

Microscopic origin of Casimir-Polder forces

Stefan Yoshi Buhmann*, Hassan Safari, Dirk-Gunnar Welsch

*Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Max-Wien-Platz 1,
07743 Jena, Germany*

Ho Trung Dung

*Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences and Technology, 1 Mac Dinh Chi Street, District 1, Ho
Chi Minh city, Vietnam*

Abstract. We establish a general relation between dispersion forces. First, based on QED in causal media, leading-order perturbation theory is used to express both the single-atom Casimir-Polder and the two-atom van der Waals potentials in terms of the atomic polarizabilities and the Green tensor for the body-assisted electromagnetic field. Endowed with this geometry-independent framework, we then employ the Born expansion of the Green tensor together with the Clausius-Mosotti relation to prove that the macroscopic Casimir-Polder potential of an atom in the presence of dielectric bodies is due to an infinite sum of its microscopic many-atom van der Waals interactions with the atoms comprising the bodies. This theorem holds for inhomogeneous, dispersing, and absorbing bodies of arbitrary shapes and arbitrary atomic composition on an arbitrary background of additional magnetodielectric bodies.

1. Introduction

The relation between the Casimir-Polder (CP) interaction of a single atom with a body [1, 2] and its van der Waals (vdW) interaction with the atoms comprising the body [2, 3] was first discussed in detail by Renne [4], with special emphasis on an atom interacting with a dielectric half space. Approaching the problem from the microscopic side and modelling all atoms by identical harmonic oscillators, he showed that the sum of all many-atom vdW interactions between the single atom and the body atoms corresponds to the result for the CP potential derived earlier on the basis of a macroscopic approach [5]. Milonni and Lerner generalised this result to bodies of arbitrary shapes [6]. Using the Ewald-Oseen extinction theorem which follows from the Clausius-Mosotti relation, they demonstrated that the CP potential of an atom in the presence of a nonabsorbing homogeneous dielectric body can be obtained by summing over an infinite series of many-atom vdW potentials.

In this paper, we approach the problem from the macroscopic side and under more general conditions. To that end, based on macroscopic QED in linear, causal media (Sec. 2.), we first consider the CP interaction of an atom with a macroscopic

* e-mail: s.buhmann@tpi.uni-jena.de

body as well as the vdW interaction of two atoms (Sec. 3.), and then make use of the Born expansion and the Clausius-Mosotti relation to establish the microscopic origin of the CP potential (Sec. 4.), followed by a summary (Sec. 5.).

2. Atom-field interactions

According to the multipolar scheme, the Hamiltonian for a system of neutral atoms and/or molecules – briefly referred to as atoms in the following – interacting with the electromagnetic field in the presence of dispersing and absorbing bodies is given by [7, 8, 9, 10]

$$\hat{H} = \sum_A \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_F + \sum_A \hat{H}_{AF}, \quad (1)$$

where the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_A = \sum_n E_A^n |n_A\rangle \langle n_A| \quad (2)$$

governs the (unperturbed) internal dynamics of atom A ,

$$\hat{H}_F = \sum_{\lambda=e,m} \int d^3r \int_0^\infty d\omega \hbar \omega \hat{\mathbf{f}}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}}_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \quad (3)$$

is the Hamiltonian of the system composed of the electromagnetic field and the magnetodielectric medium including dissipative interactions, with $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ being the dynamical variables of the system, which satisfy Bosonic commutation relations, and the atom-field interaction in electric dipole approximation is given by

$$\hat{H}_{AF} = -\hat{\mathbf{d}}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}_A) \quad (4)$$

($\hat{\mathbf{d}}_A$: atomic electric dipole moment, $\mathbf{r}_A$: centre-of-mass position). In Eq. (4),

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\lambda=e,m} \int d^3r' \int_0^\infty d\omega \mathbf{G}_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}}_\lambda(\mathbf{r}', \omega) + \text{H.c.} \quad (5)$$

is the medium-assisted electric field expressed in terms of the dynamical variables, with the quantities

$$\mathbf{G}_e(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = i \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\pi \varepsilon_0} \text{Im} \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}', \omega)}, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = -i \frac{\omega}{c} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \times \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\mathbf{r}'} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\pi \varepsilon_0} \frac{\text{Im} \mu(\mathbf{r}', \omega)}{|\mu(\mathbf{r}', \omega)|^2}} \quad (7)$$

being given in terms of the classical Green tensor $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega)$,

$$\left[\nabla \times \mu^{-1}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \nabla \times - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \right] \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (8)$$

