# Heisenberg Double and Pentagon Relation

R.M. Kashaev $^*$ 

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique ENSLAPP<sup>†</sup> ENSLyon, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69007 Lyon, FRANCE E-mail: rkashaev@enslapp.ens-lyon.fr

March 1995

#### Abstract

It is shown that the Heisenberg double has a canonical element, satisfying the pentagon relation. From a given invertible constant solution to the pentagon relation one can restore the structure of the underlying algebras. Drinfeld double can be realized as a subalgebra in the tensor square of the Heisenberg double. This enables one to write down solutions to the Yang-Baxter relation in terms of solutions to the pentagon relation.

ENSLAPP-L-512/95

<sup>\*</sup>On leave from St. Petersburg Branch of the Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg 191011, RUSSIA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>URA 14-36 du CNRS, associée à l'E.N.S. de Lyon, et à l'Université de Savoie

### 1 Introduction

The theory of quantum groups [3] appeared as an algebraic setting for the construction of solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation [12, 2]:

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}. (1.1)$$

According to [3], for any Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  one can construct a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra  $D(\mathcal{A})$ , called Drinfeld double, where the universal *R*-matrix, satisfying relation (1.1), exists. In [5] it was shown how to reconstruct the underlying Hopf algebra from a given solution to equation (1.1). In [9, 1, 10] another, Heisenberg double  $H(\mathcal{A})$ , has been introduced, which, unlike the Drinfeld double, is not a Hopf algebra.

The purpose of the present letter is to show that the constant "pentagon" relation

$$S_{12}S_{13}S_{23} = S_{23}S_{12}, (1.2)$$

plays the same role in Heisenberg double as Yang-Baxter relation (1.1) does in Drinfeld's one. This is the content of Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we show that the Drinfeld double can be realized as a subalgebra in the tensor square of the Heisenberg double. This gives an explicit formula for solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation in terms of solutions to the pentagon equation. As an example, in Sect. 4 the Borel subalgebra of  $U_q(sl(2))$  at |q| < 1 is considered and a slightly generalized form of the quantum dilogarithm identity of [4] is obtained.

**Acknowledgements.** It is a pleasure to thank L.D. Faddeev, J.M. Maillet and M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky for the valuable discussions.

### 2 Heisenberg Double and Pentagon Relation

Let  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  be a linear basis of associative and co-associative bialgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , with the following multiplication and co-multiplication rules:

$$e_{\alpha}e_{\beta} = m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}e_{\gamma}, \quad \Delta(e_{\alpha}) = \mu_{\alpha}^{\beta\gamma}e_{\beta} \otimes e_{\gamma}, \tag{2.1}$$

where summations over the repeated indices are implied. The Heisenberg double  $H(\mathcal{A})$  can be defined as an associative algebra with generating elements  $\{e^{\beta}, e_{\alpha}\}$  and the following defining relations:

$$e_{\alpha}e_{\beta} = m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}e_{\gamma}, \quad e^{\alpha}e^{\beta} = \mu_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}e^{\gamma}, \quad e_{\alpha}e^{\beta} = m_{\rho\gamma}^{\beta}\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma\sigma}e^{\rho}e_{\sigma}.$$
(2.2)

There are two subalgebras of  $H(\mathcal{A})$  with the linear bases  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  and  $\{e^{\alpha}\}$ , which are equivalent to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and it's dual  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . The analog of the adjoint representation is given by a realization in terms of the structure constants:

$$\langle \alpha | e_{\beta} | \gamma \rangle = m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}, \quad \langle \alpha | e^{\beta} | \gamma \rangle = \mu_{\alpha}^{\beta\gamma}.$$
(2.3)

The remarkable property of the Heisenberg double is described by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** The canonical element  $S = e_{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}$  in the Heisenberg double satisfies the pentagon relation (1.2).

