

Coherent Control of Superfluidity with Photoassociation

Matt Mackie and Kalle-Antti Suominen^y

^xHelsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014 Helsinki University of Technology, Finland

^yDepartment of Applied Physics, University of Turku, FIN-20014 Turku University of Applied Sciences, Finland

Juha Javanainen

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269-3046, USA

(May 20, 2019)

We theoretically examine photoassociation of a dual Fermi degenerate gas, with a focus on adjusting atom-atom interactions so as to raise the critical temperature of the BCS transition to a superfluid state. As it stands, extremely far-off resonant light (detuning 10^{14} Hz) is required to overcome spontaneous decay, leading to enormous intensity requirements (10^8 W/cm²) [Mackie et al., Opt. Express 8, 118 (2000)]. However, by considering photoassociation to a pair of molecular levels, and adjusting the phase of the laser to allow for destructive interference of spontaneous decay, superfluidity can be delivered within reach of existing experiments for modest values of intensity.

PACS number(s): 05.30.Fk, 03.75.Fi, 34.50.Rk

Studies of degeneracy in dual Fermi gases [1,2] currently face a bottleneck in the push to reach temperatures cold enough to form Cooper pairs: When the temperature of the dual gas falls below the Fermi temperature, T_F , evaporative cooling begins to stall as the lowest energy states become occupied with near-unit probability, and Pauli blocking thereby amplifies technical difficulties to limit the lowest achieved temperature to about a third of the Fermi temperature [3]. Meanwhile, the formation of Cooper pairs requires a further reduction in temperature of at least an order of magnitude [4,5]. However, by adjusting atom-atom interactions, it appears feasible to raise the temperature of the BCS transition to an experimentally accessible regime. Competing means of adjustment include the Feshbach resonance [6,8], rf microwave fields [9], dc electric fields [10], and photoassociation [11-13].

Both photoassociation [14] and the Feshbach resonance [15,16] have recently been proposed for driving superfluidity in a Fermi degenerate gas of atoms; but in either case the molecular state can be a liability. For the Feshbach resonance, the bound state lies very close to the dissociation threshold, and the subsequent sensitivity, e.g., to collisions will limit the lifetime of the Cooper pair (an issue not yet fully addressed). On the other hand, photoassociation generally occurs to an excited electronic state, and the superfluid lifetime is limited by spontaneous emission. Indeed, a one-second lifetime and a critical temperature of a tenth the Fermi temperature requires a far-off resonant photoassociation laser (detuning 10^{14} Hz) and, consequently, an enormous light intensity (10^8 W/cm²) [14].

The purpose of this Letter is therefore to develop a means for inducing the BCS transition to a superfluid state that is both robust and user-friendly. We consider photoassociation of a dual Fermi gas of atoms occurring to a pair of excited-state molecular levels. A proper inclusion of spontaneous decay indicates that, for a judi-

cious choice of phase for the photoassociating laser (causing the two dipoles to oscillate exactly out of step), the joint molecular emission interferes destructively, bringing superfluidity into the experimentally accessible temperature regime for only modest intensity requirements. Presently degenerate fermions are ⁴⁰K [1] and ⁶Li [2], and before closing we estimate specific values for these systems.

We model a binary mixture of fermionic atoms, denoted by the fields $\psi_{1,2}(r)$, photoassociating into two different bosonic molecules, denoted by $\phi_{1,2}(r)$, as a system which is of course the neutral particle version of the boson-fermion model of high-temperature superconductivity [17]. Instead of electrons, the fermions herein would typically be two states with different z components of angular momentum in the same atom, which avoids any Pauli blocking of s-wave photoassociation. A gain, a generic free-bound transition via photon absorption leads to a molecule that is unstable against spontaneous emission and, since there is no particular reason why this decay should deposit the ensuing population back into the Fermi degenerate gas, such a molecule is considered lost for our purposes. Consequently, assuming photoassociation occurring to vibrational levels in the same electronic manifold, we add a non-Hermitian term proportional to the spontaneous decay rate of the excited electronic state, and incorporate the possibility for interference by appropriately adding molecular amplitudes.

