

# THE DECOMPOSITION OF LEVEL-1 IRREDUCIBLE HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES WITH RESPECT TO THE LEVEL-0 ACTIONS OF THE QUANTUM AFFINE ALGEBRA $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$

KOUCIHI TAKEMURA

ABSTRACT. We decompose the level-1 irreducible highest weight modules of the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  with respect to the level-0  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -action defined in [11]. The decomposition is parameterized by the skew Young diagrams of the border strip type.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the papers [16] and [11] it was shown that the  $q$ -deformed Fock space module of the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  admits an action of a new remarkable object – the so-called quantum toroidal algebra introduced in [4] and [15] as a  $q$ -deformation of the universal central extension of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -valued double-loop Lie algebra. The action of the quantum toroidal algebra on the  $q$ -Fock space depends on two parameters: the deformation parameter  $q$  and an extra parameter  $p$ , when values of these parameters are taken to be generic complex numbers, the  $q$ -Fock space is known to be irreducible with respect to this action.

The quantum toroidal algebra has two subalgebras,  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^{(1)}$  and  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^{(2)}$ , both isomorphic to  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ . Accordingly, the  $q$ -Fock space admits two  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -actions.

The first of these actions has level 1, and coincides with the action originally introduced by Hayashi in [5]. The irreducible decomposition of the  $q$ -Fock space with respect to this action was given in [7] by using the semi-infinite  $q$ -wedge construction due to [13].

The second of the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -actions has level 0, the irreducible decomposition of the  $q$ -Fock space with respect to this action was constructed in [12] at generic values of the parameters  $q$  and  $p$ .

Kashiwara, Miwa and Stern [7] have shown, that the level-1 action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  on the  $q$ -Fock space is centralized by the action of the Heisenberg algebra. In the paper [11] it was proven that the proper ideal of the

---

K.T. is supported by the JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientist.

$q$ -Fock space generated by the negative-frequency part of the Heisenberg algebra is invariant under the action of the quantum toroidal algebra provided the value of the parameter  $p$  in the latter is set to be equal to 1. The quotient of the  $q$ -Fock space by this ideal is isomorphic to one of the irreducible level-1 highest weight modules of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ . As a consequence, each of these modules admits an action of the quantum toroidal algebra.

The corresponding action of the subalgebra  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^{(1)}$  is irreducible, it is just the standard level-1 action on the highest weight irreducible module of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ . On the other hand, the action of the subalgebra  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)^{(2)}$  has level 0 and is completely reducible. The construction of the irreducible decomposition of the level-1  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules relative to the level-0 action is the problem which we address in the present paper. To solve this problem we utilize, as our main tools, the semi-infinite wedges of [7] and the Non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials of [3].

As a result we obtain a parameterization of the irreducible components of the level-0 action by the skew Young diagrams of the border strip type, proving thereby a  $q$ -analogue of the conjecture made in [8] in the classical setting.

## 2. THE ACTIONS OF THE QUANTUM AFFINE ALGEBRA $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$

### 2.1. Definition of the quantum affine algebra $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ .

**Definition 1.** *The quantum affine algebra  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  is the unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with generators  $E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}$  ( $i \in I := \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ ) and the following defining relations:*

$$K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i, \quad (2.1)$$

$$K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad (2.2)$$

$$K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} E_j, \quad (2.3)$$

$$K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q^{-a_{ij}} F_j, \quad (2.4)$$

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q (E_i)^r E_j (E_i)^{1-a_{ij}-r} = 0, \quad i \neq j. \quad (2.6)$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q (F_i)^r F_j (F_i)^{1-a_{ij}-r} = 0, \quad i \neq j. \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{where } [n]_q := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-r+1]_q}{[r]_q [r-1]_q \cdots [1]_q}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & (i = j) \\ -1 & (|i - j| = 1, (i, j) = (1, n), (n, 1)) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \quad n \geq 3, \quad (2.9)$$

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & (i = j) \\ -2 & (i \neq j) \end{cases} \quad n = 2. \quad (2.10)$$

The coproduct  $\Delta$  is given by

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes F_i, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i. \quad (2.13)$$

We put  $c' := K_0 K_1 \cdots K_{n-1}$  in  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ , then  $c'$  is the central in  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ .

**2.2.  $q$ -wedge product and semi-infinite  $q$ -wedge product.** The affine Hecke algebra of type  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ ,  $\widehat{H}_N(q)$  is a unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$  with generators  $T_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $Y_j^{\pm 1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and relations

$$T_i T_i^{-1} = T_i^{-1} T_i = 1, \quad (T_i + 1)(T_i - q^2) = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{if } |j - i| > 1,$$

$$Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i, \quad T_i^{-1} Y_i T_i^{-1} = q^{-2} Y_{i+1}$$

$$Y_j T_i = T_i Y_j \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i+1,$$

The subalgebra  $H_N(q)$  generated by  $T_i^{\pm 1}$  is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra of type  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ .

Let  $p \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and consider the following operators in  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}])$

$$g_{i,j} = \frac{q^{-1} z_i - q z_j}{z_i - z_j} (K_{i,j} - 1) + q, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq N,$$

$$Y_i^{(N)} = g_{i,i+1}^{-1} K_{i,i+1} \cdots g_{i,N}^{-1} K_{i,N} p^{D_i} K_{1,i} g_{1,i} \cdots K_{i-1,i} g_{i-1,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where  $K_{i,j}$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$  by permuting variables  $z_i, z_j$  and  $p^{D_i}$  is the difference operator

$$p^{D_i} f(z_1, \dots, z_i, \dots, z_N) = f(z_1, \dots, p z_i, \dots, z_N), \quad f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}].$$

Then the assignment

$$T_i \mapsto \overset{c}{T}_i = -q g_{i,i+1}^{-1}, \quad Y_i \mapsto q^{1-N} Y_i^{(N)} \quad (2.14)$$

defines a right action of  $\widehat{H}_N(q)$  on  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$ .

The commuting difference operators  $Y_1^{(N)}, \dots, Y_N^{(N)}$  are called Cherednik's operators.

Moreover, the assignment

$$T_i \mapsto \overset{c}{T}_i = -qg_{i,i+1}^{-1}, \quad Y_i \mapsto z_i^{-1} \text{ (multiplication)} \quad (2.15)$$

defines another right action of  $\widehat{H}_N(q)$  on  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$ .

**Remark** The actions of  $-qg_{i,i+1}^{-1}$ ,  $q^{1-N}Y_i^{(N)}$ ,  $z_i^{-1}$  are related to the toroidal Hecke algebra [15] or the double affine Hecke algebra [3].

Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ , with basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then  $\otimes^N V$  admits a left  $H_N(q)$ -action given by

$$T_i \mapsto \overset{s}{T}_i = 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \overset{s}{T} \otimes 1^{\otimes N-i-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \overset{s}{T} \in \text{End}(\otimes^2 V) \quad (2.16)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \overset{s}{T}(v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2}) = \begin{cases} q^2 v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} & \text{if } \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2, \\ q v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes v_{\epsilon_1} & \text{if } \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2, \\ q v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes v_{\epsilon_1} + (q^2 - 1) v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} & \text{if } \epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

Let  $V(z) = \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}] \otimes V$ , with basis  $\{z^m \otimes v_{\epsilon}\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\epsilon \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Often it will be convenient to set  $k = \epsilon - nm$  and  $u_k = z^m \otimes v_{\epsilon}$ . Then  $\{u_k\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a basis of  $V(z)$ . In what follows we will write  $z^m v_{\epsilon}$  as a short-hand for  $z^m \otimes v_{\epsilon}$ , and use both notations:  $u_k$  and  $z^m v_{\epsilon}$  switching between them according to convenience. The two actions of the Hecke algebra are naturally extended on the tensor product  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$  so that  $\overset{c}{T}_i$  acts trivially on  $\otimes^N V$  and  $\overset{s}{T}_i$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$ . The vector space  $\otimes^N V(z)$  is identified with  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$  and the  $q$ -wedge product [7] is defined as the following quotient space:

$$\wedge^N V(z) = \otimes^N V(z) / \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \text{Ker} \left( \overset{c}{T}_i + q^2 (\overset{s}{T}_i)^{-1} \right). \quad (2.18)$$

Let  $\Lambda : \otimes^N V(z) \rightarrow \wedge^N V(z)$  be the quotient map specified by (2.18). The image of a pure tensor  $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{k_N}$  under this map is called a wedge and is denoted by

$$u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{k_N} := \Lambda(u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{k_N}). \quad (2.19)$$

A wedge is normally ordered if  $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_N$ . In [7] it is proven that normally ordered wedges form a basis in  $\wedge^N V(z)$ .

