

Statistical properties of an ensemble of vortices interacting with a turbulent field

Florin Spineanu and Madalina Vlad
Association Euratom-MEC Romania, NILPRP
MG-36, Magurele, Bucharest, Romania

and

Research Institute for Applied Mechanics
Kyushu University, Kasuga 816-8580, Japan

May 1, 2019

Abstract

We develop an analytical formalism to determine the statistical properties of a system consisting of an ensemble of vortices with random position in plane interacting with a turbulent field. We calculate the generating functional by path-integral methods. The function space is the statistical ensemble composed of two parts, the first one representing the vortices influenced by the turbulence and the second one the turbulent field scattered by the randomly placed vortices.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	The formulation of the problem	3
1.2	Outline of the present analytical approach	6
1.2.1	Gas of vortices in the turbulent background	6
1.2.2	The turbulent field influenced by random vortices	8
2	Choice of the physical model. The equation	9
2.0.3	Few comments on numerical or analytical two-dimensional spectra	11
3	The structures	12

4	Statistical analysis of the physical system	14
4.1	General functional framework	14
5	Vortices with random positions	17
5.1	The discrete set of N vortices in plane	17
5.2	A single vortex interacting with a turbulent environment . . .	29
6	Random field influenced by vortices with random positions	35
6.1	The average over the positions	36
7	Approximation for small amplitude vortices	40
7.0.1	Technical step	40
8	The background turbulence: perturbative treatment	43
9	Summary	51
10	Appendix A : physics of the equation	52
10.1	The equation	54
10.2	No temperature gradient	54
11	Appendix B : Connection between the MSR formalism and Onsager-Machlup	55

1 Introduction

This work presents a study of the statistical properties of a system of vortices interacting with random waves.

The objective is to describe quantitatively the statistics of a turbulent plasma in the regime where structures are generated at random, persist for a certain time and are destroyed by perturbations. This regime is supposed to be reached at stationarity in drift wave turbulence when there is a competition between different space scales. These scales range from the radially extended eddies of the ion temperature gradient (ITG) driven modes to the intermediate $\sqrt{L_n \rho_s}$ interval where the scalar nonlinearity is dominant over the vectorial one and with random fast decays to few ρ_s scales where robust vortex-like structures are generated. In a physical model the description of these states requires to consider simultaneously the long time response and the much faster spectral trapping in small vortices, condensed from events of Kelvin-Helmholtz instability of locally ordered sheared flow. As a theoretical problem it seems almost intractable. In a pragmatic perspective we adopt several approximations of this physical picture and develop a purely

analytical treatment for the characterization of the statistical properties. In this complicated problem we hope that our work provides a contribution to the theoretical description of structures in turbulent fluids and plasmas.

The analytical model we propose here is essentially *nonperturbative* in the sense that the structures are fully represented and we take into account their particular analytical expression (or a reasonable approximation). It is in the spirit of the semiclassical methods, impressively successful in field theory.

In this sense this treatment presents substantial differences compared with the more usual approaches, aiming in general to obtain a renormalization of the linear response. When structures are present in the plasma any perturbative approach is inefficient, because it can only go not too far beyond Gaussian statistics, *i.e.* calculate few cumulants beyond the second one. The problem consists of the fact that they take as the base-point for developing perturbative expansions the state of equilibrium of the plasma or a Gaussian ensemble of waves. Or, from this point it is impossible to reach the strongly correlated states of coherent structures. The idea of semiclassical methods is precisely to place the equilibrium state on the structures and then to advance in the space of configurations, to include random waves. This is the approach we will adopt in the present work. system's configurations.

1.1 The formulation of the problem

A turbulent plasma where structures are formed is represented in the electrostatic regime by an unique scalar field. A first approximation is to break up this field in two distinct elements : vortices and random waves. We will suppose that there is a finite number N of vortices in a two-dimensional plasma and that these vortices have random position. They interact with a background of turbulence. Both the structures and the turbulent background are solutions of the same differential equation, derived from the drift wave equations by a multiple space and time scale analysis and at statistical stationarity, which is assumed to correspond to late time regimes.

The vortices are individually affected by the turbulent background.

The turbulent background is affected by the presence of the structures at random positions. In addition the turbulent background has a statistics which is strongly influenced by the nonlinear nature of the waves interactions, even at amplitudes below those necessary to condense vortices. Random growth and decay of modes at marginal stability is included as a drive with Gaussian statistics.

Consider the field $\phi^{Vortices} \equiv \phi_V$ of the discrete set of N vortices individ-

ually represented by the electric potential $\phi_s^{(a)}(x, y)$

$$\phi_V(x, y) = \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \quad (1)$$

interacting with a turbulent wave field $\phi^{waves} \equiv \phi$. The total field is

$$\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) + \phi(x, y) \quad (2)$$

and we want to determine the statistical properties of the field $\varphi(x, y)$. We will construct an action functional and we will calculate the generating functional of the irreducible correlations (cumulants) of the fluctuating field φ . The action functional is expressed in terms of the field φ which we see as composed from vortices and turbulence, Eq.(2). For example, the two-point correlation is composed of four terms

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \varphi \rangle &= \langle \phi^{Vortices} \phi^{Vortices} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \phi^{Vortices} \phi^{waves} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \phi^{waves} \phi^{Vortices} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \phi^{waves} \phi^{waves} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Our procedure consists in absorbing the two intermediate terms into the first and the last terms. This is done by calculating the auto-correlations of each component taking into account the presence of the other. In this operation the second and the third terms, although are identical in Eq.(3) are regarded differently: the second term is seen as the contribution of the turbulent background to the auto-correlation of a gas of vortices and the third term is seen as the contribution of a set of vortices to the auto-correlations of a turbulent field.

Therefore we consider that the action functional is composed of two distinct parts:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{V\varphi} &\equiv \text{the action for the system of vortices} \\ &\text{interacting with the random field} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\varphi V} &\equiv \text{the action for the random field} \\ &\text{interacting with the vortices} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then the statistical ensemble of realizations of the fluctuating field $\varphi(x, y)$ will actually consists of the Cartesian product of two distinct parts. The generating functional will be

$$Z = Z_{V\varphi} Z_{\varphi V} \quad (6)$$

where each factor is calculated using the action defined above. Although the generating functional is factorized, which corresponds to splitting the statistical ensemble into two parts, these two parts are not independent since each will be calculated such as to include the effect of the field from the other subsystem.

The interaction with an external current must be included in each of the action expressions, Eqs.(4) and (5) in order to calculate the correlations using functional derivatives. In an full treatment the current is introduced by a term in Lagrangean

$$J\varphi \quad (7)$$

where φ is the total field, vortices plus random waves. When we separate the two components we have

$$\varphi = \phi^{Vortices} + \phi^{waves} \quad (8)$$

$$J\varphi = J\phi^{Vortices} + J\phi^{waves} \quad (9)$$

This expression must be inserted in the action functional for the full system, before the intermediate terms in Eq.(3) are absorbed into the first and the last. This means that the *same* current J will figurate in the final expressions of the two generating functionals Eq.(6)

$$Z[J] = Z_{V\varphi}[J] Z_{\varphi V}[J] \quad (10)$$

It will be shown below that the value of the field at a particular point can be obtained by functional derivation at the current at that point

$$\varphi = \frac{\delta}{\delta J} \quad (11)$$

We obtain the average of the fluctuating field as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{Z[J=0]} \frac{\delta}{\delta J} Z[J] \Big|_{J=0} \quad (12) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z[J=0]} \left[\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J} Z_{V\varphi}[J] \right) Z_{\varphi V}[J] + Z_{V\varphi}[J] \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J} Z_{\varphi V}[J] \right) \right] \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_{V\varphi}[J=0]} \frac{\delta}{\delta J} Z_{V\varphi}[J] \Big|_{J=0} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{Z_{\varphi V}[J=0]} \frac{\delta}{\delta J} Z_{\varphi V}[J] \Big|_{J=0} \end{aligned}$$

The two-point correlation is obtained from the generating functional by applying two times this functional derivative, with the current in two distinct points and taking finally the current to zero.

We now explain how this will be done effectively.

1.2 Outline of the present analytical approach

1.2.1 Gas of vortices in the turbulent background

In the case of the vortices interacting with random waves, the basic reasoning takes into consideration two distinct elements.

The first is concerned with the statistical properties of a collection of discrete vortices with zero, or very short, range of interaction. At this stage of the problem the particular shape of the potential distribution in a vortex is not essential and will be simplified in those situations where only the positions of the centers are important. The statistical ensemble consists of the configurations of randomly placed vortices, seen as a dilute gas.

The second aspect is concerned with the interaction between one (generic) vortex and the random waves in the surrounding turbulence and calculates the effect on the form of the potential of the vortex. Instead of the exact solution we will have now a form resulting from the scattering due to the random perturbation produced by the turbulent background.

The statistical properties result from the combination of the two elements, which will now be explained briefly.

The first problem is very similar to the Coulomb gas in two dimensions or to any problem related to dilute gas of interacting particles. The partition function is calculated using the sum of the energy of the individual vortices and the energy of interaction. The first part can be calculated from the exact analytical solution of the differential equation of the model (Eq.(20) below). A nice property of this solution is its finite extension and no self-interaction, rendering the problem free from divergences (by contrast to the similar problem of superconductivity). The second part contains the sum of the energies of pair interaction, a problem that is principally complicated in our case, *i.e.* in turbulent plasma. Our *real* vortices are neutral (they are simply a deformation, stable and regular, of the scalar potential of the velocity) and there should be no interaction of Coulomb or Ampere type. However there is an elastic medium between the vortices and any motion of one of them generates sound waves that may couple with the potential of other vortices, influencing their motion. This may suggest that the interaction should be of the same nature as the Casimir effect. We do not develop here furthermore

this discussion. What we minimally need to include in our partition function is the effect of scattering of the vortices at close encounter, since we do not take into account in the present treatment the variation of the number of vortices due to merging (which would imply a chemical potential). There is an intrinsic spatial scale in all aspects related with drift-wave vortices and this is the Larmor radius, altered by the combined effect of the diamagnetic and a uniform flow. We show below that the pair interaction contains a kernel which is not Dirac δ function but a function with spatial decay over this distance, $K_0(r)$ (the modified Bessel function). There are several reasons to assume that this is a physically correct choice (see [5]) but in any case, the effect of this choice is small.

The second aspect of the problem of vortices acted upon by turbulence is related to the direct modification of the exact vortex by the random waves around it. The case of a single vortex interacting with random waves has been treated in the papers [2], [3]. The starting point is the exact vortex-type solution of the differential equation we investigate. This is of course a non-random object and the statistical ensemble when it is isolated is trivial. However its interaction with random waves of the background turbulence makes it also a random object. We calculate the statistical properties of the ensemble of states of the fluctuating potential corresponding to the shapes of the vortex-type solution.

The action functional is extremum at the vortex solution, whose explicit expression we will use. The turbulent field also realizes the extremum of the action, but our separation between structures and random waves amounts to an approximative representation of the turbulent field, as a complimentary part to the structures. “Almost all” nonlinear interaction is included in the turbulent part except the generation of structures (for example, in the case of the KdV equation this would correspond to the processes leading to equality of the nonlinearity to the dispersion, *i.e.* soliton formation). This separation will make the purely turbulent part to depart from extremum but still to remain a very good approximation of the solution of the equations of motion. Then we have to explore the functional space of the system’s configuration in the neighborhood of the structure (the extremum) trying to include as many as possible nearby configurations in the calculation of the generating functional. This will include into the generating functional the turbulent field, besides the structure. In few words, the idea is that the structure and the random waves, although very different in geometry, share a common property: they obtain or are very close to the extremum of the action functional. The technical procedure will consists of expanding the action functional to second order around the structure and to integrate over the

space of configurations. This will automatically exclude bad approximations, *i.e.* the states which are too far from realizing the extremum of the action since for them the Boltzmann weight is exponentially small.

We conclude by noting that this is the standard semiclassical treatment [6]. In this sense it is similar to the treatment of vortex statistics of the Abelian-Higgs model of superfluids [8] and of many other systems.

1.2.2 The turbulent field influenced by random vortices

For the turbulent field interacting with vortices, our approach combines two distinct elements. The first is the inclusion of the vortices as a random perturbation in the generating functional of the turbulent waves. The second is a careful treatment of the intrinsic nonlinearity of the turbulent waves, already modified by the inclusion of the effect of the random vortices.

In the first part we follow the similar approaches as for the electron conduction in the presence of random impurities, or as for flexible polymers in porous media. The *replica method*, in general well suited for these problems, is technically difficult to apply and we find an alternative way. For the case where the vortices have uncorrelated random positions and take at random positive or negative amplitudes of equal magnitude we find that the problem is mapped onto the *sine*-Gordon model (actually *sinh*). For our model equation (18) this will amount to a renormalization of the coefficient which plays the role of a physical “mass” of the turbulent field, or, in other terms to a shift of the spatial scale from $\rho_s (1 - v_d/u)^{-1/2}$ to higher values.

The second part consists of a systematic perturbative treatment of the nonlinearity in order to get, as much as possible, a correct representation of the nonlinear content of the field of the turbulent waves (this means the nonlinear interaction between low amplitude random waves). The nonlinearity is included in a functional perturbative treatment where the turbulent plasma is driven by random rise and decay of modes at marginal stability. This induces a diffusive behavior of the turbulent field, at lowest order. We develop the treatment to one-loop, which means of order two in the strength of the nonlinearity.

One may inquire if this is not in contradiction with the separation operated at the beginning, where the most characteristic aspect of the nonlinearity, the generation of structure, is treated separately. However, it is clear that there is no danger of overlapping and double counting of the nonlinear effects. Since a perturbative treatment is essentially an expansion in a parameter representing the departure from Gaussian statistics we can only

hope to include higher cumulants beyond the second one (which means Gaussianity) but only few of them are accessible by effective calculation. Or, any structure needs a very large number of cumulants since, by definition, is an almost coherent field. It is illusory to try to capture the structure using a perturbative treatment starting with state of equilibrium (*i.e.* no perturbation). Since any term of the perturbation can be represented by a Feynman diagram, we face the well known problem that the proliferation of diagrams at high orders leads to an effectively intractable problem. This is actually one reason for the use of the semiclassical methods.

