$q(x,t) = -2i\left(m_1^{(0)}(x,t)\right)_{12} e^{i[-\beta x + (\alpha - 2q_0^2)t]}, \tag{2.30}$$

2.3 The sign structure of Im(θ)

Before we start the contour deformations, we need to discuss the sign structure of the quantity Im(θ). Let $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2, k = k_1 + ik_2$, we can get

$$\mathrm{Im}(\theta) = \lambda_2(\xi + 2k_1) + 2\lambda_1 k_2. \tag{2.31}$$

At the case of $| k_2 | \gg 1$, since $\lambda \sim k$ as $k \to \infty$, one obtains

$$\mathrm{Im}(\theta) = (4k_1 + \xi)k_2 + O(\frac{1}{k2}), \quad k_2 \to \pm\infty. \tag{2.32}$$

Therefore, $4k_1 + \xi$ determines the sign of Im(θ) as $k_2 \to \pm\infty$. On the other hand, consider $0 < k_2 \ll 1$ and the definition of λ

$$\lambda = \mathrm{sign}(k_1)\sqrt{k_1^2 + \beta k_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} + q_0^2}\left[1 + \frac{(2k_1 + \beta)i}{2(k_1^2 + \beta k_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} + q_0^2)}k_2 + O(k_2^2)\right], \tag{2.33}$$

we can easily derive

$$\mathrm{Im}(\theta) = \frac{\mathrm{sign}(k_1)}{2\sqrt{k_1^2 + \beta k_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} + q_0^2}}\left[8k_1^2 + (6\beta + 2\xi)k_1 + \beta\xi + \beta^2 + 4q_0^2\right]k_2 + O(k_2^3),\ k_2 \to 0_+. \tag{2.34}$$

Then one has Im(θ) = 0 on the real axis if

$$k_1^{\pm} = \frac{1}{8}(-3\beta - \xi \pm \sqrt{\xi^2 - 2\beta\xi + \beta^2 - 32q_0^2}). \tag{2.35}$$

In fact, we can show the sign structure of Im(θ) in the complex k-plane (see Fig. 2).

Remark: Set $\xi_1 = \beta - 4\sqrt{2}q_0, \xi_2 = \beta + 4\sqrt{2}q_0$, when $\xi < \xi_1, \xi > \xi_2$, the function θ has two real stationary points corresponding to plane wave regions. While $\xi_1 < \xi < \xi_2$, the sector will be the modulated elliptic wave regions.



Figure 2. (Color online) The sign structure of $\mathrm{Im}(\theta) = 0$ in the complex k-plane for various values $\beta = 2, q_0 = 1$ and **(a)** $\xi = 8$, **(b)** $\xi = 7.2$, **(c)** $\xi = -3.2$, **(d)** $\xi = -4$.

3 Plane wave region I

For the plane wave region I $\xi > \xi_2$, there are two real stationary points for θ. In order to derive long-time asymptotics of solution for the Eq.(1.6) with boundary conditions (1.7), we carry out similar deformations of the Riemann-Hilbert problem (2.28) as that in Refs. [29, 41].

3.1 First deformation

In this subsection, our goal is to realize the deformation between $m^{(0)}$ and $m^{(1)}$. We first decompose the jump matrix $J_1^{(0)}, J_2^{(0)}, J_3^{(0)}$, given in what follows

$$\begin{cases} J_1^{(0)} = J_2^{(1)} J_0^{(1)} J_1^{(1)}, & \text{on} \quad (-\infty, k_1^-), \\ J_1^{(0)} = J_4^{(1)} J_3^{(1)}, & \text{on} \quad (k_1^-, \infty), \\ J_2^{(0)} = (J_{3-}^{(1)})^{-1} J_\eta^{(1)} J_{3+}^{(1)}, & \text{on} \quad \eta_+ \quad \text{cut}, \\ J_3^{(0)} = J_{4-}^{(1)} J_\eta^{(1)} (J_{4+}^{(1)})^{-1}, & \text{on} \quad \eta_- \quad \text{cut}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$J_0^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\gamma\gamma^* & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \end{pmatrix}, \ J_1^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \frac{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma^* e^{2i\theta t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \ J_2^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma e^{-2i\theta t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$J_3^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma e^{-2i\theta t} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \ J_4^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma^* e^{2i\theta t} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \ J_\eta^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{q_-}{iq_0} \\ \frac{q_-^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

Defining a transformation

$$m^{(1)} = m^{(0)} B(k), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$B(k)=\begin{cases}(J_1^{(1)})^{-1} \text{ on } k\in\Omega_1,\\ J_2^{(1)} \text{ on } k\in\Omega_2,\\ (J_3^{(1)})^{-1} \text{ on } k\in\Omega_3\cup\Omega_5,\\ J_4^{(1)} \text{ on } k\in\Omega_4\cup\Omega_6,\\ I \text{ on } k\in \text{others},\end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

we give out the following RHP about $m^{(1)}$.

$$\begin{cases} m^{(1)}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C}\setminus\Sigma^{(1)},\\ m_+^{(1)}(x,t,k)=m_-^{(1)}(x,t,k)J^{(1)}(x,t,k), \qquad k\in\Sigma^{(1)},\\ m^{(1)}(x,t,k)\to I, \qquad k\to\infty, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

of which the jump matrix $J^{(1)}$ is given in (3.1). This completes the deformation from the contour $\Sigma^{(0)}$ in Fig. 3 to the new contour $\Sigma^{(1)}$ of the new matrix-value function $m^{(1)}$ in Fig. 4.



Figure 3. (Color online) The initial contour $\Sigma^{(0)}$.



Figure 4. (Color online) The contour $\Sigma^{(1)}$.

3.2 Second deformation

The second deformation is to remove the jump across the cut $(-\infty, k_1^-)$. Thus, we introduce a scale RH problem, given by

$$\begin{cases} \delta(k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, k_1^-), \\ \delta_+(k) = \delta_-(k)[1 + \gamma(k)\gamma^*(k)], \qquad k \in (-\infty, k_1^-), \\ \delta(k) \to 1, \qquad k \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

By Plemelj formula, the RH problem (3.5) has following solution

$$\delta(k) = \exp\{\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{-\infty}^{k_1^-} \frac{\ln[1 + \gamma(y)\gamma^*(y)]}{y - k} dy\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Through transformation

$$m^{(2)} = m^{(1)}\delta^{-\sigma_3}, \tag{3.7}$$

a new matrix-value function $m^{(2)}$ satisfies the following RH problem

$$\begin{cases} m^{(2)}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(2)}, \\ m_+^{(2)}(x,t,k) = m_-^{(2)}(x,t,k)J^{(2)}(x,t,k), \qquad k \in \Sigma^{(2)}, \\ m^{(2)}(x,t,k) \to I, \qquad k \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

where the contour $\Sigma^{(2)}$ is shown in Fig. 5, and $J^{(2)} = \delta_-^{\sigma_3} J^{(1)} \delta_+^{-\sigma_3}$, accurately given by

$$J_1^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \delta^2 \frac{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma^* e^{2i\theta t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \; J_2^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\frac{\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma e^{-2i\theta t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma e^{-2i\theta t} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \; J_4^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \delta^2\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma^* e^{2i\theta t} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \; J_\eta^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2\frac{q_-}{iq_0} \\ \delta^{-2}\frac{q^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.9}$$



Figure 5. (Color online) The contour $\Sigma^{(2)}$.

