

Derivation of Quantum Field Dynamics

Gordon Chalmers

e-mail: gordon@quartz.shango.com

Abstract

The perturbative dynamics of quantum field theories is described by a recursive expansion similar to the well known loop expansion. The equivalent formulation based on low-energy dynamics via an expansion in derivatives is well known in the literature; this is described by terms from low energy to high energy. The coefficients of these terms are presented in a simplified algebraic manner. In general, the dynamics of any bare Lagrangian, including additional higher dimension terms, is found by iteration in a discrete algorithm. Inversion of the quantized dynamics to the fundamental theory is possible.

The dynamics of quantum field theories in general is typically examined within the loop expansion. The integrals are complicated, and the symmetries of the theory are not always manifest in this formalism. For example, the well known low energy solution in two derivatives of supersymmetric field and string theories can be examined via holomorphy, which is useful for the understanding of phase transitions. The approach in derivatives extends this approach to higher energies, various regimes in coupling, and shows relations between seemingly diverse theories [1]-[11].

The iterative formalism in [1]-[11] is examined here in more detail and simplified with respect to the derivation of the coefficients. The coefficients are generally derived for models in particle physics, condensed matter models, and partially in string theories (in which a question regarding the coefficients that describe the corners of moduli space remain).

A solution to the coefficients of the derivative and coupling expansion allows a determination of relations between them and to coefficients in other theories including holography, weak-weak dualities, and strong-weak dualities. The accurate modeling of experimental phenomena requires the accurate determination of these coefficients; in principle, the quantization of a bare action with the inclusion of higher dimension operators (and string fields) allows for a perfect match with experimental phenomena.

Furthermore, the determination of the coefficients of the effective action, without integrals, but solely in terms of hidden symmetries will permit an extension of the determination to more complicated theories, such as strings in curved backgrounds; the calculations in these examples requires terms in the effective action containing spin degrees of freedom of arbitrarily high number.

Consider for example, the prototype scalar field theory described by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\phi\partial^\mu\partial_\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{3!}\phi^3 + \frac{\lambda_4}{4!}\phi^4 . \quad (1)$$

The inclusion of possible higher derivative terms,

$$\mathcal{L}' = \frac{\lambda_6}{6!}\frac{\phi^6}{\Lambda^2} + \dots \quad (2)$$

may be placed in the initial Lagrangian. This inclusion is typically examined in the renormalization group flow, but also has a consequence in the modeling of the phenomena as found for example in experiment (e.g. condensed matter or particle dynamics in high energy theory including the mass derivation). Mathematically these terms are of also interest.

The bare theory in (1) and (2) may be examined in the usual quantum expansion. The 'tree-level' or classical vertices are the usual Feynman rules. However, the derivative expansion vertices are derived via expanding the classical scattering. These terms are defined by the kinematic invariants as,

$$\lambda_n^{(p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{nn})} = \lambda_{n,0}^{(p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{nn})} \prod s_{ij}^{p_{ij}} \quad (3)$$

through the series of numbers n and p_{ij} . The case of $p_{ij} = p_{00}$ is a scale $\Lambda^{p_{00}}$. In the case of multiple masses, a cutoff, or a gravitational scale, the zero index p_{00} is further indexed to $p_{00,a}$. The redundancy in p_{ij} via momentum conservation is not included. The non-analytic terms required by unitarity, that is, the logarithms such as $\ln s_{ij}$ are constructed via the usual perturbative unitarity relation $\text{Im}S = S^\dagger S$. These terms are not examined in this work.

These on-shell vertices are, for the mentioned scalar field theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3^{(0)} &= \lambda_3 & \lambda_4^{(0)} &= \lambda_3^2/m^2 + \lambda_4 \\ \lambda_4^{(n,0,\dots,0)} &= \lambda_3^2(-s_{12})^n/m^{2+2n} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for example. The general vertex is found via the expansion of the classical Feynman graphs, or the classical scattering.

