

# GEOMETRICAL OPTICS IN GENERAL RELATIVITY

ANGELO LOINGER

**ABSTRACT.** General relativity includes geometrical optics. This basic fact has relevant consequences that concern the physical meaning of the discontinuity surfaces propagated in the gravitational field – as it was first emphasized by Levi-Civita.

**Summary.** – Introduction. – **1.** The eikonal equation. – **2.** The geometrical optics as a consequence of the pseudo-Riemannian expression of the spacetime interval. – **3.** The geometrical optics as a consequence of Maxwell and Einstein field equations. – **4.** The geometrical optics according to special relativity. – **5.** Light propagation in ponderable media. – Appendix: some passages from a memoir by Levi-Civita. –

**Introduction.** – This theme has been touched by me in several contexts, see in particular [1], and references therein. However, on account of the important physical consequences, it is useful to give a more detailed treatment of it, by making explicit the involved hypotheses.

**1.** – *Geometrical optics:* as it is known, the eikonal equation gives not only an approximation – for very small wave lengths – of d'Alembert equation (as Arnold Sommerfeld and Iris Runge demonstrated in 1911 [2]), but yields also the *exact* propagation law of the electromagnetic wave fronts, quite independently of the values of the wave lengths, much more, quite independently of the wave structure – a fundamental result, which follows from the theory of the characteristic manifolds of the partial differential equations of second order [3]. And it is well known that the law of propagation *in vacuo* of an electromagnetic *signal* – i.e., the law of propagation of an electromagnetic *wave front* – is one of the basic tenet of *special relativity* (SR), whose interval  $ds^2$  includes consequently the geometrical optics of light propagation in empty space (see e.g. [4]).

**2.** – *General relativity* (GR): consider the spacetime interval of *any* pseudo-Riemannian manifold:

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = g_{jk}(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) dx^j dx^k, \quad (j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3) ;$$

the metric tensor  $g_{jk}$  can have *any* functional dependence on the general co-ordinates  $x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3$ , provided that (of course) the reference frame is “appropriate” (*eigentlich*, in Hilbert's terminology [5]), i.e. such that:  $g_{00} > 0$  and  $g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta$ , ( $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ ), is negative-definite.

---

To be published on *Spacetime & Substance*.

Geometrical optics according to eq.(1): we have (cf., e.g., papers [6]):

$$(2) \quad L := g_{jk}(x) \frac{dx^j}{d\sigma} \frac{dx^k}{d\sigma} = 0 \quad - \text{(Lagrange-Monge)} \quad ,$$

$$(3) \quad H := \frac{1}{2} g^{jk}(x) \frac{dz(x)}{dx^j} \frac{dz(x)}{dx^k} = 0 \quad - \text{(Hamilton-Jacobi)} \quad ,$$

where  $\sigma$  is a suitable parameter and  $x \equiv (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ ; remark that  $\{\text{eq.(2)}\} \iff \{\text{ds}^2 = 0\}$ . We set:

$$(4) \quad \frac{dx^j}{d\sigma} \equiv \dot{x}^j \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial z(x)}{\partial x^j} \equiv p_j \quad .$$

Lagrange equations

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^j} \right) = 0$$

give the characteristic lines of eq.(2), which are null geodesics: e.m. rays.  
N.B.: eqs.(5) are equivalent to the customary geodesic equations.

Hamilton equations

$$(6) \quad \dot{x}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \quad ; \quad \dot{p}_j = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^j}$$

give the characteristic lines of eq.(3) and coincide with the null geodesics: e.m. rays. From eq.(3) we have that  $z(x) = 0$  is an e.m. *wave front*.

From the spacetime standpoint a wave front originating from a given world point is, according to the expressive Hilbert's terminology [5], a "time sheath" (*Zeitscheide*).

*These results are quite independent of the Einsteinian field equations.* They depend only on the fact that  $\text{ds}^2$  is relative to an "appropriate" system of co-ordinates. They hold even in the **absence** of e.m. fields: an essential property for the *measurements* with "external" e.m. fields.

Further, they are valid, in particular, if: *i*)  $g_{jk}$  is time independent, *ii*)  $g_{jk}$  is characterized by a propagated discontinuity surface ([6b]), see sect.3.); this means that this surface is *not* the wave front of a gravitational wave, but the wave front of an *electromagnetic* radiation.

**3.** – Eq.(3) is also the differential equation of the functions  $z(x)$  which give the characteristic hypersurfaces (wave fronts)  $z(x) = 0$  of Maxwell field, as it was first demonstrated by Whittaker [7]. And these same functions  $z(x)$  give also the characteristic hypersurfaces  $z(x) = 0$  of Einstein field, as it was first demonstrated by Levi-Civita [6b]). This Author pointed out explicitly that a discontinuity surface propagated in the gravitational potential  $g_{jk}$  is inevitably associated with the conveyance of some *electromagnetic* perturbation – and *not* of a gravitational radiation. This conclusion is corroborated in particular by the fact that the gravitational waves are purely mathematical undulations endowed with a **pseudo** energy only, and by the fact that

no motions of masses can generate gravitational waves, see [8] and references therein.

