

# Laser cooling of nuclear beams in storage rings

E.G. Bessonov <sup>†</sup>, E.V. Tkalya <sup>††</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Lebedev Physical Institute RAS, Moscow, Russia

e-mail: bessonov@x4u.lebedev.ru

<sup>††</sup> Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow, 119999, Russia

e-mail: tkalya@srd.sinp.msu.ru

## Abstract

Laser cooling of nuclear beams in storage rings is discussed. The main properties of nuclei with isomeric levels in the energy range  $E < 50$  keV are presented.

## 1 Introduction

It is under discussion to operate storage rings like HERA, RHIC, LHC with heavy ions. Because of the heavily increased intrabeam scattering of heavy ions compared to protons, the achievable luminosity of the rings is much smaller than desired by the experiments. A way to increase luminosity could be cooling of the beams. Different methods of cooling can be suggested. Among them the electron and laser methods of cooling are the most effective ones. Because of the high electron energy necessary for electron cooling of heavy ions the conventional approach using electrostatic DC sources is not possible. Instead an electron storage ring could be used. For this ring there are special requirements like small damping times, emittances and energy spread. The feasibility of such an electron storage ring was studied for HERA, and the results with a possible solution are presented in papers [1], [2]. In this paper the laser cooling of nuclear beams in storage rings is discussed. The main properties of nuclei with isomeric levels in the energy range  $E < 50$  keV are considered when the isomer half-life in the fully stripped ion  $\tau'^{rad}$  is less than some  $\mu s$ . There are no any other decay channels for low energy isomeric nuclei levels, excluding  $\gamma$ -radiation, for naked nuclei. That is why, the isomer's half life in a fully stripped ion coincides with the radiation life time.

## 2 Fundamentals of laser cooling of ions in a storage ring

As a consequence of Liouville's theorem, the compression of phase space density for a given ensemble of particles requires a dissipative force. In the case of both simple and complicated particles such as electrons, protons, heavier ions moving in the external fields such a force results from the radiative friction. Friction force appears through synchrotron/undulator radiation of particles in the fields of

bending magnets, magnetic lenses, undulators or through backward Compton/Rayleigh scattering of laser photons by simple/complicated charged particles. Below we will discuss the physics of the backward Rayleigh scattering of laser photons on relativistic complicated ions/nuclears and methods of the nuclear beam cooling based on such scattering [3] - [10].

## 2.1 The Rayleigh scattering of laser photons on relativistic ions

Let a laser beam is directed against and scattered by an ion beam. In general case the laser beam is not monochromatic one. The central frequency of the incoming and scattered laser photons in this case will be written as  $\omega_0^l$  and  $\omega_0^s$  respectively. Since we are considering the case near resonance, we have  $\omega^l \simeq \omega_0^l$  and  $\omega^s \simeq \omega_0^s$ . Let  $\hbar\omega_{tr}$  be the transition energy in the ion's rest frame between two electronic (not fully stripped ion) or nuclear (naked ion) states 1 and 2, and  $\hbar\omega^l$  and  $\hbar\omega^s$  be the corresponding energies of the incoming laser photons and the scattered photons in the laboratory frame, respectively. These quantities are related by

$$\hbar\omega_0^l = \frac{\hbar\omega_{tr}}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \psi)}, \quad \hbar\omega_0^s = \frac{\hbar\omega_{tr}}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\gamma = \varepsilon/Mc^2 = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is the ion energy,  $M$  its mass,  $\beta = v/c$ ,  $v$  the ion velocity,  $c$  the speed of light,  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  the angles between the initial and final photon velocities and ion velocity respectively.

In this paper, we restrict to the case  $\psi \simeq \pi$ ,  $\beta \simeq 1$ ,  $\gamma \gg 1$ ,  $\theta \ll 1$  in which the above equations become

$$\hbar\omega_0^l = \frac{\hbar\omega_{tr}}{2\gamma}, \quad \hbar\omega_0^s = \frac{2\gamma\hbar\omega_{tr}}{1 + (\gamma\theta)^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Due to the Lorentz transformations in accordance with (2.2) low-energy laser photons from the laboratory system are converted to much larger photon energies in the ion's rest frame. Thus highly charged ions become accessible to laser cooling.

In the ion/nuclear rest frame  $K'$  the photo excitation cross section for photons of the energy  $\hbar\omega'^l$  is given by [11]- [13]

$$\sigma(\omega'^l) = \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{\omega_{tr}^2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} \Gamma g(\omega_{tr}, \omega'^l), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $g_{1,2} = 2J_{1,2} + 1$  are the statistical weights of the states (in the naked ion 1 denotes the nuclear ground state with spin  $J_1$ , and 2 denotes the nuclear isomeric state with spin  $J_2$ ),  $\Gamma$  is the natural linewidth for two level system (transition probability) for the spontaneous photon emission of not fully stripped excited ion or nuclear, i.e.  $\Gamma = 1/\tau'^{rad}$  where  $\tau'^{rad}$  is the level decay time in the  $K'$  system<sup>1</sup>,

$$g(\omega_{tr}, \omega'^l) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma}{(\omega'^l - \omega_{tr})^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \quad \left( \int g(\omega'^l) d\omega'^l = 1 \right)$$

is the Lorentzian with normalization condition in the bracket.

<sup>1</sup>In nuclear physics another definition is introduced:  $\Gamma = \ln 2/\tau'^{rad}$ .

