# Deformation effects in ${}^{56}$ Ni nuclei produced in ${}^{28}$ Si $+{}^{28}$ Si at 112 MeV

C. Bhattacharya<sup>\*</sup>, M. Rousseau, C. Beck<sup>†</sup>, V. Rauch, R. M. Freeman, D. Mahboub<sup>‡</sup>, R. Nouicer<sup>§</sup>, P. Papka, and O. Stezowski<sup>\*\*</sup>

Institut de Recherches Subatomiques, UMR7500, Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de

Physique des Particules - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Université Louis Pasteur,

23 rue du Loess, B.P. 28, F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France

A. Hachem and E. Martin

Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, F-06108 Nice, France

A. K. Dummer<sup> $\dagger$ †</sup> and S. J. Sanders

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA

A. Szanto De Toledo

Departamento de Física Nuclear, Instituto de Física da Universidade de São Paulo, C.P. 66318-05315-970 - São Paulo, Brazil

(November 4, 2018)

<sup>\*</sup>Permanent address : VECC, 1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 64, India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. Electronic address: christian.beck@ires.in2p3.fr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Present address : University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, United Kingdom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Present address : University of Illinois at Chicago, and Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Permanent address : IPN Lyon, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>††</sup>Present address : Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, University of North Carolina, Durham, NC 27708-0308, USA

# Abstract

Velocity and energy spectra of the light charged particles (protons and  $\alpha$ particles) emitted in the <sup>28</sup>Si(E<sub>lab</sub> = 112 MeV) + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction have been measured at the Strasbourg VIVITRON Tandem facility. The ICARE charged particle multidetector array was used to obtain exclusive spectra of the light particles in the angular range 15° - 150° and to determine the angular correlations of these particles with respect to the emission angles of the evaporation residues. The experimental data are analysed in the framework of the statistical model. The exclusive energy spectra of  $\alpha$ -particles emitted from the <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si compound system are generally well reproduced by Monte Carlo calculations using spin-dependent level densities. This spin dependence approach suggests the onset of large deformations at high spin. A re-analysis of previous  $\alpha$ -particle data from the <sup>30</sup>Si + <sup>30</sup>Si compound system, using the same spin-dependent parametrization, is also presented in the framework of a general discussion of the occurrence of large deformation effects in the A<sub>CN</sub>  $\approx 60$  mass region.

PACS number(s): 25.70.Gh, 25.70.Jj, 25.70.Mn, 24.60.Dr

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there have been a number of experimental and theoretical studies [1] aimed at understanding the decay of light compound nuclei (CN) and dinuclear systems  $(A_{CN} \leq 60)$  formed through low energy heavy-ion reactions  $(E_{lab} \leq 10 \text{ MeV/nucleon})$ . In most of the reactions studied, the properties of the observed, fully energy damped yields have been successfully explained in terms of either a fusion-fission (FF) mechanism or a heavy-ion resonance behavior [1–3]. The strong resonance-like structures observed in elastic and inelastic excitation functions of <sup>24</sup>Mg + <sup>24</sup>Mg [2] and <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si scatterings [3] have been suggestive of the presence of shell stabilized, highly deformed configurations in the <sup>48</sup>Cr and <sup>56</sup>Ni N = Z dinuclear systems, respectively [1]. The investigation of the structure of the doubly-magic <sup>56</sup>Ni nucleus is particularly interesting, with the recent observation [4] in this system of deformed bands that may be the precursors of large deformation or superdeformation behavior in the  $A_{CN} \approx 60$  mass region [5,6].

In a recent experiment using the EUROGAM phase II  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometer, we have investigated [7,8] the possibility of preferential population of highly deformed bands in the symmetric fission channel of the <sup>56</sup>Ni<sup>\*</sup> CN, produced through the <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction at E<sub>lab</sub> = 112 MeV, which corresponds to the energy of the conjectured J<sup> $\pi$ </sup> = 38<sup>+</sup> quasimolecular resonance [3]. Some evidence for this behavior was observed [7,8], but was not definitively conclusive [8].

The present work involves the search for the possible occurence of highly deformed configurations of the <sup>56</sup>Ni<sup>\*</sup> CN produced in the <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction. Light charged particles (LCP) emitted at the resonance energy [7,8] of  $E_{lab} = 112$  MeV, and in-plane coincidences of the LCP's with both evaporation residues (ER) and FF fragments have been measured. The LCP's emitted during the CN decay processes carry information on the underlying nuclear shapes and level densities. In particular, new information on nuclear structure far above the yrast line can be obtained from their study by a comparison with statistical model calculations [9]. The LCP's emitted from FF fragments may provide the deformation properties of these fragments. Studies of nuclear shapes based on evaporated LCP spectra have evoked considerable interest and controversy [9–18]. For example, an extremely large deformation suggested in the decay of the <sup>60</sup>Ni<sup>\*</sup> CN [11], formed in the reaction <sup>30</sup>Si + <sup>30</sup>Si at  $E_{lab} = 120$  MeV, was not supported by the statistical model analysis of Nicolis and Sarantites [19].

