

# Non-conditioned generation of Schrödinger cat states in a cavity

P. P. Munhoz\* and A. Vidiella-Barranco†

*Instituto de Física “Gleb Wataghin”, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil*

(Dated: December 2, 2024)

We investigate the dynamics of a two-level atom in a cavity filled with a nonlinear medium. We show that the atom-field detuning  $\delta$  and the nonlinear parameter  $\chi^{(3)}$  may be combined to yield a periodic dynamics and allowing the generation of almost exact superpositions of coherent states (*Schrödinger* cats). By analyzing the atomic inversion and the field purity, we verify that any initial atom-field state is recovered at each revival time, and that a coherent field interacting with an excited atom evolves to a superposition of coherent states at each collapse time. We show that a mixed field state (statistical mixture of two coherent states) evolves towards a pure field state (*Schrödinger* cat) as well. We discuss the validity of those results by using the field fidelity and the Wigner function. We provide, for the first time, an animation of the evolution of the cavity field Q-function from  $t = 0$  to half of the revival time, when the *Schrödinger* cat is generated.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Dv, 42.50.Ct

## I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum optics, the *Jaynes-Cummings* model (**JCM**) describes the interaction between a two-level atom and a single quantized mode of the radiation field in a lossless cavity and within the rotating wave approximation (**RWA**). The **JCM** is probably the simplest fundamental model of field-matter interaction with an exactly integrable Hamiltonian. Since its introduction forty years ago [1], the model has originated several studies in various contexts and with different purposes, and has become the basis for several generalizations and other models [2]. More recently, important experimental achievements in cavity quantum electrodynamics (**CQED**) and trapped ions have stimulated both theoretical and experimental research in that area [3]. An interesting related subject is the quest for generation methods of macroscopically distinguishable superpositions of quantum states, or *Schrödinger* cat states [4]. Several schemes using coherent states have been proposed [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], and a few experimental realizations have been already accomplished in cavity **QED** as well as in trapped ions systems [10, 11, 12]. In cavity **QED** models, states very close to those superpositions arise at specific times, for the cavity field initially in a coherent state [13] or even in a statistical mixture of two coherent states [14]. Propositions such as the *Yurke-Stoler* generation scheme [5], depend on very large values of *Kerr* nonlinearities, which is probably the main obstacle for its implementation. However, in the last few years, the observation of large *Kerr* nonlinearities with low intensity light [15, 16, 17] has renewed the interest on those schemes. Furthermore, schemes for generation involving cavity **QED** with a nonlinear medium, based on atomic conditional measurements have also been proposed [18].

In this paper, we present a method that does not depend on conditional measurements. We have found that, the **JCM** with a nonlinear *Kerr*-like medium, under suitable combinations of the atom-field detuning  $\delta$  and the nonlinear parameter  $\chi^{(3)}$  and for an initial field prepared either in a coherent state or in a statistical mixture of two coherent states, makes possible a *Schrödinger* cat state generation with higher fidelity than the **JCM** without a nonlinear medium. We would like to remark that in the ordinary **JCM**, the initial field in a coherent state evolves to an almost pure (*Schrödinger* cat) state, as reported in [13], and if we start with a statistical mixture of two coherent states, only a tendency of purification occurs [14, 19]. The possibility of generating superpositions of coherent states in the **JCM** with a nonlinear *Kerr*-like medium with an atom-field detuning has not been yet addressed in the literature.

This paper is organized as follows: in section II we introduce the model and obtain the evolution operator in the **RWA** approximation. In section III we present the numerical results of some fundamental quantities and show how to obtain the condition for a periodic dynamics. In section IV, we discuss the main results and present our conclusions.

---

\*Electronic address: pmunhoz@ifi.unicamp.br

†Electronic address: vidiella@ifi.unicamp.br

## II. MODEL

In this section we describe the interaction of a two-level atom with a high-Q single-mode cavity filled with a nonlinear *Kerr*-like medium, which can be modelled as an anharmonic oscillator [20]. The cavity field is coupled with both the two-level atom and the nonlinear medium. If the response time of the nonlinear medium is sufficiently small we can adiabatically eliminate the photon-photon coupling, i.e., considering the field and nonlinear medium frequencies far from each other [21]. Then, the total Hamiltonian of the system, with the adiabatic, **RWA** and dipole approximations, can be written as [22]

$$\mathbf{H} = \hbar\omega_0 \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_{eg} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_z + \hbar\chi^{(3)} \mathbf{a}^{\dagger 2} \mathbf{a}^2 + \hbar\Omega(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_- + \mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_+), \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega_0$  ( $\omega_{eg}$ ) is the cavity field (atomic transition) frequency,  $\mathbf{a}^\dagger$  ( $\mathbf{a}$ ) is the creation (annihilation) operator of the cavity mode obeying  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger] = \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_z = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_+ = |e\rangle\langle g|$  and  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_- = |g\rangle\langle e|$  are the standard *Pauli* matrices operators, where  $|e\rangle$  ( $|g\rangle$ ) refer to the excited (ground) atom state,  $\Omega$  is the atom-field coupling constant and  $\chi^{(3)}$  is the nonlinear parameter, proportional to the dispersive part of the third-order nonlinear susceptibility [23].