The full vertex of the prescribed momenta terms including the coupling expansions of a ϕ^4 theory,

$$g_n^{p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{nn}} = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1+g} \alpha_{n,g}^{p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{nn}} \quad (5)$$

with the a parameters rational numbers. Due to momentum conservation there are relations between the parameters $a_{p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{nn}}$.

The fundamental iteration is accomplished via the sewing procedure as described in [4]-[11]. The integrals are simple free-field ones in x -space, and generate an infinite series of relations between the parameters of the coupling expansion $\alpha_{n,g}^{p_{ij}}$ in (5). These relations in theories with the symmetry ϕ to $-\phi$ are,

$$\sum_q^{p_{ij}} \alpha_{n,q}^{p_{ij}} \lambda^{(n-2)/2+q} = \sum_{i,j,p;l_{ij},n_{ij},m_{ij}} \alpha_{n+p,i}^{l_{ij}} \alpha_{n+p,j}^{n_{ij}} \lambda^{n+p+i+j-2} I_{l_{ij},n_{ij}}^{p_{ij}} \quad (6)$$

The indices i, j are exemplified below. The coefficients $I_{l_{ij},m_{ij}}^{p_{ij}}$ are defined by the momentum expansion of the integrals

$$J_{\tilde{l}_{ij}, \tilde{m}_{ij}}^{\sigma, \tilde{p}_{ij}} = \int d^d k \prod_{a=1}^p \frac{1}{(k - k_{\sigma(a)})^2 + m^2} \prod s_{ij}^{\tilde{l}_{ij} + \tilde{m}_{ij}} |^{p_{ij}} , \quad (7)$$

with \tilde{l}_{ij} and \tilde{m}_{ij} parameterizing a subset of the lines of the vertex lines which are contracted inside the loop. The integrals are symmetrized over the the external lines in the formula (6); there are n_1 and n_2 external lines on each side of the graph and b parameterizes a subset of these numbers (e.g. $n_1 = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $n_2 = 5, 6, 7, 8$ and $b = 3, 4, 5, 6$; the l_{ij} and m_{ij} parameterize the kinematics associated with the external and internal lines. The expansion of the integral in (7) in the momenta generate the coefficients p_{ij} ; the set of numbers $\sigma(a)$ parameterizing the subset of numbers of the two vertices (forming an integral with n external lines; the numbers $\sigma(a)$ label numbers beyond the external lines $1, \dots, n_1$ and $n_1 + 1, \dots, n$) is actually irrelevant in the final result to the formula in (6); this property lends to a group theory interpretation of the final result in terms of the coefficients

$$I_{l_{ij}, n_{ij}}^{\sigma, p_{ij}} , \quad (8)$$

after summing the permutations. The numbers i, j in l_{ij} and n_{ij} span 1 to m (including internal lines) and those in p_{ij} span 1 to n :

$$l_{ij} = (l_{ij}, 0, \dots, 0, l_{ij}) \quad n_{ij} = (0, \dots, 0, n_{ij}, \dots, n_{ij}, n_{ij}, \dots, n_{ij}) , \quad (9)$$

and

$$p_{ij} = (p_{ij}, \dots, p_{ij}) . \quad (10)$$

This notation of l_{ij} , m_{ij} , and p_{ij} is used to setup a (pseudo-conformal) group theory interpretation of the scattering.

The details of the expansion of the integrals in (7) depend on the selection of the internal lines found via the momenta of the vertices

$$\lambda_n^{(p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{nn})} \quad (11)$$

on either side of the double vertex graph. Although the \tilde{l}_{ij} , \tilde{m}_{ij} , and \tilde{p}_{ij} depend on the details of the contractions and sums of the lines of the individual vertices, the actual coefficients of the iteration, i.e. $I_{l_{ij}, n_{ij}}^{p_{ij}}$, are functions only of the vertex parameters. The details of the expansion and the contractions of the tensors in the integrals (7)

are parameterized by p_{ij} , which label the momentum expansion of the integrals. The coefficients p_{ij} range from 0 to ∞ , in accordance with the momentum expansion of the massive theory.

