

New Universality Class in the Superconductive Phase Transition *

Hagen Kleinert[†] and Adriaan M. J. Schakel[‡]

Institut für Theoretische Physik

Freie Universität Berlin

Arnimallee 14, 14195 Berlin.

June 12, 1996

Abstract

The superconductive phase transition (or Coulomb-Higgs phase transition of scalar QED in 3D) is discussed in a dual formulation which focuses on the magnetic rather than the electric excitations of the system. Renormalization group analysis of the dual formulation reveals the transition to be of second order and of a new universality class. Whereas coherence length and specific heat have XY -model exponents, the magnetic penetration depth shows mean-field behavior. Experimental evidence for these predictions is discussed.

*Invited talk presented by A. Schakel at the 10'th International Conference on Problems of Quantum Field Theory, Alushta, Ukraine, May 13-17, 1996, based on work carried out in collaboration with M. Kiometzis [1].

[†]Email: kleinert@physik.fu-berlin.de. Home page: <http://www.physik.fu-berlin.de/~kleinert>

[‡]Email: schakel@physik.fu-berlin.de




Figure 1: Effective potential up to one-loop order.

The study of Theoretical studies of the superconductive phase transition in the Ginzburg-Landau theory (or Coulomb-Higgs phase transition of scalar QED in 3D) have a long history. In the the early seventies, Halperin, Lubensky, and Ma [2] analyzed the transition in a on-loop ϵ -expansion and noted the absence of an infrared stable (IR) fixed point, suggesting that the transition be first order. A similar conclusion had been reached earlier in 4D by Coleman and Weinberg [3].

The Lagrange density describing scalar QED reads, in the Euclidean formulation,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 + |(\partial_\mu - iqA_\mu)\phi|^2 + m_\phi^2|\phi|^2 + \lambda|\phi|^4, \quad (1)$$

where A_μ is the electromagnetic gauge field, and ϕ the self-interacting order or Higgs field with charge $q > 0$, mass m_ϕ and coupling constant λ . As in superconductivity, the mass term is assumed to depend on some external parameter such as temperature T , changing sign at some critical value T_c . Thus we set $m_\phi^2 \equiv \xi_0^{-2}\tau \equiv \xi_0^{-2}(T/T_c - 1)$, where ξ_0 is the length scale of the amplitude fluctuations of the Higgs field.

At the mean-field level, the theory undergoes a transition at $T = T_c$. The high-temperature Coulomb phase is characterized by a zero average of the Higgs field, while in the low-temperature Higgs phase this average is non-zero. For definiteness we consider the London limit, where the Higgs field is assumed to have a constant amplitude $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_0 \exp[i\theta(x)]$. The integration over the phase field θ is easily carried out and results in a mass term $\frac{1}{2}m_A^2 A_\mu^2$ for the gauge field, with $m_A^2 = q^2\phi_0^2$, if we work in the Lorentz gauge $\partial_\mu A_\mu = 0$. If we integrate out also the gauge field in the partition function, we obtain a one-loop effective potential

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\phi_0) = \int_{k < \Lambda} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \ln(k^2 + m_A^2) = -\frac{1}{6\pi}q^3\phi_0^3. \quad (2)$$

The integral is regularized by an UV cutoff Λ , and the UV divergences of the type $\Lambda^3 \log \Lambda$ and $\Lambda\phi_0^2$ are absorbed into the total energy and mass, as usual. The potential $\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\phi_0)$ adds to the tree potential $\mathcal{V}_0 = \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2\phi_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi_0^4$, and results in a first-order phase transition *above* T_c at $T_1 = T_c(1 + \xi_0^2 q^6 / 18\pi^2 \lambda)$, see Fig. 1.

This conclusion has been questioned on various grounds. First of all, there is the experimental accessible smectic-A to nematic phase transition in liquid crystals. De Gennes' theory describing this transition can be mapped onto a Ginzburg-Landau model with the transverse fluctuations of the director field in the smectic-A phase playing the role of the gauge field [4]. The transition is known to have a second-order regime [5]. Furthermore, a lattice simulation carried out by Dasgupta and Halperin [6], employing a duality map introduced by Peskin, Thomas and Stone [7], showed that for small q -values the theory undergoes a second-order transition.