The (macroscopic) permittivity $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ and permittivity $\mu(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ satisfy the Kramers-Kronig relations and the conditions $\text{Im } \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega) > 0$ and $\text{Im } \mu(\mathbf{r}, \omega) > 0$ imposed for absorbing media. Note that the Green tensor has the useful properties [8]

$$\mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', -\omega^*), \quad \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \mathbf{G}^T(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, \omega), \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{\lambda=e,m} \int d^3s \mathbf{G}_\lambda(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, \omega) \cdot \mathbf{G}_\lambda^{*T}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{s}, \omega) = \frac{\hbar \mu_0}{\pi} \omega^2 \text{Im } \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega). \quad (10)$$

3. Dispersion forces

Dispersion forces can be derived from the associated potentials U , which are commonly identified as the position-dependent part of the ground-state energy shift ΔE induced by the atom-field coupling.

3.1. THE CASIMIR-POLDER FORCE

For a single atom A the ground state of the system is given by $|0\rangle = |0_A\rangle |\{0\}\rangle$ [$|0_A\rangle$, atomic ground state; $\hat{f}_{\lambda i}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) |\{0\}\rangle = 0$], and the leading, second-order energy shift reads

$$\Delta_2 E = \sum_I' \frac{\langle 0 | \hat{H}_{AF} | I \rangle \langle I | \hat{H}_{AF} | 0 \rangle}{E_0 - E_I}. \quad (11)$$

Note that the primed sum includes (principal-value) integrals over the continuous degrees of freedom. Inspection of Eq. (4) reveals that only intermediate states of the type $|I\rangle = |k_A\rangle \hat{f}_{\lambda i}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, \omega) |\{0\}\rangle$ contribute. Substituting the respective matrix elements

$$\langle 0_A | \langle \{0\} | - \hat{\mathbf{d}}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}_A) \hat{f}_{\lambda i}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, \omega) |\{0\}\rangle | k_A \rangle = - [\mathbf{d}_A^{0k} \cdot \mathbf{G}_\lambda(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}, \omega)]_i \quad (12)$$

$[\mathbf{d}_A^{0k} = \langle 0_A | \hat{\mathbf{d}}_A | k_A \rangle]$ and energy denominators $E_0 - E_I = -\hbar(\omega_A^k + \omega)$ [$\omega_A^k = (E_A^k - E_A^0)/\hbar$] into Eq. (11), using Eq. (10), and separating the Green tensor into its bulk and scattering parts according to

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_A, \omega) = \mathbf{G}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_A, \omega) + \mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_A, \omega), \quad (13)$$

one arrives, after some calculation, at $[\Delta_2 E \mapsto U_A(\mathbf{r}_A)]$ [9]

$$U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) = \frac{\hbar \mu_0}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty du u^2 \alpha_A(iu) \text{Tr } \mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_A, iu), \quad (14)$$

where, for simplicity, an isotropic atomic ground-state polarizability is considered,

$$\alpha_A(\omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{3\hbar} \sum_k \frac{\omega_A^k |\mathbf{d}_A^{0n}|^2}{(\omega_A^k)^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\epsilon}. \quad (15)$$

The potential (14) implies the CP force ($\nabla_A \equiv \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_A}$)

$$\mathbf{F}_A = -\nabla_A U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) \quad (16)$$

on a ground-state atom A due to the presence of an arbitrary arrangement of dispersing and absorbing bodies [which are accounted for by $\mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_A, iu)$].

