

A relation among the effective nucleon mass, the incompressibility and the effective σ -meson mass in nuclear matter

Yoshitaka Iwasaki, Hiroaki Kouno, Akira Hasegawa and Masahiro Nakano*

Department of Physics, Saga University Saga 840, Japan

*University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu 807, Japan

Abstract

A relation among the effective nucleon mass M^* , the incompressibility K and the effective σ -meson mass m_s^* in nuclear matter is studied by using the relativistic nuclear model. We found that there is a strong correlation between M^* and m_s^* , while there is only a weak correlation between K and m_s^* . At the normal density, m_s^* is smaller than the one at zero density, if M^* is smaller than 0.8 times of the nucleon mass at zero density. It is also found that the off-shell effective mass μ_s^* is related directly to K and M^* at the normal density.

1 Introduction

Recently, the π - π scattering phase shift is reanalyzed and the existence of the light iso-singlet scalar σ -meson is strongly suggested. [1] The similar results are also obtained by reanalyzing the $\pi^0\pi^0$ mass spectra and angular distributions around $K\bar{K}$ -threshold and at 1.5GeV in $p\bar{p}(\text{at rest}) \rightarrow 3\pi^0$. [2]

Although the existence of the σ -meson is not still established, this meson play an important role for the nuclear matter properties in the quantum hadrodynamics(QHD). For example, the nuclear saturation properties are realized by a balance of attractive effects of the σ -meson and repulsive effects of the ω -meson. [3]

The effective self-interactions (or potentials) of σ -meson play an important role in determining the effective nucleon mass and the incompressibility of the nuclear matter. [4] Inversely, in QHD, the properties of the effective potentials in the symmetric nuclear matter are almost determined if the values of the effective nucleon mass M_0^* at the normal density and the incompressibility K are given as input parameters. The effective σ -meson mass is also determined if the values of these two quantities are given, since it can be defined as a second derivative of the effective potential with respect to the σ -meson field. In this paper, we study the relation among the effective nucleon mass, the incompressibility and the effective σ -meson mass within the framework of QHD.

2 Formalism

We use the relativistic Hartree approximation (RHA) [5] based on the σ - ω model. [3] The Lagrangian density is composed of three fields, the nucleon ψ , the scalar σ -meson ϕ and the vector ω -meson V^μ , and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu - M + g_s\phi - g_v\gamma_\mu V^\mu)\psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2\phi^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_v^2V_\mu V^\mu + \sum_{n=0}^4 C_n\phi^n; \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where M , μ_s , μ_v , g_s , g_v and C_n are constant parameters. The last term in (1) is a counter term and C_n is determined by the phenomenological renormalization conditions at zero baryon density, namely,

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \langle \phi \rangle^n} U_{1\text{-loop}}^V(\langle \phi \rangle) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4), \quad (2)$$

where $U_{1\text{-loop}}^V$ is the unrenormalized 1-loop effective potential induced by the vacuum fluctuations and $\langle \phi \rangle$ is the ground state expectation value of the σ -meson field.

Replacing the meson fields by their ground state expectation values $\langle \phi \rangle$ and $\langle V^0 \rangle$, we obtain the equation of motions for $\langle \phi \rangle$ and for $\langle V^0 \rangle$, namely,

$$\rho_s = \frac{1}{C_s^2} g_s \langle \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{C_s^2} (M - M^*) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\rho = \frac{1}{C_v^2} g_v \langle V^0 \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $M^* (\equiv M - g_s \langle \phi \rangle)$, ρ_s and ρ are the effective nucleon mass, the scalar density and the baryon density, respectively, and $C_s = g_s/\mu_s$ and $C_v = g_v/\mu_v$. The scalar density $\rho_s = \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ and the baryon density $\rho = \langle \bar{\psi}\gamma_0\psi \rangle$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_s(k_F, \langle \phi \rangle) &= \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2} M^* \left[k_F E_F^* - M^{*2} \ln \left(\frac{k_F + E_F^*}{M^*} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{g_s} \frac{dU_{1\text{-loop}}^{V,R}}{d\langle \phi \rangle} \equiv \rho_s^D(k_F, \langle \phi \rangle) + \rho_s^V(\langle \phi \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\rho(k_F) = \frac{\lambda}{3\pi^2} k_F^3, \quad (6)$$

