

An analogue of the Hom functor and a generalized nuclear democracy theorem

Haisheng Li

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University-Camden, Camden, NJ 08102

Abstract. We give an analogue of the Hom functor and prove a generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem of Tsuchiya and Kanie by using a notion of tensor product for two modules for a vertex operator algebra.

1 Introduction

The notion of vertex operator algebra ([B], [FHL], [FLM]) is the algebraic counterpart of the notion of what is now usually called “chiral algebra” in conformal field theory, and vertex operator algebra theory generalizes the theories of affine Lie algebras, the Virasoro algebra and representations (cf. [B], [DL], [FLM], [FZ]). It has been well known (cf. [FZ], [L1]) that the irreducible highest weight modules (usually called the vacuum representations) $L(\ell, 0)$ for an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of level ℓ and $L(c, 0)$ for the Virasoro algebra with central charge c have natural vertex operator algebra structures. If ℓ is a positive integer, it was proved ([DL], [FZ], [L1]) that the category of $L(\ell, 0)$ -modules is a semi-simple category whose irreducible objects are irreducible highest weight integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level ℓ (cf. [K]). If $c = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$, where $p, q \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ are relatively prime, it was proved ([DMZ], [W]) that the category of $L(c, 0)$ -modules is also a semi-simple category whose irreducible objects are exactly those irreducible Virasoro algebra modules $L(c, h)$ listed in [BPZ]. These give the rationality (defined in Section 2) of $L(\ell, 0)$ and $L(c, 0)$.

To state our results, let us start with definitions of intertwining operator. In the minimal models, an intertwining operator from $L(c, h_2)$ to $L(c, h_3)$ was defined in [BPZ]

to be a primary field operator $\Phi(x)$ of weight h , *i.e.*, $\Phi(x) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L(c, h_2), L(c, h_3))\{x\}$ satisfying the following relation:

$$[L(m), \Phi(x)] = x^m \left((m+1)h + x \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Phi(x) \quad (1.1)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For WZW models with $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$, an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} j_3 \\ j j_2 \end{pmatrix}$ was defined (cf. [TK]) as a linear map $\Phi(u, x) \in \text{Hom}(L(\ell, j_2), L(\ell, j_3))\{x\}$ such that (1.1) holds with $h = \frac{j(j+2)}{4(\ell+2)}$ and

$$[a(m), \Phi(u, x)] = x^m \Phi(au, x) \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in L(j), \quad (1.2)$$

where $L(j)$ is the irreducible sl_2 -module with highest weight j . By employing singular vectors, Tsuchiya and Kanie proved in [TK] that such an intertwining operator $\Phi(\cdot, x)$ on $L(j)$ can be uniquely and naturally extended to an intertwining operator on $L(\ell, j)$. This is the so-called nuclear democracy theorem of Tsuchiya and Kanie.

On the other hand, in the context of vertex operator algebra, an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where W_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are modules for a vertex operator algebra V , is defined in [FHL] to be a linear map $I(\cdot, x)$ from W_1 to $(\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3))\{x\}$ satisfying the $L(-1)$ -bracket formula (1.1) with $m = -1$ and the Jacobi identity (2.1) (together with the truncation condition (I1) in Section 2).

An intertwining operator in the sense of [FHL] restricted to $W_1(0)$ gives an intertwining operator on $W_1(0)$ in the sense of [TK] and [BPZ] for the WZW and minimal models. For WZW models, Tsuchiya and Kanie's nuclear democracy theorem implies that the two definitions define the same fusion rules. The question is: do we have a generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem for an arbitrary vertex operator algebra? If V is not rational, the answer is negative. (See the appendix for a counterexample.) As the main result of this paper we prove a generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem for a rational vertex operator algebra so that for all rational models, the fusion rules defined

in the context of vertex operator algebra coincide with those defined in the context of conformal field theory.

For WZW models, one has an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ available so that one can make use of the notion of Verma module and singular vectors. To any vertex operator algebra V , we associate a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebra $g(V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(V)_n$ with generators $t^n \otimes a$ (linearly in a) for $a \in V, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and with Borcherds' commutator formula (2.4) and the $L(-1)$ -bracket formula as its defining relations (see also [B],[FFR]). Since $L(0)$ is a central element in $g(V)_0$, using the triangular decomposition with respect to the \mathbb{Z} -grading we have the notions of generalized Verma $g(V)$ -module [Le] (or Weyl module) and lowest weight module. Then any V -module M is a natural $g(V)$ -module such that any weight space $M_{(h)}$ is a natural $g(V)_0$ -module where $t^{\text{wt}a-1} \otimes a$ is represented by $a_{\text{wt}a-1}$ for $a \in V$. But a lowest weight, or even an irreducible lowest weight $g(V)$ -module is not necessarily a weak V -module.

To formulate a nuclear democracy theorem for arbitrary rational vertex operator algebra, we notice that (1.2) is a special case of the general commutator formula (2.4). Since (1.2) does not hold if a is not a weight-one element, we have to use a certain cross product [FLM]. Here is our generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem or briefly GNDT: Let V be a rational vertex operator algebra and W_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be three irreducible V -modules with lowest weights h_i , respectively. Let $W_i(0)$ be the lowest weight subspace of W_i (with weight h_i). Let $\Phi(\cdot, x)$ be a linear map from $W_1(0)$ to $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_2, W_3)\{x\}$ satisfying the $L(-1)$ -bracket formula and

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^{n-1} Y(a, x_1) \Phi(u, x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^{n-1} \Phi(u, x_2) Y(a, x_1) \\ &= x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \Phi(a_{n-1} u, x_2) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

for any $a \in V_{(n)}, u \in W_1(0)$. Then there exists a unique intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ from $W_1 \otimes W_2$ to W_3 in the sense of [FHL], which extends $\Phi(\cdot, x)$.

To prove this GNDT, we notice that if it is true, then $I(\cdot, x)$ will be an injective map

on W_1 so that $W_1(0)$ can be identified as the space $\Phi(W_1(0), x)$ consisting of $\Phi(u, x)$ for $u \in U$ because W_1 is an irreducible V -module. For any $u \in W_1, a \in V$, $I(u, x)$ satisfies the $L(-1)$ -bracket formula, but (1.3) is not true for an arbitrary $u \in W_1$. However, the local property holds, i.e., for any $a \in V, u \in W_1$, there is a positive integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(a, x_1) \Phi(u, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \Phi(u, x_2)^k Y(a, x_1)$$

(cf. [DL, formula (9.37)]). A field operator $\Phi(x)$ from W_2 to W_3 satisfying the $L(-1)$ -bracket formula and the local property is called a generalized intertwining operators. Collecting all generalized intertwining operators $\Phi(x)$ from W_2 to W_3 we get a vector space $G(W_2, W_3)$. Then we prove (Theorem 4.6) that $G(W_2, W_3)$ becomes a V -module under a natural action that comes from the Jacobi identity. Then GNDT follows. We also prove that $G(W_2, W_3)$ satisfies the universal property: For any V -module W and any intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ from $W \otimes W_2$ to W_3 , there exists a unique V -homomorphism ψ from W to $G(W_2, W_3)$ such that $I(u, x) = \psi(u)(x)$ for $u \in W$. It follows from the universal property that there is a natural linear isomorphism from $\text{Hom}_V(W, G(W_2, W_3))$ onto $I\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_3 \\ WW_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, the space of intertwining operators of the indicated type.

For WZW models, there is another notion of intertwining operator involving homomorphisms from the tensor product module of a loop module with a highest weight module to another highest weight module for an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. By using the generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem we prove (Proposition 4.15) that this notion is essentially equivalent to the notion in [FHL].

The notion of $G(W_2, W_3)$ is clearly analogous to the notion of “Hom”-functor. In Lie algebra theory, if U_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are modules for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the space $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(U_1, U_2)$ is a natural \mathfrak{g} -module and we have the following natural inclusion relations:

$$(U_1)^* \otimes U_2 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(U_1, U_2) \longrightarrow (U_1 \otimes (U_2)^*)^*. \quad (1.4)$$

If both U_1 and U_2 are finite-dimensional, the arrows are isomorphisms so that the space

of linear homomorphisms gives a construction of tensor product modules.

In vertex operator algebra theory, a tensor product theory has been recently developed [HL0-4]. (In the affine Lie algebra level, a theory of tensor product was developed in [KL0-2] for modules of certain levels for an affine Lie algebra and part of this theory was extended to positive integral levels in [F].) In [HL0-4], in addition to the notion of intertwining operator, a notion called intertwining map was also used. An intertwining map was proved to be essentially equivalent to an intertwining operator and could be viewed as an operator-valued functional instead of a formal series of operators. As one of our results in this paper we give a definition and a construction of tensor product in terms of formal variable language.

Motivated by the classical tensor product theory, we formulate a definition of tensor product of an ordered pair of two V -modules in terms of intertwining operators and a certain universal property. As an analogue of the construction of the classical tensor product we give a construction of tensor product for a rational vertex operator algebra V . Roughly speaking, our tensor product module $T(W_1, W_2)$ is constructed as the quotient space of the tensor product vector space $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes W_1 \otimes W_2$ (symbolically the linear span of all coefficients of $Y(u_1, x)u_2$ for $u_i \in W_i$) modulo all the axioms for an intertwining operator of a certain type. It is very natural that the tensor product vector space $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes W_1 \otimes W_2$ modulo all the axioms for an intertwining operator of a certain type is a weak V -module. By using universal properties, it can be proved that the tensor product module from this construction is isomorphic to those (depending on $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$) constructed in [HL0-4] in the category of V -modules.

Analogous to the classical result, if V satisfies certain “finiteness” and “semisimplicity” conditions, we prove that there exists a unique maximal submodule $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ inside the weak module $G(W_1, W_2)$ (Proposition 4.9) such that $\Delta(W_1, W_2)'$ is a tensor product module for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2') (Theorem 4.10).

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is preliminary. In Section 3 we formulate a definition of tensor product and give a construction of a tensor product. In Section 4, we prove a generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem by using an analogue of “Hom”- functor.

Acknowledgment. This paper is based on some Chapters of my Ph.D. thesis written under the direction of Professors James Lepowsky and Robert Wilson at Rutgers University, 1994. I would like to thank Professors Lepowsky and Wilson for their insightful advice. I am greatly indebted to Professor Lepowsky for helping me clarify many subtle points. I am grateful to Professor Chongying Dong for reading this paper and for giving many useful suggestions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we first review some necessary definitions from [B], [FHL] and [FLM]. Then we present some elementary results about certain Lie algebras and modules related to a vertex (operator) algebra. We use standard notations and definitions of [FHL], [FLM] and [FZ].

Definition 2.1 *A vertex operator algebra is a quadruple $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ where $V = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space, $Y(\cdot, x)$ is a linear map from V to $(\text{End} V)[[x, x^{-1}]]$, $\mathbf{1}$ and ω are fixed elements of V such that the following conditions hold:*

- (V0) $\dim V_{(n)} < \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V_{(n)} = 0$ for n sufficiently small;
- (V1) $Y(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1$;
- (V2) $Y(a, x)\mathbf{1} \in (\text{End} V)[[x]]$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y(a, x)\mathbf{1} = a$ for any $a \in V$;
- (V3) For any $a, b \in V$, $Y(a, x)b \in V((x))$ and for any $a, b, c \in V$, the following

Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y(a, x_1) Y(b, x_2) c - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) Y(b, x_2) Y(a, x_1) c \\ &= x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y(Y(a, x_0) b, x_2) c. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

For $a \in V$, $Y(a, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n x^{-n-1}$ is called the vertex operator associated to a ;

- (V4) Set $Y(\omega, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) x^{-n-1}$. Then we have

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n) L(m + n) + \frac{(m^3 - m)}{12} \delta_{m+n, 0} \text{rank} V \quad (2.2)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\text{rank} V$ is a fixed complex number, called the rank of V ;

$$Y(L(-1)a, x) = \frac{d}{dx} Y(a, x) \quad \text{for any } a \in V; \quad (2.3)$$

and $L(0)u = nu := (\text{wt} u)u$ for $u \in V_{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This completes the definition of vertex operator algebra.