Following the approach of *Stenholm* [24], we delineate the main steps to obtain the exact (under the **RWA**) time evolution operator for this model. After some algebra, we can rewrite Eq. (1) as

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{H}_{\text{int}}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \hbar\omega_0(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_z), \quad (3a)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{int}} = \mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}_2, \quad (3b)$$

with

$$\mathbf{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\chi^{(3)} + \hbar\chi^{(3)}[(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a})^2 + \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_z] - \hbar\chi^{(3)}(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_z), \quad (4a)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_2 = \hbar[\frac{\delta}{2} - \chi^{(3)}(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{2})]\boldsymbol{\sigma}_z - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\chi^{(3)} + \hbar\Omega(\mathbf{a}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma}_- + \mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_+), \quad (4b)$$

where  $\delta = \omega_{eg} - \omega_0$  is the atom-field detuning.

We have verified that the Eq. (3a) and Eq. (3b) commute, and, therefore we may write  $\mathbf{H}_I = \mathbf{U}_0(t)\mathbf{H}_{\text{int}}\mathbf{U}_0^\dagger(t) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{int}}$ , which is just the Hamiltonian in the interaction picture. Hence, the respective time evolution operator is given by

$$\mathbf{U}_I(t) = \mathbf{U}_1(t)\mathbf{U}_2(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{H}_1 t\right) \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{H}_2 t\right), \quad (5)$$

where the exponentials have been decoupled. After some manipulation we obtain the following form

$$\mathbf{U}_I(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{E}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{n+1} & \mathbf{B}_{n+1}^\dagger \\ -\mathbf{B}_{n+1} & \mathbf{A}_n^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

with

$$\mathbf{E}_{n+1} = e^{-i\chi^{(3)} \mathbf{n}^2 t}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{n+1} = \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{n+1} t\right) - i\gamma_{n+1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{n+1} t\right)}{\Omega_{n+1}}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{n+1} = 2i\Omega \mathbf{a}^\dagger \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{n+1} t\right)}{\Omega_{n+1}}, \quad (7c)$$

where

$$\Omega_{n+1} = \sqrt{\gamma_{n+1}^2 + 4\Omega^2(\mathbf{n} + 1)}, \quad (8)$$

and  $\gamma_{n+1} = \delta - 2\chi^{(3)} \mathbf{n}$ .

We would like to remark that the result above has been already obtained, in another context, in Ref. [25].

### III. ATOM-FIELD DYNAMICS

Considering an uncorrelated initial atom-field state, i.e.,

$$\rho = \rho_a \otimes \rho_f, \quad (9)$$

where  $\rho_a$  ( $\rho_f$ ) is the initial atom (field) density operator. In what follows, we are going to consider the atom initially in the excited state[38]  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  and the initial field either the coherent state  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  or in the equally weighted statistical mixture of two coherent states  $\rho_f^{\text{sm}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |-\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|)$ . In all cases  $\alpha = |\alpha|e^{i\phi}$ , and we will fix  $|\alpha| = \sqrt{n} = 5$ . The general form of the initial field state in the *Fock* state basis is given by

$$\rho_f = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m} |n\rangle\langle m|, \quad (10)$$

where  $\rho_{n,m} = \langle n|\rho_f|m\rangle$  are the initial field matrix elements for the two fields considered above

$$\rho_{n,m}^{\text{cs}} = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \frac{\alpha^n \alpha^{*m}}{\sqrt{n!m!}}, \quad (11a)$$

$$\rho_{n,m}^{\text{sm}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \frac{\alpha^n \alpha^{*m}}{\sqrt{n!m!}} [1 + (-1)^{n+m}]. \quad (11b)$$

Hence, the evolved atom-field state is given by

$$\rho(t) = U_I(t) \rho U_I^\dagger(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{ee}(t) & \rho_{eg}(t) \\ \rho_{ge}(t) & \rho_{gg}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

whose elements in the atomic basis are

$$\rho_{ee}(t) = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m} E_{n+1} E_{m+1}^* A_{n+1} A_{m+1}^* |n\rangle\langle m|, \quad (13a)$$

$$\rho_{eg}(t) = - \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m} E_{n+1} E_{m+1}^* A_{n+1} B_{m+1}^* |n\rangle\langle m+1|, \quad (13b)$$

$$\rho_{ge}(t) = - \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m} E_{n+1} E_{m+1}^* B_{n+1} A_{m+1}^* |n+1\rangle\langle m|, \quad (13c)$$

$$\rho_{gg}(t) = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m} E_{n+1} E_{m+1}^* B_{n+1} B_{m+1}^* |n+1\rangle\langle m+1|, \quad (13d)$$

with

$$E_{n+1} = e^{-i\chi^{(3)} n^2 t}, \quad (14a)$$

$$A_{n+1} = \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{n+1}t\right) - i\gamma_{n+1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{n+1}t\right)}{\Omega_{n+1}}, \quad (14b)$$

$$B_{n+1} = 2i\Omega\sqrt{n+1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{n+1}t\right)}{\Omega_{n+1}}, \quad (14c)$$

being

$$\Omega_{n+1} = \sqrt{\gamma_{n+1}^2 + 4\Omega^2(n+1)} \quad (15)$$

the generalized *Rabi* frequency, with  $\gamma_{n+1} = \delta - 2\chi^{(3)}n$ .