Now, following [5], for a given invertible solution S of the pentagon relation (1.2), define two bialgebras  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^*$ , generated with entries of matrices F and G, respectively, and the following multiplication and co-multiplication rules:

$$F_1 F_2 S_{12} = S_{12} F_1, \quad S_{12} G_1 G_2 = G_2 S_{12}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\Delta(F_1) = F_1 \otimes F_1, \quad \Delta(G_1) = G_1 \otimes G_1.$$
(2.5)

Note, that the associativity conditions are ensured by the pentagon relation (1.2). In fact, these algebras are dual as bialgebras with the following pairing:

$$\langle G_1, F_2 \rangle = S_{12}. \tag{2.6}$$

To extract the structure constants for given linear bases  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\{e^{\alpha}\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  with the canonical pairing:

$$\langle e^{\beta}, e_{\alpha} \rangle = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \qquad (2.7)$$

represent matrices F and G as linear combinations of the base elements with some coefficients:

$$F_1 = F_1^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}, \quad G_1 = G_{1,\alpha} e^{\alpha}.$$
 (2.8)

Substituting these expressions into (2.6), and using (2.7), we obtain:

$$S_{12} = G_{1,\alpha} F_2^{\alpha}.$$
 (2.9)

Expanding the known matrix  $S_{12}$  in the form of (2.9), one can calculate the matrices  $G_{1,\alpha}$  and  $F_1^{\alpha}$  up to an invertible transformation over the index  $\alpha$ . From (2.9) it follows also, that for a finite dimensional matrix S one associates in this way a finite dimensional algebra with the dimension, given by the formula:

$$\dim(\mathcal{B}) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{P}_{12}\mathbf{S}_{12})^{\mathbf{t}_1},\tag{2.10}$$

where  $P_{12}$  is the permutation matrix, and the upper index  $t_1$  means the transposition in the first subspace. Introduce now the dual matrices  $F_{1,\alpha}$  and  $G_1^{\alpha}$  through the equations:

$$\operatorname{tr}_1(\mathcal{F}_{1,\alpha}\mathcal{F}_1^\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad \operatorname{tr}_1(\mathcal{G}_{1,\alpha}\mathcal{G}_1^\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta.$$
(2.11)

Using these, one can derive the following formulas for the structure constants:

$$m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = \operatorname{tr}_1(\mathbf{G}_{1,\alpha}\mathbf{G}_{1,\beta}\mathbf{G}_1^{\gamma}), \quad \mu_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta} = \operatorname{tr}_1(\mathbf{F}_1^{\alpha}\mathbf{F}_1^{\beta}\mathbf{F}_{1,\gamma}).$$
(2.12)

There is an analog of the adjoint representation for these algebras, given by the S-matrix itself:

$$F_1 = S_{01}, \quad G_1 = S_{10}, \tag{2.13}$$

where the 0-th subspace corresponds to the representation space. In fact, formulas (2.13) realize the Heisenberg double, defined by (2.4) and the mixed permutation relation of the form:

$$G_1 S_{12} F_2 = F_2 G_1. (2.14)$$

Formulas (2.10)-(2.12) make sense for finite dimensional case, while the infinite dimensional case needs a further qualification. Our result can be stated as the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** For any invertible solution to the constant pentagon relation (1.2) one can associate a pair of mutually dual associative and co-associative bialgebras.

#### 3 Yang-Baxter and Pentagon Relations

Let  $H(\mathcal{A})$  be the Heisenberg double, defined in Section 2. Co-multiplications of subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^*$  can not be extended to any co-multiplication of the whole algebra. This is the main difference between Heisenberg and Drinfeld doubles. It is possible, however, to realize Drinfeld double as a subalgebra in the tensor product of two Heisenberg's,  $H(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \tilde{H}(\mathcal{A})$ , where the second "tilded" double is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha}\tilde{e}_{\beta} = m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}\tilde{e}_{\gamma}, \quad \tilde{e}^{\alpha}\tilde{e}^{\beta} = \mu_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{e}^{\gamma}, \quad \tilde{e}^{\beta}\tilde{e}_{\alpha} = \mu_{\alpha}^{\sigma\gamma}m_{\gamma\rho}^{\beta}\tilde{e}_{\sigma}\tilde{e}^{\rho}.$$
(3.1)

The canonical element  $\tilde{S} = \tilde{e}_{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{e}^{\alpha}$ , satisfies the "reversed" pentagon relation:

$$\tilde{S}_{12}\tilde{S}_{23} = \tilde{S}_{23}\tilde{S}_{13}\tilde{S}_{12}.$$
(3.2)

