

# Casimir Effect - The Classical Limit

M. Revzen and A. Mann

*Department of Physics, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel*

## Abstract

The temperature dependence of the Casimir effect for the radiation field confined between two conducting plates is analysed. The Casimir energy is shown to decline exponentially with temperature while the Casimir entropy which is defined in the text is shown to approach a limit which depends only on the geometry of the constraining plates. The results are discussed in terms of the relation between the Bose distribution function and the equipartition theorem - a relation based on a study by Einstein and Stern circa 1913.

# 1 Introduction

The Casimir effect involves the difference between the energy of a field subject to some constraining boundaries and the energy of the field with such constraints removed. Although Casimir [1] introduced this consideration long ago, in 1948, the study of this effect enjoys currently considerable popularity [2, 3, 4, 5]. Indeed this journal included very recently an exposition on the effect [6]. The experimental status of the effect was tenuous until 1997 with the report of vindication of the theoretical prediction to an accuracy of a few percent [7]. The reason for this continuous interest is not hard to find: in this effect we have a direct demonstration of the existence of the so called “vacuum fluctuations” or “zero point energy”. Thus the original effect was a prediction that two parallel conducting plates will experience mutual attraction in vacuum (at zero temperature,  $T = 0$ ), caused by modification of the allowed modes due to the presence of the plates. Remarkably the attractive force is independent of the coupling of the electromagnetic (EM) field to matter (viz., the electronic charge,  $e$ ) and is proportional to the velocity of light,  $c$  and Planck’s constant,  $h$ . Today, of course, we understand that every quantized field exhibits fluctuations even at its lowest (vacuum) state and these fluctuations sample the allowed modes. Furthermore, whereas the original effect related to modified boundary conditions, more recent works (e.g., Schwinger as cited in [8]) led to studies of bulk (volume) Casimir effect (where we consider the forces on a sample because it is different from its environment, e.g., through having a different dielectric constant) and to the dynamical Casimir effect where time is involved.

Another direction for Casimir effect studies is its extensions to finite temperatures. Again the simplest case deals with modified modes of the EM field due to the presence of conductors as boundaries but now each mode is thermally occupied in addition to it being sampled through vacuum fluctuations. This also leads to an effective force between the plates. Finite temperature studies were carried out by several authors [9]. Yet, to our knowledge, the classical limit of the effect, even for the EM field, has not been clarified to date. This paper addresses this problem.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section, section 2, contains a review - hopefully self contained - of the Casimir effect problem in its simplest form, viz., radiation field confined between two conducting plates. This section includes the exact solution for the Casimir energy, free energy and entropy [10]. These are displayed in a figure which gives the behavior of these quantities for all temperatures. The succeeding section, Section 3, includes the central point of the paper, viz., an exposition of the classical limit and a study of its implications. Section 4 is devoted to the demonstration that the classical solution is “robust” - by this we mean that a naive high temperature expansion for the Casimir energy doesn’t have any nonvanishing corrections to the classical value. In the conclusions which are given in Section 5 we review the old (cf. [2]) argument for the existence of zero point fluctuations as viewed from our vantage point.

## 2 The Casimir Effect

Evaluation and definition of the Casimir energy at zero temperature due to vacuum fluctuations for the case under study here is given in several texts and reviews (e.g., [2, 3, 11, 12]). Our presentation therefore, although aspiring for self containment, is somewhat sketchy. We consider the radiation field confined between two conducting plates. The size of the plates edge is  $L$ . The first plate is placed at  $z = 0$  in the  $XY$  plane, and the second at  $z = d$  parallel to the  $XY$  plane.  $L \gg d$ . (In fact we are interested in  $L \rightarrow \infty$  while  $d$  remains finite.) The energy, i.e. the expectation value of the Hamiltonian, tied down in zero point fluctuations in the mode  $k$  is, in obvious notation ( $k$  includes the polarization and the zero in the argument relates to  $T = 0$ ),

$$E_k(0) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_k = \frac{1}{2} \hbar c |k|. \quad (1)$$