3.3 Third deformation

The purpose of third deformation is to get rid of the term $\Delta(k)$. Taking the following transformation

$$m^{(3)} = m^{(2)}\widehat{B}(k), \tag{3.10}$$

with

$$\widehat{B}(k) = \begin{cases} \Delta^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \text{ on } k \in \widehat{\Omega}_1, \\ \Delta^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \text{ on } k \in \widehat{\Omega}_2, \\ I \text{ on } k \in \widehat{\Omega}_3 \cup \widehat{\Omega}_4, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

we obtain the following RH problem about $m^{(3)}$

$$\begin{cases} m^{(3)}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(3)}, \\ m_+^{(3)}(x,t,k) = m_-^{(3)}(x,t,k) J^{(3)}(x,t,k), \qquad k \in \Sigma^{(3)}, \\ m^{(3)}(x,t,k) \to I, \qquad k \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

where the contour $\Sigma^{(3)} = \Sigma^{(2)}$ is presented in Fig. 5, and $J^{(3)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2 \frac{\gamma^* e^{2i\theta t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ J_2^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2} \frac{\gamma e^{-2i\theta t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ J_3^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-2i\theta t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ J_4^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{2i\theta t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ J_\eta^{(3)} = J_\eta^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

3.4 The g-function and model problem

In order to make the above RH problem (3.12) become the solvable RH problem, we define a transformation

$$m^{(4)} = m^{(3)} e^{ig(k)\sigma_3}, \tag{3.14}$$

of which $g(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \eta$, and the following discontinuity condition need to be satisfied

$$\delta^{-2}(k) e^{i(g_+(k)+g_-(k))} = 1, \qquad k \in \eta. \tag{3.15}$$

After a simple calculation, then the jump matrix $J_\eta^{(4)}$ across η is changed into

$$J_\eta^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{q_-}{iq_0} \\ \frac{q_-^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k \in \eta, \tag{3.16}$$

which is exactly a constant, and the other jump matrices in Eq.(3.13) turn into

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2 \frac{\gamma^* e^{2i(\theta t-g)}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ J_2^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2} \frac{\gamma e^{-2i(\theta t-g)}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ J_3^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-2i(\theta t-g)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ J_4^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{2i(\theta t-g)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Considering $\lambda_- = -\lambda_+$ on the η cut and using the Plemelj's formula, one gets

$$g(k) = \frac{\lambda(k)}{2\pi^2 i}\int_{z\in\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda(z)(z-k)}\int_{-\infty}^{k_1^-}\frac{\ln[1+\gamma(y)\gamma^*(y)]}{y-z}dydz. \tag{3.18}$$

Making $k \to \infty$ in (3.18), we obtain

$$g(\infty) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 i}\int_{z\in\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda(z)}\int_{-\infty}^{k_1^-}\frac{\ln[1+\gamma(y)\gamma^*(y)]}{y-z}dydz, \tag{3.19}$$

which is a function of the q_0, β and ξ, whereas is independent of k. As $t \to \infty$, the jump matrices $J_i^{(4)}, (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ will be degenerative exponentially to the identity far from the point k_1^-. Finally, $m^{(4)}$ can be expressed into the form

$$m^{(4)} = m^{err}m^{mod}, \tag{3.20}$$

where m^{err} problem yields

$$m^{err} = I + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{3.21}$$

but the model problem will dominate the long-time asymptotics of solution $q(x,t)$. Let m^{mod} solve the RH problem:

$$\begin{cases} m^{mod}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C}\setminus\eta, \\ m_+^{mod}(x,t,k) = m_-^{mod}(x,t,k)J^{mod}(x,t,k), \qquad k\in\eta, \\ m^{mod}(x,t,k) \to e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3}, \qquad k\to\infty, \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

of which the jump matrix $J^{mod}(x,t,k) = J_\eta^{(4)}(x,t,k)$ given in (3.16), and m^{mod} can be expressed exactly as

$$m^{mod} = e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon+\Upsilon^{-1}) & -\frac{q_0}{2q_-^*}(\Upsilon-\Upsilon^{-1}) \\ \frac{q_0}{2q_-}(\Upsilon-\Upsilon^{-1})^* & \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon+\Upsilon^{-1})^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\Upsilon(k) = \left(\frac{k-iq_0+\frac{\beta}{2}}{k+iq_0+\frac{\beta}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.24}$$

Then, going back to the formula for potential $q(x,t)$ in (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(x,t) &= -2i\left(m_1^{(0)}(x,t)\right)_{12}e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} \\ &= -2i\left(m_1^{(3)}(x,t)\right)_{12}e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} \\ &= -2i\left(m_1^{mod}(x,t)e^{-ig(\infty)\sigma_3}\right)_{12}e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where m_1^{mod} is given by the explicit solution m^{mod} in Eq. (3.23)

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^{mod} &= \lim_{k\to\infty} k(m^{mod} - e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3}) \\ &= e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3} \lim_{k\to\infty} k \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon + \Upsilon^{-1}) - 1 & -\frac{q_0}{2q_-^*}(\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{-1}) \\ \frac{q_0}{2q_-}(\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{-1})^* & \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon + \Upsilon^{-1})^* - 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Note that

$$\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{-1} = \frac{-iq_0}{k} + O(\frac{1}{k}), \qquad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty, \tag{3.27}$$

then we have

$$\left(m_1^{mod}(x,t)e^{-ig(\infty)\sigma_3}\right)_{12} = -e^{2ig(\infty)} \lim_{k\to\infty} k\frac{q_0}{2q_-^*}(\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{-1}) = \frac{iq_-}{2}e^{2ig(\infty)}. \tag{3.28}$$

Thus, in the plane wave region I, we can declare that the long-time asymptotic behavior of the solution for the Eq.(1.6) is given by

$$q(x,t) = q_- e^{i[2g(\infty)-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{3.29}$$

where $g(\infty)$ is shown in Eq.(3.19), which is only dependent of q_0, β and ξ with $\xi > \xi_2$.

4 Modulated elliptic wave region

For the modulated elliptic wave region $0 < \xi < \xi_2$, the curves $\mathrm{Im}\theta(k) = 0$ will not intersect the real axis. In order to study the long-time asymptotics of $q(x,t)$ in this region, we introduce a g-function mechanism and perform the same first, second and third deformations as that done in the plane wave region I, but the change of factorization happens at the point k_1^- shall be replaced by the point k_1^0. Then we obtain the RH problem (3.12). Moreover, the further deformations for the contour $\Sigma^{(3)}$ still need to be considered until the solvable RH problem is derived.

4.1 Eliminating of the exponential growth

When $0 < \xi < \xi_2$ and as t tends to infinity, the jump matrices $J_3^{(3)}$ and $J_4^{(3)}$ in Eq. (3.13) will grow exponentially in the segment $[k_1^0, \chi]$ and the segment $[k_1^0, \chi^*]$, respectively. To solve this barrier, we decompose matrices $J_3^{(3)}$ and $J_4^{(3)}$ into following form (see Fig. 6)

$$J_3^{(3)} = J_5^{(3)} J_7^{(3)} J_5^{(3)}, \qquad J_4^{(3)} = J_6^{(3)} J_8^{(3)} J_6^{(3)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_5^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^{-1}e^{2i\theta t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_6^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}(\gamma^*)^{-1}e^{-2i\theta t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ J_7^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta^2\gamma^{-1}e^{2i\theta t} \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-2i\theta t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_8^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{2i\theta t} \\ -\delta^{-2}(\gamma^*)^{-1}e^{-2i\theta t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$



Figure 6. (Color online) The contour $\widehat{\Sigma}^{(3)}$ for the modulated genus 1 elliptic wave sector.

