The Shape and Stability of a Viscous Thread.

Sergey Senchenko^{1,2}, Tomas Bohr^{1,3}.

¹ Physics Dept., Danish Technical University, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark* and ² Optics and Fluid Dynamics Department, Risø National Laboratory, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark (Dated: April 20, 2022)

When a viscous fluid, like oil or syrup, streams from a small orifice and falls freely under gravity, it forms a long slender thread, which can be maintained in a stable, stationary state with lengths up to several meters. We shall discuss the shape of such liquid threads and their surprising stability. It turns out that the strong advection of the falling fluid can almost outrun the Rayleigh-Plateau instability. Even for a very viscous fluid like sirup or silicone oil, the asymptotic shape and stability is independent of viscosity and small perturbations grow with time as $\exp(C t^{\frac{1}{4}})$, where the constant is independent of viscosity. The corresponding spatial growth has the form $\exp((z/L)^{\frac{1}{8}})$, where z is the down stream distance and $L \sim Q^2 \sigma^{-2} g$ and where σ is the surface tension, g is the gravity and Q is the flux. However, the value of viscosity determines the break-up length of a thread $L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{1/4}$ and thus the possibility of observing the $\exp(C t^{\frac{1}{4}})$ type asymptotics.

 $PACS \ numbers: \ 47.20.-k, 47.20.Cq, 47.20.Dr, 47.20.Gv, 47.15.-x, 47.20.Ky, 47.54.+r$

I. INTRODUCTION

When honey or sirup is poured from an outlet, one easily generates very long threads of flowing fluid of surprising beauty and stability. A uniform column of fluid is unstable due to surface tension effects - the famous Rayleigh-Plateau instability [1]. Viscosity diminishes the strength, but does not remove the instability, and thus the observation of stable falling viscous threads of, say, two meters is surprising. In the present paper we shall discuss the shape and stability of such falling viscous jets or threads. We should note from the outset that we are confining our attention to Newtonian fluids (e.g. sirup or Silicone oil).

Our starting point is the lubrication approximation (see. e.g. [2]), which only takes into account the leading order dependence of the velocity field on the radial variable and of which we give a short derivation in section II. In section III we study the stationary solutions, and in particular their asymptotic forms. The final asymptotics (for large downstream distance z) is always governed solely by gravity, as in a free fall. Then we proceed with linear stability analysis (section IV-IV). After a recapitulation of the classical Rayleigh-Plateau instability in the lubrication approximation in the absence of gravity, we then study the full linear stability problem of a falling thread using an Eulerian description in the comoving frame. We consider first the inviscid regime, which determines the asymptotics for large times if it exist at all. We then asses the importance of viscosity and find that it determines the opposite asymptotics of spatially growing modes at short times. Small viscosity thus means that the flow breaks up without ever reaching the inviscid asymptotic state.

*Electronic address: senchen@fysik.dtu.dk

II. DERIVATION OF THE MODEL.

To derive our model we use the lubrication approximation, and our derivation is thus very close to the one given e.g by Eggers [2]. Since we wish to include gravity our equations are scaled differently, however. The velocity field is assumed to be axisymmetrical, and it is convenient to use cylindrical coordinates. We thus assume that the velocity field has the form: $\vec{v} = u\vec{e}_r + w\vec{e}_z$, where r is the radial coordinate and z is the vertical coordinate, measured positive downwards. We assume that the velocity field has no azimuthal component. The Navier-Stokes equation and continuity equation [3]

$$u_t + uu_r + wu_z = -p_r/\rho + \nu \left((ru_r)_r/r + u_{zz} - u/r^2 \right) w_t + uw_r + ww_z = -p_r/\rho + g + \nu \left((rw_r)_r/r + w_{zz} \right) (1) (ru)_r + w_z = 0$$

and we assume that the fluid is confined to a thin axially symmetric thread with a free surface at r=h(z,t). We expand pressure and velocity fields in power series in r, and assume that the expansion parameter r is (asymptotically) small with respect to vertical coordinate z at a given cross-section of the fluid thread, i.e. $r/z \to 0, z \to \infty$:

$$w = w_0(z,t) + w_2(z,t)r^2 + \dots$$

$$u = -w_{0z}(z,t)r/2 - w_{2z}(z,t)r^3/4 + \dots$$

$$p = p_0(z,t) + p_2(z,t)r^2 + \dots$$
(2)

Here the expression for u guarantees that the velocity field is divergence-free.

