

# Remarks on a Nonlinear Quantum Theory

H.-D. Doebner

Arnold-Sommerfeld Institute for Mathematical Physics,  
 Technical University of Clausthal,  
 D-38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany,  
 e-mail: `ashdd@pt.tu-clausthal.de`

March 2, 1998

Quantum mechanics in an intrinsically linear theory. Consider a system localized and moving (non-relativistically) on a configuration space  $M$ . Its quantization is based on the following building blocks which are modeled on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, d\mu)$  with  $t$ -dependent elements  $\psi_t$ :

- (1) **Observables** are given by self-adjoint operators  $\mathbf{A}$ . The spectral representation of  $\mathbf{A}$  allows a probability interpretation.
- (2) **States** are described through positive trace class operators (density matrices)  $\mathbf{W}_t$  ( $\text{Tr} \mathbf{W}_t = 1$ ) on  $\mathcal{H}$  which can be non-uniquely decomposed into projection operators  $\mathbf{P}_{\psi_{j,t}}$  onto 1-dimensional subspaces (pure states)

$$\mathbf{W}_t = \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j \mathbf{P}_{\psi_{j,t}}, \quad \sum_{j \in I} \lambda_j = 1, \quad \lambda_j > 0. \quad (1)$$

The set  $\{\lambda_j, \psi_{j,t}\}_{j \in I}$  is denoted as a *mixed state*; they are *physically equivalent*, if they correspond to the same  $\mathbf{W}_t$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{W}_t$  defines an equivalence class  $C_t$  in the set of mixed states.

- (3) One *wants* the **time dependence** of  $\mathbf{W}_t$  to be fixed by the time dependence of pure states  $\mathbf{W}_{\psi_t}$ , i.e., through a smooth operator  $\mathcal{U}_{t_2, t_1}$  (not necessarily linear)  $\mathcal{U}_{t_2, t_1}(\psi_{t_1}) = \psi_{t_2}$ . With probability conservation  $\|\psi_{t_2}\| = \|\mathcal{U}_{t_2, t_1}(\psi_{t_1})\| = \|\psi_{t_1}\|$  and time translation invariance

one has  $\mathcal{U}_t := \mathcal{U}_{t,0}$  and an evolution equation  $i\hbar\partial_t\psi_t = H(\psi_t)$ , where  $H = i\hbar\partial_t\mathcal{U}_t$  is a (not necessarily linear) 'Hamiltonian'. Because pure states rule the time evolution of  $\mathbf{W}_t$  only those  $\mathcal{U}_t$  are allowed, which yield a unique evolution of  $\mathbf{W}_t$ , i.e., the  $\mathcal{U}_t$  evolve an equivalence class  $C_{t_1}$  into another equivalence class  $C_{t_2}$ ,  $t_2 > t_1$ . An evolution of this special equivalence classes is in general only possible **if**  $\mathcal{U}_t$  yields a linear evolution equation; for exceptions see [1]. Non-linear  $\mathcal{U}_t$  yield **acausal** effects [2].

- (4) One wants the systems to contain  $N$  **1-particle systems** as **building blocks**. Realize  $\mathcal{H}$  as a product of 1-particle spaces  $\mathcal{H}^1$  and assume that the time evolution  $\mathcal{U}^{(N)}$  acts on product states as

$$\mathcal{U}^{(N)}[\psi_1 \otimes \cdots \psi_N] = \mathcal{U}^{(1)}[\psi_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}^{(1)}[\psi_N] \quad (2)$$

This assures, **if**  $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$  is linear, that in the absence of interparticle interaction terms initially uncorrelated subsystems remain uncorrelated and that  $\mathcal{U}^{(N)}$  can consistently be extended (by linearity) to  $\mathcal{H}$ . For a non-linear evolution  $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$ , one has to define  $\mathcal{U}^{(N)}$  on non-product states through additional assumptions or – equivalently – to construct a hierarchy for the evolution of  $1, \dots, N$  particles, i.e., for  $\mathcal{U}^{(i)}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . In general **non-local effects** may appear.[3]

The discussion shows that a nonlinear extension (NLE) of the linear evolution (LE) yields in general difficulties:

- A non-linear  $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$  is inconsistent with density matrices as a model of mixed states.
- N-particle systems built from 1-particle systems with non-linear evolution are not fully separable.
- Observables related to time evolution may be realized through non-linear operators for which a probability interpretation is not ad hand.

One needs new concepts for a **framework for a non-linear quantum theory**, e.g., for mixed states[4], for the hierarchy of N-particle evolution equations[5] and a method how to interpret non-selfadjoint operators. A motivation to develop such a framework is that *“The possibility of a future non linear character of the quantum mechanics must be admitted, of course”*

[6] and the observation that “*all linear equations describing the evolution of physical systems are known to be approximations of some nonlinear theories, with only one notable exception of the Schrödinger equation*” [7]. Furthermore a convincing nonlinear extension of a linear time evolution is needed, which is based on first principles.

