ΛN space-exchange correlation effects in ${}^{5}_{\Lambda}$ He hypernucleus

A. A. Usmani^{*}

Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202 002, India and

Inter University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics (IUCAA), Ganeshkhind, Pune-411 007, India

(Dated: December 22, 2018)

A complete realistic study of ${}^{5}_{\Lambda}$ He hypernucleus is presented using a realistic Hamiltonian and wave function. This study takes into account all relevant dynamical correlations along with ΛN space-exchange correlation (SEC). We also compute ΛNN force and the correlation induced by this force. The SEC affects the central repulsive ΛN correlation significantly at $r \leq 2.0$ fm, specially at its peak and in its vicinity. SEC significantly affects energy breakdown of the hypernucleus, Λ -seperation energy, nuclear core (NC) ploarization and density profiles. A large NC polarization is found with and without SEC, respectively. The SEC effect is relatively large in two-pion exchange component of ΛNN force. Therefore, any attempt to pin down the strength of this force with no SEC would be flawed.

PACS numbers: 21.80.+a, 21.10.Pc, 13.75.Ev, 13.75.Cs

Very recently, realistic studies [1, 2] have been performed on s-shell single hypernuclei using realistic twonucleon (NN) Argonne v_{18} [3] potential and threenucleon (NNN) Urbana model-IX [4, 5] potential in the non-strange sector in conjunction with the two-baryon (ΛN) Urbana type charge symmetric potential [6, 7] and three-baryon (ΛNN) potential [8, 9, 10] in the strange sector. In an alternative approach, Nemura *et.al.* [11] have also performed an *ab-initio* calculation on all the s-shell hypernuclei by explicitly including Σ degree of freedom at the two-body level. Besides, there have been studies of ${}^{5}_{\Lambda}$ He [12] and of ${}^{17}_{\Lambda}$ O [13] using truncated NN (v_6) potential. A couple of these studies were aimed to pin down the strengths of ΛNN force [2, 12]. In the above as well as in other realistic, microscopic studies of hypernuclei [9, 14, 15] to date, the ΛN space-exchange correlation (SEC) has always been put aside while writing the wave function that describes the hypernucleus. This is despite the fact that the expectation value of the corresponding ΛN space-exchange potential which arises due to an equivalent ΛN interaction in the relative pstate is not small. This has been demonstrated in the Urbana charge symmetric potentials, in many such recent calculations.

Having ΛN potential

$$v_{\Lambda N}(r) = v_0(r)(1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon P_x^{\ell}) + \frac{1}{4}v_{\sigma}T_{\pi}^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\Lambda}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_N, \quad (1)$$

$$v_0(r) = \frac{W_c}{1 + \exp(r - R)/a} - \overline{v}T_{\pi}^2.$$
 (2)

one solves the Schrödinger equation

$$\left[\frac{-\hbar^2}{2\mu_{\Lambda N}}\nabla^2 + \tilde{v}^{\ell}_{s(t)}(r) + \theta_{\Lambda N} + \frac{\hbar^2\ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu_{\Lambda N}r^2}\right]f^{\ell}_{s(t)}(r) = 0,$$
(3)

to obtain the radial solutions $f_s^{\ell}(r)$ and $f_t^{\ell}(r)$ with the help of the quenched ΛN potentials in singlet and triplet

states

$$\tilde{v}_s^\ell(r) = [v_c(r) - \alpha_{2\pi} \bar{v} T_\pi^2] (1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon P_x^\ell) + \frac{3}{4} \alpha_\sigma v_\sigma T_\pi^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\tilde{v}_t^\ell(r) = [v_c(r) - \alpha_{2\pi} \bar{v} T_\pi^2] (1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon P_x^\ell) - \frac{1}{4} \alpha_\sigma v_\sigma T_\pi^2.$$
(5)

where P_x^{ℓ} is a Majorana space-exchange operator and ε is the corresponding exchange parameter. The $\overline{v} = (v_s + 3v_t)/4$ and $v_{\sigma} = v_s - v_t$ are, respectively the spinaverage and spin-dependent strengths, with $v_{s(t)}$ the singlet(triplet) state depths. T_{π} is the one-pion exchange (OPE) tensor potential and $\theta_{\Lambda N}$ is an auxiliary potential that ensures the asymptotic behaviour of long range correlation functions.