Once that  $\rho(t)$  belongs to the trace class operators acting in the space corresponding to the direct product in Eq. (9) we can trace over the field variables in Eq. (12) to obtain the reduced atomic density operator

$$\rho_a(t) = \text{Tr}_f[\rho(t)] = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ee} & \lambda_{eg} \\ \lambda_{eg}^* & \lambda_{gg} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$




FIG. 1: Atomic inversion as a function of  $t/t_r$  when the field is initially in  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  or  $\rho_f^{\text{sm}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |-\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|)$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and the atom is initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  with  $\delta = 0$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0$ .

where  $\lambda_{ij} = \sum_n \langle n | \rho_{ij}(t) | n \rangle$ . Using Eq. (13a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(t) = & \sum_n \rho_{n,n} |A_{n+1}|^2 |e\rangle\langle e| + \sum_n \rho_{n,n} |B_{n+1}|^2 |g\rangle\langle g| \\ & - \sum_n (\rho_{n+1,n} e^{-i\chi^{(3)}(2n+1)t} A_{n+2} B_{n+1}^* |e\rangle\langle g| + \text{c.c.}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Analogously, by tracing over the atomic variables, we obtain the (reduced) field density operator

$$\rho_f(t) = \text{Tr}_a[\rho(t)] = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m}(t) |n\rangle\langle m|, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\rho_{n,m}(t) = \langle n | \rho_f(t) | m \rangle = E_{n+1} E_{m+1}^* (\rho_{n,m} A_{n+1} A_{m+1}^* + \rho_{n-1,m-1} e^{2i\chi^{(3)}(n-m)t} B_n B_m^*), \quad (19)$$

are the evolved field matrix elements.

### A. Atomic Inversion

A quantity usually measured in experimental cavity **QED** is the atomic population inversion [26, 27], defined as the difference between the probabilities of finding the atom in the excited state and in the ground state. Here the atomic inversion is given by

$$\mathcal{W}(t) = \text{Tr}_a[\sigma_z \rho_a(t)] = \sum_n P_n (|A_{n+1}|^2 - |B_{n+1}|^2), \quad (20)$$

where  $P_n = \rho_{n,n} = \langle n | \rho_f | n \rangle$  is the initial field photon number distribution.

It is well known that the atomic inversion is very sensitive to the initial field photon number distribution  $P_n$ . For the field in the *Fock* state  $\rho_f^n = |n\rangle\langle n|$  we have  $P_n = \delta_{n,m}$ , resulting a sinusoidal behaviour for  $\mathcal{W}(t)$ . If the initial field is the thermal state  $\rho_f^{\text{th}} = \sum_n P_n^{\text{th}} |n\rangle\langle n|$  we have  $P_n^{\text{th}} = \bar{n}^n / (\bar{n} + 1)^{n+1}$ , and a more irregular behaviour occurs [27]. For the field states considered in this paper we have  $P_n^{\text{cs}} = P_n^{\text{sm}} = e^{-|\alpha|^2} |\alpha|^{2n} / n!$  so that the atomic response, at least regarding the atomic inversion, is the same in either case. The atomic inversion reveals non-classical features: the *Rabi* frequency oscillations present collapses and revivals [28]. In Fig. 1, we plot the atomic inversion as a function of  $t/t_r$ , where  $t_r$  is the revival time and with  $\delta = \chi^{(3)} = 0$ . The pattern of oscillations is characteristic of the ordinary **JCM** atomic dynamics.




FIG. 2: Atomic inversion as a function of  $t/t_r$  when the field is initially in  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  or  $\rho_f^{\text{sm}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |-\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|)$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and the atom is initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  with  $\delta = \delta_c = 4.8\Omega$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0.1\Omega$ .

### B. Linear Rabi Frequency

We would like to find under which circumstances we may have a periodic dynamics. One way of doing that is to treat the *Rabi* frequency as a continuous quantity, so that we may expand Eq. (15) around the initial mean photon number  $\bar{n}$

$$\Omega_{n+1} = \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \left. \frac{\partial^k \Omega_{n+1}}{\partial n^k} \right|_{n=\bar{n}} (n - \bar{n})^k. \quad (21)$$

The first term above governs the rapid oscillations in the *Rabi* frequency while the remaining terms generates the envelopes (revivals, super-revivals and so forth). It is well-know that two successive terms (in the discrete spectrum) of *Rabi* frequency, i.e.,  $\Omega_{\bar{n}+1}$  and  $\Omega_{\bar{n}}$  have a  $2\pi$  phase difference, so that the the revival time is given by

$$t_r = 2\pi \dot{\Omega}_{n+1}^{-1} \Big|_{n=\bar{n}} = \pi \left| \frac{\Omega_{\bar{n}+1}}{\Delta_{\bar{n}+1}} \right|, \quad (22)$$

where  $\Delta_{\bar{n}+1} = \Omega^2 - \chi^{(3)}\gamma_{\bar{n}+1}$ . If only the first two terms in Eq. (21) are nonzero, the *Rabi* frequency exhibits a perfectly periodic behaviour [29]. This is the case, e.g., for the intensity-dependent **JCM** [14, 30]. We show that it also may be the case for the **JCM** with a *Kerr*-like medium: from the second order derivative of the *Rabi* frequency,