In this paper we will focus on the LPC's found in coincidence with ER's. These data will be analysed with the CACARIZO statistical model code [9]. Section II describes the experimental procedures. In Sec. III we present the data analysis of the exclusive <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si data (part of the experimental results presented here in detail have already been briefly reported elsewhere [20–24]). The statistical model calculations are compared to the experimental data in Sec. IV. This section includes a re-analysis of existing  $\alpha$ -particle data from the <sup>30</sup>Si + <sup>30</sup>Si reaction previoulsy measured by La Rana et al. [11] using a consistent set of input parameters fitting both <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si and <sup>30</sup>Si + <sup>30</sup>Si  $\alpha$ -particle spectra. We end with a summary of our results in Sec. V.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

The experiment was performed at the IReS Strasbourg VIVITRON Tandem facility, using a 112 MeV <sup>28</sup>Si beam incident on a self-supported 180  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup> thick <sup>28</sup>Si target prepared at IReS. Additional targets of natural <sup>12</sup>C, gold, and Formvar were irradiated for calibration, background determination, and normalization purposes. The elemental compositions of the <sup>28</sup>Si target was accurately determined at IReS using Rutherford back scattering (RBS) techniques with <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>4</sup>He beams provided by the 4 MV Van de Graaff accelerator [22]. The main target contaminants were C and O, each contributing less than 2% to the total number of atoms in the target, and Cu. Because of the relatively low beam energy with respect to the barrier energy for Cu, this contaminant is not expected to affect our results. The natural C target was used to obtain the background correction for this element. These corrections were found to be relatively small.

Both the heavy ions and their associated LCP's were detected using the ICARE charged particle multidetector array [25]. The heavy fragments (ER, quasi-elastic, deep-inelastic and FF fragments) have been detected in 6 gas-silicon hybrid telescopes (IC), each consisting of an ionization chamber, with a thin Mylar entrance window, followed by a 500  $\mu$ m thick Si(SB) detector. The IC's were located at  $\Theta_{lab} = -15^{\circ}$ ,  $-20^{\circ}$ ,  $-25^{\circ}$ ,  $-30^{\circ}$ ,  $-35^{\circ}$ , and  $-40^{\circ}$  in two distinct reaction planes (for each plane, the positive and negative angles refer to the opposite and same side of the beam as the heavy-ion IC detector, respectively). The in-plane detection of coincident LCP's was done using four triple telescopes (40  $\mu$ m Si, 300  $\mu$ m Si, and 2 cm CsI(Tl)) placed at forward angles ( $\Theta_{lab} = +15^{\circ}$ ,  $+25^{\circ}$ ,  $+35^{\circ}$ , and  $+45^{\circ}$ ), 16 two elemental telescopes (40  $\mu$ m Si, 2 cm CsI(Tl)) placed at forward and backward angles ( $+40^{\circ} \leq \Theta_{lab} \leq +115^{\circ}$ ), and finally two other IC's telescopes placed at the most backward angles  $\Theta_{lab} = +130^{\circ}$  and  $+150^{\circ}$ . The IC's were filled with isobutane and their pressures were kept at 30 Torr at backward angles and 60 Torr at forward angles, respectively, for detecting heavy fragments and light fragments. The acceptance of each telescope was defined by thick aluminium collimators. The calibration of the ICARE multidetector array was done using radioactive <sup>228</sup>Th  $\alpha$ -sources, a precision pulser, and elastic scattering of 112 MeV <sup>28</sup>Si from <sup>197</sup>Au, <sup>28</sup>Si, and <sup>12</sup>C targets in a standard manner. In addition,  $\alpha$ -particles emitted in the <sup>12</sup>C(<sup>16</sup>O, <sup>4</sup>He)<sup>24</sup>Mg\* reaction at  $E_{lab}(^{16}O) = 53$  MeV provide known  $\alpha$ -particle energies from the decay of <sup>24</sup>Mg excited states thus allowing for the calibration of the backward angles detectors [22,25]. The proton calibration was done using scattered protons from Formvar targets using both the <sup>28</sup>Si and <sup>16</sup>O beams. More details on the experimental setup of ICARE and on the analysis procedures can be found in Refs. [22,24,25] and references therein.