To illustrate the use of Eq. (14), consider an atom placed at distance r_A from the centre of a small, homogeneous, magnetodielectric sphere of radius $R \ll r_A$. Substituting the respective Green tensor [11] into Eq. (14) and retaining only the leading-order term in R/r_A (cf. Ref. [12]), one obtains

$$U_A(r_A) = -\frac{\hbar}{32\pi^3 \epsilon_0^2 r_A^6} \int_0^\infty du \alpha_A(iu) [g_{ee}(ur_A/c) \alpha_\odot^e(iu) - (ur_A)^2 / c^4 g_{em}(ur_A/c) \alpha_\odot^m(iu)], \quad (17)$$

$$g_{ee}(x) = 2e^{-2x}(3 + 6x + 5x^2 + 2x^3 + x^4), \quad (18)$$

$$g_{em}(x) = 2e^{-2x}(1 + 2x + x^2), \quad (19)$$

with the electric and magnetic polarizabilities of the sphere being given by [13]

$$\alpha_\odot^e(\omega) = 4\pi\epsilon_0 R^3 \frac{\epsilon(\omega) - 1}{\epsilon(\omega) + 2}, \quad \alpha_\odot^m(\omega) = \frac{4\pi R^3}{\mu_0} \frac{\mu(\omega) - 1}{\mu(\omega) + 2}. \quad (20)$$

In the nonretarded limit, where the atom-sphere separation is much larger than the characteristic transition wavelengths of the atom and the sphere medium, one may approximate $g_{ee}(ur_A/c) \simeq g_{ee}(0)$, $g_{em}(ur_A/c) \simeq g_{em}(0)$, so Eq. (17) reduces to

$$U_A(r_A) = -\frac{3\hbar}{16\pi^3 \epsilon_0^2 r_A^6} \int_0^\infty du \alpha_A(iu) \alpha_\odot^e(iu) \quad (21)$$

and

$$U_A(r_A) = \frac{\hbar\mu_0}{16\pi^3 \epsilon_0 r_A^4} \int_0^\infty du \left(\frac{u}{c}\right)^2 \alpha_A(iu) \alpha_\odot^m(iu) \quad (22)$$

for electric and magnetic spheres, respectively, while in the opposite retarded limit the approximations $\alpha_A(iu) \simeq \alpha_A(0)$, $\alpha_\odot^e(iu) \simeq \alpha_\odot^e(0)$, $\alpha_\odot^m(iu) \simeq \alpha_\odot^m(0)$ lead to

$$U_A(r_A) = -\frac{\hbar c \alpha_A(0) [23\alpha_\odot^e(0) - 7\alpha_\odot^m(0)/c^2]}{64\pi^3 \epsilon_0^2 r_A^7}. \quad (23)$$

3.2. THE TWO-ATOM VAN DER WAALS FORCE

To calculate the vdW interaction of two atoms A and B in the presence of dispersing and absorbing bodies, we start from the ground state $|0\rangle = |0_A 0_B\rangle |\{0\}\rangle$ and consider those contributions to the energy shift that depend on the positions of both atoms. The leading contributions are hence contained in the fourth-order perturbative shift

$$\Delta_4 E = \sum'_{I, II, III} \frac{\langle 0 | \hat{H}_{\text{int}} | I \rangle \langle I | \hat{H}_{\text{int}} | II \rangle \langle II | \hat{H}_{\text{int}} | III \rangle \langle III | \hat{H}_{\text{int}} | 0 \rangle}{(E_0 - E_I)(E_0 - E_{II})(E_0 - E_{III})}, \quad (24)$$

where $\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \hat{H}_{\text{AF}} + \hat{H}_{\text{BF}}$. A typical set of possible intermediate states is given by