Next, we employ a time-dependent g-function to make the transformation

$$m^{(4)} = m^{(3)} e^{-iG(k)t\sigma_3}, \tag{4.3}$$

where function $G(k)$ is analytic off the cuts $\eta \cup \varpi$, of which $\varpi = \varpi_+ \cup \varpi_-$ with $\varpi_+ = [k_1^0, \chi]$ and $\varpi_- = [k_1^0, \chi^*]$. Then we can obtain the following new jump matrices $J^{(4)}$ whose jump contour is $\widehat{\Sigma}^{(4)}$ (see Fig. 7)

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2 \frac{\gamma^* e^{2i(\theta+G)t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2} \frac{\gamma e^{-2i(\theta+G)t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_3^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-2i(\theta+G)t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_4^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{2i(\theta+G)t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_\eta^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2 \frac{q_-}{iq_0} e^{i(G_+ + G_-)t} \\ \delta^{-2} \frac{q_-^*}{iq_0} e^{-i(G_+ + G_-)t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_5^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^{-1} e^{2i(\theta+G)t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_6^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}(\gamma^*)^{-1} e^{-2i(\theta+G)t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_7^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta^2\gamma^{-1} e^{i(2\theta+G_+ + G_-)t} \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-i(2\theta+G_+ + G_-)t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_8^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{i(2\theta+G_+ + G_-)t} \\ -\delta^{-2}(\gamma^*)^{-1} e^{-i(2\theta+G_+ + G_-)t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$



Figure 7. (Color online) The contour $\widehat{\Sigma}^{(4)}$ for the modulated genus 1 elliptic wave sector.

As a matter of convenience, we define a function ω as follows

$$\omega(k) = \theta(k) + G(k). \tag{4.5}$$

In order to give out the parameters k_1^0 and χ, the properties of $\omega(k)$ need to be discussed. Firstly, we introduce a function z, given by

$$z(k) = \sqrt{[(k+\frac{\beta}{2})^2 + q_0^2](k-\chi)(k-\chi^*)}, \tag{4.6}$$

which admits branch cuts $\eta \cup \varpi$. As well as, we set $z(k) = -z_+(k) = z_-(k)$.

Let $\omega(k)$ admits the following Abelian integral

$$\omega(k) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\frac{iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{k} + \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{k}\right) d\omega(y), \tag{4.7}$$

where the Abelian differential $d\omega$ is given by

$$d\omega(k) = 4\frac{(k-k_1^0)(k-\chi)(k-\chi^*)}{z(k)}dk. \tag{4.8}$$

Taking $\chi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 i$, we can easily get

$$(k-k_1^0)(k-\chi)(k-\chi^*) = k^3 + h_2k^2 + h_1k + h_0, \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$h_2 = -(k_1^0 + 2\chi_1), \qquad h_1 = \chi_1^2 + 2k_1^0\chi_1 + \chi_2^2, \qquad h_0 = -k_1^0(\chi_1^2 + \chi_2^2). \tag{4.10}$$

Naturally, we have

$$\omega(k) = 2\left(\int_{\frac{iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{k} + \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{k}\right)\frac{y^3 + h_2y^2 + h_1y + h_0}{z(y)}dy. \tag{4.11}$$

We suppose that the sign signatures of $\mathrm{Im}\omega(k)$ is same as that of $\mathrm{Im}\theta(k)$ for large k,

$$\mathrm{Im}\omega = \mathrm{Im}\theta + O\frac{1}{k}, \qquad k \to \infty. \tag{4.12}$$

As $k \to \infty$, the expression of $z(k)$ become

$$z(k) = k(k+\frac{\beta}{2})\left[1 - \frac{\chi+\chi^*}{2k} + \frac{4q_0^2 - (\chi-\chi^*)^2}{8k^2} + O(\frac{1}{k^3})\right], \quad k \to \infty, \tag{4.13}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d\omega}{dk} = 4\left(k + h_2 + \chi_1 - \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\Xi}{k} + O(\frac{1}{k^2})\right), \quad k \to \infty, \tag{4.14}$$

where $\Xi = \frac{\beta^2}{4} - \frac{q_0^2}{2} - \frac{\chi_2^2}{2} + h_1 + (h_2 + \chi_1)(\chi_1 - \frac{\beta}{2})$. After an integration, we derive

$$\omega(k) = 2k^2 + 4(h_2 + \chi_1 - \frac{\beta}{2})k + 4\Xi \ln(k) + \omega_0 + O(\frac{1}{k}), \quad k \to \infty, \tag{4.15}$$

where ω_0 is a constant to be known later. On the other hand, since $\theta = \lambda(\xi + 2k)$, we obtain

$$\theta(k) = 2k^2 + (\xi + \beta)k + \frac{1}{2}\beta\xi + q_0^2 + O(\frac{1}{k}), \quad k \to \infty. \tag{4.16}$$

Since (4.12) is allowed, and from Eqs. (4.15), (4.16), we can derive $\Xi = 0$ and

$$h_2 = \frac{\xi + 3\beta}{4} - \chi_1, \qquad h_1 = -\frac{\beta^2}{4} + \frac{q_0^2}{2} + \frac{\chi_2^2}{2} - (\frac{\xi + 3\beta}{4})(\chi_1 - \frac{\beta}{2}), \tag{4.17}$$

which indicates that

$$\chi_1 = -k_1^0 - \frac{\xi + 3\beta}{4}, \qquad \chi_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\xi k_1^0}{2} + \frac{\xi\beta}{4} + \frac{3\beta k_1^0}{2} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} + 2(k_1^0)^2 + q_0^2}, \tag{4.18}$$

where parameter k_1^0 is still known later. Observing that

$$2\left(\int_{\frac{iq_0}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}^{k} + \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}^{k}\right)(y + \frac{\xi + \beta}{4})dy = 2k^2 + (\xi + \beta)k - \frac{\xi\beta + q_0^2}{4}, \tag{4.19}$$

then we can write the expression of $\omega(k)$ in Eq.(4.11) into

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(k) = 2&\left(\int_{\frac{iq_0}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}^{k} + \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}^{k}\right)\left[\frac{y^3 + h_2y^2 + h_1y + h_0}{z(y)} - (y + \frac{\xi + \beta}{4})\right]dy \\ &+ 2k^2 + (\xi + \beta)k - \frac{\xi\beta + q_0^2}{4}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Let $k \to \infty$ in Eqs.(4.15) and (4.20), one has

$$\omega_0 = 2\left(\int_{\frac{iq_0}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}^{\infty} + \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}}^{\infty}\right)\left[\frac{y^3 + h_2y^2 + h_1y + h_0}{z(y)} - (y + \frac{\xi + \beta}{4})\right]dy - \frac{\xi\beta + q_0^2}{4}. \tag{4.21}$$

Due to the following the large-k asymptotics

$$\frac{y^3 + h_2y^2 + h_1y + h_0}{z(y)} - (y + \frac{\xi + \beta}{4}) = O(\frac{1}{k^2}), \quad k \to \infty, \tag{4.22}$$

Eq.(4.21) can be well-defined.