$$\ddot{\Omega}_{n+1} \Big|_{n=\bar{n}} = 4 \left| \frac{\chi^{(3)2} \Omega_{\bar{n}+1}^2 - \Delta_{\bar{n}+1}^2}{\Omega_{\bar{n}+1}^3} \right|, \quad (23)$$

we have  $\ddot{\Omega}_{\bar{n}+1} = 0$  if  $\Delta_{\bar{n}+1} = \chi^{(3)}\Omega_{\bar{n}+1}$  or equivalently

$$\delta_c = \frac{\Omega^2}{2\chi^{(3)}} - 2\chi^{(3)}, \quad (\text{for all } n). \quad (24)$$

It is clear from Eq. (21) that all higher-order derivatives up to the first-order vanish when  $\delta = \delta_c$ . This condition determines the periodic behaviour in the dynamics of the model. As a first illustration of that, we plot in Fig. 2, the atomic inversion for the same conditions of Fig 1, but satisfying the relation for  $\delta_c$  in Eq. (24) above which assures the periodic behaviour. Furthermore, if we insert Eq. (24) in Eq. (22), we obtain

$$t_r = \frac{\pi}{\chi^{(3)}}, \quad (25)$$

and Eq. (15) becomes

$$\Omega_{n+1} = \delta_c + 2\chi^{(3)}(n + 2). \quad (26)$$




FIG. 3: Field Purity as a function of  $t/t_r$  when the field is initially in (I)  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  or (II)  $\rho_f^{\text{sm}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |-\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|)$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and the atom is initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  with  $\delta = 0$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0$ .

We remark that similar results were obtained, in another context: in the two-photon **JCM** with *Kerr*-like medium [23] and in [31], where the authors obtained the linearized *Rabi* frequency, although they just discussed the behaviour of the atomic inversion and used a strong-field approximation ( $\bar{n}^2 \gg \bar{n}$ ) to obtain the evolution operator. We have parametrized the variable time as  $t/t_r$ . This allows a better comparison among the different plots in terms of the revival time  $t_r$ , which depends on the values taken for  $\delta$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$  as we see from Eq. (22).

We would like now to comment about the physical relevance of the values of  $\delta$  taken in this paper, i.e., if they are consistent with the **RWA**. From experimental realizations in microwave cavity **QED** [11, 26, 27, 32], we have that  $\Omega \sim 10^4 \text{Hz}$ ,  $\omega_{eg} \sim 10^6 \text{Hz}$ , and  $\omega_0 \sim 10^{10} \text{Hz}$ . Here we are considering  $\delta \sim 10^2 \Omega \text{Hz}$  which is consistent with the **RWA** once that  $\delta \sim 10^{-4} \omega_0 \ll \omega_0$ .

### C. Field Purity

A very useful operational measure of the field state purity is given by the linear entropy

$$\zeta_f(t) = 1 - \text{Tr}_f[\rho_f^2(t)] = 1 - \sum_{n,m} |\rho_{n,m}(t)|^2. \quad (27)$$

In Fig. 3, we plot the linear entropy for the resonant case and in the absence of the *Kerr*-like medium, i.e., with  $\delta = \chi^{(3)} = 0$ .

It is well-known [13] that at half of the revival time (collapse region), the initial coherent field evolves to state very close to a pure (*Schrödinger* cat) state, Fig. 3-I, whereas for an initial statistical mixture of coherent states, we verify a less pronounced tendency of “purification”, as shown in Fig. 3-II. [19].

The situation is very different if we consider the condition that gives a periodic dynamics. In Fig. 4 we plot the field purity for the same condition as considered in the calculation of the atomic inversion. The initial coherent field evolves to a pure state (a superposition of two coherent states, as we show in what follows) at each collapse time and returns to the initial state at each revival time, Fig. 4-I. Remarkably, an initial statistical mixture of two coherent states field also evolves to a pure state (also a superposition of two coherent states) at each collapse time and returns to the initial state at each revival time. As seen in the atomic inversion plot in Fig. 2, the initial atomic state is recovered at each revival time.

### D. Q and Wigner Functions

In this subsection we consider the field dynamics from the point of view of the *Q* and the *Wigner* functions. The *Q*-function is a quasi-probability distribution which is the *Fourier* transform of the anti-normally ordered quantum




FIG. 4: Linear entropy as a function of  $t/t_r$  when the field is initially in (I)  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  or (II)  $\rho_f^{\text{sm}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |-\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|)$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and the atom is initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  with  $\delta = \delta_c = 4.8\Omega$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0.1\Omega$ .

characteristic function [33, 34]. For the field calculated here, the Q-function is given by

$$Q(\beta, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \beta | \rho_f(t) | \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|\beta|^2} \sum_{n,m} \rho_{n,m}(t) \frac{\beta^n \beta^{*m}}{\sqrt{n!m!}}, \quad (28)$$

where  $|\beta\rangle$  is a coherent state  $\beta = \Re(\beta) + i\Im(\beta)$ .