Using relations (2.2) and (3.1) it is easy to show that the elements

$$E_{\alpha} = \mu_{\alpha}^{\beta\gamma} e_{\beta} \otimes \tilde{e}_{\gamma}, \quad E^{\alpha} = m_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} e^{\beta} \otimes \tilde{e}^{\gamma}.$$
(3.3)

satisfy the defining relations of the Drinfeld double:

$$E_{\alpha}E_{\beta} = m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}E_{\gamma}, \quad E^{\alpha}E^{\beta} = \mu_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}E^{\gamma}, \quad \mu_{\alpha}^{\sigma\gamma}m_{\gamma\rho}^{\beta}E_{\sigma}E^{\rho} = m_{\rho\gamma}^{\beta}\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma\sigma}E^{\rho}E_{\sigma}.$$
 (3.4)

In particular, for the canonical element  $R = E_{\alpha} \otimes E^{\alpha}$  one gets a factorized formula:

$$R_{12,34} = S_{14}'' S_{13} \tilde{S}_{24} S_{23}', \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$S' = \tilde{e}_{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}, \quad S'' = e_{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{e}^{\alpha}.$$
 (3.6)

By construction, R-matrix (3.5) satisfies the Yang-Baxter relation:

$$R_{1\tilde{1},2\tilde{2}}R_{1\tilde{1},3\tilde{3}}R_{2\tilde{2},3\tilde{3}} = R_{2\tilde{2},3\tilde{3}}R_{1\tilde{1},3\tilde{3}}R_{1\tilde{1},2\tilde{2}}.$$
(3.7)

In fact, it is a consequence of eight different pentagon relations, two homogeneous ones, (1.2) and (3.2), and six mixed ones:

$$S'_{12}S'_{13}S_{23} = S_{23}S'_{12}, \qquad \tilde{S}_{12}S'_{23} = S'_{23}S'_{13}\tilde{S}_{12}, S_{12}S''_{13}S''_{23} = S''_{23}S_{12}, \qquad S''_{12}\tilde{S}_{23} = \tilde{S}_{23}S''_{13}S''_{12}, S'_{12}\tilde{S}_{13}S''_{23} = S''_{23}S'_{12}, \qquad S''_{12}S'_{23} = S'_{23}S_{13}S''_{12}.$$
(3.8)

Consider now the case, where algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Hopf algebra. The unit element and co-unity map have the form:

$$1 = \varepsilon^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}, \quad \epsilon(e_{\alpha}) = \varepsilon_{\alpha}, \tag{3.9}$$

and there are also the antipode and it's inverse maps:

$$\gamma(e_{\alpha}) = \gamma_{\alpha}^{\beta} e_{\beta}, \quad \overline{\gamma}(e_{\alpha}) = \overline{\gamma}_{\alpha}^{\beta} e_{\beta}, \quad \gamma_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \overline{\gamma}_{\gamma}^{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}.$$
(3.10)

In this case the second double  $H(\mathcal{A})$  can be realized through the first one  $H(\mathcal{A})$ :

$$\tilde{e}_{\alpha} = \gamma_{\alpha}^{\beta} \overline{e}_{\beta}, \quad \tilde{e}^{\alpha} = \overline{\gamma}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \overline{e}^{\beta}, \tag{3.11}$$

where the overline means the opposite multiplication, which can be realized by the transposition operation. The four different S-matrices can be expressed now only in terms of the original one:

$$\tilde{S} = S^t, \quad S' = (S^{-1})^{t_1}, \quad S'' = (S^{t_2})^{-1},$$
(3.12)

where t and  $t_i$  mean the full and partial transpositions respectively. Clearly, all the pentagon relations (3.2) and (3.8) are reduced to (1.2). Thus, formula (3.5) enables to construct solutions to the Yang-Baxter relation from invertible and cross-invertible<sup>1</sup> solutions to the pentagon relation (1.2).