Inserting this expansion into the Navier-Stokes equation gives to leading order

$$w_{0t} + w_0 w_{0z} = -p_{0z}/\rho + q + \nu(w_{0zz} + 4w_2) \tag{3}$$

To close the equation, we need to express w_2 and p_0 in terms of w_0 by using the dynamic boundary condition.

$$\hat{\sigma}\vec{n} = -\alpha\kappa\vec{n} \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}$ is a stress tensor, κ is a mean curvature of the surface, α is the coefficient of surface tension and \vec{n} is a unit normal vector, pointing into the fluid. In terms of the fluid surface r = h(z,t), the normal vector is $\vec{n} = (n_r, n_z) = (-1, h_z)/\sqrt{1 + h_z^2}$.

The only nonzero components of stress tensor are (see e.g. [3]):

$$\sigma_{rr} = -p + 2\nu\rho u_r = -p_0 - \nu\rho w_{0z} + \dots
\sigma_{zz} = -p + 2\nu\rho w_z = -p_0 - \nu\rho w_{0z} + \dots
\sigma_{rz} = \nu\rho(u_z + w_r) = (2w_2 - w_{0zz}/2)r + \dots$$
(5)

and inserting into (4)

$$\sigma_{rr}n_r + \sigma_{rz}n_z = -\alpha\kappa n_r$$

$$\sigma_{zr}n_r + \sigma_{zz}n_z = -\alpha\kappa n_z$$
 (6)

gives (canceling the common multiplier $1/\sqrt{1+h_z^2}$)

$$p_0 + \nu \rho w_{0z} + \nu \rho (2w_2 - w_{0zz}/2)hh_z = \alpha \kappa$$
 (7)

$$\nu \rho (2w_2 - w_{0zz}/2)h - (-p_0 + 2\nu \rho w_{0z})h_z = \alpha \kappa h_z (8)$$

Neglecting, again for a thin thread, the nonlinear term hh_z we obtain

$$p_0 = \alpha \kappa - \nu \rho w_{0z} \tag{9}$$

$$w_2 = \frac{3}{2}w_{0z}r_z/r + \frac{1}{4}w_{0zz} \tag{10}$$

Using these expressions for p_0 and w_2 in (3) we get:

$$w_{0t} + w_0 w_{0z} = -\frac{\alpha}{\rho} \kappa_{0z} + g + \nu \left(3w_{0zz} + 6w_{0z} \frac{h_z}{h} \right) (11)$$

The kinematic boundary condition leads to the conservation law for the cross-section of the thread:

$$(h^2)_t + (w_0 h^2)_z = 0, (12)$$

The curvature is:

$$\kappa = (\sqrt{1 + h_z^2})^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{h} - \frac{h_{zz}}{1 + h_z^2} \right)$$
 (13)

but since we are here interested in asymptotic properties of thin threads, we neglect the curvature in the (r,z) plane compared to the one around the axis of the thread, and assume that $h_z \ll 1$. Thus we shall, throughout the paper use the approximation:

$$\kappa \approx \frac{1}{h} \tag{14}$$

Thus the our model has the following form (using $\sigma = \alpha/\rho$):

$$(h^2)_t + (w_0 h^2)_z = 0, (15)$$

$$w_{0t} + w_0 w_{0z} = -\sigma \left(\frac{1}{h}\right)_z + g + 3\nu \frac{(w_{0z}h^2)_z}{h^2}$$
 (16)

We now introduce dimensionless variables through

$$z \to \alpha z, \quad t \to \beta t \quad h \to \alpha h, \quad w_0 \to \frac{\alpha}{\beta} v$$
 (17)

where α and β are dimensional coefficients. Thus (16) acquires the following form:

$$v_t + vv_z = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}g - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^3}\sigma\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)_z + 3\nu\frac{\beta}{\alpha^2}\frac{(v_z h^2)_z}{h^2}$$
(18)

whereas (15) preserves its form since it is homogeneous in space and time variables.