The time evolution of the Q-function is presented as an external animation to this paper. The animation can be downloaded [39] from here. In discussions found in the literature, Q-functions are plotted at some specific times only Refs. [19, 23], and this is the first time, as far as we know, that its evolution is shown as a form of animation. Interesting results arise when the dynamics is periodic: there is a relation between fractions of the revival time and the number of peaks in which the initial coherent field splits (or recombines). For instance, at half-revival (collapse) time, or in the second fraction of revival time, the field becomes basically a pure (*Schrödinger* cat) state, represented by two peaks and an interference (oscillating) structure in phase-space. A similar behavior occurs if the initial field is a statistical mixture of two coherent states; a pure *Schrödinger* cat state is generated at half-revival time, although the initial state is a mixed state.

For a better visualization of the field state generated at the collapse time we consider the *Wigner* function, a quasi-probability distribution given by the *Fourier* transform of the symmetrically ordered characteristic function [34]. Alternatively it may be written as [35]

$$W(\beta, t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_n (-1)^n \langle n, \beta | \rho_f(t) | n, \beta \rangle = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n,m} (-1)^n \rho_{n,m}(t) \langle m | \mathbf{D}(2\beta) | n \rangle, \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathbf{D}(2\beta) = e^{2(\beta a^\dagger - \beta^* a)}$  is the *Glauber* displacement operator and

$$\langle m | \mathbf{D}(2\beta) | n \rangle = \begin{cases} e^{-2|\beta|^2} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{m!}} (2\beta)^{m-n} L_n^{(m-n)}(4|\beta|^2) & (m \geq n), \\ e^{-2|\beta|^2} \sqrt{\frac{m!}{n!}} (-2\beta^*)^{n-m} L_m^{(n-m)}(4|\beta|^2) & (m \leq n), \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where  $L_m^{(n-m)}(4|\beta|^2)$  are the associated *Laguerre* polynomials [36].

In Fig. 5 we plot the *Wigner* function, at the collapse time, for the ordinary **JCM** when the field is initially in the coherent state and the atom is initially excited. That corresponds to a state very close to a *Schrödinger* cat state, in agreement with our field purity analysis, Fig. 3-I.

When the condition for periodicity is fulfilled, the *Wigner* function at the corresponding time gives the state depicted in Fig. 6. We clearly see the field is much closer to a *Schrödinger* cat state in this case. As we shall see, when the field is initially is the coherent state, all values of  $\delta_c$  provides a *Schrödinger* cat state generation at each collapse time, each one with a specific relative phase in the superposition. In appendix A we discuss the situation of large detuning (dispersive approximation) based on an effective hamiltonian [37].




FIG. 5: *Wigner function* at  $t = \frac{1}{2}t_r$  when the field is initially in  $\rho_f^{cs} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and the atom is initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  with  $\delta = 0$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0$ .




FIG. 6: *Wigner function* at  $t = \frac{1}{2}t_r$  when the field is initially in  $\rho_f^{cs} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and the atom is initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  with  $\delta = \delta_c = 4.8\Omega$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0.1\Omega$ .

### E. Mean Photon Number

Now we present the procedure adopted to determine the relative phases of the superposition attained at each collapse time, depending on the values of  $\delta$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$  which satisfy Eq. (24). The first thing to note is that the initial mean photon number at the collapse time may not be the same as the initial one. To verify this we consider the mean photon number given by

$$\bar{n}(t) = \text{Tr}_f[\rho_f(t)\mathbf{n}] = \sum_n P_n(t)n, \quad (31)$$

which, for the model presented here, is given by

$$P_n(t) = \rho_{n,n}(t) = \langle n|\rho_f(t)|n\rangle = P_n|A_{n+1}|^2 + P_{n-1}|B_n|^2. \quad (32)$$

As shown in Tab. I, for each combination of  $\delta$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$  we have a specific mean photon number at the collapse time.



TABLE I: Mean photon number at  $t = \frac{1}{2}t_r$  when the field is initially in  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  or  $\rho_f^{\text{sm}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| + |-\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|)$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and the atom is initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  for different values of  $\delta$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$ .

$\chi^{(3)}$	0	$0.5\Omega$	$0.4\Omega$	$0.3\Omega$	$0.2\Omega$	$0.106\bar{\Omega}$	$0.1\Omega$	$0.05\Omega$	$0.01\Omega$	$0.005\Omega$
$\delta$	0	0	$0.45\Omega$	$1.06\bar{\Omega}$	$2.1\Omega$	$4.47416\bar{\Omega}$	$4.8\Omega$	$9.9\Omega$	$49.98\Omega$	$99.99\Omega$
$\bar{n}(\frac{1}{2}t_r)$	25.500	25.074	25.110	25.179	25.316	25.493	25.495	25.324	25.020	25.005

TABLE II: Field fidelity at  $t = \frac{1}{2}t_r$  for the field initially in  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$  ( $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\phi = 0$ ) and atom initially in  $\rho_a = |e\rangle\langle e|$  for different values of  $\delta$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$  with the respective relative phase  $\vartheta$ .