Next, we will give out the parameter k_1^0 on the real line. In what follows, to make the jump matrices $J_3^{(4)}, J_5^{(4)}$ and $J_6^{(4)}$ be bounded, we discuss the sign signature of $\mathrm{Im}\omega(k)$ near point $\chi = \chi_1 + \chi_2 i$. We first present the asymptotic expansions of $\lambda(k)$ and $\sqrt{(k - \chi)(k - \chi^*)}$ near point χ, given by

$$\sqrt{(k + \frac{\beta}{2})^2 + q_0^2} = \sqrt{(\chi + \frac{\beta}{2})^2 + q_0^2}\left[1 + \frac{(\chi + \frac{\beta}{2})(k - \chi)}{(\chi + \frac{\beta}{2})^2 + q_0^2} + O((k - \chi)^2)\right], \qquad k \to \chi, \tag{4.23}$$

$$\sqrt{(k-\chi)(k-\chi^*)} = \sqrt{k-\chi^*}\left[\sqrt{k-\chi} + \frac{(k-\chi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2(\chi-\chi^*)} + O((k-\chi)^{\frac{5}{2}})\right], \qquad k \to \chi. \tag{4.24}$$

In the same way, we show the asymptotic behaviors of Eq.(4.8) near point χ

$$\frac{d\omega}{dk} = \frac{8(\chi - k_1^0)\sqrt{\chi-\chi^*}}{\sqrt{(\beta+2\chi)^2+4q_0^2}}\Big[(k-\chi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$+[\frac{1}{\chi-k_1^0} + \frac{1}{2(\chi-\chi^*)} - \frac{2(\beta+2\chi)}{(\beta+2\chi)^2+4q_0^2}](k-\chi)^{\frac{3}{2}} + O((k-\chi)^{\frac{5}{2}})\Big], \qquad k \to \chi. \tag{4.25}$$

Further, one gets

$$\omega(k) = \omega(\chi) + \frac{8(\chi - k_1^0)\sqrt{\chi-\chi^*}}{\sqrt{(\beta+2\chi)^2+4q_0^2}}\Big[\frac{2}{3}(k-\chi)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
$$+\frac{2}{5}[\frac{1}{\chi-k_1^0} + \frac{1}{2(\chi-\chi^*)} - \frac{2(\beta+2\chi)}{(\beta+2\chi)^2+4q_0^2}](k-\chi)^{\frac{5}{2}} + O((k-\chi)^{\frac{7}{2}})\Big], \qquad k \to \chi, \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$\omega(\chi) = 2\left(\int_{\frac{iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\chi} + \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\chi}\right)\frac{y^3+h_2y^2+h_1y+h_0}{z(y)}dy. \tag{4.27}$$

We can find that the sign signature of Im$\omega(k)$ requires three branches of $\mathrm{Im}(\omega(k)) = 0$ emanating from point χ, and through a straightforward calculation, it is not hard to present that

$$\int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\frac{iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \frac{y^3+h_2y^2+h_1y+h_0}{z(y)}dy = \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\frac{iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{(y-\chi_1)^2+\chi_2^2}}{\sqrt{[(y+\frac{\beta}{2})^2+q_0^2]}}(y-k_1^0)dy = 0, \tag{4.28}$$

which indicates that the point k_1^0 is uniquely expressed. In Fig. 7, according to the sign signature of $\mathrm{Im}(\omega)(k)$, the jump matrices $J_3^{(4)}, J_5^{(4)}$ and $J_6^{(4)}$ shall be bounded in the associated branch cuts.

Now, we consider the jump conditions of function $\omega(k)$, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_+(k) + \omega_-(k) &= 0, \qquad k \in \eta, \\ \omega_+(k) + \omega_-(k) &= \Lambda, \qquad k \in \varpi, \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

of which real constant Λ can be given as

$$\Lambda = 4\left(\int_{\frac{iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\chi} + \int_{\frac{-iq_0}{2}-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\chi^*}\right)\frac{(y-k_1^0)(y-\chi)(y-\chi^*)}{z(y)}dy. \tag{4.30}$$

Further, the following normalization condition of ω can be satisfied

$$\omega(k) = 2k^2 + (\xi+\beta)k + \omega_0 + O(\frac{1}{k}), \quad k \to \infty, \tag{4.31}$$

where ω_0 is given in Eq.(4.21).

In addition, the function $\theta(k)$ has following large-k asymptotic

$$\theta(k) = 2k^2 + (\xi + \beta)k + \frac{1}{2}\beta\xi + q_0^2 + O(\frac{1}{k}), \quad k \to \infty. \tag{4.32}$$

Hence, from definition of function $\omega(k)$ in Eq. (4.5), it is not hard to obtain

$$G(\infty) = \omega_0 - \frac{1}{2}\beta\xi - q_0^2, \quad k \to \infty. \tag{4.33}$$

From Eq.(4.3), we can derive the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $m^{(4)}$, whose normalization condition is $m^{(4)} \to e^{-iG(\infty)t\sigma_3}$ as $k \to \infty$. The contour $\widehat{\Sigma}^{(4)}$ is shown in Fig. 7 and the jump matrices $J^{(4)}$ are obtained as

$$\begin{gathered}
J_1^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2 \frac{\gamma^* e^{2i\omega t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; J_2^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2} \frac{\gamma e^{-2i\omega t}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_3^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-2i\omega t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; J_4^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{2i\omega t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_\eta^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2 \frac{q_-}{iq_0} \\ \delta^{-2} \frac{q_-^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_5^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^{-1} e^{2i\omega t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_6^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}(\gamma^*)^{-1} e^{-2i\omega t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_7^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta^2\gamma^{-1} e^{i\Lambda t} \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-i\Lambda t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
J_8^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{i\Lambda t} \\ -\delta^{-2}(\gamma^*)^{-1} e^{-i\Lambda t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{gathered} \tag{4.34}$$

4.2 Further deformation

Using g-function mechanism, the variable k from the jump matrices $J_\eta^{(4)}, J_7^{(4)}, J_8^{(4)}$ can be eliminated. Therefore, we take following transformation

$$m^{(5)} = m^{(4)} e^{i\tilde{g}(k)\sigma_3}, \tag{4.35}$$

of which the function $\tilde{g}(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\eta \cup \varpi)$, and it admits

$$\tilde{g}_+(k) + \tilde{g}_-(k) = \tilde{G}(k) = \begin{cases} -i\ln(\delta^2) \text{ on } k \in \eta, \\ \vartheta - i\ln(\frac{\delta^2}{\gamma}) \text{ on } k \in \varpi_+, \\ \vartheta - i\ln(\delta^2\gamma^*) \text{ on } k \in \varpi_-, \end{cases} \tag{4.36}$$

where ϑ is a real constant. The normalization condition $\tilde{g}(z) \to \tilde{g}(\infty)$ as $k \to \infty$, it follows

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\eta\cup\varpi}\frac{\tilde{G}(k,\vartheta)}{z_-(\zeta)}d\zeta = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

In Eq.(4.37), the constant ϑ is determined. Then the $\tilde{g}(\infty)$ is a real constant, given by

$$\tilde{g}(\infty) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\eta\cup\varpi}\frac{\tilde{G}(k,\vartheta)}{z_-(\zeta)}\zeta d\zeta. \tag{4.38}$$

