

# N=4 S-duality Compliant Scattering and within the AdS/CFT Duality

Gordon Chalmers

e-mail: gordon@quartz.shango.com

## Abstract

It is pointed out the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric gauge theory used in the anti-de Sitter holographic correspondence is required to be modified. The  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  completion of the theory is required to map the correlators to string theory amplitudes. This is overlooked in the literature, and requires the (p,q) dyons to be inserted into the gauge theory calculations. In addition, the full four-point and higher-point scattering amplitudes in the spontaneously broken gauge theory are conjectured, in a similar manner as the amplitudes in IIB superstring amplitudes are obtained.

## Introduction

It is understood for years now that there is a holographic duality between  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric SU(N) gauge theory and IIB superstring theory compactified in a stable de Sitter background [1]-[3]. This duality has been explored in many works; as far as the correlation functions are concerned primarily only the three-point functions and four-point functions in the supergravity side have been computed due to the difficulty in obtaining non-perturbative four-point functions. The microscopic  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric gauge theory is to possess a non-perturbative S-duality that is compatible with the corresponding one in IIB superstring theory. The latter is not manifest in the direct quantization of the classical Lagrangian.

In this note it is commented on how to complete the fundamental Lagrangian in the quantum regime in order to restore S-duality. For several reasons, plus the form and coefficients of the tree amplitudes, a completion of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  scattering is required. The S-dual compliant scattering should be used in the AdS/CFT correspondence. Typically, only the perturbative form of the gauge theory is used, without the (p,q) dyons in tests of the correspondence.

## Modular Invariant Derivative Expansion

The  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  spontaneously broken theory is examined in this work. The Lagrangian is,

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{g^2} \text{Tr} \int d^4x [F^2 + \phi \square \phi + \psi \not{D} \psi + [\phi, \phi]^2] . \quad (1)$$

The quantum theory is believed to have a full S-duality, which means that the gauge amplitudes are invariant: under  $A \rightarrow A_D$  and  $\tau \rightarrow (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$  the functional form of the amplitude is invariant. The series supports a tower of dyonic multiplets satisfying the mass formula  $m^2 = 2|n^i a_i + m^i a_{d,i}|^2$  with  $a_i$  and  $a_{d,i}$  the vacuum values of the scalars and their duals;  $a_{d,i} = \tau a_i$ . The two couplings parameterizing the simplest SU(2)→U(1) theory is,

$$\frac{\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g^2} = \tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 , \quad (2)$$

taking values in the Teichmüller space of the keyhole region in the upper half plane, i.e.  $|\tau| \geq 1/2$  and  $|\tau_1| \leq 1/2$ . The S-duality invariant scattering within the derivative expansion is constructed in [4]. Derivative expansions in general are examined in [4]-[14].

The full amplitudes of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory may be constructed either in a gauge coupling perturbative series, i.e. the usual diagrammatic expansion formulated via unitarity methods, or as an expansion in derivatives, with the latter approach being nonperturbative in coupling. Both expansions are equivalent, found from a diagram by diagram basis.

The full set of operators to create a spontaneously broken  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory amplitude is found from

$$\mathcal{O} = \prod_{j=1} \text{Tr} F_j^k , \quad (3)$$

with possible  $\ln^{m_1}(\Box) \dots \ln^{m_n}(\Box)$  (from the massless sector) and combinations with the covariant derivative; the derivatives are gauge covariantized and the tensor contractions are implied. The dimensionality of the operator is compensated by a factor of the vacuum expectation value,  $\langle \phi^2 \rangle^m$ . The generic tensor includes the fermions and scalars as in.