The total energy density of the EM field with the conducting plates as boundaries is ( $k_{||}$  is the magnitude of the wave vector parallel to the plates [12])

$$\frac{E(d, T=0)}{L^2 d} = \frac{\sum_k E_k}{L^2 d} = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi d} \int_0^\infty k_{||} dk_{||} \left[ \frac{k_{||}}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^\infty |k_m| \right] \quad (2)$$

$$k_{||}^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2; \quad k_m^2 = k_{||}^2 + \frac{m^2 \pi^2}{d^2}; \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The energy density, in dimensionless units,  $\varepsilon(d, 0)$ , is given by [10]

$$\frac{E(d, 0)}{L^2 d} = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi^2} \frac{\pi^4}{d^4} \varepsilon(d, 0) \equiv D \varepsilon(d, 0); \quad (3)$$

$$\varepsilon(d, 0) = \int_0^\infty x dx \left[ \frac{x}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sqrt{x^2 + m^2} \right]. \quad (4)$$

The equation above defines  $D$  whose dimension is energy density. The energy density due to vacuum fluctuations of the radiation field in an arbitrarily large volume,  $V$  (e.g.,  $V = L^3$ ) - which serves as the reference, unconstrained, system is

$$\frac{E(\infty, 0)}{V} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_k = D \varepsilon(\infty, 0), \quad (5)$$

$$\varepsilon(\infty, 0) = \int x dx \int dm \sqrt{x^2 + m^2},$$

The Casimir energy density, at  $T = 0$ , in dimensionless units, is given by

$$\varepsilon_c(0) = \varepsilon(d, 0) - \varepsilon(\infty, 0). \quad (6)$$

Both  $\varepsilon(d, 0)$  and  $\varepsilon(\infty, 0)$  diverge.  $\varepsilon_c$  is commonly [1, 2, 11] evaluated by a physically justifiable regularization technique. Thus a wave vector dependent function,  $r(k/k_c)$ , is introduced into the above integrals such that for  $k \gg k_c$   $r \rightarrow 0$  while  $r \rightarrow 1$  for  $k \ll k_c$  thereby rendering the integrals convergent. A simple choice is ( $\alpha = 1/k_c$ ),

$$r(\alpha k) = \exp[-\alpha k].$$

$\alpha$  is allowed to go to zero at the end of the calculations - for all the terms together - thus the sum is “regularized”. The details of the calculations will not be given here (cf. [11, 12]). This issue is further discussed in section 4. The result for our case is

$$\varepsilon_c(0) = -\frac{4}{(2\pi)^4} \zeta(4), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\zeta(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^n}.$$

The force per unit area,  $\mathcal{F}/L^2$ , between the plates is now calculable from the regularized Casimir energy, Eq. 7, yielding, after reverting to physical dimensionality,

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}}{L^2} = -\frac{\delta E_c}{L^2 \delta d} = -\frac{\delta}{L^2 \delta d} [E(d, 0) - E(\infty, 0)] = -\frac{\pi \hbar c}{240 d^4}. \quad (8)$$

thereby yielding the well known Casimir force [1].

The finite temperature problem is quite similar. Now the zero point energy is supplemented by the thermal energy. Thus the (average) energy tied down in the mode labeled by  $k$  is

$$E_k(T) = (1/2)\hbar\omega_k + \frac{\hbar\omega_k}{\exp(\beta\hbar\omega_k) - 1} = \frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2} \coth\left[\frac{\beta\hbar\omega_k}{2}\right]. \quad (9)$$

Correspondingly,

$$\frac{E(d, T)}{L^2 d} = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi d} \int k_{||} dk_{||} \left[ \frac{|k_{||}|}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega(k_{||})}{2}\right) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |k_m| \coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega(k_m)}{2}\right) \right]. \quad (10)$$

Returning to our dimensionless units we may write the energy density as a sum of a zero temperature part plus a temperature dependent part:

$$\varepsilon(d, T) = \varepsilon(d, 0) + u'(d, T), \quad (11)$$

$$u'(d, T) = \frac{f(0)}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f(m), \quad (12)$$

$$f(m) = \int_m^{\infty} dy y^2 n(y, T),$$

$$n(y, T) = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{T_c}{T} y) - 1}, \quad k_B T_c = \hbar c \frac{\pi}{d}. \quad (13)$$

