Weak turbulence of gravity waves.

A. I. Dyachenko⁺, A. O. Korotkevich⁺¹), V. E. Zakharov^{+*}

⁺L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics RAS, 119334 Moscow, Russia

*University of Arizona, Department of Mathematics, Tucson, USA

Submitted 16 April 2003

For the first time weak turbulent theory was demonstrated for the surface gravity waves. Direct numerical simulation of the dynamical equations shows Kolmogorov turbulent spectra as predicted by analytical analysis

chaotic motion. The validity of this proposition is not clear a priori. The direct numerical simulation of nonlinear dynamical equations can give us a confirmation is this assumption valid or not. But for particular case of gravity surface waves the numerical confirmation was absent in spite of significant efforts were applied. The only successful attempt in this direction was the simulation of freely decaying waves [14]. The reason for that for our opinion was concerned with a choice of numerical scheme parameters. Namely, the numerical

simulation is very sensitive to the width of resonance of four-waves interaction. It must be wide enough to provide resonance on the discrete grid, as it was studied in [15] for decay of the monochromatic capillary wave. From the other hand it has to be not too wide (due to nonlinear frequency shift) when the weak turbulent conditions fail. We have spent significant efforts to secure the right choice of numerical parameters. As a result we have obtained the first evidence of the weak turbulent Kolmogorov spectrum for energy flow for surface gravity waves. The numerical simulation was surprisingly time consuming (in comparison to capillary waves turbulence), but finally we clearly get spectrum for surface elevation

$$|\eta_k|^2 \sim \frac{1}{k^{7/2}},$$
 (1)

which is in the agreement with real experiments [12, 13].

Theoretical background. — Let us consider the potential flow of an ideal incompressible fluid of infinite depth and with a free surface. We use standard notations for velocity potential $\phi(\mathbf{r}, z, t), \mathbf{r} = (x, y); v = \nabla \phi$ and surface elevation $\eta(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Fluid flow is irrotational $\Delta \phi = 0$. The total energy of the system can be represented in the following form

$$H = T + U,$$

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 r \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} (\nabla \phi)^2 dz, \qquad (2)$$

$$U = \frac{1}{2}g \int \eta^2 d^2r, \qquad (3)$$

where q – is the gravity acceleration. It was shown [16] that under these assumptions the fluid is a Hamiltonian system

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \psi}, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta \eta},$$
 (4)

¹⁾e-mail: kao@landau.ac.ru

where $\psi = \phi(\mathbf{r}, \eta(\mathbf{r}, t), t)$ is a velocity potential on the surface of the fluid. In order to calculate the value of ψ we have to solve the Laplas equation in the domain with varying surface η . This problem is difficult. One can simplify the situation, using the expansion of the Hamiltonian in powers of "steepness"

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(g\eta^2 + \psi \hat{k}\psi\right) d^2r + \frac{1}{2} \int \eta \left[|\nabla\psi|^2 - (\hat{k}\psi)^2\right] d^2r + \frac{1}{2} \int \eta(\hat{k}\psi) \left[\hat{k}(\eta(\hat{k}\psi)) + \eta \Delta\psi\right] d^2r.$$
(5)

For gravity waves it is enough to take into account terms up to the fourth order. Here \hat{k} is the linear operator corresponding to multiplying of Fourier harmonics by modulus of the wavenumber **k**. In this case dynamical equations (4) acquire the following form

$$\begin{split} \dot{\eta} &= \hat{k}\psi - (\nabla(\eta\nabla\psi)) - \hat{k}[\eta\hat{k}\psi] + \\ &+ \hat{k}(\eta\hat{k}[\eta\hat{k}\psi]) + \frac{1}{2}\triangle[\eta^{2}\hat{k}\psi] + \frac{1}{2}\hat{k}[\eta^{2}\triangle\psi], \\ \dot{\psi} &= -g\eta - \frac{1}{2}\left[(\nabla\psi)^{2} - (\hat{k}\psi)^{2}\right] - \\ &- [\hat{k}\psi]\hat{k}[\eta\hat{k}\psi] - [\eta\hat{k}\psi]\triangle\psi + D_{\mathbf{r}} + F_{\mathbf{r}}. \end{split}$$
(6)