where λ is the number of degree of freedom of nucleons, k_F is the Fermi momentum, $E_F^* = \sqrt{k_F^2 + M^{*2}}$, respectively. The ρ_s^D and ρ_s^V are the density part and vacuum fluctuation part of the scalar density, respectively. The $U_{1\text{-loop}}^{V,R}$ is the renormalized effective potential induced by the 1-loop vacuum fluctuation effects and is given by [5]

$$\begin{aligned} U_{1\text{-loop}}^{V,R}(\langle \phi \rangle) &= - \frac{\lambda}{8\pi^2} [M^{*4} \ln(M^*/M) + M^3(M - M^*) \\ &- \frac{7}{2} M^2(M - M^*)^2 + \frac{13}{3} M(M - M^*)^3 - \frac{25}{12} (M - M^*)^4]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The energy density of the nuclear matter is also given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(k_F, \langle \phi \rangle, \langle V^0 \rangle) &= \varepsilon_N(k_F, M^*) + \varepsilon_v(\langle V^0 \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mu_s^2 \langle \phi \rangle^2 + U_{1\text{-loop}}^{V,R}(\langle \phi \rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_N(k_F, \langle \phi \rangle) = \frac{\lambda}{12\pi^2} \left[3k_F^3 E_F^* + \frac{3}{2} M^{*2} k_F E_F^* - \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{k_F + E_F^*}{M^*} \right) \right] \quad (9)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_v(k_F, V_0) = g_v \langle V^0 \rangle \rho - \frac{\mu_v^2}{2} \langle V^0 \rangle^2 = \frac{\mu_v^2}{2} \langle V^0 \rangle^2. \quad (10)$$

It is easy to show that

$$\rho_s^D = -\frac{1}{g_s} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_N}{\partial \langle \phi \rangle} \quad (11)$$

Using the thermodynamical identity, we obtain

$$\frac{\varepsilon + P}{\rho} = \mu = E_F^* + C_v^2 \rho, \quad (12)$$

where P and μ are the pressure and the baryonic chemical potential of the nuclear matter, respectively. At the normal density ρ_0 , the pressure P vanishes. Then, Eq. (12) yields [4]

$$C_v^2 = (-a_1 + M - \sqrt{k_{F0}^2 + M_0^{*2}})/\rho_0, \quad (13)$$

where the quantity with zero subscript shows the one at the normal density and a_1 is the value of the binding energy. Equation (13) and the condition $C_v^2 > 0$ gives a condition $M_0^*/M < 0.944$. The incompressibility K of the nuclear matter is given by

$$K = 9\rho_0^2 \left. \frac{\partial^2(\varepsilon/\rho)}{\partial \rho^2} \right|_{\rho=\rho_0} = 9 \left. \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=\rho_0} = 9\rho_0 \left. \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=\rho_0} \quad (14)$$

Putting $\mu = E_F^* + C_v^2 \rho$ into (14), we obtain

$$K = 9\rho_0 \left(\frac{k_F^3}{3\rho E_F^*} + \frac{g_v^2}{\mu_v^2} + \frac{M^*}{E_F^*} \frac{dM^*}{d\rho} \right) \Big|_{\rho=\rho_0} \quad (15)$$

Next we calculate the self-energy Π_s of the σ -meson by using the random phase approximation (RPA). [6] Using the same renormalization conditions as (2) for the effective potential and the usual renormalization conditions for the σ -meson wave function, we obtain

$$\Pi_s(q; \langle \phi \rangle, k_F) = \Pi_s^V(q^2; \langle \phi \rangle) + \Pi_s^D(q; \langle \phi \rangle, k_F). \quad (16)$$