Such a – more fundamental – approach was given in [8]. The authors observe first that all actual measurements are obtained from positional measurements made at different times, i.e., the system is fully described through the positional probability density  $\rho_t(m) = \overline{\psi_t(m)}\psi_t(m)$ , for all  $m \in M$  and all  $t$ . They are interested secondly in transformations  $N$  of  $\psi_t$  which leave  $\rho_t(m)$  invariant

$$\overline{N[\psi_t](m)}N[\psi_t](m) = \overline{\psi_t(m)}\psi_t(m). \quad (3)$$

and they argue that a system with states  $\psi_t$  obeying a (linear) evolution equation, and one with states  $N[\psi_t]$  obeying a transformed equation, have the same physical content.  $N$  can be nonlinear and nonlinear  $N$  will transform a system with LE into a physically equivalent system with NLE which is, of course, *linearizable*.

To construct such linear and nonlinear  $N[\psi]$  we have from (3)

$$N[\psi_t](m) = \exp[iG_{\psi_t}(m)]\psi_t(m).$$

We assume that  $G$  is **local** in the sense that  $N[\psi_t](m) = N_F[\psi_t](m) \equiv \exp[iF(\psi_t(m), m, t)]|\psi_t(m)|$  and that  $N_F$  fulfills the **separation condition**  $N_F^{(N)}[\psi] = N_F[\psi_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes N_F[\psi_N]$ . Then one can prove ( $|\psi| = R, \arg\psi = S$ )

$$N_F[\psi] = N_{(\gamma, \Lambda, \theta)}[\psi] = R \exp[i(\gamma(t) \ln R + \Lambda(t)S + \theta(m, t))]. \quad (4)$$

The  $N_{(\gamma, \Lambda, \theta)}$  are called **non-linear gauge transformations** and form a group  $\mathcal{G}$ . With these  $N$  we construct in  $R_x^3$  from  $i\partial_t\psi_t = (\nu_1\Delta + \mu_0V)\psi_t$  a nonlinear – but linearizable – evolution equation for  $\psi'(\vec{x}, t) = N_{(\gamma, \Lambda, \theta)}[\psi_t](\vec{x})$ . From (4) we get ( $\theta \equiv 0$ ) a family  $\overline{\mathcal{F}_0}$  of NLEs which is a special case of (5) with 6 coefficients which are not independent but constrained, depending on  $\nu_1, \mu_0$ , and on  $\Lambda(t), \gamma(t)$ .

To get a generic non-linearizable NLE break the constraints and get a family  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ; close this family in respect to the nonlinear gauge transformations  $\mathcal{G}$  and get  $\overline{\mathcal{F}_1}$  again with constrained coefficients. Break the constraints, close and get  $\overline{\mathcal{F}_2}$ . Continue with this process (called gauge generalization). After

four steps we find a 10-parameter family with independent coefficients and which is invariant under  $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t\psi' &= (\nu_1\Delta + \mu_0 V)\psi' + i\nu_2 R_2[\psi']\psi' + \sum_{i=1}^5 \mu_i R_i[\psi'] \\ &\quad + \alpha_1 \log |\psi'|^2 \psi' + \alpha_2 (\arg \psi') \psi'. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho R_1[\psi] &= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}, \quad \rho R_2[\psi] = \Delta \rho, \quad \rho^2 R_3[\psi] = \mathbf{J}^2, \\ \rho^2 R_4[\psi] &= \mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla \rho, \quad \rho^2 R_5[\psi] = (\nabla \rho)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with  $\mathbf{J}$  the usual probability current. The terms  $R_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$  were derived in a mathematically and physically different approach in [9],  $\alpha_1 \log |\psi|^2$  is the ansatz of [7] and  $\alpha_2 (\arg \psi)$  of [10].

The last results and arguments suggest that nonlinear evolution equations for pure states can be motivated from the observation that all actual physical measurements are measurements of position and time; this observation yields non-linear gauge transformations, which applied to the linear Schrödinger equation leads via gauge generalizations to a family of nonlinear evolutions with interesting mathematical and physical properties.

*It seems that there is a motivation for nonlinear quantum mechanics and a path for its formulation. However, the path is not unique and - up to now - there is no experimental evidence for a nonlinear formulation of quantum mechanics.*

## References

- [1] W. Lücke, in: H.-D. Doebner, V.K. Dobrev, P. Nattermann (Eds.) “Non-linear, Deformed and Irreversible Quantum Systems”, 140-154, World Scientific (1995)
- [2] N. Gisin, Phys.Lett. A **143**, 1-2 (1990), D. Plochinski Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 397-400 (1991)
- [3] W. Lücke, preprint quant-ph/9710033
- [4] B. Mielnik, Comm. Math. Phys. **15**, 1-46 (1969)
- [5] G.A. Goldin, G. Svetlichny, Journ. Math. Phys. **35**, 3322-3332 (1994)

- [6] E.P. Wigner, Ann. Math. **40**, 149-207 (1939), footnote on page 149
- [7] J. Bialynicki-Birula, J. Mycielski, Ann. Phys. **100**, 62-93 (1976)
- [8] H.-D. Doebner, G.A. Goldin, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 3764-3771 (1996),  
H.-D. Doebner, G.A. Goldin, P. Nattermann, preprint quant-ph/9709036
- [9] H.-D. Doebner, G.A. Goldin, Phys. Lett. A **162**, 397-401 (1992), Journ. Phys. A **27**, 1771-1780 (1994)
- [10] M.D. Kostin, J. Chem. Phys. **57**, 3589-3591 (1972)