2 Choice of the physical model. The equation

The model of the ion drift instability in magnetically confined plasmas can be formulated using the fluid equations of continuity and momentum conservation for electrons and for ions. It has been shown by a multiple space time scale analysis that the dynamics is dominated by two nonlinearities: the Charney-Hasegawa-Mima type, or vectorial nonlinearity, generated by the ion polarization drift and the Korteweg-De Vries, or scalar nonlinearity, related to the space variation of the density gradient length. The former is of high differential degree and is dominant at small spatial scales, of the order of few sonic Larmor radii ρ_s . The latter is dominant at “mesoscopic” spatial scales, of the order of $\sqrt{\rho_s L_n}$. The numerical studies (Mikhailovsky), the fluid-tank experiments (Nezlin) and the multiple space-time scale analytical analysis (Spatschek) show that the scalar nonlinearity becomes dominant at late regimes in the statistical stationarity of the turbulent plasma. However the possible manifestation of the two types of nonlinearity rises the problem of *structural* stability of either regime where only one of these nonlinearities is considered dominant: inclusion of the other strongly changes the behavior of the system. It is then pertinent to consider that the turbulence generated in a realistic regime may include manifestations of both types. The turbulence is dominated by the larger scales supported by the scalar nonlinearity regime (described by the Flierl-Petviashvili equation) together with robust vortices generated at the scales of few ρ_s , typical the CHM nonlinearity. The vortices generated at the scales where the scalar nonlinearity is dominant are also robust, although they are not solitons. Transitory phenomena of vortex generation can appear due to the destabilization of sheared flows.

When the scalar nonlinearity is prevailing [14] the equation has the form

$$(1 - \nabla_{\perp}^2) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + v_* \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} - v_{*T} \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (13)$$

The physical model can be slightly more complex, leading to expressions for the coefficients of various complexity. The analytical preliminaries are exposed in the *Appendix*. Taking

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= y - ut \\ t' &= t\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t'} - u\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} \\ &- \nabla_{\perp}^2 \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t'} - u\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} \right) \\ &+ v_*\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} - v_{*T}\varphi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

The stationary form is

$$- (1 - \nabla_{\perp}^2) u\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} + v_*\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} - v_{*T}\varphi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} = 0\tag{16}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\nabla_{\perp}^2\varphi - \alpha\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\eta} - \beta\frac{\partial(\varphi^2)}{\partial\eta} = 0\tag{17}$$

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2\varphi - \alpha\varphi - \beta\varphi^2 = 0\tag{18}$$

where the constants are now α and β .

The physical parameters are (Lakhin et al. [9], Spatscheck [10], Horton and Hasegawa [13])

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{v_d}{u} \right) \\ \beta &= \frac{c_s^2}{2u^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{L_n} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

where $\rho_s = c_s/\Omega_i$, $c_s = (T_e/m_i)^{1/2}$ and the potential is scaled as $\phi \rightarrow e\phi/T_e$. Here L_n and L_T are respectively the gradient lengths of the density and temperature. The velocity is the diamagnetic velocity $v_d = \rho_s c_s/L_n$. The condition for the validity of this equation are: $(k_x \rho_s)(k \rho_s)^2 \ll \eta_e \rho_s/L_n$, where $\eta_e = L_n/L_{Te}$. The coefficients α and β have the dimension $(length)^{-2}$ as it should.

This form will be used below.

2.0.3 Few comments on numerical or analytical two-dimensional spectra

It has been shown that at large time the scalar nonlinearity dominates over the vectorial nonlinearity. This is valid for turbulent two-dimensional plasma where the spatial scales of the order of $\sqrt{L_n \rho_s}$ appears to be dominant at late regimes, possibly as a manifestation of the inverse cascade and the tendency to structuring at larger scales. There are numerical studies of fluid and also of MHD [17], [13]. In time the dipole vortices specific to Hasegawa-Mima are transformed into monopole vortices.

In the ref. [22] it is suggested that the departure of the exponents of cascade from universality may be due to *coherent structures*. We will see that the various contributions to the spectrum of the turbulence (in the present treatment) depend on factors whose amplitude determine to which extend they will be manifested in the total spectrum.

In the ref. [21] it is studied by numerical simulation the turbulence in 2D of the inviscid Navier-Stokes fluid (or guiding center plasma). If $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{k})$ is the velocity field in Fourier space, the spectrum is

$$\langle |\mathbf{u}(k)|^2 \rangle = \langle |E(\mathbf{k})|^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta \mathbf{k}^2}$$

where \mathbf{E} is the electric field derived from the scalar stream function (or electrostatic potential) ϕ . The velocity is the $E \times B$ velocity.

An interesting aspect is the existence of two regimes that can be identified in the decay of turbulence of the two-dimensional inviscid fluids. It appears that there is a limit separating a domain of the initial conditions from where the system evolves to a random turbulence with equipartition of the vorticity and a spectrum

$$E(k) = k^{-\mu}$$

where

$$\mu = 4$$

The connection between the spectrum $E(k)$ and the fluid energy is

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle u^2 \rangle = \int_0^\infty dk E(k)$$

This result is compared with two theoretical argumentations: Chorin and Saffman $g \sim 4$ and Kraichnan, Batchelor et al. $g \sim 3$ for the inertial range. The other regime consists of the formation of structures and a spectrum with a dependence $E(k) \sim k^{-1}$. In the paper [23] it is discussed a result of Deem and Zabusky about the *energy* spectrum of two-dim. *hydrodynamic*

turbulence where these results have been obtained numerically. Taylor and Thomson have proposed a class of exact solution of the statistical equilibrium and found

$$E(k) \sim \frac{k}{a^2 + k^2}$$

This seems to be confirmed by the simulations of Deem and Zabusky who obtained a spectrum

$$E(k) \sim \frac{1}{k}$$

since the constant a is very small.

If the fluid is represented as an ensemble of vortices of strength

$$\pm \frac{e}{l}$$

per unit length, with length l , the thermal equilibrium is obtained for a temperature satisfying

$$p^2 = \frac{4\pi n e^2}{\kappa T l}$$

Relaxation to these equilibria with

$$p^2 \geq 0$$

is possible if the ratio of the energy = u^2 to the enstrophy (or squared vorticity) = ω^2 initially lies in the range

$$\frac{k_1^2 - k_0^2}{2 \ln(k_1/k_0)} < \frac{\omega^2}{u^2} < \frac{1}{2} (k_1^2 + k_0^2)$$

The threshold for negative temperatures is

$$E_m = \frac{2ne^2}{l} \ln \left(\frac{k_1}{k_0} \right)$$

3 The structures

The exact solution of the equation is

$$\varphi_s(y, t; y_0, u) = -3 \left(\frac{u}{v_d} - 1 \right) \operatorname{sech}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2\rho_s} \left(1 - \frac{v_d}{u} \right)^{1/2} (y - y_0 - ut) \right] \quad (20)$$

where the velocity is restricted to the intervals $u > v_d$ or $u < 0$. In the Ref.[14] the radial extension of the solution is estimated as: $(\Delta x)^2 \sim \rho_s L_n$.

In our work we shall assume that u is very close to v_d , $u \gtrsim v_d$ (i.e. the solitons have small amplitudes).

The drift wave turbulence on spatial scales covering the scales of the Hasegawa-Mima equation and the mesoscopic range of the scalar nonlinearity, evolves to stationarity which is attained in a regime governed by the Flierl-Petviashvili equation, (18). These scales are ρ_s and $\sqrt{L_n \rho_s}$. The plasma dynamics over these two ranges of characteristic scales will have a dynamical behavior governed by large scale flows, with variations on the direction transversal to the flow of the order, for example

$$[10\dots 20] \rho_s \tag{21}$$

as for the stationary periodic flow of the Flierl-Petviashvili equation, mixed with vortices sustained by the CHM polarization drift nonlinearity, of the order

$$[1\dots 6] \rho_s \tag{22}$$

There exist also monopolar vortices of the FP equation

$$\varphi \sim [\text{sech}(kr)]^{4/3} \tag{23}$$

on the larger scale. We will adopt the one-dimensional section of the solution (i.e. Eq.(20)) when we calculate the eigenmodes of the determinant of the second functional derivative of the action. The two dimensional radially symmetric solution is not known to be stable and is actually obtained by extremising a functional, starting with a form suggested by the solution of a generalized Renormalized Long Wavelength equation (closely related to the FP equation). The one-dimensional solution (20) is stable.

In the turbulent state, the vortices will be generated and destroyed at a certain rate. They rise by collecting (coagulating) the elements of vorticity, a process that is known as vortex merging and develop up to some amplitude; they move in space and interact with the random drift waves; eventually they are destroyed due to a random perturbation of amplitude larger than a certain threshold. For solitons this threshold has been approximately established at about 20% of the amplitude, in numerical studies of Zabusky and Kruskal [39]. For the vortices of the CHM equation it is not even known if they are stable (see Nycander); a negative answer would mean that there is no threshold of the amplitude of the perturbation leading to destruction of the vortex, but in this case one should estimate the time of life of the vortex as a coherent structure, assuming that the decay is not instantaneous. The prototype of CHM vortex is the Larichev-Reznik modon, a solution which is dipolar. This is however broken into patches of opposite-sign vorticity and

one of them is decaying much faster than the other. This suggests that using monopolar vortices is pertinent.

It is not clear if the CHM equation may support monopolar vortices (see Tajima, McWilliams). We can prove that it does, at large time, equivalent to asymptotic relaxation [5].

We will consider coherent structures which are monopolar vortices of both signs of vorticity, with equal magnitudes and with random position in plane.

4 Statistical analysis of the physical system

4.1 General functional framework

Consider a differential equation. We may say that there is a differential operator F , which acting on the unknown function ϕ gives zero

$$F[\phi] = 0 \quad (24)$$

The solution is noted ϕ^z . Consider that the unknown function belongs to a space of functions $\phi(x, y)$. We want to select from this space of functions precisely the one that is the solution of the differential equation and for this we can use the functional Dirac δ

$$\delta(\phi - \phi^z) \quad (25)$$

Any operation that will be done on a functional of ϕ can be now particularized to the solution ϕ^z by simply inserting this Dirac functional. For example the calculation of a functional $\Omega(\phi)$ at the function ϕ^z can be done by a functional integration over the space of all functions, with insertion of this δ functional

$$\Omega(\phi^z) = \int D[\phi] \Omega(\phi) \delta(\phi - \phi^z) \quad (26)$$

However this is useful when we know the solution ϕ^z . But even without knowing explicitly the solution ϕ^z we can use the Dirac δ with the equation that defines ϕ^z . For the example above this is done in the following way. Since

$$\delta[F(\phi)] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\left| \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\phi^z}} \delta(\phi - \phi^z) \quad (27)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\phi^z) &= \int D[\phi] \Omega(\phi) \delta(\phi - \phi^z) \\ &= \int D[\phi] \Omega(\phi) \left(\left| \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\phi^z} \right) \delta[F(\phi)] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which is a direct generalization of the formula for real variables, instead of functions.

Consider again the identification of the solution ϕ^z in the space of all ϕ functions, with the Dirac δ -functional

$$\delta(\phi - \phi^z) \quad (29)$$

This can be considered a product of usual δ functions in every point of space

$$\delta(\phi - \phi^z) = \prod_{k=1}^N \delta[\phi(x_k) - \phi^z(x_k)] \quad (30)$$

We can use the Fourier representation of the δ functions

$$\delta(\phi - \phi^z) = \prod_{k=1}^N \int d\chi_k \exp[i\chi_k(\phi_k - \phi_k^z)] \quad (31)$$

where we have introduced the notations $\phi_k \equiv \phi(x_k)$. This can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\phi - \phi^z) &= \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^N d\chi_k \right) \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^N i\chi_k(\phi_k - \phi_k^z) \right] \\ &= \int D[\chi(x)] \exp \left\{ i \int dx \chi(x) [\phi(x) - \phi^z(x)] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

More general, when we cannot use directly the expression for the function ϕ^z but only its equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\phi^z) &= \int D[\phi] \Omega(\phi) \left(\left| \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\phi^z} \right) \delta[F(\phi)] \\ &= \left(\left| \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\phi^z} \right) \int D[\phi] D[\chi] \Omega(\phi) \exp \left\{ i \int dx \chi(x) F[\phi(x)] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

We can take out the factor with the functional derivative of F to ϕ since it is calculated at ϕ^z and so it is not dependent on the functional variables ϕ or χ . This part will be symbolically included in a factor that will also represent the normalization for the generating functional that will be calculated.

The general method for constructing the action functional for a classical stochastic system is described in [27], and in path integral form, in [28]. Two reviews by Krommes are very useful references on this point [29], [30].

The discretisation of the equations of motion in each point requires the functional over the variables representing the discrete values of $F(\phi)$ in every

point. Since we next formulate the integration in terms of the discrete values of the field ϕ itself, we make implicitly a change of variable which must be accompanied by multiplying the measure with the Jacobian, $\delta F/\delta\phi$, the factor that has been exhibited in the formulas above. The calculation of the Jacobian related to this discretization of the functional integral has been made by DeDominicis and Peliti, and other authors, and is briefly presented in Appendix 2. Its role is explained and it will result that, in our approach where we use two functional variables in the integration, *i.e.* ϕ and its dual χ , the Jacobian has no effect and needs not to be calculated.

