

Depolarization Radiation Force. Its Consideration as the Cause of Gravity

J. X. Zheng-Johansson¹, P.-I. Johansson² and R. Lundin³

1. Institute of Fundamental Physics Research, 611 93 Nyköping, Sweden

2. Uppsala University, Studsvik, 611 82 Nyköping, Sweden

3. Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Box 812, 981 28 Kiruna, Sweden

May, 2007

Abstract. We report a first-principles prediction that two charges of masses M_1 and M_2 separated R apart in a dielectric vacuum act on each other always an attractive component force. This attractive force on one charge results as the Lorentz force in the radiation depolarization- and magnetic- fields of the other charge, termed a depolarization (D) radiation (R) Lorentz force, and is in addition to the ordinary repulsive radiation force. The exact solution for the DR force is $F = CM_1M_2/R^2$, an identical formula to Newton's law of gravitation. $C = X_0 e^4 = 4 \pi^2 \epsilon_0^{-2} \rho_1$ is identifiable with Newton's gravitational constant, with X_0 the susceptibility and ρ_1 linear mass density of the vacuum, and the remaining fundamental constants of the usual meaning. The DR force is conveyed by a transverse vacuumic dipole-moment wave traveling at the velocity of light and can penetrate matter freely. In all of respects, the DR force represents a viable cause of Newton's universal gravity.

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 04.20.Cv, 04.30.Db, 11.00.00, 41.60.-m, 41.20.Jb, 96.12.Fe, 04.30.-w 04.30.Db

Since Sir. Isaac Newton discovered in 1686 [1] gravity described by an inverse square law, the cause of this feeble but ubiquitous fundamental force between all bodies has remained a subject of many studies [2]. The question has not been given a satisfactory answer prior to this work. We recently achieved [3a,b] a scheme of basic-particle formation (BPF) and a construction of the vacuum derived based on overall experimental observations. The first-principles' classical mechanics solutions [3a,c] predict a range of particle properties and their physical relations, including a depolarization radiation (DR) force [3f], directly comparable with observations and the established basic laws of physics.

In the present paper we elaborate on the solution with improved clarity and significant extension. The solution for the DR force predicts an inverse square formula identical to Newton's law of gravitation; the proportionality constant is expressed solely by fundamental constants or constants of the medium. As a major extension in this paper we present a quantitative determination of the susceptibility of vacuum.

Consider two basic material particles, $i = 1, 2$ located at $R_1 = 0$ and R_2 along X-axis (FIG. 1); $R = |R_j - R_i|$. The particles are fabricated according to the BPF scheme [3a, g, j], each with an oscillatory charge q_i of zero rest-mass, termed a (single) vaculeon, and the resulting electromagnetic waves. The single vaculeon is at its creation in the vacuum initially endowed with a kinetic energy E_{qi} , and in the potential well due to a dielectric vacuum polarized by the charge's own field, executes an oscillation. This is about fixed site R_i assuming E_{qi} below a threshold value, with an angular frequency ω_{qi} characteristic of E_{qi} and the charge-vacuum interaction. Given the vacuum has only a single energy level as in observation, E_{qi} can not be dissipated except in a pair annihilation. The radiation displacement (out of a total that is predominantly non-radiative), assuming small, will be effectively sinusoidal, $u_{qi}(T) = A_{qi} \sin(\omega_{qi}T)$, with A_{qi} the amplitude. $u_{qi}(T)$ has a specified orientation during a finite time, but will vary in a random fashion over long time under the influence of environmental fields. Except in the context of Eq. (9) later, we shall assume $u_{qi}(T)$ is along the Z-axis for a duration being finite but short compared to the time of measurement of say their interaction forces.

Either charge, say 1, will owing to its oscillation generate electromagnetic waves, being monochromatic for the oscillation about fixed site R_1 . In three dimensions the wave is propagated in radial directions, along radial path $R(R; \theta; \phi)$ at angles θ and ϕ at the Z- and X-axes, see inset (a) of FIG. 1. For the classical radiation in question here, the component radiation electric field E_1 and magnetic field B_1 are given by the solutions to Maxwell's equations, $E_1(R; \theta; \phi; T) = \frac{E_{10j}(t,T)}{R} \sin \theta$. Where

$$E_{10} = \frac{q_1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \ddot{A}_{q1}; \quad (3)$$

$$j_1(R; T) = \sin(\omega_1 T - K_1 R + \phi_1); \quad (4)$$

ϵ_0 is the permittivity of the vacuum and c the speed of light; $\omega_1 = \omega_{q1}$; ω_1 and $K_1 = \omega_1/c$ are the normal mode angular frequency and wavevector, and ϕ_1 is the initial phase. Along the X-axis on which charge 2 lies, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\phi = 0$; hence