Finally, we obtain the following RH problem about $m^{(5)}$

$$\begin{cases} m^{(5)}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C}\setminus\widehat{\Sigma}^{(5)}, \\ m^{(5)}_+(x,t,k) = m^{(5)}_-(x,t,k)J^{(5)}(x,t,k), \qquad k\in\widehat{\Sigma}^{(5)}, \\ m^{(5)}(x,t,k)\to e^{i(\tilde{g}(\infty)-G(\infty)t)\sigma_3}, \qquad k\to\infty, \end{cases} \tag{4.39}$$

where the contour $\widehat{\Sigma}^{(5)} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{(4)}$ is shown in Fig. 7 and the jump matrices $J^{(5)}$ are obtained as

$$J_1^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\frac{\gamma^* e^{2i(\omega t-\tilde{g})}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ J_2^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\frac{\gamma e^{-2i(\omega t-\tilde{g})}}{1+\gamma\gamma^*} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\gamma e^{-2i(\omega t-\tilde{g})} & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ J_4^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^* e^{2i(\omega t-\tilde{g})} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_\eta^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{q_-}{iq_0} \\ \frac{q_-^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_5^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\gamma^{-1}e^{2i(\omega t-\tilde{g})} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\quad J_6^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}(\gamma^*)^{-1}e^{-2i(\omega t-\tilde{g})} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_7^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{i(\Lambda t-\vartheta)} \\ e^{-i(\Lambda t-\vartheta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_8^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i(\Lambda t-\vartheta)} \\ -e^{-i(\Lambda t-\vartheta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.40}$$

4.3 Model problem and the results

From Fig. 7, it is not hard to find the jump matrices $J_i^{(5)}(i = 1,2,3,4,5,6)$ is decaying exponentially to the identity away from the points k_1^0, χ and χ^* as $t\to\infty$. We know that the leading term of the solution is determined by the model problem which can be written as

$$\begin{cases} m^{mod}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C}\setminus(\eta\cup\varpi_+\cup(-\varpi_-)), \\ m^{mod}_+(x,t,k) = m^{mod}_-(x,t,k)J^{mod}(x,t,k), \qquad k\in\eta\cup\varpi_+\cup(-\varpi_-), \\ m^{mod}(x,t,k)\to e^{i(\tilde{g}(\infty)-G(\infty)t)\sigma_3}, \qquad k\to\infty, \end{cases} \tag{4.41}$$

where

$$J_{\eta}^{mod} = J_{\eta}^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{q_-}{iq_0} \\ \frac{q_-^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$J_{\varpi_+\cup(-\varpi_-)}^{mod} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{i(\Lambda t-\vartheta)} \\ e^{-i(\Lambda t-\vartheta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.42}$$

and $-\varpi_-$ defines the opposite direction of cut ϖ_-.

For large k, introducing the factorization $m^{(5)} = m^{(err)}m^{(mod)}$ and using the Eq.(2.30), we can obtain the solution $q(x,t)$ for the Eq.(1.6) in what follows

$$q(x,t) = -2i\left(m_1^{mod}(x,t) + m_1^{err}(x,t)\right)_{12} e^{i[\tilde{g}(\infty)-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2-G(\infty))t]}, \tag{4.43}$$

of which $\mid m_1^{err} \mid = O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

Employing the elliptic theta functions, we can solve the model RH problem (4.41). We first consider the Abelian differential

$$d\vartheta = \frac{\vartheta_0}{z(k)}dk, \qquad \vartheta_0 = \left(\oint_{L_1} \frac{1}{\vartheta(k)}dk\right)^{-1}, \tag{4.44}$$

which can be normalized by taking $\oint_{L_1} d\vartheta = 1$. As well as, the Abelian differential (4.44) has following Riemann period τ

$$\tau = \oint_{L_2} d\vartheta. \tag{4.45}$$

It can be indicated from the results in [49] that τ is purely imaginary with $i\tau < 0$. Considering the Abelian map

$$V(k) = \int_{iq_0-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{k} d\vartheta, \tag{4.46}$$

then we can give out the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} &V_+(k) + V_-(k) = n - \tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \in \varpi_+ \cup (-\varpi_-), \\ &V_+(k) + V_-(k) = n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \in \eta, \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

and show a new function $r(k)$ as

$$r(k) = \left(\frac{(k-\chi)(k-iq_0+\frac{\beta}{2})}{(k-\chi^*)(k+iq_0+\frac{\beta}{2})}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{4.48}$$

which admits the same jump discontinuity across η and $\varpi_+ \cup (-\varpi_-)$, as well as $r_+(k) = ir_-(k)$. The function $r(k)$ has the following large-k asymptotic

$$\begin{aligned} &r(k) = 1 - \frac{i(\chi_2+q_0)}{2k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right), \quad k \to \infty, \\ &r(k) - r^{-1}(k) = -i(\chi_2+q_0) + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right), \quad k \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

As $i\tau < 0$, the theta function can be defined into

$$\Theta(k) = \sum_{\varrho\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i\varrho k + \pi i\tau\varrho^2}, \tag{4.50}$$

which yields

$$\Theta(k+n) = \Theta(k), \quad \Theta(k+n\tau) = e^{-(2\pi ink + \pi i\tau n^2)}\Theta(k), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.51}$$

Finally, the entries of the 2×2 matrix-valued function $M(k) = M(x,t,k)$ are derived in what follows

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11}(k) &= \frac{1}{2}[r(k) + r^{-1}(k)]\frac{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi} + V(k) + C\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{iq_0}{q_-^*}}\Theta\left(V(k)+C\right)},\\
M_{12}(k) &= \frac{i}{2}[r(k) - r^{-1}(k)]\frac{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi} - V(k) + C\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}}\Theta\left(-V(k)+C\right)},\\
M_{21}(k) &= \frac{-i}{2}[r(k) - r^{-1}(k)]\frac{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi} + V(k) - C\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{iq_0}{q_-^*}}\Theta\left(V(k)-C\right)},\\
M_{22}(k) &= \frac{1}{2}[r(k) + r^{-1}(k)]\frac{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi} - V(k) - C\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}}\Theta\left(-V(k)-C\right)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

of which

$$C = V(k_*) + \frac{1}{2}(1+\tau), \qquad k_* = \frac{2q_0\chi_1 - \beta\chi_2}{2(q_0+\chi_2)}. \tag{4.53}$$

Then the solution of the model RH problem (4.41) is given by

$$m^{mod}(x,t,k) = M^{-1}(x,t,\infty)M(x,t,k)e^{i(\tilde{g}(\infty)-G(\infty)t)\sigma_3}, \tag{4.54}$$

then we have

$$(m^{mod})_{12} = \frac{iq_0}{2q_-^*}(\chi_2+q_0)\frac{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi} - V(\infty) + C\right)\Theta(V(\infty)+C)}{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi} + V(\infty) + C\right)\Theta(-V(\infty)+C)}e^{i(\tilde{g}(\infty)-G(\infty)t)}. \tag{4.55}$$

Based on Eq.(4.43), we have

$$q(x,t)=\frac{q_0(\chi_2+q_0)}{q_-^*}\frac{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi}-V(\infty)+C\right)\Theta(V(\infty)+C)}{\Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda t+\vartheta+i\ln\left(\frac{q_-^*}{iq_0}\right)}{2\pi}+V(\infty)+C\right)\Theta(-V(\infty)+C)}$$
$$e^{i[2\tilde{g}(\infty)-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2-2G(\infty))t]}+O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{4.56}$$

where $V(\infty)=\int_{iq_0-\frac{\beta}{2}}^{\infty}d\vartheta$, and $\chi_2,\Lambda,G(\infty),\vartheta,\tilde{g}(\infty),C$ are given by Eqs. (4.18), (4.30), (4.33), (4.37), (4.38), (4.53), respectively.

5 Plane wave region II

When $\xi<\xi_1$, there are two real roots $k_1^{\pm}$ on the real axis, and they both distribute on the right side of the η cut. In order to discuss the long-time asymptotics of solution for the Eq.(1.6) with boundary conditions (1.7), we first analyse the original RHP $m^{(0)}$ and deform the contour $\Sigma^{(0)}$.

