Somenew additivity results on quantum channels

M otohisa Fukuda
StatisticalLaboratory
Centre for M athem aticalScience
University of Cambridge
Wilberforce Road,
Cambridge CB30WBUK

16 M ay 2005

A bstract

In this paper we introduce a lem ma. This lem ma states that for a unitary covariant channel and a channel M such that M ($_0$) is of rank one for som e state $_0$ 2 S (H) the additivity of the m in im aloutput entropy of im plies that of M, and the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-nom of , that of M . For the product channel of the depolarising channel and an arbitrary channel the additivity of the minimal output entropy, the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm for p 2 [0;1] and the additivity of the Holevo capacity are established [1], [2]. Applying the lem mato these results we produce a new class of memoryless quantum channels for which the additivity of the minimal output entropy and the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm hold. This class of channels are de ned as a linear combination of a channel M satisfying the above property and the completely noisy channel: M ()+ (1) $\frac{1}{d}$ I, where 2 [$\frac{1}{d^2-1}$;1], d is the dimension of the signal Hilbert space H and I is the identity operator. A similar property was used in a recent paper [3]. An interesting exam ple of this class is the shifted depolarising channel, for which the multiplicativity is shown for p = 2 in [4], [5]. The additivity of the Holevo capacity for the above class of channels is also proved under an additional condition that M is irreducibly covariant.

Em ail: m .fukuda@ statslab.cam .ac.uk

1 Introduction

1.1 Basic de nitions and facts

A quantum state (a state) is represented as a positive sem ide nite operator of trace one in a Hilbert space H of dimension d; this is called a density operator. The set of density operators in H is written as S (H). A (memoryless) channel on H is a completely positive trace-preserving (CPTP) map acting on the algebra of operators in H.

De nition 1

1) The minimal output entropy (MOE) of is de ned as

$$S_{m in}() := \inf_{2 \le (H)} S(());$$
 (1.1)

where S is the von Neum ann entropy: S () = tr[log].

2) The maximal output p-norm of is de ned as

$$_{p}() := \sup_{2S(H)} k()k_{p};$$
 (1.2)

where k k is the (trace) p-norm : k $k_p = (\text{trj } \frac{p}{2})^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Note that

$$\frac{d}{dp} \sum_{p=1}^{k} k k_p = S();$$
 $\frac{d}{dp} \sum_{p=1}^{p} () = S_{min}():$ (1.3)

3) The Holevo capacity of is de ned as

() :=
$$\sup_{\text{fp}_i; ig} S \quad p_i \quad (i) \quad p_i S \quad (i) \quad (1.4)$$

where fp_i ; ig stands for a (nite) ensemble of states (fp_ig is a probability distribution and f ig S(H)).

The Holevo capacity adm its the following representation (the OPW SW representation) [6],[7]:

$$() = \inf_{2S(H)} \sup_{2S(H)} S(()jj());$$
 (1.5)

where S(jj) = tr[(log log)] = S() tr[log] is the relative entropy of two states and .

De nition 2

1) A channel is unitary covariant if for any unitary operator U there exists a unitary operator V such that

$$(U \ U \) = V \ (\)V \ ;$$

for 8 2 S (H).

2) Take a compact group G and a continuous unitary representation V_g of G in H . A channel is covariant with respect to the representation if

$$(V_g V_g) = V_g ()V_g$$

for 8g 2 G and 8 2 S (H).

3) A channel is irreducibly covariant if is covariant with respect to an irreducible representation.

Remark. Suppose a channel is irreducibly covariant then is unital and we have the formula [8], [9]:

$$() = \log d S_{min}()$$
: (1.6)

1.2 Conjectures and our results

The following three conjectures attracted attention in recent years.

1) The additivity conjecture of the MOE is that for channels and

$$S_{m in} () = S_{m in} () + S_{m in} ()$$
: (1.7)

Note that the bound $S_{m in}$ () + $S_{m in}$ () is straightforward.

2) The multiplicativity conjecture of the maximal output p-norm is that for channels and there exists > 0 such that

$$_{p}() = _{p}()_{p}();$$
 (1.8)

for any p 2 [1;1+]. Note that the bound p() p() is straightforward.

The multiplicativity conjecture is connected with that of additivity of the MOE. If multiplicativity holds then taking the derivative at p=1 we get additivity of the MOE.