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{(1)}\rangle &= |k_A 0_B\rangle \hat{f}_{\lambda i}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, \omega) |\{0\}\rangle, & |III_{(1)}\rangle &= |0_A l_B\rangle \hat{f}_{\lambda''' i'''}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', \omega') |\{0\}\rangle, \\ |II_{(1)}\rangle &= |0_A 0_B\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{f}_{\lambda' i'}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', \omega') \hat{f}_{\lambda'' i''}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}'', \omega'') |\{0\}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Upon using Eq. (12) as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & - \langle k_A 0_B | \langle \{0\} | \hat{f}_{\lambda i}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \hat{\mathbf{d}}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}_A) | 0_A 0_B \rangle \hat{f}_{\lambda' i'}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', \omega') \hat{f}_{\lambda'' i''}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}'', \omega'') |\{0\}\rangle \\ &= - \left\{ [\hat{\mathbf{d}}_A^{k0} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}', \omega')]_{i'} \delta_{\lambda \lambda''} \delta_{ii''} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'') \delta(\omega - \omega'') \right. \\ & \quad \left. + [\hat{\mathbf{d}}_A^{k0} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{\lambda''}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}'', \omega'')]_{i''} \delta_{\lambda \lambda'} \delta_{ii'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(\omega - \omega') \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and recalling Eq. (10), substitution of the intermediate states (25) into Eq. (24) leads, after some calculation, to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_4^{(1)} E &= - \frac{\mu_0^2}{\hbar \pi^2} \sum_{k,l} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^2 \hat{\mathbf{d}}_A^{0k} \cdot \text{Im } \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_B, \omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{d}}_B^{0l} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \omega'^2 \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}_A^{0k} \cdot \text{Im } \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_B, \omega') \cdot \hat{\mathbf{d}}_B^{0l}}{(\omega_A^k + \omega)(\omega + \omega')(\omega_B^l + \omega)} + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{d}}_A^{0k} \cdot \text{Im } \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_B, \omega') \cdot \hat{\mathbf{d}}_B^{0l}}{(\omega_A^k + \omega)(\omega + \omega')(\omega_B^l + \omega')} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where we have assumed real dipole-matrix elements. Under this assumption, the various two-atom contributions $\Delta_4^{(j)} E$ to the energy shift $\Delta_4 E$ only differ by the denominators in the square brackets of Eq. (27), and hence after a lengthy calculation one may show that $[\Delta_4 E \mapsto U_{AB}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_B)]$ [10, 14]

$$U_{AB}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_B) = - \frac{\hbar \mu_0^2}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty du u^4 \alpha_A(iu) \alpha_B(iu) \text{Tr}[\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_B, iu) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}_B, \mathbf{r}_A, iu)]. \quad (28)$$

From the two-atom potential (28) one can calculate the vdW force on atom $A(B)$ due to atom $B(A)$ in the presence of arbitrary dispersing and absorbing magnetodielectric bodies according to

$$\mathbf{F}_{A(B)} = - \nabla_{A(B)} U_{AB}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_B). \quad (29)$$

As a simple example, consider two atoms embedded in bulk magnetodielectric material. Substitution of the respective Green tensor [7] into Eq. (28) leads to

$$U_{AB}(r_{AB}) = -\frac{\hbar}{32\pi^3\varepsilon_0^2 r_{AB}^6} \int_0^\infty du \alpha_A(iu) \alpha_B(iu) \frac{g_{ee}[n(iu)ur_A/c]}{\varepsilon^2(iu)}, \quad (30)$$

$r_{AB} \equiv |\mathbf{r}_A - \mathbf{r}_B|$, $n(\omega) = \sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega)\mu(\omega)}$, recall Eq. (18), which reduces to

$$U(r_{AB}) = -\frac{3\hbar}{16\pi^3\varepsilon_0^2 r_{AB}^6} \int_0^\infty du \frac{\alpha_A(iu) \alpha_B(iu)}{\varepsilon^2(iu)}, \quad (31)$$

$$U(r_{AB}) = -\frac{23\hbar c \alpha_A(0) \alpha_B(0)}{64\pi^3 \varepsilon_0^2 \varepsilon^2(0) n(0) r_{AB}^7} \quad (32)$$

for nonretarded and retarded interatomic separations, respectively. Equations (30)–(32) show that the presence of a medium leads to a reduction of the potential w.r.t. its well-known free-space value [2], while comparison of Eqs. (17) and (30) reveals that in free space the dispersion interaction of an atom with a small dielectric sphere has the same form as that of two atoms.