The cross-section (2.3) has a maximum  $\sigma_{max} = \sigma|_{\omega'=\omega_{tr}} = g_2\lambda_{tr}^2/2\pi g_1$ , where  $\lambda_{tr} = 2\pi c/\omega_{tr}$ . It is close to maximum in the narrow frequency range  $\Delta\omega'/\omega_0' = \Delta\omega/\omega_0 \simeq \pm\Gamma/2\omega_{tr}$ .

When the ion beam has a high angular spread  $\psi_b$  and a high energy spread  $\Delta\gamma_b$ , then, for effective interaction of all ions with the laser beam, the bandwidth of the laser spectral line according to Eqs (2.1) should exceed the value

$$\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_0'} > \frac{\psi_b^2}{4} + \frac{\Delta\gamma_b}{\gamma}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the ion rest frame, the scattered radiation is spherically symmetrical and the energies of incident and scattered photons are about the same. In the laboratory frame, the scattered radiation will be directed mainly in the ion velocity direction in the narrow interval of angles  $\Delta\theta \sim 1/\gamma$ . The energy of the scattered photons will be Doppler shifted. The maximum and average energy of the scattered photons are

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar\omega_{max}^s &= (1 + \beta)\gamma\hbar\omega_{tr} = (1 + \beta)^2\gamma^2\hbar\omega_0^l, \\ \overline{\hbar\omega^s} &= \gamma\hbar\omega_{tr} = \hbar\omega_{max}/(1 + \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

In the laboratory frame the laser-ion interaction is represented by the rate equation, consisting of  $n_1 + n_2 = 1$  and

$$dn_2/dt = (1 + \beta)[n_1 - (g_1/g_2)n_2] \int \dot{n}_\omega \sigma(\omega^l) d\omega - n_2/\tau. \quad (2.6)$$

Here  $n_1$  ( $n_2$ ) are the occupation probability for ion/nuclear state 1 (2);  $\dot{n}_\omega = (1/\hbar\omega^l)\partial I^l/\partial\omega^l$  is the spectral density of the laser beam flow;  $\tau = \gamma/\Gamma$ , the decay time; in the cross section  $\sigma(\omega^l)$  the laser frequency  $\omega^l$  at the ion rest frame must be expressed through the laboratory frequency  $\omega^l = \omega'^l/(1 + \beta)\gamma$ ; factor  $(1 + \beta)$  takes into account the motion of ions toward the photon beam; the ratio  $g_1/g_2$  represents the symmetry relation for the Einstein coefficients.

Below we assume that the incident laser beam has a uniform spectral intensity  $\partial I^l/\partial\omega = I^l/\Delta\omega^l$  in the frequency interval  $\Delta\omega^l$  centered around  $\omega^l$ , where the bandwidth of the laser spectral line  $\Delta\omega^l/\omega_0^l > \Gamma/\omega_{tr}$  and fulfill the condition (2.4),  $I^l$  is the total laser intensity. Substituting  $n_1 = 1 - n_2$  to the equation (2.6) we will reduce it to

$$dn_2/dt = A - Bn_2 \quad (2.7)$$

where  $A = [g_2/(g_1 + g_2)](\Gamma/\gamma)D$ ;  $B = (\Gamma/\gamma)(1 + D)$ ;  $D = I^l/I_c$  is the saturation parameter;  $I_c = g_1\omega_{tr}^2\hbar\omega_0^l\Delta\omega^l/\pi^2c^2(g_1 + g_2)$  or  $I_c[W/cm^2] = 1.58 \cdot 10^4[g_1(\hbar\omega_{tr}[eV])^4/(g_1 + g_2)\gamma^2](\Delta\omega^l/\omega_0^l)$ , the saturation intensity; coefficients  $A$  and  $B$  are proportional to the laser intensity. They differ from zero for intervals of time when ions go through the interaction regions of the storage rings.

The solution of the rate equation in and outside of the interaction region is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} n_2(t) &= n_2^{st}(1 - e^{-B(t-t_0)}) + n_2^{in}e^{-B(t-t_0)}, \quad (0 \leq t - t_0 \leq \Delta t_{int}) \\ n_2(t) &= n_2(t_0 + \Delta t_{int})e^{\frac{t-t_0}{\tau}}, \quad (\Delta t_{int} \leq t - t_0 \leq T) \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $n_2^{st} = A/B = [g_2 D / (g_1 + g_2)(1 + D)]$ ,  $n_2^{in}$  is the initial occupation probability at the entrance of the interaction region;  $t_0$ , the time of entrance of the ion in the interaction region;  $\Delta t_{int} = l_{int} / \beta c$  the interaction time;  $l_{int}$ , the length of the interaction region;  $T = C / \beta c N_{int}$ ;  $C$ , the circumference of the storage ring;  $N_{int}$ , the number of the interaction regions in the storage ring. The interaction length  $l_{int} = l_{wp} / (1 + \beta)$ , if the length of the laser beam wavepacket  $l_{wp}$  is less then double length of the straight section of the storage ring and  $4l_R$ , where the Rayleigh length of the laser beam  $l_R = 4\pi\sigma_{l0}^2 / \lambda^l$ ;  $\sigma_{l0}$ , the transverse dispersion of the laser beam distribution in the point  $s = 0$  corresponding to the waist of the laser beam;  $\lambda_l = 2\pi c / \omega_l$ , the laser wavelength. The dispersion in any point  $s$ :  $\sigma_l = \sigma_{l0} \sqrt{1 + s^2 / l_R^2}$ .