### **III. DATA ANALYSIS AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The velocity contour maps of the LCP Galilean invariant differential cross-sections  $(d^2\sigma/d\Omega dE)p^{-1}c^{-1}$  as a function of the LCP velocity provides an overall picture of the reaction pattern. Figs. 1.a) and 1.b) show such two-dimensional scatter plots for  $\alpha$ -particles and protons, respectively, measured in a single mode. For a sake of clarity the velocity cuttoffs arising from the detector low-energy thresholds are indicated for each telescopes.  $V_{\parallel}$  and  $V_{\perp}$  denote laboratory velocity components parallel and perpendicular to the beam, respectively. Figs. 1.c) and 1.d) are the corresponding plots as calculated by the statistical model discussed in a following section. The dashed circular arcs, centered on the center of mass  $V_{c.m.}$  and defined to visualize the maxima of particle velocity spectra, describe the bulk of data rather well as can be observed for instance for the proton energy spectra. They have radii very close to the Coulomb velocities of  $\alpha$ -particles and protons in the decay of  ${}^{56}\text{Ni}^* \rightarrow {}^{52}\text{Fe} + {}^{4}\text{He}$ , and of  ${}^{56}\text{Ni}^* \rightarrow {}^{55}\text{Co} + {}^{1}\text{H}$ , respectively. The agreement is apparently deteriorated for the  $\alpha$ -particle spectra by the relatively large low-energy thresholds of the most backward-angle telescopes. Despite these deviations, the spectra can be understood by assuming a sequential evaporative process and successive emission sources starting with the thermally equilibrated <sup>56</sup>Ni<sup>\*</sup> CN until the final source characterised by a complete freeze-out of the residual nucleus. The invariant cross section contours fall around the dashed circular arcs centered at the CN recoil velocity  $V_{CN} = V_{c.m.}$ , and represent the isotropic emission patterns to be expected for a fusion-evaporation mechanism after full-momentum transfer and complete fusion (CF). LCP's emitted from direct reactions or from a pre-thermalization emission process would have manifested themselves as even stronger deviations from the dashed circular arcs, as shown at much higher bombarding energies,  $E(^{28}Si) = 12.4, 19.7,$ and 30 MeV/nucleon, for the  ${}^{28}Si + {}^{28}Si$  reaction [26,27]. In these early works [26,27] only a few pre-equilibrium LCP's have been shown to be emitted prior to fusion at the lowest energy  $E(^{28}Si) = 12.4 \text{ MeV/nucleon } [26,27]$ , therefore the absence of a pre-equilibrium component at the present energy  $E(^{28}Si)$  of 4 MeV/nucleon is expected.

Figs. 2.a) and 2.b) show the exclusive scatter plots of Galilean invariant differential crosssections in the  $(V_{\parallel}, V_{\perp})$  plane, for  $\alpha$ -particles and protons measured in coincidence with all ER's ( $20 \leq Z \leq 25$ ), identified in a IC detector located at  $\Theta_{lab}^{ER} = -15^{\circ}$ . Figs. 2.c) and 2.d) are statistical model predictions discussed in Sec. IV. As for the inclusive data, there is no bias due to direct or preequilibrium processes. Thus we are confident that essentially all the emitted particle are associated with a statistical de-excitation process arising from a thermalized source such as the <sup>56</sup>Ni CN. The energy spectra of these particles, presented thereafter, are also strongly supportive of this conclusion.

Typical exclusive energy spectra of the corresponding  $\alpha$ -particles are shown in Fig. 3 at the indicated angles (from  $\Theta_{lab}^{LCP} = +40^{\circ}$  to  $\Theta_{lab}^{LCP} = +65^{\circ}$ ) for the <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction at  $E_{lab}(^{28}Si) = 112$  MeV. The measured  $\alpha$ -particles are in coincidence with all ER's ( $20 \leq Z \leq$ 25) identified in the IC detector located at  $\Theta_{lab}^{ER} = -15^{\circ}$  (the IC's located at more backward angles have too low statistics for fusion-evaporation events to be used in the analysis). The spectral shapes of the coincident  $\alpha$ -particles are very similar to inclusive energy spectra but without low-energy, non-Maxwellian contributions, as shown in Fig. 6. All the spectra have Maxwellian shapes with an exponential fall-off at high energy which reflects a relatively low temperature ( $T_{slope} \approx [8E_{CN}^*/A_{CN}]^{1/2} = 3.1$  MeV) of the decaying nucleus. The shape and high-energy slopes are also found to be essentially independent of angle in the c.m. system. These behaviors suggest, as for the velocity spectra, a statistical CN decay process.

The in-plane angular correlations of  $\alpha$ -particles and protons (measured in the -115°  $\leq \Theta_{lab}^{LCP} \leq +115^{\circ}$  angular range) in coincidence with all the ER's (20  $\leq Z \leq 25$ ), produced in the <sup>28</sup>Si(112 MeV) + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction, are shown in Fig. 4. The angular correlations are peaked strongly on the opposite side of the ER detector located at  $\Theta_{lab}^{ER} = -15^{\circ}$  with respect to the beam. This peaking is the result of the momentum conservation. The solid lines shown in the figure are the results of statistical model predictions for CF and equilibrium decay using the code CACARIZO [9], as discussed in the next section.