The central repulsive ΛN correlation has a radial dependence

$$f_{\Lambda N}^c(r) = f_{\Lambda N}^0(r). \tag{6}$$

With the SEC having radial dependence

$$u^{x}(r) = \frac{f_{\Lambda N}^{0}(r) - f_{\Lambda N}^{1}(r)}{2},$$
(7)

central correlation is modified as described by

$$f_{\Lambda N}^{c}(r) = \frac{f_{\Lambda N}^{0}(r) + f_{\Lambda N}^{1}(r)}{2} = f_{\Lambda N}^{0}(r) - u^{x}(r).$$
(8)

Here $f_{\Lambda N}^{\ell}(r)$ is the spin averaged correlation function,

$$f_{\Lambda N}^{\ell}(r) = \frac{f_s^{\ell}(r) + 3f_t^{\ell}(r)}{4}.$$
 (9)

The weak spin-spin correlation function

$$u_{\Lambda N}^{\sigma}(r) = \frac{f_s^0(r) - f_t^0(r)}{4}$$
(10)

is found insignificant in this study.

In Ref. [1] the variational wave function [16] for nuclei is generalized:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \left[1 + \sum_{j < k}^{A-1} U_{\Lambda jk} + \sum_{i < j < k}^{A-1} (U_{ijk} + U_{ijk}^{TNI}) + \sum_{i < j}^{A-1} U_{ij}^{LS}\right] |\Psi_p\rangle,$$

where the pair wave function, Ψ_p , is

$$|\Psi_p\rangle = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{A-1} (1+U_{\Lambda j})\right] \left[S\prod_{i< j}^{A-1} (1+U_{ij})\right] |\Psi_J\rangle.$$
(11)

Here U_{ij} , U_{ij}^{LS} , $U_{\Lambda j}$, U_{ijk} , U_{ijk}^{TNI} and $U_{\Lambda jk}$ are the non commuting two- and three- baryon correlation operators. S is the symmetrization operator and $|\Psi_J\rangle$ is the anti-symmetric Jastrow wave function

$$|\Psi_{J}\rangle = \left[\prod_{j(12)$$

Using variational Monte Carlo (VMC) method we calculate the Λ -seperation energy

$$B_{\Lambda} = \frac{\langle \Psi_{A-1} | H_{NC} | \Psi_{A-1} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{A-1} | \Psi_{A-1} \rangle} - \frac{\langle \Psi_A | H | \Psi_A \rangle}{\langle \Psi_A | \Psi_A \rangle}, \qquad (13)$$

where Ψ_A and Ψ_{A-1} are the wavefunctions of the hypernucleus and its isolated bound NC. Similarly, H and H_{NC} are used to refer to the non-relativistic Hamiltonians of the hypernucleus and its NC.

The Hamiltonians are written using potentials as mentioned. For the ΛNN force, one may write two Wigner types of forces, namely, the dispersive ΛNN force $(V_{\Lambda NN}^D)$ suggested by the suppression mechanism due to $\Lambda N - \Sigma N$ coupling [17, 18, 19, 20], and the two-pion exchange $(TPE) \Lambda NN$ force $(V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi})$

$$V_{\Lambda NN} = V_{\Lambda NN}^D + V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi}.$$
 (14)

The phenomenological dispersive force with explicit spin dependence is written as [9]

$$V_{\Lambda ij}^{D} = W^{D} T_{\pi}^{2}(r_{\Lambda i}) T_{\pi}^{2}(r_{\Lambda j}) [1 + \frac{1}{6} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\Lambda} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j})].$$
(15)

 $V^{2\pi}_{\Lambda NN}$ is written as a sum of two terms due to p- and s-wave $\pi-N$ scatterings given below

$$V_{\Lambda ij}^{PW} = -\left(\frac{C^{PW}}{6}\right) (\boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j) \{X_{i\Lambda}, X_{\Lambda j}\}$$
(16)

FIG. 1: ΛN central correlation and space-exchange correlation (SEC) functions.