The value  $n_2(t)$  reaches a steady state ( $n_2(t) = n_2(t + T)$ ) for  $t - t_0 \gg \tau$ . In this case

$$n_2^{in} = n_{2max}^{in} = n_2^{st} \frac{[1 - e^{-\frac{\Delta t_{int}(1+D)}{\tau}}] e^{-\frac{T - \Delta t_{int}}{\tau}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{\Delta t_{int} D + T}{\tau}}}. \quad (2.9)$$

In particular

$$n_{2max}^{in} = \begin{cases} 0, & (\tau \ll \Delta t_{int}) \\ n_2^{st} \frac{\Delta t_{int}(1+D)}{T + \Delta t_{int} D}, & (\tau \gg T) \\ n_2^{st}. & (\tau \gg T, \quad \Delta t_{int} D \gg T) \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

According to (2.8), (2.10) the time dependence of the occupation

$$n_2(t) = \begin{cases} n_2^{st}, & (\tau \ll \Delta t_{int} \quad 0 \leq t - t_0 \leq \Delta t_{int}) \\ 0, & (\tau \ll \Delta t_{int} \quad \Delta t_{int} \leq t - t_0) \leq T \\ n_2^{st} \frac{\Delta t_{int}(1+D)}{T + \Delta t_{int} D} = const., & (\tau \gg T) \\ n_2^{st} = const. & (\tau \gg T \quad \Delta t_{int} D \gg T) \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

The quantity  $\dot{n}^s = n_2 / \tau$  can be interpreted as the number of scattered photons per ion per unit time. It can be presented in the form  $\dot{n}^s = (1 + \beta)(I^l / \hbar \omega^l) \bar{\sigma}$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the average cross section of a photon scattering by ion:

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{\hbar \omega^l \dot{n}^s}{(1 + \beta) I^l} = \frac{\pi^2 c^2 g_2 \Gamma}{(1 + \beta) \gamma g_1 (1 + D) \omega_{tr}^2 \Delta \omega^l}. \quad (2.12)$$

This value agree with the definition of the average cross section determined by the equation  $\bar{\sigma} = (1 / I^l) \int \sigma_{\omega', l} I_{\omega'} d\omega^l |_{\Delta \omega^l \gg \gamma \Gamma} = g_2 \Gamma \lambda_{tr}^2 / 4 g_1 (1 + \beta) \gamma \Delta \omega^l$  for the case  $D = 0$ .

The average power of the scattered radiation in the case  $n_2(t) \simeq const$  and  $\tau \gg T$ , according to (2.11) can be presented in the form

$$\overline{P^s} = \hbar \bar{\omega}_s \dot{n}^s |_{\tau \gg T} = \frac{g_2}{g_1 + g_2} \frac{\hbar \omega_{tr}}{\tau^{rad}} \frac{N_{int} l_{int} D}{C + N_{int} l_{int} D}. \quad (2.13)$$

## 2.2 Laser cooling of ion beams in storage rings

A typical version of laser cooling of ion beams in the longitudinal plane is based on the resonant interaction of unbunched ion beam and homogeneous quasi-monochromatic laser beam overlapping the ion one in the transverse direction. The initial central frequency of the laser beam is chosen

so that photons interact first with the most high energy ions. Then the frequency is scanning in the high frequency direction, and ions of a lower energy begin to interact with the laser beam and decrease their energy. The scanning of the laser frequency is stopped when all ions are gathered at the minimum energy of ions in the beam. The rate of the laser frequency scanning must correspond to the condition  $\dot{\varepsilon}_r[\omega_0^l(t)] = d\varepsilon_r/dt < \overline{P^s}$ , where the resonance ion energy  $\varepsilon_r = Mc^2\gamma_r$ ,  $\gamma_r = [1 + (\omega_{tr}/\omega_0^l)^2]/2(\omega_{tr}/\omega_0^l)$ .

The cooling time in the longitudinal plane and the relative energy spread of the cooled ion beam are

$$\tau_\varepsilon = \frac{2\sigma_{\varepsilon in}}{\overline{P^s}}, \quad \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon f}}{\varepsilon} \simeq \max\left(\frac{\hbar\omega_{tr}}{Mc^2}, \frac{\Gamma}{\omega_{tr}}, \frac{\Delta\omega^l}{\omega_0^l}\right). \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\sigma_{\varepsilon in}$  is the initial rms deviation of the ion energy from an equilibrium one,  $M$  atomic mass of the ion. Usually the value  $\delta_{\varepsilon in} = \sigma_{\varepsilon in}/Mc^2\gamma \simeq (2 \div 5) \cdot 10^{-4}$ . The relative energy of the being cooled ions in the relativistic case, according to (2.2), is determined by the equation  $\gamma = \hbar\omega_{tr}/2\hbar\omega_0^l$ .

The minimum damping time is determined by the condition  $D \gg 1$

$$\tau_{\varepsilon min} = \frac{g_1 + g_2}{g_1} \frac{2\sigma_{\varepsilon in}}{\hbar\omega_{tr}} \tau'_{rad} = \frac{g_1 + g_2}{g_1} \frac{Mc^2}{\hbar\omega_0^l} \delta_{\varepsilon in} \tau'_{rad}. \quad (2.15)$$

It depends only on the properties of the ion, laser photon energy and the relative energy spread of the ion/nuclear beam.