$\chi^{(3)}$	0	$0.5\Omega$	$0.4\Omega$	$0.3\Omega$	$0.2\Omega$	$0.106\bar{\Omega}$	$0.1\Omega$	$0.05\Omega$	$0.01\Omega$	$0.005\Omega$
$\delta$	0	0	$0.45\Omega$	$1.06\bar{\Omega}$	$2.1\Omega$	$4.47416\bar{\Omega}$	$4.8\Omega$	$9.9\Omega$	$49.98\Omega$	$99.99\Omega$
$\vartheta$	$1.21\pi$	$0.45\pi$	$0.52\pi$	$0.4\pi$	$0.7\pi$	$\pi$	0	$1.23\pi$	$1.49\pi$	$1.5\pi$
$\mathcal{F}_f(\frac{1}{2}t_r)$	0.7872	0.9674	0.9418	0.9231	0.8751	0.9883	0.9924	0.9318	0.9897	0.9973

### F. Field Fidelity

To obtain the values of the relative phase  $\vartheta$ , of the superposition attained at the collapse time, we calculate the field fidelity, defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_f(t) = \text{Tr}_f[\rho_f \rho_f(t)] = \sum_{n,m} \rho_{m,n} \rho_{n,m}(t), \quad (33)$$

so that the evolved field state equals the initial field state if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_f(t) = 1$ . For that, we compare the field state obtained at  $t = \frac{1}{2}t_r$ , when the condition for the periodic dynamics is satisfied, to the following (*Schrödinger cat*) state

$$|\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta\rangle = \mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle + e^{i\vartheta} |-\tilde{\alpha}\rangle), \quad (34)$$

i.e.,  $\rho_f = \rho_f^{\text{cat}} = |\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta|$ , where  $\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{-2|\tilde{\alpha}|^2} \cos \vartheta)^{-1}$  is the normalization constant. From the mean photon number values at the collapse time we have  $|\tilde{\alpha}| = \sqrt{\bar{n}(\frac{1}{2}t_r)}$  where  $\tilde{\alpha} = |\tilde{\alpha}|e^{i\tilde{\phi}}$  where the value  $\tilde{\phi} = \pi/2$  was obtained from the previous analysis of the Q-function. We then vary the values of the phase  $\vartheta$  at the collapse time until we obtain  $\mathcal{F}_f(t) \approx 1$ . The results are presented in Tab. II for the initial coherent field state and the atom excited for different values of  $\delta$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$ . Apart from the first combination (ordinary **JCM**), all the others satisfy Eq. (24) and we have the generation of a *Schrödinger cat* state at each collapse time with a specific relative phase  $\vartheta$ . We have also found that, for an initial coherent field state with  $\phi = \pi$ , i.e.,  $\rho_f^{\text{cs}} = |-\alpha\rangle\langle-\alpha|$ , the relative phase of the superposition generated is given by  $-\vartheta$ , instead. We have paid special attention to the combinations (i)  $\delta = 4.8\Omega$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0.1\Omega$ , which generates an even coherent state ( $\vartheta = 0$ ), and (ii)  $\delta = 4.47416\bar{\Omega}$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0.106\bar{\Omega}$ , which generates an odd coherent state ( $\vartheta = \pi$ ): those combinations are the only ones that enable the generation of a *Schrödinger cat* state at each collapse time when the initial field state is either a coherent state or a statistical mixture of two coherent states. In appendix B we (analytically) show how such results could be understood.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have investigated the dynamics of a field in a lossless cavity interacting with a two-level atom in the presence of a nonlinear *Kerr*-like medium. Using the density operator formalism, we have obtained the exact (**RWA**) evolution operator for this model. We have found that the dynamics of the **JCM** with a *Kerr*-like medium is considerably richer than shown in the literature. The parameters  $\delta$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$  may combine in a way that new and interesting features are revealed: for instance, we may obtain a periodic dynamics, contrarily to what happens in the ordinary **JCM**.

In particular, we observed that the periodic dynamics, dictated by  $\delta_c$ , allows us to recover the initial state at each revival time. We have also found that an initially coherent field generates an almost exact superposition of coherent states (*Schrödinger cat* state) at each collapse time. Moreover, we have found the condition for which the

field initially in a statistical mixture of two coherent states evolves towards a pure state, e.g., to an even coherent state. The advantage of our generation method is that it relies on the unitary dynamical evolution and does not depend on conditional measurements of the atomic state. The generation of a pure field state is of course achieved at the expense of the purity of the atomic states, i.e., the atomic electron ends up in a mixed state. Such a pure state generation may be understood very nicely from the phase space point of view: the overlap of Q-functions from distinct branches is almost perfect at certain times, meaning that a pure state (*Schrödinger cat*) has been generated. We have also calculated the cavity field Q-function for successive (close enough) times in a way that we could produce an animation of the complete evolution of the Q-function from  $t = 0$  until half of the revival time.

### Acknowledgements

One of us (P. P. M.) would like to thank A. F. Gomes, F. L. Semião, M. A. Marchioli, R. M. Angelo, and R. J. Messori for valuable suggestions and discussions. We thank the financial support by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) and FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo).