We choose α and β such that the two first coefficients on the RHS of (18) is unity, i.e. :

$$\alpha = \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \beta = \sigma^{\frac{1}{4}} g^{-\frac{3}{4}}$$
 (19)

This allows us to consider both viscid and inviscid cases by means of the last coefficient $\gamma = 3\nu\beta/\alpha^2 = 3\nu\sigma^{-3/4}g^{-1/4}$. Note that this choice of rescaling means that lengths are measured in units of the capillary length $l_c = \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}g^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha$. Thus the non-dimensionlized model has the following form:

$$(h^2)_t + (vh^2)_z = 0 (20)$$

$$v_t + vv_z = -\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)_z + 1 + \gamma \frac{(v_z h^2)_z}{h^2}$$
 (21)

Typical values for γ are $\gamma_{sirup} \approx 100$ (with similar values for heavy silicone oils), $\gamma_{glycerol} \approx 0.4$ and $\gamma_{water} \approx 0.004$.

Solution

III. STATIONARY SOLUTIONS.

The shape of a stationary thread has been studied by several authors (see [4]-[9]), but since the results are somewhat scattered and incomplete, we have found it important describe the stationary states in some detail. For stationary solutions the non-dimensional flux: $q = h^2 v$ is constant and we end up with the following equation for velocity field only:

$$vv_z = 1 - \frac{v_z}{2\sqrt{qv}} + \gamma v_{zz} - \gamma \frac{v_z^2}{v}.$$
 (22)

It is possible to remove dependence on q by an appropriate rescaling, but we prefer to keep it since the total flux is the only parameter of the model that can easily be changed in a typical experiment. The flux q is by the scaling (19) related to the physical flux Q as

$$q = \alpha^{-3}\beta Q/\pi = \sigma^{-5/4}g^{3/4}Q/\pi. \tag{23}$$

made

When (22) is solved forward in z, i.e. as an "initial value problem", the typical solutions will diverge for large z. This can be circumvented by integrating backwards

noting that the fixed point $(v, v_z) = (0,0)$ has a well-defined unstable manifold (separating solutions that diverge to plus or minus infinity), which upon backward integration becomes a stable manifold. In Fig. 1 we show typical phase space trajectories found by solving (22) numerically by means of a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method, starting from "initial conditions" (v_0, v_{z0}) at large z and integrating backwards. It is seen that the dependence on the particular choice of downflow conditions is very week since any phase trajectory quickly converges to the well defined stable manifold. Thus, even for a thread of moderate length the shape is uniquely determined irrespective of the precise downstream conditions, just as we would expect.

The asymptotic behaviour of the solution as $z \to \infty$ is easily seen to be controlled by only the two first terms in (22), i.e.

$$vv_z = 1 \tag{24}$$

giving

$$v = \sqrt{2z} \tag{25}$$

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{q}{v}} = q^{1/2} (2z)^{-1/4} \tag{26}$$

This asymptotic solution is shown by the dot-dashed curve in Fig. 1 (marked "inertial").

The behaviour of the unstable manifold near the fixed point $(v, v_z) = (0, 0)$ can be found by expanding in z. Clearly $v = Cz^2 + O(z^3)$ for the RHS of (22) to remain finite as $z \to 0$. Inserting this expression into (22), we see that the inertial term vv_z can be neglected, since it contributes only as z^3 , whereas all other terms contribute with z^0 -terms, and we find

$$1 - \sqrt{C/q} - 2\gamma C = 0 \tag{27}$$

with the (positive) solution

$$C = \frac{1 + 4\gamma q - \sqrt{1 + 8\gamma q}}{8\gamma^2 q}.$$
 (28)

With this choice of C the solution

$$v = Cz^2 (29)$$

$$h = \sqrt{\frac{q}{C}}z^{-1} \tag{30}$$

is in fact an exact solution to (22), when the inertial term vv_z is neglected. This v(z) is shown by the dotted curve in Fig. 1 (marked "viscid").