We see that *there is a perfect concordance with the results of sect.2.*, which are based only on the “appropriate” structure of  $ds^2$ . In the last analysis, the reason of this concordance is very simple: both Maxwell and Einstein equations too are written (of course) with reference to an “appropriate” system of co-ordinates ( $g_{00}$  positive and  $g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta$  negative-definite).

**4.** – If eq.(1) is re-interpreted as an expression of spacetime interval of *Minkowskian* world referred to a system of *general* co-ordinates  $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ , sect.2. gives a formulation of the geometrical optics *in vacuo* as it is described by *special* relativity.

**5.** – A last remark. In the previous considerations Maxwell theory is regarded from the *microscopic* standpoint. As it is clear, this is *not* a conceptual restriction. However, the *macroscopic* e.m. theory, with the constitutive equations of the material, specified by various quantities – *in primis*, permittivity  $\epsilon$  and magnetic permeability  $\mu$  – has a great interest of its own. It was thoroughly developed, according to GR, by Gordon in 1923 [9]. I limit myself to mention the following important result: for homogeneous (a constant  $\epsilon$  and a constant  $\mu$ ), insulating and uncharged ponderable media the differential equations of the e.m. field  $F_{jk}$ ,  $(j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ , are identical to the corresponding equations for the vacuum, but with the following gravitational potential  $\gamma_{jk}$ :

$$(7) \quad \gamma_{jk} = g_{jk} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon\mu}\right) u_j u_k \quad ,$$

where  $u_j$  is the four-velocity of matter.

## APPENDIX

I reproduce here the Introduction/Summary and the last Section of the memoir by Levi-Civita, that concerns the characteristic hypersurfaces of the Einsteinian field equations [6b]).

Le equazioni gravitazionali di EINSTEIN costituiscono notoriamente un sistema di dieci equazioni alle derivate parziali del 2° ordine con altrettante funzioni incognite di quattro variabili indipendenti  $x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3$ . Le funzioni sono i dieci coefficienti  $g_{ik} = g_{ki}$  del

$$ds^2 = \sum_0^3 {}_{ik} g_{jk} dx^j dx^k$$

quadrudimensionale che definisce la metrica del cronotopo.

D'altra parte, per un sistema qualsivoglia di equazioni alle derivate parziali, VOLTERRA e HADAMARD hanno sviluppata una teoria generale delle caratteristiche, collegata intimamente sia al problema d'integrazione di CAUCHY, sia al comportamento di eventuali superficie di discontinuità, o comunque singolari, il che, sotto l'aspetto fisico, con riferimento al fenomeno rappresentato dalle equazioni stesse, si interpreta come propagazione delle cosiddette fronti d'onda.

Naturalmente la teoria generale delle caratteristiche può in particolare applicarsi alle equazioni gravitazionali della relatività. Io mi sono appunto proposta tale applicazione, e ne riferisco nella presente Nota, prevalentemente preparatoria, e in altra che tosto la seguirà. Si vedrà che, nel campo reale, le varietà caratteristiche  $z = 0$  (ipersuperficie a tre dimensioni del cronotopo) sono definite (con evidente significato delle notazioni) dalla equazione alle derivate parziali del 1° ordine

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_0^3 {}_{ik} g^{jk} p_i p_k = 0 \quad ,$$

più precisamente anzi dal sussistere di tale equazione per  $z = 0$ , designandosi, come si vede, con  $H$  la funzione caratteristica hamiltoniana che corrisponde al  $ds^2$  einsteiniano.

Fondamentale importanza hanno, come rilevò l'HADAMARD<sup>1</sup>, le bicaratteristiche, cioè le linee caratteristiche (secondo CAUCHY) della equazione del 1° ordine  $H = 0$ , le quali (introducendo un parametro ausiliario  $t$ ) sono a loro volta definite dal sistema canonico

$$\frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i} \quad , \quad \frac{dx^i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3) \quad ,$$

colla specificazione che sia zero il valore di  $H$  (costante per qualsiasi soluzione del sistema). Tali linee coincidono classicamente colle geodetiche

---

<sup>1</sup>Cfr. in particolare *Leçons sur la propagation des ondes*, Paris, Hermann, 1903, Chap. VII.

del  $ds^2$  einsteiniano; anzi, in virtù di  $H = 0$ , colle geodetiche di lunghezza nulla.

La conclusione appare significante, anche come riprova della perfetta coerenza di due postulati fondamentali della relatività generale:

- a) il principio che la luce si propaga secondo geodetiche di lunghezza nulla;
- b) le equazioni gravitazionali che definiscono il  $ds^2$ .

Ecco perchè. Con a) si assegna la legge del moto di una generica perturbazione luminosa. D'altra parte (HADAMARD) le eventuali singolarità del campo, cioè delle equazioni gravitazionali, si propagano secondo le bicaratteristiche delle equazioni stesse. Infine (essendo inclusa nel  $ds^2$  anche l'ottica geometrica) una superficie di discontinuità che si propaga nel campo implica altresì il trasporto di una qualche perturbazione luminosa.