Remark 2.2 *If a triple $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ satisfies the axioms (V1)-(V3) (without assuming the \mathbb{Z} -grading and the existence of Virasoro algebra), $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ is called a vertex algebra. It can be proved (cf. [L1]) that this definition is equivalent to Borchers' definition in [B].*

As a consequence of the Jacobi identity we have the following *commutator formula* [B]:

$$[Y(a, x_1), Y(b, x_2)] = \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2). \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.3 *A module for a vertex operator algebra V is a pair (M, Y_M) where $M = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}$ is a \mathbb{C} -graded vector space and $Y_M(\cdot, x)$ is a linear map from V to $(\text{End } M)[[x, x^{-1}]]$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(M0) *For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, $L(0)u = hu$ for $u \in M_{(h)}$, $\dim M_{(h)} < \infty$ and $M_{(n+h)} = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently small;*

(M1) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1$;

(M2) $Y_M(L(-1)a, x) = \frac{d}{dx} Y_M(a, x)$ for any $a \in V$;

(M3) $Y_M(a, x)u \in M((x))$ for any $a \in V, u \in M$ and for any $a, b \in V, u \in M$, the following Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_M(a, x_1) Y_M(b, x_2) u - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) Y_M(b, x_2) Y_M(a, x_1) u \\ &= x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_M(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2) u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By a *weak V -module* we mean a pair (M, Y_M) satisfying the axioms (M1)-(M3). A weak V -module M is said to be \mathbb{N} -gradable if there exists an \mathbb{N} -gradation $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M(n)$ such that

$$a_n M(k) \subseteq M(m + n - 1 + k) \quad \text{for } m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in V_{(m)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where \mathbb{N} is the set of nonnegative integers and $M(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$ by definition. The notions of submodule, irreducible module, quotient module and module homomorphism

can be defined in the obvious way. A vertex operator algebra V is said to be *rational* if any \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module is a direct sum of irreducible \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -modules. If V is rational, it was proved [DLM1] that there are only finitely many irreducible modules up to equivalence and that any irreducible \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module is a module so that $L(0)$ acts semisimply on any \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module. Then this definition of rationality is equivalent to Zhu's definition [Z] of rationality. There are also other variant definitions of rationality. For example, the definition of rationality in [HL0-4] is different from the current definition.

Let $M = \oplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}$ be a V -module. Set $M' = \oplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} M_{(h)}^*$ and define

$$\langle Y(a, x)u', v \rangle = \langle u', Y(e^{xL(1)}(-x^2)^{L(0)}a, x^{-1})v \rangle \quad (2.7)$$

for $u' \in M', v \in M$. Then it was proved in [FHL] that M' is a V -module, called the *contragredient* module, and that $(M')' = M$. If f is a V -homomorphism from a V -module W to M , then we have a V -homomorphism f' from M' to W' such that

$$\langle f'(u'), v \rangle = \langle u', f(v) \rangle \quad \text{for } u' \in M', v \in W. \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore, we have $(f')' = f$ [HL0-4].

Definition 2.4 *Let W_1, W_2 and W_3 be three weak V -modules. An intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a linear map*

$$\begin{aligned} I(\cdot, x) : \quad W_1 &\rightarrow (\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3))\{x\}, \\ u &\mapsto I(u, x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} u_{\alpha} x^{-\alpha-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (I1) *For any fixed $u \in W_1, v \in W_2, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $u_{\alpha+n}v = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently large;*
- (I2) *$I(L(-1)u, x)v = \frac{d}{dx}I(u, x)v$ for $u \in W_1, v \in W_2$;*
- (I3) *For $a \in V, u \in W_1, v \in W_2$, the modified Jacobi identity (2.1) where $Y(b, x_2)$ and $Y(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2)$ are replaced by $I(u, x_2)$ and $I(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2)$, respectively, holds.*

We denote by $I \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ the vector space of all intertwining operators of the indicated type and we call the dimension of this vector space the *fusion rule* of the corresponding type.

The following proposition was proved in [FHL] and [FZ]:

Proposition 2.5 *Let $W_i = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W_i(n)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be weak V -modules such that $L(0)|_{W_i(n)} = (h_i + n)\text{id}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and let $I(\cdot, x)$ be an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Then*

$$I^o(u, x) := x^{h_1+h_2-h_3} I(u, x) \in (\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3))[[x, x^{-1}]]. \quad (2.10)$$

Set $I^o(u, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_u(n) x^{-n-1}$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}, u \in W_1(k), m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I_u(n) W_2(m) \subseteq W_3(m + k - n - 1). \quad (2.11)$$

In particular,

$$I_u(k + m + i) W_2(m) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Let W_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be V -modules and let $I(\cdot, x)$ be an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. The *transpose operator* $I^t(\cdot, x)$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} I^t(\cdot, x) : \quad W_2 \otimes W_1 &\rightarrow W_3\{x\} \\ I^t(u_2, x) u_1 &= e^{xL(-1)} I(u_1, e^{\pi i} x) u_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for $u_1 \in W_1, u_2 \in W_2$. The *adjoint operator* $I'(\cdot, x)$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} I'(\cdot, x) : \quad W_1 \otimes W_3' &\rightarrow W_2'\{x\} \\ \langle I'(u_1, x) u_3', u_2 \rangle &= \langle u_3', I(e^{xL(1)}(e^{\pi i} x^{-2})^{L(0)} u_1, x^{-1}) u_2 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

for $u_1 \in W_1, u_2 \in W_2, u_3' \in W_3'$. The following proposition was proved in [HL0-4] (see also [FHL], [L2]).

Proposition 2.6 *The transpose operator $I^t(\cdot, x)$ and the adjoint operator $I'(\cdot, x)$ are intertwining operators of corresponding types.*

Notice that the transpose operator $I^t(\cdot, x)$ can be defined more generally for weak V -modules W_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and it follows from the same proof that it is an intertwining operator.

The following Borchers' examples of vertex algebras [B] show that the notion of vertex algebra is really a generalization of the notion of commutative associative algebra.

Example 2.7 *Let A be a commutative associative algebra with identity together with a derivation d . Define*

$$Y(a, x)b = (e^{xd}a)b \quad \text{for any } a, b \in A. \quad (2.15)$$

Then $(A, Y, 1)$ is a vertex algebra. Furthermore, let M be a module for A viewed as an associative algebra. Define $Y_M(a, x)u = (e^{xd}a)u$ for $a \in V, u \in M$. Then (M, Y_M) is a module for the vertex algebra $(A, Y, 1)$. In particular, let $A = \mathbb{C}((t))$ and $d = \frac{d}{dt}$. Then $(\mathbb{C}((t)), Y, 1)$ is a vertex algebra. By definition, we have

$$Y(f(t), x) = e^{x\frac{d}{dt}}f(t) = f(t+x) \quad \text{for } f(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t)). \quad (2.16)$$

It is clear that the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is a vertex subalgebra.

For convenience in the following we shall associate Lie algebras $g_0(V)$ and $g(V)$ to a vertex algebra V . The following lemma could be found in [B]:

Lemma 2.8 *Let $(V, Y, 1)$ be a vertex algebra and let d be the endomorphism of V defined by $d(a) = a_{-2}\mathbf{1}$ for $a \in V$. Then the quotient space $g_0(V) := V/dV$ is a Lie algebra with the bilinear product: $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] = \overline{a_0b}$ for $a, b \in V$. Furthermore, any V -module M is a $g_0(V)$ -module with the action given by: $au = a_0u$ for $a \in V, u \in M$.*

Let V be any vertex algebra. Then from [FHL] (see also [B]), $\hat{V} := \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes V$ has a vertex algebra structure with $Y(f(t) \otimes u, x) = Y(f(t), x) \otimes Y(u, x)$ for any $f(t) \in \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, $u \in V$, and $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_V$. (The affinization of a vertex operator algebra has also been used in [HL0-4].) Set $\hat{d} := \frac{d}{dt} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_V$. Then $\hat{d}(u) = u_{-2}\mathbf{1}$ for $u \in \hat{V}$. Then from Lemma 2.8 $g_0(\hat{V}) = \hat{V}/d\hat{V}$ is a Lie algebra. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \in V$, by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t^m \otimes a)_n &= \text{Res}_x x^n Y(t^m \otimes a, x) \\ &= \text{Res}_x x^n (t + x)^n \otimes Y(a, x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} t^{m+n-i} \otimes a_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Thus

$$[\overline{(t^m \otimes a)}, \overline{(t^n \otimes b)}] = \overline{(t^m \otimes a)_0 (t^n \otimes b)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} \overline{t^{m+n-i} \otimes a_i b} \quad (2.18)$$

for any $a, b \in V$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where “bar” denotes the natural quotient map from \hat{V} to $g_0(\hat{V})$.

Therefore, we have (see also [B])

Proposition 2.9 *Let V be any vertex algebra. Then the quotient space $g(V) := g_0(\hat{V})$ is a Lie algebra with the bilinear operation:*

$$[\overline{t^m \otimes a}, \overline{t^n \otimes b}] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} \overline{t^{m+n-i} \otimes a_i b}. \quad (2.19)$$

(This Lie algebra $g(V)$ has been also studied in [FFR].) We also use $a(m)$ for $t^m \otimes a$ through the paper. It is clear that $\mathbf{1}(-1)$ is a central element of $g(V)$. If $\mathbf{1}(-1)$ acts as a scalar k on a $g(V)$ -module M , we call M a $g(V)$ -module of *level* k . (This corresponds to level for affine Lie algebras.) A $g(V)$ -module M is said to be *restricted* if for any $a \in V$, $u \in M$, $a(n)u = 0$ for n sufficiently large. Then any weak V -module M is a restricted $g(V)$ -module of level one, where $a(n)$ is represented by a_n . (However, a restricted $g(V)$ -module is not necessarily a weak V -module.) Then we obtain a functor

\mathcal{F} from the category of weak V -modules to the category of restricted $g(V)$ -modules. For any restricted $g(V)$ -module M , we define $J(M)$ to be the intersection of all $\ker f$, where f runs through all $g(V)$ -homomorphisms from M to weak V -modules. Then M is a weak V -module if and only if $J(M) = 0$. Furthermore, $M/J(M)$ is a weak V -module and $M/J(M)$ is a universal from M to the functor \mathcal{F} [J].

To summarize, for any vertex algebra V we have two Lie algebras $g_0(V)$ and $g(V)$ which are related by the following inclusion relations:

$$g_0(V) \subseteq g(V) \simeq g_0(\hat{V}) \subseteq g(\hat{V}) \subseteq \cdots. \quad (2.20)$$

Let V be a vertex operator algebra. For any $a \in V_{(m)}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\deg a(n) = \deg (t^n \otimes a) = \text{wta} - n - 1 = m - n - 1. \quad (2.21)$$

Then $g(V)$ becomes a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebra. Denote by $g(V)_0$ the degree-zero subalgebra. Then we obtain a triangular decomposition $g(V) = g(V)_+ \oplus g(V)_0 \oplus g(V)_-$.

Lemma 2.10 *Let V be a vertex algebra, let M be a V -module and let z be any nonzero complex number. For any $a \in V, u \in M, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define*

$$a(m)(t^n \otimes u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} z^{m-i} (t^{m+n-i} \otimes a_i u). \quad (2.22)$$

Then this defines a $g(V)$ -module (of level zero) structure on $\hat{M} := \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes M$.