Laser cooling of not fully stripped non-relativistic ion beams was used at the TSR ring in Germany and ASTRID in Denmark [14] - [18]. This is one of possible cooling methods of ion beams in the longitudinal plane. In other methods the laser frequency can be constant. Ions in the direction of given resonance energy can be accelerated by eddy electric fields or by phase displacement mechanism.

Bunched ion beams can be cooled in buckets by quasi-monochromatic laser beam with accelerating fields of radiofrequency cavities [19]. The broadband laser beam with a sharp low frequency edge can be used as well. In the last case the edge frequency must have such a value that only ions with energies above the equilibrium one can be excited [20].

The considered one-dimensional laser cooling of ion beams is highly efficient in the longitudinal plane. A special longitudinal-radial coupling mechanisms such as synchro-betatron resonance [21, 22, 4] or dispersion coupling [23, 24] can be added for ion cooling both in the longitudinal and in the transverse planes. In papers [4] - [6] a conventional three-dimensional radiative ion cooling method is proposed and developed. An efficient enhanced method of cooling both in longitudinal and transverse planes was suggested in papers [8], [9]. Unfortunately the damping times in the three-dimensional method of cooling of naked ion beams are long and the enhanced method of ion cooling in the transverse plane can be applied only to the not fully stripped ion beams if the level decay length of ions is shorter then the length of betatron oscillations in the storage ring.

Fully stripped ions have very narrow nuclear levels and as the result very small average cross sections. In this case ions crossing the laser beam of finite length in the interaction region will perceive the monochromatic laser as a broadband one with the frequency band  $\Delta\omega^l/\omega_0^l \simeq \lambda/2l_{int} \gg \Gamma/\omega_{tr}$ . It means that if the laser frequency band is given, the length of the interaction region must be  $l_{int} > l_{int}^c = 0.5 \lambda_0^l (\omega_0^l/\Delta\omega^l)$  for more efficient using of the laser beam.

### 3 Nuclei suitable for laser cooling in storage rings

Let us consider two imaginary examples close to typical ones for cooling of nuclear beams in the longitudinal plane.

Example 1. Let the ion transition energy  $\hbar\omega_{tr} = 10$  keV ( $\lambda_{tr} = 1.241 \cdot 10^{-8}$  cm),  $\tau'^{rad} = 1\mu s$ ,  $M = 100m_u$  ( $m_u c^2 \simeq 931.5$  MeV),  $\gamma = 3000$ ,  $Mc^2\gamma = 2.79 \cdot 10^{14}$  eV,  $2\sigma_{\varepsilon in} = 2.79 \cdot 10^{10}$  eV,  $g_2/g_1 = 1$ ,  $C = 27$  km,  $N_{int} = 27$ ,  $l_{dec} = 900$  km  $\gg C/N_{int}$  [3]. The laser beam is continuous,  $\Delta\omega_0^l/\omega_0^l = 5 \cdot 10^{-8}$ ,  $\hbar\omega_0^l = 1.67$  eV ( $\lambda_0^l = 7446\text{\AA}$ ). The interaction length we will choose from the condition  $l_{int} = 2l_R = 7.5$  m,  $l_{int} \simeq l_{int}^c$ .

In this case the rms transverse size of the laser beam at the waist  $\sigma_{l0} = \sqrt{\lambda^l l_R/4\pi} \simeq 4.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$  cm, the saturation intensity  $I_c \simeq 4.4 \cdot 10^5$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and corresponding saturation power  $\overline{P}_c = 2\pi\sigma_{l0}^2 I_c = 6.1$  kW. We assume that the optical resonator with conventional or super-reflection mirrors will permit to decrease the laser power 2÷4 orders times [25], [26]. If the saturation parameter  $D = 10$  and the finesse of the resonator  $F = 10^4$ , the intraresonator power  $\overline{P}_L^{ir} \simeq 6.1 \cdot 10^4$  W and the average laser power  $\overline{P}_l \simeq 6.1$  W. The average power of the scattered radiation  $\overline{P}^s = 2\pi\sigma_l^2 I^l = 3.49 \cdot 10^8$  eV/s, the damping time  $\tau_\varepsilon = 80$  s<sup>-1</sup>  $\simeq 1.3$  min.

Example 2. Let the ion transition energy  $\hbar\omega_{tr} = 2$  keV ( $\lambda_{tr} = 6.205 \cdot 10^{-8}$  cm),  $\tau'^{rad} = 20\mu s$ ,  $M = 200m_u$ ,  $\gamma = 250$ ,  $Mc^2\gamma = 4.65 \cdot 10^{13}$  eV,  $2\sigma_{\varepsilon in} = 4.65 \cdot 10^9$  eV,  $g_2/g_1 = 1$ ,  $C = 6.336$  km,  $N_{int} = 20$ ,  $l_{dec} = 1167$  km  $\gg C/N_{int}$ . The laser beam is continuous,  $\Delta\omega_0^l/\omega_0^l = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$ ,  $\hbar\omega_0^l = 4.0$  eV ( $\lambda_0^l = 3102\text{\AA}$ ). The interaction length  $l_{int} = 6.2$  m,  $l_{int} \simeq l_{int}^c$ .

In this case the rms transverse size of the laser beam at the waist  $\sigma_{l0} \simeq 2.77 \cdot 10^{-2}$  cm, the saturation intensity  $I_c \simeq 5.06 \cdot 10^4$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and corresponding saturation power  $\overline{P}_c = 243$  W. If the saturation parameter  $D = 10^2$  and finesse  $F = 10^3$ , the intraresonator power  $\overline{P}_L^{ir} \simeq 2.43 \cdot 10^4$  W and the average laser power  $\overline{P}_l \simeq 24.3$  W. The average power of the scattered radiation  $\overline{P}^s = 3.31 \cdot 10^7$  eV/s, the damping time  $\tau_\varepsilon = 2.34$  min.