The generating function of the gauge theory  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  four-point amplitude is given

$$\mathcal{S}_4 = \sum \int d^d x \, h_n(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \mathcal{O}_n , \quad (4)$$

with the ring of functions spanning  $h_n(\tau, \bar{\tau})$  consisting of the elements,

$$g^{n-2} (g^2)^s \prod E_{s_j}^{(q_j, -q_j)}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) , \quad (5)$$

and their weights

$$\sum_j s_j = n/2 , \quad \sum_j q_j = 0 , \quad (6)$$

The general covariant term in the effective theory has terms,

$$\prod_j \nabla_{\rho(i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n_\partial} F_{\mu(i)\nu(i)} \prod_{j=1}^{n_i^\phi} \phi_{a_{\rho(j)}} \prod_{i=1}^{m_i^\psi} \psi_{a_{\kappa(j)}} , \quad (7)$$

with the derivatives placed in various orderings (multiplying fields and products of combinations of fields; this is described in momentum space in [5]). The multiplying Eisenstein series possessing weights,

$$s = 3/16 \dim \mathcal{O} \quad q = n_\psi/2 . \quad (8)$$

These terms span the general operator  $\mathcal{O}$  in the generating functional. The non-holomorphic weight  $q$  is correlated with the R-symmetry.

The perturbative coupling structure, for the gauge bosons as an example, has the form,

$$g^{n-2}(g^2)^{n_{\max}/2} \left[ \left(\frac{1}{g^2}\right)^{n_{\max}/2}, \dots, \left(\frac{1}{g^2}\right)^{-n_{\max}+1} \right] . \quad (9)$$

The factor in brackets agrees with the modular expansion of the Eisenstein series pertinent to the scattering amplitudes, and the prefactor may be absorbed by a field redefinition,

$$A \rightarrow \frac{1}{g} A \quad d^4x \mathcal{O} \rightarrow d^4x g^{-n_{\max}/2+2} \mathcal{O} , \quad (10)$$

which maps the gauge field part of the Lagrangian into

$$\int d^4x \frac{1}{g^2} \text{Tr} \left( \partial A + \frac{1}{g} A^2 \right)^2 , \quad (11)$$

together with a 'Weyl' rescaling of the composite operator (e.g.  $\text{Tr} F^4$ ). This field redefinition, together with the supersymmetric completion, agrees with the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  S-duality self-mapping in a manifest way.

Fermionic (and mixed) amplitudes would have a non-vanishing  $q_j$  sum. The Eisenstein functions have the representation

$$E_{s_j}^{(q_j, -q_j)}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \sum_{(p,q) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\tau_2^s}{(p + q\tau)^{s-q}(p + q\bar{\tau})^{s+q}} , \quad (12)$$

with an expansion containing two monomial terms and an infinite number of exponential (representing instanton) terms,

$$E_s(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = 2\zeta(2s)\tau_2^s + \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(s-1/2)}{\Gamma(s)} \zeta(2s-1)\tau_2^{1-2s} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\pi\tau}) \dots \quad (13)$$

with a modification in the non-holomorphic counterpart,  $E_s^{(q,-q)}$ , but with the same zeta function factors. The latter terms correspond to gauge theory instanton contributions to the amplitude; via S-duality all of the instantonic terms are available from the perturbative sector. (At  $s = 0$  or  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  the expansion is finite:  $\zeta(0) = -1$  and both  $\zeta(2s-1)|_{s=0}$  and  $\Gamma(s)|_{s=0}$  have simple poles.) The  $n$ -point amplitudes, with the previously discussed modular weight, are

$$\langle A(k_1) \dots A(k_n) \rangle = \sum_q h_q^{(n)}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) f_q(k_1, \dots, k_n) , \quad (14)$$

where the modular factor is  $h$  (with the weights  $n_A/2+2$ ) and the kinematic structure of the higher derivative term  $f_q$ .

The sewing relations that allow for a determination of the coefficients of the modular functions at the various derivative orders is not reviewed. This is discussed in detail in [4]-[10].

In [14] the derivative expansion in IIB superstring theory was formulated also in terms of the appropriate modular invariant forms. Due to a conjecture of Green [15] the linear combinations of these forms was delimited, to all orders in [15]-[16]. In simple number theory terms, this conjecture was further established and shown to follow from the partitions of the deformed free boson,

$$P = \prod \frac{1}{1 - 2x^{2n+1}} . \quad (15)$$

The simplicity of the partitions of (15) does appear to suggest the correctness of the modular form ansatz. Also, the partitions suggest an affine symmetry of the scattering.