The particle-antiparticle excitation part Π_s^V does not depend explicitly on k_F and is given by

$$\Pi_s^V(q^2; \langle \phi \rangle) = \frac{3g_s^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \left[3M^{*2} + M^2 - 4MM^* - q^2 x(1-x) - A^{*2} \ln \frac{A^{*2}}{M^2} \right], \quad (17)$$

where $A^{*2} = M^{*2} - q^2 x(1-x)$. The Π_s^D which includes the particle-hole excitations and the Pauli-blocking effects depends explicitly on k_F and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_s^D(q; \langle \phi \rangle, k_F) = & -ig_s^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr}[G_D(k)G_F(k+q) \\ & + G_F(k)G_D(k+q) + G_D(k)G_D(k+q)] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where G_D and G_F are the density part and the Feynman part of the nucleon propagator in RHA.

Now we define two kinds of effective σ -meson mass. First, we define an "off-shell" effective mass μ_s^* by

$$\mu_s^{*2} \equiv \mu_s^2 + \lim_{q_0 \rightarrow 0} \lim_{|\mathbf{q}| \rightarrow 0} \Pi_s(q). \quad (19)$$

The μ_s^* can be regarded as a range of the nuclear force which is mediated by the σ -meson in the nuclear matter. The μ_s^* can be related to the effective potential of the nuclear matter. In fact, it is easy to show that

$$\mu_s^{*2} = \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon}{\partial <\phi>^2}. \quad (20)$$

Differentiating the equation of motion (3) for the σ -meson field with respect to the baryon density and using Eqs. (11) and (20), we obtain

$$\frac{dM^*}{d\rho} = -\frac{g_s^2 M^*}{E_F^* \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon}{\partial <\phi>^2}} = -\frac{g_s^2}{\mu_s^{*2}} \frac{M^*}{E_F^*} \quad (21)$$

Putting (21) into (15), we obtain

$$K = 9\rho_0 \left(\frac{k_F^3}{3\rho E_F^*} + \frac{g_v^2}{\mu_v^2} - \frac{g_s^2}{\mu_s^{*2}} \frac{M^{*2}}{E_F^{*2}} \right) \Big|_{\rho=\rho_0}. \quad (22)$$

The μ_s^* is not an "on-shell" mass which is defined by the pole of the propagator

$$\Delta(q) = \frac{1}{q^2 - (\mu_s^2 + \Pi_s(q))}. \quad (23)$$

We define the "on shell" effective mass m_s^* by the equation

$$m_s^{*2} \equiv \mu_s^2 + \Pi_s(q) \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{q}^2=0 \\ q_0^2=m_s^{*2}}}. \quad (24)$$

In particular, at $\rho = 0$, we define

$$m_s^2 \equiv \mu_s^2 + \Pi_s(q) \Big|_{\substack{\mathbf{q}^2=0 \\ q_0^2=m_s^2}}, \quad (25)$$

where m_s is the physical mass of σ -meson.

In the ordinary RHA $K = 473\text{MeV}$, which is much larger than the empirical value $150 \sim 350\text{MeV}$. [7, 8] Therefore, we add an additional potential of the σ -meson self-interaction

$$U^H(<\phi>) = \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} D_n (g_s <\phi>)^n = \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} D_n (M - M^*)^n \quad (26)$$

to the Lagrangian (1). We regard $U^H(<\phi>)$ as the effective potential induced by the higher-order quantum corrections beyond 1-loop approximation. We remark that, in Eq. (26), the terms of $<\phi>^0 \sim <\phi>^4$ have been canceled by

the counter term to the higher-order quantum corrections just as Eq. (2). By this modification, Eqs (8) and (16) are modified as