$\sin \theta = 1, K_1 = R = K_1 R$, and

$$E_1(R;T) = \frac{E_{10} \hat{j}_1(R;T)}{R} \hat{Z}; \quad B_1(R;T) = \frac{E_1}{c} \hat{Y}; \quad (5)$$

In the dielectric vacuum $E_1(0;T)$, $E_{q1}(T)$, represents a macroscopic field at $R = 0$. This conjures an applied field $E_{q1}(T)$ measured in a space after removal of the vacuum medium, termed our free space. As a generalization [3a,c] of the dielectrics of ordinary materials [5] to a nonpolar dielectric vacuum, relevant to here we can write down these: E_{q1} produces in the vacuum a polarization $\chi_{q1} = \epsilon_0 (E_{q1} - E_{q1})$ with $E_{q1}(T) = E_{q1} = k_0$, and thus a depolarization field [3a, c]:

$$E_{p,q1}(T) = \frac{\chi_{q1}(T)}{\epsilon_0} = X_0 E_{q1}(T);$$

Here ϵ_0 is the permittivity, k_0 the dielectric constant and $X_0 = k_0 - 1$ the electric susceptibility of the vacuum, each being measured with respect to the free space. A long the chain of the polarized vacuons, their dipole displacements are mutually coupled as with their center-of-mass motions; so the disturbance $E_{p,q1}(T)$ will be propagated as a radiation depolarization field:

$$E_{p1}(R;T) = X_0 E_1(R;T); \quad (6)$$

Assuming E_1 is relatively small and is real, $E_{p1}(R;T)$ is therefore linear and is in phase with $E_1(R;T)$, as shown in FIG. 1. The radiation due to charge 2 can be written down similarly.

The wave variables transform to particle variables as follows. The total electromagnetic wave described by the fields E_i, B_i above of frequency ω_i , has according to M. Planck an energy $E_i = \hbar \omega_i$. The wave together with its generating charge, q_i , makes up according to the BPF scheme our particle i . Therefore the wave is here the internal components of particle i . Consequently its energy E_i represents the total rest energy of the particle (with its source charge oscillating about fixed site); and this gives in turn the particle's rest mass M_i given by $E_i = M_i c^2$ following Einstein or the classical mechanics solution in [3a, g]. The two equations of E_i give

$$\hbar \omega_i = M_i c^2 = \hbar \omega_i^2; \quad i = 1, 2; \quad (7)$$

E_i is nondissipative as is E_{qi} , and it retains with charge q_i through wave reflections from surrounding objects. We can describe the electromagnetic waves alternatively as a heuristic technique only here as elastic waves (given a systematic justification and representation in [3a]), along a chain of coupled vacuonic oscillators of size b each. The standard solution of classical wave mechanics, [3a, g, h], gives the total wave energy integrated over all directions across a total wavetrain length L , thus $L = \omega b = \frac{c T}{b}$ oscillators, in time T , to be

$$E_i = \frac{1}{8} c T \sum_i A_{qi}^2; \quad i = 1, 2; \quad (8)$$

The E_i of (8) and the $E_i = M_i c^2$ earlier together yield

$${}^2 A_{qi} = 8 M_i c^2 T \quad (9)$$

Charge 2 is in the E_{p1} field of charge 1 subject to the Coulomb force $F_{p12} = q_2 E_{p1}$. Suppose in time $(T - \frac{T}{2}; T + \frac{T}{2})$ particle 2 is driven from at rest into motion of a component velocity $v_{p2}(T)$. This substituted in Newton's second law, $F_{p12} = M_2 \frac{dv_{p2}}{dt}$, gives the equation of motion of particle 2: $q_2 E_{p1} = M_2 \frac{dv_{p2}}{dt}$. (We shall not be concerned with the motion due to E_1 until a discussion in the end.) The integral velocity in time T is $v_{p2}(T) = \int_0^{v_{p2}(T)} dv_{p2} = \frac{T q_2 E_{p1}}{M_2}$; with (6) this writes

$$v_{p2}(T) = \frac{T q_2 X_0 E_1(R;T)}{M_2} \quad (10)$$

In the B_1 field the moving charge 2 is further acted by a force according to the Lorentz force law:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{g12}(R;T) &= q_2 v_{p2}(R;T) \times B_1(R;T) \\ &= q_2 v_{p2} B_1 \hat{X} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