The corresponding expressions for the unconstrained system are

$$\varepsilon(\infty, T) = \varepsilon(\infty, 0) + u'(\infty, T), \quad (14)$$

with

$$u'(\infty, T) = \int_0^{\infty} dm f(m).$$

Evaluating the sum in Eq. 12 via the Poisson summation formula [11, 10] gives ( $\mu = 2\pi m$ )

$$\varepsilon_c(T) \equiv \varepsilon(d, T) - \varepsilon(\infty, T) = -4t^3 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu} \coth(t\mu) \operatorname{csch}^2(t\mu), \quad t = \pi \frac{T}{T_c}. \quad (15)$$

For  $t \ll 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_c \rightarrow \varepsilon_c(0)$ .

The finite temperature Casimir energy,  $\varepsilon_c(t)$ , i.e. the expectation value of the Hamiltonian of the constrained system with the that of the unconstrained subtracted from it, is displayed in Fig.1. The

relevant free energy was calculated by several authors [9]. We outline the procedure as follows: The partition function (for one mode) is

$$Z_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp[-\beta \hbar \omega_k (n + 1/2)] = \frac{\exp[-\beta \hbar \omega_k / 2]}{1 - \exp[-\beta \hbar \omega_k]}, \quad (16)$$

and hence the free energy for the mode labeled by  $k$  is

$$F_k = -k_B T \ln Z_k = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_k + k_B T \ln(1 - \exp[-\beta \hbar \omega_k]), \quad (17)$$

Hence the expression for the free energy density of the constrained system is,

$$\frac{F(d, T)}{L^2 d} = \frac{E(d, 0)}{L^2 d} + k_B T \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi d} \int k_{||} dk_{||} \left[ \ln(1 - e^{-\beta \hbar c k_{||}}) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta \hbar c k_m}) \right]. \quad (18)$$

A corresponding equation holds for the unconstrained system thereby leading to the dimensionless expression for the Casimir free energy density,  $\phi_c$ , given by

$$\frac{F_c(T)}{L^2 d} = D \phi_c(t), \quad (19)$$

where  $D$  is defined by Eq. 3, and (detailed derivation is given in the appendix),

$$\phi_c(t) = -2t \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu^3} \left[ \coth(t\mu) + (t\mu) \operatorname{csch}^2(t\mu) \right]. \quad (20)$$

The Casimir free energy,  $\phi_c(t)$ , is displayed in Fig. 1. For  $t \ll 1$ ,  $\phi_c(t) \rightarrow \varepsilon_c(0)$ , as it should.

At this juncture it is natural to consider Casimir's Entropy [10]. This entropy,  $\sigma_c(t)$ , in our dimensionless units is defined by

$$\phi_c(t) = \varepsilon_c(t) - t \sigma_c(t). \quad (21)$$

$-\sigma_c(t)$  is displayed in Fig. 1 (note the negative sign). At high temperatures,  $t \gg 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_c(t)$  falls off exponentially with  $t$ ,  $\sigma_c(t)$  approaches a constant value (independent of  $t$  and, of course, of  $\hbar$ ) while  $\phi_c(t)$  becomes proportional to  $t$ . Reexpressing these results in standard physical dimensions we get, at this limit,  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$E_c \rightarrow 0, \quad F_c \rightarrow -T S_c, \quad S_c \rightarrow \frac{\zeta(3)}{2^3 \pi} \left( \frac{L^2}{d^2} \right). \quad (22)$$

Note that the entropy is proportional to the area of the plates scaled by  $d^2$  ( $d$  is the plates' separation). We thus conclude that in the classical limit (high temperatures) the Casimir force is purely entropic.




Figure 1: Casimir's Energy  $\varepsilon_c$  (a), Free Energy  $\phi_c$  (b), and Entropy  $-\sigma_c$  (c) as a function of temperature,  $t$ .