### *IIB Scattering and Number Theoretic Description*

The modular ansatz for the IIB superstring scattering is generated by replacing the zeta functions in the (4-pt) tree amplitude,

$$\prod_j \zeta(2p_j + 1) \rightarrow Z_{\{p_j+1/2\}} , \quad (16)$$

with the  $Z$  functions described in the next section.

The functions  $Z_{\{p_j+1/2\}}$  are described by the modular invariant differential equation on the torus,

$$\frac{1}{4}\Delta Z_{\{q_j\}} = AZ_{\{q_j\}} + B \prod_j Z_{q_j} , \quad (17)$$

with the simplest case being the Eisenstein functions,

$$Z_s = E_s \quad s(s-1)Z_s = \Delta Z_s . \quad (18)$$

The Laplacian takes the form, when restricted to the perturbative sector, that is, without the  $\tau_1$  dependence,

$$\Delta = 4\tau_2^2 \delta_\tau \delta_{\bar\tau} . \quad (19)$$

The condition on  $A$  and  $B$  could in principle be determined generically by the tree and one-loop contributions of the usual perturbative string amplitude; however, their numbers are left unknown for the moment.

There have been several proposals for the quantum completion of the S-matrix, and higher derivative terms up to genus two have been computed. The modular invariant completion due to S-duality enforces certain structures on the coupling dependence. A basis for the coupling structure is formed from the Eisenstein functions, the contribution of which have recently been elucidated more completely in [15].

The polynomial system generating the perturbative contributions can be determined from a graphical illustration and also through a 'vertex' algebra. The latter can be found from expanding the function,

$$\prod_{n=1} \frac{1}{(1 - 2x^{2n+1})} , \quad (20)$$

which is similar to the partition function of a boson on the torus,

$$\prod_{n=0} \frac{1}{(1 - x^{2n+1})} . \quad (21)$$




Figure 1: Weighted trees.

The latter is associated to a vertex algebra. The former will be shown to correspond to the perturbative four-point function, without the non-analytic terms required by unitarity.

There are a set of trees as depicted in figure 1. Each tree is found by taking a number  $N$ , an odd number, and partitioning it into odd numbers  $3, 5, 7, \dots$ . At each pair of nodes the numbers in the partition are attached. The partition is labeled by the set  $N(\{\zeta\})$ .

The nodes of the trees are chosen, one from each pair, and a set of lines could be drawn between these nodes. For each tree there are

$$\frac{a!}{b!(b-a)!} \quad (22)$$

ways of partitioning the tree into the same number of 'up' and 'down' nodes. Each tree set is labeled by  $a = N(\{\zeta\})$ , and the terms in (22) are spanned by  $b = 0, \dots, N(\{\zeta\})$

for  $a = N(\{\zeta\})$ . There are  $2^{N(\{\zeta\})}$  terms or polynomials in each tree, as found by summing (22).

The derivative terms are labeled by  $\square^{2k} R^4$  with  $k$  either half integral or integral starting at  $k = 0$ . At a specific order  $\square^{2k}$ , the tree system is found by partitioning the number  $N = 2k + 3$ . The number of partitions a number  $N$  can have into odd numbers, excluding unity, is denoted  $P_{\text{odd}}(N)$ . The perturbative contributions to this derivative order are found as follows,

- 1) attach weights to the nodes of the tree
- 2) take the product of the weights of the nodes in the tree
- 3) add the sums of the products

The sum of the products reintroduces the contribution of the modular ansatz to the four-point scattering.

For reference, the genus truncation property holds at  $g_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2}(2k + 1), \frac{1}{2}(2k + 2)$  for  $k = n/2$  with  $n$  either odd or even. There are  $P_{\text{odd}}(2k + 3)$  partitions of  $2k + 3$  into odd numbers excluding unity, with a maximum number. The genus truncation follows from the fact that there a maximum number of nodes in the tree.