3) The additivity conjecture of the Holevo capacity is that for channels and

$$() = () + () :$$
 (1.9)

Note that the bound $\ (\)\ (\)+\ (\)$ is straightforward. In particular if we prove

$$(\quad ^{n}) = n \quad ()$$

for $8n\ 2\ N$, then we can identify the classical information capacity C ():

C() =
$$\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{n}$$
 ($n = 1$) = ():

For irreducibly covariant channels, the additivity of the MOE and the additivity of the Holevo capacity are equivalent.

The variety of channels for which either of the above properties have been proved is rather limited and includes unital qubit channels [10], entanglement-breaking channels [11], depolarising channels [1][2], Werner-Holevo channels [12], [13], [14] and their modications [3], [15], [16].

In section 2 we introduce a lem m a. This lem m a states that for a unitary covariant channel and a channel M such that M ($_0$) is of rank one for some state $_0$ 2 S (H) the additivity of the M O E of implies that of M, and the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm of , that of M.

In section 3 we produce new classes of channels for which either the additivity of the MOE or the mulpiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm holds. One of these classes is very interesting because it includes the shifted depolarising channel. This class of channels are dened as a linear combination of a channel M having the above property and a completely noisy channel:

$$() = M () + (1) \frac{1}{d}I:$$
 (1.10)

Here 2 [$\frac{1}{d^2-1}$;1], d is the dimension of the signal Hilbert space H and I is the identity operator. This class of channels is in uenced by [3]. Our result is that for the product channel of a channel from this class and an arbitrary channel we establish the additivity of the MOE and the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm for p 2 [1;1].

In section 4 we mention the additivity of the Holevo capacity for the above class of channels under an additional condition that M is irreducibly covariant.

2 The Lemma

Lem ma. Suppose we have two channels on H: a unitary covariant channel and a channel M such that M ($_0$) is of rank one for some state $_0$ 2 S (H). Take another Hilbert space K and a channel on K

- 1) If the maximal output p-norm of is multiplicative then so is that of (M) (for the same p).
- 2) If the MOE of is additive then so is that of (M)

Proof. First calculate the maximal output p-norm of M.

$$p(M) = \sup_{2S(H)} k (M ())k_{p}$$

$$= \sup_{2M(S(H))} k ()k_{p}$$

$$= p():$$

Note that since channel is unitary covariant the maximal output p-norm of is attained at any state of rank one. On the other hand, M (S (H)), the image of M , has such a state M ($_0$) for som e $_0$ 2 S (H). This veri es the above equality.

Next, take any state ^ 2 S (H K) then

$$k((\ M\)\)(\)k_p = k(\)(M\ 1_K)(\))k_p$$

$$= p(\ M\)_p(\)$$

$$= p(\ M\)_p(\)$$

Therefore we have

$$_{p}$$
 ((M)) $_{p}$ (M) $_{p}$ ():

Sim ilarly, for any state ^ 2 S (H K)

$$S(((M)))(^{)}) S_{m in}() + S_{m in}() = S_{m in}(M) + S_{m in}()$$
:

Hence we have

$$S_{m in}$$
 ((M)) $S_{m in}$ (M) + $S_{m in}$ ():

Lem m a has been proved.

Remark. The conditions on and M are not necessary if we verify that a maxim is of k () k_p (or a minim is of S (())) coincides an output of M .

3 Applications

We apply Lemma in section 2 to the known results and produce new classes of channels for which either the additivity of the MOE or the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm holds.

Example 1. The depolarising channel is de ned by

$$() = + (1) + (1) + (3.1)$$

Here 2 $\frac{1}{d^2-1}$; 1, d is the dimension of the signal Hilbert space H and I is the identity operator. The additivity of the MOE and the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm for p 2 [0;1] are established for the product channel of the depolarising channel and an arbitrary channel [1],[2].

Take a channel M having the property in Lemma and de ne a class of channels in the form:

$$() = M () + (1) \frac{1}{d}I;$$
 (3.2)

where $2 = \frac{1}{d^2-1}$; 1. Since the depolarising channel is unitary covariant the additivity of the MOE and the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm for p 2 [0;1] hold for the product channel of a channel from this class and an arbitrary channel.

The shifted depolarising channel is de ned by

() = a + bj ih j+
$$c\frac{1}{d}I$$
: (3.3)

Here j ih j is a xed state of rank one and a + b + c = 1. The multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm for p = 2 was proved for the shifted depolarising channel [4], [5]. To see that this channel belongs to the above class de ne a channel M by

M () =
$$\frac{a}{a+b} + \frac{b}{a+b}$$
 j ih j;

and set

$$= a + b$$
:

Note that M has an output of rank one: M (j ih j = j ih j. So we have established the additivity of the MOE and the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-nom for p 2 [1;1] for the product channel of the shifted depolarising channel and an arbitrary channel.