Proof. Let ψ be the automorphism of the associative algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that $\psi(f(t)) = f(zt)$ for $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Set $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^\psi = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Then $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^\psi$ is a $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module with the following action:

$$f(t)u = \psi(f(t))u = f(zt)u \quad \text{for } f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}], u \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^\psi.$$

By Example 2.7 $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^\psi$ is a module for the vertex algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ such that

$$Y(f(t), x)u = \psi \left(e^{x \frac{d}{dt}} f(t) \right) u = f(zt + x)u \quad (2.23)$$

for $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, $u \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^\psi$. Then $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^\psi \otimes M$ is a \hat{V} -module, so that it is a $g(V)$ ($= g_0(\hat{V})$)-module (of level zero). Then the lemma follows (2.17) immediately. \square

Let V be a vertex algebra and let M be a V -module. For any nonzero complex number z , let \mathbb{C}_z be the evaluation module for the associative algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ with t acting as a scalar z . Then from Example 2.7 \mathbb{C}_z is a module for vertex algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, so that $\mathbb{C}_z \otimes M$ is a \hat{V} -module. Therefore $\mathbb{C}_z \otimes M$ is a $g(V) = g_0(\hat{V})$ -module (by Lemma 2.1). From (2.17) we have

$$a(m) \cdot (1 \otimes u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} z^{m-i} (1 \otimes a_i u) \quad \text{for } a \in V, u \in M. \quad (2.24)$$

Denote this $g(V)$ -module by M_z . Then we obtain

Proposition 2.11 *Let V be a vertex algebra, let M be a V -module and let z be any nonzero complex number. Define $\rho : g(V) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} M$ as follows:*

$$\rho(a(m))u = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} z^{m-i} a_i u \quad \text{for } a \in V, u \in M. \quad (2.25)$$

Then ρ is a representation of $g(V)$ (of level zero) on M .

Noticing that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} z^{m-i} a_i$ is an infinite sum (although it is a finite sum after applied to each vector u of M), we may consider a certain completion of $g(V)$. By considering the tensor product vertex algebra $\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V$ we obtain a Lie algebra $g_0(\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V)$ (from Lemma 2.1). It is clear that this Lie algebra is the completion of $g(V)$ with respect to a certain topology for $g(V)$. We denote this Lie algebra by $\bar{g}(V)$.

For any $f(t) = \sum_{m \geq k} c_m t^m \in \mathbb{C}((t))$, since the following sum:

$$\sum_{m \geq k} c_m \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} z^{m-i} t^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m \geq k} \binom{m}{i} c_m z^{m-i} \right) t^i \quad (2.26)$$

may not be a well-defined element of $\mathbb{C}((t))$, we cannot extend an evaluation $g(V)$ -module M_z to a $\bar{g}(V)$ -module.

Define a linear map Δ_z as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_z : \quad \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes V &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V) \otimes (\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V); \\ f(t) \otimes a &\mapsto 1 \otimes (f(t) \otimes a) + (f(z+t) \otimes a) \otimes 1.\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

Proposition 2.12 Δ_z induces an associative algebra homomorphism from $U(g(V))$ to $U(\bar{g}(V)) \otimes U(\bar{g}(V))$.

Proof. Define a linear map Δ_z^1 from $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes V$ to $\mathbb{C}((t)) \otimes V$ as follows:

$$\Delta_z^1(f(t) \otimes a) = f(z+t) \otimes a \quad \text{for } f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}], a \in V.\tag{2.28}$$

Then $\Delta_z = \Delta_z^1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \text{id}$. Therefore it suffices to prove that Δ_z^1 induces a Lie algebra homomorphism from $g(V)$ to $\bar{g}(V)$. Let ψ_z be the algebra homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ to $\mathbb{C}((t))$ defined by: $\psi_z(f(t)) = f(z+t)$ for $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}((t))$. From Examples 2.4 ψ_z is a vertex algebra homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ to $\mathbf{C}((t))$, so that $\psi_z \otimes \text{id}$ is a vertex algebra homomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes V$ to $\mathbf{C}((t)) \otimes V$. By definition $\Delta_z^1 = \psi_z \otimes \text{id}$. Therefore Δ_z^1 induces a Lie algebra homomorphism from $g(V)$ to $\bar{g}(V)$. \square

Remark 2.13 The Hopf-like algebra $(U(g(V)), U(\bar{g}(V)), \Delta_z)$ is implicitly used in many references such as [HL0-4], [KL0-2] and [MS].

3 A definition of tensor product and a construction

In this section we shall first formulate a definition of a tensor product in terms of a certain universal property as an analogue of the notion of the classical tensor product. Then we give a construction of a tensor product for an ordered pair of modules for a rational vertex operator algebra.

Throughout this section, V will be a fixed vertex operator algebra. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of weak V -modules where a morphism f from W to M is a linear map such that

$f(Y(a, x)u) = Y(a, x)f(u)$ for any $a \in V, u \in W$. Let \mathcal{C}_0 be the subcategory of \mathcal{C} where objects of \mathcal{C}_0 are weak V -modules satisfying all the axioms of a module except that in (M0), infinite-dimensional homogeneous subspaces are allowed.

Definition 3.1 *Let \mathcal{D} be either the category \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}_0 and let W_1 and W_2 be objects of \mathcal{D} . A tensor product for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) is a pair $(M, F(\cdot, x))$ consisting of an object M of \mathcal{D} and an intertwining operator $F(\cdot, x)$ of type $\begin{pmatrix} M \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfying the following universal property: For any object W of \mathcal{D} and any intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ of type $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$, there exists a unique V -homomorphism ψ from M to W such that $I(\cdot, x) = \psi \circ F(\cdot, x)$. (Here ψ extends canonically to a linear map from $M\{x\}$ to $W\{x\}$.)*

Remark 3.2 *Just as in the classical algebra theory, it follows from the universal property that if there exists a tensor product $(M, F(\cdot, x))$ in the category \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}_0 for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) , then it is unique up to V -module isomorphism, i.e., if $(W, G(\cdot, x))$ is another tensor product, then there is a V -module isomorphism ψ from M to W such that $G = \psi \circ F$. Conversely, let $(M, F(\cdot, x))$ be a tensor product for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) and let σ be an automorphism of the V -module M . Then $(M, \sigma \circ F(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product for (W_1, W_2) .*

Lemma 3.3 *Let $(W, F(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product in the category \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}_0 for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) . Then $F(\cdot, x)$ is surjective in the sense that all the coefficients of $F(u_1, x)u_2$ for $u_i \in W_i$ linearly span W .*

Proof. Let \overline{W} be the linear span of all the coefficients of $F(u_1, x)u_2$ for $u_i \in W_i$. Then it follows from the commutator formula (2.4) that \overline{W} is a submodule of W and $F(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} \overline{W} \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. It follows from the universal property of $(W, F(\cdot, x))$ that there is a unique V -module homomorphism ψ from W to \overline{W} such that

$$F(u_1, x)u_2 = \psi(F(u_1, x)u_2) \quad \text{for } u_1 \in W_1, u_2 \in W_2. \quad (3.1)$$

Since \bar{W} is a submodule of W , ψ may be viewed as a V -homomorphism from W to W . It follows from the universal property of $(W, F(\cdot, x))$ that $\psi = 1$. Thus $W = \bar{W}$. Then the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.4 *If $(M, F(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product in the category \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}_0 for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) , then for any weak V -module W_3 in the same category, $\text{Hom}_V(M, W_3)$ is naturally isomorphic to the space of intertwining operators of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. Let ϕ be any V -homomorphism from M to W_3 . Then $\phi F(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus we obtain a linear map π from $\text{Hom}_V(M, W_3)$ to $I\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 W_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ defined by $\pi(\phi) = \phi F(\cdot, x)$. Since $F(\cdot, x)$ is surjective (Lemma 3.3), π is injective. On the other hand, the universal property of $(W, F(\cdot, x))$ implies that π is surjective. \square

Remark 3.5 *If $(M, F(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product in the category \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}_0 for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) , then one can show that $(M, F^t(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product in the same category for the ordered pair (W_2, W_1) . This gives a sort of commutativity of tensor product. It is important to notice that it should not be confused with the symmetric property of a tensor category. As a matter of fact, the tensor category of V -modules is not a symmetric tensor category [HL0-4]. If $(M, Y_M(\cdot, x))$ is a V -module, one can show that $(M, Y_M(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product for (V, M) . This shows that the adjoint module V satisfies a certain unital property.*

Next toward a construction of a tensor product we shall construct an \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module $T(W_1, W_2)$ for an ordered pair (W_1, W_2) of \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -modules. First form the vector space

$$F_0(W_1, W_2) = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes W_1 \otimes W_2 \quad (3.2)$$

and set

$$Y_t(u, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (t^n \otimes u) x^{-n-1} \quad \text{for } u \in W_1. \quad (3.3)$$

Then $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes W_1$ is linearly spanned by the coefficients of all $Y_t(u, x)$ for $u \in W_1$.

Fix a gradation $W_i = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_i(n)$ for each W_i ($i = 1, 2$). Later we will show that if V is rational, there is a canonical gradation for any \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module.

We define a \mathbb{Z} -grading for $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ as follows: For $k \in \mathbb{Z}; m, n \in \mathbb{N}, u \in W_1(m), v \in W_2(n)$, we define

$$\deg(t^k \otimes u \otimes v) = m + n - k - 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Define an action of $\hat{V} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes V$ on $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ as follows: For $a \in V, u \in W_1, v \in W_2$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_t(a, x_1)(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v) \\ = & Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(a, x_1)v + \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Proposition 3.6 *Under the above defined action of \hat{V} , $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ becomes a \mathbb{Z} -graded $g(V)$ -module of level one, i.e.,*

$$Y_t(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1, \quad Y_t(L(-1)a, x) = \frac{d}{dx} Y_t(a, x) \quad \text{for } a \in V; \quad (3.6)$$

$$\deg a(n) = \text{wt } a - n - 1 \quad \text{for each homogeneous } a \in V, n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (3.7)$$

$$[Y_t(a, x_1), Y_t(b, x_2)] = \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) Y_t(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2) \quad \text{for } a, b \in V. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Writing (3.5) into components we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (t^m \otimes a)(t^n \otimes u \otimes v) \\ = & t^n \otimes u \otimes a_m u \\ & + \text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_2} x_1^m x_2^n x_1^{-1} x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= t^n \otimes u \otimes a_m u + \text{Res}_{x_2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} x_2^{m+n-i} Y_t(a_i u, x_2) \otimes v \\
&= t^n \otimes u \otimes a_m u + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} (t^{m+n-i} \otimes a_i u \otimes v).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.10 that (3.5) defines a $g(V)$ -module structure on $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes W_1 \otimes W_2$, which is a tensor product module of level-zero $g(V)$ -module $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes W_1$ with the level-one $g(V)$ -module W_2 . \square

Define J_0 to be the $g(V)$ -submodule of $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ generated by the following subspaces:

$$t^{m+n+i} \otimes W_1(m) \otimes W_2(n) \quad \text{for } m, n, i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.10}$$

Set

$$F_1(W_1, W_2) = F_0(W_1, W_2) / J_0. \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 3.7 *The space $F_1(W_1, W_2)$ is an \mathbb{N} -gradable $g(V)$ -module of level one, so that the axioms (M1), (M2) and the commutator formula (2.4) automatically hold. Furthermore, for any $a \in V, w \in F_1(W_1, W_2)$, $Y_t(a, x)w$ involves only finitely many negative powers of x .*

Remark 3.8 *Notice that the action (3.5) of $g(V)$ on $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ only reflects the commutator formula (2.4), which is weaker than the Jacobi identity, unlike the situation in the classical Lie algebra theory. In the next step, we consider the whole Jacobi identity relation for an intertwining operator. This step in our approach might be related to the “compatibility condition” in Huang and Lepowsky’s approach [HL0-4].*

Let π_1 be the quotient map from $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ onto $F_1(W_1, W_2)$ and let J_1 be the subspace of $F_1(W_1, W_2)$, linearly spanned by all coefficients of monomials $x_0^m x_1^n x_2^k$ in the

following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(a, x_1)v) \\
& - x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2)) \otimes v
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

for $a \in V, u \in W_1, v \in W_2$.