Let us now define the semi-infinite  $q$ -wedge product  $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$  and for any integer  $M$  its subspace  $F_M$ , following [7].

Let  $\otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$  be the space spanned by the vectors  $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \dots$ , ( $k_{i+1} = k_i - 1$ ,  $i \gg 1$ ). We define the space  $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$  as the quotient of  $\otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ :

$$\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z) := \otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z) / \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Ker} \left( T_i^c + q^2 (T_i^s)^{-1} \right). \quad (2.20)$$

Let  $\Lambda : \otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z) \rightarrow \wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$  be the quotient map specified by (2.20). The image of a pure tensor  $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \dots$  under this map is called a semi-infinite wedge and is denoted by

$$u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots := \Lambda(u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \dots). \quad (2.21)$$

A semi-infinite wedge is normally ordered if  $k_1 > k_2 > \dots$  and  $k_{i+1} = k_i - 1$  ( $i \gg 1$ ). In [7] it is proven that normally ordered semi-infinite wedges form a basis in  $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ .

Let  $U_M$  be the subspace of  $\otimes^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$  spanned by the vectors  $u_{k_1} \otimes u_{k_2} \otimes \dots$ , ( $k_i = M - i + 1$ ,  $i \gg 1$ ). Let  $F_M$  be the quotient space of  $U_M$  defined by the map (2.21). Then  $F_M$  is a subspace of  $\wedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V(z)$ , and the vectors  $u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots$ , ( $k_1 > k_2 > \dots$ ,  $k_i = M - i + 1$ ,  $i \gg 1$ ) form a basis of  $F_M$ . We will call the space  $F_M$  the  $q$ -deformed Fock space.

**2.3. Actions of the quantum affine algebra on the  $q$ -wedge product.** We will define two actions of  $U'_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$  on the space  $\wedge^N V(z)$ .

The first one is defined as follows.

$$E_i(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^N m \otimes E_j^{i,i+1} K_{j+1}^i \dots K_N^i v, \quad (2.22)$$

$$F_i(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^N m \otimes (K_1^i)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^i)^{-1} E_j^{i+1,i} v, \quad (2.23)$$

$$K_i(m \otimes v) = m \otimes K_1^i K_2^i \dots K_N^i v, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1) \quad (2.24)$$

$$E_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^N m Y_j^{-1} \otimes E_j^{n,1} K_{j+1}^0 \dots K_N^0 v, \quad (2.25)$$

$$F_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^N m Y_j \otimes (K_1^0)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^0)^{-1} E_j^{1,n} v, \quad (2.26)$$

$$K_0 = (K_1 K_2 \dots K_{n-1})^{-1}. \quad (2.27)$$

Here  $E_j^{i,k} = 1^{\otimes j-1} \otimes E^{i,k} \otimes 1^{\otimes N-j}$ , where  $E^{i,k} \in \text{End}(V)$  is the matrix unit in the basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , and  $K_j^i = q^{E_j^{i,i} - E_j^{i+1,i+1}}$ ,  $K_j^0 = (K_j^1 K_j^2 \dots K_j^{n-1})^{-1}$ .

We will denote this action by  $U_0^{(N)}$ . Note that it is well defined on the quotient space  $\wedge^N V(z)$  in view of the relations of the affine Hecke algebra.

The second one is defined as follows.

$$E_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^N m z_j \otimes E_j^{n,1} K_{j+1}^0 \dots K_N^0 v, \quad (2.28)$$

$$F_0(m \otimes v) = \sum_{j=1}^N m z_j^{-1} \otimes (K_1^0)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^0)^{-1} E_j^{1,n} v. \quad (2.29)$$

The actions of other generators are the same as in (2.22–2.24, 2.27).

We will denote this action by  $U_1^{(N)}$ . Again, this action is well defined on the quotient space  $\wedge^N V(z)$  in view of the relations of the affine Hecke algebra.

**2.4. Level-0 action of the quantum affine algebra on the  $q$ -deformed Fock space.** We will define a level-0 action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  on  $F_M$  ( $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) following the paper [12, 11].

Let  $\mathbf{e} := (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N)$  where  $\epsilon_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For a sequence  $\mathbf{e}$  we set

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}} := v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N} \quad (\in \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n). \quad (2.30)$$

A sequence  $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N)$  from  $\mathbb{Z}^N$  is called  $n$ -strict if it contains no more than  $n$  equal elements of any given value. Let us define the sets  $\mathcal{M}_N^n$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_N^n := \{\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_N, \mathbf{m} \text{ is } n\text{-strict}\}, \quad (2.31)$$

and for  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^n$

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m}) := \{\mathbf{e} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_N) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^N \mid \epsilon_i > \epsilon_{i+1} \text{ for all } i \text{ s.t. } m_i = m_{i+1}\}. \quad (2.32)$$

In these notations the set

$$\{w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}) := \Lambda(\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}}) = z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \dots \wedge z^{m_N} v_{\epsilon_N} \mid \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^n, \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m})\}. \quad (2.33)$$

is nothing but the base of the normally ordered wedges in  $\wedge^N V(z)$ . We will use the notation  $w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e})$  *exclusively* for normally ordered wedges.

Similarly for a semi-infinite wedge  $w = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots = z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \dots$ , such that  $w \in F_M$ , the semi-infinite sequences  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots)$  and  $\mathbf{e} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$  are defined by  $k_i = \epsilon_i - nm_i$ ,  $\epsilon_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular the  $\mathbf{m}$ - and  $\mathbf{e}$ -sequences of the vacuum vector in  $F_M$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{m}^0$  and  $\mathbf{e}^0$ :

$$|M\rangle = u_M \wedge u_{M-1} \wedge u_{M-2} \wedge \dots = z^{m_1^0} v_{\epsilon_1^0} \wedge z^{m_2^0} v_{\epsilon_2^0} \wedge z^{m_3^0} v_{\epsilon_3^0} \wedge \dots. \quad (2.34)$$

The Fock space  $F_M$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded. For any semi-infinite wedge  $w = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \dots = z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \dots \in F_M$  the degree  $|w|$  is defined by

$$|w| = \sum_{i \geq 1} m_i^0 - m_i. \quad (2.35)$$

Let us denote by  $F_M^k \subset F_M$  the homogeneous component of degree  $k$ .

We will define a level-0 action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  on the Fock space  $F_M$  in such a way that each homogeneous component  $F_M^k$  will be invariant with respect to this action. Throughout this section we fix an integer  $M$  and  $s \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $M = s \bmod n$ .

Let  $l$  be a non-negative integer and define  $V_M^{s+nl} \subset \wedge^{s+nl} V(z)$  as follows:

$$V_M^{s+nl} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{s+nl}^n, \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m}) \\ m_{s+nl} \leq m_{s+nl}^0}} \mathbb{C} w(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e}). \quad (2.36)$$

The vector space  $V_M^{s+nl}$  has a grading similar to the grading of the Fock space  $F_M$ . In this case the degree  $|w|$  of a wedge  $w = u_{k_1} \wedge u_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{s+nl}} = z^{m_1} v_{\epsilon_1} \wedge z^{m_2} v_{\epsilon_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge z^{m_{s+nl}} v_{\epsilon_{s+nl}} \in V_M^{s+nl}$  is defined by

$$|w| = \sum_{i=1}^{s+nl} m_i^0 - m_i. \quad (2.37)$$

The degree is a non-negative integer, and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we denote by  $V_M^{s+nl,k}$  the homogeneous component of degree  $k$ .

