

Fragmentation studies of high energy ions using CR39 nuclear track detectors

V. Togo^{a,1}, S. Balestra^a, S. Cecchini^{a,b}, D. Di Ferdinando^a, M. Frutti^a, G. Giacomelli^a, M. Giorgini^a, A. Kumar^{a,c}, G. Mandrioli^a, S. Manzoor^{a,d}, A. Margiotta^a, E. Medinaceli^a, L. Patrizii^a, V. Popa^{a,e}, and M. Spurio^a

^a*Phys. Dept. of the University of Bologna and INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Viale C. Berti Pichat 6/2, I-40127 Bologna, Italy*

^b*INAF/IASF Sezione di Bologna, 40129, Bologna Italy*

^c*Dept. Of Physics, Sant Longowal Institute of Eng. and Tech., Longowal 148 106 India*

^d*PRD, PINSTECH, P.O. Nilore, Islamabad, Pakistan*

^e*Institute of Space Sciences, Bucharest R-077125, Romania*

Presented at the 10th Inter. Symp. Radiat. Phys., Coimbra, Portugal, 17-22 Sept. 2006.

Abstract

We report on the measurements of the total charge changing fragmentation cross sections in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions using Fe, Si and Pb incident ions. Several stacks of CR39 nuclear track detectors with different target combinations were exposed at normal incidence to high energy accelerator beams to integrated densities of about 2000 ions/cm². The nuclear track detector foils were chemically etched, and ion tracks were measured using an automatic image analyzer system. The cross section determination is based on the charge identification of beam ions and their fragments and on the reconstruction of their path through the stacks.

Keywords: CR39; nuclear track detector; chemical etching; charge identification; total charge changing cross section

PACS: 29.40.Wk; 25.75.-q; 25.70.Mn; 21.10.Ft

1 Introduction

Fragmentation studies of high energy ions are relevant for nuclear physics, cosmic ray physics, astrophysics and applied physics [1]. High energy heavy ion fragmentation cross-sections are also useful to describe the effects of primary cosmic radiation hitting spacecraft walls. Important applications of the propagation of fast heavy ion beams through matter are given in space radiation protection and in the field of cancer therapy [2].

In this paper we present experimental results on the fragmentation of 158 A GeV lead ions, 1 A GeV and 0.41 A GeV iron ions and 1 A GeV silicon ions. These measurements are part of a series of exposures at CERN, Brookhaven National Laboratory and CHIBA aimed to study the response of the CR39 nuclear detector and to determine the fragmentation cross sections of Pb, Fe and Si ions projectiles. Targets of C, CR39, CH₂, Al, Cu and Pb were used; they were chosen to be thin enough to minimize multiple interactions and thick enough to produce a sufficient number of fragments.

2 Experimental procedure

We exposed several stacks made of CR39 nuclear track detectors and different targets to different energy beams at: CERN-SPS, 158 A GeV Pb⁸²⁺; BNL-NSRL, 1 A GeV Fe²⁶⁺ and

¹Corresponding author, togo@bo.infn.it

Si^{14+} ; CHIBA, 0.41 A GeV Fe^{26+} . Each stack has CR39 sheets upstream and downstream of the target. The exposures were performed at normal incidence. The charged fragments produced by projectile interactions with target nuclei keep most of the projectile longitudinal velocity. They can be detected after the target in CR39 detectors. Our CR39 sheets were manufactured by the Intercast Europe Co. of Parma, Italy, using a specially designed line of production [3].

The detection principle of the CR39 [4] is based on the fact that a through-going heavily ionizing particle produces a cylindrical radiation-damaged region along the ion trajectory creating a “latent track”. This damaged region is chemically reactive and can be etched by an appropriate chemical treatment. As a result, an etched cone is formed on both sides of each detector sheet, see Fig. 1. The cones are visible under a microscope. After exposure, the CR39 detectors were etched for 30 h in a 6N NaOH water solutions at a temperature of 70 °C.