### 3 The Classical Limit - Discussion

An intuitive understanding of the vanishing of the Casimir energy for  $T \gg T_c$  ( cf. Fig. 1) may be gained via the following reasoning. Loosely speaking [6] the number of normal modes (per unit volume) in our confined system is unchanged upon changing  $d$  (in the case under study). (A proof of this, based on regularization, is given in the next section.) Thus moving the walls adiabatically leads to shifts in the levels - not to appearance (or disappearance) of levels (modes). This (coupled with the classically valid equipartitioning of energy, which means that each harmonic oscillator- like mode holds  $k_B T$  amount of energy) implies that the energy density, in this classical limit, is unchanged upon changing  $d$ . i.e., in the classical limit, *defined* by the validity of the Rayleigh Jeans (RJ) law or the equipartitioning of the energy [14], the Casimir energy is nil. This, since it is defined as the difference in the energy (density) between the constrained ( $d \ll L$ ) and the unconstrained ( $d \sim L$ ) cases and we have just argued that there exists (intuitive) one to one correspondence between the levels regardless of the size of  $d$ . Thus we have, in conformity with the result of the exact calculations as exhibited in Fig. 1, that,

$$\lim_{\frac{T}{T_c} \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_c(d, T) = 0. \quad (23)$$

In discussions of black body radiation, Kirchhoff's law (that the ratio of emissivity to absorptivity of all bodies is a universal function of the wavelength,  $\lambda$  and the temperature,  $T$ ) is used [2] to infer that  $U$ , the total electromagnetic ( $EM$ ) energy in a cavity at thermal equilibrium is a function of  $T$  only,  $U = U(T)$  with  $U$  the thermodynamic internal energy. We see from our discussion above that this is true only for  $T \gg T_c$  where  $T_c$  is a characteristic temperature of the cavity (cf. Eq. 13). (e.g., at  $T = 0$  it is, strictly speaking, never true.) For this reason we refer to Eq. 23 as "Kirchhoff's theorem". We remark that the RJ result can be readily obtained within classical physics [14] and hence our

definition of classical limit as the one where the equipartition theorem holds is a reasonable one.

The entropy,  $S$ , as a function of the energy,  $E$ , of a cavity (i.e., a constrained system), is given by (e.g., [13])

$$S(E) = -k_B \ln \Sigma(E), \quad (24)$$

where  $\Sigma(E)$  is the number of states with energies less than or equal to  $E$ . In evaluating the Casimir entropy in the classical limit (which is the difference in the entropies, at high energies, of the entropies of the constrained and the “free” systems) we expect the dominant contribution, the “volume term” [8], to cancel. This is because at these energies the dominant contribution is from the short wavelength modes ( $\lambda \sim 1/T$ ). These are insensitive to the boundaries when the dimension of the cavity much exceeds these wavelengths [15]. The contribution to the Casimir entropy, then, is essentially determined by the long wavelengths which are geometry dependent, i.e., which relate to the “shape” of the cavity and is independent of temperature. It follows then that the Casimir *free* energy is proportional to the temperature. This reasoning is born out by the explicit calculational results. Hence we see that this, viz., the *free* energy being proportional to  $T$ , is not at all related to the RJ law - the latter relates to the energy.

We are now in a position to interpret the zero point energy (zpe) as a contribution necessary to assure that at high temperatures, the energy,  $U$ , is a function of  $T$  only as, indeed, was noted long ago (1913) by Einstein and Stern ([2], p.2): without it the energy will depend on the boundaries. Alternatively, if we assume the validity of “Kirchhoff’s theorem” at high temperatures, we may deduce the zero temperature Casimir energy as follows.