The following result is contained in the paper [12]:

**Proposition 1.** *For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  the homogeneous component  $V_M^{s+nl,k} \subset \wedge^{s+nl} V(z)$  is invariant under the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -action  $U_0^{(s+nl)}$  defined in section 2.3.*

We have  $|\bar{\rho}_l^{M,k}(w)| = |w|$  and hence  $\bar{\rho}_l^{M,k} : V_M^{s+nl,k} \rightarrow F_M^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . In the paper [11] the following propositions are shown.

**Proposition 2.** *When  $l \geq k$  the map  $\bar{\rho}_l^{M,k}$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces.*

**Proposition 3.** *For each triple of non-negative integers  $k, l, m$  such that  $k \leq l < m$  the map  $\bar{\rho}_{l,m}^{M,k} : V_M^{s+nl,k} \rightarrow V_M^{s+nm,k}$ , defined for any  $w \in V_M^{s+nl,k}$  by*

$$\bar{\rho}_{l,m}^{M,k}(w) = w \wedge u_{M-s-nl} \wedge u_{M-s-nl-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{M-s-nm+1}, \quad (2.38)$$

*is an isomorphism of the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules.*

We define on the vector space  $F_M^k$  a level-0 action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  by using Propositions 2 and 3:

**Definition 2.** *The vector space  $F_M^k$  is a level-0 module of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  with the action  $U_0$  defined by*

$$U_0 = \bar{\rho}_l^{M,k} U_0^{(s+nl)} \bar{\rho}_l^{M,k-1} \quad \text{where } l \geq k. \quad (2.39)$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of  $l$  as long as  $l$  is greater or equal to  $k$ . Since we have

$$F_M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} F_M^k \quad (2.40)$$

the level-0 action  $U_0$  extends to the entire Fock space  $F_M$ .



**2.5. Level-1 action of the quantum affine algebra on the  $q$ -deformed Fock space.** In this section we review the level-1 action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  on the Fock space  $F_M$  [7].

First we define the action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  (generated by  $E_i, F_i, K_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1$ ) on the vector  $|M'\rangle$  as follows.

$$E_i|M'\rangle = 0, \quad (2.41)$$

$$F_i|M'\rangle = \begin{cases} u_{M'+1} \wedge u_{M'-1} \wedge u_{M'-2} \wedge \cdots & \text{if } i \equiv M' \pmod{n}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.42)$$

$$K_i|M'\rangle = \begin{cases} q|M'\rangle & \text{if } i \equiv M' \pmod{n}; \\ |M'\rangle & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.43)$$

For every element  $v \in F_M$ , there exists  $N$  such that

$$v = v^{(N)} \wedge |M - N\rangle, \quad v^{(N)} \in \wedge^N V(z). \quad (2.44)$$

We define the actions of  $E_i, F_i, K_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1$  on the vector  $v$  as follows.

$$E_i v := E_i v^{(N)} \wedge K_i |M - N\rangle + v^{(N)} \wedge E_i |M - N\rangle, \quad (2.45)$$

$$F_i v := F_i v^{(N)} \wedge |M - N\rangle + K_i^{-1} v^{(N)} \wedge F_i |M - N\rangle, \quad (2.46)$$

$$K_i v := K_i v^{(N)} \wedge K_i |M - N\rangle. \quad (2.47)$$

The actions of  $E_i, F_i, K_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1$  on  $v^{(N)}$  are determined in Section 2.3. The definition of the actions on  $v$  does not depend on  $N$  and is well-defined, and we can easily check that the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -module defined in this section is level-1. We will use the notation  $U_1$  for this  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -action on the Fock space.

**Remark** The two actions  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  appear as the representations of the subalgebras of the quantum toroidal algebra. For details, see [11].

**2.6. The  $p = 1$  case.** In the paper [7] it was demonstrated that the Fock space  $F_M$  admits an action of the Heisenberg algebra  $H$  which commutes with the level-1 action  $U_1$  of the algebra  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ . The Heisenberg algebra is a unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by elements  $1, B_a$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  which are subject to relations

$$[B_a, B_b] = \delta_{a+b,0} a \frac{1 - q^{2na}}{1 - q^{2a}}. \quad (2.48)$$

The Fock space  $F_M$  is an  $H$ -module with the action of the generators given by [7]

$$B_a = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i^a. \quad (2.49)$$

Let  $\mathbb{C}[H_-]$  be the Fock space of  $H$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}[H_-] = \mathbb{C}[B_{-1}, B_{-2}, \dots]$ . The element  $B_{-a}$  ( $a = 1, 2, \dots$ ) acts on  $\mathbb{C}[H_-]$  by multiplication. The action of  $B_a$  ( $a = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is given by (2.48) together with the relation

$$B_a \cdot 1 = 0 \quad \text{for } a \geq 1. \quad (2.50)$$

Let  $\Lambda_i$  ( $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ ) be the fundamental weights of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}'_n$ . And let  $V(\Lambda_i)$  be the irreducible (level-1) highest weight module of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  with highest weight vector  $V_{\Lambda_i}$  and highest weight  $\Lambda_i$ .

The following results are proven in [7]:

- The action of the Heisenberg algebra on  $F_M$  and the action  $U_1$  of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  commute.
- There is an isomorphism

$$\iota_M : F_M \cong V(\Lambda_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}[H_-] \quad (M = i \bmod n) \quad (2.51)$$

of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) \otimes H$ -modules normalized so that  $\iota_M(|M\rangle) = V(\Lambda_i) \otimes 1$ .

In general the level-0  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -action  $U_0$  does not commute with the Heisenberg algebra. However if we choose the parameter  $p$  in  $U_0$  in a special way, then  $U_0$  commute with the negative frequency part of  $H$ . Precisely, we have the following proposition, proved in [11]:

**Proposition 4.** *At  $p = 1$  we have*

$$[U_0, H_-] = 0. \quad (2.52)$$

Let  $H'_-$  be the non-unital subalgebra in  $H$  generated by  $B_{-1}, B_{-2}, \dots$ . Proposition 4 allows us to define a level-0  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -module structure on the irreducible level-1 module  $V(\Lambda_i)$  ( $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ ). Indeed from this proposition it follows that the subspace

$$H'_- F_M \subset F_M \quad (2.53)$$

is invariant with respect to the action  $U_0$  at  $p = 1$  and therefore a level-0 action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  is defined on the quotient space


$$F_M / (H'_- F_M) \quad (2.54)$$

which in view of (2.51) is isomorphic to  $V(\Lambda_i)$  with  $i \equiv M \bmod n$ .

### 3. SKEW YOUNG DIAGRAMS AND THE LEVEL-0 REPRESENTATIONS OF $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$

**3.1. Skew Young diagrams.** Let us recall, following the book [10], the definitions of the skew (Young) diagrams, their semi-standard tableaux and the associated skew Schur functions.

Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be partitions i.e. sequences of non-negative integers. We assume  $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i$  for all possible  $i$ , and if  $\mu_j < i \leq \lambda_j$  then we draw a

FIGURE 1.  $\lambda = (4, 4, 2, 2, 1)$ ,  $\mu = (3, 1, 1)$ .

square whose edges are  $(i-1, j-1)$ ,  $(i-1, j)$ ,  $(i, j)$  and  $(i, j-1)$ . (For example, see Figure 1.) This diagram is called a skew (Young) diagram and is denoted as  $\lambda \setminus \mu$ . We define the degree of the skew Young diagram  $\lambda \setminus \mu$  as  $|\lambda \setminus \mu| = \sum_i (\lambda_i - \mu_i)$ .

A skew diagram is called a border strip if it is connected and contains no  $2 \times 2$  blocks of boxes. Let  $\langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$  denote the border strip of  $r$  columns such that the length of  $i$ -th column (from the right) is  $m_i$ . (Figure 1)


A semi-standard tableau (s.s.t.) of the skew diagram  $\lambda \setminus \mu$  is obtained by inscribing integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in each square of the skew diagram. The rule of the semi-standard tableau is as follows. The numbers are strictly increasing along the column and weakly increasing along the row. For each semi-standard tableau  $T$ , let  $n_i(T)$  be the multiplicity of  $i$  in  $T$ .

