

# Neutrino masses and the structure of the weak gauge boson

**V.N.Yershov**

University College London, Mullard Space Science Laboratory,  
Holmbury St.Mary, Dorking RH5 6NT, United Kingdom

E-mail: vny@mssl.ucl.ac.uk

**Abstract.** It is supposed that the electron neutrino mass is related to the structures and masses of the  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$  bosons. Using a composite model of fermions (described elsewhere), it is shown that the massless neutrino is not consistent with the high values of the experimental masses of  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$ . Consistency can be achieved on the assumption that the electron-neutrino has a mass of about 4.5 meV. Masses of the muon- and tau-neutrinos are also estimated.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Na, 12.60.Rc, 14.60.St, 14.70.Fm, 14.70.Hp

## 1. Introduction

The results of atmospheric and solar neutrino observations [1] indicate that neutrinos have non-zero mass. Although very small, the neutrino mass can play a key role in new physics underlying the Standard Model of particle physics [2]. The Standard Model considers all three neutrino flavor states ( $\nu_e$ ,  $\nu_\mu$ , and  $\nu_\tau$ ) as massless. Thus, observations show the Standard Model needs some corrections or extensions.

So far, many models have been proposed for the massive neutrino [3], and many experiments have been carried out for measuring this mass. Experiments with tritium  $\beta$ -decay gave controversial results: the neutrino mass squared appears to be negative. [4] The current experimental upper limits for the neutrino masses (by the Particle Data Group) [5]:

$$m_{\nu_e} \leq 3 \cdot 10^{-6}, \quad m_{\nu_\mu} \leq 0.19, \quad m_{\nu_\tau} \leq 18.2 \quad (\text{in } MeV/c^2)$$

are based on the averaged data from kinematic determinations. Astrophysical data [6] give stronger constraints:

$$\sum_i m_i < 1.8 \quad eV \quad (\text{for all species}).$$

Recently, the author has proposed a new composite model of fermions [7], in which the neutrino is described as a Majorana particle, almost massless in free states, but acquiring a substantial mass if bonded to a charged particle. The model satisfactorily (to an accuracy of  $10^{-6}$ ) predicts masses of the fundamental fermions (quarks and charged leptons) but, being approximate, it cannot reproduce masses of neutral particles, such as neutrinos or photons, as well as of the weak gauge bosons ( $Z^0$  and  $W^\pm$ ). Here we discuss this problem and propose its possible solution in the form of a hypothetical symmetry between fermion and boson mass structures.

## 2. Hypothetical structure of $W^\pm$

The model [7] is based on the idea of compositeness of fermions. Properties of their primitive constituents (“preons”) are limited to the SU(3)-symmetry of colored-charges and a mass. For

simplicity, we use unit values for the preon's mass and charge. It is supposed that by rotational transformations the preon can be translated into one of its six possible color/charge states. Preons of different colors and charges should group in equilibrium configurations corresponding to the symmetry of their potentials. These configurations are identified with known particles. It was shown that masses of the composite fermions can be calculated as sums of the masses of their constituents (preons), if the composite particle is "rigid". For the "non-rigid" structures, the following formula

$$m = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^N 1/m_i \right)^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

can be used. The "rigidness" of a particle is determined by the structure of its effective potential. Simpler potentials (having, say, a single minimum) should correspond to more "rigid" structures. These are – in our model – the first family fermions. The second and third families are clusters of particles rather than rigid structures. The masses in (1) are expressed in units of the preon's mass. They can be converted into conventional units, say, proton mass units ( $m_p$ ), by calculating  $m_p$  with (1) and dividing all other calculated masses by this value. The masses can be converted also into  $MeV/c^2$  by using a conversion factor  $k_{\Pi} = 0.056777673 [MeV/\Pi]$  derived from the experimental fermion masses [7] ( $\Pi$  stands for the preon).