Although the coefficients $I_{l_{ij}, n_{ij}}^{p_{ij}}$ arise from the integral expansion, they also have a group theory description. The dynamics of the expansion are dictated via these coefficients for an arbitrary initial condition of the bare Lagrangian.

The iteration of the coefficients results in the simple expression,

$$\alpha_{n,q}^{m_{ij}} = \sum_{i,j,p;l_{ij},n_{ij}} \alpha_{n+p,i}^{l_{ij}} \alpha_{n+p,j}^{n_{ij}} I_{l_{ij},n_{ij}}^{m_{ij}} . \quad (12)$$

The sums are over the number of internal lines p , the powers of the shared couplings i and j (in ϕ^4),

$$n + q = 2(n + p) - 1 + i + j \quad q = n + 2p - 1 + i + j \quad (13)$$

and the numbers of momenta l_{ij} and n_{ij} (some of which are within the integral). The parameters m_{ij} label the external momenta, interpreted group theoretically through the coefficient I .

As an example of the procedure, consider the lowest order term $\alpha_{4;1}^{m_{ij}}$. It is found via

$$\alpha_{4;1}^{m_{ij}} = \sum_{l_{ij}, n_{ij}} \alpha_{4;0}^{l_{ij}} \alpha_{4;0}^{n_{ij}} I_{l_{ij}, n_{ij}}^{m_{ij}} , \quad (14)$$

with a summation of l_{ij} and n_{ij} , for example through the internal lines. As an example,

$$m = (c_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, c_2) \quad l = (a_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, a_2) \quad (15)$$

$$n = (b_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, b_2) \quad (16)$$

with c_1 and c_2 satisfying $a_i + b_i = c_i$ by dimensional analysis in this four-point example with two internal lines. The four-point function entering into the right-hand side of the equation is the tree-level vertex, and by dimensional analysis the momenta of the

vertices have to be conserved. There are more general momenta configurations than that in (16) that should be included in the summation.

In general the coefficient derivation of the theory is determined by the iteration algebraically of the formula in (22). The non-analytic terms, for unitarity reasons, are derivable from these coefficients via $\text{Im}S = S^\dagger S$.

The general spin and gauge content may be included by adding more indices on the coefficients and in the iteration. These indices in gauge theory are the spin content and the momentum content; the general term contains contractions of the spin fields (e.g. the polarizations) with other spin fields and with momenta. In principle, the masslessness of the particles (e.g. in gauge theory) is included by letting the indices l_{ij} , m_{ij} and p_{ij} be negative. The tensors and the integrals are more complicated in this case.

The general contraction of the spin fields with momenta is accomplished via spin 1/2 fields, e.g.,

$$\varepsilon_i \cdot k_j \psi^\alpha k_\alpha^\dot{\psi} \psi_{\dot{\alpha}} \dots \quad (17)$$

The general gauge theory numerator contains contractions of spin 0, 1/2, and 1 terms, and is represented via traces of terms containing the fermions and gauge bosons,

$$\text{tr} (s_1 k) \quad \text{tr} (s_{1/2} k s_1 k s_{1/2}) \quad (18)$$

The general term may be labeled group theoretically (the Lorentz representations contracted with momenta), via the permutations

$$(s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_n) \quad (\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, \bar{s}_3, \dots, \bar{s}_n) \quad (19)$$

together with the momenta,

$$\begin{aligned} k_\sigma &= (k_{\sigma(1)}, k_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, k_{\sigma(n)}) \quad , \\ k_{\bar{\sigma}} &= (k_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, k_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, \dots, k_{\bar{\sigma}(n)}) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

which are labeled via the series in $\sigma(i)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(i)$. In the case of momenta in terms of the spin variables s_i , the variable $s_i = 0$ is used. The contractions of the polarization

vectors ε^μ are split into the representation $(1/2, 1/2)$ via the indices ε^α and $\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}}$ (this is useful in the spinor helicity formalism). The trace terms are formulated via two additional vectors $t = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$ and $\bar{t} = (\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_3, \dots)$ that contract