F_{g12} is a radiation force resulting from the radiation depolarization electric field of charge 1, thus termed a depolarization (D) radiation (R) force as a parallel with the ordinary radiation force; to be specific a "Lorentz" is sometimes also suffixed after it. The direction of F_{g12} is perpendicular to v_{p2} and B_1 (the right-hand rule), lying along the




Figure 1. Oscillatory charge q_1 produces at R a radiation electric and magnetic fields $E_1(R;T)$, $B_1(R;T)$, and in a dielectric vacuum also a radiation depolarization field $E_{p1}(R;T)$. Consequently charge q_2 of dynamical mass $M_2(\Omega_2)$ at R is acted the component Coulomb force $q_2 E_{p1}$, driven into motion at a component velocity v_{p2} , each along Z-axis. q_2 is in turn acted by a DR Lorentz force $F_{g12} = qv_{p2} \times B_1$ in X-direction; F_{g12} points toward M_1 and is an attraction always. Similarly (not shown in the plot), charge q_1 is acted by an attractive DR Lorentz force F_{m21} due to the fields of q_2 . Inset (a) shows a radial wave path R making an angle θ at the charge oscillation Z-axis; (b) shows E_{p1} in relation to the radiation electric field due to q_1 , E_1 measured in free space, and E_1 in vacuum.

X-axis. The second expression of (11) holds always valid for $v_{p2} > B_1$ here. $v_{p2} < B_1 < 0$ for $q_2 > 0$; and $v_{p2} > B_1 > 0$ for $q_2 < 0$ (as in FIG. 1). That is, in either case F_{g12} is pointed toward mass M_1 , and is an attraction.

Now with (10) for v_{p2} and (5) for B_1 in (11), we have $F_{g12} = \frac{(T)q_2^2 X_0 E_1^2}{M_2 c} \hat{X}$. Further with (5) for E_1 , this writes:

$$F_{g12}(R;T) = \frac{(T)X_0 q_1^2 q_2^2 A_{q1}^2 j_1^2(R;T)}{(4\pi)^2 c^5 M_2 R^2} \hat{X} \quad (12)$$

As an elementary consideration here we put $q_1; q_2 = +e$ or $-e$, with e the elementary charge. With accordingly e^4 for $q_1^2 q_2^2$, and in turn (7) for j_1^2 , and (9) for A_{q1}^2 in (a), simplifying algebraically, we have

$$F_{g12}(R;T) = \frac{2CM_1^3 j_1^2(R;T)}{M_2 R^2} \hat{X}; \quad (13)$$

where

$$C = \frac{X_0 e^4}{h^2 c^1}; \quad (14)$$

Or with $c = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}$, $C = \frac{X_0 \epsilon_0^2 e^4 c^4}{h^2 c^1}$: We can likewise regard charge 2 as the source and get similarly an always attractive DR Lorentz force acting on particle 1 due to 2:

$$F_{g21}(R;T) = F_{g12}(R;T) = \frac{2CM_2^3 j_2^2(R;T)}{M_1 R^2} \hat{X}; \quad (15)$$

with $j_2(R;T) = \sin(\omega_2 T + K_2 R + \phi_2)$ and ϕ_2 the initial phase of the EM wave due to charge 2.

As expressed in (11) and (15), for q_i and q_j ($i; j = 1; 2$) having any signs, and moved to any locations relative to each other, the DR Lorentz force acting on charge i due to (the radiation fields of) the charge j , F_{gij} , is always attractive. An always attractive DR force follows also from the fact that it has a field E_{p1} always antiparallel with E_1 that together with the common B_1 produces a repulsive ordinary radiation force.