We will define the partition function as usual, by the functional integral of Boltzmann weights calculated on the base of the MSR action

$$Z [J] = \int D [\phi] D [\chi] \exp \left\{ \int dx dy (\chi F [\phi] + J_\phi \phi + J_\chi \chi) \right\} \quad (34)$$

The functional integration takes into account the fluctuations of the physical field ϕ and of its dual χ . This expression may still contain random parameters which are present in one of the possible realizations. The expression must be averaged over the statistical ensemble of that random quantity. This will be the case when we will calculate the generating functional for the background turbulence in the presence of randomly placed vortices. It is defined the functional

$$\exp \{W [J]\} = Z [J] \quad (35)$$

from which the irreducible correlations (cumulants) are calculated by functional derivatives to J .

$$\langle \phi (x, y) \rangle = \exp \{-W [J]\} \frac{\delta}{\delta J (x, y)} \exp \{W [J]\} \Big|_{J=0} \quad (36)$$

and similar for higher cumulants. The two-point irreducible correlation for the field is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi (x, y) \phi (x', y') \rangle &= \int D [\phi] D [\chi] \phi (x, y) \phi (x', y') \quad (37) \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \int dx dy (\chi F [\phi] + J_\phi \phi + J_\chi \chi) \right\} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z [J=0]} \frac{\delta}{\delta J (x, y)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J (x', y')} Z [J] \Big|_{J=0} \end{aligned}$$

This is the general analytical instrument that will be used in the following calculations, with various adaptation and particularities. In will become more clear in the concrete situations below.

5 Vortices with random positions

5.1 The discrete set of N vortices in plane

The action of the discrete set of vortices is determined by the sum of the actions of the individual vortices plus a part that results from the interaction between them [8]. The first part is simply the time integration of the energy, *i.e.* (since time factorizes) the space integration of the expression of the product of the static potentials associated with a single vortex, Eq.(20), and to its dual, which in the end simply means the square of the wave-form of the vortex potential. This quantity is repeated for each of the N vortices.

The interaction between vortices is a difficult problem and probably largely dependent on the turbulent background. In numerical simulations of neutral fluids it seems that the vortices behave independently when they are distant, but they merge with accelerated relative motion when they are brought in close neighborhood. It is possible that the background acts as a medium supporting fluctuations which can induce correlated motions of vortices and that the interaction has the same nature with the Casimir effect. In the superfluid case (to which we make frequent reference) the vortices that correspond to the extremum of the action (self-duality) do not interact (see Jacobs and Rebbi [37]) for a particular relation between the parameters, but this requires asymptotic stationarity in plasma physics. We will adopt a simple while reasonable assumption, taking the potential of mutual interaction as a short range function, modeled with the same expression as for the superfluids, instead of simply taking Dirac δ functions. Then the partition function will be

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_V &= \frac{1}{N!} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N Z_V^{(0)} \right) \\
 &\times \sum_{\{\alpha\}} \int \left(\prod_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{A} d\mathbf{R}^{(a)} \right) \\
 &\times \exp \left[-\pi \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a>b}}^N \sum_{b=1}^N \int dx dy \int dx' dy' \rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y) K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}^{(a)} - \mathbf{R}^{(b)}|}{\rho_s} \right) \rho_\omega^{(b)}(x', y') \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

with the following meaning.

The first factor simply takes into account N independent vortices with arbitrary positions in plane and expresses the fact that this part of the partition function results from a Cartesian product of the N statistical ensembles,

one for each vortex. The factor $N!$ takes into account the permutation symmetry. The generating functional for a static vortex with structure given by the interaction with random waves, is calculated in Eq.(92) using (89) and (88) below. We have

$$Z_V^{(0)} = Z_{V\varphi}[J] \quad (39)$$

where we have indicated by the index $V\varphi$ that the partition function of the vortex includes the interaction vortex-turbulence, and that the expression depends on the external current J , and will contribute to any correlation that we will obtain by functional derivations at J .

The sum is over the set of configurations $\{\alpha\}$ characterized by random choices of positive and negative vortices, in general unbalanced.

The integrations over the positions of the centers $\mathbf{R}^{(a)}$ of the vortices, $a = 1, N$, express the fact that we allow arbitrary positions in plane, with equal probability. Each integral is normalized with the area of the physically interesting two-dimensional region of the plane, A .

The exponent of the Boltzmann weight contains action resulting from the interaction between vortices. We expect that for a dilute gas of vortices, where the distance between the centers $\mathbf{R}^{(a)} - \mathbf{R}^{(b)}$ is much larger than the core diameter d ,

$$\mathbf{R}^{(a)} - \mathbf{R}^{(b)} \gg d, \quad a, b = 1, N$$

the interaction is very weak. In order to describe the interaction between vortices we start from the alternative model of the drift waves sustained by the ion polarization drift nonlinearity [13]. In this model it is considered a set of N_ω point-like vortices of strength ω_i interacting in plane by a short range potential expressed as the function K_0 (modified Bessel function) of the relative distance between vortices. The potential ϕ^p in a point \mathbf{R} is a sum of contributions from all the N_ω point-vortices

$$\phi^p(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\omega} \omega_i K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_i|}{\rho_s} \right)$$

and the equations of motion

$$\frac{d\mathbf{R}_i}{dt} = -\nabla\phi^p \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$$

(where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ is the versor perpendicular on the plane). Although not all mathematical problems related with the equivalence of the *physical* model and the point-vortices model are clear, the latter model has been successfully used in the physics of atmosphere [26], [25] and in plasma physics [16], [18], [19].

The distribution of vorticity of the physical system (in particular the quasi-coherent vortical structures) represents spatial variations of density of these point-like vortices. The interaction between the *physical* vortices will result from the interaction between the point-like vortices, taking into account the density of these objects. The energy of interaction is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N_\omega} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i>j}}^{N_\omega} \omega_i \omega_j K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j|}{\rho_s} \right)$$

called the Kirchhoff function. The range of spatial decay of the interaction is the Larmor sonic radius ρ_s , which however may be modified to an *effective* Larmor radius, in the presence of gradients and flow. When we approach the continuum limit $N_\omega \rightarrow \infty$ the envelope of the density becomes the physical vorticity $\omega(x, y)$ which, for this stage of the problem is sufficiently to be considered as highly concentrated in the cores of the physical vortices and almost vanishing in the rest. Taking the elementary point-vortices of equal strength $\omega_j \equiv \omega_0$ we have that each physical vortex is a integer multiple $N^{(a)}$ of this quantity. We will associate with each physical vortex a continuous function, *i.e.* its vorticity defined on the whole plane, $\rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y)$, which is, as said, concentrated in (x, y)

$$\rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y) = N^{(a)} \omega_0 \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}^{(a)}) \quad (40)$$

Then the energy is [8]

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_\omega} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i>j}}^{N_\omega} \omega_i \omega_j K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j|}{\rho_s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ a>b}}^N \sum_{b=1}^N \int dx dy \int dx' dy' \rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y) K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}^{(a)} - \mathbf{R}^{(b)}|}{\rho_s} \right) \rho_\omega^{(b)}(x', y') \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Due to Eq.(40) the interaction energy is only the interaction between the *centers* $R^{(a)}$ and $R^{(b)}$ of the vortices. The summation of the first line leads to the second line by grouping the point-vortices into physical vortices, then assuming that these (for only this stage of the problem) have δ -function shape and finally formally replacing this δ with a continuous distribution $\rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y)$. In this operation a number of infinities arise from the energy of the interaction of the point-vortices which are grouped into one physical vortex, since the relative distances are zero for them. This singular part can be removed since it does not participate to the functional variations.

For simplification of the computation we now only consider physical vortices of equal amplitude (positive or negative) and then the vorticity distribution $\rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y)$ has amplitude $\omega_v = p\omega_0$ (p is an integer), multiplied by the integer $n^{(a)}$ which can take the values ± 1 for positive or negative vorticity. We have $N^{(a)} = pn^{(a)}$.

The sum over the physical vortices' positions is expressed as an integral on the 2D domain by defining a formal unique function of vorticity $\rho_\omega(x, y)$ for all the N physical vortices

$$\rho_\omega(x, y) \equiv \sum_{a=1}^N \rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y) = \sum_{a=1}^N \omega_v n^{(a)} \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}^{(a)})$$

Further, the energy is normalized with a constant dimensional factor. The interaction part can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left[-\frac{\pi}{\omega_v^2} \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ a>b}}^N \int dx dy \int dx' dy' \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \rho_\omega^{(a)}(x, y) K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}^{(a)} - \mathbf{R}^{(b)}|}{\rho_s} \right) \rho_\omega^{(b)}(x', y') \right] \\ & = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\omega_v^2} \int dx dy \int dx' dy' \rho_\omega(x, y) G(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') \rho_\omega(x', y') \right] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where G is the kernel of interaction,

$$G(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{a>b} K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{R}^{(a)} - \mathbf{R}^{(b)}|}{\rho_s} \right) \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}^{(a)}) \delta(\mathbf{R}' - \mathbf{R}^{(b)}) \quad (43)$$

The differential equation for K_0 is

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) K_0 \left(\frac{r}{\rho_s} \right) = -2\pi \delta(\mathbf{r}) \quad (44)$$

This helps to replace the interaction part with a Gaussian functional integral, by introducing an auxiliary field ψ

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\omega_v^2} \int dx dy \int dx' dy' \rho_\omega(\mathbf{R}) G(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') \rho_\omega(\mathbf{R}') \right] \\ & = p_1^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left[(\nabla \psi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \psi^2 \right] \right\} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left[i \frac{1}{\omega_v} \int dx dy \rho_\omega(\mathbf{R}) \psi(\mathbf{R}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

with p_1 a normalization constant. To avoid any confusion we note that this is a simple analytical procedure (a *trick*) and is not directly connected with the *ab initio* definition of the partition function as a functional integral. We make a change of variable in the functional integration

$$2\pi\psi \rightarrow \chi \quad (46)$$

(this also changes the normalization constant $p_1 \rightarrow p$) and return to the partition function Eq.(38)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_V &= p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dx dy \left[(\nabla\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 \right] \right\} \\ &\times \frac{1}{N!} \left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)^N \sum_{\{\alpha\}} \int \left(\prod_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{A} d\mathbf{R}^{(a)} \right) \exp \left[i \sum_a n^{(a)} \chi(\mathbf{R}^{(a)}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

In the last factor we note that in the sum each term consists of two contributions, corresponding to positive and negative vorticity, $n^{(a)} = \pm 1$, and they are weighted with the same factor, $1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{N!} \left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)^N \sum_{\{\alpha\}} \int \prod_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{A} d\mathbf{R}^{(a)} \exp \left[-\sum_a n^{(a)} \chi(\mathbf{R}^{(a)}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)^N}{N!} \left[\prod_{a=1}^N \int \frac{1}{A} d\mathbf{R} \frac{1}{2} (\exp[i\chi(\mathbf{R})] + \exp[-i\chi(\mathbf{R})]) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

In the last line we have removed the upper index (a) since all factors in the product are now identical. The present form of Eq.(47) is then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_V &= p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dx dy \left[(\nabla\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 \right] \right\} \\ &\times \frac{\left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)^N}{N!} \left[\prod_{a=1}^N \int \frac{1}{A} d\mathbf{R} \frac{1}{2} (\exp[i\chi(\mathbf{R})] + \exp[-i\chi(\mathbf{R})]) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

If we take the number N of vortices fixed, we should stop here, with the observation that the partition function $Z_V^{(0)}$ (with nonvanishing contribution to the derivatives to J) is decoupled from the other factors and will provide N -times the same contribution. The other factors, *i.e.* the functional integral that contains the interaction between the vortices can only appear in the final answer as a constant, multiplying contributions coming from $Z_V^{(0)}[J]$. The situation is however different when we allow for a variable number of vortices

in the plasma. When N is variable the partition function must also include a sum over terms each corresponding to a number N of vortices. This sum is simple to be done and gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(Z_V^{(0)})^N}{N!} \left[\int \frac{1}{A} d\mathbf{R} \frac{1}{2} (\exp [i\chi(\mathbf{R})] + \exp [-i\chi(\mathbf{R})]) \right]^N \quad (50) \\ & = \exp \left\{ \frac{Z_V^{(0)}}{A} \int dx dy \cos [\chi(x, y)] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Then the partition function becomes

$$Z_V = p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dx dy \left[(\nabla\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \cos [\chi(x, y)] \right] \right\} \quad (51)$$

In this expression the quantity $Z_V^{(0)}$ is a functional integral over the space of fields $\phi_s(x, y)$ representing a single vortex. In the absence of the background turbulence the field $\phi_s(x, y)$ is a deterministic quantity [Eq.(20)] and the statistical ensemble is trivially composed of one element. The interaction with the background turbulence induce a fluctuating form and the statistical properties can be obtained from $Z_V^{(0)}$. In other words, Z_V includes two sources of fluctuations: one is the fluctuation of the field of vorticity due to the random positions in plane of the vortices (the gas of vortices) and the other is the fluctuation of the shape of the generic vortex due to interaction with the turbulent background.

If we want to use the expression (51) as a generating functional for correlation we must be able to drop into the functional integral the field representing the vortices, *i.e.*

$$\phi_V(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_a \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \quad (52)$$

as in Eq.(37). An external excitation by the current J will produce a change in Z_V from the change of the vorticity of the gas of vortices and from the change of $Z_V^{(0)}$. We have

$$\langle \phi_V(x, y) \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_V[j=0]} \left[\left(\frac{\delta Z_V}{\delta J(x, y)} \right)_{Vort} + \frac{\delta Z_V}{\delta Z_V^{(0)}} \frac{\delta Z_V^{(0)}}{\delta J(x, y)} \right] \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi_V(x, y) \phi_V(x', y') \rangle &= \frac{1}{Z_V [j=0]} \left[\left(\frac{\delta^2 Z_V}{\delta J(x, y) \delta J(x', y')} \right)_{vort} \right. \\
&+ \frac{\delta^2 Z_V}{\delta (Z_V^{(0)})^2} \frac{\delta Z_V^{(0)}}{\delta J(x, y)} \frac{\delta Z_V^{(0)}}{\delta J(x', y')} \\
&\left. + \frac{\delta Z_V}{\delta Z_V^{(0)}} \frac{\delta^2 Z_V^{(0)}}{\delta J(x, y) \delta J(x', y')} \right] \quad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

The formulas are taken at $J = 0$. The first terms in these equations are related with the fluctuations of the vorticity of the gas of vortices as a continuous version of the discrete set of physical vortices with arbitrary positions in plane. The other terms are related to the fluctuation of the shape of a vortex and in order to calculate these contribution we need the explicit expression of Z_V , as a functional of $Z_V^{(0)}$. Further, we will need the detailed expression of $Z_V^{(0)} [J]$ and this will be calculated in the next Section.