For a very viscous fluid, where $\gamma q \gg 1$, the coefficient is

$$C \approx \frac{1}{2\gamma} \tag{31}$$




FIG. 1: The phase plane for the equation (22). It is seen that, upon backward integration, trajectories quickly converge to a well-defined "unstable manifold" (full line) for the fixed point $(v,v_z)=(0,0)$. The asymptotic solution for large $z,\,v\sim\sqrt{z}$, is shown dot-dashed and is governed by inertia and gravity. The asymptotic solution for small $z,\,v\sim z^2$, is shown dotted and is obtained by neglecting inertia.




FIG. 2: Plot of the numerical solution of (22) for different values of γ

The crossover between the viscid and inertial solutions is roughly given by the value z^* where they become equal, i.e.

$$z^* \approx \operatorname{const}(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{C})^{2/3} \sim \gamma^{2/3}$$
 (32)

On Fig. 2 we plot v(z) for various values of γ .

IV. STABILITY PROPERTIES.

A. Stability of a fluid cylinder in the lubrication approximation

Let us quickly go through the stability in this case using the dimensional equations (15)-(16) instead of the dimensionless (20)-(20) since we want to take the limit

g=0. In the absence of gravity term (the constant 1 on the RHS) where the stationary state is a cylinder moving with constant velocity. This is the classical Rayleigh-Plateau instability in the long wave length approximation [2]. We thus assume

$$v = v_0 + \tilde{v}(z, t),$$

$$h = h_0 + \tilde{h}(z, t).$$

and obtain the linearized system

$$\tilde{v}_{t} + v_{0}\tilde{v}_{z} = \sigma \frac{\tilde{h}_{z}}{h_{0}^{2}} + \nu \tilde{v}_{zz}, \qquad (33)$$

$$\tilde{h}_{t} + v_{0}\tilde{h}_{z} = -\frac{1}{2}h_{0}\tilde{v}_{z}.$$

It is convenient to go to the comoving frame

$$y = z - v_0 t, \quad \tau = t. \tag{34}$$

where

$$\tilde{v}_{\tau} = \sigma \frac{\tilde{h}_y}{h_0^2} + \nu \tilde{v}_{yy}, \tag{35}$$

$$\tilde{h}_{\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}h_0\tilde{v}_y. \tag{36}$$

Transforming as usual to Fourier modes as

$$(\tilde{v}, \tilde{h}) = (C_1, C_2) exp(ixy + s\tau), \tag{37}$$

leads to the dispersion relation:

$$s_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\nu x^2 \pm \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma x^2}{h_0} + \nu^2 x^4} \right]$$
 (38)

which, within the long-wave region $x \ll h_0$ coincides with the well-known results for the classical Rayleigh-Plateau instability [1].

In the inviscid case $\nu=0$, we get (for the unstable mode with positive s):

$$s = x\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2h_0}}. (39)$$

B. Stability of the inviscid thread solution

We now study directly the stability of the stationary states of (20)-(21) in the limit of vanishing viscosity, i. e.

$$h_t^2 + (vh^2)_z = 0, (40)$$

$$v_t + vv_z = -\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)_z + 1. \tag{41}$$

and we linearize around the stationary solution $(v_0(z), h_0(z))$ (25)-(26) as

$$v = v_0(1+a)$$
 (42)
 $h = h_0(1+b)$

to obtain the linear system:

$$a_t + v_0 a_z + 2v_{0z} a = q^{-1/2} v_0^{-1/2} b_z + \frac{1}{2} q^{-1/2} v_0^{-3/2} v_{0z} b$$
 (43)

$$b_t + v_0 b_z + \frac{v_0}{2} a_z = 0 (44)$$

To get rid of the advection term, we introduce the stretched spatial variable y as

$$y = \int \frac{dz}{v_0(z)} \tag{45}$$

so that $v_0(z)\partial_z = \partial_y$. We also define the function W(y) as

$$W(y) = v_0(z(y)) \tag{46}$$

and these definitions transform (43) - (44) into

$$a_t + a_y + 2W^{-1}W_y a = q^{-1/2}W^{-3/2}b_y + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1/2}W^{-5/2}W_y b$$
 (47)

$$b_t + b_y + \frac{a_y}{2} = 0 (48)$$

For the inertial stationary solution $v_0(z) = \sqrt{2z}$ we have explicitly

$$z = \frac{y^2}{2}, \quad W(y) = y \tag{49}$$

and we finally transform (47) - (48) into the comoving frame of reference by

$$y = x + t, \quad t = t \tag{50}$$

to obtain

$$b_t + \frac{a_x}{2} = 0 (51)$$

$$a_t + 2a(x+t)^{-1} = q^{-1/2}(x+t)^{-3/2}b_x + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1/2}(x+t)^{-5/2}b$$
 (52)