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(k_F, \langle \phi \rangle, \langle V^0 \rangle) &= \epsilon_N(k_F, M^*) + \epsilon_v(\langle V^0 \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 \langle \phi \rangle^2 + U_{1\text{-loop}}^{\text{V,R}}(\langle \phi \rangle) + U^H(\langle \phi \rangle)\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

and

$$\Pi_s(q; \langle \phi \rangle, k_F) = \Pi_s^V(q^2; \langle \phi \rangle) + \Pi_s^D(q; \langle \phi \rangle, k_F) + g_s^2 \frac{d^2}{dM^{*2}} U^H(\langle \phi \rangle). \quad (28)$$

We remark that Eqs. (20) and (22) are still valid, after this expansion was carried out.

3 Numerical calculation

Equation (22) gives the relation among the effective nucleon mass, the incompressibility and the effective σ -meson mass μ_s^* at the normal density. If the values of M_0^* and K is given, $C_v = g_v/\mu_v$ is determined by Eq. (13) and we can calculate the ratio μ_s^*/g_s at the normal density. In Fig. 1, we display the ratio μ_s^*/g_s as a function of M_0^* with several values of K . In the numerical calculations, we set $a_1 = 15.75 \text{ MeV}$, $\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ and $M = 939 \text{ MeV}$. The ratio μ_s^*/g_s increases as M_0^* increases, while the ratio depends on K only slightly.

Since U^H does not appear explicitly in (22), the result in Fig. 1 is established regardless of the details of the potential form. However, to calculate μ_s^* itself, we must determine g_s . For this purpose, we assume that [9]

$$U^H(\langle \phi \rangle) = D_5(M - M^*)^5 + D_6(M - M^*)^6. \quad (29)$$

In this approximation, we have four parameters for RHA calculation, namely, C_s , C_v , D_5 and D_6 . As is seen in Eq. (13), C_v is determined if the value of M_0^* is given. We have two conditions for the saturations at $\rho = \rho_0$.

$$\varepsilon(\rho_0) = (M - a_1)\rho_0 \quad \text{and} \quad P(\rho_0) = 0 \quad (30)$$

Therefore, if the value of K is given, the remaining three parameters C_s , D_5 and D_6 are determined.

Using the same potential as (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_s(q; \langle \phi \rangle, k_F) &= \Pi_s^V(q^2; \langle \phi \rangle) + \Pi_s^D(q; \langle \phi \rangle, k_F) \\ &+ 20g_s^2 D_5(M - M^*)^3 + 30D_6 g_s^2 (M - M^*)^4,\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

From Eqs. (25) and (31), at zero density, we obtain

$$1 + C_s^2 \left. \frac{\Pi_s^V(q)}{g_s^2} \right|_{\substack{\mathbf{q}^2=0 \\ q_0^2=m_s^2}} = \frac{m_s^2}{\mu_s^2}. \quad (32)$$

Since Π_s^V/g_s^2 does not depend on g_s , we can calculate μ_s by putting the value of C_s and $m_s = 550 \text{ MeV}$ into Eq. (32). After determining μ_s , we can also determine the value of g_s . In Figs. 2 and 3, we display μ_s and g_s as a function of M_0^* . As

M_0^* increases, g_s is suppressed more strongly than μ_s . Since, as is seen in (13), C_v^2 approaches zero as $M_0^* \rightarrow 0.944M$, g_s becomes small more quickly than μ_s to keep the saturation conditions. Both of μ_s and g_s depend on K only slightly.

After determining μ_s and g_s , we can calculate μ_s^* and m_s^* . In Figs. 4 and 5, we display μ_s^*/μ_s and m_s^*/m_s at the normal density as a function of M_0^* . As M_0^* increase, the ratios μ_s^*/μ_s and m_s^*/m_s increase. The ratio μ_s^*/μ_s is smaller than 1 for $M_0^* < 0.560(0.542, 0.526)M$, when $K = 200(300, 400)\text{MeV}$. Similarly, the ratio m_s^*/m_s is smaller than 1 for $M_0^* < 0.815(0.802, 0.793)M$, when $K = 200(300, 400)\text{MeV}$. Both of two ratios depend on K only slightly.