TABLE I: Energy breakdown for ${}^{5}_{\Lambda}$ He hypernucleus. All quantities are in units of MeV.

	with u^x with no u^x		Difference
	А	В	A-B
T_{Λ}	8.75(3)	9.05(3)	-0.30(6)
$v_0(r)(1-\epsilon)$	-12.56(4)	-13.06(4)	0.50(8)
$v_0(r)\epsilon P_x^0$	-5.24(2)	-4.79(2)	-0.45(4)
$\frac{1}{4}v_{\sigma}T_{\pi}^{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\Lambda}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{N}$	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\hat{V}_{\Lambda N}$	-17.80(6)	-17.85(6)	0.05(12)
$V^D_{\Lambda NN}$	2.38(1)	2.50(1)	-0.12(2)
$V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi}$	-2.46(2)	-2.88(2)	0.42(4)
$V_{\Lambda NN} = V_{\Lambda NN}^D + V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi}$	-0.13(1)	-0.38(1)	0.25(2)
$V_{\Lambda}^{Total} = v_{\Lambda N} + V_{\Lambda NN}$	-17.93(6)	-18.23(6)	0.30(2)
$E_{\Lambda} = T_{\Lambda} + V_{\Lambda}^{Total}$	-9.18(4)	-9.18(4)	0.0(8)
T_{NC}	117.38(18)	116.58(18)	0.80(36)
v_{NN}	-133.90(16)	-132.50(16)	-1.40(32)
V_{NNN}	-5.67(2)	-5.77(2)	0.10(4)
$V_{NC} = v_{NN} + V_{NNN}$	-139.57(16)	-138.27(16)	-1.30(32)
$E_{NC} = T_{NC} + V_{NC}$	-22.19(4)	-21.68(4)	-0.51(8)
$E(^{5}_{\Lambda}\text{He})$	-31.40(2)	-30.85(2)	-0.55(4)
$B_{\Lambda}($ Expt. 3.12(2))	3.66(3)	3.11(3)	-0.55(4)

and

$$V_{\Lambda ij}^{SW} = C^{SW} Z(\mu r_{i\Lambda}) Z(\mu r_{j\Lambda}) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{i\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{j\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j, \quad (17)$$

with

$$X_{\Lambda i} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\Lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}) Y_{\pi}(r_{\Lambda i}) + S_{\Lambda i} T_{\pi}(r_{\Lambda i}),$$

$$Z(x) = \frac{x}{3} \left[Y_{\pi}(x) - T_{\pi}(x) \right].$$
(18)

 W^D , C^{PW} and C^{SW} are the strengths of the potential. $S_{\Lambda i}$ is a tensor operator, Y_{π} is OPE Yukawa function and subscripts i, j and Λ refer to two nucleons and a Λ in the triplet ($\Lambda i j$). $V_{\Lambda NN}^{SW}$ is discussed in detail in Ref. [21]. In order to obtain optimal realistic wave function we include all correlations induced by these potential pieces in $U_{\Lambda i j}$ as [1]

$$U^m_{\Lambda ij} = \epsilon_{m=D,SW,PW} V^m(\tilde{r}_{\Lambda i}, \tilde{r}_{ij}, \tilde{r}_{j\Lambda}), \qquad (19)$$

using ϵ_m as a variational parameter and \tilde{r} as a scaled ΛN pair distance used for triplet correlation function.

The space-exchange operation interchanges the positions of Λ and nucleon in a ΛN pair and thereby, it affects the centre of mass (c.m.) of the hypernucleus. This is redetermined after every such operation using

$$\mathbf{R}_{c.m.} = \frac{m \sum_{i=1}^{A-1} \mathbf{r}_i + m_\Lambda \mathbf{r}_\Lambda}{m_N (A-1) + m_\Lambda}$$
(20)

as all the positions of baryons are measured from the c.m. of the whole system, $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R_{c.m.}}$. This is essential to make the wave function translationally invariant.

TABLE II: NC polarization. All quantities are in units of MeV.

		With u^x		With no u^x	
	$^{4}\mathrm{He}$	NC	Polarization	NC	Polarization
	А	В	B-A	\mathbf{C}	C-A
$T_{NC}^{internal}$	107.94(14)	115.63(18)	7.69(7)	114.73(18)	6.79(7)
v_{NN}	-130.45(16)	-133.90(32)	-3.45(6)	-132.50(16)	-2.05(6)
V_{NNN}	-5.21(2)	-5.67(2)	-0.46(2)	-5.77(2)	-0.56(2)
$V_{NC} = v_{NN} + V_{NNN}$	-135.66(14)	-139.57(18)	-3.91(4)	-138.27(14)	-2.61(4)
$E_{NC}^{internal} = T_{NC}^{internal} + V_{NC}$	-27.73(1)	-23.95(2)	3.78(4)	-23.55(2)	4.18(4)

Consequently, one has to exchange positions of a Λ with all the nucleons one by one, keep track of the shift in c.m. and distances due to this operation, and then repeatedly calculate the wave function involving all other two-body and three-body correlations. This is computationally very difficult to implement.