5.1 A transformation between $m^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{m}^{(0)}$

Firstly, the RH problem (2.28) needs to be rescaled into

$$\tilde{m}^{(0)}=\begin{cases} m^{(0)}H^*(k), & k\in\mathbb{C}_+\setminus\eta_+,\\ m^{(0)}H^{-1}(k), & k\in\mathbb{C}_-\setminus\eta_-,\end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$H(k)=\begin{pmatrix} a(k) & 0\\ 0 & a(k)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.2}$$

Then the matrix-value function $\tilde{m}^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ is the solution to the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{m}^{(0)}(x,t,k)\text{ is analytic in }\mathbb{C}\setminus\tilde{\Sigma}^{(0)},\\ \tilde{m}_+^{(0)}(x,t,k)=\tilde{m}_-^{(0)}(x,t,k)\tilde{J}^{(0)}(x,t,k), & k\in\tilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}=\Sigma^{(0)},\\ \tilde{m}^{(0)}(x,t,k)\to I, & k\to\infty,\end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

of which the jump matrix reads

$$\tilde{J}_1^{(0)}=HJ_1^{(0)}H^*,\quad \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}=(H_-^*)^{-1}J_2^{(0)}H_+^*,\quad \tilde{J}_3^{(0)}=H_-J_3^{(0)}H_+^{-1}. \tag{5.4}$$

5.2 A transformation between $\tilde{m}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{m}^{(2)}$

Analogously, we can factor the jump matrices $\tilde{J}_1^{(0)}, \tilde{J}_2^{(0)}, \tilde{J}_3^{(0)}$ into

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_1^{(0)} &= \tilde{J}_2^{(1)}\tilde{J}_0^{(1)}\tilde{J}_1^{(1)}, && \text{on} && (k_1^+, \infty),\\
\tilde{J}_1^{(0)} &= \tilde{J}_4^{(1)}\tilde{J}_3^{(1)}, && \text{on} && (-\infty, k_1^+),\\
\tilde{J}_2^{(0)} &= (\tilde{J}_{3-}^{(1)})^{-1}\tilde{J}_\eta^{(1)}\tilde{J}_{3+}^{(1)}, && \text{on} && \eta_+ \quad \text{cut},\\
\tilde{J}_3^{(0)} &= \tilde{J}_{4-}^{(1)}\tilde{J}_\eta^{(1)}(\tilde{J}_{4+}^{(1)})^{-1}, && \text{on} && \eta_- \quad \text{cut},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_0^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+\rho\rho^*} & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\rho\rho^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{J}_1^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho e^{-2i\theta t}}{1+\rho\rho^*} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{J}_2^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \frac{\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho^* e^{2i\theta t}}{1+\rho\rho^*} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix},\\
\tilde{J}_3^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho^* e^{2i\theta t} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{J}_4^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho e^{-2i\theta t} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{J}_\eta^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{q_+}{iq_0} \\ \frac{q_+^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

and we have defined $\rho(k) = -\frac{b(k)}{a(k)}$. Taking

$$\tilde{m}^{(1)} = \tilde{m}^{(0)}\tilde{B}(k), \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$\tilde{B}(k) = \begin{cases} (\tilde{J}_1^{(1)})^{-1} \text{ on } k \in \tilde{\Omega}_1, \\ \tilde{J}_2^{(1)} \text{ on } k \in \tilde{\Omega}_2, \\ (\tilde{J}_3^{(1)})^{-1} \text{ on } k \in \tilde{\Omega}_3 \cup \tilde{\Omega}_5, \\ \tilde{J}_4^{(1)} \text{ on } k \in \tilde{\Omega}_4 \cup \tilde{\Omega}_6, \\ I \text{ on } k \in \text{others}, \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

we derive a new contour $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}$ of the new matrix-value function $\tilde{m}^{(1)}$ from the contour $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}$ in Fig. 8. The jump matrix of the new matrix-value function $\tilde{m}^{(1)}$ is $\tilde{J}^{(1)}$, given in (5.5) (see Fig. 9).



Figure 8. (Color online) The contour $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}$.



Figure 9. (Color online) The contour $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}$.

5.3 Eliminating the jump across the cut (k_1^+, ∞)

To eliminating the jump across the cut (k_1^+, ∞), we first show the function $\delta(k)$, that is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (k_1^+, \infty)$ and meets the jump condition

$$\delta_+(k) = \delta_-(k)\frac{1}{1+\rho(k)\rho^*(k)}, \qquad k \in (k_1^+, \infty), \tag{5.8}$$

and the normalization condition

$$\delta(k) = 1 + O(\frac{1}{k}), \qquad k \to \infty. \tag{5.9}$$

The solution $\delta(k)$ of the RH problem (5.8) is

$$\delta(k) = \exp\{\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{k_1^+}^{\infty} \frac{\ln \frac{1}{1+\rho(y)\rho^*(y)}}{y-k} dy\}. \tag{5.10}$$

Setting

$$\tilde{m}^{(2)} = \tilde{m}^{(1)}\delta^{-\sigma_3}, \tag{5.11}$$

we can obtain $\tilde{J}^{(2)} = \delta_-^{\sigma_3}\tilde{J}^{(1)}\delta_+^{-\sigma_3}$, and

$$\tilde{J}_1^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\frac{\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho e^{-2i\theta t}}{1+\rho\rho^*} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{J}_2^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \delta^2\frac{\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho^* e^{2i\theta t}}{1+\rho\rho^*} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\tilde{J}_3^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \delta^2\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho^* e^{2i\theta t} \\ 0 & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{J}_4^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho e^{-2i\theta t} & \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{J}_\eta^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^2\frac{q_+}{iq_0} \\ \delta^{-2}\frac{q_+^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.12}$$

A new matrix-value function $\tilde{m}^{(2)}$ satisfies the following RH problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{m}^{(2)}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}, \\ \tilde{m}_+^{(2)}(x,t,k) = \tilde{m}_-^{(2)}(x,t,k)\tilde{J}^{(2)}(x,t,k), \qquad k \in \tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}, \\ \tilde{m}^{(2)}(x,t,k) \to I, \qquad k \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{5.13}$$

where $\tilde{J}^{(2)}$ is given in Eq. (5.12) and the contour $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}$ is shown in Fig. 10.



Figure 10. (Color online) The contour $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}$.