Here $D_{\mathbf{r}}$ is some artificial damping term used to provide dissipation at small scales; $F_{\mathbf{r}}$ is a pumping term corresponding to external force (having in mind wind blow, for example). Let us introduce Fourier transform

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \psi_{\mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} d^2 r, \quad \eta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \eta_{\mathbf{r}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} d^2 r$$

With these variables the Hamiltonian (5) acquires the following form

$$H = H_0 + H_1 + H_2 + ...,$$

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int (|\mathbf{k}| |\psi_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + g |\eta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2) d\mathbf{k},$$

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int L_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2} \psi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \psi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \eta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \times$$

$$\times \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{k}_3,$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int M_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \mathbf{k}_3 \mathbf{k}_4} \psi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \psi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \eta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \eta_{\mathbf{k}_4} \times$$

$$\times \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{k}_3 d\mathbf{k}_4,$$
(7)

Here

$$L_{\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}} = (\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}) + |k_{1}||k_{2}|,$$

$$M_{\mathbf{k}_{1}\mathbf{k}_{2}\mathbf{k}_{3}\mathbf{k}_{4}} = |\mathbf{k}_{1}||\mathbf{k}_{2}| \left[\frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{3}| + |\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{4}| + (8) + |\mathbf{k}_{2} + \mathbf{k}_{3}| + |\mathbf{k}_{2} + \mathbf{k}_{4}|) - |\mathbf{k}_{1}| - |\mathbf{k}_{2}|\right].$$

It is convenient to introduce the canonical variables $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ as shown below

$$a_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_k}{2k}} \eta_{\mathbf{k}} + i \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\omega_k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}},\tag{9}$$

where

$$\omega_k = \sqrt{gk},\tag{10}$$

this is the dispersion relation for the case of infinite depth. The similar formulas can be derived in the case of finite depth [17]. With these variables the equations (4) take the following form

$$\dot{a}_{\mathbf{k}} = -i \frac{\delta H}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^*}.$$
(11)

The dispersion relation (10) is of the "non-decay type" and the equations

$$\omega_{k_1} = \omega_{k_2} + \omega_{k_3}, \quad \mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 \tag{12}$$

have no real solution. It means that in the limit of small nonlinearity, the cubic terms in the Hamiltonian can be excluded by a proper canonical transformation $a(\mathbf{k},t) \longrightarrow b(\mathbf{k},t)$ [18]. The formula of this transformation is rather bulky and well known [17, 18], so let us omit the details here.

For statistical description of a stochastic wave field one can use a pair correlation function

$$\langle a_{\mathbf{k}}a_{\mathbf{k}'}^* \rangle = n_k \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}').$$
 (13)

The $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ is measurable quantity, connected directly with observable correlation functions. For instance, from (9) one can get

$$I_k = <|\eta_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 > = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_k}{g} (n_k + n_{-k}).$$
(14)

In the case of gravity waves it is convenient to use another correlation function

$$\langle b_{\mathbf{k}}b_{\mathbf{k}'}^* \rangle = N_k\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}').$$
 (15)

The function N_k cannot be measured directly. The relation connecting n_k and N_k is rather complex in the case of fluid of finite depth. But in the case of deep water it becomes very simple [17]

$$\frac{n_k - N_k}{n_k} \simeq \mu,\tag{16}$$

where $\mu = (ka)^2$, here *a* is a characteristic elevation of the free surface. In the case of the weak turbulence $\mu \ll 1$. The correlation function N_k obey the kinetic equation [1]

$$\frac{\partial N_k}{\partial t} = st(N, N, N) + f_p(k) - f_d(k), \qquad (17)$$

Here

$$st(N, N, N) = 4\pi \int |T_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3}|^2 \times (N_{k_1} N_{k_2} N_{k_3} + N_k N_{k_2} N_{k_3} - N_k N_{k_1} N_{k_2} - (18) - N_k N_{k_1} N_{k_3}) \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3) d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{k}_3.$$

The complete form of matrix element $T_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3}$ can be found in many sources [1, 2, 17]. Function $f_p(k)$ in (17) corresponds to wave pumping due to wind blow for example. Usually it is located on long scales. Function $f_d(k)$ represents the absorption of waves due to viscosity and wave-breaking. None of this functions are known to a sufficient degree.