**Definition 3.** For each skew diagram  $\lambda \setminus \mu$ , the skew Schur function  $s_{\lambda \setminus \mu}$  is defined as follows.

$$s_{\lambda \setminus \mu}(z) = \sum_T z_1^{n_1(T)} z_2^{n_2(T)} \dots z_N^{n_N(T)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here the summation is over the set of semi-standard tableaux of the skew diagram  $\lambda \setminus \mu$ .

**3.2. The level-0 representations of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  associated with the skew diagrams.** Fix a skew diagram  $\lambda \setminus \mu$  of the border strip type and degree  $N$ . We put a number  $(1, \dots, N)$  on each box such that if  $l > k$  then  $x_l > x_k$  or  $(x_l = x_k \text{ and } y_l > y_k)$ , where  $(x, y)$  is a box contained in the skew diagram, and set  $a_l = -2x_l + 2y_l + a$  ( $a$  is fixed). (Figure 2)

FIGURE 2. The case  $\langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle$ .

On the space  $\otimes^N V$ , we define the evaluation action  $\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}$  of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$

$$\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}(E_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j^{i, i+1} K_{j+1}^i \dots K_N^i, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}(F_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N (K_1^i)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^i)^{-1} E_j^{i+1, i}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}(K_i) = K_1^i K_2^i \dots K_N^i, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}(E_0) = \sum_{j=1}^N q^{a_j} E_j^{n, 1} K_{j+1}^0 \dots K_N^0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}(F_0) = \sum_{j=1}^N q^{-a_j} (K_1^0)^{-1} \dots (K_{j-1}^0)^{-1} E_j^{1, n}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}(K_0) = (\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}(K_1 K_2 \dots K_{n-1}))^{-1}, \quad (3.7)$$

and on the same space, we consider the following operators:

$$R_{i,j}(x) = \frac{xS_{i,j}^{-1} - S_{i,j}}{x-1} P_{i,j}, \quad \check{R}_{i,j}(x) = \frac{xS_{i,j}^{-1} - S_{i,j}}{x-1}, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $P_{i,j}(\cdots \otimes \overset{i}{u} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{j}{v} \otimes \cdots) = \cdots \otimes \overset{i}{v} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{j}{u} \otimes \cdots$ . We define

$$R_{\lambda \setminus \mu} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} R_{i,j}(q^{a_i - a_j}), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\bar{R}_{\lambda \setminus \mu} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} R_{j,i}(q^{a_i - a_j}), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\check{R}_{\lambda \setminus \mu} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \check{R}_{N+i-j, N+i-j+1}(q^{a_i - a_j}), \quad (3.11)$$

where  $(i, j)$  is on the right to  $(i', j')$  in the product if  $i < i'$  or  $(j < j'$  and  $i = i')$ . As a special case of [2] Proposition 1.5., we have

**Proposition 5** ([2]). *The subspace  $\text{Im} R_{\lambda \setminus \mu}(\otimes^N V) (= \text{Im} \check{R}_{\lambda \setminus \mu}(\otimes^N V))$  with the action  $\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}$  is an irreducible  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -module, and the map  $\check{R}_{\lambda \setminus \mu} : (\pi_{a_N, \dots, a_1}^{(N)}, \otimes^N V / \text{Ker } \bar{R}_{\lambda \setminus \mu}) = (\pi_{a_N, \dots, a_1}^{(N)}, \otimes^N V / \text{Ker } \check{R}_{\lambda \setminus \mu}) \rightarrow (\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}, \text{Im } \check{R}_{\lambda \setminus \mu})$  is an isomorphism of the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules.*

**Remark** In [2], this proposition is proved in the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -module case and the normalizations of  $q$  and  $x$  are different. The irreducibility as the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -module follows from the result of [1].

**3.3. Character formulas.** Let  $\bar{\Lambda}_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) be the fundamental weights of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  and let  $\epsilon_i = \bar{\Lambda}_i - \bar{\Lambda}_{i-1}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) with  $\bar{\Lambda}_0 = \bar{\Lambda}_n := 0$ .

The subalgebra of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  generated by  $E_i, F_i, K_i^\pm$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) is isomorphic to the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ . In the paper [8] the  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -character of the irreducible  $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -representation associated with a skew diagram was shown to be given by the corresponding skew Schur function. This result is immediately generalized to the  $q$ -deformed situation. Precisely we have

**Proposition 6** ([8]). *The skew Schur function  $s_{\lambda \setminus \mu}(z)$  where  $z_i = e^{\epsilon_i}$  is equal to the  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -character of the irreducible  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -module described by Proposition 5.*

As a corollary we get

**Corollary 1.** *The dimension of the space  $\text{Im} R_{\lambda \setminus \mu} \subset (\otimes^N V)$  is equal to the total number of the semi-standard tableaux of the skew diagram  $\lambda \setminus \mu$ .*

Let  $V(\Lambda_k)$  be the level-1 irreducible module of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  whose highest weight is the  $k$ -th fundamental weight  $\Lambda_k$  of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}'_n$ . We set  $ch(V(\Lambda_k)) =$

$\sum_{i,\lambda} (\dim V_{\lambda,i}) e^\lambda q^i$ , where  $V_{\lambda,i}$  is the weight subspace with  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -weight  $\lambda$  and homogeneous degree  $i$ . The following proposition is proved in [8].

**Proposition 7** ([8]). *Setting  $z_i = e^{\epsilon_i}$  we have*

$$ch(V(\Lambda_k)) = q^{\frac{1-n}{24} - \frac{k(n-k)}{2n}} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in BS \\ |\theta| \equiv k \pmod n}} q^{\frac{1}{2n}|\theta|(n-|\theta|)+t(\theta)} s_\theta(z). \quad (3.12)$$

where  $BS$  is the set of all the border strips  $\theta = \langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$  and  $t(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (r-i)m_i$  with  $m_r < n$ .

Note that if  $m_i > n$  for some  $i$ , then the skew Schur function  $s_\theta$  is equal to 0, moreover, for the border strip of the form  $\theta_l = \langle m_1, \dots, m_r, \overbrace{n, \dots, n}^l \rangle$  the number  $\frac{1}{2n}|\theta_l|(n-|\theta_l|) + t(\theta_l)$  does not depend on  $l$ .

#### 4. NON-SYMMETRIC MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS AND THE DECOMPOSITION

**4.1. Non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials.** We will define the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials as the joint eigenfunctions of the Cherednik's operators  $Y_i^{(N)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) [14, 12]. It will be convenient for our purposes to label these polynomials by the set of pairs  $(\lambda, \sigma)$  which we now describe.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_N$  be the a set of all non-decreasing sequences of integers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$ , and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N^n$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{M}_N$  which consists of all  $n$ -strict non-decreasing sequences (cf. Section 2.4). For each  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N$  we set  $|\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i$ . For  $\lambda, \mu \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N$  such that  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$  we define the dominance (partial) ordering:

$$\lambda \succeq \mu \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j \quad (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}). \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $S^\lambda \subset \mathfrak{S}_N$  be the set of elements  $\sigma$  such that if  $\lambda_{\sigma(i)} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)}$  and  $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$  then  $i < j$ . We define the total ordering on  $S^\lambda$ :

$$\sigma \succ \sigma' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{the last nonzero element of } (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \lambda_{\sigma'(i)})_{i=1}^N \text{ is } < 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Then the following properties are satisfied. (In what follows the  $\sigma(i, i+1)$  denotes the composition of  $\sigma$  and a transposition  $(i, i+1)$ )

a)  $S^\lambda$  has the unique minimal element with respect to the ordering (4.2). We denote this element by  $\min$ . Note that we one has  $\lambda_{\min(i)} \leq \lambda_{\min(i+1)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ ).

b)  $S^\lambda$  is connected, i.e. for any  $\sigma \in S^\lambda$ , there exist  $i_1, \dots, i_r$  such

that if we put  $\sigma_l = \sigma(i_1, i_1 + 1) \dots (i_l, i_l + 1)$  then  $\sigma_r = \min$ ,  $\sigma_l \in S^\lambda$ ,  $\sigma_l \succ \sigma_{l+1}$  ( $l = 1, \dots, r$ ).

c) Suppose  $\sigma \in S^\lambda$ , then  $\sigma(i, i + 1) \in S^\lambda \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\sigma(i)} \neq \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)}$ .

d) If  $\lambda_{\sigma(i)} > \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)}$  and  $\sigma \in S^\lambda$  then  $\sigma \succ \sigma(i, i + 1)$ .