Example 2. The transpose depolarising channel is de ned by

$$() = {}^{T} + (1) \frac{1}{d}I;$$
 (3.4)

Here $2 = \frac{1}{d+1}$, T is the transpose, d is the dimension of the signal Hilbert space H and I is the identity operator. The additivity of the MOE for the product channel of these two channels is proved [15],[16]. Take a channle M having the above property again and dene:

$$() = M ()^{T} + (1) + (1) + (1) + (3.5)$$

for 2 $\frac{1}{d+1}$; $\frac{1}{d+1}$. Since the transpose depolarising channel is unitary covariant the additivity of the M O E holds.

Remark. A class of channels which satisfy the hypotheses in Lemma have been studied by N.Datta and M.B.Ruskai who independently established additivity for this class [17].

4 Additivity of the Holevo capacity

In section 3 we produced the class of channels de ned in the form (3.2):

$$() = M () + (1) \frac{1}{d}I$$
:

Here 2 $\frac{1}{d^2-1}$; 1 and channel M has the property in Lemma. We claim that if M is irreducibly covariant (hence so is) as well the additivity of the Holevo capacity holds for the product channel of a channel from this class and an arbitrary channel. It can be proved by extending the method by C.K ing [1].

First, the phase-dam ping channel can be written by

() = + (1)
$$E_{i}$$
 E_{i} : (4.1)

Here $2 \frac{1}{d-1}$; 1 and $E_i = j v_i i h v_i j$ for some orthonormal basis $f j v_i i g_{i=1}^d$ in H. We say is uniform in basis B if the co-ordinate representations of $j v_i i$ in basis B are

$$\mathbf{\dot{y}_{i}i} = \mathbf{\ddot{b}} \mathbf{\ddot{v}_{i2}C} \mathbf{\ddot{c}}$$

$$\mathbf{\dot{y}_{ij}i} = \mathbf{\ddot{b}} \mathbf{\ddot{v}_{i2}C} \mathbf{\ddot{c}}$$

$$\mathbf{\dot{v}_{id}} \mathbf{\ddot{v}_{ij}j} = \mathbf{\dot{p}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{\ddot{d}}}$$
 for 8i; j:

We extend Lemma 8 in [1] by using this de nition. For a xed basis B the channel can be written as a convex combination of triple compositions:

$$= \begin{array}{ccc} X^{N} & & & \\ & C_{k} & U_{k} & & M : \end{array}$$
 (4.2)

Here N 2 N , coe cients c $_k$ > 0, $_{i=1}^{pN}$ c $_k$ = 1, U $_k$ are unitary m atrices, $_U$ () = U U and $^{(k)}$ are phase-dam ping channels which are uniform in basis B .

Next, $x^2 S (H K)$ and let $^= (M 1_K)^*.$ For any $2 f^{(k)} g_{k=1}^N$ the derivative at p=1 of the inequality (34) in [1] gives

$$S_{m in}() + \log d + X_{i=1}^{X_{i}} \text{tr}[_{2}^{(i)}] \log \text{tr}[_{2}^{(i)}] \qquad \text{tr}[_{2}^{(i)}] S \qquad \frac{2}{\text{tr}[_{2}^{(i)}]}$$

$$= () \log d \frac{1}{d} X_{i=1}^{X_{i}} S((d_{2}^{(i)})) : \qquad (4.3)$$

Here $_2^{(i)} = \text{tr}_1$ [(E $_i$ I)^]. Note that as tr_2 [^] adm its a diagonal matrix representation with respect to its eigenbasis B, we could have chosen each $2 \text{ f}^{(k)} g_{k=1}^N$ to be uniform in the basis B. Hence we get

$$tr[_{2}^{(i)}] = tr_{2} [tr_{1} [(E_{i} I) (^{)}]] = tr_{1} [E_{i} tr_{2} [^{]}] = \frac{1}{d}$$
:

Suppose achieves the in \mbox{mum} in the OPW SW representation (1.5) of . We calculate

$$S ((M))(^{\circ}) \frac{1}{d}I ()$$

$$= S ()(^{\circ}) \frac{1}{d}I ()$$

$$= S ()(^{\circ}) \text{ tr } (tr_{2}^{\circ}) \log \frac{1}{d}I \text{ tr } (tr_{1}^{\circ}) \log ()$$

$$= S ()(^{\circ}) + \log d \frac{1}{d} \text{ tr } (d_{2}^{(i)}) \log () :$$

$$= (4.4)$$

Note that each d $_2^{(i)}$ is a state and $_{i=1}^{P}$ $_2^{(i)}$ = tr₁^. Combining (4.3) and (4.4)

S ((M))(^)
$$\frac{1}{d}$$
I () () + $\frac{1}{d}$ $\frac{X^d}{d}$ S (d $\frac{(i)}{2}$) ()
() + ():

This inequality holds for 8^2 S (H K) and 8^2 f $^{(k)}$ $g_{k=1}^N$.