Figure 1: Left: Traks of Pb^{82+} ions and their fragments in a CR39 detector; for this photomicrography the detector was inclined to show both sides. Right: Sketch of an “etched track” in one side of the detector for a normally incident ion.

An automatic image analyzer system [5] was used to scan the detector surfaces and measure the etch-pit cone areas. For each etch-pit cone the base area, the eccentricity, the central brightness and the coordinates were measured.

A tracking procedure was used to reconstruct the path of the beam and of the fragments. To better identify the projectile and fragment charges we performed an average of the measured etch-pit areas for each track in 3 or more sheets. Distributions of the etched cone base areas for CR39 detectors located after the fragmentation targets are shown in Fig. 2. Etched cone base areas are given for 1 A GeV Si^{14+} , 1 A GeV Fe^{26+} and 0.41 A GeV Fe^{26+} . Well separated peaks for the primary ions and for fragments are observed and a charge can be assigned to individual peaks; for a given z/β value, we have the same cone base area for different energies (Fig. 2).

The reduced etch rate $p=v_T/v_B$, where v_T and v_B are the track and bulk etch velocities, respectively, was used to characterize the detector response [6,7]. It was determined on the basis of the surface area measurements of the etch-pits. The response of the detector is

given by the relation p vs REL (Restricted Energy Loss); the REL was computed using the Bethe-Block formula (Particle Data Group). Fig. 3 shows the measured calibration curves (p vs REL) for relativistic Pb, Fe and Si ions.




Figure 2: Distributions of the etched cone areas (average areas for each track over 3 sheets) for CR39 detectors located after the fragmentation targets. Peaks for incident ions and their fragments are well separated and charges can be assigned to each peak. For a given z/β value, we have the same cone base area for different beam energies.

3 Total charge-changing cross sections

For the determination of the total charge-changing cross sections, σ_{tot} , the number of beam ions before the target (incident ions) and the number of beam ions after the targets were measured [8-11]. The target thicknesses were chosen to optimize the fragmentation process.

Our measured σ_{tot} for the collisions of 158 A GeV Pb ions, 1 A GeV Fe²⁶⁺ and Si¹⁴⁺ and 0.41 A GeV Fe²⁶⁺ on different targets are given in the sixth column of Table 1. The fragmentation charge-changing cross section for beam ions was evaluated using the formula

$$\sigma_{tot(exp)} = X_T \cdot \ln(N_i / N_s) \quad (1)$$

where $X_T = A_T / \rho_T \cdot t_T \cdot N_A$ for each target; N_i is the number of primary ions, N_s the number of beam ions surviving after the target, ρ_T the target density, A_T the atomic mass of the target, t_T the target thickness and N_A is the Avogadro number. In this procedure, successive fragmentation processes are neglected. Hydrogen cross sections were obtained from the measured cross sections on carbon and on CH₂ using the formula:

$$\sigma_H = \frac{1}{2}(3\sigma_{CH_2} - \sigma_C) \quad (2)$$

We compare our experimental cross-sections with the geometric collision cross section for a projectile of mass number A_p on a target of mass number A_T :

$$\sigma_{tot(theo)} = \pi r_0^2 (A_p^{\frac{1}{3}} + (A_t^{\frac{1}{3}} - b^2)) \quad (3)$$

assuming $r_0 = 1.35$ fm and $b = 0.83$ [12]. These theoretical cross sections are given in the seventh column of Table 1.




Figure 3: p vs REL calibration curves for CR39 exposed to Lead, Iron and Silicon ions of different energies.

4 Conclusions

The total charge-changing cross sections in different targets were measured using beams of Pb nuclei of 158 A GeV, 1 A GeV Fe^{26+} and Si^{14+} , 0.41 A GeV Fe^{26+} with CR39 nuclear track detectors placed before and after the targets, Table 1. Our results are in agreement with the theoretical values given by eq. (3).

The calibration of the CR39 was determined by the relation p vs REL (Restricted Energy Loss) that shows that a unique curve gives the response of the detector at different energies.