Proposition 3.9 *The subspace J_1 is a graded $g(V)$ -submodule of $F_1(W_1, W_2)$.*

Proof. For $a, b \in V, u \in W_1, v \in W_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_t(b, x_3) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
= & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) Y_t(b, x_3) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_1} \right) Y_t(Y(b, x_4)a, x_1) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
= & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(b, x_3)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_1} \right) Y_t(Y(b, x_4)a, x_1) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v);
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_t(b, x_3) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(a, x_1)v) \\
= & \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(b, x_3)Y(a, x_1)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)u, x_2) \otimes Y(a, x_1)v) \\
= & \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(a, x_1)Y(b, x_3)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_1} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(Y(b, x_4)a, x_1)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)u, x_2) \otimes Y(a, x_1)v);
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_t(b, x_3) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
= & x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes Y(b, x_4)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
= & x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_t(b, x_3) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)Y(b, x_4)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_4 - x_5}{x_0} \right) \cdot \\
& \cdot \pi_1(Y_t(Y(Y(b, x_5)a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
= & x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_t(b, x_3) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) \\
& \cdot \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)Y(b, x_4)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_5} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_0 - x_5}{x_2} \right) \cdot \\
& \cdot \pi_1(Y_t(Y(Y(b, x_5)a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
= & x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_t(b, x_3) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_2} \right) \\
& \cdot \pi_1(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)Y(b, x_4)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{x_1} \right) \pi_1(Y_t(Y(Y(b, x_5)a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Then it is clear that J_1 is stable under the action of $Y_t(b, x)$ for any $b \in V$. \square

Theorem 3.10 *The quotient space $F_2(W_1, W_2) := F_1(W_1, W_2)/J_1$ is an \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module.*

Proof. We only need to prove the Jacobi identity. Let π_2 be the natural quotient

map from $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ onto $F_2(W_1, W_2)$. For $a, b \in V, u \in W_1, v \in W_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) Y_t(b, x_2) \pi_2(Y_t(u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
= & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_2(Y_t(u, x_3) \otimes Y(b, x_2)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
= & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) \pi_2(Y_t(u, x_3) \otimes Y(a, x_1)Y(b, x_2)v) \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(a, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes Y(b, x_2)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v); \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v); \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) Y_t(b, x_2) Y_t(a, x_1) \pi_2(Y_t(u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
= & x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) \pi_2(Y_t(u, x_3) \otimes Y(b, x_2)Y(a, x_1)v) \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes Y(a, x_1)v) \\
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_4}{x_3} \right) Y_t(b, x_2) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(a, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v); \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_4}{x_3} \right) Y_t(b, x_2) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(a, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v); \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_t(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2) \pi_2(Y_t(u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
= & x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) \pi_2(Y_t(u, x_3) \otimes Y(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2)v) \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Res}_{x_4} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \pi_2(Y_t(Y(Y(a, x_0)b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v). \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Jacobi identity of W_2 that $(3.16) - (3.19) = (3.22)$. Since

$$x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_3 - x_4}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \\
&= (x_1 - x_3)^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_0 + x_4}{x_1 - x_3} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \\
&= (x_0 + x_4)^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_3}{x_0 + x_4} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right); \tag{3.24}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \\
&= x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 - x_4 + x_1}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \\
&= (x_0 + x_4)^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_1}{x_0 + x_4} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right), \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

by the J_1 -defining relation (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(3.18) - (3.20) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_4} x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(a, x_0 + x_4)Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} x_5^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_0 + x_4}{x_5} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_5}{x_3} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(a, x_5)Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_5 - x_4}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_4}{x_3} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_5}{x_3} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(a, x_5)Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v). \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(3.17) - (3.21) \\
&= -\text{Res}_{x_4} x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_4}{x_3} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 + x_0 - x_4}{x_3} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(a, -x_0 + x_4)Y(b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
&= -\text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} x_5^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_0 + x_4}{x_5} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_4}{x_3} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_5}{x_3} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)Y(a, x_5)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
&= -\text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_5 + x_4}{x_0} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_4}{x_3} \right) x_3^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_5}{x_3} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(b, x_4)Y(a, x_5)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
= & -\text{Res}_{x_5}\text{Res}_{x_4}x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-x_4+x_5}{x_0}\right)x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_5}{x_3}\right)x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4}{x_3}\right) \\
& \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(b, x_5)Y(a, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3.17) + (3.18) - (3.20) - (3.21) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_4}\text{Res}_{x_5}x_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_5-x_0}{x_4}\right)x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4}{x_3}\right)x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_5}{x_3}\right) \\
& \cdot \pi_2(Y_t(Y(Y(a, x_0)b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_4}x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4}{x_3}\right)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)\pi_2(Y_t(Y(Y(a, x_0)b, x_4)u, x_3) \otimes v) \\
= & (3.23).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Here we have used the following fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}_{x_5}x_4^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_5-x_0}{x_4}\right)x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4}{x_3}\right)x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_5}{x_3}\right) \\
= & \text{Res}_{x_5}x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4}{x_3}\right)x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_4-x_0}{x_3}\right)x_5^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_4+x_0}{x_5}\right) \\
= & x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4}{x_3}\right)x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4+x_4+x_0}{x_1}\right) \\
= & x_3^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_4}{x_3}\right)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Then the Jacobi identity is proved. \square

Since $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ is a weak V -module, we will freely use $Y(a, x)$ for $Y_t(a, x)$. Recall from Section 2 that any weak V -module M is a restricted $g(V)$ -module and that for any restricted $g(V)$ -module M , $\bar{M} := M/J(M)$ is a weak V -module, where $J(M)$ is the intersection of all $\ker f$ with f running through all $g(V)$ -homomorphisms from M to weak V -modules. Then Theorem 3.10 says that $J_1 = J(F_1(W_1, W_2))$.

Remark 3.11 *If W_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are just restricted $g(V)$ -modules, we can also define intertwining operator by using the same axioms as in Definition 2.4. Then following the*

proof given in [FHL] one can easily see that the transpose operator $I^t(\cdot, x)$ is well defined and it is an intertwining operator.

Proposition 3.12 *Let W_1 and W_3 be weak V -modules, let M be a restricted $g(V)$ -module and let $I(\cdot, x)$ be an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 M \end{pmatrix}$. Then $I(\cdot, x)J(M) = 0$, so that we obtain an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 \bar{M} \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3.10, replace W_2 , $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ and $Y_t(\cdot, x)$ by M , W_3 and $I(\cdot, x)$, respectively. Then the J_1 -defining relation (3.12) or Jacobi identity for $I(\cdot, x)$ and the Jacobi identity for W_3 :

$$(3.17) + (3.18) - (3.20) - (3.21) = (3.23)$$

are given. Following the proof of Theorem 3.10, we obtain $(3.16) - (3.19) = (3.22)$. That is, $I(\cdot, x)J(M) = 0$. Then the proof is complete. \square

Symmetrically, we have

Proposition 3.13 *Let W_2 and W_3 be weak V -modules, let M be a restricted $g(V)$ -module and let $I(\cdot, x)$ be an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ MW_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $I(J(M), x) = 0$, so that we obtain an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ \bar{M}W_2 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. The proof of this proposition does not directly follow from the proof of Theorem 3.10, but it follows from Proposition 3.12 and the notion of transpose intertwining operator. Since $I^t(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_2 M \end{pmatrix}$, by Proposition 3.12 we get $I^t(\cdot, x)J(M) = 0$. Thus $I(J(M), x) = 0$. \square

To construct a tensor product out of the weak V -module $F_2(W_1, W_2)$, we shall study the universal property. For simplicity, from now on we assume that W_1 and W_2 are weak V -modules in the category \mathcal{C}_0 such that $W_i = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (W_i)_{(n+h_i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let W be a weak V -module in the category \mathcal{C}_0 such that $W = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{(n+h)}$ for some h . Let $I(\cdot, x)$ be an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $I^o(\cdot, x) = x^{h_1+h_2-h} I(\cdot, x)$ be the normalization. Then we define

$$\psi_I : F_0(W_1, W_2) \rightarrow W, \quad t^n \otimes u \otimes v \mapsto I_u(n)v \quad (3.30)$$

for $u \in W_1, v \in W_2, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In terms of generating elements, ψ_I can be written as:

$$\psi_I(Y_t(u, x) \otimes v) = I^o(u, x)v \quad \text{for } u \in W_1, v \in W_2. \quad (3.31)$$

Lemma 3.14 *The linear map ψ_I is a $g(V)$ -homomorphism. In other words,*

$$\psi_I(Y_t(a, x)w) = Y(a, x)\psi_I(w) \quad \text{for } a \in V, w \in F_0(W_1, W_2). \quad (3.32)$$

Proof. For $a \in V, u \in W_1, v \in W_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_I(Y_t(a, x_1)(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v)) \\ &= \psi_I(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes Y(a, x_1)v) + \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) \psi_I(Y_t(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2) \otimes v) \\ &= I^o(u, x_2)Y(a, x_1)v + \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) I^o(Y(a, x_0)u, x_2)v \\ &= Y(a, x_1)I^o(u, x_2)v \\ &= Y(a, x_1)\psi_I(Y_t(u, x_2) \otimes v). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.15 *The linear map ψ_I induces a V -homomorphism $\bar{\psi}_I$ from $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ to W such that $\bar{\psi}_I$ preserves the \mathbb{N} -gradation and $\bar{\psi}_I = \pi_2 \psi_I$, where π_2 is the quotient map from $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ to $F_2(W_1, W_2)$.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.5 and the Jacobi identity for a V -module and for an intertwining operator we get: $J_0 + J_1 \subseteq \ker \psi_I$. Then we have an induced linear map $\bar{\psi}_I$ from $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ to W . From (3.32) $\bar{\psi}_I$ is a V -homomorphism. \square

Let $W = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{(n+h)}$ be given as before. Let $\text{Hom}_V^0(F_2(W_1, W_2), W)$ be the space of all V -homomorphisms from $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ to W which preserve the given \mathbb{N} -gradation. Then we define the following linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi} : \quad I \left(\begin{array}{c} W \\ W_1 W_2 \end{array} \right) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_V^0(F_2(W_1, W_2), W) \\ I(\cdot, x) &\mapsto \bar{\psi}_I, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Proposition 3.16 *The map $\bar{\psi} : I \left(\begin{array}{c} W \\ W_1 W_2 \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_V^0(F_2(W_1, W_2), W); I \mapsto \bar{\psi}_I$ is a linear isomorphism.*

Proof. It is clear that $\bar{\psi}$ is injective. Let f be a V -homomorphism from $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ to W that preserves the \mathbb{Z} -grading. Define a linear map $I(\cdot, x)$ from W_1 to $\text{Hom}(W_2, W)\{x\}$ as follows:

$$I(u_1, x)u_2 = x^{h-h_1-h_2} f \pi_2(Y_t(u_1, x) \otimes u_2) \quad (3.34)$$

for any $u_i \in W_i$. It follows from the defining relations J_0 and J_1 that $I(\cdot, x)$ satisfies the axioms (I1) and (I3). If we prove (I2), then $I(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator satisfying $\bar{\psi}_I = f$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}; u \in W_1(m), v \in W_2$, we have

$$\deg(t^k \otimes u \otimes v) = m + n - k - 1.$$

Therefore

$$L(0)f\pi(t^k \otimes u \otimes v) = (h + m + n - k - 1)f\pi(t^k \otimes u \otimes v). \quad (3.35)$$

By formula (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &L(0)(t^k \otimes u \otimes v) \\ &= t^k \otimes u \otimes L(0)v + t^{k+1} \otimes u \otimes v + t^k \otimes L(0)u \otimes v \\ &= t^{k+1} \otimes L(-1)u \otimes v + (h_1 + h_2 + m + n)t^k \otimes u \otimes v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Therefore

$$f\pi \left(t^{k+1} \otimes L(-1)u \otimes v + (h_1 + h_2 - h + k + 1)t^k \otimes u \otimes v \right) = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

This is exactly the axiom (I2) in terms of components. Then the proof is complete. \square

For any nonzero \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module with a fixed a gradation $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M(n)$ such that $M(0) \neq 0$, we define the *radical* of M to be the maximal graded submodule $R(M)$ such that $R(M) \cap M(0) = 0$.

