Comment on "Preacceleration without radiation: The nonexistence of preradiation phenomenon," by J. A. Heras [Am. J. Phys. 74, 1025 (2006)]

V. Hnizdo^{a)}

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, West Virginia 26505

In a recent paper,¹ Heras concludes that there is no radiation in the preacceleration parts of non-runaway solutions of the Abraham–Lorentz (AL) equation of motion of a point charge. The purpose of this comment is to argue that this conclusion is incorrect.

The non-runaway solutions of the AL equation have a well-known unphysical feature of preacceleration: the charge starts to accelerate already at a time of order $\tau = 2e^2/(3mc^3)$, where e and m are respectively the charge's magnitude and mass, before an external force is applied. Heras studies the non-runaway solutions of the AL equation for the class of external forces that act only in a finite interval of time (0,T), and reaches the conclusion that, despite its nonzero preacceleration, the charge does not radiate at times t < 0. He bases this conclusion on an integration-by-parts transformation of the integral expression for the energy $W_R(0,T)$ radiated according to the Larmor radiation rate during the time interval (0,T) into a sum of two terms, one of which is an integral over the interval (0,T) and the other equals the negative of the energy $W_R(-\infty,0)$ that is radiated according to the Larmor formula in the interval $(-\infty, 0)$, i.e., during the preacceleration. This transformation leads to the mathematical cancelation of the energy $W_R(-\infty,0)$ in the total radiated energy $W_R(-\infty,0) + W_R(0,T)$ by the equal and opposite term $-W_R(-\infty,0)$ in the energy $W_R(0,T)$, and thus the total radiated energy can be expressed as an integral over only the time interval (0,T). Heras argues against "a misleading time separation of [the radiated] energy in the interval of preacceleration $(-\infty, 0)$ and the interval (0, T)" because the total radiated energy can be expressed as an integral over only the interval (0,T), which "shows that the energy is radiated only during (0, T), which is the interval when the force acts."

To strengthen this argument, using the AL equation Heras writes the Larmor radiation rate $m\tau\dot{v}^2$ as $m\tau\dot{v}^2 - m\tau^2\dot{\mathbf{v}}\cdot\ddot{\mathbf{v}} + m\tau^2\dot{\mathbf{v}}\cdot\ddot{\mathbf{v}} = \tau\dot{\mathbf{v}}\cdot\mathbf{F} + (d/dt)(\frac{1}{2}m\tau^2\dot{v}^2)$, where \mathbf{F} is the external force, which gives the total radiated energy $W_R(-\infty,\infty)$ as $\tau \int_0^T \dot{\mathbf{v}}\cdot\mathbf{F} dt$ because the force is assumed to act only in the interval (0,T) and the integration over the time-derivative term must vanish for non-runaway solutions that are subject to the boundary condition $\dot{\mathbf{v}}(\pm\infty) = 0$. This expresses the total radiated energy again as an integral over only the interval in which the external force acts.

However, expressing the total radiated energy as an integral over the time interval in which the external force is nonzero does not necessarily mean that this energy is radiated only in that interval. The total energy radiated over a nonvanishing interval of time can be expressed as a sum of various

terms in many different ways that do not necessarily associate the correct time origins to the terms, without affecting the *global* energy balance over that time interval. But the AL equation implies also a strict *instantaneous* power balance²

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2}mv^2\right) + m\tau \dot{v}^2 - \frac{d}{dt} \left(m\tau \mathbf{v} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}\right). \tag{1}$$

Here, the left-hand side is the rate of work of the external force \mathbf{F} on a charge moving with velocity \mathbf{v} , and the three terms on the right-hand side are respectively the rate of change of the charge's kinetic energy, its Larmor radiation rate, and the negative of the rate of change of the so-called Schott energy, which is a reversible energy in the charge's near electromagnetic field. The balance (1) must be satisfied at any instant t, including those of any preacceleration time interval, when $\mathbf{F} = 0$ and thus $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, but all the three terms on the right hand side of (1) are nonzero so that their sum vanishes. This is easily verified with the t < 0, i.e., preacceleration, values of v(t) and $a(t) = \dot{v}(t)$ in the example solutions (13), (16), (20) and (23) of Heras. The Schott-energy term is crucial for maintaining the instantaneous energy conservation during preacceleration; Heras himself has co-authored a recent paper that emphasizes the role of this term in the instantaneous power balance of a nonrelativistic classical charge.²

Using the instantaneous power balance (1), one can express the total radiated energy for the non-runaway solutions considered by Heras as

$$W_R(-\infty,\infty) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m\tau \dot{v}^2 dt = \int_0^T \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v} dt - (\frac{1}{2}mv_{\infty}^2 - \frac{1}{2}mv_{-\infty}^2), \qquad (2)$$

as the external force \mathbf{F} is nonzero only in the time interval (0, T) and the term $m\tau\mathbf{v}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{v}}|_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ vanishes because of the boundary condition $\dot{\mathbf{v}}(\pm\infty) = 0$. Equation (2) expresses the fact that the total work $\int_0^T \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dt$ of the external force equals the total radiated energy plus the total increment $\frac{1}{2}mv_{\infty}^2 - \frac{1}{2}mv_{-\infty}^2$ in the charge's kinetic energy, but gives no indication of the time interval in which any part of the energy $W_R(-\infty,\infty)$ is radiated.