In order to obtain the contribution from the fluctuation of the gas of vortices (the first terms in Eqs.(53) and (54)), we introduce a new term in the action, consisting of the interaction between the vorticity distribution $\rho_\omega(\mathbf{R})$ and an external current, J_ω

$$i \frac{1}{\omega_v} \int dx dy [\rho_\omega(x, y) J_\omega(x, y)] \quad (55)$$

(the factor i is introduced for compatibility with Eq.(45)). This current J_ω is an external excitation applied on the field of the vorticity and not on the field of potential ϕ_V as we would need in the Eq.(10). We may assume that there is a connection between the current J_ω and the current J (which acts on the field ϕ_V) but there is no need to specify this relation. Indeed, the Eq.(12) shows that at the end both currents should be taken zero.

The last line of Eq.(45) transforms as follows

$$\exp \left[i \frac{1}{\omega_v} \int dx dy \rho_\omega(\mathbf{R}) \psi(\mathbf{R}) \right] \rightarrow \exp \left\{ i \frac{1}{\omega_v} \int dx dy \rho_\omega(\mathbf{R}) [\psi(\mathbf{R}) + J_\omega(\mathbf{R})] \right\} \quad (56)$$

All the calculations following Eq.(45) are repeated without modification but in the last term, instead of the function $\chi(x, y)$ we will have

$$\cos[\chi(x, y)] \rightarrow \cos[\chi(x, y) + J_\omega(x, y)] \quad (57)$$

since this was the term which resulted from the presence of the function $\rho_\omega(\mathbf{R})$ in the Eq.(45). Making the change of variable in the functional integration (of Jacobian 1)

$$\chi \rightarrow \chi - J_\omega \quad (58)$$

the integrand in the action is expressed as

$$-\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left\{ [\nabla(\chi - J_\omega)]^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} (\chi - J_\omega)^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \cos[\chi(x, y)] \right\} \quad (59)$$

The two-point correlation of the field of the vorticity fluctuations can be calculated from

$$\frac{1}{\omega_v^2} \langle \rho_\omega(x, y) \rho_\omega(x', y') \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_V[J_\omega=0]} \frac{\delta Z_V[J]}{i\delta J_\omega(x, y) i\delta J_\omega(x', y')} \Big|_{J_\omega=0} \quad (60)$$

The squares in the action Eq.(59) are expanded

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left\{ (\nabla\chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \cos[\chi(x, y)] \right. \\ & \quad + (\nabla J_\omega)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega^2 \\ & \quad \left. - 2(\nabla\chi)(\nabla J_\omega) - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi J_\omega \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

In the part of the action that depends on J_ω we make integrations by parts

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla J_\omega)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega^2 - 2(\nabla\chi)(\nabla J_\omega) - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi J_\omega \\ \rightarrow & -J_\omega(\Delta J_\omega) + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega^2 + 2J_\omega(\Delta\chi) - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi J_\omega \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Consider the application of the first operator of derivation to $J_\omega(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_\omega(x, y)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dx dy \left[-J_\omega(\Delta J_\omega) + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega^2 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + 2J_\omega(\Delta\chi) - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi J_\omega \right] \right\} \\ & = \frac{1}{i} \exp \{ \dots \} \\ & \quad \times \rho_s^2 \frac{(-1)}{8\pi^2} \left\{ -2\Delta J_\omega + \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega + 2\Delta\chi - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Every derivation to the current J_ω suppresses a space integration and in consequence the result is multiplied with factors ρ_s which render the space integral dimensionless. To clarify the action of the operators like Δ we will use the Fourier representation of the fields. In the exponential the integration

over space $dx dy$ can be done and selects in the product of variables the pairs of wavenumbers \mathbf{k} and $-\mathbf{k}$; we can replace Δ by

$$\Delta \rightarrow -k^2 \quad (64)$$

We are actually interested in the spectrum of the fluctuations of the potential $\phi_V(\mathbf{R})$ resulting from the contributions of all the vortices

$$\phi_V(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_a \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \quad (65)$$

We will use a constant ϕ_0 for dimensional normalization. We will have later to connect the average we are now computing, *i.e.* the two-point correlation for the vorticity field of a system of localized, weakly interacting vortices,

$$\frac{1}{\omega_v^2} \langle \rho_\omega(x, y) \rho_\omega(x', y') \rangle \quad (66)$$

with the two-point correlation of the potential

$$\frac{1}{\phi_0^2} \langle \phi_V \phi_V \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \frac{1}{\phi_0^2} \langle \phi_V(\mathbf{k}) \phi_V(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle \quad (67)$$

Application of the second derivation at $J_\omega(-x, -y)$ on Eq.(63) is equivalent to derivation at $J_\omega(-\mathbf{k})$ and gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta}{i\delta J_\omega(-x, -y)} \left[\frac{1}{i} \exp\{\dots\} \rho_s^2 \frac{(-1)}{8\pi^2} \left\{ -2\Delta J_\omega + \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega + 2\Delta\chi - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi \right\}_{(-\mathbf{k})} \right] \quad (68) \\ &= \frac{1}{ii} \exp\{\dots\} \left(\frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \right) \rho_s^2 \left\{ -2\Delta J_\omega + \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega + 2\Delta\chi - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi \right\}_{(\mathbf{k})} \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \right) \rho_s^2 \left\{ -2\Delta J_\omega + \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} J_\omega + 2\Delta\chi - \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \chi \right\}_{(-\mathbf{k})} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{ii} \exp\{\dots\} \left(\frac{-1}{8\pi^2} \right) \rho_s^2 \left\{ -2\Delta + \frac{2}{\rho_s^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where we can finally take $J_\omega \equiv 0$. Then the exponential becomes unity

$$\exp\{\dots\} \rightarrow 1 \quad (69)$$

and the other terms are

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \right)^2 \left[-2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \chi(\mathbf{k}) \right] \left[-2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \chi(-\mathbf{k}) \right] \quad (70) \\ & + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} 2\rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \chi(\mathbf{k}) \chi(-\mathbf{k}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The last operation to be done is to carry out the functional integration over the function χ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\omega_v^2} \langle \rho_\omega(x, y) \rho_\omega(x', y') \rangle \tag{71} \\
&= \frac{1}{Z_V[J_\omega = 0]} p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dx dy \left[(\nabla \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \cos[\chi(x, y)] \right] \right\} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \left\{ 1 - \frac{\rho_s^2}{4\pi^2} \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \chi(\mathbf{k}) \chi(-\mathbf{k}) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, the second term in the curly brackets yields a functional integration which is equivalent with the statistical average of the product of two fields χ calculated in two points

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \right)^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{Z_V[J_\omega = 0]} p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \chi(\mathbf{k}) \chi(-\mathbf{k}) \tag{72} \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dx dy \left[(\nabla \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \cos[\chi(x, y)] \right] \right\} \\
&\equiv - \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \right)^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right)^2 \langle \chi \chi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}
\end{aligned}$$

The two-point correlation of the vorticity can be written, from Eq.(71)

$$\frac{1}{\omega_v^2} \langle \rho_\omega(x, y) \rho_\omega(x', y') \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \left\{ \langle 1 \rangle - \frac{\rho_s^2}{4\pi^2} \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \langle \chi \chi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \right\} \tag{73}$$

The symbol $\langle 1 \rangle$ simply designates the functional integral on functions χ

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 1 \rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{Z_V[J_\omega = 0]} p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \tag{74} \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dx dy \left[(\nabla \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 - \frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \cos[\chi(x, y)] \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The second term in the curly bracket of Eq.(73) contains the two-point correlation of the function χ and can be obtained by explicit calculation of the functional integration in Eq.(72). The same analytical problem as for the explicit calculation of $\langle 1 \rangle$ and $\langle \chi \chi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$ will appear later (for the turbulence scattered by the random vortices) and there we will give some details of

calculation. At this moment few explanations are sufficient. For small amplitude of the auxiliary field χ the function \cosh is approximated with its first two terms

$$\cos \chi \approx 1 - \frac{\chi^2}{2} \quad (75)$$

The constant 1 is only a shift of the action. However it leads to a term that depends on $Z_V^{(0)}$, which is integrated in the exponential over all volume *i.e.* the area A on the plane. In detail, replacing Eq.(75) in Eq.(74)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1 \rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{Z_V [J_\omega = 0]} p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \\ &\times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dxdy \left(-\frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right] \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dxdy \left[(\nabla \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 + \left(\frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \frac{\chi^2}{2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The first factor can be taken outside the functional integration

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dxdy \left(-\frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Since it is determined by the non-interacting vortices, it must exist even if we would neglect completely the interaction between the vortices taking $\chi \rightarrow 0$. The rest of the Eq.(76) is the functional integral

$$\begin{aligned} &p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int dxdy \left[(\nabla \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \chi^2 + \left(\frac{8\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \frac{\chi^2}{2} \right] \right\} \\ &= p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^4 \int d\mathbf{k} \left[k^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\rho_s^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right] \chi(\mathbf{k}) \chi(-\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\ &= p^{-1} \sqrt{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Therefore the denominator $Z_V [J_\omega = 0]$ where only the response of the vorticity is taken into account is

$$Z_V [J_\omega = 0] = \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] p^{-1} \sqrt{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \quad (79)$$

Now we can replace $\langle 1 \rangle = 1$. Eq.(79) is the explicit form of Z_V of Eq.(51) and we will need it in all the calculations below.

The second term in Eq.(73), *i.e.e.* the auto-correlation of χ in \mathbf{k} -space is also a Gaussian integral and can be calculated explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi \chi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} &= \frac{1}{Z_V [J_\omega = 0]} \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] p^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \chi(\mathbf{k}) \chi(-\mathbf{k}) \quad (80) \\
&\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^4 \int d\mathbf{k} \left[k^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\rho_s^2} - \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right] \chi(\mathbf{k}) \chi(-\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\
&= \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] p^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} - \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{-3/2} \\
&\times \exp \left[-Z_V^{(0)} \right] p (1/\sqrt{\pi}) \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} - \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{1/2} \quad (81)
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\langle \chi \chi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} - \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{-1} \quad (82)$$

The two-point correlation of the field of an ensemble of discrete vortices of arbitrary (but very localized) shape becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\omega_v^2} \langle \rho_\omega(x, y) \rho_\omega(x', y') \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (83) \\
&= \frac{\rho_s^2}{4\pi^2} \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \\
&\times \left\{ 1 - \frac{\rho_s^2}{4\pi^2} \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{-1} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The correlation of the field of the scalar potential will have from this a contribution (with normalization)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\phi_0^2} \langle \phi_V \phi_V \rangle_{vort} &= \frac{1}{k^2 \rho_s^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k^2 \rho_s^2} \right) \quad (84) \\
&\times \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{1 + k^2 \rho_s^2}{1 + k^2 \rho_s^2 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

which represents, as said, the first term of the Eq.(54).

The other terms in the correlation $\langle \phi_V \phi_V \rangle$ (Eq.(54)) are due to the fluctuation of the form of the generic vortex from interaction with the background

turbulence. We must calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta Z_V}{\delta \left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)} \tag{85} \\
&= \frac{\delta}{\delta \left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)} \left\{ \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] p^{-1} \sqrt{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \right\} \\
&= p^{-1} (2\pi)^{3/2} \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] \frac{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + \left(Z_V^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A}{\left[\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A \right]^{3/2}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta^2 Z_V}{\delta \left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)^2} &= p^{-1} (2\pi)^{3/2} \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] \frac{10\pi^2 \rho_s^2}{A} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + \left(Z_V^{(0)} + \frac{3}{10} \right) 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A}{\left[\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A \right]^{5/2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have to calculate the derivatives of $Z_V^{(0)}$ to J as shown by the last two terms Eq.(54). This part will be added after $Z_V^{(0)}$ is calculated, in the next subsection.

5.2 A single vortex interacting with a turbulent environment

The partition function for a single vortex in interaction with turbulence is defined as

$$Z_V^{(0)} = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \exp \{ S_V[\chi, \phi] \} \tag{86}$$

and the equation is the stationary form of the equation used in Ref.[2],

$$F[\phi] \equiv \nabla_{\perp}^2 \varphi - \alpha \varphi - \beta \varphi^2 \tag{87}$$

The density of Lagrangean

$$\mathcal{L}[\chi(x, y), \phi(x, y)] = \chi \left(\nabla_{\perp}^2 \varphi - \alpha \varphi - \beta \varphi^2 \right) \tag{88}$$

is obtained from the Martin-Siggia-Rose method for classical stochastic systems. Then the action is

$$S_V[\chi, \phi] = \int dx dy \mathcal{L}[\chi(x, y), \phi(x, y)] \tag{89}$$

As usual we introduce the interaction with the external current $\mathbf{J} = (J_\phi, J_\chi)$. However since there is no need to calculate functional derivatives to χ , we can only keep $J \equiv J_\phi$. For uniformity of notation in this paper, we will not use the factor i in front of the action in contrast with [2]. We have then

$$Z_V^{(0)}[J] = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \exp \left\{ \int dx dy [\chi (\nabla_\perp^2 \phi - \alpha \phi - \beta \phi^2) + J \phi] \right\} \quad (90)$$

We need the explicit expression of the functional integral Eq.(90) and this has been obtained in the references [2] and [3]. For convenience we will recall briefly the steps of the calculation restricting to the results we need in the present work.