Let the energy per mode,  $k$ , of the radiation field be written as ( $T_c$  refers to the constraints, if present)

$$u(k, T; T_c) = u(k, 0; T_c) + u'(k, T; T_c) \quad (25)$$

where  $u'$  is the energy held in the mode without the zpe. For an allowed mode,  $k$ :

$$u'(k, T; T_c) = \frac{\hbar\omega_k}{\exp(\beta\hbar\omega_k) - 1}. \quad (26)$$

The Casimir energy is then,

$$\varepsilon_c(T; T_c) = \sum_k u(k, T; T_c) - \sum_k u(k, T). \quad (27)$$

Use of Eq. 25 ( i.e., separating the thermal energy from the vacuum’s) and Kirchhoff’s theorem ( Eq. 23) implies,

$$\lim_{T/T_c \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_k u'(k, T; T_c) - \sum_k u'(k, T) + \varepsilon_c(0) \right] = 0. \quad (28)$$

with  $\varepsilon_c(0)$  being the Casimir energy at zero temperature. Hence

$$\lim_{T/T_c \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_k u(k, T; T_c) - \sum_k u(k, T) \right] = -\varepsilon_c(0). \quad (29)$$

Now both terms in the square bracket are readily calculable - their temperature dependence assures convergence - and thus yield the Casimir energy at  $T = 0$  (  $\varepsilon_c(0)$  ) without recourse to a (perhaps) objectionable regularization scheme.

## 4 Robustness of the Classical Limit

In this section we evaluate the Casimir energy through a power series expansion in  $t$  ( $t \equiv \pi \frac{T}{T_c}$ ). This scheme requires regularization for each term in the expansion. The result is that, within such an expansion, Kirchhoff's theorem is exact. i.e. the Casimir energy vanishes to all orders in this high temperature expansion. This is interpreted as implying that the classical equipartition theorem is robust - the classical approximation, once taken, is exact to within power series corrections. We hasten to add that this is yet another example of incorrect handling of infinities which are further discussed at the end of this section.

Let us return to the expression for the Casimir energy density at finite temperature, Eqs. 10 and 15, which, in our dimensionless units are summarized by ( $x_m = \sqrt{x^2 + m^2}$ ),

$$\varepsilon_c(t) = \int_0^\infty x dx \left[ \frac{x}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\pi x}{2t}\right) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty x_m \coth\left(\frac{\pi x_m}{2t}\right) - \int_0^\infty dm \coth\left(\frac{\pi x_m}{2t}\right) \right]. \quad (30)$$

We now adjunct to each of the above integrals the “standard” [11, 12] cutoff function,

$$f = \exp[-\alpha x_m],$$

assuring thereby the convergence of the integrals. ( We are interested in the  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  limit.) We note that such regularization scheme is justifiable on physical grounds as follows [11, 12, 3]. The conductivity is, in general, a function of frequency. Indeed, all metallic conductors are effectively transparent to radiation with wave lengths comparable to the interatomic spacing,  $a$ . Hence the integrals (and summations) over wavenumbers,  $k$ , are limited to  $k \leq k_c \sim 1/a$ , i.e., for wavenumbers  $|k| > k_c$  the plates do not provide a boundary and hence the Casimir energy for  $k > k_c$  evidently vanishes. Assuming the validity of the “standard” regularization scheme (each integrand is multiplied by a cutoff function assuring the convergence of the integrals) we may expand [16], p.35,

$$\coth z = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{z}{3} - \frac{z^3}{45} \dots \frac{2^{2n} B_{2n}}{(2n)!} z^{2n-1}; \quad B_{2n} \text{ are Bernoulli numbers.} \quad (31)$$

The evaluation of  $\varepsilon_c(t)$  reduces to the evaluation of terms like [10]

$$g_p = \int_0^\infty x dx \frac{x^p}{2} f(x) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_m^\infty x dx x^p f(x) - \int_0^\infty dm \int_m^\infty x dx x^p f(x). \quad (32)$$

The cutoff parameter,  $\alpha$ , is set equal to zero at the end of the calculations; the result is

$$g_p = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^{p+1}}{d\alpha^{p+1}} \left[ \frac{1}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{\alpha}{\exp(\alpha) - 1} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \right].$$