The Hamiltonian density for the atom-molecule system described above is

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^{-1} H = & \int d^3r \left[\psi_1^\dagger \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + \mu_1 \right) \psi_1 + \psi_2^\dagger \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{4m} + \mu_2 \right) \psi_2 \right. \\ & + \int d^3r \left[D_1 \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 \phi_1^\dagger + D_2 \psi_2^\dagger \psi_1 \phi_2^\dagger \right] \\ & \left. + \int d^3r \left[\frac{1}{2} i \left(\phi_1^\dagger \dot{\phi}_1 + \phi_2^\dagger \dot{\phi}_2 \right) \right] \right] \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

The detuning of the photoassociating laser from the respective vibrational levels is $\delta_1 = \omega_1 - \omega_L - \omega_1$ and $\delta_2 = \omega_2 - \omega_L - \omega_2$, where $\omega_1 = \omega_2$, $\hbar\omega_{21} = \hbar(\omega_1 - \omega_2) > 0$ is the separation in energy between the molecular vibrational levels, $\hbar\omega_1$ is the binding energy of the l th molecular state, $\hbar\omega_L$ is the energy of the photon, and $\hbar\omega_1$ is the asymptotic energy difference between the two electronic manifolds.

A low-momentum approximation is implicit, whereby relevant atom-atom collisions are described by a contact interaction of strength $g = 4\hbar a/m$, with a the s -wave scattering length. Similarly, correcting the bosonic result [13] with a statistical factor of $1/2$, the free-bound coupling strength D_{12} is given as

$$D_{12}(r) = \frac{R_{12}^{-3/2}}{2} \frac{I(r)}{I_1} e^{i\phi_1(r)}; \quad (2)$$

Here $R = \hbar/2m\lambda^2$ is the usual photon recoil frequency, λ is the wavelength of the photoassociating light, $I(r)$ is the prevailing light intensity at the position r , and $\phi_1(r)$ records the phase of the given laser-dipole interaction. Finally, if the photoassociation rate coefficient γ is known (in cm^3/s) at a temperature T and detuning δ , the characteristic intensity I_1 is given (in W/cm^2) as [13,14]

$$I_1 = \frac{p \hbar^{-1} \gamma}{2 \lambda^2 m^2 (k_B T)^{3/2}} e^{\hbar/k_B T}; \quad (3)$$

According to the Heisenberg equations of motion, the l th molecular field evolves in time as

$$i\dot{c}_l = \frac{\hbar r^2}{4m} c_l + \sum_j D_{lj} c_j + \frac{1}{2} i \sum_j \chi_j c_j; \quad (4)$$

We assume that ω_j is the largest frequency scale in the problem, and solve Eq. (4) adiabatically for the field c_l . Keeping also an imaginary part in the energy, we substitute the result into Eq. (1) to obtain an effective Hamiltonian involving only fermions,

$$\hbar^{-1} H_e = \sum_l \frac{\hbar r^2}{2m} c_l^\dagger c_l + e^{i\phi_1} \sum_l \sum_j D_{lj} c_l^\dagger c_j; \quad (5)$$

The influence of photoassociating light on atom-atom interactions is now evident in the effective collisional interaction strength

$$g_{e,e} = \frac{D_{11}^2}{1} + \frac{D_{22}^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} i \left[\frac{D_{11} D_{12}}{1} + \frac{D_{22} D_{21}}{2} + 2 \frac{D_{11} D_{22}}{1 \cdot 2} \cos\phi' \right]; \quad (6)$$

with $\phi' = \phi_2 - \phi_1$.

From Eq. (6), it is clear that the spontaneous decay of (virtual) excited molecules will limit the lifetime of the superfluid state through inelastic atom-atom scattering

events. Specifically, combining Eqs. (2) and (6) gives the Cooper pair lifetime as

$$\tau_C = \frac{4}{R} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{R_{12}^2}{I_1} + \frac{2R_{12}}{I_1 I_2} \cos\phi'}; \quad (7)$$

where the $R_{12} = \omega_1 - \omega_2 = \omega_{21}$ is the ratio of the detunings and $(\omega_{21})^2$ was used for the dual-atom density term $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{\omega_1 \omega_2}$. We are nonetheless free to adjust the lifetime of the superfluid state according to the phase of the photoassociating laser and, in particular, $\tau_C = 1$ is achieved by choosing ϕ' such that the bracketed term in Eq. (7) vanishes. For $(R_{12})^2 = 1$, which is usually the case, and a critical intensity that scale with binding energy as [13] $I_2 = I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{\omega_1 \omega_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{\omega_{21}^2}$, we find $\phi' = \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{\omega_{21}^2} = R_{12})$. Hereafter, not only is spontaneous decay from the excited molecular state a non-issue, but the detuning remains a free parameter.