To calculate the generating functional of the vortex in the background turbulence we proceed in two steps: we solve the Euler-Lagrange equation for the action (89) obtaining the configuration of the system which extremises this action; further, we expand the action to second order in the fluctuations around this extremum (which will include the turbulent field) and integrate. The Euler-Lagrange equations have the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{J_s}(x, y) &\equiv \varphi_s(x, y) \\ \chi_{J_s}(x, y) &= -\varphi_s(x, y) + \tilde{\chi}_J(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

The first is the static form of the solution Eq.(20) and does not depend on J . The dual function is $-\varphi_s(x, y)$ plus a term resulting from the excitation by J in its equation. This additional term $\tilde{\chi}_J(x, y)$ is calculated by the perturbation of the KdV soliton solution according to the modification of the Inverse Scattering Transform when an inhomogeneous term (*i.e.* J) is included. The action functional is calculated for these two functions

$$S_{V_s}[J] \equiv S_V[\varphi_s(x, y), -\varphi_s(x, y) + \tilde{\chi}_J(x, y)]$$

Then the first part of our calculation is $Z_V^{(0)}[J] \sim \mathcal{N}^{-1} \exp \{S_{V_s}[J]\}$. Expanding the action around this extremum

$$S_V[\chi, \varphi; J] = S_V[\varphi_{J_s}, \chi_{J_s}] + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_V[J]}{\delta \varphi \delta \chi} \Big|_{\varphi_{J_s}, \chi_{J_s}} \right) \delta \varphi \delta \chi$$

we calculate the Gaussian integral and obtain

$$Z_V^{(0)}[J] = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \exp \{S_{V_s}[J]\} \left[\det \left(\frac{\delta^2 S_V[J]}{\delta \varphi \delta \chi} \Big|_{\varphi_{J_s}, \chi_{J_s}} \right) \right]^{-1/2}$$

If we can neglect the advection of vortices by large scale wave-like fluctuations, we can calculate the determinant since the product of the eigenvalues converges without the need for regularization. The result is

$$Z_V^{(0)} [J] = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \exp \{S_{V_s} [J]\} A B \quad (92)$$

Where

$$A = A [J] \equiv \left[\frac{\beta/2}{\sinh (\beta/2)} \right]^{1/4} \quad (93)$$

$$B = B [J] \equiv \left[\frac{\sigma/2}{\sin (\sigma/2)} \right]^{1/2} \quad (94)$$

The eigenvalue problem depends functionally on $\tilde{\chi}_J (x, y)$ which implies that β and σ depend on J . Their expressions can be found in [3]. With those detailed formulas we can consider that we have the necessary knowledge to proceed to the calculation of the functional derivatives of $Z_V^{(0)} [J]$ at J , using Eq.(92).

$$\frac{1}{Z_V^{(0)} [J = 0]} \frac{\delta Z_V^{(0)} [J]}{\delta J} = \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J} + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\delta A}{\delta J} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\delta B}{\delta J} \quad (95)$$

For the present problem it is sufficient to take the first term as Eq.(20)

$$\left. \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J} \right|_{J=0} \simeq \phi_s \quad (96)$$

The next two terms in Eq.(95) represent the averaged, systematic modification of the shape of the field around the vortex due to the mutual interaction. They will be equally neglected, assuming that the main effect is contained in the dispersion of the fluctuations of the shape of the vortex interacting with the random field (which actually is our main concern here). Then

$$\frac{1}{Z_V^{(0)} [J = 0]} \frac{\delta Z_V^{(0)} [J]}{\delta J} \simeq \phi_s \quad (97)$$

The second derivative to the excitations in two points y_1 and y_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{Z_V^{(0)} [J = 0]} \frac{\delta^2 Z_V^{(0)} [J]}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)} \\
= & \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J (y_2)} \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J (y_1)} + \frac{\delta^2 S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)} \\
& + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\delta A}{\delta J (y_2)} \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J (y_1)} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\delta B}{\delta J (y_2)} \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J (y_1)} \\
& + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\delta A}{\delta J (y_1)} \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J (y_2)} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\delta B}{\delta J (y_1)} \frac{\delta S_{V_s} [J]}{\delta J (y_2)} \\
& + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\delta A}{\delta J (y_1)} \frac{1}{B} \frac{\delta B}{\delta J (y_2)} + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\delta A}{\delta J (y_2)} \frac{1}{B} \frac{\delta B}{\delta J (y_1)} \\
& + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\delta^2 A}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\delta^2 B}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

Since we have assumed as an acceptable approximation to neglect the averaged change produced by the turbulence on the soliton shape the second term in the RHS is zero. For the first term we use Eq.(96). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{Z_V^{(0)} [J = 0]} \frac{\delta^2 Z_V^{(0)} [J]}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)} \\
= & \phi_s (y_2) \phi_s (y_1) + \\
& + \phi_s (y_1) \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta J (y_2)} \ln A + \frac{\delta}{\delta J (y_2)} \ln B \right] \\
& + \phi_s (y_2) \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta J (y_1)} \ln A + \frac{\delta}{\delta J (y_1)} \ln B \right] \\
& + \frac{\delta \ln A}{\delta J (y_1)} \frac{\delta \ln B}{\delta J (y_2)} + \frac{\delta \ln A}{\delta J (y_2)} \frac{\delta \ln B}{\delta J (y_1)} \\
& + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\delta^2 A}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\delta^2 B}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)}
\end{aligned}$$

The expressions are complicated (see the Appendix of Ref.[3]) and some numerical calculation of these expression is unavoidable. For small amplitude of the turbulent field the expression can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{Z_V^{(0)} [J = 0]} \frac{\delta^2 Z_V^{(0)} [J]}{\delta J (y_2) \delta J (y_1)} \Big|_{J=0} \\
= & \phi_s (y_2) \phi_s (y_1) [1 + f (y)]
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. in a form that expresses the fact that the two-point correlation is basically the auto-correlation of the potential of the exact soliton modified by a function f which collects the contributions from the interaction with the random field. In k -space we have

$$\frac{1}{Z_V^{(0)} [J = 0]} \frac{\delta^2 Z_V^{(0)} [J]}{\delta J(y_2) \delta J(y_1)} \Big|_{J=0, \mathbf{k}} = \phi_s(\mathbf{k}) \phi_s(-\mathbf{k}) [1 + f(\mathbf{k})]$$

At the limit where we do not expand the action to include configurations resulting from the interaction vortex-turbulence, we have $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta \rightarrow 0$ and it results $A = B = 1$. In this case $f \equiv 0$.

The detailed expressions of these terms are given in the paper [2], [3]. For the purpose of comparisons we will express the spectrum as

$$\frac{1}{\phi_0^2} \langle \phi_V \phi_V \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} = S(\mathbf{k}) [1 + f(\mathbf{k})] \quad (99)$$

where ϕ_0 is amplitude of a vortex, $S(\mathbf{k})$ has been derived by Meiss and Horton [14]

$$S(\mathbf{k}) = \left\{ 12\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}k\rho_s \frac{u}{v_*} \operatorname{csc} h \left[\frac{\pi k \rho_s}{(1 - v_*/u)^{1/2}} \right] \right\}^2 \quad (100)$$

and $f(\mathbf{k})$ is function that is the correction to the Fourier transform of the squared secant-hyperbolic, produced by the turbulent waves.

Now we can return to the Eq.(54). Using Eq.(97) the second term is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{Z_V [j = 0]} \frac{\delta^2 Z_V}{\delta \left(Z_V^{(0)} \right)^2} \frac{\delta Z_V^{(0)}}{\delta J(x, y)} \frac{\delta Z_V^{(0)}}{\delta J(x', y')} \\ &= \exp \left[-Z_V^{(0)} \right] p \pi^{-1/2} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{1/2} \\ & \times p^{-1} (2\pi)^{3/2} \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] \frac{10\pi^2 \rho_s^2 \rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + \left(Z_V^{(0)} + \frac{3}{10} \right) 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A}{A \left[\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A \right]^{5/2}} \\ & \times [\phi_s(y) \phi_s(y')]_k \\ &= \phi_0^2 S(\mathbf{k}) \frac{10\pi^2 \rho_s^2}{A} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{6\pi^2 \rho_s^2}{5A} \frac{1}{\left[\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A \right]^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The third term in Eq.(54) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{Z_V [j=0]} \frac{\delta Z_V}{\delta Z_V^{(0)}} \frac{\delta^2 Z_V^{(0)}}{\delta J(x, y) \delta J(x', y')} \\
&= \exp \left[-Z_V^{(0)} \right] p \pi^{-1/2} \left[\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \rho_s^2 \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_s^2} + \frac{4\pi^2}{A} Z_V^{(0)} \right) \right]^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times p^{-1} (2\pi)^{3/2} \exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right] \frac{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + \left(Z_V^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A}{\left[\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A \right]^{3/2}} \\
& \quad \times \phi_0^2 S(\mathbf{k}) [1 + f(\mathbf{k})] \\
&= \phi_0^2 S(\mathbf{k}) [1 + f(\mathbf{k})] \\
& \quad \times \left(1 + \frac{2\pi^2 \rho_s^2}{A} \frac{1}{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The results for this part of the calculation can now be collected

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\phi_0^2} \langle \phi_V(x, y) \phi_V(x', y') \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \\
&= \frac{1}{k^2 \rho_s^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k^2 \rho_s^2} \right) \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1}{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A} \right) \\
& \quad + S(\mathbf{k}) \frac{10\pi^2 \rho_s^2}{A} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A} + \frac{6\pi^2 \rho_s^2}{5A} \frac{1}{\left[\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A \right]^2} \right\} \\
& \quad + S(\mathbf{k}) [1 + f(\mathbf{k})] \left(1 + \frac{2\pi^2 \rho_s^2}{A} \frac{1}{\rho_s^2 k^2 + 1 + Z_V^{(0)} 4\pi^2 \rho_s^2 / A} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We can make few remarks here. If the arbitrary position in plane of the vortices and the interaction between physical vortices were neglected, the only term that would persist is $\exp \left[Z_V^{(0)} \right]$. The first \mathbf{k} -dependent factor in Eq.(83) comes from assuming that a statistical ensemble of realizations of the vorticity field is generated from the random positions in plane of the vortices, even reduced at a δ -type shape. In practical terms this may be represented as follows: in a plane, an ensemble of vortices can be placed at arbitrary positions. We construct the statistical ensemble of the realizations of this stochastic system. If we measure in one point the field, it will be zero for most of the realizations and it will be finite when it happens that a vortex is there. This is a random variable. Now, if we measure in two

points and collect the results for all realizations, the statistical properties of this quantity (the two-point auto-correlation) has a Fourier transform that is given by the two factors multiplying the curly bracket in Eq.(83), divided to k^4 (since we have the auto-correlation of the vorticity). When the interaction is considered, the factor in the curly bracket appears.

6 Random field influenced by vortices with random positions

Consider the equation

$$F[\phi] \equiv \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi - \alpha \phi - \beta \phi^2 = 0 \quad (101)$$

and extract from the total function the part that is due to the vortices

$$\phi(x, y) = \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) + \phi(x, y) \quad (102)$$

Replacing in the equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{\perp}^2 \left[\sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right] - \alpha \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) - \beta \left[\sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right]^2 \\ & - 2\beta \left[\sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right] \phi(x, y) \\ & + \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi - \alpha \phi - \beta \phi^2 \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

The first line is zero and we have

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi - \left[\alpha + 2\beta \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right] \phi - \beta \phi^2 = 0 \quad (104)$$

We write a Lagrangean for the random field according to the MSR procedure

$$\mathcal{L}[\chi, \phi] = \chi \left\{ \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi - \left[\alpha + 2\beta \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right] \phi - \beta \phi^2 \right\} \quad (105)$$

and the action functional is

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\varphi V} [\chi, \phi] &= \int dx dy \chi \left\{ \nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi - \left[\alpha + 2\beta \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right] \phi - \beta \phi^2 \right\} \\
&= \int dx dy \left\{ -(\nabla \chi) (\nabla \phi) - \chi \left[\alpha + 2\beta \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right] \phi - \beta \chi \phi^2 \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

The generating functional is defined from the functional integral

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \exp(-S_{\varphi V} [\chi, \phi]) \tag{107}$$

Now we will modify the action by considering as usual the interaction with external currents,

$$\Xi [J] \equiv \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \exp(-S_{\varphi V} [\chi, \phi] + J_{\chi} \chi + J_{\phi} \phi) \tag{108}$$

With this functional integral we will have to calculate the *free energy* functional. The functional $\Xi [J]$ depends on the function representing the vortices. The vortices are assumed known but their position in plane is random therefore we have to average over them

$$-W [J] = \langle \ln (\Xi [J]) \rangle \tag{109}$$

The *replica method* gives a complicated functional integration. However it is possible to continue, along the developments done by Edwards et al. in polymers in a medium with random scatterers.

We have however an alternative.