We have investigated the possibility of the ion beam cooling for different ions using nuclear transitions. The results of this investigation are presented in the Table 1. In this Table:  $J^\pi$  is a nuclear level having spin  $J$ , and a parity  $\pi$ ;  $E$ , an isomeric level energy;  $\alpha$ , a conversion coefficient;  $T_{1/2}$ , a nuclear level half life for unionized atom. For isomeric level the value  $T_{1/2}$  is connected with the isomeric level radiative time in the rest frame  $\tau'^{rad}$  by the relation  $T_{1/2} = (1 + \alpha)\tau'^{rad}$ . The collected nuclei are meet the following requirements. They have a ground states half-life  $T_{1/2}$  of more than an year. They have an isomeric level in the energy range  $E < 50$  keV. This level is a first excited level in the nucleus as a rule (if the isomer is the second excited level, the isomer branching ratio equals to zero for the decay to the first excited level). The isomer half-life in the fully stripped (naked) ion  $\tau'^{rad}$  is less than some  $\mu s$ . At this Table we presented also the minimum damping time  $\tau_{\varepsilon min}$  of ion beams for  $\hbar\omega_0^l = 4.0$  eV,  $\delta_{\varepsilon in} = 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ .

The relativistic factor range is  $\gamma = 603(^{151}\text{Sm}(3/2^-, 4.821$  keV)) –  $6193(^{81}\text{Kr}(9/2^+, 49.55$  keV)) for the laser photon's energy 4 eV. For the  $^{201}\text{Hg}$  nucleus relativistic factor  $\gamma = 195$ . There are no experimental values for the  $^{201}\text{Hg}(1/2^-, 1.556$  keV) isomer half life and conversion coefficient. We have used estimations obtained in the work [27] for the Table 1.

Below we will consider a realistic example of cooling of  $^{151}_{62}\text{Sm}^{62+}$  in the HERA storage ring.

Example 3. Ion transition energy  $\hbar\omega_{tr} = 4.821$  keV,  $\tau'^{rad} = 0.322\mu s$ ,  $M = 151m_u$ ,  $\gamma = 350$ ,  $Mc^2\gamma = 4.92 \cdot 10^{13}$  eV,  $2\sigma_{\varepsilon in} = 4.92 \cdot 10^9$  eV,  $g_2/g_1 = 1.5$ ,  $C = 6.336$  km,  $N_{int} = 20$ ,  $l_{dec} = 33.81$

km  $\gg C/N_{int}$ . The laser beam is continuous,  $\Delta\omega_0^l/\omega_0^l = 10^{-7}$ ,  $\hbar\omega_0^l = 6.9$  eV ( $\lambda_0^l = 1800\text{\AA}$ ). The interaction length  $l_{int} = 10$  m,  $l_{int} > l_{int}^c$ .

In this case the rms transverse size of the laser beam at the waist  $\sigma_{l0} \simeq 2.68 \cdot 10^{-2}$  cm, the saturation intensity  $I_c \simeq 4.2 \cdot 10^6$  W/cm<sup>2</sup> and corresponding saturation power  $\overline{P}_c = 18.9$  kW. If the saturation parameter  $D = 1$ , the intracavity power  $\overline{P}_l^{ir} \simeq 1.89 \cdot 10^4$  W, a finesse  $F = 10^3$  then the average laser power  $\overline{P}_l \simeq 18.9$  W. The average power of the scattered radiation  $\overline{P}^s = 2.29 \cdot 10^8$  eV/s, the damping time  $\tau_\varepsilon = 21.7$  s.

The level decay time  $\tau^{rad} = \gamma\tau'^{rad} = 112.7$   $\mu$ s ( $\sim 5.3$  turns) is much less than the period of phase oscillations. It means, that the enhanced method of laser cooling of ion beams can be produced in the longitudinal plane in a bucket.

## 4 Conclusion

The considered examples show that naked ion beams can be cooled in the longitudinal direction for an acceptable damping time  $\tau_\varepsilon < 1$  h using lasers of moderate power and high finesse open resonators in optical/UV regions.

Conventional present day optical lasers ( $\hbar\omega_0^l = 1 \div 2$  eV) and high finesse optical resonators can be used to cool the ions  $^{201}\text{Hg}$ ,  $^{151}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{171}\text{Tm}$ ,  $^{239}\text{Pu}$ ,  $^{169}\text{Tm}$ ,  $^{83}\text{Kr}$ ,  $^{187}\text{Os}$  in the future LHC storage ring<sup>2</sup>. These ions have transition energies  $\hbar\omega_{tr} < 10$  eV. Almost all ions presented in the Table 1 can be cooled in LHC using conventional present day UV lasers ( $\hbar\omega_0^l \sim 4 \div 10$  eV) and UV resonators.