Let us consider stationary solutions of the equation (17) assuming that

- The medium is isotropic with respect to rotations;
- Dispersion relation is a power-like function $\omega = ak^{\alpha}$;
- $T_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3}$ is a homogeneous function: $T_{\epsilon\mathbf{k},\epsilon\mathbf{k}_1,\epsilon\mathbf{k}_2,\epsilon\mathbf{k}_3} = \epsilon^{\beta}T_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2,\mathbf{k}_3}.$

Under this assumptions one can get Kolmogorov solutions [18]

$$n_k^{(1)} = C_1 P^{1/3} k^{-\frac{2\beta}{3}-d}, n_k^{(2)} = C_2 Q^{1/3} k^{-\frac{2\beta-\alpha}{3}-d}.$$
(19)

Here d is a spatial dimension (d = 2 in our case). The first one is a Kolmogorov spectrum, corresponding to a constant flux of energy P to the region of small scales (direct cascade of energy). The second one is Kolmogorov spectrum, describing inverse cascade of wave action to large scales, and Q is a flux of action. In both cases C_1 and C_2 are dimensionless "Kolmogorov's constants".

In the case of deep water $\omega = \sqrt{gk}$ and, apparently, $\beta = 3$. It is known since [1] that on deep water

$$n_k^{(1)} = C_1 P^{1/3} k^{-4}.$$
 (20)

In the same way [19] for second spectrum

$$n_k^{(2)} = C_2 Q^{1/3} k^{-23/6}. (21)$$

In this Letter we will explore the first spectrum (energy cascade). Using (14) one can get

$$I_k = \frac{C_1 g^{1/2} P^{1/3}}{k^{7/2}}.$$
 (22)

Numerical Simulation — Dynamical equations (6) are very hard for analytical analysis. One of the main obstacles is the \hat{k} -operator which is nonlocal. However, using Fourier technique practically makes no difference between derivative and \hat{k} . The numerical simulation of the system is based upon consequent application of fast Fourier transform algorithm. The details of this numerical scheme will be published separately.

For numerical integration of (6) we used the functions F and D defined in Fourier space

$$F_{k} = f_{k}e^{iR_{k}(t)},$$

$$f_{k} = 4F_{0}\frac{(k - k_{p1})(k_{p2} - k)}{(k_{p2} - k_{p1})^{2}};$$

$$D_{k} = \gamma_{k}\psi_{k},$$

$$\gamma_{k} = -\gamma_{1}, k \leq k_{p1},$$

$$\gamma_{k} = -\gamma_{2}(k - k_{d})^{2}, k > k_{d}.$$
(23)

Here $R_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ is the uniformly distributed random number in the interval $(0, 2\pi)$. We have solved system of equations (6) in the periodic domain $2\pi \times 2\pi$ (the wavenumbers k_x and k_y are integers in this case). The size of the grid was chosen 256×256 points. Gravity acceleration g = 1. Parameters of the damping and pumping were the following: $k_{p1} = 5$, $k_{p2} = 10$, $k_d = 64$. Thus the inertial interval is about half of decade.

During the simulations we paid special attention to the problems which could "damage" the calculations. First of all, the "bottle neck" phenomenon at the boundary between inertial interval and dissipation region. This effect is very fast, but can be effectively suppressed by proper choice of damping value γ_2 in the case of moderate pumping values F_0 . The second problem is the accumulation of "condensate" in low wave numbers. This mechanism for the case of capillary waves was examined in details in [15]. This obstacle can be overcome by simple adaptive damping scheme in the small wave numbers. After some time system reaches the stationary state, where the equilibrium between pumping and damping takes place. Important parameter in this state is the ratio of nonlinear energy to the linear one $(H_1 + H_2)/H_0.$

For example, in the case of $F_0 = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\gamma_1 = 1 \times 10^{-3}$, $\gamma_2 = 400$ the level of nonlinearity was equal to $(H_1 + H_2)/H_0 \simeq 2 \times 10^{-3}$. The Hamiltonian as a function of time is shown in Fig. 1.