6.1 The average over the positions

To perform the statistical average over the random positions of the vortices. The functional which we have to average is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi &= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \\
&\times \exp \left\{ \int dx dy \left[(\nabla \chi) (\nabla \phi) + \alpha \chi \phi + \beta \chi \phi^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(2\beta \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right) \chi \phi \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

The part that depends on the positions can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \exp \left\{ \int dxdy \left(2\beta \sum_{a=1}^N \phi_s^{(a)}(x, y) \right) \chi \phi \right\} \right\rangle & (111) \\
& = \left\langle \exp \left\{ \int dxdy 2\beta \phi^s \sum_{a=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a) \chi \phi \right\} \right\rangle \\
& = \left\langle \exp \left[2\beta \phi^s \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(\mathbf{r}_a) \phi(\mathbf{r}_a) \right] \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where ϕ^s is now a simple amplitude. Consider the more general situation where we have to average in addition over the amplitudes ϕ^s of the vortices. If ϕ^s is a stochastic variable we have to perform an average of the type

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \exp \left[2\beta \phi^s \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(\mathbf{r}_a) \phi(\mathbf{r}_a) \right] \right\rangle_{\mathbf{r}_a, \phi^s} & (112) \\
& = \prod_{a=1}^N \langle \exp [2\beta \phi^s \chi(\mathbf{r}_a) \phi(\mathbf{r}_a)] \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_a, \phi^s}
\end{aligned}$$

Consider that the (now) random variable ϕ^s has the probability density

$$g(\phi^s) \quad (113)$$

Then, restricting for the moment to only the average over ϕ^s ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \exp [2\beta \phi^s \chi(\mathbf{r}_a) \phi(\mathbf{r}_a)] \rangle_{\phi^s} & (114) \\
& = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi^s g(\phi^s) \exp [2\beta \phi^s \chi(\mathbf{r}_a) \phi(\mathbf{r}_a)] \\
& = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi^s g(\phi^s) \exp (i\lambda \phi^s)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$i\lambda(\mathbf{r}_a) \equiv 2\beta \chi(\mathbf{r}_a) \phi(\mathbf{r}_a) \quad (115)$$

Then

$$\langle \exp [2\beta \phi^s \chi(\mathbf{r}_a) \phi(\mathbf{r}_a)] \rangle_{\phi^s} = \tilde{g}[\lambda(\mathbf{r}_a)] \quad (116)$$

where \tilde{g} is the Fourier transform of the probability distribution function g . We use the notation

$$\tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}_k) \equiv \tilde{g}[\lambda(\mathbf{r}_a)] \quad (117)$$

and we have to calculate

$$\prod_{a=1}^N \langle \tilde{g}(-i2\beta\chi(\mathbf{r}_a)\phi(\mathbf{r}_a)) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_a} = \prod_{a=1}^N \langle \tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}_a) \rangle \quad (118)$$

For this we introduce the function h

$$\tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}_a) \equiv h(\mathbf{r}_a) + 1 \quad (119)$$

and we rewrite the average as

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{a=1}^N \langle \tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}_a) \rangle \\ &= \prod_{a=1}^N \langle h(\mathbf{r}_a) + 1 \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l} h(\mathbf{r}_{i_1}) h(\mathbf{r}_{i_2}) \dots h(\mathbf{r}_{i_l}) \right\rangle \\ &= \exp \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \int d\mathbf{r}_{i_1} d\mathbf{r}_{i_2} \dots d\mathbf{r}_{i_l} h(\mathbf{r}_{i_1}) h(\mathbf{r}_{i_2}) \dots h(\mathbf{r}_{i_l}) C^{(l)}(\mathbf{r}_{i_1}, \mathbf{r}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{i_l}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

where we have introduced the cumulants of the distribution of points \mathbf{r}_{i_l} in the plane.

According to our assumption

$$C^{(l)} = \begin{cases} 1/A & l = 1 \\ 0 & l > 1 \end{cases} \quad (121)$$

where A is the area in plane. Therefore we have the result of averaging

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{a=1}^N \langle \tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}_a) \rangle &= \exp \left[\frac{1}{A} \int d\mathbf{r} h(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{A} \int d\mathbf{r} [\tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}) - 1] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

The part of the generating functional which depended on the positions can now be written

$$\left\langle \exp \left[2\beta\phi^s \sum_{a=1}^N \chi(\mathbf{r}_a)\phi(\mathbf{r}_a) \right] \right\rangle_{\mathbf{r}_a, \phi^s} = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{A} \int d\mathbf{r} [\tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}) - 1] \right\} \quad (123)$$

and the full partition function is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Xi \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_a, \phi^s} &= N^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \int dxdy [(\nabla \chi)(\nabla \phi) + \alpha \chi \phi + \beta \chi \phi^2 \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{A} \int d\mathbf{r} [\tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}) - 1] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

The action at the exponent is

$$\int dxdy \left\{ (\nabla \chi)(\nabla \phi) + \alpha \chi \phi + \beta \chi \phi^2 + \frac{1}{A} [\tilde{g}(x, y) - 1] \right\} \quad (125)$$

The function $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{r})$ has as argument the expression $-i2\beta\chi(\mathbf{r})\phi(\mathbf{r})$.

A reasonable assumption is that the vortices can only be positive or negative and with the same magnitude. Then we have

$$g(\phi^s) = \frac{1}{2} [\delta(\phi^s - \phi_0) + \delta(\phi^s + \phi_0)] \quad (126)$$

and the Fourier transform is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(q) &= \int d\phi^s \exp(iq\phi^s) \frac{1}{2} [\delta(\phi^s - \phi_0) + \delta(\phi^s + \phi_0)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\exp(iq\phi_0) + \exp(-iq\phi_0)] \\ &= \cos(q\phi_0) \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

This must be calculated for the argument $-i2\beta\chi(\mathbf{r})\phi(\mathbf{r})$ and gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\mathbf{r}) &= \cos[-i2\beta\phi_0\chi(\mathbf{r})\phi(\mathbf{r})] \\ &= \cosh[2\beta\phi_0\chi(\mathbf{r})\phi(\mathbf{r})] \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

The functional integral that must be calculated becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Xi \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_k, \phi^s} &= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \int dxdy \left[(\nabla \chi)(\nabla \phi) + \alpha \chi \phi + \beta \chi \phi^2 + \frac{1}{A} \cosh(2\beta\phi_0\chi\phi) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

The system is perturbed with an external current $J(x, y)$ acting on the field $\phi(x, y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} Z_J &\equiv \langle \Xi \rangle_{\mathbf{r}_a, \phi^s} [J] \\ &= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\chi] D[\phi] \exp(S_J) \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

$$S_J \equiv \int dxdy \left[(\nabla\chi)(\nabla\phi) + \alpha\chi\phi + \beta\chi\phi^2 + \frac{1}{A} \cosh(2\beta\phi_0\chi\phi) + J\phi \right] \quad (131)$$

7 Approximation for small amplitude vortices

Consider that the amplitudes of the vortices are not high, ϕ_0 . Then

$$\cosh(2\beta\phi_0\chi\phi) \simeq 1 + \frac{1}{2} (2\beta\phi_0\chi\phi)^2 \quad (132)$$

and the action (removing some terms without significance)

$$S_J \equiv \int dxdy \left[(\nabla\chi)(\nabla\phi) + \alpha\chi\phi + \beta\chi\phi^2 + \frac{(2\beta\phi_0)^2}{A} \chi^2\phi^2 + J\phi \right] \quad (133)$$

This action in principle can lead to a perturbative treatment but with two vertices, of order three and of order four, a very difficult and unusual problem. We remark however that

$$S_J \equiv \int dxdy \left[-\chi(\nabla^2\phi) + \alpha\chi\phi + \beta\chi\phi^2 + \frac{(2\beta\phi_0)^2}{A} \chi^2\phi^2 + J\phi \right] \quad (134)$$

may become quadratic in χ and in ϕ (therefore the functional integral is Gaussian) if we succeed to separate the product $\chi^2\phi^2$.

7.0.1 Technical step

Consider the following formula to disentangle the two variables

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}U^2\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2 - Us\right) \quad (135)$$

then

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}2Q\chi^2\phi^2\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2 - \sqrt{2Q}\chi\phi s\right) \quad (136)$$

and we have the action

$$\begin{aligned} Z_J &= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2\right) \\ &\times \int D[\phi] D[\chi] \exp\left\{ \int dxdy \left[-\chi(\nabla^2\phi) + \alpha\chi\phi + \beta\chi\phi^2 - \sqrt{2Q}\chi\phi s + J\phi \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

or

$$S_J = \int dx dy [J\phi] + \int dx dy \left[-\chi (\nabla^2 \phi) + \chi (\alpha - s\sqrt{2Q}) \phi + \beta \chi \phi^2 \right] \quad (138)$$

We have used the notation

$$Q \equiv \frac{(2\beta\phi_0)^2}{A} \quad (139)$$

The functional integration over χ can be done immediately and gives a functional δ with the argument the equation in the modified form. If we make the integration over χ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int D[\chi] \exp \left\{ \int dx dy \left[-\chi (\nabla^2 \phi) + \chi (\alpha - s\sqrt{2Q}) \phi + \beta \chi \phi^2 \right] \right\} \quad (140) \\ &= \int D[\chi] \exp \left[\int dx dy \chi \bar{F}(\phi) \right] \\ &= \left[\left| -i \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\phi^z} \right]^{-1} \delta(\phi - \phi^z) \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$\bar{F}(\phi) \equiv -\nabla^2 \phi + (\alpha - s\sqrt{2Q}) \phi + \beta \phi^2 \quad (141)$$

and the function $\bar{\phi}^z$ is the solution of the differential equation $\bar{F}(\bar{\phi}^z) = 0$ *i.e.*

$$\bar{F}(\bar{\phi}^z) = 0 \quad (142)$$

Inserting this result in the integral over the functions ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int D[\phi] \exp \left(\int dx dy J\phi \right) \left[\left| -i \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\bar{\phi}^z} \right]^{-1} \delta(\phi - \bar{\phi}^z) \quad (143) \\ &= \left[\left| -i \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\bar{\phi}^z} \right]^{-1} \exp \left(\int dx dy J\bar{\phi}^z \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$Z_J = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} s^2 \right) \left[\left| -i \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\bar{\phi}^z} \right]^{-1} \exp \left(\int dx dy J\bar{\phi}^z \right) \quad (144)$$

The most important part is to calculate

$$-i \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\phi}} \Big|_{\bar{\phi}^z} = -i \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi} \left[-\nabla^2 \phi + \left(\alpha - s\sqrt{2Q} \right) \phi + \beta \phi^2 \right] \Big|_{\bar{\phi}^z} \quad (145)$$

But this simplifies with the part of the factor

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} \sim \left| \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} \right|_{\phi^z} \quad (146)$$

The fact that the initial factor is written before averaging over the positions and the second factor is obtained after averaging will make a small difference which can only be of higher order. What remains is a factor of normalization that we call c^{-1} .

The functional derivatives are

$$\frac{\delta Z_j}{\delta J(x, y)} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2\right) \bar{\phi}^z(x, y) \exp\left(\int dx dy J \bar{\phi}^z\right) \quad (147)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^2 Z_j}{\delta J(x, y) \delta J(x', y')} &= \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}s^2\right) \\ &\times \bar{\phi}^z(x, y) \bar{\phi}^z(x', y') \exp\left(\int dx dy J \bar{\phi}^z\right) \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

and taking

$$J \equiv 0 \quad (149)$$

we can calculate the contribution to the two-point correlation arising from this part of the partition function.

We have to solve

$$-\nabla^2 \phi + \left(\alpha - s\sqrt{2Q} \right) \phi + \beta \phi^2 = 0 \quad (150)$$

for a small amplitude field ϕ . This can be done by approximations, starting from the solution of the equation without random centers.

We can see that the immediate effect of the scattering of the turbulent field by the vortices is a renormalization of the coefficient α of the equation.

The solution that we need for Eq.(150) must reflect the fact that we are examining a turbulent field, in the dynamics of which the randomly placed vortices have been included. We can see that the integration over the auxiliary variable s must not necessarily be truncated to ensure that $\alpha_s \equiv \alpha - s\sqrt{2Q}$ remains positive. This is because the field is turbulent and

there is no restriction concerning the existence of the vortex solution which should be imposed to the turbulent component of the field. We also note that the approximation taking only the $s = 0$ contribution in the integral (148) returns us to the problem of the turbulent field without interaction with the random vortices. Although the main contribution (as shown by the Gaussian integration) comes from the free turbulent field itself, the presence of vortices is contained in the rest of the integral, for $|s|$ not close to 0.

These remarks suggest to study the statistical properties of the transformed field, where α is replaced with α_s .

8 The background turbulence: perturbative treatment

The vortices represent the strongly nonlinear part of the system and they have been extracted and treated separately as shown above. However, there is still nonlinear interaction in the remaining random field. This is the nonlinear mode coupling which produces the stationary turbulent states of the system, even in absence of any definite structure formation. This interaction must be taken into account when we analyse the statistical properties of the turbulent field. From general consideration we know what can be expected from this analysis: we will obtain a small departure from pure Gaussian statistics, expressed in nonlinear renormalization of the propagator and of the vertex of the interaction. The presence of random interaction with elements of the system that are beyond our simple model is accounted, as usual, by a noise term acting like a drive for the system of random waves. The noise will be assumed with the simplest (white) statistics

$$\langle \zeta(x, y) \zeta(x', y') \rangle = D \delta(x - x') \delta(y - y') \quad (151)$$

and should be considered as a random stirring force composed, for example, of random growths and decays of marginally stable modes, thus injecting at random places some energy into the system.

We will provide a standard perturbative treatment for the differential equation

$$-\nabla^2 \phi + \left(\alpha - s\sqrt{2A} \right) \phi + \beta \phi^2 = \zeta \quad (152)$$

with the objective to calculate correlation functions and other statistical properties.

As usual we start by defining the generating functional of the statistical

correlation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exp(-W[\mathbf{J}]) \tag{153} \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}[\eta] \mathcal{D}[\phi] \exp \left\{ \int dx dy [-\eta \nabla^2 \phi + \eta \alpha_s \phi + \eta \beta \phi^2 + J_\eta \eta + J_\phi \phi] \right\} \\
& \quad \times \left\langle \int \mathcal{D}[\eta] \mathcal{D}[\phi] \exp \left\{ \int dx dy \eta \zeta \right\} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$\alpha_s \equiv \alpha - s\sqrt{2Q} \tag{154}$$

The second factor can easily be calculated

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \int \mathcal{D}[\eta] \mathcal{D}[\phi] \exp \left(\int dx dy \eta \zeta \right) \right\rangle \tag{155} \\
&= \int \mathcal{D}[\eta] \mathcal{D}[\phi] \exp \left[\int dx dy (2D\eta^2) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

In the generating functional there are the two dual functions, $\eta(x, y)$ and $\phi(x, y)$, the second being the physical field of the random waves. We have inserted the action related with interaction with “external” currents, J_η and J_ϕ which will permit to express the averages as functional derivatives.

Defining the Lagrangean density (after an integration by parts)

$$\mathcal{L} = (\nabla \eta) (\nabla \phi) + \eta \alpha_s \phi + \eta \beta \phi^2 + D\eta^2 + J_\eta \eta + J_\phi \phi \tag{156}$$

we will separate into the Gaussian the nonlinear interaction part

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_I \tag{157}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = (\nabla \eta) (\nabla \phi) + \eta \alpha_s \phi + D\eta^2 + J_\eta \eta + J_\phi \phi \tag{158}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \eta \beta \phi^2 \tag{159}$$

The first part of the Lagrangean is not linear because of the square term, but it is Gaussian therefore it would not pose any problem to the functional integration. We note that we have an order three vertex.