We define the partial ordering of the set  $\{(\lambda, \sigma) \mid \lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N, \sigma \in S^\lambda\}$ :

$$(\lambda, \sigma) \succ (\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\sigma}) \Leftrightarrow |\lambda| = |\tilde{\lambda}| \text{ and } \begin{cases} \lambda \succ \tilde{\lambda} \\ \lambda = \tilde{\lambda}, \sigma \succ \tilde{\sigma}. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Then  $Y_i^{(N)}$  act triangularly on  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$  with respect to this ordering [14]:

$$Y_i^{(N)} z^{\lambda^\sigma} = \xi_i^\lambda(\sigma) z^{\lambda^\sigma} + \text{"lower terms"}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\xi_i^\lambda(\sigma) = p^{\lambda_{\sigma(i)}} q^{2\sigma(i) - N - 1} \quad (\sigma \in S^\lambda). \quad (4.5)$$

In the above notation, we identify the ordering of monomials  $z^{\lambda^\sigma} := z_1^{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} z_2^{\lambda_{\sigma(2)}} \dots z_N^{\lambda_{\sigma(N)}}$  with the ordering on the set of pairs  $(\lambda, \sigma)$ .

For generic  $q$  and  $p$  the pair  $(\lambda, \sigma)$  is uniquely determined from the ordered set  $(\xi_1^\lambda(\sigma), \xi_2^\lambda(\sigma), \dots, \xi_N^\lambda(\sigma))$ :

$$(\lambda, \sigma) \neq (\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\sigma}) \Leftrightarrow (\xi_1^\lambda(\sigma), \xi_2^\lambda(\sigma), \dots, \xi_N^\lambda(\sigma)) \neq (\xi_1^{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{\sigma}), \xi_2^{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{\sigma}), \dots, \xi_N^{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{\sigma})). \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore one can simultaneously diagonalize the operators  $Y_i^{(N)}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ).

$$Y_i^{(N)} \Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z) = \xi_i^\lambda(\sigma) \Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z), \quad \Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z) = z^{\lambda^\sigma} + \text{"lower terms"}. \quad (4.7)$$

The Laurent polynomial  $\Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z)$  is known as the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomial.

The action of  $g_{i,i+1}$  on the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomial is as follows [14].

$$g_{i,i+1} \Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z) = A_i(\sigma) \Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z) + B_i(\sigma) \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^\lambda(z), \quad (4.8)$$

$$A_i(\sigma) := \frac{(q - q^{-1})x}{x - 1}, \quad B_i(\sigma) := \begin{cases} q^{-1}\{x\} & (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} > \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)}); \\ 0 & (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)}); \\ q^{-1} & (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} < \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)}), \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\{x\} := \frac{(x - q^2)(q^2x - 1)}{(x - 1)^2}, \quad x := \frac{\xi_{i+1}^\lambda(\sigma)}{\xi_i^\lambda(\sigma)}. \quad (4.10)$$

The case  $p = 1$  is not generic. However, from the results of [9] it follows that the coefficients of  $\Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z)$  have no poles at  $p = 1$ . Therefore the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials  $\Phi_\sigma^\lambda(z)$  are still well-defined at  $p = 1$  and the formulas (4.7–4.10) are still satisfied.

In what follows we will let  $\tilde{\Phi}_\sigma^\lambda(z)$  denote the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomial at  $p = 1$ . In virtue of the triangularity (4.4) the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials  $\tilde{\Phi}_\sigma^\lambda(z)$  ( $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N$ ,  $\sigma \in S^\lambda$ ) form a base of  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$ . We put

$$E^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in S^\lambda} \mathbb{C} \tilde{\Phi}_\sigma^\lambda(z). \quad (4.11)$$

Then  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] = \bigoplus_\lambda E^\lambda$ . In Section 4.2 we will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $e_{-k} = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_k \leq N} z_{n_1}^{-1} \dots z_{n_k}^{-1}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N$  satisfies  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 0$  or 1. Then we have*

$$e_{-k} \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^\lambda(z) = \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^{\tilde{\lambda}}(z). \quad (4.12)$$

Here  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N-k}, \dots, \lambda_{N-k+1} - 1, \dots, \lambda_N - 1)$  and  $\zeta \in S^\lambda, S^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  is the minimal element of  $S^\lambda$ .

Proof. By the triangularity of the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomial (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-k} \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^\lambda(z) &= e_{-k}(z^{\lambda^\zeta} + \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda, \sigma \in S^\mu} c_{\mu, \sigma} z^{\mu^\sigma}) \\ &= z^{\tilde{\lambda}^\zeta} + \sum_{(\mu, \sigma) \prec (\tilde{\lambda}, \zeta)} c'_{\mu, \sigma} z^{\mu^\sigma} = \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^{\tilde{\lambda}}(z) + \sum_{(\mu, \sigma) \prec (\tilde{\lambda}, \zeta)} c''_{\mu, \sigma} \tilde{\Phi}_\sigma^\mu(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

At  $p = 1$ , the operators  $Y_i^{(N)}$  commute with symmetric Laurent polynomials considered as multiplication operators on  $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}]$ . Hence we have

$$Y_i^{(N)} e_{-k} \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^\lambda(z) = e_{-k} Y_i^{(N)} \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^\lambda(z) = q^{2\zeta(i) - N - 1} e_{-k} \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^\lambda(z). \quad (4.14)$$

The ordered set of eigenvalues  $\{q^{2\sigma(i) - N - 1}\}_{i=1}^N$  determines the element  $\sigma \in S^{\tilde{\lambda}}$  uniquely. Hence (4.13) and (4.14) lead to

$$e_{-k} \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^\lambda(z) = \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^{\tilde{\lambda}}(z) + \sum_{\mu \prec \tilde{\lambda}} c''_\mu \tilde{\Phi}_\zeta^\mu(z). \quad (4.15)$$

Now let us consider any  $\mu$  which appears in the sum (4.15). If there exists  $i (< N - k)$  such that  $\mu_i < \lambda_i$  then for  $j > i$  we necessarily have  $\mu_j < \lambda_j$  because of the assumption  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 0$  or 1 and the fact that  $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$  implies  $\mu_i < \mu_j$ , which follows since  $\zeta \in S^\mu$ . But  $\mu_j < \lambda_j$  ( $j > i$ ) leads to  $|\tilde{\lambda}| > |\mu|$  which is in contradiction with  $\mu \prec \tilde{\lambda}$ . Thus we have  $\mu_i \geq \lambda_i$  ( $i < N - k$ ). If  $\mu_i > \lambda_i$  for some  $i$ , then necessarily  $\mu \not\prec \tilde{\lambda}$  which is again a contradiction. Hence we get  $\mu_i = \lambda_i$  ( $i < N - k$ ). By the



condition  $\mu \prec \tilde{\lambda}$ , we have  $\mu_{N-k} = \tilde{\lambda}_{N-k}$ . Because of the assumption on  $\lambda$  and the fact that  $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$  implies  $\mu_i < \mu_j$ , we get  $\mu_j \leq \tilde{\lambda}_j$  ( $j > N-k$ ). Combining this with  $|\tilde{\lambda}| = |\mu|$  we conclude that  $\mu_j = \tilde{\lambda}_j \forall j$ . That is the second term in the r.h.s. of (4.15) is zero.  $\blacksquare$

**4.2. The decomposition.** Let us consider the quotient space  $F_M/H'_-F_M$  and for each  $k \geq 0$  its subspace  $F_M^k/(H'_-F_M \cap F_M^k)$ .