These fields and momenta spanned by s, \bar{s} and $k_\sigma, k_{\bar{\sigma}}$ are contracted via the tensors t and \bar{t} . The fields s_i are labeled by the momenta k_i , and are contracted in a cyclic manner associated via the tensors t and \bar{t} (e.g. $t = (1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ for two spinors at positions 1 and 4 and 5 and 8).

In practice the spinor helicity basis is used to simplify calculations, and a judicious basis of reference momenta is chosen to simplify the end result. In this case, instead of the fields, the reference momenta and line factors are inserted in place of the fields [12].

The group theory representations on the propagating fields are also contracted in general with multiple traces,

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \dots (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) , \quad (21)$$

with g_i the representation and h the trace term in a subleading context; for example a term such as $\text{Tr}(T_{a_1} T_{a_2} \dots T_{a_m}) \text{Tr}(T_{a_{m+1}} \dots T_{a_n})$ corresponds to $h = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots 2)$.

In this manner the iteration takes the form,

$$\alpha_{n,q;s_a,\bar{s}_a,g_b}^{m_{ij}} = \sum_{i,j,p;l_{ij},n_{ij}} \alpha_{n+p,i;s_a^{(1)},\bar{s}_a^{(1)},g_b^{(1)},l_{ij}} \alpha_{n+p,j;s_a^{(2)},\bar{s}_a^{(2)},g_b^{(2)},n_{ij}} I_{l_{ij},n_{ij};s,s^{(1)},s^{(2)};g,g^{(1)},g^{(2)};m_{ij}} . \quad (22)$$

A direct calculation of the integrals generates this formula, after taking the product of the two general vertices and summing the internal lines. However, a group theory representation of the coefficients I is more elegant. The interpretation of the quantum wavefunction overlaps, i.e. I , would permit a simpler derivation, and also generalize to generic theories and possibly string theories. The coefficients I are determined by 1) the volume region in which the integrals are defined, and 2) via the lattice structure pertinent to condensed matter models.

For practical purposes, the kinematics of the scattering requires the specification of the incoming and outgoing states, i.e. the helicity and four-vectors of the particle states such as $\psi^\alpha(k) = k^\alpha$ and $\varepsilon(k)_\mu^\pm$. These line factors are typically utilized with the gauge invariance of the amplitudes via the spinor helicity method [12]. The choice

of the reference momenta associated with the polarizations of the gauge bosons is important to simplify both the calculations and the end result of the amplitudes.

It is possible that the best choice for an immediate simplification of the terms in the on-shell effective action is dictated by a function of the quantum numbers specifying the terms in the derivative expansion. Of course, the terms in the previous pages should be grouped into gauge invariant combination. Each gauge invariant set of terms that contributes to the amplitude can be chosen with a separate set of reference momenta. The simplification of the addition of the individual terms requires momentum conservation and spinor inner product identities (e.g. Fierz identities), which can be very complicated in general.

The simplification and minimization of the use of the possibly large number of Fierz and momenta identities, both within the gauge invariant combinations and between these sets, could be accomplished by the appropriate choice of reference momenta. There is clearly an ideal choice of these auxiliary parameters, for immediate compression of the end result of the amplitude at each order in the coupling; this ideal choice is defined by the least number of these identities required to obtain the most compact result.

