

Mathematical investigation of the Boltzmann collisional operator

C.Y. Chen

Dept. of Physics, Beijing University of Aero. and Astro., Beijing 100083, PRChina, Email: cychen@buaa.edu.cn

PACS 51.10.+y Kinetic and transport theory of gases

Abstract

Problems associated with the Boltzmann collisional operator are unveiled and discussed. By careful investigation it is shown that collective effects of molecular collisions in the six-dimensional position and velocity space are more sophisticated than they appear to be.

The Boltzmann equation was strongly criticized by Boltzmann's contemporaries and successors. As a subject of serious debate it involved a large number of scientists and philosophers. One of the main reasons for having such debate lay in the fact that Boltzmann explicitly employed the time reversibility of Newton's law to derive his kinetic equation while the equation itself appeared to be time-irreversible. Even today, related paradoxes still bother some of us and stimulate serious studies (for instance those in chaos theory).

In this brief paper, we wish to report on our recent investigation of the Boltzmann collisional operator. It is shown that collective effects of molecular collisions in the six-dimensional phase space are more sophisticated than they appear to be.

Let's recall key points in the derivation of the Boltzmann collisional operator given by textbooks of statistical mechanics [1]. For purposes of this paper, only collisions between identical, but still distinguishable, molecules are considered here. (Such consideration makes sense in classical mechanics, not in quantum mechanics.) Suppose that a beam of molecules with the initial velocity \mathbf{v}_1 collide with a molecule with the initial velocity \mathbf{v}_2 . The scattering cross section $\bar{\sigma}$ in the laboratory frame is, according to the standard theory, defined in such a way that

$$N = \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}'_2) d\mathbf{v}'_1 d\mathbf{v}'_2 \quad (1)$$

represents the number of type 1 molecules per unit time, per unit flux emerging after collisions between \mathbf{v}'_1 and $\mathbf{v}'_1 + d\mathbf{v}'_1$ while the type 2 molecule emerging between \mathbf{v}'_2 and $\mathbf{v}'_2 + d\mathbf{v}'_2$. For exactly the same situation, the scattering cross section in the center-of-mass frame is defined as such that $N = \sigma(\Omega) d\Omega$

represents the number of type 1 molecules per unit time emerging after scattering within the range $d\Omega$ where Ω is the solid angle between $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{v}_2' - \mathbf{v}_1'$. The two cross sections are related to each other by

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma(\Omega) d\Omega = \int_{\mathbf{v}_1'} \int_{\mathbf{v}_2'} \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_1', \mathbf{v}_2') d\mathbf{v}_1' d\mathbf{v}_2'. \quad (2)$$

It is assumed in the standard theory that there is time-reversibility of collision expressed by

$$\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_1', \mathbf{v}_2') = \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}_1', \mathbf{v}_2' \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2). \quad (3)$$

By making use of (3) and (2), the net increase of molecules in a given volume $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$ within the time interval dt is obtained as[1][2]

$$dt d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{v}_1 \int_{\mathbf{v}_2, \Omega} [f(\mathbf{v}_1') f(\mathbf{v}_2') - f(\mathbf{v}_1) f(\mathbf{v}_2)] u \sigma(\Omega) d\Omega d\mathbf{v}_2. \quad (4)$$

In the standard collisionless theory, the net increase per unit time and per unit phase volume is found to be $df/dt = 0$. By assuming (4) to be a correction term, we arrive at the Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}_1} = \int_{\mathbf{v}_2, \Omega} [f(\mathbf{v}_1') f(\mathbf{v}_2') - f(\mathbf{v}_1) f(\mathbf{v}_2)] u \sigma(\Omega) d\Omega d\mathbf{v}_2. \quad (5)$$

Although the derivation outlined above seems quite stringent, there exist hidden loopholes. Interestingly enough, even a simple comparison between the left side and right side of the above Boltzmann equation offers us delicate things to think about. On the left side, there is a symmetry between \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{v}_1 (in terms of differentiations). On the right side, the position vector \mathbf{r} serves as an inactive ‘parameter’ and all the operations are performed in the velocity space. Along this line, many mathematical difficulties manifest themselves.