Definition 3.17 *Define $T(W_1, W_2)$ to be the quotient module of $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ modulo the radical of $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ with respect to the given gradation.*

As a corollary of Proposition 3.16 we get

Corollary 3.18 *The linear isomorphism $\bar{\psi} : I \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ W_1 W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_V^0(F_2(W_1, W_2), W); I \mapsto \bar{\psi}_I$ gives rise to a linear isomorphism from $I \left(\begin{smallmatrix} W \\ W_1 W_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ to $\text{Hom}_V^0(T(W_1, W_2), W)$, the space of all V -homomorphisms which preserve the given gradation.*

From now on we shall assume that V is rational. Then up to equivalence, V has only finitely many irreducible modules. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be all the distinct lowest weights of irreducible V -modules. For any \mathbb{N} -gradable weak V -module W , let $W^{(i)}$ be the sum of all irreducible submodules of W with lowest weight λ_i . Then we obtain a canonical decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W^{(i)}$. Since $W^{(i)}$ is a direct sum of irreducible V -modules with lowest weight λ_i , any submodule of $W^{(i)}$ is a direct sum of irreducible modules with lowest weight λ_i . Thus $W^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{(n+\lambda_i)}^{(i)} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W^{(i)}(n)$. Then $W = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_{(n+\lambda_i)}^{(i)}$. Then W has a canonical \mathbb{N} -gradation with $W(n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_{(n+\lambda_i)}^{(i)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that the radical of W is zero with respect to this gradation. In particular,

$$T(W_1, W_2) = T(W_1, W_2)^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus T(W_1, W_2)^{(k)}.$$

Since $F_2(W_1, W_2)$ is completely reducible, $T(W_1, W_2)$ is isomorphic to the submodule generated by the degree-zero subspace $F_2(W_1, W_2)(0)$. Let P_i be the projection map of $T(W_1, W_2)$ onto $T(W_1, W_2)^{(i)}$ and let π be the natural quotient map from $F_0(W_1, W_2)$ onto $T(W_1, W_2)$. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} F(\cdot, x) : \quad W_1 &\rightarrow (\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(W_2, T(W_1, W_2))) \{x\}; \\ u_1 &\mapsto F(u_1, x) \quad \text{for } u_1 \in W_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where $F(u_1, x)u_2 = \sum_{i=1}^k x^{\lambda_i - h_1 - h_2} P_i \pi(Y_t(u_1, x) \otimes u_2)$ for $u_1 \in W_1, u_2 \in W_2$.

Proposition 3.19 *Suppose that V is rational. Then the defined map $F(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} T(W_1, W_2) \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. Let $F_i(u_1, x)u_2 = x^{\lambda_i - h_1 - h_2} P_i \pi(Y_t(u_1, x) \otimes u_2)$. Then it follows from Proposition 3.16 that each $F_i(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} T(W_1, W_2)^{(i)} \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then it follows immediately. \square

Theorem 3.20 *If V is rational and W_i ($i=1,2,3$) are irreducible weak V -modules in the category \mathcal{C}_0 , then the pair $(T(W_1, W_2), F(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product in the category \mathcal{C}_0 for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) .*

Proof. Let W be a V -module and let $I(\cdot, x)$ be any intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Let D_i be the projection of W onto $W^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $D_i I(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W^{(i)} \\ W_1 W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. By Corollary 3.18, we obtain a V -homomorphism g_i from $T(W_1, W_2)$ to $W^{(i)}$ satisfying the condition:

$$g_i \pi(Y_t(u_1, x) \otimes u_2) = D_i I^0(u_1, x) u_2 \quad \text{for } u_1 \in W_1, u_2 \in W_2. \quad (3.39)$$

Since $g_i P_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$, we obtain $g_i \circ F(u_1, x) u_2 = D_i I(u_1, x) u_2$ for $u_1 \in W_1, u_2 \in W_2$.

Set $g = g_1 \oplus \dots \oplus g_k$. Then

$$g \circ F(u_1, x) u_2 = I(u_1, x) u_2 \quad \text{for } u_1 \in W_1, u_2 \in W_2.$$

From the construction of $T(W_1, W_2)$, $F(\cdot, x)$ is surjective in the sense of Lemma 3.3, i.e., all the coefficients of $F(u_1, x)u_2$ for $u_i \in W_i$ linearly span $T(W_1, W_2)$. Thus such a g is unique. Then the pair $(T(W_1, W_2), F(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2) . \square

4 An analogue of the “Hom”-functor and a generalized nuclear democracy theorem

In this section we shall introduce the notion of what we call “generalized intertwining operator” from a V -module W_1 to another V -module W_2 . The notion of generalized intertwining operator can be considered as a generalization of the physicists’ notion of “primary field” (cf. [BPZ], [MS] and [TK]) to the notion of general (non-primary) field. On the other hand, it exactly reflects the main features of $I(u, x)$ for $u \in M$, where M is a V -module and $I(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ MW_1 \end{pmatrix}$. We prove that $G(W_1, W_2)$, the space of all generalized intertwining operators, is a weak V -module (Theorem 4.6), which satisfies a certain universal property in terms of the space of intertwining operators of a certain type (Theorem 4.7). If the vertex operator algebra V satisfies certain finiteness and semisimplicity conditions, we prove that there exists a unique maximal submodule $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ of $G(W_1, W_2)$ so that the contragredient module of $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ is a tensor product module for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2') (Theorem 4.10). Using Theorem 4.7 we derive a generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem of Tsuchiya and Kanie [TK] (Theorem 4.12). All these results show that the notion of $G(W_1, W_2)$ is an analogue of the classical “Hom”-functor.

Throughout this section, V will be a fixed vertex operator algebra.

Definition 4.1 *Let W_1 and W_2 be V -modules. A generalized intertwining operator from W_1 to W_2 is an element $\Phi(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \Phi_\alpha x^{-\alpha-1} \in (\text{Hom}(W_1, W_2))\{x\}$ satisfying the following conditions (G1)-(G3):*

(G1) For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $u_1 \in W_1$, $\Phi_{\alpha+n}u_1 = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently large;

(G2) $[L(-1), \Phi(x)] = \Phi'(x) \left(= \frac{d}{dx}\Phi(x) \right)$;

(G3) For any $a \in V$, there exists a positive integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_{W_2}(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \Phi(x_2) Y_{W_1}(a, x_1). \quad (4.1)$$

Denote by $G(W_1, W_2)$ the space of all generalized intertwining operators from W_1 to W_2 .

A generalized intertwining operator $\Phi(x)$ is said to be *homogeneous of weight h* if it satisfies the following condition:

$$[L(0), \Phi(x)] = \left(h + x \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Phi(x). \quad (4.2)$$

A generalized intertwining operator $\Phi(x)$ of weight h is said to be *primary* if the following condition holds:

$$[L(m), \Phi(x)] = x^m \left((m+1)h + x \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Phi(x) \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.3)$$

Denote by $G(W_1, W_2)_{(h)}$ the space of all weight- h homogeneous generalized intertwining operators from W_1 to W_2 and set

$$G^0(W_1, W_2) = \oplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} G(W_1, W_2)_{(h)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Let $W(W_1, W_2)$ be the space consisting of each element $\Phi(x) \in (\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_1, W_2))$ which satisfies the condition (G1) and let $E(W_1, W_2)$ be the space consisting of each element $\Phi(x) \in (\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_1, W_2))$ which satisfies the conditions (G1) and (G3). For any $a \in V$, we define the left and the right actions of \hat{V} on $W(W_1, W_2)$ as follows:

$$Y_t(a, x_0) * \Phi(x_2) : = \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_{W_2}(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2) \quad (4.5)$$

$$= Y_{W_2}(a, x_0 + x_2) \Phi(x_2). \quad (4.6)$$

$$\Phi(x_2) * Y_t(a, x_0) : = \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) \Phi(x_2) Y_{W_1}(a, x_1) \quad (4.7)$$

$$= \Phi(x_2) (Y_{W_1}(a, x_0 + x_2) - Y_{W_1}(a, x_2 + x_0)). \quad (4.8)$$

Proposition 4.2 *a) $W(W_1, W_2)$ is a left $g(V)$ -module of level one under the defined left action.*

b) $W(W_1, W_2)$ is a right $g(V)$ -module of level zero under the defined right action.

Proof. a) First we check that $W(W_1, W_2)$ is closed under the left action. For any $a \in V, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \Phi(x) \in W(W_1, W_2), u \in W_1$, by definition we have:

$$\begin{aligned} ((t^m \otimes a) * \Phi(x)) u &= \text{Res}_{x_0} x_0^m Y_{W_2}(a, x_0 + x) \Phi(x_2) u \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{-m+i-1}{i} x^i a_{m-i} \Phi(x) u. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Then it is clear that $(t^m \otimes a) * \Phi(x_2)$ satisfies (G1). Next, we check the defining relations for $g(V)$. By definition we have

$$Y_t(\mathbf{1}, x_0) * \Phi(x_2) = Y_{W_2}(\mathbf{1}, x_0 + x_2) \Phi(x_2) = \Phi(x_2) \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t(L(-1)a, x_0) * \Phi(x_2) &= Y_{W_2}(L(-1)a, x_0 + x_2) \Phi(x_2) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} Y_{W_2}(a, x_0 + x_2) \Phi(x_2) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} Y_t(a, x_0) * \Phi(x_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Furthermore, for any $a, b \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_t(a, x_1) * Y_t(b, x_2) * \Phi(x_3) \\ &= Y_t(a, x_1) * (Y_{W_2}(b, x_2 + x_3) \Phi(x_3)) \\ &= Y_{W_2}(a, x_1 + x_3) Y_{W_2}(b, x_2 + x_3) \Phi(x_3). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Similarly, we have

$$Y_t(b, x_2) * Y_t(a, x_1) * \Phi(x_3) = Y_{W_2}(b, x_2 + x_3) Y_{W_2}(a, x_1 + x_3) \Phi(x_3). \quad (4.13)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_t(a, x_1) * Y_t(b, x_2) * \Phi(x_3) - Y_t(b, x_2) * Y_t(a, x_1) * \Phi(x_3) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} (x_2 + x_3)^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 + x_3 - x_0}{x_2 + x_3} \right) Y_{W_2}(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2 + x_3) \Phi(x_3) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) Y_{W_2}(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2 + x_3) \Phi(x_3) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_t(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2) * \Phi(x_3). \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Then a) is proved.