The choice of the non-trivial reference momenta in these terms is possibly associated with polynomial equations parameterized by the quantum numbers of the individual terms, with solutions generating the reference momenta choices; for example, a possibility is that one polynomial could specify the polarizations i and auxiliary momenta j via a series of rational number solutions $\sigma(i)/\rho(i)$ via $P_{q_i}(x) = \prod(x - x_j)$ and $x_j = \sigma(i)/\rho(j)$ (with q_i the quantum numbers of the terms in the effective action). Given a set of choices of reference momenta $(\sigma(j), \rho(j))$ for the terms contributing to the amplitude at a given coupling order there is a minimal number $N_{\sigma,\rho}$ of momenta conservation and Fierz identities required to reach the most compact form (fewest number of additions).

Because the recent work on the projective variety twistor generation of the tree and one-loop amplitudes appears to result in more compact forms of the (partial) amplitudes, there is a natural reason to suggest that this polynomial equation(s) is related to the twistor geometry and its instantons.

The interpretation of the polynomials $P_{q_i} = \prod(x - x_j)$ and the numbers $N(\sigma(j), \rho(j))$ could have interesting geometric and number theoretic interpretations attached to gauge theory dynamics, including gravity.

Furthermore, the inversion of the prescribed quantum field coefficients, as for example found in experiment, appears direct via the iteration procedure. There is

by definition a pseudo-linear relation (derived via the iteration) between the bare coefficients λ_j of the classical theory, including the higher derivative interactions, and those of the quantum operators $g_i(\lambda_j)$. The inversion of the formula $g_i(\lambda_j)$ to λ_i requires solving an infinite number of coupled polynomial equations; the solution of which is useful also in pure mathematics. The group theory interpretation of the iteration is of use in this, and potentially leads to a simple formulation of a linear relation between quantum coefficients to bare coefficients including higher derivative interactions.

The generalization to string theory is direct. In this case, there are an infinite number of string fields. The integrals and the analysis are the same, utilizing the tree-level scattering and the same integrals. The corners of the moduli space not included in the 'field'-theory integrals are compensated for via a series of higher dimension operators with 'corner-moduli space' parameters; this appears complicated, but the coefficients are potentially found via a 'symmetry'. The tree-level scattering is determined via the quantum numbers labeling the α parameters, labeling the Lorentz and group theory (the spin, kinematics, and gauge theory).

The computation of the integral coefficients I_q is rather direct and should shed light on hidden symmetries (infinite dimensional of a twisted Virasoro type) of the form,

$$I_{q_1} I_{q_2} = I_{q_3} \tag{23}$$

of the theories. The multiplicative product would also simplify the calculations.

The quantization of branes and extended dimensional membranes (with possible deformations to avoid a continuous spectrum) is relevant to string theory and mathematics. The coefficients of the improved terms required to complete the corners of the moduli space integration in the string target space action derivation show the 2-dimensionality of the string propagation. The same can be said about membrane scattering, i.e. $d > 1$ membranes, and non-standard string propagation such as non-critical, and the corners of the $d > 2$ world-volume moduli space. The geometry and world-sheet action is described by these coefficients, to which there might be a guiding principle to the numbers for similar world-sheet actions. Also, the general d -dimensional form might provide insight into a potential classification of d -dimensional compact Riemann surfaces.

References

- [1] G. Chalmers, *Masses and Interactions of Nucleons in the Derivative Expansion*.
- [2] G. Chalmers, *Computing $K3$ and $CY-n$ Metrics*.
- [3] G. Chalmers, *Comment on the Riemann Hypothesis*.
- [4] G. Chalmers, hep-th/0209088.
- [5] G. Chalmers, hep-th/0209086.
- [6] G. Chalmers, hep-th/0209075.
- [7] G. Chalmers, hep-th/0209072.
- [8] G. Chalmers, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 046014 (2001).
- [9] G. Chalmers, hep-th/0008162.
- [10] G. Chalmers and J. Erdmenger, Nucl. Phys. B **585**, 517 (2000), hep-th/0005192.
- [11] G. Chalmers, Nucl. Phys. B **580** (2000), hep-th/0001190.
- [12] Mangano, S. Parke, Physics Reports 100 (1991).