The surface elevation correlator function appears to be power-like in the essential part of inertial interval, where the influence of pumping and damping was small. The correlator is shown in Fig. 2.

One can try to estimate the exponent of the spectrum. It is worth to say that an alternative spectrum was proposed earlier by Phillips [20]. That power-like spectrum is due to wave breaking mechanism and gives us a surface elevation correlator as $I_k \sim k^{-4}$. Compensated spectra are shown in the Fig. 3. It seems to be an evidence, that the Kolmogorov spectrum predicted by weak turbulence theory better fit the results of the numerical experiment.



Fig.1. Hamiltonian as a function of time.



Fig.2. The logarithm of the correlator function of surface elevation as a function of logarithm of the wave number.

The inertial interval was rather narrow (half a decade). But the obtained results allow us to conclude, that accuracy of experiment was good enough under the time constraints of simulation (we get the steady state after 20-30 h using available hardware, and we need several days to average $|\eta_k|^2$ function). The simulation on larger grid (512 × 512, for example) can make the accuracy better. But even these results give us a clear qualitative picture.

This work was supported by RFBR grant 03-01-00289, INTAS grant 00-292, the Programme "Nonlinear dynamics and solitons" from the RAS Presidium and "Leading Scientific Schools of Russia" grant, also by US Army Corps of Engineers, RDT&E Programm, Grant DACA 42-00-C0044 and by NSF Grant NDMS0072803.



Fig.3. Compensated correlators in inertial interval for different values of the compensation power: z = 3.5 solid line (weak turbulence theory), z = 4.0 dashed line (Phillips theory).

Also authors want to thank creators of the opensource fast Fourier transform library FFTW [21] for this fast, portable and completely free piece of software.

- V. E. Zakharov and N. N. Filonenko, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **170**, 1292 (1966).
- V.E. Zakharov and N.N. Filonenko, J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. 4, 506 (1967).
- M. Yu. Brazhnikov at al., Pis'ma v ZhETF 74, 12, 660 (2001); (english transl. JETP Lett. 74, 12, 583 (2001)).
- M. Yu. Brazhnikov, G. V. Kolmakov and A. A. Levchenko, ZhETF **122**, 3, 521 (2002); (english transl. JETP **95**, 3, 447 (2002)).
- A.N. Pushkarev and V.E. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 18, 3320 (1996).
- A. I. Dyachenko, A.C. Newell, A. Pushkarev and V.E. Zakharov, Physica D 57, 96 (1992).
- F. Dias, P. Guyenne, V.E. Zakharov, Physics Lett. A 291, 139 (2001).
- V.E. Zakharov, O.A. Vasilyev and A.I. Dyachenko, Pis'ma v ZhETF **73**, 2, 68 (2001); (english transl. JETP Lett. **73**, 2, 63 (2001)).
- Y. V. Lvov and E. G. Tabak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 168501 (2001).
- 10. S. Galtier at al., Astrophys. J., 564 L49 (2002).
- S. L. Musher, A. M. Rubenchik and V. E. Zakharov, Phys. Rep. 252, 178 (1995).
- 12. Y. Toba, J. Oceanogr. Soc. Jpn. 29, 209 (1973).
- 13. P. A. Hwang at al., J. Phys. Oceanogr. 30, 2753 (2000).
- M. Onorato, A. R. Osborne, M. Serio at al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 14, 144501 (2002).

- A. I. Dyachenko, A. O. Korotkevich and V. E. Zakharov, Pis'ma v ZhETF **77**, 9, 572 (2003); (english transl. JETP Lett. **77**, 9, 477 (2003)).
- V.E. Zakharov, J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys. 2, 190 (1968).
- 17. V.E. Zakharov, Eur. J. Mech. B 18, 3, 327 (1999).
- V. E. Zakharov, G. Falkovich, and V.S. Lvov, Kolmogorov Spectra of Turbelence I (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992).
- 19. V.E. Zakharov and M.M. Zaslavskii, Izv. Atm.Ocean.Phys. **18**, 747 (1982).
- 20. O.M. Phillips, J. Fluid Mech. 4, 426 (1958).
- 21. http://fftw.org