The above construction can be generalized also to the non-constant case. For this consider some invertible and cross-invertible solution to the non-constant pentagon relation (see [8] for the definition, and [7], for the example) which can be written in the following form:

$$S_{12}(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3)S_{13}(z_0, z_1, z_3, z_4)S_{23}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$$
  
=  $S_{23}(z_0, z_2, z_3, z_4)S_{12}(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_4),$  (3.13)

where  $z_0, \ldots, z_4$  are some parameters of any nature. Substituting non-constant S's into (3.5), we obtain an *R*-matrix, depending of sixteen parameters:

$$R_{12,34}(\hat{z}) = (S_{14}^{t_4}(z_{11}, \dots, z_{14}))^{-1} S_{13}(z_{21}, \dots, z_{24}) \\ \times S_{24}^t(z_{31}, \dots, z_{34}) (S_{23}^{-1}(z_{41}, \dots, z_{44}))^{t_2},$$
(3.14)

where  $\hat{z}$  is a 4-by-4 matrix with entries  $z_{ij}$  for i, j = 1, ..., 4. Substituting six such *R*-matrices with different arguments into (3.7), we get the following equation:

$$R_{11',22'}(\hat{x})R_{11',33'}(\hat{y})R_{22',33'}(\hat{z}) = R_{22',33'}(\hat{z}')R_{11',33'}(\hat{y}')R_{11',22'}(\hat{x}'), \quad (3.15)$$

which is satisfied provided the matrices  $\hat{x}, \ldots, \hat{x}'$  are constrained in the special way:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{23} &= x_{43} = z_{12} = z_{22} = x'_{23} = x'_{43} = z'_{12} = z'_{22}, \\ x_{13} &= x_{33} = z_{32} = z_{42} = x'_{13} = x'_{33} = z'_{32} = z'_{42}, \end{aligned}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By cross-invertibility we mean the invertibility of the partially transposed matrix  $S^{t_2}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} x_{22} &= x_{42} = x'_{22} = x'_{42} = y_{i2}, \quad x_{12} = x_{32} = x'_{12} = x'_{32} = y'_{i2}, \\ z_{13} &= z_{23} = z'_{13} = z'_{23} = y_{i3}, \quad z_{33} = z_{43} = z'_{33} = z'_{43} = y'_{i3}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{11} &= x'_{11} = y'_{11} = y'_{21} = z'_{31} = z'_{41}, \quad z_{14} = z'_{14} = x'_{24} = x'_{44} = y_{24} = y_{44}, \\ x_{21} &= x'_{21} = y_{11} = y_{21} = z'_{11} = z'_{21}, \quad z_{24} = z'_{24} = x_{24} = x_{44} = y_{14} = y_{34}, \\ x_{31} &= x'_{31} = y'_{31} = y'_{41} = z_{31} = z_{41}, \quad z_{34} = z'_{34} = x_{14} = x_{24} = y'_{14} = y'_{34}, \\ x_{41} &= x'_{41} = y_{31} = y_{41} = z_{11} = z_{21}, \quad z_{44} = z'_{44} = x'_{14} = x'_{24} = y'_{24} = y'_{44} 3.16) \end{aligned}$$

Apparently, there should exist a reparametrization, simplifying these constraints, and admitting some "rapidity" picture.

To conclude the section note, that formula (3.5) has a typical "box" structure, used for the construction of solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation in terms of those to the "twisted" Yang-Baxter equations [6].

## 4 Examples

Consider several examples, realizing the general constructions of Section 2.

For a given finite group  $\mathcal{G}$  consider it's group algebra as a Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . The multiplication relations of the corresponding Heisenberg double are as follows:

$$e_g e_h = e_{gh}, \quad e^g e^h = \delta_{g,h} e^g, \quad e^g e_h = e_h e^{gh}, \quad g,h \in \mathcal{G}.$$
 (4.1)

This example generates the infinite sequence of finite dimensional solutions to the pentagon relation (1.2). Particularly, in the adjoint representation (2.3), the matrix elements of the canonical element read:

$$\langle g,h|S|g',h'\rangle = \delta_{gh}^{g'}\delta_h^{h'}.$$
(4.2)

Let Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  be now the algebra  $\mathbf{C}[x]$  with the co-multiplication

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x. \tag{4.3}$$

For a linear base take the normalized monomials:

$$e_m = x^m/m!, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$
 (4.4)

The dual algebra  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is also the algebra  $\mathbf{C}[\overline{x}]$  with the co-multiplication of the form (4.3), the dual base being

$$e^m = \overline{x}^m, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots \tag{4.5}$$

The Heisenberg double  $H(\mathcal{A})$  is defined by the Heisenberg permutation relation between x and  $\overline{x}$ :

$$x\overline{x} - \overline{x}x = 1,\tag{4.6}$$

and the canonical element is just the usual exponent:

$$S = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e_m \otimes e^m = \exp(x \otimes \overline{x}).$$
(4.7)

The pentagon relation (1.2) is an evident consequence of the permutation relation (4.6).