4. Relation between dispersion forces

We now turn to the question how the CP interaction of a single atom with dielectric bodies can be related to its many-atom vdW interactions with the atoms comprising the bodies. For simplicity, we will speak of a single dielectric body in the following. We assume the dielectric body to be given by $\chi(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$, and we allow for the presence of an arbitrary magnetodielectric background of additional bodies characterised by $\bar{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ and $\mu(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$, such that

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \bar{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \chi(\mathbf{r}, \omega). \quad (33)$$

The Green tensor corresponding to this scenario can formally be written as a Born series [15]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) &= \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^{2k} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \int d^3 s_i \chi(\mathbf{s}_i, \omega) \right] \\ &\quad \times \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}_1, \omega) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \omega) \cdots \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{s}_k, \mathbf{r}', \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ is the Green tensor corresponding to the magnetodielectric background. Substituting Eq. (34) into Eq. (14), the CP potential can be written as

$$U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) = \bar{U}_A(\mathbf{r}_A) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k U_A(\mathbf{r}_A), \quad (35)$$

where

$$\bar{U}_A(\mathbf{r}_A) = \frac{\hbar\mu_0}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty du u^2 \alpha_A(iu) \text{Tr} \bar{\mathbf{G}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{r}_A, iu) \quad (36)$$

is the CP potential due to the magnetodielectric background, which is not of further interest here, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) &= \frac{(-1)^k \hbar\mu_0}{2\pi c^{2k}} \int_0^\infty du u^{2k+2} \alpha_A(iu) \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \int d^3 s_i \chi(\mathbf{s}_i, iu) \right] \\ &\quad \times \text{Tr} [\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{s}_1, iu) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, iu) \cdots \bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{s}_k, \mathbf{r}_A, iu)] \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

is the contribution to the potential that is of k th order in χ .

Assuming the dielectric body described by χ to be comprised of atoms of polarizabilities $\alpha_B(\omega)$ and number densities $n_B(\mathbf{r})$, the gap between the macroscopic and microscopic descriptions can be bridged by means of the Clausius-Mosotti law

$$\chi(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \frac{\sum_B n_B(\mathbf{r}) \alpha_B(\omega) / \varepsilon_0}{1 - \sum_C n_C(\mathbf{r}) \alpha_C(\omega) / (3\varepsilon_0)}. \quad (38)$$

Note that since χ is the Fourier transform of a linear response function, it must satisfy the condition $\chi(\mathbf{r}, 0) > \chi(\mathbf{r}, iu) > 0$ for $u > 0$, which implies that the inequality

$$\frac{1}{3\varepsilon_0} \sum_B n_B(\mathbf{r}) \alpha_B(0) < 1 \quad (39)$$

must hold. Substituting Eq. (38) into Eq. (37) and splitting off the singular part of the Green tensor according to

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', iu) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{c}{u} \right)^2 \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') + \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', iu), \quad (40)$$

one obtains

$$\Delta_k U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) = \sum_{l=1}^k \Delta_k^l U_A(\mathbf{r}_A), \quad (41)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k^l U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) &= \sum_{\substack{\eta_1 \geq 0, \dots, \eta_l \geq 0 \\ \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_l = k-l}} \int_0^\infty du \left[\prod_{i=1}^l \int d^3 s_i \frac{\sum_{B_i} n_{B_i}(\mathbf{s}_i) q^{\eta_i}(\mathbf{s}_i, iu)}{1 - \sum_{C_i} n_{C_i}(\mathbf{s}_i) \alpha_{C_i}(iu) / (3\varepsilon_0)} \right] \\ &\quad \times V_{AB_1 \dots B_l}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_l, iu) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V_{A_1 \dots A_j}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j) &\equiv \int_0^\infty du V_{A_1 \dots A_j}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j, iu) = \frac{(-1)^{j-1} \hbar\mu_0^j}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty du u^{2j} \\ &\quad \times \alpha_{A_1}(iu) \cdots \alpha_{A_j}(iu) \text{Tr} [\bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, iu) \cdots \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_1, iu)] \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

denotes the sum of all l -atom terms that are of order k in χ , and each power of the factor

$$q(\mathbf{r}, iu) = -\frac{\sum_B n_B(\mathbf{r})\alpha_B(iu)/(3\varepsilon_0)}{1 - \sum_C n_C(\mathbf{r})\alpha_C(iu)/(3\varepsilon_0)} \quad (44)$$