### IV. STATISTICAL MODEL CALCULATIONS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the data has been performed using CACARIZO [9], the Monte Carlo version of the statistical model code CASCADE [28]. The parameters needed for the statistical description, i.e. the nuclear level densities and the barrier transmission probabilities, are usually obtained from the study of evaporated light particle spectra. In recent years, it has been observed that statistical model calculations, using standard parameters, are unable to predict satisfactorily the shape of the evaporated  $\alpha$ -particle energy spectra [9–18] with the measured average energies of the  $\alpha$ -particles found to be much lower than the corresponding theoretical predictions. In the present calculations as well as in previous studies [9,10,12-18], the transmission coefficients of all competing evaporation channels, including n, p, and  $\alpha$ particle emission, are generated from published optical model parameters for spherical nuclei. Several attempts have been made in the past few years to explain LCP energy anomaly either by changing the emission barrier or by using a spin-dependent level density. The change in the emission barriers and, correspondingly, the transmission probabilities affects the lower energy part of the calculated evaporation spectra. On the other hand the highenergy part of the spectra depends critically on the available phase space obtained from the level densities at high spin. In hot rotating nuclei formed in heavy-ion reactions, the level density at higher angular momentum is spin dependent. The level density,  $\rho(E, J)$ , for a given angular momentum J and energy E is given by the well known Fermi gas expression :

$$\rho(E,J) = \frac{(2J+1)}{12} a^{1/2} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathcal{J}_{eff}}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{(E-\Delta-T-E_J)^2} exp(2[a(E-\Delta-T-E_J)]^{1/2}) \quad (1)$$

where *a* the level density parameter is constant and set equal to  $a = A/8 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$  (A is the mass number), T is the "nuclear" temperature, and  $\Delta$  is the pairing correction,  $E_J = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathcal{J}_{eff}} J(J+1)$  is the rotational energy,  $\mathcal{J}_{eff} = \mathcal{J}_0 \times (1 + \delta_1 J^2 + \delta_2 J^4)$  is the effective moment of inertia,  $\mathcal{J}_0 = \frac{2}{5}AR^2 = \frac{2}{5}A^{5/3}r_0^2$  is the rigid body moment of inertia (r<sub>0</sub> is the radius parameter), and  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are the deformability parameters defined in Refs. [9,10,12].

The angular momentum distribution used in the statistical model calculations depends on diffusivity parameter  $\Delta L$  and the critical angular momentum for fusion  $L_{cr}$ . A fixed value of  $\Delta L = 1\hbar$  is assumed for the calculations. The  $L_{cr}$  values were deduced based on observed complete fusion cross section. These values are shown in Table I for a number of systems with  $46 \leq A_{CN} \leq 60$ . The same angular momenta value of  $L_{cr} = 34\hbar$  is found for both the <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si [29–31] and <sup>30</sup>Si + <sup>30</sup>Si [32,33] fusion reactions. Otherwise we have used the CASCADE parameters for the nickel isotopes (see Table 8 of Ref. [33]). The only parameters adjusted in the calculations were those directly associated with the system deformation,  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ , the so-called deformability parameters.

In the present analysis we have chosen to follow the procedure proposed by Huizenga and collaborators [12]. No attempt was made to modify the transmission coefficients since it has been shown that the effective barrier heights are fairly insensitive to the nuclear deformation [12]. On the other hand, by changing the deformability parameters  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  one can simulate the spin-dependent level density [9,10,12]. The CACARIZO calculations have been performed using two sets of input parameters : one with a standard set of the rotating liquid drop model [28] (RLDM) (parameter set  $\mathbf{A}$ ), consistent with the deformation of the finite-range rotating liquid drop model [34] (FRLDM), and another with a spin-dependent moment of inertia and larger values for the deformability parameters (parameter set B). The RLDM parameter set A with small values given to deformability parameters ( $\delta_1 = 7.6$ x  $10^{-6}$  and  $\delta_2 = 6.7 \times 10^{-8}$ ) produces a yrast line very close to the FRLDM predictions, as shown for example for the neighbouring <sup>59</sup>Cu nucleus in Ref. [9] (see Fig. 1 of Ref. [9]). The final values of the deformability parameters,  $\delta_1 = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\delta_2 = 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ given in Table I for the parameter set B, yield a significant lowering of the corresponding FRLDM yrast line. They have been chosen in order to reproduce the exclusive data rather than the inclusive data (although they have almost identical spectral shapes especially in the high-energy region), the latter being possibly influenced for low-energy LCp's by small non-statistical components resulting from inelastic collisions or breakup processes that are not accounted for in the statistical model calculations.