5.4 Removing the term $\Delta(k)$

In order to remove the term $\Delta(k)$, we need take a transformation, given by

$$\tilde{m}^{(3)} = \tilde{m}^{(2)}\widehat{\tilde{B}}(k), \tag{5.14}$$

where

$$\widehat{\tilde{B}}(k) = \begin{cases} \Delta^{\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \text{ on } k \in \widehat{\tilde{\Omega}}_1, \\ \Delta^{-\frac{\sigma_3}{2}} \text{ on } k \in \widehat{\tilde{\Omega}}_2, \\ I \text{ on } k \in \widehat{\tilde{\Omega}}_3 \cup \widehat{\tilde{\Omega}}_4. \end{cases} \tag{5.15}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following RH problem for $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(3)}$:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{m}^{(3)}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \tilde{\Sigma}^{(3)}, \\ \tilde{m}_+^{(3)}(x,t,k) = \tilde{m}_-^{(3)}(x,t,k)\tilde{J}^{(3)}(x,t,k), \qquad k \in \tilde{\Sigma}^{(3)}, \\ \tilde{m}^{(3)}(x,t,k) \to I, \qquad k \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{5.16}$$

where the contour $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(3)} = \tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}$ is shown in Fig. 10, and $\tilde{J}^{(3)}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_1^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\frac{\rho e^{-2i\theta t}}{1+\rho\rho^*} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{J}_2^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\frac{\rho^* e^{2i\theta t}}{1+\rho\rho^*} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{J}_3^{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\rho^* e^{2i\theta t} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{J}_4^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\rho e^{-2i\theta t} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{J}_\eta^{(3)} = \tilde{J}_\eta^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

5.5 The g-function and model problem

In the same way, a g-function is introduced to make the following transformation

$$\tilde{m}^{(4)} = \tilde{m}^{(3)} e^{ig(k)\sigma_3}, \tag{5.18}$$

where $g(k)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \eta$, and has the following discontinuity condition

$$\delta^{-2}(k)e^{i(g_+(k)+g_-(k))} = 1, \qquad k \in \eta, \tag{5.19}$$

then the jump matrix $\tilde{J}_\eta^{(4)}$ across η is

$$\tilde{J}_\eta^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{q_+}{iq_0} \\ \frac{q_+^*}{iq_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k \in \eta, \tag{5.20}$$

which become a constant. Besides, the other jump matrices in Eq.(5.17) turn into

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_1^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\frac{\rho e^{-2i(\theta t-g)}}{1+\rho\rho^*} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{J}_2^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\frac{\rho^* e^{2i(\theta t-g)}}{1+\rho\rho^*} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{J}_3^{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta^2\rho^* e^{2i(\theta t-g)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{J}_4^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta^{-2}\rho e^{-2i(\theta t-g)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

On the η cut, one has $\lambda_- = -\lambda_+$. According to the Plemelj's formula, we have

$$g(k) = \frac{\lambda(k)}{2\pi^2 i}\int_{z\in\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda(z)(z-k)}\int_{k_1^+}^{\infty}\frac{\ln\frac{1}{1+\rho(y)\rho^*(y)}}{y-z}dydz, \tag{5.22}$$

Then, as $k \to \infty$, we get

$$g(\infty) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 i}\int_{z\in\eta}\frac{1}{\lambda(z)}\int_{k_1^+}^{\infty}\frac{\ln\frac{1}{1+\rho(y)\rho^*(y)}}{y-z}dydz, \tag{5.23}$$

which is only dependent of q_0, β and ξ, whereas is independent of k. The jump matrices $\tilde{J}_i^{(4)}, (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ decay exponentially to the identity away from the point k_1^+ as $t \to \infty$. Finally, one can write $\tilde{m}^{(4)}$ in the form

$$\tilde{m}^{(4)} = \tilde{m}^{err}\tilde{m}^{mod}, \tag{5.24}$$

where $\tilde{m}^{err}$ problem yields

$$\tilde{m}^{err} = I + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{5.25}$$

Obviously, the model problem will dominate the long-time asymptotics of the solution, and $\tilde{m}^{mod}$ solve the following RH problem:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{m}^{mod}(x,t,k) \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \eta, \\ \tilde{m}_+^{mod}(x,t,k) = \tilde{m}_-^{mod}(x,t,k)\tilde{J}^{mod}(x,t,k), \qquad k \in \eta, \\ \tilde{m}^{mod}(x,t,k) \to e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3}, \qquad k \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{5.26}$$

of which the jump matrix $\tilde{J}^{mod}(x,t,k) = \tilde{J}_{\eta}^{(4)}(x,t,k)$ given in (5.20), which has exact solution

$$\tilde{m}^{mod} = e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon+\Upsilon^{-1}) & -\frac{q_0}{2q_+^*}(\Upsilon-\Upsilon^{-1}) \\ \frac{q_0}{2q_+}(\Upsilon-\Upsilon^{-1})^* & \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon+\Upsilon^{-1})^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.27}$$

where

$$\Upsilon(k) = \left(\frac{k-iq_0+\frac{\beta}{2}}{k+iq_0+\frac{\beta}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{5.28}$$

Then, based on the solution $q(x,t)$ in (2.30), one has

$$\begin{aligned} q(x,t) &= -2i\left(\tilde{m}_1^{(0)}(x,t)\right)_{12} e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} \\ &= -2i\left(\tilde{m}_1^{(3)}(x,t)\right)_{12} e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} \\ &= -2i\left(\tilde{m}_1^{mod}(x,t)e^{-ig(\infty)\sigma_3}\right)_{12} e^{i[-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

where $\tilde{m}_1^{mod}$ is shown by the explicit solution $\tilde{m}^{mod}$ in Eq. (5.27):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}_1^{mod} &= \lim_{k\to\infty} k(\tilde{m}^{mod} - e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3}) \\ &= e^{ig(\infty)\sigma_3} \lim_{k\to\infty} k\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon+\Upsilon^{-1})-1 & -\frac{q_0}{2q_+^*}(\Upsilon-\Upsilon^{-1}) \\ \frac{q_0}{2q_+}(\Upsilon-\Upsilon^{-1})^* & \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon+\Upsilon^{-1})^*-1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

Observing that

$$\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{-1} = \frac{-iq_0}{k} + O(\frac{1}{k}), \qquad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty, \tag{5.31}$$

then we have

$$\left(\tilde{m}_1^{mod}(x,t)e^{-ig(\infty)\sigma_3}\right)_{12} = -e^{2ig(\infty)} \lim_{k\to\infty} k\frac{q_0}{2q_+^*}(\Upsilon-\Upsilon^{-1}) = \frac{iq_+}{2}e^{2ig(\infty)}. \tag{5.32}$$

Hence, going back to Eq.(5.29), in the plane wave region II, we derive the long-time asymptotic behavior of the solution for the Eq.(1.6)

$$q(x,t) = q_+ e^{i[2g(\infty)-\beta x+(\alpha-2q_0^2)t]} + O(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{5.33}$$

where $g(\infty)$ is given by Eq.(5.23), which is only dependent of q_0, β and ξ with $\xi < \xi_1$.

6 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Postgraduate Research and Practice of Educational Reform for Graduate students in CUMT under Grant No. 2019YJSJG046, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province under Grant No. BK20181351, the Six Talent Peaks Project in Jiangsu Province

under Grant No. JY-059, the Qinglan Project of Jiangsu Province of China, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11975306, the Fundamental Research Fund for the Central Universities under the Grant Nos. 2019ZDPY07 and 2019QNA35, and the General Financial Grant from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant Nos. 2015M570498 and 2017T100413.

References

[1] Y. B. Bazaliy, B. A. Jones, S. C. Zhang, Modification of the Landau-Lifshitz equation in the presence of a spin-polarized current in colossal-and giant-magnetoresistive materials, Phys. Rev. B 57(6) (1998) R3213.

[2] J. C. Slonczewski, Excitation of spin waves by an electric current, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 195(2) (1999) L261-L268.

[3] A. M. Kosevich, B. A. Ivanov, and A. S. Kovalev, Magnetic solitons, Phys. Rep. 194 (1990) 117.

[4] P. B. He, W. M. Liu, Nonlinear magnetization dynamics in a ferromagnetic nanowire with spin current, Phys. Rev. B 72(6) (2005) 064410.

[5] Z. D. Li, Q. Y. Li, Dark soliton interaction of spinor Bose-Einstein condensates in an optical lattice, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 322(8) (2007) 1961-1971.