We now Fourier-transfom in x, assuming that the asymptotic behaviour will not be influenced by the slow algebraic variation with x in the denominators as long as $t \gg x$, an assumption which will be verified in the Appendix. Thus we find, in terms of the Fourier transforms $\tilde{a}(k,t)$ and $\tilde{b}(k,t)$,

$$\tilde{a} = \frac{2i}{k}\tilde{b}_{t}$$

$$\tilde{a}_{t} \approx -2\tilde{a}t^{-1} + ikq^{-1/2}t^{-3/2}\tilde{b} + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1/2}t^{-5/2}\tilde{b}$$
(53)

Making finally the substitution

$$\tilde{b} = t^{-1}B \tag{54}$$

we find, retaining only the dominant term as $t \to \infty$

$$B_{tt} = \frac{k^2 q^{-1/2}}{2} t^{-3/2} B \tag{55}$$

The WKB ansatz

$$B(k,t) = B_0 \exp(\int^t \Phi(k,t')dt')$$
 (56)

gives

$$B_{+}(t) = \exp(2\sqrt{2kq^{-1/4}t^{1/4}}) \tag{57}$$

Thus the typical instability time is $t_c \sim q$ and using (23) and 19) allows us to estimate the typical dimensional instability length - in time and in space, respectively - as:

$$T_c \sim Q\sigma^{-1} \tag{58}$$

$$Z_c \sim gt_c^2 \sim Q^2 g \sigma^{-2} \tag{59}$$

Note that if $h(z) \sim z^{-b}$, and thus $v(z) \sim z^{2b}$, the thread would be stable if 2/7 < b < 1/2. This could be realized if the gravitational field increased as $g(z) \sim z^a$ with a = 4b-1. The case studied above, b = 1/4, is slightly below the lower limit of stability.

C. The effect of viscosity: spatially growing modes.

With finite viscosity, linearization of (20) and (21) in the same way as in the previous section equations leads to

$$a_{t} + a_{y} + 2W^{-1}W_{y}a = q^{-1/2}W^{-3/2}b_{y}$$

$$+ \frac{q^{-1/2}}{2}W^{-5/2}W_{y}b$$

$$+ \gamma W^{-2} \left(a_{yy} + 2W^{-1}W_{y}b_{y} + (W_{yy} - 2W^{-2}W_{y}^{2})a\right) \quad (60)$$

$$b_{t} + b_{y} + \frac{a_{y}}{2} = 0$$

Perturbing again around the asymptotic state (25), W is determined by (49). Again, we transform to the comoving frame (50), and in the regime $t \gg x$ viscosity drops out - the viscid corrections are subdominant. But if we instead assume that $x \gg t$ the situation is different. Thus we approximate (60) by neglecting all explicit time-dependence (coming from W). It is thus natural to assume the following behaviour of the amplitudes of perturbation ([10],[11]):

$$(a(x,t),b(x,t)) = (A(x,s),B(x,s))\exp(st)$$
 (61)

where s is a real number. This leads to the following system of equations:

$$sB = -\frac{A_x}{2} \tag{62}$$

$$sA + 2W^{-1}W_xA = q^{-1/2}W^{-3/2}B_x$$

$$+ \frac{q^{-1/2}}{2}W^{-5/2}W_xB$$

$$+ \gamma W^{-2}\left[A_{xx} + 2W^{-1}W_xB_x\right]$$

$$+ (W_{xx} - 2W^{-2}W_x^2)A$$
(63)

After some manipulations we end up with the single second order equation:

$$\left(s + \frac{2}{x} + \frac{2\gamma}{x^4}\right) A = -\frac{q^{-1/2}s^{-1}}{4}x^{-5/2}A_x + (64) + \left(-\frac{q^{-1/2}s^{-1}}{2}x^{-3/2} + \gamma x^{-2} - \gamma x^{-3}s^{-1}\right) A_{xx}$$