Some examples of the partitions of numbers at a given derivative order  $\square^{2k} R^4$  are described in the following table. For example, at  $k = 4$ , the number 11 can be partitioned into 11 and  $3 + 3 + 5$ , with counts of  $2^1$  and  $2^3$ .

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} k=0 & 2 & k=4 & 2+2^3=10 \\ k=1 & 2 & k=5 & 2+2^3+2^3=18 \\ k=2 & 2 & k=6 & 2+2^5+2^3+2^3+2^3=68 \\ k=3 & 2+2^3=10 & k=7 & 2+2^3+2^5+2^3+2^3=58 \end{array} \right) \quad (23)$$

One would like to represent the terms group theoretically with the quantum numbers being zeta entries. This can be done using the expansion in (20).

The perturbative contribution to the order  $\square^{2k}$  can be read off of the tree by associating the weights to the nodes. For the 'up' node there is a factor

$$\frac{2\zeta(2s)}{[(3/2 + k)(3/2 + k - 1) - A]}, \quad (24)$$

and for the 'down' node there is



$$\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(s-1/2)\zeta(2s-1)}{\Gamma(s)[(3/2+k-2\text{gmax})(3/2+k-2\text{gmax}-1)-A]} . \quad (25)$$

Each perturbative contribution is found by multiplying the node contributions. There are the various weighted trees that contribute to the perturbative contribution at  $2k+3$ , when partitioned into the various odd numbers. Each contribution has the weighted factor of  $B$  in the product. (The weighted trees resemble a fermionic system with  $\text{gmax}$  fermions with a quantum level degeneracy non-identical fermions.) The partitioning of the number  $2k+3$  into the weighted trees is a convenient way of describing all of the contributions to the particular derivative term.

#### *Analog Number Theoretic Description to IIB*

In this section a similar conjecture for the modular forms, with the appropriate number theoretic interpretation, is generated for the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric theory. The ansatz defines specifically the linear combination of modular forms entering into the modular ansatz.

Recall that a specific term in the derivative expansion is parameterized by the combinations

$$\prod E_{s_i}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \quad \sum s_i = s = n/2 . \quad (26)$$

The modular ansatz, as presented in the IIB superstring delimits the specific linear combination.

A conjecture for the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric gauge theory is that the modular function entering into the  $\square^k F^m$  term, is exactly the same as the  $\square^k R^m$  (with  $R$  the Ricci curvature). For example, the  $F^4$  term maps to the  $R^4$  term, with the  $E_{3/2}$  function as a prefactor. The correspondence holds for  $n$ -point scattering amplitudes.

Although, as in the IIB superstring scattering, this conjecture can not be proven in this work, the maximal supersymmetry suggests this. The partition

$$\prod_{n=1} \frac{1}{(1-2x^{2n+1})} , \quad (27)$$

generates the four-point gauge boson scattering amplitude. The integrability of the gauge theory and the type IIB superstring has the same origin.




Figure 2: Correlation in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory. The internal vertex represents the full four-point scattering in the effective action.

The supersymmetric completion of the  $n$ -point scattering in the  $\square^k F^m$  generates the fermionic and scalar components, in the same manner that the supersymmetric completion of the  $\square^k R^m$  terms generate the IIB effective action.

#### *AdS/CFT*

The anti-de Sitter correspondence between  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric gauge theory and IIB superstring theory requires that the composite correlations

$$\langle \prod \mathcal{O}_i(x_i) \rangle \quad (28)$$

be modular invariant. The diagrams in figure 2 depict the gauge theory correlations.