The proof of b) is similar to the proof of a), but for completeness, we also write the details. For any $a \in V, \Phi(x) \in W(W_1, W_2)$, by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(x_2) * Y_t(L(-1)a, x_0) \\
&= \Phi(x_2)(Y_{W_1}(L(-1)a, x_0 + x_2) - Y_{W_1}(L(-1)a, x_2 + x_0)) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} (\Phi(x_2)(Y_{W_1}(a, x_0 + x_2) - Y_{W_1}(a, x_2 + x_0))) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \Phi(x_2) * Y_t(a, x_0). \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

For any $a, b \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(x_3) * Y_t(a, x_1) * Y_t(b, x_2) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_4} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_4}{x_1} \right) (\Phi(x_3) Y_{W_1}(a, x_4)) * Y_t(b, x_2) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_4}{x_1} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_5}{x_2} \right) \Phi(x_3) Y_{W_1}(a, x_4) Y_{W_1}(b, x_5). \tag{4.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(x_3) * Y_t(b, x_2) * Y_t(a, x_1) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_4}{x_1} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_5}{x_2} \right) \Phi(x_3) Y_{W_1}(b, x_5) Y_{W_1}(a, x_4). \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(x_3) * Y_t(a, x_1) * Y_t(b, x_2) - \Phi(x_3) * Y_t(b, x_2) * Y_t(a, x_1) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_4} \text{Res}_{x_5} \text{Res}_{x_0} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_4}{x_1} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_5}{x_2} \right) x_5^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_4 - x_0}{x_5} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \Phi(x_3) Y_{W_1}(Y(a, x_0)b, x_5) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_5} \text{Res}_{x_0} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_5 + x_0}{x_1} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_5}{x_2} \right) \Phi(x_3) Y_{W_1}(Y(a, x_0)b, x_5) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_5} \text{Res}_{x_0} x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1} \right) x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_3 + x_5}{x_2} \right) \Phi(x_3) Y_{W_1}(Y(a, x_0)b, x_5) \\
&= \Phi(x_3) * \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y_t(Y(a, x_0)b, x_2). \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is complete. \square

For any $a \in V$, $\Phi(x) \in W(W_1, W_2)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_t(a, x_0) \circ \Phi(x_2) := \\
&= Y_t(a, x_0) * \Phi(x_2) - \Phi(x_2) * Y_t(a, x_0) \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y_{W_2}(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \Phi(x_2) Y_{W_1}(a, x_1) \right), \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$a(m) \circ \Phi(x_2) = \text{Res}_{x_1} ((x_1 - x_2)^m Y_{W_2}(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^m \Phi(x_2) Y_{W_1}(a, x_1)) \tag{4.20}$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the classical Lie algebra theory, we have

Corollary 4.3 *Under the defined action "o", $W(W_1, W_2)$ becomes a $g(V)$ -module (of level one).*

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\Phi(x) \in W(W_1, W_2)$ satisfying (4.2) for some complex number h and let a be any homogeneous element of V . Then*

$$[L(0), Y_t(a, x_0) \circ \Phi(x_2)] = \left(\text{wta} + h + x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) Y_t(a, x_0) \circ \Phi(x_2). \tag{4.21}$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L(0), Y_t(a, x_0) \circ \Phi(x_2)] \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) [L(0), Y(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2)] \\
&\quad - \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0} \right) [L(0), \Phi(x_2) Y(a, x_1)] \\
&= \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) \left(\text{wt} a + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + h + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) Y(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2) \\
&\quad - \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \left(\text{wt} a + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + h + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) \Phi(x_2) Y(a, x_1) \\
&= (\text{wt} a + h) Y_t(a, x_0) \circ \Phi(x_2) \\
&\quad - \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_1 x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) \right) Y(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2) \\
&\quad + \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Y(a, x_1) \Phi(x_2) \\
&\quad - \text{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \Phi(x_2) Y(a, x_1) \\
&\quad + \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_1 x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \right) \Phi(x_2) Y(a, x_1). \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right), \tag{4.23}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(x_1 x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left((x_0 + x_2) x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) \right) \\
&= x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) \\
&= -x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right). \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(x_1 x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) \right) = - \left(x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) x_1 x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right). \tag{4.25}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L(0), Y_t(a, x_0) \circ \Phi(x_2)] \\
&= \left(\text{wt}a + h + x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right) Y_t(a, x_0) \circ \Phi(x_2). \quad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Proposition 4.5 *The subspaces $E(W_1, W_2)$ and $G(W_1, W_2)$ are restricted $g(V)$ -submodules of $W(W_1, W_2)$ and $G^0(W_1, W_2)$ is a \mathbb{C} -graded $g(V)$ -module.*

Proof. For any $a \in V, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \Phi(x) \in E(W_1, W_2)$, it follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2.7 in [L1] (for an analogous result) that $a(m) \circ \Phi(x) \in E(W_1, W_2)$. Thus $E(W_1, W_2)$ is a submodule of $W(W_1, W_2)$. For $\Phi(x) \in G(W_1, W_2)$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L(-1), Y_t(a, x_0) * \Phi(x_2)] \\
&= [L(-1), Y_{W_2}(a, x_0 + x_2)\Phi(x_2)] \\
&= [L(-1), Y_{W_2}(a, x_0 + x_2)]\Phi(x_2) + Y_{W_2}(a, x_0 + x_2)[L(-1), \Phi(x_2)] \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} (Y_{W_2}(a, x_0 + x_2)\Phi(x_2)) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} Y_t(a, x_0) * \Phi(x_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

$a(m) * \Phi(x_2)$ satisfies (G2). Thus $a(m) * \Phi(x_2) \in G(W_1, W_2)$. Similarly, since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} (\Phi(x_2) * Y_t(a, x_0)) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} (\Phi(x_2)(Y_{W_1}(a, x_0 + x_2) - Y_{W_1}(a, x_2 + x_0))) \\
&= \Phi'(x_2)(Y_{W_1}(a, x_0 + x_2) - Y_{W_1}(a, x_2 + x_0)) \\
&\quad + \Phi(x_2)(Y_{W_1}(L(-1)a, x_0 + x_2) - Y_{W_1}(L(-1)a, x_2 + x_0)) \\
&= [L(-1), \Phi(x_2) * Y_t(a, x_0)],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

we obtain $\Phi(x_2) * a(m) \in G(W_1, W_2)$. Therefore $a(m) \circ \Phi(x) \in G(W_1, W_2)$. Thus $G(W_1, W_2)$ is a submodule. That $G^0(W_1, W_2)$ is a \mathbb{C} -graded $g(V)$ -module follows from

Lemma 4.4. It follows from (4.20) and (G3) that $E(W_1, W_2)$ is a restricted $g(V)$ -module and so are $G(W_1, W_2)$ and $G^0(W_1, W_2)$. Then the proof is complete. \square

Define a linear map $F(\cdot, x)$ from $E(W_1, W_2)$ to $\text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)\{x\}$ as follows:

$$F(\Phi, x)u_1 = \Phi(x)u_1 \quad \text{for } \Phi \in E(W_1, W_2), u_1 \in W_1. \quad (4.29)$$

For $a \in V, \Phi \in E(W_1, W_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(Y(a, x_0)\Phi, x_2) \\ &= (Y(a, x_0)\Phi)(x)|_{x=x_2} \\ &= \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x}{x_0} \right) Y(a, x_1)\Phi(x) - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{-x + x_1}{x_0} \right) \Phi(x)Y(a, x_1) \right) |_{x=x_2} \\ &= \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y(a, x_1)F(\Phi, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) F(\Phi, x_2)Y(a, x_1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

It is well-known that this iterate formula implies the associativity [FHL]. Furthermore, (G3) gives the commutativity for $F(\cdot, x)$. Therefore, $F(\cdot, x)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity ([DL], [FHL], [L1]). Thus $F(\cdot, x)$ is a weak intertwining operator. It is clear that $F(\cdot, x)$ is injective in the sense that $F(\Phi, x) = 0$ implies $\Phi = 0$ for $\Phi \in E(W_1, W_2)$. Furthermore, if $\Phi(x) \in G(W_1, W_2)$, by definition we have

$$F(L(-1)\Phi, x)u_1 = (L(-1)\Phi)(x)u_1 = (L(-1) \circ \Phi(x))u_1 = \frac{d}{dx}\Phi(x)u_1 = \frac{d}{dx}F(\Phi, x)u_1. \quad (4.31)$$

Therefore, $F(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ G(W_1, W_2)W_1 \end{pmatrix}$ after restricted to $G(W_1, W_2)$.

Theorem 4.6 *The $g(V)$ -module $E(W_1, W_2)$ and $G(W_1, W_2)$ are weak V -modules.*

Proof¹. By Proposition 3.12, we get

$$F(\Phi, x) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \Phi \in J(E(W_1, W_2)).$$

¹This was proved directly in [L1].

Since $F(\cdot, x)$ injective, $J(E(W_1, W_2)) = 0$. That is, $E(W_1, W_2)$ is a weak V -module. \square

Let M be another V -module and let $f \in \text{Hom}_V(M, G(W_1, W_2))$. Then $F(f\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ MW_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $F(\cdot, x)$ is injective, we obtain an injective linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \quad \text{Hom}_V(M, G(W_1, W_2)) &\rightarrow I\left(\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ MW_1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ f &\mapsto F(f\cdot, x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

On the other hand, for any intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ MW_1 \end{pmatrix}$, it is clear that $I(u, x) \in G(W_1, W_2)$ for any $u \in M$. Then we obtain a linear map f_I from M to $G(W_1, W_2)$ defined by $f_I(u) = I(u, x)$. For any $a \in V, u \in M$, from the definition of $Y(a, x_0) \circ I(u, x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &f_I(Y(a, x_0)u) \\ &= I(Y(a, x_0)u, x) \\ &= \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x}{x_0}\right) Y(a, x_1) I(u, x) - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x - x_1}{-x_0}\right) I(u, x) Y(a, x_1) \right) \\ &= Y(a, x_0) \circ I(u, x) \\ &= Y(a, x_0) f_I(u). \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Thus f_I is a V -homomorphism such that $F(f_I\cdot, x) = I(\cdot, x)$. Since $F(\cdot, x)$ is injective, such an f_I is unique. Therefore we obtain

Theorem 4.7 *Let W_1 and W_2 be V -modules. Then (a) For any V -module M and any intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ MW_1 \end{pmatrix}$, there exists a unique V -homomorphism f from M to $G(W_1, W_2)$ such that $I(u, x) = F(f(u), x)$ for $u \in M$.*

(b) The linear space $\text{Hom}_V(M, G(W_1, W_2))$ is naturally isomorphic to $I\left(\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ MW_1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ for any V -module M .

The universal property in Theorem 4.7 looks very much like the universal property for a tensor product in Definition 3.1 and also in [HL1]. Next, we study the relation between

$G(W_1, W_2)$ and the contragredient module of tensor product of W_1 and W_2' .

Remark 4.8 *Let M be any V -module. Then it was proved in [L1] that $G(V, M) \simeq M$. If $M = V$, then $V = G(V, V)$. That is, any generalized intertwining operator is a vertex operator. In this special case, this has been proved in [G].*

For any two V -modules W_1 and W_2 , let $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ be the sum of all V -modules inside the weak V -module $G(W_1, W_2)$.