Our first concrete concern is associated with the scattering cross section in the laboratory frame, namely $\bar{\sigma}$ defined by (1). Reconsider the situation in which type 1 molecules (with \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_1') collide with a type 2 molecule (with \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_2'). The conservation laws of energy and momenta imply that \mathbf{v}_1' and \mathbf{v}_2' obey, for any given \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 ,

$$|\mathbf{v}_2' - \mathbf{v}_1'| = |\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1| = |\mathbf{u}| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_1' + \mathbf{v}_2' = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = 2\mathbf{c}. \quad (6)$$

This simply means that all scattered molecules will fall on a spherical surface with the diameter $|\mathbf{u}|$ in the velocity space, called the accessible surface

hereafter. By investigating what takes place in the velocity space thoroughly, two misconcepts associated with the definition of $\bar{\sigma}$ can readily be found. One is that after $d\mathbf{v}'_1$ is specified, specifying $d\mathbf{v}'_2$ in the definition is a work overdone. The other is that the cross section should be defined with respect to an area element on the accessible surface (infinitely thin) rather than with respect to a volume element (like $d\mathbf{v}'_1$ in the definition). The second misconception is particularly serious in the following sense. If we assume the volume element $d\mathbf{v}'_1$ in (1) to be a small spherical ball whose center lies on the accessible surface, as shown in Fig. 1a, the number of molecules entering the small spherical ball per unit time can be expressed by $N = \rho\pi r^2$, where r is the radius of the small ball and ρ is the surface density of molecules on the area of the accessible surface per unit flux of type 1 molecules. By noting that ρ is finite, we find that the cross section in (1) is equal to, with $d\mathbf{v}'_2$ neglected,

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{N}{d\mathbf{v}'_1} = \frac{\rho\pi r^2}{4\pi r^3/3} = \frac{3\rho}{4r}, \quad (7)$$

and it tends to infinity as $r \rightarrow 0$. In contrast with that, if the volume element $d\mathbf{v}'_1$ is assumed to be one like a tall-and-slim cylindrical box shown in Fig. 1b, $\bar{\sigma}$ tends to zero. Finally, if $d\mathbf{v}'_1$ is one shown in Fig. 1c, $\bar{\sigma}$ tends to infinity again. All these mean that the scattering cross section defined by (1) depends on the chosen shape and size of the volume element $d\mathbf{v}'_1$ and should not be considered as a well-defined quantity.




Figure 1: Several possible shapes of the velocity element $d\mathbf{v}'_1$.

The investigation above states that many formulas in the standard derivation, from (1) to (4), are not as meaningful as the conventional wisdom assumes. To view the issue in a clearer perspective, we now follow the standard approach as closely as possible and evaluate the net change of molecular number as directly as possible (which means the evaluation will be done without using $\bar{\sigma}$).

Firstly, we are concerned with the molecules leaving, due to collisions, a fixed volume $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$ during dt . To formulate these molecules, the following three steps appear to be essential. (i) $f(\mathbf{v}_1)d\mathbf{v}_1$ and $f(\mathbf{v}_2)d\mathbf{v}_2$ are identified as two colliding beams in the laboratory frame. (ii) By switching to the center-of-mass frame, the number of collisions is formulated as $dt \int_{\Omega} u[f(\mathbf{v}_2)d\mathbf{v}_2][d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1 f(\mathbf{v}_1)\sigma(\Omega)d\Omega]$, where the cross section $\sigma(\Omega)$, instead of the troublesome $\bar{\sigma}$, has been employed. (iii) Integrating the formula in the last step over \mathbf{v}_2 , the number of the molecules in $f(\mathbf{v}_1)d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$ involving collisions during dt is found to be

$$dt d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1 \int_{\mathbf{v}_2, \Omega} f(\mathbf{v}_1)f(\mathbf{v}_2)u\sigma(\Omega)d\Omega d\mathbf{v}_2. \quad (8)$$

