

On the evaluation of some three-body variational integrals

José Caro

Physik Department, Technische Universität-München, D-85747-Garching, Germany

Abstract

Stable recursive relations are presented for the numerical computation of the integrals

$$\int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 r_1^{l-1} r_2^{m-1} r_{12}^{n-1} \exp \{-\alpha r_1 - \beta r_2 - \gamma r_{12}\}$$

(l, m and n integer, α, β and γ real) when the indices l, m or n are negative. Useful formulas are given for particular values of the parameters α, β and γ .

31.15.Pf, 02.70.Rw

I. INTRODUCTION

When dealing with the three-body variational problem with Hylleraas basis, it is usually necessary to make extensive use of integrals of the general form

$$I(l, m, n; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 r_1^{l-1} r_2^{m-1} r_{12}^{n-1} \exp \{-\alpha r_1 - \beta r_2 - \gamma r_{12}\}, \quad (1)$$

where $r_1 = |\mathbf{r}_1|$, $r_2 = |\mathbf{r}_2|$ and $r_{12} = |\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1|$.

For the case of l , m and n non-negative (that is, non-negative powers of r_1 , r_2 and r_{12} once the volume element has been taken into account), powerful, simple and stable recursive relations that permit the numerical calculation of these integrals can be found in the literature [1]. However, it is sometimes essential to have also an expression for one of the integer indices being negative. For instance, that happens in the atomic problem when one wants to consider the mean value of the r_{12}^{-2} operator [2] or relativistic corrections [3]; or in the nuclear problem when non-local terms are included in a Yukawa-like interaction [4]. In some cases, the integrals must be computed in every step of the non-linear optimization procedure, and hence it is clear the need of having a quick and reliable algorithm to compute them. The specific cases $I(1, 1, -1)$ and $I(0, -1, -1)$ were already considered in refs. [2] and [5] respectively. For $\gamma = 0$ much work has been done [1,6–10], also including explicitly the coupling of the angular momentum of the two dynamical particles [11]. Some work has been devoted to the analogous integrals for four- or more-body problems [5,6,10,12,13].

II. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF $I(l, m, n)$

To study the general properties of the integral (1) for l , m and n (possibly negative) integer numbers and α , β and γ real it is convenient to make use of perimetric coordinates [14],

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u &= -r_1 + r_2 + r_{12} \\ v &= r_1 - r_2 + r_{12} \\ w &= r_1 + r_2 - r_{12} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

in terms of which the initial integral reads

$$I(l, m, n; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 2^{-(l+m+n+3)} I_p \left(l, m, n; \frac{\beta + \gamma}{2}, \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right), \quad (3)$$

where

$$I_p(l, m, n; a, b, c) = \int_0^\infty du \int_0^\infty dv \int_0^\infty dw (v + w)^l (u + w)^m (u + v)^n \exp \{-au - bv - cw\}. \quad (4)$$

The integral I_p is explicitly invariant under permutation of conjugated pairs of parameters $\{(l, a), (m, b), (n, c)\}$, and therefore

$$I(l, m, n; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = I(m, l, n; \beta, \alpha, \gamma) = I(n, m, l; \gamma, \beta, \alpha), \quad (5)$$

symmetry that will be used throughout this work.

The long range convergence of I_p is ensured if a , b and c are positive real numbers, that is, if

$$\alpha + \beta > 0, \quad \alpha + \gamma > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta + \gamma > 0. \quad (6)$$

That means that one of the exponential parameters, α , β or γ , can be zero or negative, provided that the other two are bigger than the absolute value of the former. Note also that one of the exponential coefficients of I_p can be zero if the power of the corresponding integration variable is negative and high enough. For instance, $a = 0$ with $l = 0$ and $m = n = -1$ would yield a convergent result. Anyhow, this is an almost useless case for the variational problem, because for higher power integrals (that very likely should also be considered) $a = 0$ would lead to divergent quantities. From now on, we assume that the requirements (6) are fulfilled.

The study of the short range convergence can be straightforwardly done case by case. Summarizing, for l , m and n integer, and α , β and γ real such that the conditions (6) are fulfilled, the integral (1) is convergent if and only if

$$l \geq -1, \quad m \geq -1, \quad n \geq -1 \quad \text{and} \quad l + m + n \geq -2. \quad (7)$$

To have a procedure to generate the whole set of integrals (1) one needs relations for the cases $I(l, m, -1)$ and $I(l, -1, -1)$ where l and m are non-negative.