All solutions of the AL equation satisfy the instantaneous power balance (1) because this balance is demanded by the AL equation itself. This includes the grossly unphysical runaway solutions, which on a first sight would seem to violate energy conservation, and the uniformly accelerated motion driven by a constant external force, where the AL radiation-reaction force vanishes despite the fact that the charge radiates according to the Larmor rate.³ Solutions that feature preaceleration are no exception. The instantaneous power balance implied by the AL equation unambiguously demands that even a "preaccelerating" charge radiates according to the Larmor radiation-rate formula.

Heras's conclusion that there is no radiation in the preacceleration part of a non-runaway AL trajectory amounts to a claim that the Larmor radiation rate is not applicable on that part of the trajectory. But there are no grounds within nonrelativistic classical electrodynamics for denying the applicability of the Larmor formula to the calculation of the energy radiated by an accelerating charge even over a time interval as short as the time $\tau = 2e^2/(3mc^3)$ — if the idealization of a strictly point charge is assumed. The AL equation assumes this idealization, and all indications are that already the very concept of a point charge (or, more generally, a charge the spatial extension

of which is smaller than the classical radius $r_c = e^2/mc^2$ corresponding to its magnitude e and mass m) is in classical electrodynamics unphysical because alternatives to the AL equation that explicitly or effectively assume a charge of spatial extension of at least the order of r_c , like the classical Ford–O'Connell equation,⁴ or the Landau–Lifshitz (LL) equation,⁵ advocated by Rohrlich⁶ as the correct equation of motion of a classical point-like charge,⁷ do not admit solutions with unphysical features as preacceleration or runaway behavior. The radiation rate implied by the nonrelativistic limit of the LL equation is $\tau \dot{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{F}$,⁸ which shows clearly that the charge then radiates only when the external force \mathbf{F} does not vanish.

^{a)}Electronic mail: vbh5@cdc.gov. V. Hnizdo has written this comment in his private capacity. No official support or endorsement by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is intended or should be inferred.

¹J. A. Heras, "Preacceleration without radiation: The nonexistence of preradiation phenomenon," Am. J. Phys. **74**, 1025–1030 (2006).

²J. A. Heras and R. F. O'Connell, "Generalization of the Schott energy in electrodynamic radiation theory," Am. J. Phys. **74**, 150–153 (2006).

³The "paradox" that a uniformly accelerated charge (or, relativistically, a charge in "hyperbolic" motion) radiates while its self-force (the "radiation-reaction" force) vanishes has a long history, but it is now almost universally accepted that a uniformly accelerated charge radiates, see the recent paper C. de Almeida and A. Saa, "The radiation of a uniformly accelerated charge is beyond horizon: A simple derivation," Am. J. Phys. **74**, 154–158 (2006) and references therein. Curiously, in their recent paper on the Schott energy (Ref. 2), Heras and O'Connell assert that there is no radiated energy when the radiation-reaction force vanishes, despite the fact that the presence of the Schott term in the instantaneous power balance resolves any possible difficulty with maintaining instantaneous energy conservation; a constant acceleration, $\dot{\mathbf{v}} = \text{const}$, only leads to the last two terms in Eq. (1) canceling each other while the radiation rate $m\tau \dot{v}^2$ does not vanish.

⁴G. W. Ford and R. F. O'Connell, "Radiation reaction in electrodynamics and the elimination of runaway solutions," Phys. Lett. A **157**, 217–220 (1991). The nonrelativistic limit of the Landau–Lifshitz equation (see Refs. 5 and 6) is a special case $\Omega = 1/\tau$ of the classical Ford–O'Connell equation, where Ω is a frequency cut-off parameter.

⁵L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1975), Sec. 76. Generally, the LL radiation-reaction 4-force is that of the Lorentz–Abraham–Dirac equation for a point charge in which the 2nd-order derivative \ddot{v}^{μ} of the 4-velocity v^{μ} is replaced by \dot{F}^{μ}/m , where F^{μ} is the external 4-force, and \dot{v}^{μ} in \dot{F}^{μ} is replaced by F^{μ}/m when F^{μ} depends on v^{μ} .

⁶F. Rohrlich, "The correct equation of motion of a classical point charge," Phys. Lett. A **283**, 276–278 (2001); "Dynamics of a classical quasi-point charge," Phys. Lett. A **303**, 307–310 (2002).

⁷The LL radiation-reaction 4-force has been derived recently assuming a quasi-rigid particle of radius $r \geq 2e^2/(3mc^2)$ and the condition $|\dot{F}|/F \ll 1/\tau$ on the external force, see R. Medina, "Radiation reaction of a classical quasi-rigid extended particle," J. Phys. A **39**, 3801–3816 (2006).

⁸The radiation rate $\tau \dot{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{F}$ and a Schott energy $\tau \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F}$ are the correct nonrelativistic limits of the corresponding quantities implied by the LL equation; *cf.* the values $P_{\rm FO} = (\tau/m)F^2$ and $E_{\rm FO} = \tau \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F} - (\tau^2/2m)F^2$ used with the classical Ford–O'Connell equation in Ref. 2.