It is straightforward to establish the necessary and sufficient condition for the vector  $\omega = \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\sigma \in S^{\lambda}} \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes \psi_{\sigma}^{\lambda}$  ( $\in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes (\otimes^N V)$ ) to be equivalent to 0 in the quotient space  $\wedge^N V(z)$ . The result is

$$\forall \lambda \begin{cases} \psi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\lambda} = -\check{R}_{i,i+1}(q^{2(\sigma(i)-\sigma(i+1))})\psi_{\sigma}^{\lambda}, & \forall \sigma \text{ s.t. } \lambda_{\sigma(i)} > \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)}; \\ (q^{-2}S_{i,i+1}^{-1} - S_{i,i+1})\psi_{\sigma}^{\lambda} = 0, & \forall \sigma \text{ s.t. } \lambda_{\sigma(i)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i+1)} \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

where  $\check{R}_{i,i+1}(x)$  is defined in (3.8). In view of the properties of the set  $S^{\lambda}$ , in the space  $\wedge^N V(z)$  we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes \psi_{\sigma} \quad (4.17)$$

$$\sim \tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes \check{R}_{i_r,i_r+1}(q^{2(\sigma_r(i_r+1)-\sigma_r(i_r))}) \dots \check{R}_{i_1,i_1+1}(q^{2(\sigma_1(i_1+1)-\sigma_1(i_1))})\psi_{\sigma}.$$

Here we used the notations of Section 4.1.

By the triangularity of the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomial (4.4) and the relation (4.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_M^{s+nk,k} &= \bigoplus_{\lambda} (E^{\lambda} \otimes (\otimes^N V))/\Omega \cap (E^{\lambda} \otimes (\otimes^N V)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\lambda} (\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes (\otimes^N V))/\Omega \cap (\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes (\otimes^N V)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where the summation is over  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_N^n$ , such that  $\lambda_1 \leq m_{s+nk}^0$ ,  $|\mathbf{m}^0 - \lambda^{min}| = k$ .

**Proposition 8.** Define the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k}$  as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k} = \{\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^n \mid \lambda_1 \leq m_{s+nk}^0, |\mathbf{m}^0 - \lambda^{min}| = k \text{ and } \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 0 \text{ or } 1\}. \quad (4.19)$$

Every vector from the linear space  $F_M^k/(H'_-F_M \cap F_M^k)$  can be expressed as a linear combination of vectors of the form  $\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes \psi^{\lambda})|M - s - nk\rangle$ , where  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k}$  and  $\psi^{\lambda} \in \otimes^N V$ .

Proof. By the equation (4.18), it is sufficient to show that  $\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^\lambda(z) \otimes \psi^\lambda)|M-s-nk\rangle$  ( $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \leq m_{s+nk}^0$ ,  $|\mathbf{m}^0 - \lambda^{min}| = k$ ,  $\psi^\lambda \in \otimes^N V$ ) is equivalent to 0 in the space  $F_M^k/(H'_-F_M \cap F_M^k)$  unless  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 0$  or 1 for all  $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ . We will prove this by induction with respect to the ordering of the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}$ . (Note that if  $\lambda$  is not  $n$ -strict then  $\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^\lambda(z) \otimes \psi^\lambda) = 0$ .)

Since  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \neq 0, 1$  implies that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_i - 1, \lambda_{i+1} + 1, \dots)$  is lower with respect to the ordering of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}$ , the minimal element satisfies the condition of Proposition 8.

Fix  $\lambda$  and assume that the proposition is proved for all  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \prec \lambda$ . Define  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}$  as follows:

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_{i+1}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\lambda_1 = \tilde{\lambda}_1, \quad (4.21)$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i \neq \tilde{\lambda}_{i+1} \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_{i+1} + 1. \quad (4.22)$$

For each positive integer  $l$ , define  $n_l = \#\{i \mid \tilde{\lambda}_i - \lambda_i = l\}$ . If  $n_l = 0$  for all  $l(\geq 1)$  then either  $\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^\lambda(z) \otimes \psi^\lambda)|M-s-nk\rangle$  itself satisfies the condition of the Proposition 8, or else the element  $\prod_{l \geq 1} B_{-l}^{n_l} \cdot \wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\tilde{\lambda}}(z) \otimes \psi_{\tilde{\lambda}})|M-s-nk\rangle$  is in  $H'_-F_M \cap F_M^k$ . Expanding the last element, in the space  $F_M^k/(H'_-F_M \cap F_M^k)$  we get

$$\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^\lambda(z) \otimes \psi^\lambda)|M-s-nk\rangle + \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda, \sigma \in S^\mu} \wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_\sigma^\mu(z) \otimes \psi_\sigma^\mu)|M-s-nk\rangle \sim 0, \quad (4.23)$$

for some  $\psi_\sigma^\mu$ . By (4.17) and the induction assumption the proposition is proven.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 9.** *For each  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k}$ , define  $J$  and  $r_j$  such that  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{r_J} > \lambda_{r_J+1} = \dots = \lambda_{r_J+r_{J-1}} > \dots \geq \lambda_{r_1+\dots+r_J(=N)}$ , then in the space  $F_M^k/(H'_-F_M \cap F_M^k)$ , for each  $\psi \in \otimes^N V$  we have*

$$\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^\lambda(z) \otimes R_{i,i+1}(q^2)\psi)|M-s-nk\rangle \sim 0, \quad (\lambda_{min(i)} = \lambda_{min(i+1)}) \quad (4.24)$$

$$\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^\lambda(z) \otimes \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r_j \\ 0 \leq b \leq r_{j+1}-1}} R_{l_j+a, l_j+r_j+r_{j+1}-b}(q^{-2(a+b)})\psi)|M-s-nk\rangle \sim 0, \quad (4.25)$$

where  $(a, b)$  is on the right to  $(a', b')$  in the product if  $a < a'$  or  $(a = a'$  and  $b < b')$ ,  $l_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} r_i$  and  $l_0 = 0$ .

Proof. The first relation follows from (4.16) and the identity

$$\text{Im}(q^2 S_{i,i+1}^{-1} - S_{i,i+1}) = \text{Ker}(q^{-2} S_{i,i+1}^{-1} - S_{i,i+1}). \quad (4.26)$$

Consider the second relation. We define

$$\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r_{j+1}+\dots+r_J}, \lambda_{1+r_{j+1}+\dots+r_J} + 1, \dots, \lambda_N + 1). \quad (4.27)$$

By Lemma 1, the definition of the space  $F_M^k / (H_- F_M \cap F_M^k)$  and the relation (6.51) in [11], we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f(B_{-1}, \dots, B_{-(r_1+\dots+r_j)}) \cdot \wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\bar{\lambda}}(z) \otimes \psi) | M - s - nk \rangle \\ &= (f(B_{-1}, \dots, B_{-(r_1+\dots+r_j)}) \cdot \wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\bar{\lambda}}(z) \otimes \psi)) | M - s - nk \rangle \\ &= \wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{\varsigma}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes \psi) | M - s - nk \rangle \sim 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Here  $f(x_1, \dots, x_l)$  is a polynomial such that

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N z_i, \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^l\right) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_l} z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_l}, \quad (4.29)$$

and  $\varsigma \in S^{\lambda}$  is the minimal element of  $S^{\bar{\lambda}}$ .