Let us now assume that we are looking for the solutions of (64) which grow in the positive direction of x (see [12]). From the physical point of view this means, that perturbations should remain finite in the area near the outlet. If the spatial coordinate satisfies the following condition:

$$\max(2s^{-1}, 2^{1/4}\gamma^{1/4}s^{-1/4}) \ll x \ll 4\gamma^2 s^2 q \tag{65}$$

the dominant terms in (64) are give the simpler equation

$$A_{xx} - \frac{q^{-1/2}\gamma^{-1}s^{-1}}{4}x^{-1/2}A_x - \frac{s}{\gamma}x^2A = 0$$
 (66)

with the WKB-type solution

$$A_{+} \propto \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{4\gamma}}x^{2}\right)$$
 (67)

which is valid for:

$$\max(\gamma^{1/4}s^{-1/4},\gamma^{-1/3}s^{-1/3}q^{-1/3}) \ll x \tag{68}$$

We conclude that viscosity gives rise to a superexponential growth along the spatial variable x with a characteristic length $l_{\nu} \sim \gamma^{1/4}$, which in dimensional variables becomes $L_{\nu} \sim l_{c} \gamma^{1/4} = \sigma^{5/16} g^{-9/16} \nu^{1/4}$.

For the large values of x the viscous effects drop out and (64) leads to

$$A_{xx} + \frac{1}{2x}A_x + 2sq^{1/2}x^{3/2}A = 0$$
(69)

which produces slowly decaying WKB-solutions:

$$A_{\pm} \propto x^{-1/4} \exp(\pm i\sqrt{2}|s|q^{1/4}x^{7/4})$$
 (70)

We can conclude, that in the first time instant $t \ll x$ the dynamics of the system is governed by spatially growing modes. But the region of validity for the "spatial asymptotics" shrinks with time as $x \propto t$, and the "temporal asymptotics" ($\propto \exp(t^{1/4})$) takes over. For large enough γ , this regime will finally define the break-up of a flow unless it have been destroyed already by spatially growing modes (the latter seems to happen in the limit of small γ , e.g. for water).

V. DISCUSSION.

The stationary flow of a long falling viscous thread, with the asymptotic shape $h(z) \sim z^{-1/4}$ is unstable as expected from the classical results for the stability of a fluid cylinder. The perturbations grow, however, very slowly, increasing asymptotically only as $\exp(\operatorname{const} t^{1/4})$, where the constant is independent of viscosity. What is the role of viscosity then? First, with respect to stationary solution, viscosity defines the structure of the flow near the outlet. The crossover between viscous and inertial solutions is found to scale like $\propto \nu^{2/3}$. The less viscous threads are vulnerable due to spatial instability, since the perturbations grow like $\exp(\nu^{-1/2}x^2)$ along the thread. For large viscosities, the effects of spatial instability are weak, and the inviscid asymptotics will dominate the development of the break-up. It is interesting to note, that the final instability is so weak, that if the gravitational field was growing slowly i.e. $g(z) \sim z^a$, with 1/7 < a < 1, the thread would become asymptotically stable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Jens Eggers for helpful advice and several important discussions. T. B thanks the Danish Natural Science Research Council for support.

APPENDIX

In this appendix we show that the explicit dependence on x in (51) can be neglected when $t \gg |x|$. Neglecting this variation led to the "local" solution (57):

$$\tilde{b}_0(k,t) = t^{-1} \exp(\varepsilon k t^{1/4}) \tag{71}$$

$$\tilde{a}_0 = \frac{2i}{k}\tilde{b}_{0t} \tag{72}$$

where $\varepsilon = \sqrt{8}q^{-1/4}$ and where we define the direct and inverse Fourier transforms as

$$\tilde{a}(k,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int a(x,t) \exp(-ikx) dx, \qquad (73)$$

$$a(x,t) = \int \tilde{a}(k,t) \exp(ikx) dk$$

Let us now include effects of non-locality by Fourier transformation of (51)

$$\tilde{a}_{t} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{2a \exp(-ikx)}{x+t} dx$$

$$= q^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{b_{x} \exp(-ikx)}{(x+t)^{3/2}} dx$$

$$+ q^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{b \exp(-ikx)}{2(x+t)^{5/2}} dx$$
(74)