The dynamical variables F_{g12} and F_{g21} are mutually uncorrelated and statistical, as ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are. Their total action as sampled at one time is therefore the geometric mean

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(R;T) &= \sqrt{F_{g12}(R;T) F_{g21}(R;T)} \\ &= \frac{2CM_1 M_2 j_1^2 j_2^2}{R^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We are furthermore interested in the average of F_g over a macroscopic time comparable with experimental measurement, or practically over the wave periods R_1 and R_2 which succeed for the periodic processes here. This is: $F_g = \frac{1}{R_1 R_2} \int_0^{R_1} \int_0^{R_2} F_g(R;T) dT$; or, $F_g = \frac{2CM_1 M_2 I}{R^2}$ where $I = \left(\frac{1}{R_1} \int_0^{R_1} j_1(R;T) dT \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence

$$F_g = \frac{CM_1 M_2}{R^2}; \quad (17)$$

F_g is always an attractive force following that $F_{g_{12}}, F_{g_{21}}$ and F_g are attractive, and it is described by an inverse square formula (17) identical to Newton's law of gravitation. The coefficient C is expressed in (14) by solely fundamental constants, $e, \epsilon_0,$ and $\hbar,$ and the constants X_0 and α_1 characteristic of the vacuum. C follows therefore to be a constant, in direct resemblance to the universal gravitational constant G . Also, it can be readily checked that C given by (14) has a dimension $m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2}$ correctly as required by formula (17), or, it is the same as G .

In all, the depolarization radiation Lorentz force given in (17) is a direct analogue of Newton's gravitational force, in its functional form, its sign, and the constancy of its C . We may therefore with reasonable confidence say that the underlying physics presents a viable cause of Newton's universal gravity. Subsequently $E_{pi}(R;T)$ and $B_i(R;T)$, making up a DR wave | a periodic wave motion of the vacuum dipole moments, represents a gravitational wave. Following (5) { (6), this is a transverse wave and has a wave velocity $c_g = \frac{d\omega_i}{dk_i} = c$, with c the velocity of light as before; $\frac{d\omega_i}{dk_i} = \frac{\omega_i}{k_i}$ in the linear dispersion region of ω_i . Put $C = G$, with G the gravitational constant; thus (14) writes

$$G = \frac{X_0 e^4}{\epsilon_0^2 \hbar^2 \alpha_1};$$

accordingly

$$F_g = \frac{GM_1 M_2}{R^2}; \tag{17}^0$$

With the known values for G ($= 6.673 \times 10^{-11} m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2}$), e, ϵ_0 and $\hbar,$ we get an estimate

$$\alpha_1 = X_0 = e^4 / (\epsilon_0^2 \hbar^2 G) = 9.00(8) \times 10^{23} kg \cdot m; \tag{18}$$

Besides the two earlier evaluations, the total wave energy is, more immediately, given by the standard result computed from radiation electromagnetic fields, $E_i = \frac{L}{96} \frac{e^2 \omega_i^4 A_{qi}^2}{\epsilon_0^2}$. This combined with (8) yields: $\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\epsilon_0 e^2 c^2 M_i^2}{3 \hbar^2}$. A vacuum region between two masses M_i and M_j is described by the mean value

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon_0 e^2 c^2 M_i M_j}{3 \hbar^2}; \quad i; j = 1; 2; \tag{19}$$

(19) and (18) enables us to determine X_0 directly:

$$X_0 = \frac{\epsilon_0 G M_i M_j}{3 e^2}; \quad i; j = 1; 2; \tag{20}$$

X_0 of (20) and α_{ij} of (19) are seen to both increase with $M_i M_j$, while their ratio $\alpha_{ij} = X_0$ as of (18) is a constant.

Any material body is representable as a matrix of charges of alternating signs in general, of equal quantities in a neutral body. The total DR force between two such bodies is thus straightforwardly given by the vector sum of those between two charges from the respective bodies, over all charges in each body, provided the force penetrates all of material objects on its way. The DR force can be elucidated to indeed have such a superpenetration power [3b].

In plasma physics there exists an observational attractive Alfvén-Miller force [4] acting on a plasma as a passing EM wave attenuates in it. This force is suggested (internal work of R. Lundin, et al.) to be comparable to the DR force acting by an EM wave, e.g. from a radiation lamp, on a neutral matter. We stress here that, this will be actually so provided the attenuation of an applied field (the E_q here) is (a) due to the depolarization field (E_p), by $dE_q = E_p$ across dX , and (b) through the dielectric vacuum.