If we apply the formula (4.17), we get

$$\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{min}^{\lambda}(z) \otimes \prod_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r_j - 1 \\ 0 \leq b \leq r_{j+1} - 1}} \check{R}_{l_j+r_{j+1}-b+a, l_j+r_{j+1}-b+a+1}(q^{-2(a+b+1)})\psi) | M - s - nk \rangle \sim 0, \quad (4.30)$$

where  $(a, b)$  on the right to  $(a', b')$  in the product if  $a < a'$  or  $(a = a'$  and  $b < b')$ . Finally, taking into account the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r_j - 1 \\ 0 \leq b \leq r_{j+1} - 1}} \check{R}_{l_j+r_{j+1}-b+a, l_j+r_{j+1}-b+a+1}(q^{-2(a+b+1)}) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r_j \\ 0 \leq b \leq r_{j+1} - 1}} P_{l_j+a, l_j+r_j+r_{j+1}-b} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r_j \\ 0 \leq b \leq r_{j+1} - 1}} R_{l_j+a, l_j+r_j+r_{j+1}-b}(q^{-2(a+b)}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

we obtain (4.25). ■

With notations of Proposition 9, for each  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k}$  define the linear subspace of  $\otimes^N V$

$$V^{\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda_{min(i)} = \lambda_{min(i+1)}} \text{Im} R_{i,i+1}(q^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} \text{Im} \left( \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r_j \\ 1 \leq b \leq r_{j+1} - 1}} R_{l_j+a, l_j+r_j+r_{j+1}-b}(q^{-2(a+b)}) \right). \quad (4.32)$$

By Proposition 9, we get

**Proposition 10.** *Consider the map*

$$\psi_k : \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k}} \tilde{\Phi}_{min}^\lambda(z) \otimes (\otimes^{s+nk} V/V^\lambda) \rightarrow F_M^k / (H'_- F_M \cap F_M^k) \quad (4.33)$$

$$v \mapsto v \wedge |M - s - nk\rangle.$$

Define the action of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  on the l.h.s. of (4.34) by (2.22-2.27).

Then the map  $\psi_k$  is well-defined, surjective and is a  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -intertwiner.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk(=N)}^{n,k}$ . For any such  $\lambda$  we define  $J$ ,  $r_j$  and  $l_j$  in the same way as in Proposition 9. Let  $\theta$  be the border strip characterized by  $\langle r_J, r_{J-1}, \dots, r_1 \rangle$ . We have*

$$\otimes^N V/V^\lambda = \otimes^N V / \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta. \quad (4.34)$$

Proof. First we will show that  $\otimes^N V/V^\lambda \supset \otimes^N V / \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta$ . Applying repeatedly the Yang-Baxter equation  $R_{a,b}(x)R_{a,c}(xy)R_{b,c}(y) = R_{b,c}(y)R_{a,c}(xy)R_{a,b}(x)$ , we can move some special elements to the right in the product

$$\bar{R}_\theta = \cdots R_{i+1,i}(q^{-2}) = \cdots \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r_{j+1} \\ 0 \leq b \leq r_j - 1}} R_{l_j+r_j+a, l_j+r_j-b}(q^{2(a+b)}), \quad (4.35)$$

where  $(a, b)$  on the right to  $(a', b')$  in the product if  $a < a'$  or  $(a = a'$  and  $b < b')$ , and  $\lambda_{min(i)} = \lambda_{min(i+1)}$ . By the formula

$$R_{b,a}(x)R_{a,b}(x^{-1}) = \frac{(x - q^2)(x^{-1}q^{-2} - 1)}{(x - 1)(x^{-1} - 1)} id, \quad (4.36)$$

we get  $\otimes^N V/V^\lambda \supset \otimes^N V / \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta$ .

Next we will show that  $\otimes^N V/V^\lambda \subset \otimes^N V / \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta$ . We show that the vectors  $\otimes_{i=1}^N v_{e_i}$  such that  $e_i < e_{i+1}$  if  $\lambda_{min(i)} = \lambda_{min(i+1)}$ ,  $e_i \geq e_{i+1}$  if  $\lambda_{min(i)} \neq \lambda_{min(i+1)}$  span the space  $\otimes^N V/V^\lambda$ .

Using the relations  $R_{i',i'+1}(q^2)(\otimes^N v_{e_i}) \sim 0$  ( $\lambda_{min(i')} = \lambda_{min(i'+1)}$ ) we find that the set of vectors  $\{\otimes_{i=1}^N v_{e_i} \mid \text{if } \lambda_{min(i)} = \lambda_{min(i+1)} \text{ then } e_i < e_{i+1}\}$  spans the space  $\otimes^N V/V^\lambda$ . For each vector of the form  $\otimes_{i=1}^N v_{e_i}$  we define  $\tilde{N}(\otimes_{i=1}^N v_{e_i}) = \#\{(i, j) \mid i < j, e_i \geq e_j \text{ and } \lambda_{min(i)} \neq \lambda_{min(j)}\}$ . Consider the vector  $\otimes_{i=1}^N v_{e_i}$  such that if  $\lambda_{min(i)} = \lambda_{min(i+1)}$  then  $e_i < e_{i+1}$ . Assume that there is an  $i$  such that  $e_i < e_{i+1}$  (if  $\lambda_{min(i)} \neq \lambda_{min(i+1)}$ ), then we get the relation

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq r_j \\ 1 \leq b \leq r_{j+1} - 1}} R_{l_j+a, l_j+r_j+r_{j+1}-b}(q^{-2(a+b)})(\otimes^N v_{e_i}) \sim 0, \quad (4.37)$$

for all possible  $j$ . By (4.37), the vector  $\otimes^N v_{e_i}$  is equivalent to a linear combination of the vectors  $\otimes^N v_{e_{i'}}$  such that  $\tilde{N}(\otimes^N v_{e_{i'}}) < \tilde{N}(\otimes^N v_{e_i})$ .

Because  $\otimes^N v_{e_{i'}}$  is invariant by the relations  $R_{i',i'+1}(q^2)(\otimes^N v_{e_i})$  ( $l_j + 1 \leq i' \leq l_{j+1} - 1$ ,  $l_{j+1} + 1 \leq i' \leq l_{j+2} - 1$ ), if we use these relations we get that the vector  $\otimes^N v_{e_i}$  ( $e_i < e_{i+1}$  if  $\lambda_{\min(i)} = \lambda_{\min(i+1)}$ ) is expressed by the sum of  $\otimes^N v_{e_{i'}}$  such that  $\tilde{N}(\otimes^N v_{e_{i'}}) < \tilde{N}(\otimes^N v_{e_i})$  and  $e_{i'} < e_{i'+1}$  (if  $\lambda_{\min(i')} \neq \lambda_{\min(i'+1)}$ ).

By the induction on  $\tilde{N}$  we find that the vectors  $\otimes^N v_{e_i}$  ( $e_i < e_{i+1}$  if  $\lambda_{\min(i)} = \lambda_{\min(i+1)}$ ,  $e_i \geq e_{i+1}$  if  $\lambda_{\min(i)} \neq \lambda_{\min(i+1)}$ ) span the space  $\otimes^N V/V^\lambda$ .

The number of these vectors is equal to the number of semi-standard tableaux of  $\theta$ . By Corollary 1, we get  $\otimes^N V/V^\lambda \subset \otimes^N V/\text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta$ . ■

In what follows we identify the border strips  $\langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$  and  $\langle m_1, \dots, m_r, n, \dots, n \rangle$ , and identify  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{s+nk})$  and  $(\overbrace{\lambda_1 + 1, \dots, \lambda_1 + 1}^n, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{s+nk})$  which are elements of  $\coprod_k \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k}$ . Proposition 11 gives a one to one correspondence of  $\coprod_k \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s+nk}^{n,k}$  and the set of all skew Young diagrams of the border strip type  $\langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$  which satisfy  $m_i \leq n$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i \equiv s \pmod n$ . On this correspondence the degree of the semi-infinite wedge  $\wedge(\tilde{\Phi}_{\min}^\lambda(z) \otimes \psi)|M - s - nk\rangle$  is equal to  $\frac{1-n}{24} - \frac{k(n-k)}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n}|\theta|(n - |\theta|) + t(\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is the border strip which corresponds to  $\lambda$  and  $t(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (r-i)m_i$ .