The action functional is correspondingly divided into two parts and can be written, as usual

$$\begin{aligned}
Z[\mathbf{J}] &= \int \mathcal{D}[\eta] \mathcal{D}[\phi] \exp \left(\int dx dy \mathcal{L}_0 \right) \exp \left(\int dx dy \mathcal{L}_I \right) \tag{160} \\
&= \exp \left(\beta \int dx dy \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\eta} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\phi} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\phi} \right) \int \mathcal{D}[\eta] \mathcal{D}[\phi] \exp \left(\int dx dy \mathcal{L}_0 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

For the linear part we have the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta (\partial \eta / \partial x)} + \frac{d}{dy} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta (\partial \eta / \partial y)} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta \eta} = 0 \quad (161)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta (\partial \phi / \partial x)} + \frac{d}{dy} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta (\partial \phi / \partial y)} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta \phi} = 0 \quad (162)$$

The equations are

$$\Delta \phi - \alpha_s \phi - 2D\eta = J_\eta \quad (163)$$

and

$$\Delta \eta - \alpha_s \eta = J_\phi \quad (164)$$

The latter is an inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation and has the solution

$$\eta_0(x, y) = \int dx' dy' G_{\eta\phi}(x, y; x', y') J_\phi(x', y') \quad (165)$$

in terms of the Green function appropriate for the space domain of our analysis and taking into account the boundary conditions for η .

The first Euler-Lagrange equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \phi - \alpha_s \phi &= J_\eta + 2D\eta_0 \\ &= J_\eta + 2D \int dx' dy' G_{\eta\phi}(x, y; x', y') J_\phi(x', y') \end{aligned} \quad (166)$$

with the solution

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(x, y) &= \int dx' dy' G_{\phi\eta}(x, y; x', y') J_\eta(x', y') \\ &\quad + 2D \int dx' dy' dx'' dy'' G_{\phi\eta}(x, y; x'', y'') G_{\eta\phi}(x'', y''; x', y') J_\phi(x', y') \end{aligned} \quad (167)$$

We can introduce the matrix of propagators

$$\mathbf{G} \equiv (G_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\phi\phi} & G_{\phi\eta} \\ G_{\eta\phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (168)$$

where

$$G_{\phi\phi}(x, y; x', y') = 2D \int dx'' dy'' G_{\phi\eta}(x, y; x'', y'') G_{\eta\phi}(x'', y''; x', y') \quad (169)$$

Introducing the notation

$$\Psi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \quad (170)$$

we will write symbolically (summation over repeated indices is implied)

$$\Psi_i = \int dx' dy' G_{ik} J_k \quad (171)$$

for

$$\Psi_i = \int dx' dy' G(x, y; x', y') J_k(x', y') \quad (172)$$

We can now calculate the linear part of the action along the system's configurations given by these solutions. The action will be, of course, a functional of the current.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0J} &= \int dx dy [(\nabla \eta_0)(\nabla \phi_0) + \alpha_s \eta_0 \phi_0 + D\eta_0^2 + J_\eta \eta_0 + J_\phi \phi_0] \quad (173) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy [-\eta_0 \Delta \phi_0 + \alpha_s \eta_0 \phi_0 + 2D\eta_0^2 + J_\eta \eta_0 + J_\phi \phi_0] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy [-\phi_0 \Delta \eta_0 + \alpha_s \eta_0 \phi_0 + J_\eta \eta_0 + J_\phi \phi_0] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0J} &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy [\eta_0 (-\Delta \phi_0 + \alpha_s \phi_0 + 2D\eta_0 + J_\eta) + J_\phi \phi_0] \quad (174) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy [\phi_0 (-\Delta \eta_0 + \alpha_s \eta_0 + J_\phi) + J_\eta \eta_0] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy (J_\phi \phi_0 + J_\eta \eta_0) \end{aligned}$$

We now express the solution by the Green functions

$$\begin{aligned} S_{0J} &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left[J_\phi \int dx' dy' G_{\phi\eta}(x, y; x', y') J_\eta(x', y') \right. \\ &\quad \left. + J_\eta \int dx' dy' G_{\eta\phi}(x, y; x', y') J_\phi(x', y') \right] \quad (175) \end{aligned}$$

which can be written using the convention introduced above

$$S_{0J} = \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \int dx' dy' J_i(x, y) G_{ij}(x, y; x', y') J_k(x', y') \quad (176)$$

We return to the generating functional

$$\begin{aligned} Z[\mathbf{J}] &= \exp \left(\beta \int dx dy \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\eta} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\phi} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_\phi} \right) \exp(S_{0J}) \quad (177) \\ &= \exp \left(\int dx dy C_{ijk} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_i} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_j} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_k} \right) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \int dx' dy' J_i(x, y) G_{ij}(x, y; x', y') J_k(x', y') \right] \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced, for uniformity of notation

$$C_{ijk}(x, y) \equiv \beta \delta_{i\eta} \delta_{j\phi} \delta_{k\phi} \quad (178)$$

This is a classical framework for diagrammatic expansion.

In general the functional approach is well suited for statistical problems where the statistical ensemble of the realization of the system's configurations is produced by the effect of a noise or by a random choice of initial condition, as explained in MSR and Jensen. In the most usual case, where there is a noise acting on the system, using the (known) statistical properties of the noise means implicitly that we make a change of variables, from the field ϕ to the noise ζ and this introduces a Jacobian,

$$\mathcal{J}[\phi] = \mathcal{D}[\zeta] / \mathcal{D}[\phi] \quad (179)$$

The Jacobian cancels all the diagrams that correspond to the *vacuum* to *vacuum* transitions, or equal-coordinates correlations. For this reason it is not mentioned. This is explained in Appendix B.

When applying the operator to the second exponential we have to remind that we need at least two open ends with currents J_ϕ since we intend to determine the spectrum. On the other hand, it is clear that any open end with isolated either J_ϕ or J_η would vanish after taking the currents to zero.

The first term is naturally the diffusion driven by the random rise and decay of marginally stable modes, represented here as a noise.

The next term that is useful consists of the product of two vertex-like operators applied on a product of four propagator-like terms

$$J_{i_1} G_{i_1 i_2} C_{i_2 i_3 i_4} G_{i_4 i_5} G_{i_3 i_6} C_{i_5 i_6 i_7} G_{i_7 i_1} J_{i_1} \quad (180)$$

Obviously, this is the *one-loop* diagram. We will derivate to the first and last factors (currents) so that, more explicitly, its structure is

$$G_{\phi\eta} C_{\eta\phi\phi} G_{\phi\phi} G_{\phi\phi} C_{\phi\phi\eta} G_{\eta\phi} \quad (181)$$

We can represent the Green function by its Fourier transform

$$(\Delta - \alpha_s) G(x, y; x', y') = -\delta(x - x') \delta(y - y') \quad (182)$$

$$G(x, y; x', y') = \int dk_x dk_y \frac{1}{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \alpha_s} \times \exp[-ik_x(x - x')] \exp[-ik_y(y - y')] \quad (183)$$

We obtain the propagators for the coupling between the field ϕ and its dual η

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\phi\eta}(x, y; x', y') &= \int dk_x dk_y \frac{1}{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \alpha_s} \\ &\quad \times \exp[-ik_x(x - x')] \exp[-ik_y(y - y')] \\ &= G_{\eta\phi}(x, y; x', y') \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\phi\phi}(x, y) &= 2D \int dx'' dy'' G_{\phi\eta}(x, y; x'', y'') G_{\eta\phi}(x'', y''; x', y') \\ &= \int dk_x dk_y \frac{1}{(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \alpha_s)^2} \\ &\quad \times \exp[-ik_x(x - x')] \exp[-ik_y(y - y')] \end{aligned} \quad (185)$$

The intermediate integration over space (x'', y'') yields equality of the Fourier variables of the two Green functions. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{\phi\phi} &= 2D (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \alpha_s)^{-2} \\ \tilde{G}_{\phi\eta} &= \tilde{G}_{\eta\phi} = (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \alpha_s)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

The one-loop term is expressed as two integrals over the intermediate momenta at the two vertices where we have a product of three Green functions with the vertex. Conservation of momentum in the loop and overall conservation of the diagram (which means that the \mathbf{k} at input line must be the same at the output line) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi(x, y) \phi(x', y') \rangle \\ &= \int dk_x dk_y \langle \phi\phi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \exp[-ik_x(x - x') - ik_y(y - y')] \\ &= \frac{2D}{(\mathbf{k}^2 + \alpha_s)^2} \\ &\quad + \int d\mathbf{k} \Gamma \int d\mathbf{p} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \alpha_s} \beta \frac{2D}{(\mathbf{p}^2 + \alpha_s)^2} \frac{2D}{[(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2 + \alpha_s]^2} \beta \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \alpha_s} \end{aligned} \quad (187)$$

The coefficient Γ represents the multiplicity of this diagram and factors of normalization.

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi\phi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= \Gamma (2D\beta)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \alpha_s} \right)^2 \int d\mathbf{p} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{p}^2 + \alpha_s)^2} \frac{1}{[(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2 + \alpha_s]^2} \\ &= \Gamma (2D\beta)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \alpha_s} \right)^2 \int d\mathbf{p} \frac{1}{[(\mathbf{k}/2 - \mathbf{p})^2 + \alpha_s]^2} \frac{1}{[(\mathbf{k}/2 + \mathbf{p})^2 + \alpha_s]^2} \end{aligned} \quad (188)$$

We transform the integral

$$I \equiv \int p dp d\theta \frac{1}{[\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}^2/4 + \alpha_s]^2} \times \frac{1}{[\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}^2/4 + \alpha_s]^2} \quad (189)$$

Now we introduce the variables that will replace the constant terms

$$b \equiv \mathbf{k}^2/4 + \alpha_s \quad (190)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} &= kp \cos \theta \\ &\equiv up \end{aligned} \quad (191)$$

The integral becomes

$$I = \int p dp d\theta \frac{1}{[p^2 - up + b]^2} \frac{1}{[p^2 + up + b]^2} \quad (192)$$

We write the denominator in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & [p^2 - up + b]^2 [p^2 + up + b]^2 \\ &= \left[(p^2 + b)^2 - u^2 p^2 \right]^2 \\ &= [p^4 + 2p^2 b + b^2 - u^2 p^2]^2 \\ &= [p^4 + (2b - u^2) p^2 + b^2]^2 \\ &= (p^4 + 2\gamma p^2 + \delta)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (193)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 2\gamma &\equiv 2b - u^2 \\ \delta &\equiv b^2 \end{aligned} \quad (194)$$

The integral becomes

$$I = \int d\theta \int_0^\infty \frac{p dp}{(p^4 + 2\gamma p^2 + \delta)^2} \quad (195)$$

we make the substitution

$$p^2 \rightarrow x \quad (196)$$

$$I = \int d\theta \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + 2\gamma x + \delta)^2} \quad (197)$$

The integration over the intermediate momentum is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^2 + 2\gamma x + \delta)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\delta - \gamma^2)^{3/2}} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\delta - \gamma^2}} \right] + \left(-\frac{\gamma}{2\delta} \right) \frac{1}{\delta - \gamma^2} \end{aligned} \quad (198)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= b - u^2/2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [k^2 (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta) + 4\alpha_s] \end{aligned} \quad (199)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\delta - \gamma^2}} &= \frac{b - u^2/2}{|u| \sqrt{b - u^2/4}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^2 (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta) + 4\alpha_s}{k |\cos \theta| \sqrt{k^2 \sin^2 \theta + 4\alpha_s}} \end{aligned} \quad (200)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta - \gamma^2 &= u^2 \left(b - \frac{u^2}{4} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} k^2 \cos^2 \theta (k^2 \sin^2 \theta + \alpha_s) \end{aligned} \quad (201)$$

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} = 4 \frac{k^2 (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta) + 4\alpha_s}{(k^2 + 4\alpha_s)^2} \quad (202)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int pdpd\theta \frac{1}{[\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}^2/4 + \alpha_s]^2} \frac{1}{[\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}^2/4 + \alpha_s]^2} \\ &= \int d\theta \left\{ \frac{8}{[k^2 \cos^2 \theta (k^2 \sin^2 \theta + \alpha_s)]^{3/2}} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{k^2 (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta) + 4\alpha_s}{2k |\cos \theta| \sqrt{k^2 \sin^2 \theta + 4\alpha_s}} \right] \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{8}{k^2 \cos^2 \theta (k^2 \sin^2 \theta + \alpha_s)} \frac{k^2 (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta) + 4\alpha_s}{(k^2 + 4\alpha_s)^2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (203)$$

We can show that the integral is not singular at the limit

$$\cos \theta \rightarrow 0 \quad (204)$$

so that the integration over θ is safe.

As order of magnitude the integral is dominated by terms like

$$\sim \Gamma(2D\beta)^2 \frac{1}{k^2 (k^2 + \alpha_s)^{3/2}} \quad (205)$$

while the diffusive part is

$$\sim \frac{2D}{(k^2 + \alpha_s)^2} \quad (206)$$

After this we obtain for the turbulent background field

$$\langle \phi \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}^{turbulence} = a \frac{2D}{(k^2 + \alpha_s)^2} + b (2D\beta)^2 \frac{1}{(k^2 + \alpha_s)^2} \frac{1}{k^2 (k^2 + \alpha_s)^{3/2}} \quad (207)$$

where we have collected the factors in two numbers, a and b .

9 Summary

The correlation of the nonlinear (to one-loop) field of the turbulence, including the scattering from random vortices are given by Eq.(207) where b is a constant. We can now collect the results of the analysis, including the statistics of the dilute gas of vortices and the fluctuating form of the vortices, obtained in the first part.

k^{-2}	gas of vortices (from $N = 1$ to closely packed)
k^{-4}	background turbulence + vortices $\frac{2D}{(k^2 + \alpha_s)^2}$ weak interaction of vortices K_0
$\sim S(\mathbf{k}) k^{-2}$ (weak ρ_s^2/A)	geometry of c.s., <i>via</i> interaction
$S(\mathbf{k}) [1 + f(\mathbf{k})]$	perturbed c.s., <i>via</i> rare events of large N
$b (2D\beta)^2 \frac{1}{(k^2 + \alpha_s)^2} \frac{1}{k^2 (k^2 + \alpha_s)^{3/2}}$	one-loop mode coupling

We see two ways in which these results can be useful.