The dashed lines in Fig. 3 show the predictions of CACARIZO for  ${}^{28}Si + {}^{28}Si$  using the parameter set A consistent with FRLDM deformation [34]. It is clear that the average

energies of the measured  $\alpha$ -particle spectra are lower than those predicted by these statistical model calculations. The same observation can be made in Fig. 5 for the inclusive  ${}^{30}\text{Si} + {}^{30}\text{Si}$ data [11] which have been analysed with the same parameters as used for the  ${}^{28}\text{Si} + {}^{28}\text{Si}$ reaction (the corresponding inclusive  ${}^{28}\text{Si} + {}^{28}\text{Si}$  data are also displayed in Fig. 6 for the sake of comparison). The solid lines of Figs. 3, 5 and 6 show the predictions of CACARIZO using the increased values of the deformability parameters (see parameter set  $\mathbf{B}$  given in Table I). The agreement is considerably improved. For instance in Figs. 3 the shapes of the exclusive  $\alpha$ -particle energy spectra are very well reproduced for  ${}^{28}\text{Si} + {}^{28}\text{Si}$  with parameter set B (solid lines) including the deformation effects. Furthermore and despite the fact that, for the inclusive  $\alpha$ -particle spectra of the <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>28</sup>Si reactions of Fig. 6, there appears to be an excess of yield in the subbarrier energy data, the chosen parametrization of the moment of inertia does also a fairly good job. It may be mentioned that in the case of protons, as they carry away less angular momentum than  $\alpha$ -particles, their calculated energy spectra do not shift as the spin-dependent parametrization of the moment of inertia is introduced. The statistical model results using the two parameter sets reproduce equally well the experimental velocity spectra and angular correlations. The statistical model calculations displayed for protons on Figs. 1, 2, and 4 have been performed with parameter set B (solid lines of Fig.4) including the deformation effects (calculations with parameter set A are not displayed). The deformability parameters for the other systems, given in Table I, were extracted using the same approach of fitting procedures [9,13,18,19] that was employed in the present work for the  ${}^{28}\text{Si} + {}^{28}\text{Si}$  and  ${}^{30}\text{Si} + {}^{30}\text{Si}$  reactions.

The CACARIZO predictions shown in Figs. 1 and 2, also performed with the parameter set **B** given in Table I, reproduce the maxima of the inclusive and exclusive invariant cross sections quite well. This confirms that most of the yields have a statistical origin. This is consistent with the experimental alpha-to-proton ratio  $R_{\alpha/p}^{exp} = 0.40 \pm 0.06$  which value is better predicted by calculations using parameter set **B** ( $R_{\alpha/p}^{set-B} = 0.39$ ) than calculations using parameter set **A** ( $R_{\alpha/p}^{set-A} = 0.48$ ). Parameter set **B** allows the emission of

more nucleons in the cascade and the average emission step for  $\alpha$ -particles occurs later in the cascade. Thus the velocity plots, the spectral shapes, the angular correlations and relative cross sections of  $\alpha$ -particle and proton emission are all reproduced correctly. On the other hand, the discrepancies observed at the most negative angles (between  $\Theta_{lab}^{LCP} =$ -30° and -110°) of the in-plane angular correlations of Fig. 4, for protons and even more for  $\alpha$ -particles, are sometimes difficult to be understood as already stressed in Refs. [18,35]. The same disagreement is present with the calculations using parameter **set A**. However, it is seen that the shapes of the experimental angular correlations are well reproduced by the statistical theory for the positive angles, although a very small shift of  $\Delta \Theta_{lab}^{LCP} = 10-15^{\circ}$ may improve the comparison.

In addition, it is interesting to note that for the  ${}^{30}\text{Si} + {}^{30}\text{Si}$  reaction the relative multiplicities of nucleons and  $\alpha$ -particles deduced from the experimental data [33] ( $M_n^{exp} = 0.469 \pm 0.035$ ,  $M_p^{exp} = 0.343 \pm 0.035$ , and  $M_{\alpha}^{exp} = 0.188 \pm 0.035$ ) are in better agreement with the calculations using the parameter set **B** ( $M_n^{def} = 0.484$ ,  $M_p^{def} = 0.312$ , and  $M_{\alpha}^{def} = 0.204$ ) than those using the parameter set **A** ( $M_n^{LDM} = 0.459$ ,  $M_p^{LDM} = 0.293$ , and  $M_{\alpha}^{LDM} = 0.248$ ).

As a whole, the present statistical model calculations describe rather well all the measured observables for both the  ${}^{28}\text{Si} + {}^{28}\text{Si}$  and  ${}^{30}\text{Si} + {}^{30}\text{Si}$  reactions, in contrast to other recent studies which have needed extra dynamical effects in the evaporative processes [16,17].