To see the flaw in the argument leading to the previous conclusion, consider the Ginzburg region $|\tau_G| < \lambda^2 \xi_0^2$ around T_c in which amplitude fluctuations of the Higgs field can no longer be ignored. There, the London limit breaks down and the amplitude fluctuations of the Higgs field must be included. They have a mass term $\frac{1}{2}m_H^2\tilde{\phi}^2$ with $m_H = \sqrt{2}|m_\phi|$ the so-called Higgs mass. The ratio of the Ginzburg region to the interval $\tau_1 = T_1/T_c - 1$ in which the first-order jump takes place $\tau_G/\tau_1 \sim \lambda^3/q^6 \sim \kappa^6$ is proportional to the sixth power of the Ginzburg-Landau parameter $\kappa = m_H/\sqrt{2}m_A$. As has been stressed by Kleinert [8], in type-II superconductors where $\kappa > 1/\sqrt{2}$, the first-order jump takes place inside the Ginzburg region, where the amplitude fluctuations around ϕ_0 are no longer smooth and of low energy, but driven by magnetic vortex loops. At the core of a vortex, the Higgs field vanishes making the effective potential (2) unreliable. As will be seen below, these fluctuations increase with increasing κ .

To describe a vortex loop, it is useful to first construct a vortex line with a source at some space-time point z , which acts like magnetic monopole. As such it is accompanied by a Dirac string. The electrodynamics in the presence of a monopole was first described by Dirac [9] who argued that $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - F_{\mu\nu}^P$ plays the role of a physical field strength. The subtracted term

$$F_{\mu\nu}^P(x) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\Phi_0 \int_{L_z} dy_\lambda \delta(x-y) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\Phi_0 \int_{L_z} ds \frac{dy_\lambda}{ds} \delta[x-y(s)], \quad (3)$$

with $\Phi_0 = 2\pi/q$ being the magnetic flux quantum, removes the field of the Dirac string running along some path L_z from the location z of the monopole to infinity.

The field $F_{\mu\nu}^P(x)$ is a gauge field in its own right, with the corresponding gauge transformations changing the string configuration [11].

The monopole density is given by the divergence of the dual field $\tilde{F}_\mu^P = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}^P$, i.e., $\partial_\mu \tilde{F}_\mu^P(x) = \Phi_0 \delta(x-z)$. In the Higgs phase, the Dirac string becomes the core of a vortex [10].

The field equation obtained from the A_μ -dependent terms in the Lagrange den-

sity, $\mathcal{L}_A = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_A^2 A_\mu^2$, is solved by

$$\tilde{F}_\mu(x) = -m_A^2 \int d^3y G(x-y) \tilde{F}_\mu^P(y) + \Phi_0 \partial_\mu G(x-z); \quad G(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{k^2 + m_A^2}, \quad (4)$$

with $G(x)$ the massive propagator. Substituting the solution back into the Lagrange density, we obtain, ignoring the (diverging) monopole self-interaction, the action $S_A = M_V \int_{L_z} ds$ of a relativistic point particle with mass

$$M_V = \frac{1}{2}m_A^2 \Phi_0^2 \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_A^2} \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} m_A^2 \Phi_0^2 \ln \kappa. \quad (5)$$

In deriving this we employed the Lorentz invariance of the theory which allowed us to calculate the mass in the rest frame of the particle, and we took a vortex radius of the order of the coherence length $1/|m_\phi|$. We see that in the Higgs phase, the vortex surrounding the Dirac string acts as the worldline of a point particle. Equation (5) was first obtained by Abrikosov in the context of superconductivity [13]. To see in which regime these excitations are important, we convert the mass M_V into a magnetic field via $H_{c1} = M_V/\Phi_0$, and compare it with the critical field $H_c = \Phi_0 |m_\phi| m_A / 2\sqrt{2}\pi$ which is obtained by equating the tree potential \mathcal{V}_0 to $\frac{1}{2}H_c^2$. This field is a measure of the condensation energy which in turn sets the energy scale. It physically denotes the external field at which a type-I system can no longer resist the magnetic pressure and reverts to the Coulomb phase characterized by a perfect penetration of the field. The ratio of the two fields $H_{c1}/H_c = \ln \kappa / \sqrt{2}\kappa$ shows that for increasing κ , vortices become easier to excite.