Noting that [16], p.1076,

$$\frac{y}{\exp(y) - 1} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{B_n y^n}{(n)!},$$

we get  $g_p = 0$  for  $p$  even, and for  $p$  odd,

$$g_p = \frac{B_{p+1}}{(p+3)(p+2)} \quad \text{for } p = n - 3.$$

Returning to our expression, Eq. 30, we see that upon substituting Eq. 31 only terms with  $p$  even occur, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_c(T) = 0$ . This confirms the exponential decay of the Casimir energy with temperature



that is implied by Eq. 15, and depicted in Fig. 1. (The case of  $p = 0$  will be recognized as the sum over the constrained number of modes, per unit volume, with the unconstrained number deducted therefrom. That the result is nil constitutes a proof, based on a particular regularization scheme, of our assertion (Section 3) that the number of modes, per unit volume, is unchanged upon varying the plates' separation, d.)

This “robustness” of the classical (erroneous) solution is worthy of note and it implies that the correct expression can't be obtained from the wrong one by analytic (power series) means.

## 5 Concluding Remarks

This paper gives the classical - here the high temperature - limit of the Casimir effect. The case studied was the simplest and historically the first considered - viz. the radiation field confined between two large (in the limit - infinite) parallel conducting plates separated by a relatively short distance, d. We argued that in the classical limit (defined to be temperatures such that the Rayleigh Jeans, i.e. energy equipartition, theorem holds) “Kirchhoff's theorem” is valid - i.e. the energy density of the radiation field is a function of the temperature only and this implies that, in this limit, the Casimir energy vanishes. We showed that the zero point energy is required to assure the validity of “Kirchhoff's theorem” in the classical limit. Alternatively, assuming the validity of the theorem allows the evaluation of the Casimir energy at  $T = 0$  without recourse to any regularization scheme. We noted, following reference [2], that these results were anticipated by Einstein and Stern in 1913, prior to the formulation of quantum mechanics and quantum field theory. Thus these authors noted that the high temperature expansion of what we now term Bose distribution function is ( $\beta\hbar\omega \ll 1$ )

$$\frac{\hbar\omega}{\exp(\beta\hbar\omega) - 1} \rightarrow k_B T - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega + O(1/T).$$

Thus we have here a temperature independent term which contributes to the total energy. Its cancellation, i.e., the validity of “Kirchhoff's theorem” in our presentation, requires a positive zero point energy,  $+\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$ . Thus the removal of the zero point energy by considering a “normally” ordered Hamiltonian does not eliminate the need for zero point energy. It (the zero point energy) is seen, ala the Einstein and Stern result as discussed above, to be required for the correct classical limit or, in our terminology, for the validity of “Kirchhoff's theorem”. This stems here from the form of the Bose distribution rather than the Hamiltonian.

The evaluation of the (Casimir) free energy in the classical limit led to showing that the Casimir entropy, which is defined in a natural way in the text, is (in this limit) temperature independent constant and reflects finite volume corrections in statistical physics. Thereby the proportionality of the free energy to the temperature,  $T$  that is well known [7] is seen not to be related to the Rayleigh Jeans limit [17] but rather is a consequence of the perhaps interesting result that the Casimir force in the classical limit is purely entropic - in fact geometric. We have demonstrated that the classical limit results, i.e., at temperatures high enough to warrant the applicability of the Rayleigh Jean's law are “robust” in the sense that (naively) it leads to vanishing corrections.

**Acknowledgements:**

This work was supported by GIF – German–Israeli Foundation for Research and Development, the Fund for promotion of Research at the Technion, and the Technion VPR Fund - Promotion of Sponsored Research. Special thanks are due to our colleagues Constantin Brif, Hiroshi Ezawa, Koichi Nakamura, Lev Pitaevski, Giuseppe Vitiello and Joshua Zak for informative comments.

