

Multiplicity Fluctuations in Au+Au Collisions at RHIC

Krzysztof Woźniak

Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

krzysztof.wozniak@ifj.edu.pl

for the PHOBOS Collaboration

B.Alver⁴, B.B.Back¹, M.D.Baker², M.Ballintijn⁴, D.S.Barton², R.R.Betts⁶, A.A.Bickley⁷, R.Bindel⁷,
W.Busza⁴, A.Carroll², Z.Chai², V.Chetluru⁶, M.P.Decowski⁴, E.García⁶, N.George², T.Gburek³,
K.Gulbrandsen⁴, C.Halliwell⁶, J.Hamblen⁸, I.Harnarine⁶, M.Hauer², C.Henderson⁴, D.J.Hofman⁶, R.S.Hollis⁶,
R.Hołyński³, B.Holzmann², A.Iordanova⁶, E.Johnson⁸, J.L.Kane⁴, N.Khan⁸, P.Kulinich⁴, C.M.Kuo⁵, W.Li⁴,
W.T.Lin⁵, C.Loizides⁴, S.Manly⁸, A.C.Mignerey⁷, R.Nouicer², A.Olszewski³, R.Pak², C.Reed⁴, E.Richardson⁷,
C.Roland⁴, G.Roland⁴, J.Sagerer⁶, H.Seals², I.Sedykh², C.E.Smith⁶, M.A.Stankiewicz², P.Steinberg²,
G.S.F.Stephans⁴, A.Sukhanov², A.Szostak², M.B.Tonjes⁷, A.Trzupek³, C.Vale⁴, G.J.van Nieuwenhuizen⁴,
S.S.Vaurynovich⁴, R.Verdier⁴, G.I.Veresh⁴, P.Walters⁸, E.Wenger⁴, D.Willhelm⁷, F.L.H.Wolfs⁸, B.Wosiek³,
K.Woźniak³, S.Wyngaardt², B.Wyslouch⁴

¹ Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4843, USA

² Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA

³ Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Kraków, Poland

⁴ Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA

⁵ National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

⁶ University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607-7059, USA

⁷ University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

⁸ University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

In the PHOBOS experiment, charged particles are measured in almost the full solid angle. This enables the study of fluctuations and correlations in the particle production over a very wide kinematic range. In this paper, we show results of a direct search for fluctuations identified by an unusual shape of the pseudorapidity distribution. In addition, we use analysis of correlations of the multiplicity in similar pseudorapidity bins, placed symmetrically in the forward and backward hemispheres, to test the hypothesis of production of particles in clusters.

1 Introduction

In the study of heavy ion collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), a new type of strongly interacting matter was discovered[1]. During a phase transition, rapid changes of the system's properties are possible and unusual fluctuations may be observed[2]. Early predictions of signals of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) suggested the possibility of a large increase of total multiplicity or correlated particle production in limited phase space. Although already the first results from RHIC have shown only a moderate increase of charged particle multiplicity with collision energy, it is still possible that less apparent but significant fluctuations can be found. A detailed analysis of fluctuations is also a source of information on the particle production mechanism in the early stage of the collision and in the later hadronization, both of which are important for understanding the properties of this new state of matter.

In our analysis, Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$ GeV, the highest energy for heavy nuclei available at RHIC, are used. In the first study, the most central (3%) collisions are examined in order to identify events with an unusual angular distribution of produced particles. The second study analyses multiplicity fluctuations in forward and backward pseudorapidity bins and shows an enhancement with respect to purely statistical expectations. This may be explained by production of particles in clusters, which is modeled and compared to the experimental data.

The PHOBOS detector[3] consists of several elements located mostly near the beam pipe. Most important for the present analysis is the multiplicity detector, which has a uniquely large geometrical acceptance for measuring produced particles. The hits from charged particles emitted at $|\eta| < 5.4$ are registered in a single layer of silicon sensors, arranged as an octagonal tube in the center of the apparatus (for $|\eta| < 3$) and mounted in three pairs of rings perpendicular to the beam direction for detection of particles emitted at smaller angles. We measure the ionization energy deposited by the particle in the silicon and thus can estimate the number of




Figure 1: Rejection of pileup events with large signal in the ZDC counters (above the line) for all central events (left) and events with unusual $dN/d\eta$ shape (right).

particles hitting the same pad by dividing the signal by the value expected for a single particle. The multiplicity can be reconstructed using this estimation (“analog” method) or by counting the hits and applying occupancy corrections (“hit counting” method). The two methods give consistent results and were successfully used to measure angular distribution of charged particles in the full acceptance of the PHOBOS detector[4, 5].