As soon as we have checked that the integral we are looking for is convergent, integration over one parameter can be applied to lower the conjugated power,

$$I(l, m, n; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} dc I(l, m, n+1; \alpha, \beta, c). \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, derivation can always be used to increase indices,

$$(-\partial_{\alpha})^p I(l, m, n; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = I(l+p, m, n; \alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (9)$$

These properties, together with

$$I(0, 0, 0; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta)^{-1} (\alpha + \gamma)^{-1} (\beta + \gamma)^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

are useful to derive all the integrals. Note also that for $\lambda > 0$

$$I(l, m, n; \lambda\alpha, \lambda\beta, \lambda\gamma) = \lambda^{-(l+m+n+3)} I(l, m, n; \alpha, \beta, \gamma), \quad (11)$$

that is, for given l , m and n , I is a homogeneous function of α , β and γ .

III. CASE $I(l, m, -1)$ WITH $l, m \geq 0$

For the family of integrals $I(l, m, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with $l, m \geq 0$, a variation of the method exposed in ref. [1] can be applied. The recursive relation that one gets is the following,

$$I(l, m, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} [lI(l-1, m, -1) + mI(l, m-1, -1) + B(l, m)] , \quad (12)$$

where

$$B(l, m; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = l! m! \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} dc (\alpha + c)^{-l-1} (\beta + c)^{-m-1} , \quad (13)$$

which is a symmetric function under $(l, \alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, \beta)$ exchange, can be obtained through the relation

$$B(l, m) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} [lB(l-1, m) - mB(l, m-1) + C(l, m)] . \quad (14)$$

Here the function $C(l, m)$ reads

$$C(l, m; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} (m-1)! (\beta + \gamma)^{-m} & \text{if } l = 0 \text{ and } m > 0 \\ -(l-1)! (\alpha + \gamma)^{-l} & \text{if } l > 0 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ \log \frac{(\alpha + \gamma)}{(\beta + \gamma)} & \text{if } l = 0 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and is defined so that the recursion (14) holds also for $l = m = 0$ although $B(0, -1)$ and $B(-1, 0)$ are divergent. Note that $C(l, m)$ is antisymmetric under $(l, \alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, \beta)$.

Unfortunately, in the recursion (14) subtractions are involved, and hence one must look over the stability against roundoff, in particular when α and β are close to each other. We shall study the numerical stability of this recursion below.

It is also possible to relate $B(l, m)$ to Gauss hypergeometric function, ${}_2F_1$ [15], yielding

$$B(l, m; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{l! m!}{m + l + 1} (\alpha + \gamma)^{-l-1} (\beta + \gamma)^{-m} {}_2F_1(1, l + 1; m + l + 2; z) , \quad (16)$$

where $z \equiv \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \gamma}$. Using the symmetry of $B(l, m)$ one can render $|z| < 1$, for which the series representation of ${}_2F_1$ is convergent.

From the definition (13) it is possible to prove the equation

$$B(l+1, m) + B(l, m+1) = l! m! (\alpha + \gamma)^{-(l+1)} (\beta + \gamma)^{-(m+1)} \quad (l, m \geq 0) . \quad (17)$$

Plugging this relation in Eq. (14) gives

$$(l + m) B(l-1, m) - (\alpha - \beta) B(l, m) - (l-1)! m! (\alpha + \gamma)^{-l} (\beta + \gamma)^{-m} = 0 , \quad (18)$$

valid for $m \geq 0$ and $l > 0$. This equation permits to lower one unit the index l of $B(l, m)$ with numerical stability if $\alpha > \beta$. In the opposite case, the symmetry of $B(l, m)$ can be used to lower the index m (see Fig. 1).

On the other hand, using the Gauss relations for contiguous hypergeometric functions one obtains

$$m B(l+1, m-1) + (m-l\xi) B(l, m) - l\xi B(l-1, m+1) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where $\xi \equiv \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\alpha+\gamma}$. This relation defines a recursion that can be used to move on the diagonals $m+l=\text{constant}$. As it is shown in Fig. 1, the straight line $m(l) = \xi l$ on the l - m -plane separates the stability regions of the recursion (19), so that one can move with stability from this line in diagonal steps.