Now we assume that $|x| \ll t$ and expand integral kernels in (74) in power series of |x|/t. First we consider LHS of (74):

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{2a \exp(-ikx)}{x+t} dx = 2t^{-1}\tilde{a}$$

$$+ 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{-n-1} C_{-1}^{n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int x^{n} a \exp(-ikx) dx \quad (75)$$

We substitute:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int x^n a \exp(-ikx) dx = (i)^n \left(\frac{d}{dk}\right)^n \tilde{a}$$
 (76)

and get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{2a \exp(-ikx)}{x+t} dx = 2t^{-1}\tilde{a} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{-n-1} C_{-1}^{n}(i)^{n} \left(\frac{d}{dk}\right)^{n} \tilde{a}$$
 (77)

where C_m^n is a binomial coefficient. Let us estimate correction term in the RHS of (77) for the local solution (71)-(72). After some algebra we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{2a_0(t) \exp(ikx)}{x+t} dx = 2t^{-1}\tilde{a}_0(t) \tag{78}$$

$$+ \tilde{b}_0(t) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{-n} C_{-1}^n(i)^{n+1} \sum_{m=0}^n C_n^m(n-m)!$$

$$\times (-1)^{m-1} k^{-1-n+m} \varepsilon^m t^{\frac{m-7}{4}} (k\varepsilon + (m-4)t^{-1/4})$$

Thus the highest power of t under the inner summation is $\propto t^{(n-7)/4}$. Taking into account general multiplier $\propto t^{-n-1}$, in the leading order we have the correction: $\propto t^{-(3n+7)/4}t^{-1}\exp(\varepsilon kt^{1/4})$. This should be compared with the main contribution on the rhs. (74), i.e. $t^{-3/2}t^{-1}\exp(\varepsilon kt^{1/4})$. Obviously:

$$t^{-(3n+7)/4} < t^{-3/2}, \quad t \mapsto \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (79)

Now we continue with the rhs. of (74). Applying the same method, we get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{b_x \exp(-ikx)}{(x+t)^{3/2}} dx$$

$$= t^{-3/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{-n} C_{-3/2}^n(i)^{n+1} \left(\frac{d}{dk}\right)^n (k\tilde{b}) \quad (80)$$

For the correction term we get:

$$\propto t^{-3/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{3n}{4}} C_{-3/2}^{n}(i)^{n+1} \varepsilon^{n-1} \left[k \varepsilon + n t^{-1/4} \right] \tilde{b}_0 (81)$$

Now the leading order term is $\propto t^{-3n/4}t^{-3/2}\tilde{b}_0(t)$, which is dominated by the main term $\propto t^{-3/2}\tilde{b}_0(t)$ when $t\to\infty$. As for the last term in the RHS of (74), it only produces minor corrections to the main solution even in the leading order of magnitude. Thus we see that for $|x|/t\ll 1$ we can completely neglect the effects of non-locality in (74).

- [1] S. Chandrasekhar, *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability*, (Dover, New York, 1981).
- [2] J. Eggers, Rev. Modern Phys., **69**, 865 (1997)
- [3] L. D. Landau, and E. M. Lifshitz, Fluid Mechanics, (Pergamon, Oxford, 1984).
- [4] N. S. Clarke, Quart. Journ. Mech. and Applied Math., XXII, 247 (1968)
- [5] A. Kaye and D. G. Vale, Rheologica Acta 8, 1 (1969)
- [6] E. O. Tuck, J. Fluid. Mech. **76**, 625 (1976)
- [7] J. Geer, Phys. Fluids 20, 1613 (1977)

- [8] J. Geer, Phys. Fluids 20, 1622 (1977)
- [9] J. Geer and J. C. Strikwerda J. Fluid Mech. 135, 155 (1983)
- [10] P. Huerre and P. A. Monkewitz Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 22, 473 (1990)
- [11] L. de Luca and M. Costa, J. Fluid Mech. 331, 127 (1997)
- [12] J. B. Keller, S. I. Rubinov and Y. O. Tu Phys. Fluids 16, 2052 (1973)