2 Search for unusual events

In the previous study[6] of the most central events (3%), an excess of events with large multiplicities was found, whose rate was however correlated with luminosity. The fraction of events with a $dN/d\eta$ distribution deviating from the mean shape also increased with the event rate. The most probable explanation of such results is the registration of two Au+Au collisions as one event (pileup). In this paper, we apply a more efficient rejection of pileup events and focus the analysis on the $dN/d\eta$ distribution. For each of over 1,900,000 most central events we determine the raw number of particles as a function of pseudorapidity, $M(\eta)$, using the “hit counting” method without occupancy corrections. We also calculate the mean value $\langle M(\eta) \rangle$ and variance $\sigma_M^2(\eta)$ in narrow bins of vertex position (to minimize acceptance fluctuations). The deviation of the η distribution for an event is then measured by:

$$\chi_{\text{NDF}}^2 = \frac{1}{N_{\eta\text{bins}}} \sum_{\eta} \frac{(M(\eta) - S\langle M(\eta) \rangle)^2}{\sigma_M^2(\eta)} \quad (1)$$

where $N_{\eta\text{bins}}$ denotes the number of bins in η in which $M(\eta)$ is defined and S is the fitted scaling factor accounting for varying total multiplicity. We define as unusual the events with $\chi_{\text{NDF}}^2 > 3$, a value which should not be exceeded in our sample. In the previous study, pileup events were identified by comparison of signals in the silicon sensors (long signal integration time) with signals in the scintillator trigger counters (short signal integration time). This allows to eliminate merging of Au+Au collisions from different bunch crossings. In this paper, we also compare signals in the silicon sensors with the signal left by spectator neutrons in the Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDC). For the most central Au+Au collisions, the ZDC signal should be small, thus the events above the lines in Fig. 1 are rejected, as most probably the central collision is accompanied by a peripheral one. This cut removes over 90% of the events with $\chi_{\text{NDF}}^2 > 3$ and, together with the previous cut, reduces the tail of large χ_{NDF}^2 significantly (Fig. 2). Properties of the remaining unusual events will be investigated in a future study, but we know already that the fraction of central events with large deviations of their $dN/d\eta$ shape is smaller than 10^{-5} .

3 Forward-backward fluctuations

Another aspect of multiplicity fluctuations is studied by comparing the multiplicity measured in the forward and backward hemispheres, reconstructed event-by-event in pseudorapidity bins of varying size and position. The multiplicity N_F reconstructed in a bin in the forward η region is compared to the multiplicity N_B in a similar bin placed symmetrically at negative η using a correlation parameter C :

$$C = \frac{N_F - N_B}{\sqrt{N_F + N_B}} \quad (2)$$




Figure 2: Distribution of χ^2_{NDF} for all central events (histogram) and these accepted by the pileup cuts (shaded area).

which is calculated as a function of position of the center of the positive η bin or of its width, $\Delta\eta$. In the comparison of bins covering similar kinematical regions the expected mean value of C is zero. If, in addition, multiplicity fluctuates only statistically according to the binomial partitioning of $N_F + N_B$, we obtain the variance $\sigma^2(C) = 1$. Values of $\sigma^2(C)$ different from 1 would indicate the presence of nonstatistical fluctuations, possibly due to correlations between primary particles.

In this analysis, a simplified version of the “analog” method based on the energy deposited by charged particles in the octagonal multiplicity detector is used to determine N_F and N_B . Using detector simulations, the value of $\sigma^2(C)$ is corrected for modifications due to secondary production, Landau energy loss fluctuations, and acceptance effects[7]. The reconstructed values of $\sigma^2(C)$ are larger than 1, as is expected in the case of particle production in two steps. For a simple example, we can assume that initially produced objects (clusters) decay into k particles, all of which are registered in the same η bin. If the primary clusters are produced randomly (giving $\sigma^2(C_{\text{cluster}})=1$), the variance of C for final particles grows to $\sigma^2(C) = k$. If the distribution of cluster size, k , has a mean value of $\langle k \rangle$ and variance σ_k , the relevant value is $k_{\text{eff}} = \langle k \rangle + \sigma_k^2/\langle k \rangle$. In a more realistic case, particles originating from the same cluster are distributed in pseudorapidity, and thus usually are not all contained in the same η bin used in the calculation of C . In addition, if the forward and backward bins are close, particles from a single cluster may be emitted into opposite bins. These two effects decrease the value of $\sigma^2(C)$ in a bin-width and bin-position dependent way.

4 Simple Cluster Models

The hypothesis of cluster production in Au+Au collisions can be tested using simple models generating clusters which then decay into particles. Any such model should reproduce the general properties of Au+Au events: the experimental pseudorapidity distribution[5] and the transverse momentum distribution[8]. However, perfect agreement is not necessary, as we have found that modifications of the $dN/d\eta$ shape or the $\langle p_t \rangle$ value cause only small changes of the impact of clusters.