Three like-charged preons with complementary colors will combine in a Y-shaped triplet. The triplet has a mass of three preons,  $m_Y = 3$ , and a charge  $q_Y = \pm 3$  preon units. The minimal-length closed loop composed of six preon-antipreon triplet pairs  $Y\bar{Y}$ , can be associated with the electron-neutrino,  $\nu_e = 6(Y\bar{Y})$ . The charges and masses of the adjacent unlike-charged constituents ( $Y$  and  $\bar{Y}$ ) are considered to almost cancel mutually. In this notation, the structure of the electron/positron can be written as  $e^- = 3Y / e^+ = 3\bar{Y}$ , with a charge  $q_e = \pm 9$  and a mass  $m_e = 9$  preon units ( $9 \times k_{\Pi} = 0.511 MeV$ ). In our model, a neutral massless particle, if "rigidly" coupled to a charged particle, restores its mass. The mass of such a system will be equal to the sum of the masses of its preons, and its charge will correspond to the charge of its charged component. For instance, the mass of the system  $Y6(Y\bar{Y}) = Y\nu_e$  is the sum of  $m_Y = 3$  and 36 unit masses of the preons constituting  $\nu_e$  (total 39 preon mass units). Its charge ( $q_{Y6(Y\bar{Y})} = -3$ ) corresponds to the charge of a single Y-particle. We identify the chain  $(\nu_e \bar{Y})\nu_e(\bar{Y}\nu_e)$  with the *up*-quark. Its charge is equal to the charge of two  $\bar{Y}$ -particles (+6) and its mass is the sum of two  $m_{Y6(Y\bar{Y})}$ : total 78 mass units or  $78 \times k_{\Pi} = 4.42 MeV$ . The positively charged *up*-quark, combined with the negatively charged structure  $3Y6(Y\bar{Y}) = e\nu_e$ , forms the *down*-quark,  $3Y6(Y\bar{Y})(\nu_e \bar{Y})\nu_e(\bar{Y}\nu_e)$ , with its charge of  $-9 + 3 + 3 = -3$  units and a mass of  $9 + 36 + 78 = 123$  units ( $123 \times k_{\Pi} = 6.98 MeV$ ). The structure  $W^- = 3Y6(Y\bar{Y})$ , which has properties of the weak gauge boson (see Table 2 in Ref. [7]), consists of 45 preons (9 preons of the electron and 36 preons of  $\nu_e$ ). Thus, its mass should be about 2.6 *MeV* if it is in a rigid structure, or almost zero if considered as a cluster of  $\nu_e$  and  $e$ . However, the experimental mass of  $W^{\pm}$  is 80 *GeV*, indicating that (1) is not applicable to bosons. Even for  $m_{\nu_e} \neq 0$  the calculated mass of  $W^{\pm}$  increases very little, still disagreeing with experiment. The problem can be resolved by using a reciprocal formula

$$m = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N 1/m_i \right) \quad (2)$$

for bosons, instead of (1), assuming a symmetrical relationship between the boson and fermion mass structures. The physical justification for this assumption is analogous to that of supersymmetry, but here it is based on the dualism of space introduced in Ref. [7]. We suppose

that two reciprocal manifestations of space are interchangeable for fermions and bosons. Eq. (2) reproduces the large  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$  masses. In fact, had the electron-neutrino mass be taken as zero, the masses of  $W^\pm$  and  $Z^0$  would become infinite. Varying  $m_{\nu_e}$  in (2), one can obtain a realistic mass for the weak gauge boson. The following electron-neutrino mass

$$m_{\nu_e} = (4.453 \pm 0.002) \cdot 10^{-3} \quad [eV] \quad (3)$$

(or  $7.864 \cdot 10^{-8}$  preon mass units) fits the experimental value [5] of  $m_W^{exp} = 80.43 \pm 0.04 \text{ GeV}$  (1416578 preon mass units) and the mass of the electron,  $m_e = 9$ , expressed in preon mass units. The tolerance interval is translated from  $m_W^{exp}$ .

### 3. The neutrinos $\nu_\mu$ and $\nu_\tau$

In [7] the muon- and tau-neutrinos are formed by consecutive additions of the unlike-charged pairs  $(\nu_e Y)$  and  $(\nu_e \bar{Y})$  to the electron-neutrino. We conjecture that the muon-neutrino have one such pair in its structure:

$$\nu_\mu = (\nu_e Y)\nu_e(\bar{Y}\nu_e), \quad (4)$$

and the tau-neutrino might have two such pairs:

$$\nu_\tau = (\nu_e \bar{Y})\nu_e(\nu_e Y)\nu_e(\bar{Y}\nu_e)\nu_e(Y\nu_e). \quad (5)$$

Both  $\nu_\mu$  and  $\nu_\tau$  are constituents of the tau-lepton where, together with  $\mu$ , they are clustered in two components,  $\mu\nu_\mu$  and  $\nu_\tau$ :

$$\tau^- = \underbrace{\left[ \underbrace{\nu_e e^-}_{W^-} \underbrace{(\bar{Y}\nu_e)\nu_e(\nu_e Y)}_{\nu_\mu} \right]}_{\boxed{1}} \underbrace{(\bar{Y}\nu_e)\nu_e(\nu_e Y)}_{\nu_\mu} \underbrace{\left[ (\bar{Y}\nu_e)\nu_e(\nu_e Y)\nu_e(\bar{Y}\nu_e)\nu_e(\nu_e Y) \right]}_{\boxed{2}}. \quad (6)$$

Here the clustered components are grouped in brackets and marked with the boxed numbers. The number of preons (mass) of the first component is

$$m_1 = n_\mu + n_{\nu_\mu} = n_W + n_{\nu_\mu} + n_{\nu_\mu} = 45 + 78 + 78 = 201. \quad (7)$$

With this value in (2) and taking into account the known experimental mass [5] of the tau-lepton,  $m_2 = m_\tau^{exp} = 1776.99_{-0.26}^{+0.29} \text{ MeV}$  (31297.3 in preon mass units), one can translate  $m_\tau^{exp}$  into the tau-neutrino mass:

$$m_{\nu_\tau} = (9.0253_{-0.0018}^{+0.0011}) \cdot 10^{-3} \quad [eV] \quad (8)$$

(or  $1.5896_{-0.0003}^{+0.0002} \cdot 10^{-7}$  preon mass units).