The final recipe to compute the set of $I(l, m, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for $l, m \leq N$ is the following (see Fig. 1). First, two B 's are to be computed numerically to the required accuracy, namely

$$B\left(\left[\frac{2N}{1+\xi}\right], 2N - \left[\frac{2N}{1+\xi}\right]\right) \quad \text{and} \quad B\left(\left[\frac{2N}{1+\xi}\right] + 1, 2N - \left[\frac{2N}{1+\xi}\right] - 1\right)$$

(respectively, points P_1 and P_2 in Fig. 1). Then the recursion (19) is used to generate all needed starting points to use the recursion (18) leftwards (downwards) if $\alpha > \beta$ ($\alpha < \beta$). Finally, the B 's obtained in this way are introduced in Eq. (12). To generate the two initial B 's one can compute the hypergeometric function of Eq. (16) by adding up the corresponding series or using its integral representation (§15.3.1 in ref. [15]). Of course it is also possible to integrate by quadrature in Eq. (13).

Once we have an algorithm to compute extensively the integrals $I(l, m, -1)$, we can check the numerical stability obtained calculating the B 's using the recursion (14) upwards. This is done in Fig. 2. For given β and γ the biggest relative error, \mathcal{E} , associated to $I(l, m, -1; 1, \beta, \gamma)$ with $l, m \leq N$ is selected, and the quantity $-\log_{10} \mathcal{E}$, which gives the number of correct figures, is represented in a contour graphics. Levels higher than 14 were identified, as well as those lower than zero. The contours are only approximate, for the actual surfaces seem to have a fractal structure. All the calculations were done in a double precision machine. As expected, the loss of precision of using the recursion (14) increases when β approaches α .

The particular case $\alpha = \beta$ is specially simple. Indeed, in that case the B function to be included in Eq. (12) is

$$B(l, m; \alpha, \alpha, \gamma) = \frac{l!m!}{l+m+1} (\alpha + \gamma)^{-(l+m+1)}, \quad (20)$$

and the calculations are numerically stable. The case $\alpha = \beta$ is not only a mere academic example. In many practical problems the variational basis is chosen so that any element has the same exponential coefficient both for the coordinates r_1 and r_2 . If the physical problem requires to deal with $I(l, m, -1)$ integrals, then it is sensible to check whether such a basis can produce the required accuracy. This selection was successfully used in the context of a nuclear theory problem [4].

IV. CASE $I(l, -1, -1)$ WITH $l \geq 0$

To generate the set of integrals $I(l, -1, -1)$ use can be made of the relation

$$\alpha I(l, -1, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) - l I(l-1, -1, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = -\beta I(l-1, 0, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) - \gamma I(l-1, -1, 0; \alpha, \beta, \gamma), \quad (21)$$

which is valid for $l > 0$. This equation can be proved by induction. For $l = 0$ direct calculation yields

$$I(0, -1, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \log \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{\beta + \gamma} \log \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta + \gamma} - \text{dilog} \left(\frac{\alpha + \gamma}{\beta + \gamma} \right) - \text{dilog} \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta + \gamma} \right) \right] \quad (22)$$

(see also ref. [5]), where $\text{dilog}(z)$ is the dilogarithm function [15].

The recursion (21), which is numerically unstable upwards, can be used with stability to decrease the index l if $\alpha > 0$, which is the interesting case in physics. But then, one needs as starting point the integral with the highest wanted l . As it can be derived from Eqs. (8-10), that integral can be obtained through the computation of $l + 1$ quadratures as shown:

$$I(l, -1, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = l! \sum_{m=0}^l D(l-m, m; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (23)$$

where

$$D(i, j; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2j} \int_{\frac{\beta+\gamma}{2}}^{\infty} dt \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{(\alpha+t)^{i+1}} \left[\frac{1}{(\alpha+\beta)^j} - \frac{1}{(\alpha-\gamma+2t)^j} + \frac{1}{(\alpha+\gamma)^j} - \frac{1}{(\alpha-\beta+2t)^j} \right] \quad (24)$$

if $j \neq 0$ and

$$D(i, 0; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{\beta+\gamma}{2}}^{\infty} dt \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{(\alpha+t)^{i+1}} \log \frac{(\alpha-\gamma+2t)(\alpha-\beta+2t)}{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\gamma)}. \quad (25)$$

Note that all integrands are positive. Although computing these quadratures can be some time consuming, this is the price to pay for avoiding double integrals in the algorithm.

In Fig. 3 the loss of precision using the recursion (21) upwards is presented (see comments on Fig. 2).