In Figs. 6 and 7, we display μ_s^*/μ_s and m_s^*/m_s as a function of baryon density with several values of M_0^* . Since two ratios depend on K only slightly, we have fixed the value of K at 300MeV . The density dependence of the two ratios also changes, when M_0^* changes. The ratio $\mu_s^*/\mu_s \gtrsim 1$ in the region of $\rho \lesssim 1.3\rho_0$, while the ratios $m_s^*/m_s < 1$ except for the case with $M_0^* = 0.85M$.

4 Summary

In summary, we have studied the relation among the effective nucleon mass M^* , the incompressibility K and the effective σ -meson mass m_s^* in nuclear matter by using the relativistic nuclear model. We found that there is a strong correlation between M^* and m_s^* , while there is only a weak correlation between K and m_s^* . At the normal density, m_s^* is smaller than the one at zero density for $M_0^* \leq 0.8M$, while μ_s^* hardly decreases. We remark that it is interesting that the off-shell mass μ_s^* at the normal density is related directly to M_0^* and K which can be determined phenomenologically.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thanks Prof. T. Kohmura and T. Maruyama for useful discussions.

References

- [1] N. N. Achasov and G. N. Shestakov, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 5779(1994).
R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak and J.-M. Maillet, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994), 3145.
N.A. Törnqvist and M. Roos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** (1996), 1575.
M. Harada, F. Sannino and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996), 1991.
S. Ishida, M. Ishida, H. Takahashi, T. Ishida, K. Takamatsu and T. Tsuru, Prog. Theor. Phys. **95** (1996), 745.
- [2] M. Ishida, T. Kodama, S. Ishida, T. Ishida, K. Takamatsu and T. Tsuru, preprint, hep-ph/0005251.
- [3] J. D. Walecka, Ann. of Phys. **83** (1974), 491.
- [4] J. Boguta and A.R. Bodmer, Nucl. Phys. **A292** (1977), 413.
- [5] S. A. Chin, Phys. Lett. **B62** (1976), 263. S. A. Chin, Ann. of Phys. **108** (1977), 301.
- [6] R. J. Furnstahl and C. J. Horowitz, Nucl. Phys. **A485** (1988), 632.

- [7] J. M. Pearson, Phys. Lett. **B271** (1991), 12.
- [8] S. Shlomo and D. H. Youngblood, Phys. Rev. **C47** (1993), 529.
- [9] K. Sakamoto, M. Nakai, H. Kouno and A. Hasegawa, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **E9** (2000),169.

Figures




Figure 1: The ratio μ_{s0}^*/g_s as a function of M_0^* . The solid, the dashed and dashed-dotted curves show results for $K=200\text{MeV}$, 300MeV and 400MeV , respectively. The cross show the result for the original RHA.




Figure 2: The μ_s as a function of M_0^* . The various curves and the cross have the same notation as in Fig. 1.




Figure 3: The g_s as a function of M_0^* . The various curves and the cross have the same notation as in Fig. 1.




Figure 4: The ratio μ_{s0}^*/μ_s as a function of M_0^* . The various curves and the cross have the same notation as in Fig. 1.




Figure 5: The ratio m_{s0}^*/m_s as a function of M_0^* . The various curves and the cross have the same notation as in Fig. 1.




Figure 6: The ratio μ_s^*/μ_s as a function of the baryon density. The solid, the dashed and dashed-dotted curves show results for $M_0^* = 0.65M$, $0.75M$ and $0.85M$, respectively. The dotted curve shows the result for the original RHA.




Figure 7: The ratio m_s^*/m_s as a function of the baryon density. The various curves have the same notation as in Fig. 6.