The muon-neutrino mass cannot be estimated in the same way as  $m_{\nu_e}$  or  $m_{\nu_\tau}$ , because there are no particles containing  $\nu_\mu$  as a separate component within their structures. For example, the muon structure (see Ref. [7] for details) can be written as:

$$\mu^- = \underbrace{\left[ \nu_e e^- \right]}_{\boxed{1}} \underbrace{\left[ \bar{Y} \nu_e \nu_e (\nu_e Y) \right]}_{\boxed{2}}, \quad (9)$$

which includes  $\nu_\mu$ . But, having its own substructure,  $\nu_\mu$  is shared here between two components,  $\boxed{1}$  and  $\boxed{2}$ . Using the known experimental muon mass [5],  $m_\mu^{exp} = 105.658 \text{ [MeV]}$  (1860.9168 preon mass units) and  $m_W = 45$  preon mass units, one can evaluate the upper limit for the muon-neutrino mass:  $m_{\nu_\mu} \leq 0.68 \text{ eV}$ . However, it would be more logical to suppose that  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  lies somewhere between  $m_{\nu_e} = 4.5 \text{ meV}$  and  $m_{\nu_\tau} = 9.0 \text{ meV}$ ; that is,

$$m_{\nu_\mu} \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad [eV]. \quad (10)$$

#### 4. Structure of $Z^0$

The  $Z^0$ -boson is a photon-like particle with high mass. According to Ref. [7], its structure can be written as:

$$Z^0 = \overbrace{\nu_e e^- e^+ \nu_e}^{W^- \quad W^+} . \quad (11)$$

From this, by using  $m_{e^-} = m_{e^+} = 9$  ( $m_{e^-e^+} = 18$  preon mass units) and the known experimental mass of  $Z^0$  ( $m_{z^0}^{exp} = 91.1876 \text{ GeV}$  or 1606047 preon mass units), one can estimate the electron-neutrino mass:  $3.928 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$  (or  $6.9183 \cdot 10^{-8}$  preon mass units). This is smaller than (3), but the structure of  $Z^0$  is more complex than that of  $W^\pm$ . Thus, the possible underestimation of  $m_{\nu_e}$  might be caused by overestimation of  $m_{e^-e^+}$ . Using (3) and  $m_{z^0}^{exp} = 91.1876 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}$ , one can calculate

$$m_{e^+e^-} = 0.90140 \pm 0.00004 \text{ [MeV]} \quad (12)$$

(or  $15.876 \pm 0.008$  preon mass units).

#### 5. Conclusions

The symmetry introduced here between bosons and fermions permits the estimation of the neutrino masses, based on the experimental values for  $m_{W^\pm}$ ,  $m_\tau$ , or  $m_{z^0}$ . However, our estimations are made for the neutrinos interacting with other particles. Thus, the calculated  $m_{\nu_e} \approx 4.5 \text{ meV}$ ,  $m_{\nu_\mu} \approx 6 \text{ meV}$ , and  $m_{\nu_\tau} \approx 9 \text{ meV}$  should probably be considered only as upper limits for the free neutrino masses.

- [1] Y. Fukuda et al, Phys.Rev.Lett., **81**, 1562-1567 (1998); J. M. Williams, <http://arXiv.org/abs/physics/0107017>, 12p. (2001)
- [2] E. Kh. Akhmedov, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0001264>, 74p. (2000)
- [3] S. Pascoli, S. T. Petcov, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0111203>, 7p. (2001); E.L. Koschmieder, T.H. Koschmieder, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-lat/0104016>, 15p. (2001); E.L. Koschmieder, <http://arXiv.org/abs/physics/0110005>, 7p. (2001); M. Blasone, A. Capolupo, G. Vitiello, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0107183>, 4p. (2001); V. Pleitez, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0107167>, 3p. (2001); M. Lindner, W. Winter, Phys.Rev. **D66**, 057303 (2002, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0111263>)
- [4] R. L. Ingraham, G. Luna-Acosta, J. M. Wilkes, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0012060>, 5p. (2000); R.N. Mohapatra, S. Nussinov, Phys.Lett. **B395**, 63-68 (1997); J. Rizek, V. Brabec, O. Dragoun, M. Ryzavy, A. Spalek, <http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9801291>, 9p. (1998)
- [5] D. E. Groom D.E et al (Particle Data Group), Eur. Phys. Jour. **C15**, 1 (2000 and 2001 partial update for edition 2002, <http://pdg.lbl.gov>)
- [6] O. Elgaroy, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., **89**, 061301 (2002)
- [7] V. N. Yershov, <http://arXiv.org/abs/physics/0207120>, 20p. (2002)