Proposition 4.9 ² *Let V be a vertex operator algebra satisfying the following conditions: (1) There are finitely many inequivalent irreducible V -modules. (2) Any V -module is completely reducible. (3) Any fusion rule for three modules is finite. Then for any V -modules W_1 and W_2 , $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ is the unique maximal V -module inside the weak module $G(W_1, W_2)$.*

Proof. It follows from the condition (2) that $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ is a direct sum of irreducible V -modules. It follows from Theorem 4.7 and the condition (3) that the multiplicity of each irreducible V -module in $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ is finite. Therefore $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible V -modules. That is, $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ is a V -module. By the definition of $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$, it is clear that $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ is the unique maximal V -module inside the weak V -module $G(W_1, W_2)$. \square

Let V be a vertex operator algebra satisfying the conditions (1)-(3) of Proposition 4.9 and let W_1 and W_2 be any two V -modules. Let $\bar{F}(\cdot, x)$ be the restriction of $F(\cdot, x)$ on $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ so that $\bar{F}(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_2 \\ \Delta(W_1, W_2)W_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ such that

$$\bar{F}(\Phi, x) = \Phi(x) \quad \text{for any } \Phi \in \Delta(W_1, W_2). \quad (4.34)$$

Then by Proposition 2.6, the transpose operator $\bar{F}^t(\cdot, x)$ of $\bar{F}(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} W_2 \\ W_1\Delta(W_1, W_2) \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 2.6 that

²A similar result has also been obtained in [HL0-4].

$(\bar{F}^t)'(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} (\Delta(W_1, W_2))' \\ W_1 W_2' \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem 4.10 *If V satisfies the conditions (1)-(3) of Proposition 4.9, then the pair $((\Delta(W_1, W_2))', (\bar{F}^t)'(\cdot, x))$ is a tensor product for the ordered pair (W_1, W_2') in the category of V -modules.*

Proof. Let W be any V -module and let $I(\cdot, x)$ be any intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W \\ W_1 W_2' \end{pmatrix}$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 that $(I')^t(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ W' W_1 \end{pmatrix}$. From Theorem 4.6, there exists a (unique) V -homomorphism ψ from W' to $G(W_1, W_2)$ such that $(I')^t(w', x) = \bar{F}(\psi(w'), x)$ for any $w' \in W'$. It follows from the definition of $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$ that ψ is a V -homomorphism from W' to $\Delta(W_1, W_2)$. Therefore, we obtain a V -homomorphism ψ' from $(\Delta(W_1, W_2))'$ to W . For any $w' \in W', u_1 \in W_1, u_2' \in W_2'$, by using FLM's conjugation formulas [FHL] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle w', \psi'(\bar{F}^t)'(u_1, x)u_2' \rangle \\
&= \langle \bar{F}^t(e^{xL(1)}(e^{\pi i}x^{-2})^{L(0)}u_1, x^{-1})\psi w', u_2' \rangle \\
&= \langle I'(e^{xL(1)}(e^{\pi i}x^{-2})^{L(0)}u_1, x^{-1})w', u_2' \rangle \\
&= \langle w', I(e^{x^{-1}L(1)}(e^{\pi i}x^2)^{L(0)}e^{xL(1)}(e^{\pi i}x^{-2})^{L(0)}u_1, x)u_2' \rangle \\
&= \langle w', I(e^{2\pi iL(0)}u_1, x)u_2' \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

For any V -module M , we define a linear endomorphism t_M of M by: $t_M(u) = e^{2\pi iL(0)}u$ for $u \in M$. Then one can easily prove that t_M is a V -automorphism of M so that t_M is a scalar if M is irreducible. Let $t_{W_1} = \alpha$. Then $\alpha^{-1}\psi'(\bar{F}^t)'(\cdot, x) = I(\cdot, x)$. The uniqueness of $\alpha^{-1}\psi'$ follows from the uniqueness of ψ . Then the proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.11 *It was proved in [DLM2] that the category \mathcal{C} of all weak V -modules is a semisimple category for vertex operator algebras $L(\ell, 0)$, associated to an integrable highest weight module of level ℓ for an affine Lie algebra, $L(c_{p,q}, 0)$, associated to the*

irreducible highest weight module for the Virasoro algebra with central charge $c_{p,q} = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$, the moonshine module vertex operator algebra V^\natural and V_L , associated to any even positive-definite lattice L . Thus, for these vertex operator algebras, we have $G(W_1, W_2) = \Delta(W_1, W_2)$.

Let U be an irreducible $g(V)_0$ -module on which $L(0)$ acts as a scalar h . Define $g(V)_-U = 0$. Then U becomes a $(g(V)_- + g(V)_0)$ -module. Form the induced $g(V)$ -module $Ind(U) = U(g(V)) \otimes_{U(g(V)_- + g(V)_0)} U$. Set $V(U) = Ind(U)/J(Ind(U))$. Then $V(U)$ is a lowest weight weak V -module. If V is rational, it follows from the complete reducibility of $V(U)$ that $V(U)$ is irreducible. The following is our generalized nuclear democracy theorem of Tsuchiya and Kanie [TK].

Theorem 4.12 *Let W_1 and W_2 be V -modules. Let U be a $g(V)_0$ -module on which $L(0)$ acts as a scalar h and let $I_0(\cdot, x)$ be a linear injective map from U to $(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_1, W_2))\{x\}$ such that for any $u \in U$, $I_0(u, x)$ satisfies the truncation condition (G1), the $L(-1)$ -bracket formula (G2) and the following condition:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - x_2)^{\text{wta}-1} Y_{W_2}(a, x_1) I_0(u, x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^{\text{wta}-1} I_0(u, x_2) Y_{W_1}(a, x_1) \\ &= x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) I_0(a_{\text{wta}-1} u, x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

for any $a \in V, u \in U$. Then there exists a lowest weight weak V -module W with U as its lowest weight subspace generating W and there is a unique intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ WW_1 \end{pmatrix}$ extending $I_0(\cdot, x)$. In particular, if V is rational and U is irreducible, W is irreducible.

Proof. Since $(x_1 - x_2) \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) = 0$, we have

$$(x_1 - x_2)^{\text{wta}+i} Y_{W_2}(a, x_1) I_0(u, x_2) = (-x_2 + x_1)^{\text{wta}+i} I_0(u, x_2) Y_{W_1}(a, x_1) \quad (4.37)$$

for $a \in V, u \in U, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by definition $I_0(u, x) \in G(W_1, W_2)$ for any $u \in U$ and

$$a_m \circ I_0(u, x) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq \text{wta}, \quad (4.38)$$

$$a_{\text{wta}-1} \circ I_0(u, x) = I_0(a_{\text{wta}-1}u, x). \quad (4.39)$$

Set $\bar{U} := \{I(u, x) | u \in U\} \subseteq G(W_1, W_2)$. Then \bar{U} is a $g(V)_0$ -submodule of $G(W_1, W_2)$ and \bar{U} as a $g(V)_0$ -module is isomorphic to U . Let $W = U(g(V))\bar{U}$ be the V or $g(V)$ -submodule of $G(W_1, W_2)$. Then $W = U(g_+)\bar{U}$ is a lower-truncated \mathbb{Z} -graded weak V -module generated by U . Then we have a natural intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_2 \\ WW_1 \end{pmatrix}$. The uniqueness is clear. Then the proof is complete. \square .

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra, let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra, let Δ be the root system of \mathfrak{g} and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the normalized Killing form on \mathfrak{g} [K]. For any linear functional $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote by $L(\lambda)$ the irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ .

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ be the corresponding affine Lie algebra and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ be the extended affine algebra. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $L(\ell, \lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level ℓ . For any \mathfrak{g} -module U , let \hat{U} be the loop $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes U$ of level 0. It is well known (cf. [FZ], [L1]) that each $L(\ell, 0)$ has a vertex operator algebra structure except when ℓ is the negative dual Coxeter number. Then we have the following nuclear democracy theorem of Tsuchiya and Kanie. (To be precise, this was proved only for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$ in [TK].)

Proposition 4.13 *Let ℓ be a positive integer and let W_2, W_3 be $L(\ell, 0)$ -modules. Let λ be a linear functional on \mathfrak{h} , let $L(\lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ and let $\Phi(\cdot, x)$ be a nonzero linear map from $L(\lambda)$ to $\text{Hom}(W_2, W_3)\{x\}$ such that*

$$[a(m), \Phi(u, x)] = x^m \Phi(a(0)u, x); \quad (4.40)$$

$$[L(-1), \Phi(u, x)] = \frac{d}{dx} \Phi(u, x) \quad (4.41)$$

for any $a \in \mathfrak{g} \subseteq L(\ell, 0)$, $u \in L(\lambda)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, Then $L(\ell, \lambda)$ is an irreducible $L(\ell, 0)$ -module and there is a unique intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ on $L(\ell, \lambda)$ in the sense of [FHL] extending $\Phi(\cdot, x)$.

Proof. Writing (4.40) in terms of generating functions, we obtain

$$[Y(a, x_1), \Phi(u, x_2)] = x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) \Phi(a_0 u, x_2). \quad (4.42)$$

Since $(x_1 - x_2) \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) = 0$, we get

$$(x_1 - x_2)[Y(a, x_1), \Phi(u, x_2)] = 0 \quad (4.43)$$

for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $u \in L(\lambda)$. Since \mathfrak{g} generates $L(\ell, 0)$ as a vertex operator algebra, similar to the proof of Proposition 4.5 it follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2.7 in [L1] that $I(u, x)$ satisfies (G3) for any $a \in L(\ell, 0)$. Furthermore, (4.40) implies (G2). Therefore $\Phi(u, x) \in G(W_2, W_3)$ for $u \in L(\lambda)$. From (4.20) and (4.43) we obtain

$$a(0) \circ \Phi(u, x) = [a(0), \Phi(u, x)] = \Phi(a(0)u, x); \quad (4.44)$$

$$a(m) \circ \Phi(u, x) = 0 \quad \text{for any } a \in \mathfrak{g}, m > 0, u \in L(\lambda). \quad (4.45)$$

Then Φ is a \mathfrak{g} -homomorphism. Consequently, $L(\lambda)$ is embedded into $G(W_2, W_3)$ by Φ . Let W be the V -submodule generated by $L(\lambda)$. Then W is a certain quotient module of $M(\ell, \lambda)$. From the rationality of $L(\ell, 0)$, we get $W = L(\ell, \lambda)$. By Theorem 4.7, we obtain an intertwining vertex operator $I(\cdot, x)$ of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ L(\ell, \lambda)W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. The uniqueness is clear. Then the proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.14 Under the conditions of Proposition 4.13, we obtain an intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ of type $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ L(\ell, \lambda)W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. It follows from commutator formula (2.4) that

$$[L(m), I(u, x)] = x^m \left((m+1)h + x \frac{d}{dx} \right) I(u, x)$$

for $u \in L(\lambda)$, where h is the lowest weight of $L(\ell, \lambda)$. Thus

$$[L(m), \Phi(u, x)] = x^m \left((m+1)h + x \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Phi(u, x) \quad \text{for } u \in L(\lambda), m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.46)$$

In many references the notion of loop $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module was used to define intertwining operators. Next we shall discuss this issue.