We define  $ch(F_M/H'_-F_M) = \sum_{\mu,i} \dim(V_{\mu,i})e^\mu q^i$ , where  $V_{\mu,i}$  is the subspace of  $F_M/H'_-F_M$  of the degree (2.37)  $i$  and of the  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -weight  $\mu$ . We put  $\sum_{\mu,i} a_{\mu,i}e^\mu q^i \leq \sum_{\mu,i} b_{\mu,i}e^\mu q^i$  iff  $a_{\mu,i} \leq b_{\mu,i}$  for all  $\mu$  and  $i$ . By Proposition 10 we have

$$ch(F_M/H'_-F_M) \leq q^{\frac{1-n}{24} - \frac{k(n-k)}{2n}} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in BS \\ |\theta| \equiv k \pmod n}} q^{\frac{1}{2n}|\theta|(n-|\theta|)+t(\theta)} s_\theta(z). \quad (4.38)$$

$F_M/H'_-F_M$  with  $U_1$ -action is isomorphic to  $V(\Lambda_k)$ , this isomorphism is degree preserving with respect to the degree (2.37) on  $F_M/H'_-F_M$  and the homogeneous degree on  $V(\Lambda_k)$ , and the character formula of  $V(\Lambda_k)$  is given in Proposition 7. Hence the inequality of (4.38) is, in fact, an equality and, therefore, the map (4.34) must be bijective. Thus we have the following theorem

**Theorem 12.** *We have the isomorphism of  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules:*

$$F_M/H'_-F_M \simeq \bigoplus_{\theta} V_\theta, \quad (4.39)$$

where the sum is over all border strips  $\langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$ , ( $m_i \leq n$ ,  $m_r < n$  and  $N \equiv M \pmod n$ ), the space  $V_\theta$  and the level-0  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -action is

defined by  $(\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}, R_\theta \cdot \otimes^N V)$  where  $N = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$  and  $a_{l+\sum_{i=j}^r m_i} = 2(l-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i)$ .

**4.3.  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  case.** In this section we will discuss the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  case in a somewhat more detail.

Let  $W_n$  be the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional irreducible module of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , and  $W_n(b)$  be the evaluation module with the parameter  $b$  whose  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module structure is given by

$$E_0 = q^b F_1, \quad F_0 = q^{-b} E_1, \quad K_0 = K_1^{-1}. \quad (4.40)$$

It is known that every finite dimensional irreducible  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module is isomorphic to  $\otimes_\mu W_{n_\mu}(b_\mu)$  for some  $n_\mu$  and  $b_\mu$ . We will represent the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module described by a skew Young diagram as the tensor product of the form  $\otimes_\mu W_{n_\mu}(b_\mu)$ .

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $\theta$  be the skew Young diagram of border strip  $\langle m_1, \dots, m_r \rangle$  such that  $m_i = 1$  or  $2$ , and  $N = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$ ,  $a_{l+\sum_{i=j}^r m_i} = 2(l-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i)$ . We put  $I = \{i \mid m_i = 1 \text{ and } m_{i-1} = 2\} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{r'}\}$  ( $m_0 = 2, l_i < l_{i+1}$ ) and let  $n_i$  be the integer such that  $m_{l_i} = \dots = m_{l_i+n_i-1} = 1$ ,  $m_{l_i+n_i} = 2$  and  $b_i = 2l_i + n_i - 3$ .*

*The  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module  $(\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}, R_\theta \cdot \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^2)$  is isomorphic to  $W_{n_1}(b_1) \otimes W_{n_2}(b_2) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n_{r'}}(b_{r'})$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5, we find that  $(\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_N}^{(N)}, R_\theta \cdot \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^2)$  is isomorphic to  $(\pi_{a_N, \dots, a_1}^{(N)}, \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^2 / \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta)$ .

As in the proof of Proposition 11, we get  $\text{Im } \check{R}_{i,i+1}(q^2) \subset \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta$  if  $a_{i+1} = a_i - 2$  and  $\text{Im } \check{R}_{i,i+1}(q^{-2}) \subset \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta$  if  $a_{i+1} = a_i + 2$ .

We can directly confirm that the  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module  $(\pi_{a, a-2}, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 / \text{Im } \check{R}_{1,2}(q^2))$  is 1-dimensional and the module  $(\pi_{a, a+2, \dots, a+2(l-1)}, \otimes^l \mathbb{C}^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \text{Im } \check{R}_{i,i+1}(q^{-2}))$  is isomorphic to  $W_l(a+l-1)$ , where  $(l)$  is the Young diagram of degree  $l$  which has only one row.

If we put

$$\tilde{V} = \sum_{i \mid a_{i+1} = a_i + 2} \text{Im } \check{R}_{i,i+1}(q^2) + \sum_{i \mid a_{i+1} = a_i - 2} \text{Im } \check{R}_{i,i+1}(q^{-2}), \quad (4.41)$$

then  $(\pi_{a_N, \dots, a_1}^{(N)}, \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^2 / \tilde{V}) \simeq W_{n_1}(b_1) \otimes W_{n_2}(b_2) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n_{r'}}(b_{r'})$ .

Since the dimension of  $W_{n_1}(b_1) \otimes W_{n_2}(b_2) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n_{r'}}(b_{r'})$  is equal to the dimension  $\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^2 / \text{Ker } \bar{R}_\theta$  the proof is finished.  $\blacksquare$

By Proposition 13, we can rewrite the decomposition (4.39) for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  case. In fact we get the same decomposition as [6]. More precisely we get

**Proposition 14.** *If we change the coproduct of the level-0  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module defined in [6] to fit our coproduct (2.11–2.13), The level-0  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of  $V(\Lambda_s)$  ( $s \equiv M \bmod 2$ ,  $s = 0$  or  $1$ ) defined in [6] is isomorphic to the level-0  $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module of  $V(\Lambda_s)$  defined in this paper, and the degree is preserved under this isomorphism.*

**Acknowledgment** I am grateful to D. Uglov with whom we collaborated on the papers [12, 11]. I also thank M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, M. Nazarov, Y. Saito, V. Tarasov and colleagues at R.I.M.S. for discussions and support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Akasaka, T. and Kashiwara, M.: Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebra, Preprint (q-alg/9703028).
- [2] Cherednik, I. V.: A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tsetlin bases, Duke Math. J. **54** 563-577 (1987).
- [3] Cherednik, I. V.: Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald's conjectures, Annals Math., **141** 191-216 (1995)
- [4] Ginzburg, V., Kapranov, M. and Vasserot, E.: Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces, Math. Res. Lett. **2** 147-160 (1995).
- [5] Hayashi, T.: Q-analogues of Clifford and Weyl algebras – spinor and oscillator representations of quantum enveloping algebras, Comm. Math. Phys., **127** 129-144 (1990).
- [6] Jimbo, M., Kedem, R., Konno, H., Miwa, T. and Petersen, J.-U. H.: Level-0 action of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  on level-1 modules and Macdonald polynomials, J.Phys, **A28** 5589 (1995) (q-alg/9506016).
- [7] Kashiwara, M., Miwa, T. and Stern, E.: Decomposition of  $q$ -deformed Fock Spaces, Selecta Mathematica, New Series, **1** No. 4, 787-805 (1995). (q-alg/9508006)
- [8] Kirillov A. N., Kuniba, A. and Nakanishi, T.: Skew Young diagram method in spectral decomposition of integrable lattice models, Preprint (q-alg/9607027).
- [9] Knop F.: Integrality of two variable Kostka functions, Preprint (q-alg/9603027).
- [10] Macdonald, I. G.: “Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials”, 2-nd ed., Clarendon Press (1995).
- [11] Saito, Y., Takemura, K. and Uglov, D.: Toroidal actions on level 1 modules of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ , Preprint RIMS-1133 (q-alg/9702024).
- [12] Takemura, K. and Uglov, D.: Level-0 action of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  on the  $q$ -deformed Fock spaces, Preprint RIMS-1096 (q-alg/9607031).
- [13] Stern, E.: Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **4** 201 (1995).
- [14] Uglov, D.: The trigonometric counterpart of the Haldane-Shastry Model, Preprint RIMS-1030 (hep-th/9508145).

- [15] Varagnolo, M. and Vasserot, E.: Schur duality in the toroidal setting, Comm. Math. Phys., **182** 469-484 (1996).
- [16] Varagnolo, M. and Vasserot, E.: Double-loop algebras and the Fock space, Preprint (q-alg/9612035).

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,  
JAPAN

*E-mail address:*    `takemura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`