Comparing (8) with the second term of (4) seemingly suggests that things went as smoothly as expected, but, if one wishes to further conclude that the molecules represented by (8) are just those that leave $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$ during dt because of collisions, a mathematical paradox arises sharply. Note that dt , $d\mathbf{r}$ and $d\mathbf{v}_1$ are three quantities that are chosen independently. If $|\mathbf{v}_1 dt|$ is much larger than $|d\mathbf{r}|$, where $|d\mathbf{r}|$ represents the length scale of $d\mathbf{r}$, it is easy to see that all the molecules in $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$, suffering collisions or not, will leave the volume element during dt anyway. In other words, in order for (8) to make the “proper” sense, we need to assume that $|\mathbf{v}_1 dt| \ll |d\mathbf{r}|$. This assumption is, unfortunately, not more correct than the contrary.

Then, molecules that enter $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$ during dt due to collisions are under investigation. We take the following four steps to do that. (i) $f(\mathbf{v}'_1)d\mathbf{v}'_1$ and $f(\mathbf{v}'_2)d\mathbf{v}'_2$ are identified as two colliding beams. (ii) The number of collisions is similarly formulated in the center-of-mass frame as

$$dt d\mathbf{r}' \int_{\Omega} u[f(\mathbf{v}'_1)d\mathbf{v}'_1][f(\mathbf{v}'_2)d\mathbf{v}'_2]\sigma(\Omega)d\Omega, \quad (9)$$

where $d\mathbf{r}'$ has purposely been assumed to be different from $d\mathbf{r}$ for a reason that will be given soon. (iii) It is now in order to return the laboratory frame, where $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$ is defined, and determine what fraction of the type 1 colliding molecules expressed by (9) enter $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$. Surprisingly enough, the task, if defined as that in the standard approach, cannot be accomplished in any meaningful way. For this to be seen, follow the standard approach and let $d\mathbf{r}'$ be identical with $d\mathbf{r}$, which reduces, with one’s notice or not, the spread issue (how molecules spread after collisions) in the six-dimensional phase space to the one only in the three-dimensional velocity space. Along this road, we come back to the “old location of the maze”: for the given $f(\mathbf{v}'_1)d\mathbf{v}'_1$ and $f(\mathbf{v}'_2)d\mathbf{v}'_2$, the density of the type 1 molecules entering $d\mathbf{v}_1$ after collisions

depends on the shape and size of $d\mathbf{v}_1$ (varying from zero to infinity). With this kind of uncertainty, the entire formulation becomes meaningless. (iv) If the last step were somehow completed meaningfully (which will be done elsewhere) appropriate integrations should be performed to get the entire number of molecules entering $d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{v}_1$ during dt because of collisions.

To get a brief and heuristic understanding of the issues raised above, let us think about the following thought experiment. Suppose that all the microscopic states of molecules in a gas are completely known at $t = 0$. At $t = T > 0$, we measure all molecules and find that the molecules that suffered collisions during $0 < t < T$ acquire not only new velocities but also new positions (in comparison with the ‘old’ positions determined by their collisionless trajectories). That is to say, for any given time period, collisions alter molecular velocities and molecular positions with roughly the same ‘efficiency’ and the two effects have to be investigated in the six-dimensional phase space on an equal footing.

A complete discussion on involved questions and problems is much beyond the scope of this brief paper. In some of our recent works[3][4], we make more analyses and try to introduce an alternative approach.

The work is partly supported by the fund provided by Education Ministry, PRC.

References

- [1] See, for instance, Reif F. *Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics* (McGraw-Hill book Company, 1965).
- [2] Harris E.G. *Introduction to Modern Theoretical Physics* (John Wiley and Sons, 1975).
- [3] Chen C.Y. *Perturbation Methods and Statistical Theories* in English, (International Academic Publishers, Beijing, 1999).
- [4] Chen C.Y. Preprint physics/0006033; Preprint physics/0010015.