Suppose $L(\ell, \lambda_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are $L(\ell, 0)$ -modules. Let $I(\cdot, x)$ be an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} L(\ell, \lambda_3) \\ L(\ell, \lambda_1)L(\ell, \lambda_2) \end{pmatrix}$. As before, we set

$$I^o(u_1, x) = x^{h_1+h_2-h_3} I(u_1, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{u_1}(n) x^{-n-1} \quad \text{for any } u_1 \in L(\ell, \lambda_1). \quad (4.47)$$

Then (the second identity follows from Proposition 2.5)

$$[a(m), I_u(n)] = I_{au}(m+n); \quad (4.48)$$

$$[L(0), I_u(n)] = (h_3 - h_2 - n - 1) I_u(n) \quad (4.49)$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in L(\lambda_1), m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $I^o(\cdot, x)$ naturally gives rise to a linear map R_I from $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes L(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\ell, \lambda_2)$ to $L(\ell, \lambda_3)$ such that

$$R_I(t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2) = I_{u_1}(n) u_2 \quad \text{for } u_1 \in L(\lambda_1), u_2 \in L(\ell, \lambda_2), n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(4.48) is equivalent to say that the map R_I is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -homomorphism from $\hat{L}(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\ell, \lambda_2)$ to $L(\ell, \lambda_3)$. From (4.49) we get

$$L(0)(t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2) = t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes L(0)u_2 + (h_3 - h_2 - n - 1)(t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2) \quad (4.50)$$

for $u_1 \in L(\lambda_1), u_2 \in L(\ell, \lambda_2), n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$(h_3 - L(0))(t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2) = t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes (h_2 - L(0))u_2 + (n+1)(t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2). \quad (4.51)$$

View $\hat{L}(\lambda_1)$ as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with $d = (1 + t \frac{d}{dt}) \otimes 1$ and view $L(\ell, \lambda)$ as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with $d = h - L(0)$ where h is the lowest weight. Then it follows from (4.51) that R_I is a

$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -homomorphism. Then we obtain a linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} R : \quad I \left(\begin{array}{c} L(\ell, \lambda_3) \\ L(\ell, \lambda_1)L(\ell, \lambda_2) \end{array} \right) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}}(\hat{L}(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\ell, \lambda_2), L(\ell, \lambda_3)); \\ I(\cdot, x) &\mapsto R_I. \end{aligned} \quad (4.52)$$

In some references, an intertwining operator of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} L(\ell, \lambda_3) \\ L(\ell, \lambda_1)L(\ell, \lambda_2) \end{array} \right)$ is defined to be a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -module homomorphism from $\hat{L}(\lambda_1) \otimes L(\ell, \lambda_2)$ to $L(\ell, \lambda_3)$. The following proposition asserts that this definition is equivalent to FHL's definition.

Proposition 4.15 *The intertwining operator space $I \left(\begin{array}{c} L(\ell, \lambda_3) \\ L(\ell, \lambda_1)L(\ell, \lambda_2) \end{array} \right)$ is naturally isomorphic to the space of $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -homomorphisms from $\hat{L}(\lambda) \otimes L(\ell, \lambda_2)$ to $L(\ell, \lambda_3)$.*

Proof. From the above discussion we see that for any intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ of type $\left(\begin{array}{c} L(\ell, \lambda_3) \\ L(\ell, \lambda_1)L(\ell, \lambda_2) \end{array} \right)$, we obtain a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -homomorphism R_I . Conversely, let f be a $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ -homomorphism from $\hat{L}(\lambda) \otimes L(\ell, \lambda_2)$ to $L(\ell, \lambda_3)$. Then we define a linear map $\Phi(\cdot, x)$ from $L(\lambda_1)$ to $\text{Hom}(L(\ell, \lambda_2), L(\ell, \lambda_2))\{x\}$ such that

$$\Phi(u_1, x)u_2 = x^{h_3-h_1-h_2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t^n \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2)x^{-n-1} \quad (4.53)$$

for $u_1 \in L(\lambda_1), u_2 \in L(\ell, \lambda_2)$. Then $\Phi(\cdot, x)$ satisfies (4.40) and

$$[L(0), \Phi(u_1, x)] = \left(h_1 + x \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Phi(u_1, x) \quad \text{for } u_1 \in L(\lambda_1). \quad (4.54)$$

Then $\Phi(u_1, x) \in E(L(\ell, \lambda_2), L(\ell, \lambda_3))$ for any $u_1 \in L(\lambda_1)$. Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.13, $L(\ell, \lambda_1)$ is a submodule of $E(L(\ell, \lambda_2), L(\ell, \lambda_3))$ generated by $L(\lambda_1)$ and there is a weak intertwining operator $I(\cdot, x)$ from $L(\ell, \lambda)$ to $\text{Hom}(L(\ell, \lambda_2), L(\ell, \lambda_3))\{x\}$. It is well known (cf. [HL0-4], [FLM]) that under the commutator formula (2.4), the $L(-1)$ -bracket formula (I2) is equivalent to the $L(0)$ -bracket formula. Thus $\Phi(u_1, x) \in G(L(\ell, \lambda_2), L(\ell, \lambda_3))$ for $u_1 \in L(\lambda_1)$. Since $L(\lambda_1)$ generates $L(\ell, \lambda_1)$ by $U(\hat{\mathbf{g}})$, it follows from Proposition 4.5 that $L(\ell, \lambda_1) \subseteq G(L(\ell, \lambda_2), L(\ell, \lambda_3))$. Thus $I(\cdot, x)$ is an intertwining operator. Then the proof is complete. \square

Let $L(c, h)$ be the irreducible module of the Virasoro algebra Vir with central charge c and lowest weight h . It is well known (cf. [FZ], [H1], [L1]) that $L(c, 0)$ is a vertex operator algebra. Suppose that $L(c, h_1)$ and $L(c, h_2)$ are two modules for the vertex operator algebra $L(c, 0)$. Let $\Phi(x) \in (\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L(c, h_1), L(c, h_2))) \{x\}$ such that

$$[L(m), \Phi(x)] = x^m \left((m+1)h + x \frac{d}{dx} \right) \Phi(x) \quad (4.55)$$

for some complex number h . That is,

$$[Y(\omega, x_1), \Phi(x_2)] = x_1^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right) \frac{d}{dx_2} \Phi(x_2) + h x_1^{-2} \delta' \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right) \Phi(x_2). \quad (4.56)$$

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 4.9 we get $\Phi(x) \in G(L(c, h_1), L(c, h_2))$ and $\Phi(x)$ generates a $L(c, 0)$ -module M which is a lowest weight Virasoro algebra module with lowest weight h in $G(L(c, h_1), L(c, h_2))$. If $c = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$, where $p, q \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ are relatively prime, $L(c, 0)$ is rational ([DMZ], [W]). Therefore $M = L(c, h)$. Then we obtain an intertwining vertex operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} L(c, h_2) \\ L(c, h)L(c, h_2) \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Thus we have

Proposition 4.16 *If $c = 1 - \frac{6(p-q)^2}{pq}$, where $p, q \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ are relatively prime, let $L(c, h_1), L(c, h_2)$ be $L(c, 0)$ -modules and let $\Phi(x)$ satisfy (4.55). Then there exists a unique intertwining vertex operator of type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} L(c, h_2) \\ L(c, h)L(c, h_2) \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ extending $\Phi(x)$.*

5 Appendix

The main purpose of this appendix is to give an example to show that the generalized form of the nuclear democracy theorem may not be true if V is not rational. We use the same notions as in Section 4. Let ℓ be a positive integer and let \mathbb{C}_ℓ be the $(\mathbb{C}[t] \otimes \mathfrak{g} + \mathbb{C}c)$ -module such that c acts as ℓ and $\mathbb{C}[t] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ acts as zero. Set

$$M(\ell, \mathbb{C}) = U(\mathfrak{g})_{U(\mathbb{C}[t] \otimes \mathfrak{g} + \mathbb{C}c)} \mathbb{C}_\ell.$$

Then $M(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ is a vertex operator algebra and any restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level ℓ is a $M(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module (cf. [FZ], [L1]). Consequently, $M(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ is irrational. Since $L(\ell, 0)$ is

rational, we may choose an λ such that $L(\ell, \lambda)$ is not a $L(\ell, 0)$ -module. Let $\Phi(x)$ be the identity map from $L(\ell, \lambda)$ to $L(\ell, \lambda)$. Then Φ satisfies all the conditions in Theorem 4.12. If we could extend Φ to an intertwining operator on $L(\ell, 0)$, then we would have an intertwining operator of type $\begin{pmatrix} L(\ell, \lambda) \\ L(\ell, 0)L(\ell, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$ so that $L(\ell, \lambda)$ would be a $L(\ell, 0)$ -module. This would contradict the assumption that $L(\ell, \lambda)$ is not a $L(\ell, 0)$ -module.

References

- [BPZ] A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetries in two-dimensional quantum field theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* **241** (1984), 333-380.
- [B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [D1] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Alg.* **161** (1993), 245-265.
- [D2] C. Dong, Representations of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra, *Contemporary Math.* **175** (1994), 27-35.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, Progress in Math., **Vol. 112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, preprint, q-alg/9509005.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **125** (1997).
- [DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Hom functor and the associativity of tensor products of modules for vertex operator algebras, *J. Alg.* **188** (1997), 443-475.

- [DMZ] C. Dong, G. Mason and Y.-C. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, **Vol. 56**, Part 2, Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Quantum and Infinite-Dimensional Methods, William J. Haboush, Brian J. Parshall, editors, American Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1994, pp. 295-316.
- [FFR] Alex J. Feingold, Igor B. Frenkel and John F. X. Ries, *Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Triality, and $E_8^{(1)}$* , Contemporary Math. **121** (1991).
- [F] M. Finkelberg, Fusion categories, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 1993.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, preprint, 1989; Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, 1993.
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [G] P. Goddard, Meromorphic conformal field theory, in: Kac V.G. (ed.) Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras and Lie Groups. Proceedings of CIRM-Luminy Conference 1988, p. 556. Singapore, New Jersey, Hong Kong: World Scientific 1989.
- [H1] Y.-Z. Huang, Vertex operator algebras and conformal field theory, *Intl. J. Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992), 2109-2151.
- [H2] Y.-Z. Huang, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, IV, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **100** (1995), 173-216.
- [HL0] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Toward a theory of tensor product for representations for a vertex operator algebra, in *Proc. 20th International Conference on*

- Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics, New York, 1991*, ed. S. Catto and A. Rocha, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, **Vol. 1**, 344-354.
- [HL1] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor product for module category of a vertex operator algebra, I, *Selecta Mathematica*, **Vol. 1** (1995), 699-756.
 - [HL2] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor product for module category of a vertex operator algebra, II, *Selecta Mathematica*, **Vol. 1** (1995), 757-786.
 - [HL3] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor product for module category of a vertex operator algebra, III, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **100** (1995), 141-171.
 - [HL4] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Tensor products of modules for a vertex operator algebra and vertex tensor categories, in: *Lie Theory and Geometry, in honor of Bertram Kostant*, ed. R. Brylinski, J.-L. Brylinski, V. Guillemin, V. Kac, Birkhäuser, 1994, 349-383.
 - [J] N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra, **Vol. II**, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 1980.
 - [KL0] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Affine Lie algebras and quantum groups, *Duke Math. J.* **2** (1991), 21-29.
 - [KL1] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, I, *J. Amer. Math. Soci.* **Vol. 64** (1993), 905-9047
 - [KL2] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, II, *J. Amer. Math. Soci.* **Vol. 64** (1993), 9047-1011.
 - [Le] J. Lepowsky, Generalized Verma modules, loop space cohomology and Macdonald-type identities, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **12** (1979), 169-234.

- [L1] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996), 143-195.
- [L2] H.-S. Li, Representation theory and tensor product theory for vertex operator algebras, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1994.
- [MS] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 177-254.
- [TK] A. Tsuchiya and Y. Kanie, Vertex operators in conformal field theory on \mathbf{P}^1 and monodromy representations of braid group, in: *Conformal Field Theory and Solvable Lattice Models, Advanced Studies in Pure Math.*, **Vol. 16**, Kinokuniya Company Ltd., Tokyo, 1988, 297-372.
- [W] W.-Q. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, *Duke Math. J. IMRN*, **Vol. 71**, No. 1 (1993), 197-211.
- [Z] Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras, elliptic functions and modular forms, Ph.D. thesis, Yale University, 1990.