**Appendix:** Derivation of Equation (20).

For  $k_{||} = \frac{\pi}{d}x$ ,  $\eta = T_c/T$  the expression for  $\frac{F(d,T)}{L^2d} - \frac{E(d,0)}{L^2d}$  is,

$$k_B T \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{\pi}{d} \right)^3 \left[ \int \frac{1}{2} x dx \ln(1 - e^{-\eta x}) + \sum_m \int_m^\infty x dx \ln(1 - e^{-\eta x}) \right].$$

Defining

$$F(m) = \int_m^\infty x dx \ln(1 - e^{-\eta x}),$$

the Poisson summation formula reads ( $\mu \equiv 2\pi m$ ),

$$\frac{1}{2}F(0) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty F(m) = \int_0^\infty F(x) dx + 2 \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \cos(\mu x) dx \int_x^\infty y dy \ln(1 - e^{-\eta y}).$$

Noting that

$$\int x^2 \ln(1 - e^{-\eta x}) dx = \frac{2}{\eta} \zeta(4),$$

integration by parts yields ([16] p.584),

$$2 \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int \cos(\mu x) dx \int_x^\infty y dy \ln(1 - e^{-\eta y}) = 2 \sum \left[ \frac{\eta}{\mu^4} - \frac{\pi}{2\mu^3} \coth\left(\frac{\pi\mu}{\eta}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2\mu^2} \text{csch}^2\left(\frac{\pi\mu}{\eta}\right) \frac{\pi}{\eta} \right].$$

Subtracting  $\frac{F(\infty,T)}{L^3}$  gives Equation 20.

## References

- [1] H. B. G. Casimir, "On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates" *Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet.* **51**, 793-796, (1948).
- [2] P. W. Milonni, *The Quantum Vacuum* ( Academic, Boston, 1993).
- [3] V. M. Mostepanenko and N. N. Trunov, *The Casimir Effect and its Applications* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1997).
- [4] *Long-Range Casimir Forces*, edited by F. S. Levin and D. A. Micha ( Plenum New York, 1993).
- [5] C. Seife, "The Subtle Pull of Emptiness," *Science* **275**, 158 (1997); T. H. Boyer, "The Classical Vacuum" *Scientific American* **253**, 56 - 62 (1985).
- [6] V. Hushwater, "Repulsive Casimir force as a result of vacuum radiation pressure," *Am. J. Phys.* **65** (5), 381-384 (1997).
- [7] S. K. Lamoreaux, "Demonstration of the Casimir Force in the 0.6 to 6 $\mu$ m Range," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1), 5-8 (1997).
- [8] C. E. Carlson, C. Molina-Paris, J. Perez-Mercader and Matt Visser, "Casimir effect in dielectrics: Bulk energy contribution" *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 1262-1280 (1997).
- [9] L. S. Brown and G. J. Maclay, "Vacuum Stress between conducting plates: An Image Solution," *Phys. Rev.***184**, 1272-1279 (1969); D. Robaschik, K. Scharnhorst and E. Wieczorek, "Radiative corrections to the Casimir pressure under influence of temperature and external fields," *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **174**, 401-429, (1987); S. Tadaki and S. Takagi, "Casimir Effect at Finite Temperature" *Prog. Theor. Phys.*,**75**, 262-271 (1982).
- [10] M. Revzen, R. Opher, M. Opher and A. Mann, "Kirchhoff's theorem and the Casimir effect" *Europhys Lett* **38**(4), 245-248 (1997); "Casimir's entropy," *Jour. Phys.A***30**, 7783 - 7789 (1997).
- [11] G. Plunien, B. Mueller and W. Greiner, "The Casimir Effect" *Phys. Rep.* **134**, 87-193 (1986).
- [12] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, (McGraw Hill, New York, 1980).
- [13] K. Huang, *Statistical Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1963).
- [14] M. Revzen, " Functional Integrals in Statistical Physics" *Am. Jour. Phys.* **38**, 611-617 (1970).
- [15] R. Peierls, "Note on the vibration spectrum of a crystal" *Proceedings of the National Institute of Sciences of India* **XX**, 1 (1954).
- [16] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik *Table of Integrals, Series and Products* (Academic Press, Inc, London, 1980).
- [17] T. H. Boyer, "Temperature dependence of Van der Waals forces in classical electrodynamics with classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation" *Phys. Rev. A***11**,5, 1650-1663 (1975).