In order to better appreciate the magnitude of the possible deformation effects which are suggested by our choice statistical model approach, one may express the effective moment of inertia as  $\mathcal{J}_{eff} = \frac{2}{5}MR^2 = \frac{1}{5}M(b^2+a^2)$  with the volume conservation condition:  $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi abc$ , where b and a are the major and minor axis, and c is the rotational axis of the compound nucleus. In the case of an oblate shape a = b and  $\mathcal{J}_{eff} = \frac{2}{5}Ma^2$  and  $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi a^2c$ . The axis ratio is equal to  $\delta = a/c = (1+\delta_1J^2+\delta_2J^4)^{3/2}$ . In the case of a prolate shape a = b and  $\mathcal{J}_{eff} = \frac{1}{5}M(b^2+a^2)$  and  $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi a^2b$ . We obtain the equation :  $1+(3-\gamma)x+3x^2+x^3 = 0$  with  $x = (\frac{b}{a})^2 = \delta^2$  and  $\gamma = 8(1+\delta_1J^2+\delta_2J^4)^3$ . The quadrupole deformation parameter  $\beta$  is equal to  $\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5\pi}}(\frac{4}{3}\delta + \frac{2}{3}\delta^2 + \frac{2}{3}\delta^3 + \frac{11}{18}\delta^4)$ .

The minor to major axis ratios b/a and the values of the quadrupole deformation pa-

rameter  $\beta$  are shown for the systems tabulated in Table 1. These quantities have been extracted from the fitted deformability parameters by assuming either a symmetric oblate shape or a symmetric prolate shape, respectively, with sharp surfaces [12]. All of the symmetric or near symmetric systems [13,18,19], for which the main parameters are displayed in the Table, appear to favor relatively large deformations. This can be contrasted with what is found for the asymmetric <sup>12</sup>C + <sup>45</sup>Sc reaction [17], where a standard statistical model calculation [17] is found to work well. The same conclusion was reached for the <sup>28</sup>Si + <sup>51</sup>V reaction [14], another asymmetric system, and was confirmed for the very asymmetric system <sup>16</sup>O + <sup>54</sup>Fe [16].

From this systematic analysis, it can be observed that the magnitude of the quadrupole deformation that can be deduced for both  ${}^{28,30}Si + {}^{28,30}Si$  reactions are rather large : their parameter values are similar to the value obtained for the  ${}^{32}S + {}^{27}Al$  reaction [9,19] but larger than the value corresponding to the  ${}^{28}Si + {}^{27}Al$  reaction [13]. This leads to the striking conclusion that highly stretched configurations are required to account for the observed  $\alpha$ -particle evaporation spectra. For  ${}^{28}Si + {}^{28}Si$  the value of  $\beta \approx 0.5$  found for the quadrupole deformation parameter is consistent with the recent observation of very deformed bands in the doubly-magic  ${}^{56}Ni$  nucleus by standard  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy methods [4].

## V. CONCLUSION

To summarize, the properties of the light charged particles emitted in the  ${}^{28}\text{Si}$  +  ${}^{28}\text{Si}$ reaction at the bombarding energy  $E_{lab}(^{28}\text{Si}) = 112 \text{ MeV}$ , which corresponds to the <sup>56</sup>Ni excitation energy of the conjectured  $J^{\pi} = 38^+$  quasimolecular resonance [7,8], have been investigated using the statistical model. The measured observables such as velocity distributions, energy spectra, in-plane angular correlations, and multiplicities are all reasonably well described by the Monte Carlo CASCADE calculations requiring spin-dependent level densities. The magnitude of the adjustments in the yrast line position suggests deformation effects at high spin for the <sup>56</sup>Ni composite system in agreement with very recent  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy data obtained at much lower spins [4]. The extent to which the resonant behaviour is responsible to the observed deformation is still an open question. To resolve this issue, more exclusive data are needed at off-resonance energies. The extracted deformability parameters for  ${}^{28}\text{Si} + {}^{28}\text{Si}$  are consistent with the re-analysis of previously published  ${}^{30}\text{Si} +$ <sup>30</sup>Si data. We conclude that mass-symmetric systems do favor the onset of strong deformation effects at high angular momenta for highly stretched configurations without the need of recently speculated dynamical effects [16,17] to explain the  $\alpha$ -particles energy spectra. Work is in progress, including out-of-plane correlations measurements, to study the same reactions with the ICARE multidetector array at even higher bombarding energies [25].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is based upon the Ph.D. Thesis of M. Rousseau, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 2000. We would like to thank the staff of the VIVITRON for providing us with good stable beams, J. Devin and C. Fuchs for the excellence support in carrying out these experiments. Particular appreciation to M.A. Saettel for preparing the targets, and to J.P. Stockert and A. Pape for assistance during their RBS measurements. One of us (M.R.) would like to acknowledge the Conseil Régional d'Alsace for the financial support of his Ph.D. Thesis work. This work was sponsored by the French CNRS/IN2P3, and by CNRS/NSF and CNRS/CNpq collaboration programs, and also in part by the U.S. DOE under Grant No. DE-FG03-96-ER40981.