Due to the microscopic theory, S-duality will only be present in the correlation functions if the (p,q) dyonic states appear in the effective action (and scattering). A scattering amplitude built from the perturbative gauge theory, and instantons, will not be S-duality compliant. The most direct way to see this is that the tree amplitudes in the S-duality compliant scattering (i.e. the tree contributions to  $\square^k F^m$ ) must contain factors of the zeta function. The modular construction predicts these zeta factors appearing after expanding the Eisenstein series. The perturbative gauge theory with instantons cannot produce these zeta values; rather the (p,q) dyonic states must be included. (It appears unnatural to multiply the Eisenstein series by additional zeta functions, because the loop contributions would contain odd ratios of zeta functions).

The modular invariant scattering between the IIB superstring and the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory is naturally described in the S-duality context with the conjectured  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  scattering in this work.

It should be noted that one purpose of the conjectured holography is to compute non-perturbative terms in the gauge theory from supergravity and string theory. The conjectured S-duality compliant scattering in the gauge theory, with the  $\square^k F^m$  mapping to the  $\square^k R^m$ , is a more direct way to obtain the nonperturbative gauge theory scattering.

Although the gauge and string theory scattering is only a conjecture, there is evidence for its correctness in the presence of the dyonic states, the modular invariance, maximal supersymmetry, and the partition function indicating integrability.

### *Conclusion*

A conjecture for the modular invariant scattering in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric gauge theory has been produced. This is for each operator in the supersymmetric action, and to all orders in the coupling, including the instantons. The conjecture is similar to the recent papers describing the supersymmetric action of the IIB superstring, which is also reviewed. The integrability is obvious in these constructions, both in the IIB superstring and the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  gauge theory, although further diagrammatic work is required to rigourously prove the constructions.

The modular invariance of the scattering is required to make the correlation functions of composite operators in the gauge theory S-dual compliant. This latter property is required both for the quantum action of the gauge theory and for the anti-de Sitter holographic correspondence with the IIB superstring. Typically this modular invariance is not invoked in the holographic duality due in part because the non-perturbative correlation functions havent been computed.

It would be interesting to find constructions analogous to those presented here for theories with lower amounts of supersymmetries.

## References

- [1] Juan Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.2:231-252,1998, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38:1113-1133,1999.
- [2] S.S. Gubser, Igor R. Klebanov, Alexander M. Polyakov, *Gauge, Theory Correlators from Noncritical String Theory*, Phys. Lett. B428:105-114,1998, hep-th/9802109.
- [3] Edward Witten, *Anti-de Sitter Space and Holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2:253-291,1998, physics/9802150.
- [4] G. Chalmers,  *$N=4$  Supersymmetric Gauge Theory in the Derivative Expansion*, hep-th/0209088.
- [5] G. Chalmers, *Quantum Scalar Field Theory Solution*, physics/0505018.
- [6] G. Chalmers, *Derivation of Quantum Field Dynamics*, physics/0503062.
- [7] G. Chalmers, *Quantum Gauge Theory Solutions*, physics/0505077.
- [8] G. Chalmers, *Masses and Interactions of Nucleons in the Derivative Expansion*, physics/0503110.
- [9] G. Chalmers, *Gauge Theories in the Derivative Expansion*, hep-th/0209086.
- [10] G. Chalmers, *Scalar Field Theory in the Derivative Expansion*, hep-th/0209075.
- [11] G. Chalmers, *Quantum Gravity with the Standard Model*, hep-th/0209072.
- [12] G. Chalmers, *On the Finiteness of  $N=8$  Supergravity*, hep-th/0008162.
- [13] G. Chalmers and J. Erdmenger, *Dual expansions of  $N=4$  super Yang-Mills theory via IIB superstring theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **585**:517 (2000), hep-th/0005192.
- [14] G. Chalmers,  *$S$  and  $U$ -duality Constraints on IIB  $S$ -matrices*, Nucl. Phys. B **580**:193 (2000), hep-th/0001190.
- [15] Michael Green, Pierre Vanhove, *Duality and Higher Derivative Terms in  $M$  Theory*, JHEP 0601:093, 2006, hep-th/0510027.
- [16] Gordon Chalmers, *Symmetry Algebra of IIB Superstring Scattering*, physics/0510233; *Program for IIB Derivative Corrections*, physics/05100050.