### APPENDIX A: ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE DISPERSIVE LIMIT

We consider here the usual procedure to obtain the dispersive Hamiltonian for the **JCM** [37], but including a *Kerr-like* medium. The dressed states maintain their usual form

$$|+, n\rangle = \sin \theta_{n+1} |e, n\rangle + \cos \theta_{n+1} |g, n+1\rangle, \quad (\text{A1a})$$

$$|-, n\rangle = -\cos \theta_{n+1} |e, n\rangle + \sin \theta_{n+1} |g, n+1\rangle, \quad (\text{A1b})$$

but the coefficients are given by

$$\sin \theta_{n+1} = \frac{\omega_{n+1}}{\sqrt{(\Omega_{n+1} - \gamma_{n+1})^2 + \omega_{n+1}^2}}, \quad (\text{A2a})$$

$$\cos \theta_{n+1} = \frac{(\Omega_{n+1} - \gamma_{n+1})}{\sqrt{(\Omega_{n+1} - \gamma_{n+1})^2 + \omega_{n+1}^2}}, \quad (\text{A2b})$$

where  $\omega_{n+1} = 2\Omega\sqrt{n+1}$ . The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$E_{\pm, n} = \hbar\omega_0(n + \frac{1}{2}) + \hbar\chi^{(3)}n^2 \pm \frac{1}{2}\hbar\Omega_{n+1}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The dispersive limit is obtained when we consider  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{int}}$ , Eq. (3b), as a small perturbation of the whole Hamiltonian [37]. It is equivalent to make

$$|\delta| \gg \omega_{n+1}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

for any “relevant”  $n$  [40]. Under that condition, Eq. (A3) becomes

$$E_{\pm, n} \approx \hbar\omega_0(n + \frac{1}{2}) \pm \frac{1}{2}\hbar|\delta| + \hbar\chi^{(3)}n(n \mp 1) \pm \frac{\hbar\Omega^2}{|\delta|}(n+1). \quad (\text{A5})$$

This means that we can employ the following effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{eff}} = \hbar\omega_0 \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_{eg} \sigma_z + \hbar\chi^{(3)} \mathbf{a}^{\dagger 2} \mathbf{a}^2 + \frac{\hbar\Omega^2}{\delta} (\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \sigma_z + \sigma_+ \sigma_-). \quad (\text{A6})$$

Analogously to the calculation of section II, we have the evolution operator for the dispersive limit given by

$$\mathbf{U}_I^{\text{eff}}(t) = e^{-i\chi^{(3)}(n^2 - n)t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\Omega^2}{\delta}(n+1)t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\Omega^2}{\delta}nt} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

so that we may write the evolved field state as

$$|\psi_f(t)\rangle = \sum_n c_n e^{-i\chi^{(3)}n^2 t} e^{i\chi^{(3)}nt} e^{-i\frac{\Omega^2}{\delta}nt} |n\rangle, \quad (\text{A8})$$

where  $c_n = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$  is the coherent state photon statistics. We are now able to demonstrate the initial field state being recovered at each revival time and the generation of a *Schrödinger* cat state at each collapse time:

**Case 1:** When  $\delta = \delta_c$ , e.g.,  $\delta = 49.98\Omega$  and  $\chi^{(3)} = 0.01\Omega$ , we recover the initial state at  $t_r = \pi/\chi^{(3)}$

$$|\psi_f(t_r)\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (e^{-i\pi \frac{\Omega^2}{\delta \chi^{(3)}}} \alpha)^n |n\rangle \approx |\alpha\rangle. \quad (\text{A9})$$

We remark that this result can be easily generalized for any initial field state.

**Case 2:** Similarly, at  $\frac{1}{2}t_r = \pi/2\chi^{(3)}$  the field evolves to

$$|\psi_f(\frac{1}{2}t_r)\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2} \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (i\alpha)^n e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}n^2} |n\rangle, \quad (\text{A10})$$

and, using  $e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}n^2} = \frac{1}{2}(1+i)(e^{-i\pi n} - i)$  and multiplying by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i)$ , we have

$$|\psi_f(\frac{1}{2}t_r)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|-ie^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\Omega^2}{\delta \chi^{(3)}}}\alpha\rangle - i|ie^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\Omega^2}{\delta \chi^{(3)}}}\alpha\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|i\alpha\rangle - i|-i\alpha\rangle), \quad (\text{A11})$$

in agreement to the numerical result  $e^{i\vartheta} = e^{i1.49\pi} \approx -i$ .

## APPENDIX B: FROM A STATISTICAL MIXTURE TO THE SCHRÖDINGER CAT

In the numerical analysis we have noted that the field initially in a coherent state with  $\phi = 0$  evolves to a *Schrödinger* cat with  $\vartheta$  and the field initially in a coherent state with  $\phi = \pi$  evolves to a *Schrödinger* cat with  $-\vartheta$ . Therefore, it is reasonable to suppose that the field initially prepared in a statistical mixture of those two coherent states evolves to the state

$$\rho_f^{\text{sm cat}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta| + |\tilde{\alpha}; -\vartheta\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}; -\vartheta|), \quad (\text{B1})$$

i.e., a statistical mixture of two *Schrödinger* cat states with the same relative phase except for a minus sign. Finally, the reason why only even and odd coherent states are obtained during the evolution of an initial statistical mixture of two coherent states becomes clear by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f^{\text{cat}} &= |\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta| = \mathcal{C}[|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}| + |-\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle-\tilde{\alpha}| + \cos\vartheta(|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle-\tilde{\alpha}| + |-\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}|) \\ &\quad - i\sin\vartheta(|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle-\tilde{\alpha}| - |-\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}|)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_f^{\text{sm cat}} &= \frac{1}{2}(|\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}; \vartheta| + |\tilde{\alpha}; -\vartheta\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}; -\vartheta|) \\ &= \mathcal{C}[|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}| + |-\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle-\tilde{\alpha}| + \cos\vartheta(|\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle-\tilde{\alpha}| + |-\tilde{\alpha}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}|)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

are equal only if  $\vartheta = k\pi$  ( $k$  integer), i.e., only for the even and odd coherent states.