Finally since the von Neum ann entropy is concave and has output $\frac{1}{d}I$ (becaues is irreducibly covariant) we have

()
$$\sup_{\hat{A}} S$$
 ()(^) $\frac{1}{d}I$ ()
$$\sup_{\hat{A}} S = C_k S \qquad (k) \qquad M \qquad (^) \frac{1}{d}I \qquad ()$$

Note that the decomposition fq,; U $_k$; $^{(k)} g_{k=\,1}^N$ depends on ^. The claim has been veri ed.

5 Conclusion

The decomposition M in Lemma is interesting. We can put nice structures into and the rest M to decompose some channels. From another perspective, for a xed

unitary covariant channel , f $\,$ M g $_{\text{M}}$ form a subset of quantum channels. Then we only have to verify the additivity of the M O E (or the multiplicativity of the maximal output p-norm) for $\,$ to prove the additivity (or the multiplicativity) for all channels in the subset. I hope the lemma produce other classes of channels for which either the additivity or the multiplicativity holds.

A cknow ledgem ent. I would like to thank my supervisor Yuri Suhov for suggesting the problem, constant encouragement and numerous discussions. Nilanjana Datta is thanked for her attention and numerous discussions. Mary-Beth Ruskai helped mewith some important remarks. I would like to thank Mary-Beth Ruskai, Christopher King and Denes Petz for giving me important remarks on the initial version of the paper and correcting references in it.

R eferences

- [1] C.K ing, \The capacity of the quantum depolarizing channel", IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 49, 221 {229, (2003).
- [2] A. Fujiwara and T. Hashizume, \Additivity of the capacity of depolarizing channels", Phys. lett. A, 299, 469(475 (2002).
- [3] M.M. Wolf and J. Eisert, \Classical information capacity of a class of quantum channels", New J. Phys., 7, 93 (2005).
- [4] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd and M. B. Ruskai, \Conditions for multiplicativity of maximallanorm softhannels for xed integerp", J.M ath. Phys., 46, 042105 (2005).
- [5] C.King, M.Nathanson and M.B.Ruskai, \Multiplicativity properties of entrywise positive maps", quant-ph/0409181.
- [6] M.Ohya, D.Petz and N.W atanabe, \On capacities of quantum channels", Prob. Math. Stat., 17 179{196 (1997).
- [7] B. Schum acher and M. D. Westmoreland, \R elative entropy in quantum information theory", quant-ph/0004045.
- [8] A. S. Holevo, \Remarks on the classical capacity of quantum channel", quant-ph/0212025.

- [9] J. Cortese, \The Holevo-Schum acher\W estmoreland channel capacity for a class of qudit unital channels", quant-ph/0211093.
- [10] C. King, \Additivity for unital qubit channels", J. Math. Phys. 43, 4641 (4653, (2002).
- [11] P.W. Shor, \Additivity of the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking quantum channels", J.M ath. Phys. 43, 4334 (4340, (2002).
- [12] K.M atsum oto and F.Yura, \Entanglem ent cost of antisym metric states and additivity of capacity of some quantum channels", J.Phys.A, 37, L167(L171 (2004).
- [13] N.Datta, A.S.Holevo and Y.Suhov, \A quantum channel with additive minimum output entropy", quant-ph/0403072.
- [14] R.A licki and M. Fannes, \Note on multiple additivity of minimal Renyi entropy output of the Wemer-Holevo channels", quant-ph/0407033.
- [15] N.Datta, A.S.Holevo and Y.Suhov, \Additivity for transpose depolarizing channels", quant-ph/0412034.
- [16] M .Fannes, B .H aegem an, M .M osonyi and D .Vanpeteghem , \Additivity of m in in al entropy output for a class of covariant channels", quant-ph/0410195.
- [17] N.D atta and M.B.Ruskai, \Maximal output purity and capacity for asymmetric unital qudit channels", quant-ph/0505048.