It turns out [1, 13] that the strong repulsive central correlation has a major contribution to binding energies, nuclear core (NC) polarization and density profiles of N and Λ . NC polarization is just the rearrangement energy which is the difference of internal energy (defined later) of the NC in the hypernucleus and the energy of an identical isolated bound nucleus. A significant modification of this correlation may strongly affect the above results. In Fig. 1, we plot the repulsive central correlation in case of no SEC given by Eq. (6) and and also with SEC given by Eq. (8) along with $u^{x}(r)$. These functions have been obtained using our best optimal variational wave functions for both the cases. We note a substantial modification of central repulsive correlation at the peak of the correlation and in its vicinity due to presence of $u^{x}(r)$. The $u^{x}(r)$ is found substantially effective at $r \leq 2.0$ fm and has no effect at $r \geq 2.4$ fm where it vanishes, thereby $f_{\Lambda N}^{c}(r)$ remains the same for both the cases. This does warrant a crucial role of SEC in hypernuclear studies. Findings of this work suggest that a study ignoring SEC would be misleading, specially while determining the strength of ΛNN potential discussed below. Any attempt to resolve the notorious A = 5 anomaly [10, 14, 22, 23] would be hopelessly defecient if SEC is ignored.

We present our energy results for the cases (i) with no *SEC* (ii) and with *SEC* in the wave function. For the first case, we optimize our variational wave function and choose a value for the strength $C^{PW}=0.75$ MeV and then adjust the dispersive strength W^D in order to reproduce the experimental Λ -seperation energy $B_{\Lambda} = 3.12(2)$ MeV. Doing so, W^D turns out to be 0.125 MeV. We then switch on the *SEC* and again optimize the variational wavefunction and then calculate the energy of the hypernucleus. In this case, we note that the hypernucleus is over bound by 0.55(4) MeV. Therefore, B_{Λ} turns out to be 3.65(3) MeV. The detailed energy breakdown is presented in Table I. We note a significant contribution of

SEC in every piece of energy. As reported [1, 12, 13, 21] $V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi}$ has a generalised tensor-tau type structure. It is found sensitive to operatorial correlations as well as to SEC. The contribution of SEC to central ΛN potential $(v_0(r)(1-\varepsilon))$ is 0.50(8) MeV. It is alomst cancelled by its contribution to space-exchange part of the potential $(v_0(r)\varepsilon P_x)$ which is found to be -0.45(4) MeV. Its contribution to Λ kinetic energy $T_{\Lambda} = -0.3$ MeV is balanced by its relatively large effect on $V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi}$ which is found to be 0.25(2) MeV in which $V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi}$ is 0.42(4) MeV and $V_{\Lambda NN}^{D}$ is -0.12(2) MeV. Therefore, Λ -energy turns out to be the same i.e. -9.18(4) MeV with and with no SEC. This may be accidental in case of ${}^{5}_{\Lambda}$ He that may not hold in general. For both the cases we note significant difference of 0.55(4) MeV in the binding energy of hypernucleus as well as its B_{Λ} value. This is due to the effect of SEC in NC part of the energy, which adds to NC polarization or rearrangement energy.

In order to calculate the polarization energy of NC, we calculate the internal energy $(E_{NC}^{internal})$ of the (A-1)subsystem. Taking into account the c.m. motion of the subsystem, we write down

$$T_{NC}^{internal} = \sum_{i=1}^{A-1} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{A-1} p_i\right)^2}{2(A-1)m} \equiv T_{NC} - T_{NC}^{c.m.}, \quad (21)$$

where $T_{NC}^{c.m.}$ represents the kinetic energy due to c.m. motion of (A-1) subsystem around the c.m. of hypernucleus. We compare the energy breakdown of ${}^{4}\text{He}$ and NC of ⁵_AHe in Table II. A total polarization of 3.78(4) MeV and 4.18(4) MeV is found with and with no SEC. The polarization in both cases as well as their difference is large. SEC reduces the polarization by about 0.4 MeV. Nemura et. al. [11] also report a large polarization. Similar was the result for ${}^{17}_{\Lambda}O$ [13]. We also note that the point proton radius in ${}^{5}_{\Lambda}$ He with no SEC is 1.66(1) fm and with SEC is 1.62(1) fm, therefore, it is more compact with SEC. This is because of the reduction in repulsive central correlation $f_{\Lambda N}^{c}(r)$ due to presence of $u^{x}(r)$ which pushes the nucleon towards the periphery (cf. Fig. 2). For isolated ⁴He point proton radius is 1.46(1) fm whereas 'experimental' value is 1.47 fm.