The field $V(L_z)$ describing the vortex is easily inferred by noting that in the functional-integral approach, a given field configuration is weighed by a factor e^{-S} . The \tilde{F}_μ^P -dependent terms then defines the vortex field [14]: $V(L_z) = \exp[\int d^3x (\frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}_\mu^P + \tilde{F}_\mu \tilde{F}_\mu^P)]$. The Poisson bracket $\{F_{0i}(t, \mathbf{x}), A_j(t, \mathbf{y})\} = -\delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$, stating that F_{0i} is canonical conjugate to A_i , implies that the vortex field and, thus, the point particle carries one flux quantum as charge: $\{V, \Phi\} = \Phi_0 V$. We shall henceforth refer to the point particles as fluxons. The symmetry generated by the magnetic flux $\Phi = \int d^2x \tilde{F}_0$ is a global U(1) symmetry which is to be distinguished from the local U(1) gauge symmetry. As has been pointed out by Kovner, Rosenstein and collaborators [15], although the flux symmetry is of topological nature, the corresponding current \tilde{F}_μ being trivially conserved when expressed in terms of the gauge field, it is apparently not devoid of physical relevance. The central point of the dual formulation of the Abelian Higgs model is to describe the fluxons by a field theory where the flux symmetry becomes an ordinary Noether symmetry with a current whose conservation follows upon invoking the field equations.

Let us proceed to calculate the correlation function $\langle V(L_z)V^*(L_{\bar{z}}) \rangle$ where $V^*(L_{\bar{z}})$ describes an antimonopole located at \bar{z} and its accompanying Dirac string. In the Lorentz gauge, we have

$$\langle V(L_z)V^*(L_{\bar{z}}) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left(\tilde{F}_\mu^2 + m_A^2 A_\mu^2 \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

where now $\partial_\mu \tilde{F}_\mu(x) = \rho(x)$, with monopole density $\rho(x) = \Phi_0[\delta(x-z) - \delta(x-\bar{z})]$. Equation (6) can be evaluated directly by integrating out the gauge field. For later convenience, however, we first linearize the Maxwell term via a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation so that $-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}_\mu^2 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}h_\mu^2 + ih_\mu \tilde{F}_\mu$. The physical relevance of the auxiliary field becomes apparent when considering its field equation, $h_\mu = i\tilde{F}_\mu$, showing that it represents the dual field. In this case, where the dual field is described directly by h_μ and not via an unphysical gauge potential, the flux symmetry is no longer a topological symmetry. The correlation function becomes

$$\langle V(L_z)V^*(L_{\bar{z}}) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}h_\mu \exp \left\{ - \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4m_A^2} (\partial_\mu h_\nu - \partial_\nu h_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{2} h_\mu^2 + ih_\mu \tilde{F}_\mu^P \right] \right\}, \quad (7)$$

showing that the magnetic vortex couples with a charge $g = \Phi_0 m_A$ to the massive vector field h_μ (the factor Φ_0 is hidden in the definition of the dual field \tilde{F}_μ^P , while the mass factor arises after rescaling the vector field so that the kinetic term has the usual coefficient 1/4). The remaining integral in (7) is Gaussian and can be easily carried out to yield:

$$\langle V(L_z)V^*(L_{\bar{z}}) \rangle = \exp \left(\frac{\pi e^{-m_A |L_{z\bar{z}}|}}{q^2 |L_{z\bar{z}}|} \right) e^{-M_V |L_{z\bar{z}}|}. \quad (8)$$