# REFERENCES

- S. J. Sanders, A. Szanto de Toledo, and C. Beck, Phys. Rep. **311**, 487 (1999); and references therein.
- [2] R. W. Zurmühle, P. Kutt, R. R. Betts, S. Saini, F. Haas, and O. Hansen, Phys. Lett.
   129B, 384 (1983).
- [3] R. R. Betts, B. B. Back, and B. G. Glagola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 23 (1981); R. R. Betts,
  S. B. DiCenzo, and J. F. Petersen, Phys. Lett. 100B, 117 (1981).
- [4] D. Rudolph *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3763 (1999); Eur. Phys. J. A **4**, 115 (1999).
- [5] C. E. Svensson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1233 (1997); *ibidem* **80**, 2558 (1998); *ibidem* **82**, 3400 (1999).
- [6] D. Rudolph *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3018 (1998).
- [7] R. Nouicer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 41303 (1999).
- [8] C. Beck *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 014607 (2001).
- [9] G. Viesti, B. Fornal, D. Fabris, K. Hagel, J. B. Natowitz, G. Nebbia, G. Prete, and F. Trotti, Phys. Rev. C 38, 2640 (1988).
- [10] I. M. Govil, J. R. Huizenga, W. U. Schröder, and J. Töke, Phys. Lett. B 197, 515 (1987).
- [11] G. La Rana et al., Phys. Rev. C 37, 1920 (1988); ibidem C 40, 2425 (1989).
- [12] J. R. Huizenga, A. N. Behkami, I. M. Govil, W. U. Schröder, and J. Töke, Phys. Rev. C 40, 668 (1989).
- [13] D. K. Agnihotri, A. Kumar, K. C. Jain, K. P. Singh, G. Singh, D. Kabiraj, D. K. Avasthi, and I. M. Govil, Phys. Lett. B 307, 283 (1993).
- [14] I. M. Govil *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 57, 1269 (1998).

- [15] D. Bandyopadhyay, S. K. Basu, C. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, K. Krishan, A. Chatterjee, S Kailas, A. Navin, and A. Shrivastava, Phys. Rev. C 59, 1179 (1999).
- [16] I. M. Govil, R. Singh, Ajay Kumar, G. Singh, S. K. Kataria, and S. K. Datta, Phys. Rev. C 62, 064606 (2000).
- [17] I. M. Govil, R. Singh, A. Kumar, S. K. Datta, and S. K. Kataria, Nucl. Phys. A 674, 377 (2000).
- [18] D. Mahboub *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C (in preparation); and Ph. D. Thesis, Strasbourg University, Report CRN 96-36 (1996).
- [19] N. G. Nicolis and D. G. Sarantites, Phys. Rev. C 40, 2422 (1989).
- [20] C. Bhattacharya, M. Rousseau, C. Beck, V. Rauch, D. Mahboub, R. Nouicer, R. M. Freeman, O. Stezowski, S. Belhabib, A. Hachem, E. Martin, A. Dummer, S. J. Sanders, and A. Szanto de Toledo, Nucl. Phys. A654, 841c (1999).
- [21] M. Rousseau et al., in Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Clustering Aspects of Nuclear Structure and Dynamics, Rab, Croatia, 1999, edited by Z. Basrak, R. Caplar, and M. Korolija (World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2000), p.189.
- [22] C. Beck et al., in Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Nuclear Reactions, Varenna, Italy, 2000, edited by E. Gadioli [Ricerca Scientifica ed Educatione Permanente, Suppl. 115, (2000) p. 407].
- [23] C. Bhattacharya et al., in Proceedings of the Workshop on Physics with Multidetector Arrays, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Calcutta, India, 2000, Pramana Indian Journal of Physics Vol. 57 No. 1, (2001) p. 203.
- [24] M. Rousseau et al., in Proceedings of the XXXIX International Winter Meeting on Nuclear Physics, Bormio, Italy, 2001, edited by I. Iori [Ricerca Scientifica ed Educatione Permanente, Suppl. 117, (2001) p. 370.].

- [25] M. Rousseau, Ph. D. Thesis, Strasbourg University, Report IReS 01-02 (2001) (unpublished); G. Bélier, Ph. D. Thesis, Strasbourg University, Report CRN 94-34 (1994) (unpublished); T. Bellot, Ph. D. Thesis, Strasbourg University, Report IReS 97-35 (1997) (unpublished).
- [26] P. Decowski, E. A. Bakkum, P. F. Box, K. A. Griffioen, R. Kamermans, and R. J. Meijer, Phys. Rev. C 37, 2495 (1988).
- [27] R. J. Meijer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 44, 2625 (1991).
- [28] F. Pühlhofer, Nucl. Phys. A280, 267 (1977).
- [29] S. B. DiCenzo, J. F. Petersen, and R. R. Betts, Phys. Rev. C 23, 2561 (1981).
- [30] Y. Nagashima *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **33**, 176 (1986).
- [31] M. F. Vineyard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **41**, 1005 (1990).
- [32] H. Dumont *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A435**, 301 (1985).
- [33] E. Bozek *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A451**, 171 (1986).
- [34] Arnold J. Sierk, Phys. Rev. C 33, 2039 (1986).
- [35] M. F. Vineyard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **49**, 948 (1994).