Si hanno così per la propagazione della luce due diverse impostazioni, una fornita direttamente dal postulato a), cioè dalle geodetiche di lunghezza nulla, e l'altra derivante dalle equazioni gravitazionali e relative bicaratteristiche. Le due impostazioni debbono di necessità condurre alle stesse conseguenze, le quante volte coesistano effettivamente a) e b) come leggi di natura. Da questo punto di vista, cioè considerando la costruzione einsteiniana come definitiva, anche nella sua struttura formale, la nostra constatazione di coincidenza era ben prevedibile. Viceversa sotto l'aspetto matematico, occorreva proprio dimostrare l'identità, almeno nel campo reale, delle bicaratteristiche con le geodetiche di lunghezza nulla per rendere legittima la simultanea ammissione di a) e di b).

.....  
.....  
.....

Terminerò rilevando che l'idea di applicare la teoria delle caratteristiche alle equazioni della relatività generale non è nuova, essendo stata nettamente formulata dal WHITTAKER nella sua bella *Note on the law that light-rays are the null geodesics of a gravitational field*<sup>2</sup>. Ivi è appunto dimostrata la coincidenza delle linee di lunghezza nulla con le bicaratteristiche delle equazioni elettromagnetiche (di MAXWELL, adattate al  $ds^2$  cronotopico), ed è pur messo in luce che non poteva essere altrimenti (in una teoria seguente), in quanto le equazioni elettromagnetiche includono anche l'ottica ondulatoria, e, come caso limite, l'ottica geometrica.

La precedente trattazione è in certo modo complementare a quella del WHITTAKER, poiché concerne le equazioni gravitazionali ed il loro collegamento diretto coll'ottica geometrica (indipendentemente da ogni teoria dei fenomeni elettromagnetici).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Loinger, "Relativity and wavy motions", in course of publication on *Spacetime & Substance*; also in *arXiv:physics/0603214 v1* (March 25th, 2006).
- [2] A. Sommerfeld and I. Runge, *Ann. Physik*, **35** (1911) 277; see also Sommerfeld's *Optik*, Zweite Auflage (Akad. Verlagsges., Leipzig) 1959; p.187 ff. – Cf. further: R.K. Luneburg, *Mathematical Theory of Optics* (University of California Press, Berkeley,

---

<sup>2</sup>Proc. of the Cambridge Phil. Society, vol. XXIV, Pt. 1, 1927, pp. 32-34.

- etc.)* 1964; M. Kline and J.W. Kay, *Electromagnetic Theory and Geometrical Optics* (Interscience Publishers, New York) 1965.
- [3] Cf. e.g.: H. Bateman, *Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics* (Dover Publications, New York) 1932; H.F. Weinberger, *A First Course in Partial Differential Equations – with Complex Variables and Transformation Methods* (Blaisdell Publ. Company, Waltham, Mass.; *etc.*) 1965. – See also Luneburg [2], and Kline and Kay [2].
  - [4] V. Fock, *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation*, 2nd revised edition (Pergamon Press, Oxford, *etc.*) 1964, Chaps.I and III, and App.F.
  - [5] D. Hilbert, *Mathem. Annalen*, **92** (1924) 1; reprinted in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Dritter Band (Springer-Verlag, Berlin) 1935, p.258.
  - [6] a) D. Hilbert [5]; b) T. Levi-Civita, *Rend. Acc. Lincei*, **11** (s.6a) (1930) 3 and 113, reprinted in *Opere matematiche – Memorie e Note*, Vol. 5° (Zanichelli, Bologna) 1970, p.77 and p.87; c) V. Fock [4], p.133 and ff.
  - [7] E.T. Whittaker, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **24/1** (1927) 32.
  - [8] A. Loinger, *arXiv:physics/0606019 v1* (June 2nd, 2006), in course of publication on *Spacetime & Substance*.
  - [9] W. Gordon, *Ann. Physik*, **72** (1923) 421. In Part IV of this article the Author discusses the geometrical optics as a consequence of the previous e.m. equations for ponderable media. Remark that his results are not at variance with the results by Levi-Civita on light reflection and refraction, see T. Levi-Civita, *Atti Pont. Acc. Sci. Nuovi Lincei*, **a.LXXXIV** (1930-31) 332 – also in *Opere matematiche – Memorie e Note*, Vol.5° (Zanichelli, Bologna) 1970, p.157. Indeed, Levi-Civita adopts a phenomenological point of view, based on an unanalyzed notion of refractive index, in full analogy with a known pre-relativistic treatment, see e.g. T. Levi-Civita e U. Amaldi, *Lezioni di meccanica razionale*, Vol.II/2 (Zanichelli, Bologna) 1927, p.515 ff.

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA CELORIA, 16 - 20133 MILANO  
(ITALY)

*E-mail address:* [angelo.loinger@mi.infn.it](mailto:angelo.loinger@mi.infn.it)