In the Higgs phase, where the fluxon mass is nonzero, the second factor dominates and the correlation function tends to zero in the limit where the monopole-antimonopole pair is taken very far apart, so that the length of the vortex connecting the pair $|L_{z\bar{z}}|$ tends to infinity. This physically represents monopole confinement. In the Coulomb phase, where m_A and therefore the fluxon mass is zero, we have on the other hand $\langle V(L_z)V^*(L_{\bar{z}}) \rangle \rightarrow 1$. On account of the cluster property of correlation functions, this implies that the vortex field develops a vacuum expectation value, thus signaling a proliferation of magnetic vortices. It should be noted that it is the Coulomb phase and not the Higgs phase where $V(L_z)$ develops an expectation value. It follows that the vortex field can be used to distinguish the two phases, i.e., it is an order parameter—or better, a disorder parameter [16].

It is instructive to consider the limit $m_A \rightarrow 0$ directly in (7). Because of its

diverging coefficient, the first term must vanish, i.e., we have $h_\mu = \partial_\mu \gamma$, and

$$\langle V(L_z) V^*(L_{\bar{z}}) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\gamma \exp \left\{ \int d^3x \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \gamma)^2 + i\gamma \rho \right] \right\}. \quad (9)$$

This shows that in the Coulomb phase, $V(L_z)$ is represented as a normal field $V(L_z) = \exp[i\Phi_0 \gamma(z)]$ and not via a singular field \tilde{F}_μ^P . Apparently, the Dirac string has become irrelevant here. The massless scalar field appearing in (9) is the Goldstone field of the broken global U(1) flux symmetry. The corresponding current $j_\mu = \partial_\mu \gamma$ is no longer trivially conserved, but rather has become a Noether current whose conservation follows upon employing the field equation $\partial^2 \gamma = 0$. Physically, γ represents the massless photon of the Coulomb phase. Since in two space and one time dimension the photon has only one transverse direction and thus only one degree of freedom, it can be represented by a scalar field.

We are now in a position to write down the dual theory which physically describes a grand canonical ensemble of fluctuating closed magnetic vortices, of arbitrary length and shape. We will refer to such an ensemble as a loop gas. A neutral loop gas can be described by a massive scalar field theory with a repulsive two-particle interaction $u|\psi|^4$, accounting for the steric repulsion between vortices. In our case, the vortices interact in addition with the massive vector field h_μ , with coupling constant $g = \Phi_0 m_A$. This gives as dual theory [16, 15, 1]

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{4m_A^2} (\partial_\mu h_\nu - \partial_\nu h_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{2} h_\mu^2 + |(\partial_\mu - i\Phi_0 h_\mu) \psi|^2 + m_\psi^2 |\psi|^2 + u|\psi|^4, \quad (10)$$

where the ψ -field is a *disorder field* whose Feynman diagrams are direct spacetime pictures of the fluctuating vortex loops in the original system (1) [16]. It is minimally coupled to the magnetic field h_μ . The dual theory describes the system directly in terms of physical degrees of freedom; there is no local gauge symmetry. The old mass result (5) appears in the dual theory as a one-loop contribution to the self-energy of the scalar field ψ . The interaction strength of h_μ is determined by the dimensionless ratio g^2/m_A , which is the inverse of that of the gauge field, $g^2/m_A \sim m_A/q^2$. This is a common feature of mutually dual theories. It is one of the reasons why the dual theory is more easily dealt with than the original Higgs model, where there is no IR fixed point in the weak-coupling regime, leaving only the strong-coupling regime for a second-order phase transition.

Before carrying out the renormalization program we note that in the dual theory no cubic term is generated when the vector field is integrated out [8]. More precisely, repeating the steps leading to the effective potential $\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\phi)$, Eq. (2), we obtain now

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi) = \int_{k < \Lambda} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \ln(k^2 + m_A^2 + 2g^2|\psi|^2) = -\frac{1}{6\pi} (m_A^2 + 2g^2|\psi|^2)^{3/2}. \quad (11)$$

This has a Taylor expansion in $|\psi|^2$ and, in contrast to the previous case, no cubic term is generated in the Higgs phase characterized by a non-zero vector field mass. Since $g = \phi_0 m_A$, the effective potential $\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\psi)$ vanishes as we approach the critical point by letting $m_A \rightarrow 0$. Thus the massive vector field decouples from the ψ -field representing the vortices, and the Coulomb-Higgs phase transition reduces to a pure $|\psi|^4$ -theory, which is known to undergo a second-order transition.