TABLES
--------

Reaction	C.N.	Energy (MeV)	$L_{cr}$ $(\hbar)$	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	b/a	β	References
$^{28}\mathrm{Si}+^{27}\mathrm{Al}$	$^{55}\mathrm{Co}$	150	42	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$	1.2/1.3	-0.44/0.46	[13]
$^{28}{\rm Si}{+}^{28}{\rm Si}$	$^{56}$ Ni	112	34	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$	1.5/1.6	-0.48/0.59	This work
$^{35}\text{Cl}+^{24}\text{Mg}$	$^{59}\mathrm{Cu}$	260	37	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$	1.6/1.7	-0.50/0.51	[18]
$^{32}S+^{27}Al$	$^{59}\mathrm{Cu}$	100 - 150	27 - 39	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	1.4 - 2.0	-0.46/0.53	[9]
$^{32}S+^{27}Al$	$^{59}\mathrm{Cu}$	100 - 150	27 - 42	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	1.5 - 2.2	-0.48/0.54	[19]
$^{30}{\rm Si} + ^{30}{\rm Si}$	<sup>60</sup> Ni	120	34	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$	1.6/1.7	-0.49/0.50	This work

TABLE I. Typical quantities of the evaporation calculations performed using the statistical model code CACARIZO. The deformability parameters are taken either from the parameter set **B** for the systems studied in the present work or from similar fitting procedures for the other systems studied in the literature. The minor to major axis ratios b/a and the quadrupole deformation  $\beta$  values (for a symmetric oblate shape and a symmetric prolate shape, respectively) have been deduced from equations discussed in the text. Note that the  $\beta$  values given for  ${}^{32}S + {}^{27}Al$  have been extracted assuming the  $L_{cr}$  as extracted at the highest bombarding energy.





Figure 1

FIG. 1. Two-dimensional scatter plots of Galilean invariant cross-sections  $(d^2\sigma/d\Omega dE)p^{-1}c^{-1}$  of  $\alpha$ -particles (a) and protons (b), respectively, in the  $(V_{\parallel}, V_{\perp})$  plane for the <sup>28</sup>Si(112 MeV) + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction. The experimental detector thresholds are drawn along the laboratory angles of each telescope. (c) and (d) are the corresponding statistical model calculations discussed in the text. The dashed circular arc are centered on the velocity of the center of mass indicated by the arrows.



Figure 2

FIG. 2. Two-dimensional scatter plots of exclusive Galilean invariant cross-sections  $(d^2\sigma/d\Omega dE)p^{-1}c^{-1}$  of  $\alpha$ -particles (a) and protons (b), respectively, measured in coincidence with all ER's ( $20 \leq Z \leq 25$ ) identified in a IC detector located at  $\Theta_{lab}^{ER} = -15^{\circ}$ , as plotted in the  $(V_{\parallel}, V_{\perp})$  plane for the <sup>28</sup>Si(112 MeV) + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction. (c) and (d) are the corresponding results of the statistical model calculations discussed in the text. The arrows indicate the center-of-mass velocity.



Figure 3

FIG. 3. Exclusive energy spectra of  $\alpha$ -particles in coincidence with all ER's ( $20 \le Z \le 25$ ), identified in a IC detector located at  $\Theta_{lab}^{ER} = -15^{\circ}$ , produced in the <sup>28</sup>Si(112 MeV) + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction. The experimental data are given in relative units by the solid points with error bars visible when greater than the size of the points. The dashed and solid lines are the results of statistical model calculations using parameter **set A** and **set B**, respectively, as discussed in the text.



Figure 4

FIG. 4. In-plane angular correlation  $(-115^{\circ} \leq \Theta_{lab}^{LCP} \leq +115^{\circ})$  of  $\alpha$ -particles (circles) and protons (triangles) in coincidence with all ER's ( $20 \leq Z \leq 25$ ) produced in the <sup>28</sup>Si(112 MeV) + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction and detected at  $\Theta_{lab}^{ER} = -15^{\circ}$  as shown by the arrow. The solid curves are the results of statistical model calculations using parameter **set B** as discussed in the text.



Figure 5

FIG. 5. Energy spectra of  $\alpha$ -particles produced in the <sup>30</sup>Si(120 MeV) + <sup>30</sup>Si reaction. The data taken from ref. [11] (solid points) are compared to the results of the statistical model calculations (solid and dashed lines correspond to parameter **set B** and **set A**, respectively) discussed in the text.



Figure 6

FIG. 6. Energy spectra of  $\alpha$ -particles produced in the <sup>28</sup>Si(112 MeV) + <sup>28</sup>Si reaction. The inclusive cross sections are given as absolute values by the solid points with error bars visible when greater than the size of the points. The dashed and solid lines are the results of statistical model calculations using parameter **set A** and **set B**, respectively, as discussed in the text.