A few particular cases of $I(l, -1, -1)$ are readily obtained from the recursion (21). Indeed, for $\alpha = 0$ and $l \geq 0$ we have

$$I(l, -1, -1; 0, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{l+1} [\beta I(l, 0, -1; 0, \beta, \gamma) + \gamma I(l, -1, 0; 0, \beta, \gamma)]. \quad (26)$$

And the specific case $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ reads

$$I(l, -1, -1; \alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = \frac{l!}{(2\alpha)^{l+1}} S_l, \quad (27)$$

where the coefficients

$$S_l = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l+m} \frac{1}{i+1} \right) \frac{2^{-m}}{l+m+1} \quad (28)$$

are to be computed only once. For $l \leq 100$ one does not need more than fifty-two terms to achieve sixteen stable figures in S_l without using any numerical procedure to accelerate convergence. The first of these coefficients is $S_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

V. SUMMARY

Some recursive relations to compute the integrals (1) for all negative integer parameters (l , m and n) have been presented. The stability of these recursions has been investigated, and algorithms have been given to use them with full accuracy. The integrals $I(l, m, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ (where $l, m \geq 0$) can be generated at low computing cost. For the integrals $I(l, -1, -1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ $N + 1$ one-dimensional quadratures are needed, where N is the highest required l and α is assumed to be positive. Specially simple algorithms are given for the cases $I(l, m, -1; \alpha, \alpha, \gamma)$, $I(l, -1, -1; 0, \beta, \gamma)$ and $I(l, -1, -1; \alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author gratefully thanks L.L. Salcedo for helpful comments on a previous version of the manuscript, and E. Buendía for some references. This work was supported by the *Dirección General de Enseñanza Superior* (Spanish Education and Culture Ministry) through a postdoctoral grant.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Sack, C. C. J. Roothaan, and W. Kolos, J. Math. Phys. **8**, 1093 (1967).
- [2] A. J. Thakkar, and V.H. Smith, Jr., Phys. Rev. **A15**, 1 (1977).
- [3] G. Breit, Phys. Rev. **34**, 553 (1929).
- [4] J. Caro, C. García Recio, and J. Nieves, preprint nucl-th 9801065, submitted to Nuc. Phys. **A**.
- [5] D. M. Fromm, and R. N. Hill, Phys. Rev. **A36**, 1013 (1987).
- [6] P. J. Roberts, J. Chem. Phys. **43** 3547 (1965).
- [7] N. Solony, C. S. Lin, and F. W. Birss, J. Chem. Phys. **45**, 976 (1966).
- [8] L. Hambro, Phys. Rev. **A5**, 2027 (1972).
- [9] G. F. Thomas, F. Javor, and S. M. Rothstein, J. Chem. Phys. **64**, 1574 (1976).
- [10] F. W. King, Phys. Rev. **A44**, 7108 (1991).
- [11] Z-C. Yan, and C. W. F. Drake, Chem. Phys. Lett. **259**, 96 (1996).
- [12] I. Porras, and F. W. King, Phys. Rev. **A49**, 1637 (1994).
- [13] Z-C. Yan, and C. W. F. Drake, J. Phys. **B30**, 4723 (1997).
- [14] C. L. Pekeris, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1649 (1958).
- [15] M. Abramowitz, and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover Publications, New York, 1972).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Stability lines of the recursions for the calculation of $I(l, m, -1)$. The solid arrows refer to the stable flux of the recursion (19). The empty ones refer to the recursion (18), but only if $\alpha > \beta$. In the opposite case, the symmetric of Eq. (18) under $(l, \alpha) \leftrightarrow (m, \beta)$ exchange can be used to move downwards with stability.

FIG. 2. Loss of precision in the calculation of $I(l, m, -1; 1, \beta, \gamma)$ using the recursion (14) upwards in Eq. (12) for $l, m \leq N$. The number of right figures obtained with a machine of sixteen digit working precision is shown in a contour plot. N is taken to be 10 and 30. The left-bottom corner is forbidden by requirements (6). The surfaces are only approximate. See text for further details.

FIG. 3. Loss of precision in the calculation of $I(l, -1, -1; 1, \beta, \gamma)$ using the recursion (21) upwards for $l \leq N$. See caption of Fig. 2 for further details.




FIG. 1.

This figure "figure2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9803003v1>

This figure "figure3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/physics/9803003v1>