We also exposed different stacks of CR39 to 3, 5 and 10 A GeV for both Fe and Si ions at the BNL AGS. These studies are in progress and should become available in the near future.

Acknowledgements. We thank the staffs of CERN SPS, CHIBA and BNL AGS and NSRL for the beam exposures. We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of our technical staff, in particular E. Bottazzi, L. Degli Esposti, G. Grandi and C. Valieri. We thank INFN and ICTP for providing fellowships and grants to non-Italian citizens.

Target	A _T	Z _T	ρ_T (g/cm ³)	t (cm)	$\sigma_{tot(exp.)}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{tot(theo.)}$ (mb)
<i>158 A GeV Pb⁸²⁺</i>						
Al	27.0	13.0	2.692 ± 0.002	1.04 ± 0.01	3804 ± 164	3742
Cu	63.5	29.0	8.901 ± 0.002	0.99 ± 0.01	5089 ± 274	4714
CR39	7.4	4.0	1.310 ± 0.003	3.07 ± 0.01	2642 ± 81	2832
C	12.0	6.0	1.733 ± 0.004	1.01 ± 0.01	2910 ± 210	3113
CH ₂	4.7	2.7	0.952 ± 0.002	1.02 ± 0.01	2266 ± 156	2616
H	1.0	1.0	-	-	1944 ± 275	2120
Al+CR39	11.5	6.0	1.75 ± 0.01	3.26 ± 0.01	2882 ± 66	3086
Cu+CR39	22.0	11.3	3.65 ± 0.01	3.22 ± 0.01	3282 ± 93	3561
C+CR39	8.7	4.6	1.44 ± 0.01	3.18 ± 0.01	2726 ± 84	2920
CH ₂ +CR39	6.4	3.5	1.19 ± 0.01	3.09 ± 0.01	2515 ± 81	2758
Pb+CR39	31.0	15.9	4.34 ± 0.01	3.23 ± 0.01	3842 ± 116	3874
<i>Fe²⁶⁺ 1 A GeV</i>						
CH ₂	4.7	2.7	0.952 ± 0.002	1.12 ± 0.01	1400 ± 284	1249
<i>Fe²⁶⁺ 0.41 A GeV</i>						
CH ₂	4.7	2.7	0.952 ± 0.002	1.32 ± 0.01	1147 ± 268	1249
<i>Si¹⁴⁺ 1 A GeV</i>						
CH ₂	4.7	2.7	0.952 ± 0.002	1.12 ± 0.01	1057 ± 252	863

Figure 4: The total charge-changing cross sections for Pb, Fe and Si ion projectiles on different targets. The quoted uncertainties on $\sigma_{tot(exp.)}$ are statistical standard deviations.

References

- [1] E.R. Benton et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B184 (2001) 255. M. Ambrosio et al., Eur. Phys. J. C25 (2002) 511.
- [2] U. Amaldi, Nucl. Phys. A 751 (2005) 409c.
- [3] L. Patrizzii et al., Nucl. Tracks Radiat. Meas. 19 (1991) 641.
- [4] R. Fleischer, P.B. Price, R.M. Walker, Nuclear Tracks in Solids, Univ. of California Press, 1975.
- [5] A. Noll et al., Nucl. Tracks Radiat. Meas. 15 (1988) 265.
- [6] S. Cecchini et al., Nuovo Cimento A 109 (1996) 1119. S. Balestra et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. accepted for publication, physics/0610227.
- [7] S. Manzoor et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 453 (2000) 525.
- [8] H. Dekhissi et al., Nucl. Phys. A 662 (2000) 207.
- [9] S. Cecchini et al., Nucl. Phys. A 707 (2002) 513.
- [10] I.E. Qureshi et al., Radiat. Meas. 40 (2005) 437.
- [11] Scampoli et al., Advances in Space Research 35 (2005) 230.
- [12] Y. He, P.B. Price, Z. Phys. A 348 (1994) 105.