The Isotropic Cluster Model (ICM) assumes that clusters have negligible transverse momentum and decay into particles emitted isotropically in the clusters’ rest frame. In this frame, the pseudorapidity distribution of particles has a shape similar to a Gaussian function, but with longer tails. The $\sigma(\eta)$ of isotropic clusters is about 1.0, and is moderately modified by the Lorentz transformation of the final particles to the laboratory frame, especially for the largest $|\eta|$ values (it is about 15-20% smaller at $|\eta|=3$). In this model, the multiplicity of a cluster can be freely selected. In order to obtain a continuous spectrum of k_{eff} values, we generated k from a Poisson distribution with an appropriate mean value.

Exactly isotropic decay of each cluster is an extreme case, in which $\sigma(\eta)$ is the largest. Such angular symmetry is not preserved in decays of resonances, which are the most natural candidates for clusters. The momentum of an emitted particle, for example a pion in a decay $R(M_1) \rightarrow R(M_2) + \pi$, depends mostly on the mass difference $\Delta M = M_1 - M_2$. Only the values $\Delta M < 650$ MeV lead to momenta of pions smaller or consistent with the experimental mean p_t . In our Resonances Cascade Model (RCM) we select a chain of decays starting from relatively light particles and thus small ΔM in decays:

$$\Delta(1900) \rightarrow \Delta(1600) + \pi \rightarrow \Delta(1232) + 2\pi \rightarrow N + 3\pi \quad (3)$$




Figure 3: Comparison of reconstructed $\sigma^2(C)$ with the predictions from two cluster models: the dependence on the width of the η bin (left) and on the position of the bin center (right).

In the later analysis we assume that one of the final particles is neutral, thus the size of the cluster is always 3. In order to reproduce even approximately the experimental p_t distribution of pions and protons, the transverse momentum of the cluster ($\Delta(1900)$ in this case) has to be of the order of 2 GeV/c. Such large initial p_t causes the final particles to be close in η and $\sigma(\eta)$ is only about 0.6. For other resonance cascades with larger values of ΔM in intermediate decays, the initial $p_{t,\text{cluster}}$ is smaller and thus $\sigma(\eta)$ is larger.

The two cluster models were used to generate several samples of 100,000 events containing particles from many clusters. The multiplicity of generated charged particles was counted in appropriate η bins and values of $\sigma^2(C)$ were calculated. These are compared with the data from the analysis[7] of Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV. In Fig. 3 (left plot) we show the dependence of $\sigma^2(C)$ on $\Delta\eta$, the width of the η bins. The ICM results are presented for two different values of effective cluster size, $k_{\text{eff}}=3.4$ and $k_{\text{eff}}=4.3$, which fit the experimental results for central (0-20%) and semi-peripheral (40-60%) Au+Au collisions, respectively. The same cluster sizes are then used to obtain ICM predictions for the dependence of $\sigma^2(C)$ on the position in η of the bins, all with a width of 0.5 (Fig. 3, right plot). In the case of the RCM, only one value of $k_{\text{eff}}=3$ is used and results are presented in Fig. 3. The dependence of $\sigma^2(C)$ on $\Delta\eta$ shows that in peripheral collisions the effective cluster size is larger by about one. This k_{eff} difference may be smaller if the clusters in central collisions are narrower in η , as the dependence of $\sigma^2(C)$ on η suggests. The two models (but especially ICM) described in this study represent the extreme cases of the narrowest and the widest possible pseudorapidity clusters. The results allow us to set lower (from RCM) and upper (from ICM) limits for the cluster size (according to Fig. 3, left plot, they are ~ 2.5 and ~ 4.3 respectively). In a more realistic model, a mixture of clusters with different widths and sizes should be used, leading to k_{eff} values between the RCM and ICM predictions. However, the data from forward-backward correlations are not precise enough to determine k_{eff} and cluster η -width at the same time.

5 Conclusions

A large sample of the most central 3% of Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV was examined in the search for events with an unusual shape of the $dN/d\eta$ distribution. After pileup removal only a few such events were left, which allows us to set an upper limit for the probability of such fluctuations at 10^{-5} .

The forward-backward multiplicity correlations are sensitive to multiplicity fluctuations at short distances in η , which are expected if particles are produced in clusters. Using two simple clusters models, we found that the effective cluster size consistent with the experimental data is between 2.5 and 4.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by U.S. DOE grants DE-AC02-98CH10886, DE-FG02-93ER40802, DE-FG02-94ER40818, DE-FG02-94ER40865, DE-FG02-99ER41099, and DE-AC02-06CH11357, by U.S. NSF grants 9603486, 0072204, and 0245011, by Polish KBN grant 1-P03B-062-27(2004-2007), by NSC of Taiwan Contract NSC 89-2112-M-008-024, and by Hungarian OTKA grant (F 049823).

References

- [1] B.B. Back *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 757 (2005) 28.
- [2] J.W. Harris and B. Müller, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci., vol 46 (1996) 71.
- [3] B.B. Back *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 499 (2003) 603.
- [4] B.B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 102303.
- [5] B.B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 052303.
- [6] G.S.F.Stephans *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 774 (2006) 639.
- [7] B.B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C74 (2006) 011901(R).
- [8] B.B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 051901(R).