$^{201}\text{Hg}$  ions can be cooled in the storage ring HERA for the cooling time  $\tau_\varepsilon < 1.0$  h (we suppose  $\tau'_\varepsilon = 20$   $\mu$ s) if nuclear transition energy  $\hbar\omega_{tr} = 1.556$  keV and conventional UV lasers ( $\hbar\omega_0^l \sim 4$  eV) will be used. To cool in HERA ions with transition energies  $\hbar\omega_{tr} \leq 10$  keV (see above) we need in the more high energy UV lasers ( $\hbar\omega_0^l \sim 10$  eV). The power of the present day conventional UV lasers suitable for cooling such ions is not enough [29] - [33]. At present, powerful free electron laser with an average power  $\overline{P}_l > 2$  kW is in operation and one with an average power in excess of  $10 \div 100$  kW in the infrared ( $\lambda_0^l > 1\mu\text{m}$ ) is designed at Jefferson Lab in USA [34], [35]. At the same laboratory multi-kW laser in UV region ( $\hbar\omega_0^l \sim 4$  eV,  $\overline{P}_l = 3$  kW) has been planned [35]. Near the same parameters infrared FEL was realized by JAERI FEL group in Japan. This group has planned the UV laser ( $\hbar\omega_0^l \sim 12$ ) eV as well [36]. The capital cost for a complete facility is estimated to be \$55M in production. The energy of the electron beams of the superconducting liner accelerators in such facilities will be  $\sim 200$  MeV [37]. The width of the spectral line in free-electron lasers is  $\Delta\omega_0^l/\omega_0^l \sim 10^{-3}$ . It can be made narrow in the prebunched (parametric) free-electron lasers using long ( $\sim 10\text{mm}$ ) electron bunches tuned to a side maximum [40] and a system of coupled resonators (a mode selection method) [38], [39]. It follows that conventional lasers and free-electron lasers will be able to solve the problem of high power optical and UV lasers for cooling of naked ions in HERA and LHC in the nearest future.

To cool nuclear beam in the transverse direction we can use longitudinal-radial coupling of betatron and phase oscillations through the synchro-betatron resonance. In this case the cooling time will be increased  $\sim 2$  times.

---

<sup>2</sup>Although the wavelength of a laser that has been actually applied for such a high-finesse Fabry-Perot resonator is limited to  $1.064$   $\mu\text{m}$ , the fabrication technique is sufficiently applicable to shorter wavelengths [28].

Notice, that excitation energies of electronic levels of not fully stripped ions are much lower than nuclear ones. At the same time the scattering cross-section for electronic transitions is much larger than for nuclear ones. That is why effective laser cooling of both non relativistic and relativistic not fully stripped ion beams can be realized in storage rings easily.

E.G.Bessonov thanks F.Willeke for useful discussions.

This work was supported partly by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Grant No. 01-02-16199, Grant No 02-02-16209 and Grant No. 00-15-96651 in Support of the Leading Scientific Schools.

## References

- [1] M.Genter, R.Brinkman, Ya. Derbenev, et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth., A424, (1999), p. 277.
- [2] Yu.Martirosyan, V.Ayvazyan, K.Balevski, R.Brinkmann, P.Wesolowski, Y.Derbenev, Proc. of the Seventh European Particle Accelerator Conference EPAC'2000.
- [3] D.Habs, V.Balykin, M.Griezer, R.Grimm, E.Jaeshke, M.Music, W.Petrich, D.Schwalm, A.Wolf, G.Huber, R.Neumann, Proc. of the Workshop on Electron Cooling and New Techniques, Lengardo, Padova - Italy, 1990, World Scientific, p.122.
- [4] E.G.Bessonov, Journal of Russian Laser Research, 15, No 5, (1994), p.403; Proc. of the Internat. Linear Accel. Conf. LINAC94, Tsukuba, KEK, August 21-26, 1994, Vol.2, pp. 786-788.
- [5] E.G.Bessonov and Kwang-Je Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, vol.76, No 3, p.431.
- [6] E.G.Bessonov, K.-J.Kim, Proc. of the 1995 Part. Accel. Conf. and Int. Conf. on High-Energy Accel., p.2895; Proc. 5th European Particle Accel. Conference, Sitges, Barcelona, 10-14 June 1996, v.2, p. 1196.
- [7] E.G.Bessonov, F.Willeke, Preprint DESY HERA 95-09, December 1995.
- [8] E.G.Bessonov, K.-J Kim, F.Willeke, physics/9812043.
- [9] E.G.Bessonov, physics/0001067; Proc. of 18th Advanced ICFA Beam Dynamics Workshop on Quantum Aspects of Beam Physics, QABP2k-2000, Capri, Italy, October 15-20, 2000, World Scientific, p. 113; physics/0203036 (presented to Phys. Rev. STAB).
- [10] K.-J.Kim, Proc. of the 7th Workshop on Advanced Accelerator Concepts, Lake Tahoe, CA, 1996, AIP Conference Proceedings 398, p.243; LBNL-40004 (1996)
- [11] R. Loudon, *The Quantum Theory of Light* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1973); P.W.Miloni, J.H.Eberly, *Lasers* (Wiley, New York, 1998).
- [12] V. B. Berestetsky, E. M. Lifshits, and L. P. Pitaevsky, *Relativistic Quantum Theory*, Pt. 1 (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1968.
- [13] E.V. Tkalya, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **55**, 1611 (1992) [*Yad. Fiz.* **55**, 2881 (1992)]; W. Greiner and J.A.Maruhn, *Nuclear Models*, (Springer, 1996).