is due to the integration of one term containing $\delta(\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_{i+1})$. Summing Eq. (41) over k , one may rearrange the double sum as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^k \Delta_k^l U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \Delta^l U_A(\mathbf{r}_A), \quad (45)$$

$$\Delta^l U(\mathbf{r}_A) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^l \int d^3 s_i \sum_{B_i} n_{B_i}(\mathbf{s}_i) \right] V_{AB_1 \dots B_l}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_l), \quad (46)$$

where we have performed the geometric sums $\sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} q^\eta$ by means of Eq. (44). Note that the convergence of these sums requires $|q(\mathbf{r}, iu)| < 1$, which by means of Eq. (39) is equivalent to

$$\frac{2}{3\varepsilon_0} \sum_B n_B(\mathbf{r})\alpha_B(0) < 1. \quad (47)$$

Finally, we symmetrize the many-atom terms by introducing the symmetrization operator

$$\mathcal{S}f(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j) \equiv \frac{1}{(2 - \delta_{2j})j} \sum_{\pi \in P(j)} f(\mathbf{r}_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{\pi(j)}), \quad (48)$$

where $P(j)$ denotes the permutation group of the numbers $1, \dots, j$. From the cyclic property of the trace together with Eq. (9) it follows that

$$\text{Tr}[\overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega) \cdots \overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_1, \omega)] = \text{Tr}[\overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_{\pi(1)}, \mathbf{r}_{\pi(2)}, \omega) \cdots \overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_{\pi(j)}, \mathbf{r}_{\pi(1)}, \omega)], \quad (49)$$

if π is either a cyclic permutation or the reverse of a cyclic permutation. Thus the sum on the r.h.s. of Eq. (48) contains classes of $(2 - \delta_{2j})j$ terms that give the same result. By forming a set $\overline{P}(j) \subsetneq P(j)$ containing exactly one representative of each class, we can remove this redundancy, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}\text{Tr}[\overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega) \cdots \overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_1, \omega)] \\ = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{P}(j)} \text{Tr}[\overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_{\pi(1)}, \mathbf{r}_{\pi(2)}, \omega) \cdots \overline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_{\pi(j)}, \mathbf{r}_{\pi(1)}, \omega)]. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Introducing the factor $1/l!$ in Eq. (46) and summing over all $l!$ possible ways of renaming the variables $\mathbf{s}_i$ and B_i , the representative of each class in Eq. (50) is

generated exactly twice (only once for $j = l + 1 = 2$), so that the CP potential of atom A due to the dielectric body χ can be written as

$$U_A(\mathbf{r}_A) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \left[\prod_{i=1}^l \int d^3 s_i \sum_{B_i} n_{B_i}(\mathbf{s}_i) \right] U_{AB_1 \dots B_l}(\mathbf{r}_A, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_l), \quad (51)$$

where

$$U_{A_1 \dots A_j}(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j) = \frac{(-1)^{j-1} \hbar \mu_0^j}{(1 + \delta_{2j}) \pi} \int_0^\infty du u^{2j} \alpha_{A_1}(iu) \dots \alpha_{A_j}(iu) \\ \times \mathcal{STr}[\bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, iu) \dots \bar{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_1, iu)] \quad (52)$$

is nothing but the j -atom vdW potential on an arbitrary magnetodielectric background $\bar{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$, $\mu(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$.

We have hence proved that the CP interaction of an atom with a macroscopic dielectric body which is described within the framework of macroscopic QED is the result of all possible microscopic many-atom vdW interactions between the atom under consideration and the atoms forming the body, provided that the susceptibility is of Clausius-Mosotti type (38) and the convergence condition (47) holds – generalising the result in Ref. [6]. Conversely, our proof shows that when Eqs. (51) and (52) hold and the convergence condition (47) is satisfied, then the electric susceptibility must have the Clausius-Mosotti form. In addition, the proof has delivered the general many-atom vdW potentials (52) on an arbitrary magnetodielectric background. For $j = 2$, Eq. (52) agrees with the two-atom potential (28) derived in Sec. 3.2., while for higher j , it presents a generalisation of the free-space vdW potentials derived in Ref. [16].