We now carry out the renormalization program, and write the bare theory (10) as a sum of the renormalized Lagrange density and counter terms

$$\delta\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = Z_\psi |(\partial_\mu - ig h_\mu Z_g/Z_\psi)\psi|^2 - |(\partial_\mu - ig h_\mu)\psi|^2 + (Z_\psi m_{\psi,0}^2 - m_\psi^2)|\psi|^2 + u(Z_u - 1)|\psi|^4 + \frac{1}{4}(Z_h - 1)(\partial_\mu h_\nu - \partial_\nu h_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Z_h m_{A,0}^2 - m_A^2)h_\mu^2, \quad (12)$$

where $h_\mu = Z_h^{-1/2}h_{0,\mu}$, $g = Z_g^{-1}Z_\psi Z_h^{1/2}g_0$, $\psi = Z_\psi^{-1/2}\psi_0$, and $u = Z_u^{-1}Z_\psi^2 u_0$. [All quantities appearing in (10) have been given an index 0 to indicate that they refer to bare quantities.] An explicit one-loop calculation [1], which uses the fixed-dimension approach of Parisi [17], revealed that $Z_g = Z_\psi$, so that the minimal coupling is preserved at the one-loop order, and also that the mass term did not receive a correction, so that $m_A = Z_h^{1/2}m_{A,0}$. Both these statements remain true to all orders in perturbation theory on account of the Ward identity known from QED which also operates in the massive vector case. As a result, both the mass and coupling constant of the dual theory renormalize in the same way, and they are linked via the equation $g = (2\pi/q)m_A$, with the electric charge q a free parameter which does not renormalize in the dual formulation.

The double role played by m_A as both a mass parameter and a coupling constant is essential to our renormalization group analysis [1]. We assume, as suggested by the first-loop calculation, that the phase transition is driven by the proliferation of vortices as signaled by a non-zero expectation value of the ψ -field. On account of the relation $g = \Phi_0 m_A$, we can cast the β -function $\beta_\psi(\hat{g}^2, \hat{u}) := \partial\hat{g}^2/\partial\ln(m_\psi)|_0$, with $\hat{u} := u/m_\psi$ and the index 0 indicating that g_0 and u_0 are kept fixed, of the properly scaled coupling constant squared, $\hat{g}^2 := g^2/m_\psi$, in the form

$$\beta_\psi(\hat{g}^2, \hat{u}) = \hat{g}^2 \left[-1 + \frac{\partial\ln(\hat{g}^2)}{\partial\ln(m_\psi)} \right] \Big|_0 = \hat{g}^2 \left[-1 + \frac{\partial\ln(m_{A,0}^2)}{\partial\ln(m_\psi)} + \frac{\partial\ln(Z_h)}{\partial\ln(m_A)} \frac{\partial\ln(m_A)}{\partial\ln(m_\psi)} \right] \Big|_0.$$

Since $m_{A,0}^2 \sim m_{\psi,0}^2 \sim \tau$, the second term at the right-hand side is the inverse of the correlation-length exponent ν , which indicates how fast the renormalized mass m_ψ tends to zero, $m_\psi \sim \tau^\nu$. The last term contains the $\gamma_h(\hat{g}^2, \hat{u})$ -function, $\partial\ln(Z_h)/\partial\ln(m_A)|_0$ which yields the anomalous dimension η_h of the vector field




Figure 2: The β -function of the dual theory (left figure), showing that the origin is an IR fixed point. For comparison, the expected form of the β -function of the Higgs model (right figure) is included.