- [14] S.Shröder, R.Clein, N.Boos et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., v. 64, No 24, p.2901 (1990);
- [15] J.S.Hangst, M.Kristensen, J.S.Nielsen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., v. 67. 1238 (1991); J.S.Hangst, K.Berg-Sorensen, P.S.Jessen et al. Proc. IEEE Part. Accel. Conf., San Francisco, May 6-9, NY, 1991, v.3, p.1764.
- [16] J.S.Hangst, M.Kristensen, J.S.Nielsen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., v. 67. 1238 (1991); J.S.Hangst, K.Berg-Sorensen, P.S.Jessen et al. Proc. IEEE Part. Accel. Conf., San Francisco, May 6-9, NY, 1991, v.3, p.1764.
- [17] W.Petrich et al., Phys. Rev. A, v.48, No 3, 1993, p.2127.
- [18] E.Bonderup, Proc. 5th Accelerator School, Ed.: S.Turner, CERN 95-06, v.2, p.731, Geneva, 1995.
- [19] J.S.Hangst et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., v.74, No 22, p.4432 (1995).
- [20] E.G.Bessonov, Bulletin of the American Physical Society, Vol.40, No 3, May 1995, p.1196.
- [21] K.W.Robinson, Phys. Rev., 1958, v.111, No 2, p.373; A.Hoffman, R.Little, J.M.Peterson et al., Proc. VI Int. Conf. High Energy Accel. Cambridge (Mass.), 1967, p.123.
- [22] H.Okamoto, A.M.Sessler, and D.Möhl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3977 (1994); T.Kihara, H.Okamoto, Y.Iwashita, et al. Phys. Rev. E, v.59, No 3, p. 3594, (1999).
- [23] O'Neil G., Phys. Rev., 102, 1418 (1956); A.Shoch, Nucl. Instr. Meth, v.11, p.40 (1961).
- [24] I.Lauer, U.Eisenbarth, M.Grieser, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., v.31, No 10, p.2052, 1998.
- [25] J.Chen, K.Imasaki, M.Fujita, C.Yamanaka et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth., A341 (1994), p.360.
- [26] Zhirong Huang, Ronald D.Ruth, Laser-Electron Storage Ring, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1998, v. 80, No 5, p.976.
- [27] V.F. Strizhov and E.V. Tkalya, Doklady Akademii Nauk **325**, 284 (1992); V.F. Strizhov and E.V. Tkalya, Preprint of the Nuclear Safety Institute of RAS N 25, Moscow, 1991.
- [28] I.Yamane, T.Suzuki, K.Takayama, physics/0211034.
- [29] B.R.Benware, A.Ozols, J.J.Rocca et al., Optics Letters, v.24, No 23, p. 1714, 1999.
- [30] I.A.Artioukov, B.R.Benware, J.J.Rocca et al., Journal of selected topics in quantum electronics, v. 5, No 6, p. 1495, 1999.
- [31] Physics Today, september 2000, p.24.
- [32] A.Rundquist, C.G.Durfee III, Zenghu Chang, et al. Science, v.280, 1998, p.1412.
- [33] L.Le Deroff, P. Salieres, B. Carre, Optics Letters, v.23, No 19, Oct. 1, 1988, p.1544.
- [34] S.V.Benson, Nucl. Instr. Meth., A483, (2002), p. 1.

- [35] G.R.Neil, Nucl. Instr. Meth., A483, (2002), p. 14.
- [36] E.J.Minehara, Nucl. Instr. Meth., A483, (2002), p. 8.
- [37] A.M.M.Todd, G.R.Neil, Proc 23rd Int. Free Electron Laser Confer. FEL'2001, Darmstadt, Germany, Elsevier Science, 2002, p. II-5.
- [38] E.B.Szarnez, S.V.Benson, J.M.J.Madey, Nucl. Instr. Meth., A 296, 98 (1990).
- [39] V.I.Alekseev, E.G.Bessonov, M.L.Vnukova, Quantum Electron. v.23 (6), 1993, p. 520.
- [40] V.I.Alekseev, E.G.Bessonov, A.V.Serov, Nucl. Instr. Meth., A282, (1989), p. 439.

Table 1. Damping time  $\tau_{\epsilon \text{ min}}$  was calculated for  $\hbar\omega_0^I = 4.0 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\delta_{\epsilon} = 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ .

Nucleus	Ground State		Isomeric State				$\tau'$ rad	$\tau_{\epsilon \text{ min}}^{[\text{sec}]}$
	$J^{\pi}$	$T_{1/2}$	$J^{\pi}$	E, keV	$T_{1/2}$	$\alpha$		
$^{201}\text{Hg}$	$3/2^-$	stable	$1/2^-$	1.556	(1–10) ns	$(2.1\text{--}4.7) \cdot 10^4$	(20–500) $\mu\text{s}$	$(3\text{--}70) \cdot 10^2$
$^{151}\text{Sm}$	$5/2^-$	93 y	$3/2^-$	4.821	35 ns	920	0.322 $\mu\text{s}$	3.8
$^{171}\text{Tm}$	$1/2^+$	1.92 y	$3/2^+$	5.029	4.77 ns	1408	6.72 $\mu\text{s}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^2$
$^{239}\text{Pu}$	$1/2^+$	$2.41 \cdot 10^3$ y	$3/2^+$	7.861	36 ps	5950	0.214 $\mu\text{s}$	7.1
$^{169}\text{Tm}$	$1/2^+$	stable	$3/2^+$	8.41	4.08 ns	285	1.17 $\mu\text{s}$	27.6
$^{83}\text{Kr}$	$7/2^+$	stable	$9/2^+$	9.396	147 ns	17.09	2.66 $\mu\text{s}$	23.1
$^{187}\text{Os}$	$1/2^-$	stable	$3/2^-$	9.746	2.38 ns	264	0.631 $\mu\text{s}$	16.5
$^{133}\text{Ba}$	$1/2^+$	10.5 y	$3/2^+$	12.322	7.0 ns	70.3	0.5 $\mu\text{s}$	9.3
$^{193}\text{Pt}$	$3/2^-$	50 y	$5/2^-$	14.276	2.52 ns	151	0.383 $\mu\text{s}$	8.6
$^{57}\text{Fe}$	$1/2^-$	stable	$3/2^-$	14.413	98.3 ns	8.56	0.94 $\mu\text{s}$	7.5
$^{151}\text{Eu}$	$5/2^+$	stable	$7/2^+$	21.541	9.6 ns	28	0.278 $\mu\text{s}$	4.6
$^{149}\text{Sm}$	$7/2^-$	stable	$5/2^-$	22.507	7.12 ns	29.2	0.215 $\mu\text{s}$	2.6
$^{119}\text{Sn}$	$1/2^+$	stable	$3/2^+$	23.871	18.03 ns	5.22	0.112 $\mu\text{s}$	1.9
$^{161}\text{Dy}$	$5/2^+$	stable	$5/2^-$	25.6515	29.1 ns	2.35	97.5 ns	1.5
$^{201}\text{Hg}$	$3/2^-$	stable	$5/2^-$	26.269	630 ps	76.7	49 ns	1.1
$^{227}\text{Ac}$	$3/2^-$	21.77 y	$3/2^+$	27.37	38.3 ns	4.5	0.211 $\mu\text{s}$	4.5
$^{129}\text{I}$	$7/2^+$	$1.57 \cdot 10^7$ y	$5/2^+$	27.8	16.8 ns	5.0	0.101 $\mu\text{s}$	1.1
$^{40}\text{K}$	$3^-$	$1.28 \cdot 10^9$ y	$4^-$	29.83	4.24 ns	0.3	5.52 ns	0.024
$^{237}\text{Np}$	$5/2^+$	$2.14 \cdot 10^6$ y	$7/2^+$	33.1964	54 ps	185	10.0 ns	0.26
$^{125}\text{Te}$	$1/2^+$	stable	$3/2^+$	35.492	1.48 ns	14	22.2 ns	0.4
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$3/2^-$	stable	$1/2^-$	36.202	0.53 ns	21	11.66 ns	1.5
$^{121}\text{Sb}$	$5/2^+$	stable	$7/2^+$	37.133	3.46 ns	11.11	41.9 ns	0.55
$^{129}\text{Xe}$	$1/2^+$	stable	$3/2^+$	39.568	0.97 ns	12.31	12.9 ns	0.23
$^{233}\text{U}$	$5/2^+$	$1.592 \cdot 10^5$ y	$7/2^+$	40.35	0.12 ns	350	42.12 ns	1.1
$^{249}\text{Bk}$	$7/2^+$	320 d	$9/2^+$	41.79	9 ps	126	1.14 ns	0.03
$^{243}\text{Am}$	$5/2^-$	7370 y	$7/2^-$	42.2	40 ps	160	6.4 ns	0.17
$^{250}\text{Cf}$	$0^+$	13.08 y	$2^+$	42.722	98 ps	1293	127 ns	8.9
$^{240}\text{Pu}$	$0^+$	6550 y	$2^+$	42.824	164 ps	928	152 ns	10.2
$^{246}\text{Cm}$	$0^+$	4730 y	$2^+$	42.851	121 ps	1080	131 ns	9.0
$^{244}\text{Cm}$	$0^+$	11.11 y	$2^+$	42.965	97 ps	1060	103 ns	7.0
$^{248}\text{Cm}$	$0^+$	$3.397 \cdot 10^5$ y	$2^+$	43.40	124 ps	1014	126 ns	8.7
$^{234}\text{U}$	$0^+$	$2.446 \cdot 10^5$ y	$2^+$	43.498	252 ps	724	183 ns	12.0
$^{238}\text{Pu}$	$0^+$	87.74 y	$2^+$	44.08	177 ps	799	142 ns	9.4
$^{242}\text{Pu}$	$0^+$	$3.763 \cdot 10^5$ y	$2^+$	44.64	158 ps	759	120 ns	8.1
$^{238}\text{U}$	$0^+$	$4.468 \cdot 10^9$ y	$2^+$	44.91	203 ps	618	126 ns	8.4
$^{236}\text{U}$	$0^+$	$2.342 \cdot 10^7$ y	$2^+$	45.242	234 ps	597	140 ns	9.2
$^{244}\text{Pu}$	$0^+$	$8.26 \cdot 10^7$ y	$2^+$	46	155 ps	642	100 ns	6.8
$^{235}\text{U}$	$7/2^-$	$703.8 \cdot 10^6$ y	$9/2^-$	46.204	14 ps	65	0.92 ns	0.023
$^{183}\text{W}$	$1/2^-$	stable	$3/2^-$	46.484	188 ps	8.63	1.81 ns	0.046
$^{232}\text{U}$	$0^+$	70 y	$2^+$	47.572	245 ps	464	114 ns	7.4
$^{232}\text{Th}$	$0^+$	$1.405 \cdot 10^{10}$ y	$2^+$	49.369	345 ps	332	115 ns	7.5
$^{81}\text{Kr}$	$7/2^+$	$2.1 \cdot 10^5$ y	$9/2^+$	49.55	3.9 ns	1.3	8.97 ns	0.08