First, the combination of various exponential dependences from the listed contributions will result in an overall dependence with exponents that may be compared with the experiments or numerical simulations [19], [20], [21], [22], [24]. The weight of each contribution is dependent on factors like the

shape of the vortices (including the spatial extension compared to the area A), amplitude of the vortices, strength of the random drive (D). Also in the problem enters as parameter the function of distribution on the plane (assumed here uniform). The energy of interaction between vortices may be reconsidered along the example of vortices in superfluids.

Second, the spectrum can be seen as dominated in different spectral domains by one or another of these contributions. This is compatible with the known fact that the spectrum has regions with different exponents μ .

In both these ways we have to solve an inverse problem, starting from the experimental (or numerical) spectrum and using the above list to map the exponential form to a physical process which may have been at its origin.

Various extensions of this treatment are possible. One can introduce a chemical potential for the description of the statistical equilibrium consisting of generation and suppression of coherent vortices. There are treatments of this type for the conversion of the global rotation of superfluids into localised vortices, an example that may also be useful for the consideration of the zonal flow saturation in tokamak, besides the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability and the collisions.

The treatment by generating functional allows in principle determination of statistical correlations at any high order desired. However, while the functional derivatives can easily produce the n -th order cumulant, we must be sure that the generating functional has been calculated with the necessary precision, or, in other words, we must be sure that we have incorporated the physical origin of these correlations. This method should be accompanied by the more standard analysis of closure of the hierarchy of equations for the correlations.

As a final remark, the physical model adopted in the present treatment may be extended to cover more complex regimes, with, of course, a certain increase in the analytical work.

Acknowledgments. The authors gratefully acknowledge many discussions with Professor David Montgomery. This work has been partly supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The authors are grateful for this support and for the hospitality of Professor S.-I. Itoh and Professor M. Yagi.

10 Appendix A : physics of the equation

It is of interest to study a realistic two-dimensional model, like Hasegawa-Wakatani or similar. However we need for the beginning a simpler equation and if possible with a vortex solution with known analytical expression.

A possibility is the equation for the ion drift instabilities but here we must specify the scales.

Consider the equations for the ITG model in two-dimensions with adiabatic electrons:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_i n_i) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_i}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_i &= \frac{e}{m_i} (-\nabla \phi) + \frac{e}{m_i} \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}\end{aligned}\quad (208)$$

We assume the quasineutrality

$$n_i \approx n_e \quad (209)$$

and the Boltzmann distribution of the electrons along the magnetic field line

$$n_e = n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{|e| \phi}{T_e}\right) \quad (210)$$

In general the electron temperature can be a function of the radial variable

$$T_e \equiv T_e(x) \quad (211)$$

The velocity of the ion fluid is perpendicular on the magnetic field and is composed of the diamagnetic, electric and polarization drift terms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_i &= \mathbf{v}_{\perp i} \\ &= \mathbf{v}_{dia,i} + \mathbf{v}_E + \mathbf{v}_{pol,i} \\ &= \frac{T_i}{|e| B} \frac{1}{n_i} \frac{dn_i}{dr} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \\ &\quad + \frac{-\nabla \phi \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}}{B} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{B \Omega_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla_{\perp}) \right) \nabla_{\perp} \phi\end{aligned}\quad (212)$$

The diamagnetic velocity will be neglected. Introducing this velocity into the continuity equation, one obtains an equation for the electrostatic potential ϕ .

Before writing this equation we introduce new dimensional units for the variables.

$$\phi^{phys} \rightarrow \phi' = \frac{|e| \phi^{phys}}{T_e} \quad (213)$$

$$(x^{phys}, y^{phys}) \rightarrow (x', y') = \left(\frac{x^{phys}}{\rho_s}, \frac{y^{phys}}{\rho_s} \right) \quad (214)$$

$$t^{phys} \rightarrow t' = t^{phys} \Omega_i \quad (215)$$

The new variables (t, x, y) and the function ϕ are non-dimensional. In the following the *primes* are not written.

10.1 The equation

With these variables the equation obtained is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (1 - \nabla_{\perp}^2) \phi \\ & - (-\nabla_{\perp} \phi \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot v_d \\ & + (-\nabla_{\perp} \phi \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_T \phi \\ & + [(-\nabla_{\perp} \phi \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot \nabla_{\perp}] (-\nabla_{\perp}^2 \phi) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (216)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_d & \equiv -\nabla_{\perp} \ln n_0 - \nabla_{\perp} \ln T_e \\ \mathbf{v}_T & \equiv -\nabla_{\perp} \ln T_e \end{aligned} \quad (217)$$

(This is Eq.(8) from the paper [10]).

10.2 No temperature gradient

From the various versions of the nonlinear drift equation, (in particular the Hasegawa-Mima equation) we choose the radially symmetric Flierl-Petviashvili soliton equation:

$$(1 - \rho_s^2 \nabla_{\perp}^2) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} + v_d \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} - v_d \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (218)$$

where $\rho_s = c_s/\Omega_i$, $c_s = (T_e/m_i)^{1/2}$ and the potential is scaled as $\varphi = \frac{L_n}{L_{Te}} \frac{e\Phi}{T_e}$. Here L_n and L_T are respectively the gradient lengths of the density and temperature. The velocity is the diamagnetic velocity $v_d = \frac{\rho_s c_s}{L_n}$. The condition for the validity of this equation are: $(k_x \rho_s) (k \rho_s)^2 \ll \eta_e \frac{\rho_s}{L_n}$, where $\eta_e = \frac{L_n}{L_{Te}}$.

The exact solution of the equation is

$$\varphi_s(y, t; y_0, u) = -3 \left(\frac{u}{v_d} - 1 \right) \sec^2 \left[\frac{1}{2\rho_s} \left(1 - \frac{v_d}{u} \right)^{1/2} (y - y_0 - ut) \right] \quad (219)$$

where the velocity is restricted to the intervals $u > v_d$ or $u < 0$. In the Ref. [14] the radial extension of the solution is estimated as: $(\Delta x)^2 \sim \rho_s L_n$. In our work we shall assume that u is very close to v_d , $u \gtrsim v_d$ (i.e. the solitons have small amplitudes).

11 Appendix B : Connection between the MSR formalism and Onsager-Machlup

In our approach the most natural way of proceeding with a stochastic differential equation is to use the MSR type reasoning in the Jensen formulation. The equation is discretized in space and time and selected with δ functions in an ensemble of functions (actually in sets of arbitrary numbers at every point of discretization). The result is a functional integral. There is however a particular aspect that needs careful analysis, as mentioned in the previous Subsection. It is the problem of the Jacobian associated with the δ functions. This problem is discussed in Ref.[34] and for consistency we include here the essential of their original treatment.

The equation they analyse is in the time domain and is presented in most general form as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_j(t)}{\partial t} = -(\Gamma_0)_{jk} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi_k(t)} + V_j[\phi(t)] + \theta_j$$

where the number of stochastic equations is N , H is functional of the fields, V_j is the streaming term which obeys a current-conserving type relation

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_j} V_j[\phi] \exp\{-H[\phi]\} = 0$$

The noise is θ_j .

The following generating functional can be written

$$Z_\theta = \int D[\phi_j(t)] \exp \int dt [l_j \phi_j(t)] \prod_{j,t} \delta \left(\frac{\partial \phi_j(t)}{\partial t} + K_j[\phi(t)] - \theta_j \right) J[\phi]$$

the functions $l_j(t)$ are currents,

$$K_j[\phi(t)] \equiv -(\Gamma_0)_{jk} \frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi_k(t)} + V_j[\phi(t)]$$

and $J[\phi]$ is the Jacobian associated to the Dirac δ functions in each point of discretization.

The Jacobian can be written

$$J = \det \left[\left(\delta_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta K_j [\phi]}{\delta \phi_k} \right) \delta(t - t') \right]$$

Up to a multiplicative constant

$$J = \exp \left(\text{Tr} \ln \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\delta K}{\delta \phi} \right) \frac{\delta(t - t')}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta(t - t')} \right] \right)$$

or

$$J = \exp \left(\text{Tr} \ln \left[1 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{-1} \frac{\delta K(t)}{\delta \phi(t')} \right] \right)$$

Since the operator $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{-1}$ is retarded, only the lowest order term survives after taking the trace

$$J = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int dt \frac{\delta K_j [\phi(t)]}{\delta \phi_j(t)} \right]$$

The factor 1/2 comes from value of the Θ function at zero.

In the treatment which preserves the dual function $\widehat{\phi}$ associated to ϕ in the functional, there is a part of the action

$$\widehat{\phi} K [\phi]$$

Then a $\widehat{\phi}$ and a ϕ of the same coupling term from $\widehat{\phi} K [\phi]$ close onto a loop. Since $G_{\widehat{\phi}\phi}$ is retarded, all these contributions vanish except the one with a single propagator line. This cancels exactly, in all orders, the part coming from the Jacobian.

Then it is used to ignore all such loops and together with the Jacobian.

In conclusion we can compare the two starting points in a functional approach: The one that uses *dual functions* $\phi(t)$ and $\chi(t)$, closer in spirit to MSR; And the approach based on Onsager-Machlup functional, traditionally employed for the determination of the probabilities. Either we keep $\chi(t)$ and ignore the Jacobian (the first approach) or integrate from the beginning over $\chi(t)$ and include the Jacobian. The approaches are equivalent but we have followed the first one.

References

- [1] R. H. Kraichnan and D. Montgomery, Rep. Prog. Phys. **43**, 547 (1980).

- [2] F. Spineanu and M. Vlad, Phys. Rev. Letters **84** (2000) 4854.
- [3] F. Spineanu and M. Vlad, Phys. Rev. **E67** (2003) 046309.
- [4] F. Spineanu and M. Vlad, Comments Plasma Phys. **18**, 115 (1997).
- [5] F. Spineanu and M. Vlad, *arXiv.org/physics/0501020*.
- [6] T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70** 323 (1998).
- [7] C. Greengard and E. Thoman, Phys. Fluids **31** (1988) 2810.
- [8] F. A. Schaposnik, Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 1183.
- [9] V. P. Lakhin, A. B. Mikhailovskii and O. G. Onishcenko, Phys. Lett. **A119** (1987) 348.
- [10] E.W. Laedke and K.H. Spatschek, Phys. Fluids **29** (1986) 133.
- [11] E.W. Laedke and K.H. Spatschek, Phys. Fluids **31** (1988) 1493.
- [12] K. H. Spatschek, E. W. Laedke, Chr. Marquardt, S. Musher and H. Wenk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 3027.
- [13] W. Horton and A. Hasegawa, Chaos **4** (1994) 227.
- [14] J.D. Meiss and W. Horton, Phys. Fluids **25** (1982) 1838.
- [15] J.D. Meiss and W. Horton, Phys. Fluids **26** (1983) 990.
- [16] M. Kono and W. Horton, Phys. Fluids **B3** (1991) 3255.
- [17] J. Nycander, V.P. Pavlenko, L. Stenflo, Phys. Fluids **30** (1987) 1367.
- [18] W. Horton, T. Tajima, T. Kamimura, Phys. Fluids **30** (1987) 3485.
- [19] R. Kinney, J. C. McWilliams and T. Tajima, Phys. Plasmas **2** (1995) 3623.
- [20] R. Kinney, T. Tajima, J. C. McWilliams and N. Petviashvili, Phys. Plasmas **1** (1994) 260.
- [21] C.E. Seyler, Jr., Yehuda Salu, D. Montgomery and G. Knorr, Phys. Fluids **18** (1975) 803.
- [22] W.M. Mathaeus, W.T. Stribling, D. Martinez, S. Oughton, D. Montgomery, Physica **D51** (1991) 531.

- [23] I. Cook, J.B. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **28** (1972) 82.
- [24] Y. K. Tsang, E. Ott, T.M. Antonsen, Jr., P.N. Guzdar, *arxiv.org/cond-mat/0503424*.
- [25] G. K. Morikawa, Journal of Meteorology **17** (1960) 148.
- [26] H. J. Stewart, Q. Appl. Math. **1** (1943) 262.
- [27] P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia and H. A. Rose, Phys. Rev. **A8** (1973) 423.
- [28] R. J. Jensen, J. Statistical Mech. **25** (1981) 183.
- [29] J. A. Krommes, in *Handbook of Plasma Physics* edited by A. A. Galeev and R. N. Sudan (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), Vol. 2.
- [30] J. A. Krommes, Phys. Rep. **360** (2002), 1.
- [31] F. Spineanu, M. Vlad and J. H. Misguich, J. Plasma Physics **51** (1994) 113.
- [32] F. Spineanu and M. Vlad, J. Plasma Physics **54** (1995) 333.
- [33] F. Spineanu and M. Vlad, Physics of Plasmas, **4**, 2106 (1997).
- [34] C. De Dominicis and L. Peliti, Phys. Rev. **B18** (1978) 353.
- [35] D. J. Amit, *Field Theory, the Renormalization Group and Critical Phenomena*, Singapore: World Scientific, (1984).
- [36] V. I. Karpman, Physica Scripta **20** (1979) 462-478.
- [37] L. Jacobs and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. **B19** (1979) 4486.
- [38] X. N. Su, W. Horton and P. J. Morrison, Phys. Fluids **B3**, 921 (1991).
- [39] M.D. Kruskal and N.J. Zabusky, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Annual Rep. MATT-Q-21, Princeton, N.J. 301.
- [40] B.-G. Hong, Duk-In Choi and W. Horton, Jr., Phys. Fluids **29**, 1872 (1986).
- [41] A. Hasegawa and K. Mima, Phys. Fluids **21** (1978) 87.
- [42] W. Y. Zhang and R. Balescu, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **29**, 993 (1987); Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **29**, 1019 (1987).

- [43] P. W. Terry and P.H. Diamond, Phys. Fluids **28**, 1419 (1985).
- [44] W. Horton, Phys.Rep. **192** (1990) 1.