The applicability of the microscopic expansion (51) depends crucially on the validity of the convergence condition (47). Recalling Eq. (15) and estimating

$$\sum_B n_B(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\alpha_B(0)}{\epsilon_0} = \sum_B n_B(\mathbf{r}) \frac{2}{3\hbar\epsilon_0} \sum_l \frac{|\mathbf{d}_B^{0l}|^2}{\omega_B^l} \approx \frac{1}{V_s} \frac{2q_e^2 a_B^2}{3\epsilon_0 E_R} f = \frac{4fV_A}{V_s} \quad (53)$$

[q_e , electron charge; m_e , electron mass; $a_B = \hbar/(\alpha_0 m_e c)$; $\alpha_0 = q_e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar c)$; $E_R = \hbar^2/(2m_e a_B^2)$; V_s , volume accessible per atom within the body; $V_A = 4\pi a_B^3/3$, $f > 1$, species-dependent factor], Eq. (47) can be reformulated as $V_s \gtrsim 8fV_A/3$, stating simply that the atoms must be well-separated within the body. If this is not the case, the microscopic expansion (51) does not converge, while the more general macroscopic expression (14) for the CP potential remains valid.

5. Summary

We have demonstrated that on the basis of macroscopic QED in linear, causal media, leading-order perturbation theory can be employed to derive general ex-

pressions for both the single-atom CP potential and the two-atom vdW potential in the presence of an arbitrary arrangement of magnetodielectric bodies.

Moreover, starting from this very general, geometry-independent basis, we have used the Born expansion of the Green tensor together with the Clausius-Mosotti law to prove that the CP interaction of a single atom with inhomogeneous, dispersing and absorbing dielectric bodies in the presence of an arbitrary magnetodielectric background can be written as a sum of many-atom vdW potentials. The proof demonstrates the equivalence of the microscopic and macroscopic descriptions provided that the microscopic picture is applicable, while at the same time delivering explicit expressions for the general many-atom vdW potentials in the presence of magnetodielectric media.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. H.S. would like to thank the Ministry of Science, Research, and Technology of Iran. H.T.D. would like to thank the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung and the National Program for Basic Research of Vietnam.

Bibliography

1. J. E. Lennard-Jones, *Trans. Faraday Society* **28**, 333 (1932).
2. H. B. G. Casimir and D. Polder, *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 360 (1948).
3. F. London, *Z. Phys.* **63**, 245 (1930).
4. M. J. Renne, *Physica* **53**, 193 (1971); **56**, 124 (1971).
5. E. M. Lifshitz, *Soviet Phys. JETP* **2**, 1, 73 (1956).
6. P. W. Milonni and P. B. Lerner, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 3, 1185 (1992).
7. L. Knöll, S. Scheel, and D.-G. Welsch, in *Coherence and Statistics of Photons and Atoms*, pp. 1–59, J. Peřina (ed.), Wiley, New York, 2001.
8. Ho Trung Dung, S. Y. Buhmann, L. Knöll, D.-G. Welsch, S. Scheel, and J. Kästel, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 4, 043816 (2003).
9. S. Y. Buhmann, Ho Trung Dung, L. Knöll, and D.-G. Welsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 5, 052117 (2004).
10. H. Safari, S. Y. Buhmann, Ho Trung Dung, and D.-G. Welsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 042101 (2006).
11. L. W. Li, P. S. Kooi, M. S. Leong, and T. S. Yeo, *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.* **42**, 2302 (1994).
12. S. Y. Buhmann, Ho Trung Dung, and D.-G. Welsch, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **6**, 3, S127 (2004); note erratum: S. Y. Buhmann, D. T. Ho, and D.-G. Welsch, *J. Phys. B* **39**, 14, 3145 (2006).
13. J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, third edn., p. 158, Wiley, New York, 1998.
14. D. P. Craig and T. Thirunamachandran, *Molecular Quantum Electrodynamics*, pp. 160–161, Academic Press, New York, 1984.

15. S. Y. Buhmann and D.-G. Welsch, Appl. Phys. B **82**, 2, 189 (2006).
16. E. A. Power and T. Thirunamachandran, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A **401**, 267 (1985);
Phys. Rev. A **50**, 5, 3929 (1994).