when evaluated at the fixed point. That is,

$$\beta_\psi(\hat{g}^2, \hat{u}) = \hat{g}^2 \left[-1 + \frac{1}{\nu} + \gamma_h(\hat{g}^2, \hat{u}) \frac{\partial \ln(m_A)}{\partial \ln(m_\psi)} \Big|_0 \right]. \quad (13)$$

Without the double role played by m_A , the coefficient of the \hat{g}^2 term in the $\beta_\psi(\hat{g}^2, \hat{u})$ -function would be -1 , implying that the origin $\hat{g} = 0$ is an UV fixed point. Here, however, the coefficient is $-1 + 1/\nu$ which is positive if $\nu < 1$. In $3D$, where $\nu \approx \frac{2}{3}$, this is the case, meaning that the origin $\hat{g}^2 = 0$ is IR stable and the massive vector field decouples, see Fig. 2. Hence, we are left with the pure $|\psi|^4$ -theory, just as we saw by expanding (11).

This situation differs sharply from that in the original formulation, where the coupling q to the electromagnetic gauge field is assumed to possess a perturbatively inaccessible IR fixed point away from the origin, see Fig. 2. The Gaussian fixed point $\hat{g}^* = \hat{u}^* = 0$ is a tricritical point of the Higgs model whose existence and location was first established by Kleinert [8]. At this point, where the second-order transition changes into a first-order one, the vortices are completely free.

We conclude that the Coulomb-Higgs phase transition constitutes a new universality class. Whereas the disorder field behaves as in a pure $|\psi|^4$ -theory, the exponents of the magnetic field retain their mean-field values.

Up to recently, this problem was of mere academic interest because the temperature resolution needed to probe the Ginzburg region could not be realized experimentally. However, in various high- T_c materials, this region has become fairly large $|\tau_G| \sim 10^{-2}$, and experimentally accessible. Experiments just carried out by Lin *et al.* [18] on YBaCuO seem to confirm our predictions. They clearly show the mean-field behavior of the magnetic penetration depth. Earlier measurements of the specific heat had established the XY -model-like behavior of the heat capacity [19].

References

- [1] M. Kiometzis and A. M. J. Schakel, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B 7**, 4271 (1993); M. Kiometzis, H. Kleinert, and A. M. J. Schakel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1975 (1994); Fortschr. Phys. **43**, 697 (1995).
- [2] B. I. Halperin, T. C. Lubensky and S. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 292 (1974).
- [3] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 1888 (1973).
- [4] B. I. Halperin and T. C. Lubensky, Solid State Comm. **14**, 997 (1974).
- [5] J. Thoen, H. Marynissen, and W. Van Dael, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 204 (1984).
- [6] C. Dasgupta and B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1556 (1981).
- [7] M. Peskin, Ann. Phys. **113**, 122 (1978); P. R. Thomas and M. Stone, Nucl. Phys. B **144**, 513 (1978)
- [8] H. Kleinert, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **35**, 405 (1982); Phys. Lett. B **128**, 69 (1983).
- [9] P. A. M. Dirac, Phys. Rev. **74**, 817 (1948).
- [10] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 4262 (1974).
- [11] H. Kleinert, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 4693 (1992); Phys. Lett. B **246**, 127 (1990).
- [12] H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. B **293**, 168 (1992).
- [13] A. A. Abrikosov, Sov. Phys. JETP **5**, 1174 (1957).
- [14] F. Z. Ezawa, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 2091 (1978).
- [15] A. Kovner, B. Rosenstein, and D. Eliezer, Mod. Phys. Lett A **5**, 2733 (1990); Nucl. Phys. B **350**, 325 (1991); A. Kovner and B. Rosenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1490 (1991); Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 7419 (1992); A. Kovner, P. Kurzepa, and B. Rosenstein, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 8**, 1343 (1993).
- [16] H. Kleinert, *Gauge Fields in Condensed Matter* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) Vol. 1.
- [17] G. Parisi, Lectures presented at the Cargèse Summer School 1973 (unpublished); J. Stat. Phys. **23**, 49 (1980).
- [18] Z. H. Lin *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **32**, 573 (1995).
- [19] N. Overend, M. A. Howson, and I. D. Lawrie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3238 (1994).