In addition to the DR force F_g , two single charges 1;2 are always also repelled by the usual Lorentz force due to their fields $E_1;E_2$: $F_r = \frac{F_g}{X_0}$. For between an electron mass M_e and proton mass M_p , for example, (20) gives $X_0(e;p) = 1:17 \cdot 10^{41} \ll 1$: So $F_r \gg F_g$, giving a net repulsion. However, for two macroscopic masses, say $M_a = M_b = 1 \text{ kg}$, (20) gives

$$X_0(a;b) = 7:67 \cdot 10^{15} \quad (21)$$

Therefore

$$F_r = \frac{F_g}{7:67 \cdot 10^{15}} \ll F_g \quad (22)$$

Considering also, for their macroscopic sizes, say of radius $r = 0:1 \text{ m}$, $= a;b$, F_r due to the enormous number, of order 10^{27} , of particles in the interim of each body will be effectively shielded off; the surface population is only a fraction $r = a \cdot 10^{10}$ of the body (taking $a = 5 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ m}$). In total, the two forces scale as $\frac{F_r}{F_g} = \frac{a}{r_a} \cdot 10^{26}$: Consequently, two macroscopic sized (neutral) bodies interact with a net DR attraction.

We thank Dr. I. Ebbsjö and Dr. T.J. Desiato for indicating typing errors in the early manuscript and the Studsvik Library for helps in acquisition of needed literature. Figure 1 in this paper is improved in clarity owing to comments of engineer H. Lidgren, and Prof. H. Lindstedt of Lund Univ.

[1] Sir I. Newton, Principia, 1686.

[2] Sir E. Whittaker, A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity I, Tomash Pub., 1951; II, Harper & Row, 1960; H.A., Wilson, Phy. Rev., 1921, v.17, 54-59; *ibid.*, 1921, v.17, 54-59; C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, Gravitation, W.H. Freeman and Comp., 1973; S.W. Hawking and W. Israel editors, Three Hundred Years of Gravitation, Camb. Univ. Press, 1987; M. Rees, R. Ruini and J.A. Wheeler, Gravity's Fatal Attraction: Black Holes in the Universe, Freeman, N.Y., 1995.

[3] J.X. Zheng-Johansson and P.-I. Johansson, (a): Unification of Classical, Quantum and Relativistic Mechanics and of the Four Forces, Foreword by R. Lundin, Nova Sci. Pub. Inc., NY, 2nd print., 2006 (see a very early outline in: [arxiv:physics/0412168](http://arxiv.org/physics/0412168)); (b): Inference of Basic Laws of Classical, Quantum and Relativistic Mechanics from First-Principles Classical Mechanics Solutions, Foreword by R. Lundin, Nova Sci. Pub., Inc., NY, (2006); (c): J. X. Zheng-Johansson, "Dielectric Theory of the Vacuum", [arxiv:physics/0612096](http://arxiv.org/physics/0612096); (d): J. X. Zheng-Johansson, "Vacuum Structure and Potential", [arxiv:physics/0704.0131](http://arxiv.org/physics/0704.0131); (e): "Inference of Schrodinger Equation from Classical Mechanics Solution," Quantum Theory and Symmetries IV, ed. V.K. Dobrev, Heron Press, 2, 2006, pp.763-770; [arxiv:physics/0411134](http://arxiv.org/physics/0411134); (f): with R. Lundin, "Depolarization Radiation Force in a Dielectric Medium. Its Analogy with Gravity," *ibid.*, pp. 771-779; [arxiv:physics/0411245](http://arxiv.org/physics/0411245) v2; (g): "Mass and Mass(Energy Equation from Classical Mechanics solution," Physics Essays, 19, nr. 4 (2006); [arxiv:physics/0501037](http://arxiv.org/physics/0501037); (i): (J.X.

- Zheng-Johansson,) "Spectral Emission of Moving Atom," *Prog. in Phys.*, 3, 78-81 (2006); (j): "Developing de Broglie Wave," *ibid.*, 4, 32-35 (2006).
- [4] R. Lundin and A. Guglielmi, "Ponderomotive forces in Cosmos," *Space Sci. Rev.* DOI 10.1007/s11214-006-8314-8, 2006.
- [5] See e.g. J.F. Bottcher, *Theory of electric Polarization*, Vols. I & II, Elsevier Sci. Pub. Co., 1973, 1978.
- [6] H. Lidgren, private communication, Aug. 2006.