The density profiles of proton (p) and Λ are plotted in Fig. 2. Like previous studies [1, 13], most of the

FIG. 2: Density profiles of p and Λ .

time Λ is found in the interior region. The repulsive central $f_{\Lambda N}^c$ correlation pushes the nucleon both towards centre and at periphery. As this correlation gets modified with the presence of $u^x(r)$, a change is observed in pdensity profile in ${}_{\Lambda}^5$ He near the centre (upper panel) and at the peripheral region (lower panel). The Λ skin is also observed in the lower panel where peripheral densities are plotted.

We conclude that SEC is an important correlation which, being quite significant at $r \leq 2.0$ fm, modifies the $f_{\Lambda N}^c$ central correlation considerably at its peak and in its vicinity. Its effect is exhibited in energy breakdown of the hypernucleus, Λ -seperation energy, NC ploarization and density profiles. We also note that it strongly affects the expectation value of $V_{\Lambda NN}^{2\pi}$ and $V_{\Lambda NN}^D$. Therefore, it should not be ignored in any attempt to pin down the strengths of ΛNN potential. A detailed study to pin down these strengths and also to resolve the A = 5anomaly by including all the ground- and excited-state s-shell single- and double-hypernuclei is in progress.

The author acknowledges the Grant No. SP/S2/K-32/99 sanctioned to him by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India. He would like to thank S. C. Pieper for discussions that led to the conception of this work many years ago.

- * Electronic address: pht25aau@amu.ac.in
- A. A. Usmani and S. Murtaza, Phys. Rev. C68, 024001 (2003).
- [2] R. Sinha, Q. N. Usmani and B. M. Taib, Phys. Rev. C66, 024006 (2003).

- B. S. Pudliner, V. R. Pandharipande, J. Carlson and R. B. Wiringa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4396 (1995).
- [5] J. Carlson, V. R. Pandharipande and R. B. Wiringa, Nucl. Phys. A401, 59 (1983).
- [6] A. R. Bodmer and Q. N. Usmani and J. Carlson, Phys. Rev. C29, 684 (1984).
- [7] I. E. Lagaris and V. R. Pandharipande, Nucl. Phys. A359, 331 (1981).
- [8] R. K. Bhaduri, B. A. Loiseau and Y. Nogami, Anns. Phys. (N. Y) 44, 57 (1967).
- [9] A. R. Bodmer and Q. N. Usmani, Nucl. Phys. A477, 621 (1988).
- [10] A. Gal, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 8, 1 (1975).
- [11] H. Nemura, Y. Akaishi, and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 142504-1(2002).
- [12] A. A. Usmani, Phys. Rev. C52, 1773, (1995).
- [13] A. A. Usmani, S. C. Pieper and Q. N. Usmani, Phys. Rev. C51, 2347 (1995).
- [14] R. H. Dalitz, R. C. Herndon, and Y. C. Tang, Nucl. Phys. B47, 109 (1972).
- [15] Q. N. Usmani, Nucl. Phys. A340, 397 (1980).
- [16] A. Arriaga, V. R. Pandharipande and R. B. Wiringa, Phys. Rev. C52, 2362 (1995); B. S. Pudliner, V. R. Pandharipande, J. Carlson, S. C. Pieper and and R. B. Wiringa, Phys. Rev. C56, 1720 (1997).
- [17] A. R. Bodmer and D. M. Rote Nucl. Phys. A169, 1 (1971).
- [18] J. Rozynek and J. Dabrowski, Phys. Rev. C20, 1612 (1979); 23, 1706(1981); Y. Yamamoto and H. Bando, Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 81, 9(1985); Y. Yamamoto, Nucl. Phys. A450, 275c (1986).
- [19] A. R. Bodmer, D. M. Rote and A. L. Mazza, Phys. Rev. C2, 1623 (1970).
- [20] Q. N. Usmani and A. R. Bodmer, Phys. Rev. C60, 055215(1999).
- [21] A. A. Usmani, Phys. Rev. C: submitted.
- [22] B. Povh, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 5, 245 (1980).
- [23] E. V. Hungerford and L. C. Biedenhorn, Phys. Lett. 142B, 232 (1984).



Figure 1: ΛN central correlation and space-exchange correlation (SEC) functions.



Figure 1: Density profiles of p and Λ .