The next example is less trivial. Let algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  be a deformed universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra:

$$HE - EH = E, (4.8)$$

with the co-multiplications [3]:

$$\Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, \quad \Delta(E) = E \otimes \exp(hH) + 1 \otimes E, \tag{4.9}$$

where the complex parameter h is chosen to have a positive real part. This algebra coincides with Borel subalgebra of  $U_q(sl(2))$  where  $q = \exp(-h)$ . For a linear base take again normalized monomials:

$$e_{m,n} = H^m E^n / m! (q)_n, \quad m, n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (4.10)

where

$$(q)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0; \\ (1-q)\dots(1-q^n) & n > 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.11)

The dual algebra is generated also by two elements  $\overline{H}$  and F with the permutation relation:

$$\overline{H}F - F\overline{H} = -hF, \tag{4.12}$$

and co-multiplications:

$$\Delta(\overline{H}) = \overline{H} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \overline{H}, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes \exp(-\overline{H}) + 1 \otimes F, \tag{4.13}$$

the dual base being

$$e^{m,n} = \overline{H}^m F^n, \quad m,n = 0,1,\dots$$
(4.14)

The permutation relations of the Heisenberg double  $H(\mathcal{A})$  read

$$H\overline{H} - \overline{H}H = 1, \quad E\overline{H} = \overline{H}E, \tag{4.15}$$

$$HF - FH = -F, \quad EF - FE = (1 - q)q^{-H}.$$
 (4.16)

The canonical element is given by the formula:

$$S = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} e_{m,n} \otimes e^{m,n} = \exp(H \otimes \overline{H})(E \otimes F;q)_{\infty}^{-1}, \qquad (4.17)$$

where

$$(x;q)_{\infty} = (1-x)(1-xq)\dots$$
 (4.18)

The pentagon relation (1.2) for the element (4.17) can be rewritten by the use of above permutation relations in the following form:

$$(U;q)_{\infty}([U,V]/(1-q);q)_{\infty}(V;q)_{\infty} = (V;q)_{\infty}(U;q)_{\infty}, \qquad (4.19)$$

where the square brackets denote the commutator, and

$$U = E_2 F_3, \quad V = E_1 F_2. \tag{4.20}$$

Operators U and V satisfy the following algebraic relations:

$$W \equiv UV - qVU, \quad [U, W] = [V, W] = 0,$$
 (4.21)

which mean that the element W lies in the center of the algebra, generated by operators U and V. In particular case, where W = 0, formula (4.19) coincides with the quantum dilogarithm identity of [4]. The generalized form (4.19) of the latter has been found earlier by Volkov [11].

#### References

- [1] A.Yu. Alekseev, L.D. Faddeev, Commun. Math. Phys. 141 (1991) 413-422
- [2] R.J. Baxter, Ann. Phys. 70 (1972) 193
- [3] V.G. Drinfeld, Proc. Int. Cong. Math., Berkeley 1987, 798-820
- [4] L.D. Faddeev, R.M. Kashaev, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, Vol. 9, No. 5 (1994) 427-434
- [5] L.D. Faddeev, N.Yu. Reshetikhin, L.A. Takhtajan, Algebraic Analysis, vol.1, 1988, 129-139
- [6] L. Freidel, J.M. Maillet, Phys. Lett. B262 (1991) 278
- [7] R.M. Kashaev, Quantum Dilogarithm as a 6j Symbol, Preprint POMI-9/94, hep-th-9411147, to appear in Mod. Phys. Lett. A
- [8] J.M. Maillet, Algebraic Analysis, vol. 6 (1994) 206
- [9] N.Yu. Reshetikhin, M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Lett. Math. Phys. 19 (1990)
- [10] M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Poisson Lie Groups, Quantum Duality Principle, and the Quantum Double, hep-th-9204042
- [11] A.Yu. Volkov, private communication, 1994
- [12] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. (1967) 1312