- 
- [1] E.T. Jaynes and F.W. Cummings, Proc. IEEE **51**, 89 (1963).
  - [2] B.W. Shore and P.L. Knight, J. Mod. Opt. **40**, 1195 (1993).
  - [3] A. Messina, S. Maniscalco, and A. Napoli, J. Mod. Opt. **50**, 1 (2003).
  - [4] J.D. Trimmer, Proc. Am. Phys. Soc. **124**, 3325 (1980).
  - [5] B. Yurke and D. Stoler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 13 (1986).
  - [6] B.M. Garraway, B. Sherman, H. Moya-Cessa, P.L. Knight, and G. Kuriski, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 535 (1994).
  - [7] G.C. Guo and S.B. Zheng, Phys. Lett. A **223**, 332 (1996).
  - [8] L. Davidovich, M. Brune, J.M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 1295 (1996).
  - [9] M. Paternostro, M.S. Kim, and B.S. Ham, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 023811 (2003).
  - [10] M. Brune, S. Haroche, J.M. Raimond, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury, Phys. Rev. A **45**, 5193 (1992).
  - [11] M. Brune, E. Hagley, J. Dreyer, X. Maître, A. Maali, C. Wunderlich, J.M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4887 (1996).

- [12] C. Monroe, D.M. Meekof, B.E. King, and D.J. Wineland, *Science* **272**, 1131 (1996).
- [13] J. Gea-Banacloche, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 3385 (1987).
- [14] D.S. Freitas, A. Vidiella-Barranco, and J.A. Roversi, *Phys. Lett. A* **249**, 275 (1998).
- [15] A. Imamoglu, H. Schmidt, G. Woods, and M. Deutsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1467 (1997).
- [16] S. Rebić, S.M. Tan, A.S. Parkins, and D.F. Walls, *J. Opt. B* **1**, 490 (1999).
- [17] H. Kang and Y. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 093601 (2003).
- [18] W. Wei and G.C. Guo, *Acta Phys. Sin.* **7**, 174 (1998).
- [19] A. Vidiella-Barranco, H. Moya-Cessa, and V. Bužek, *J. Mod. Opt.* **39**, 1441 (1992).
- [20] G. S. Agarwal and R. R. Puri, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 2969 (1989).
- [21] V. Bužek and I. Jex, *Opt. Commun.* **78**, 425 (1990).
- [22] A. Bandyopadhyay and G. Gangopadhyay, *J. Mod. Opt.* **43**, 487 (1996).
- [23] A. Joshi and R.R. Puri, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 5056 (1992).
- [24] S. Stenholm, *Phys. Rep. C* **6**, 1 (1973).
- [25] R.H. Xie, G.O. Xu, and D.H. Liu, *Aust. J. Phys.* **48**, 907 (1995).
- [26] M. Brune, F. Schmidt-Kaler, A. Maali, J. Dreyer, E. Hagley, J.M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 1800 (1996).
- [27] S.J.D. Phoenix and P.L. Knight, *Ann. Phys.* **186**, 381 (1988).
- [28] N.B. Narozhny, J.J. Snchez-Mondragn, and J.H. Eberly, *Phys. Rev. A* **23**, 236 (1981).
- [29] M. Kozierowski, *J. Mod. Opt.* **48**, 773 (2001).
- [30] B. Buck and C.V. Sukumar, *Phys. Lett. A* **81**, 132 (1981).
- [31] S.D. Du, S.Q. Gong, Z.Z. Xu, and C.D. Gong, *Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **9**, 941 (1997).
- [32] G. Rempe, H. Walther, and N. Klein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 353 (1987).
- [33] M. Hillery, R.F. O'Connell, M.O. Scully, and E.P. Wigner, *Phys. Rep.* **106**, 121 (1984).
- [34] K.E. Cahill and R.J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 1882 (1969).
- [35] H. Moya-Cessa and P.L. Knight, *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 2479 (1993).
- [36] A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* ((Springer-Verlag, Berlin), 1986).
- [37] J.G. Peixoto de Faria and M.C. Nemes, *Phys. Rev. A* **59**, 3918 (1999).
- [38] The extension to a more general initial atomic state like  $\rho_a = \sum_{i,j=g}^e \rho_{i,j} |i\rangle\langle j|$  where  $\rho_{i,j} = \langle i|\rho_a|j\rangle$ , may be easily performed.
- [39] It is necessary to download an explanatory file from <http://www.ifi.unicamp.br/~pmunhoz/anime.pdf> and follow the instructions.
- [40] By relevant we consider the states with significant probability  $P_n = \langle n|\rho_f|n\rangle$  of population for the field under consideration.