Tuning to the sought-after increase in the BCS transition temperature, we ignore the native scattering length a_0 on the assumption that the associated collisional interaction is already too weak for experimental utility. The atom-atom interactions are now due solely to the light shifted scattering length,

$$a_L = \frac{1}{16} \frac{R}{I_1} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{1} R_{12}}; \quad (8)$$

and the choice of $a_L < 0$ gives the attraction necessary for the Cooper pairing. Having assumed the detuning is large enough to allow for adiabatic elimination of the molecular field, the rigorous Fermi-Bose thermodynamics will reduce to the usual BCS theory [16]; hence, the critical temperature for the superfluid transition is $T_c = T_F \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{k_F} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\omega_{21}}]$, where $T_F = \hbar^2 k_F^2 / 2m k_B$ and $k_F = (3 \frac{2}{\pi})^{1/3} n^{1/3}$ are the Fermi temperature and wave number, respectively. Substituting Eq. (8) yields

$$\frac{T_c}{T_F} = \exp\left[-\frac{25.5220}{(-3)^{1/3}} \frac{j \cdot j I_1}{R \cdot I} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{1} R_{12}} \right]; \quad (9)$$

Focusing on the experimentally relevant systems, ^{40}K [1] and ^6Li [2], the fixed parameter values are listed in Table I. Assuming adiabatic elimination is enabled for a detuning much greater than a typical linewidth, say, $j \cdot j = 200 \text{ MHz}$, and that $T_c = 0.1 T_F$ is accessible, the estimated intensity requirements are $I_K = 10 \text{ W}/\text{cm}^2$ and $I_{Li} = 0.05 \text{ W}/\text{cm}^2$. Photoassociation therefore provides a means for creating a superfluid state with a coherently controlled lifetime that is well within reach of current experiments.

The authors acknowledge support from the Academy of Finland and the EU IST EQUIP program (MM and K-A S), as well as NSF and NASA (J.J).

TABLE I. Characteristic photoassociation intensities I_1 for ^{40}K and ^6Li , along with the corresponding wavelengths 2^{-} , densities $=^{-3}$, and recoil frequencies ν_R . The lithium I_1 results from an explicit calculation for the triplet $= 79$ vibrational level with binding energy $\nu_1 = 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, whereas the potassium value is an approximation [13].

Atom	I_1 (mW cm ⁻²)	2^{-} (nm)	$=^{-3}$ (cm ⁻³)	ν_R (2 kHz)
^6Li	9.8	671	$8.21 \cdot 10^{14}$	63.3
^{40}K	0.18	766	$5.52 \cdot 10^{14}$	8.72

- [1] B. D'Amico and D. S. Jin, *Science* 285, 1703 (1999).
- [2] A. G. Truscott, K. E. Strecker, W. I. McAlexander, G. B. Partridge, and R. G. Hulet, *Science* 291, 2570 (2001).
- [3] M. J. Holland, B. D'Amico, and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. A* 61, 053610 (2000).
- [4] H. T. C. Stoof, M. Houbiers, C. A. Sackett, and R. G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 76, 10 (1996).
- [5] M. Houbiers, H. T. C. Stoof, R. Ferwerda, W. I. McAlexander, C. A. Sackett, and R. G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. A* 56, 4864 (1997).
- [6] E. Tiesinga, A. J. M. Oerdijk, B. J. Verhaar, and H. T. C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev. A* 46, R1167 (1993).
- [7] J. M. Vogels, C. C. Tsai, R. S. Freeland, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, B. J. Verhaar, and D. J. Heinzen, *Phys. Rev. A* 56, R1067 (1997).
- [8] S. L. Comish, N. R. Claussen, J. L. Roberts, E. A. Cornell, and C. E. Wieman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, 1795 (2000).
- [9] A. J. M. Oerdijk, B. J. Verhaar, and T. M. Nagtegaal, *Phys. Rev. A* 53, 4343 (1996).
- [10] M. Marinescu and L. You, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 4596 (1998).
- [11] P. O. Fedichev, Yu. Kagan, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and J. T. M. Walraven, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 2913 (1996).
- [12] J. L. Bohn and P. S. Julienne, *Phys. Rev. A* 56, 1486 (1997).
- [13] M. Kostrun, M. Mackie, R. Côté, and J. Javanainen, *Phys. Rev. A* 62, 063616 (2000).
- [14] M. Mackie, E. Timmermans, R. Côté, and J. Javanainen, *Opt. Express* 8, 118 (2000).
- [15] J. L. Bohn, *Phys. Rev. A* 61, 053409 (2000).
- [16] M. Holland, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, M. Chiofalo, and R. Walser, LANL e-print cond-mat/0103479.
- [17] R. Friedberg and T. D. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B* 40, 6745 (1989).