[6] R. Hirota, Exact envelope-soliton solutions of a nonlinear wave equation, J. Math. Phys. 14(7) (1973) 805-809.

[7] C. Q. Su, Y. Y. Wang, X. Q. Liu, et al. Conservation laws, modulation instability and rogue waves for the localized magnetization with spin torque, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 48 (2017) 236-245.

[8] Z. D. Li, Q. Y. Li, L. Li, W. M. Liu, Soliton solution for the spin current in a ferromagnetic nanowire, Phys. Rev. E 76(2) (2007) 026605.

[9] F. Zhao, Z. D. Li, Q. Y. Li, L. Wen, G. S. Fu, W. M. Liu, Magnetic rogue wave in a perpendicular anisotropic ferromagnetic nanowire with spin-transfer torque, Ann. Phys. 327(9) (2012) 2085-2095.

[10] J. J. Yang, S. F. Tian. Riemann-Hilbert problem for the modified Landau-Lifshitz equation with nonzero boundary conditions. arXiv:1909.11263.

[11] S.V. Manakov, Nonlinear Fraunnhofer diffraction, Sov. Phys. JETP, 38 (1974) 693-696.

[12] M. J. Ablowitz, A. C. Newell, The decay of the continuous spectrum for solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, J. Math. Phys., 14 (1973) 1277-1284.

[13] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, Asymptotic behavior of non-linear wave systems integrated by the inverse scattering method, Sov. Phys. JETP, 44 (1976) 106-112.

[14] M. J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, Asymptotic solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Stud. Appl. Math., 57 (1977) 13-44.

[15] H. Segur, M. J. Ablowitz, Asymptotic solutions and conservation laws for the nonlinear Schrodinger equation I, J. Math. Phys., 17 (1973) 710-713.

[16] A. R. Its, Asymptotics of solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and isomonodromic deformations of systems of linear differential equations, Sov. Math. Dokl., 24 (1981) 452-456.

[17] P. A. Deift, X. Zhou, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems, Ann. Math. 137 (1993) 295-368.

[18] P. Deift, S. Venakides, X. Zhou, The collisionless shock region for the long-time behavior of solutions of the KdV equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 47 (1994) 199-206.

[19] P. Deift, X. Zhou, Asymptotics for the Painleve II equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 48 (1995) 277-337.

[20] P. Deift, S. Venakides, X. Zhou, New results in small dispersion KdV by an extension of the steepest descent method for Riemann-Hilbert problems, Int. Math. Res. Not., 1997 (1997) 286-299.

[21] S. Kamvissis, Long time behavior for the focusing nonlinear Schröedinger equation with real spectral singularities, Commun. Math. Phys., 180 (1996) 325-341.

[22] K. Grunert, G. Teschl, Long-time asymptotics for the Korteweg-de Vries equation via nonlinear steepest descent, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 12 (2009) 287-324.

[23] P. J. Cheng, S. Venakides, X. Zhou, Long-time asymptotics for the pure radiation solution of the sine-Gordon equation, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 24 (1999) 1195-1262.

[24] A. Boutet de Monvel, A. Kostenko, D. Shepelsky, G. Teschl, Long-time asymptotics for the Camassa-Holm equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 41 (2009) 1559-1588.

[25] B. L. Guo, N. Liu, Y. F. Wang, Long-time asymptotics for the Hirota equation on the half-line, Nonlinear Anal., 174 (2018) 118-140.

[26] L. Huang, J. Xu, E.G. Fan, Long-time asymptotic for the Hirota equation via nonlinear steepest descent method, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 26 (2015) 229-262.

[27] D.S. Wang, X.L. Wang, Long-time asymptotics and the bright N-soliton solutions of the Kundu-Eckhaus equation via the RiemannCHilbert approach, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 41 (2018) 334-361.

[28] X.G. Geng, H. Liu, The nonlinear steepest descent method to long-time asymptotics of the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Nonlinear Sci. 28 (2018) 739-763.

[29] R. Buckingham, S. Venakides, Long-time asymptotics of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation shock problem, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007) 1349-1414.

[30] A. Boutet de Monvel, A. R. Its, V. Kotlyarov, Long-time asymptotics for the focusing NLS equation with time-periodic boundary condition on the half-line, Commun. Math. Phys. 290 (2009) 479-522.

[31] S. F. Tian, T. T. Zhang, Long-time asymptotic behavior for the Gerdjikov-Ivanov type of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with time-periodic boundary condition, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 146 (2018) 1713-1729.

[32] A. Boutet de Monvel, V. P. Kotlyarov, D. Shepelsky, Focusing NLS equation: Long-time dynamics of step-like initial data, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2011) 1613-1653.

[33] V. Kotlyarov, A. Minakov, Riemann-Hilbert problem to the modified Korteveg-de Vries equation: Long-time dynamics of the steplike initial data, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 093506.

[34] J. Xu, E. G. Fan, Y. Chen, Long-time Asymptotic for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with step-like initial value, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 16 (2013) 253-288.

[35] A. S. Fokas, A unified transform method for solving linear and certain nonlinear PDEs, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 453 (1997) 1411-1443.

[36] A. S. Fokas, J. Lenells, B. Pelloni, Boundary value problems for the elliptic sine-Gordon equation in a semi-strip, J. Nonlinear Sci. 23 (2013) 241-282

[37] J. Xu, E. Fan, The unified transform method for the Sasa-Satsuma equation on the half-line, Proc. R. Soc. Ser. A 469 (2013) 20130068.

[38] S.F. Tian, Initial-boundary value problems for the general coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the interval via the Fokas method, J. Differential Equations 262(1) (2017) 506-558.

[39] W.X. Ma, Riemann-Hilbert problems and N-soliton solutions for a coupled mKdV system, J. Geom. Phys. 132 (2018) 45-54.

[40] G. Biondini, G. Kovačič, Inverse scattering transform for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions. J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014) 031506.

[41] G. Biondini, D. Mantzavinos, Long-time asymptotics for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions at infinity and asymptotic stage of modulational instability, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 70 (2017) 2300-2365.

[42] J.J. Yang, S.F. Tian, Z.Q. Li, Inverse scattering transform and soliton solutions for the focusing Kundu-Eckhaus equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions, arXiv:1911.00340.

[43] J.J. Mao, S.F. Tian, Riemann-Hilbert approach for the NLSLab equation with nonzero boundary conditions, arXiv:1911.00683.

[44] Z.Q. Li, S.F. Tian, J.J. Yang, Riemann-Hilbert approach and soliton solutions for the higher-order dispersive nonlinear Schrödinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions, arXiv:1911.01624.

[45] D. S. Wang, B. L. Guo, X. L. Wang. Long-time asymptotics of the focusing Kundu-Eckhaus equation with nonzero boundary conditions. J. Diff. Equations, 266(9) (2019) 5209-5253.

[46] B. L. Guo, N. Liu. The Gerdjikov-Ivanov-type derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Long-time dynamics of nonzero boundary conditions. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 42(14) (2019) 4839-4861.

[47] D. Kraus, G. Biondini, G. Kovačič, The focusing Manakov system with nonzero boundary conditions, Nonlinearity 28 (2015) 3101-3151.

[48] G. Biondini, D. Kraus, B. Prinari, The three-component defocusing nonlinear Schröinger equation with nonzero boundary conditions, Comm. Math. Phys. 348 (2016) 475-533.

[49] H. Farkas, I. Kra, Riemann Surfaces, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 71, Springer, New York, 1992.