E igenvalues of K illing tensors and separable webs on R iem annian and pseudo-R iem annian m anifolds

Claudia CHANU and Giovanni RASTELLI
Dipartim ento di Matematica, Universita di Torino,
Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy
email: claudiamaria.chanu@unito.it, giorast@tin.it

A bstract

Given a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold of arbitrary signature, we illustrate an algebraic method for constructing the coordinate webs separating the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation by means of the eigenvalues of mediation about the possible symmetries of the web foliations arises. Three cases are examined: the orthogonal separation, the general separation, including non-orthogonal and isotropic coordinates, and the conformal separation, where Killing tensors are replaced by conformal Killing tensors. The method is illustrated by several examples and an application to the L-systems is provided.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that the separation of variables in the H am ilton {Jacobi equation of a natural H am iltonian is characterized by the existence of sets of K illing 2-tensors (K_m) and K illing vectors (D_r) with suitable properties [15], [4], [6]. The aim of this paper is to show some geometrical properties of a separable web (i.e., a set of foliations of coordinate hypersurfaces) by m eans of the analysis of D_r and K_m ; in particular, we provide an algebraic method for determining the equations of the separable coordinate hypersurfaces. For instance, it is known that on a two-dimensional manifold the separation is characterized by a K illing tensor K with pointwise simple eigenvalues (D_r). Separable coordinates (D_r) can be determined in two ways: (i) by setting D_r and D_r and D_r and D_r are instance. In case (i) we need to assume that both eigenvalues are real independent functions (except

for a closed set atm ost); if the eigenvalues are not independent (for instance one of them is constant), then symmetries i.e., K illing vectors or ignorable coordinates, are present and the problem becomes even simpler. We remark that method (i) is purely algebraic: starting from K, we have to solve its characteristic equation.

In [11] we extended this analysis, for the orthogonal separation, to the n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds. For n>2 the eigenvalues of the Killing tensors do not de ne directly separable coordinates, however we show how to construct rational functions of them which are constants on the separable coordinate hypersurfaces.

In the present paper we analyze the most general case of separation on a (pseudo) R ism annian manifold, without assumptions on the signature of the metric and on the orthogonality of the separable coordinates. In the following we shall refer to this kind of separation as \non-orthogonal separation" or \general separation". In non-orthogonal separation a fundamental role is played by a particular m-dimensional space of K illing tensor K_m , whose properties relevant for our aims are recalled in Section 2. We illustrate an algebraic algorithmic method for constructing a set of intrinsically de ned functions, that we call fundamental functions, starting from a special class of eigenvalues of mindependent K illing tensors of K_m . The analysis of these functions allows us to detect two classes of symmetries (proper and conformal) of the Stackelmatrix associated with separation. When no proper symmetries occur, the fundamental functions are an elective tool for computing the equations of the separable coordinate hypersurfaces.

A further generalization here considered is the analysis of the case of the so-called orthogonal conform al separation, which deals with separable coordinates for the null-geodesic H J-equation, or for a H J-equation of a natural H am iltonian with xed value of the energy [7]. The orthogonal separable coordinates can be considered as a special case of this broader class of coordinates. In the intrinsic characterization of the orthogonal conform al separation, the K illing tensors are replaced by conform al K illing tensors with suitable properties. Following the same procedure of the \ordinary" separation, we are able to construct intrinsic functions allowing to deduce geom etrical properties of the conformal separable coordinate hypersurfaces or to construct their equations.

In Section 2 we recall the basic intrinsic characterizations of the non-orthogonal separation on a Riemannian manifold in a form suitable for our needs. In Section 3 we describe our method and its application to a simple example. In Section 4, devoted to the orthogonal separation, we improve the analysis given in [11] and we show the links between eigenvalues of K illing tensors and proper or conform alsymmetries for the associated coordinate systems. In the orthogonal case, by \proper symmetry" (resp., \conform alsymmetry") of a coordinate system we mean that there are K illing vectors (resp., conform al K illing vectors) orthogonal to some foliations of the web. In Section 5, we see how the de ni-

tions of proper and conform al sym metries of the coordinates can be extended for the general separation and we generalize our results for the cases when non-orthogonal and null coordinates occur. In Section 6, we sum marize the intrinsic characterization of the orthogonal conform al separation ([7], [16]) and we apply our algebraic method to the case of conform al separable orthogonal webs, showing how to detect conform al sym metries and to write the equation describing the foliations without conform al sym metries.

Each section is completed by illustrative examples: the spherical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 (Section 3), the L-system s [5], also known as Benenti system s ([8], [9]) (Section 4), two non-orthogonal 4-dimensional coordinate system s (one of them with null coordinates) in Section 5, and the conformal separable coordinate system, known as tangent-spheres coordinates [18] (Section 6). Moreover, by applying our analysis to L-systems, we prove an interesting geometrical property of these systems: for n>2, none of the common eigenvectors of the associated Killing tensors is a proper conformal Killing vector.

2 An outline of geodesic separation on Riem annian and pseudo-Riem annian manifolds.

We consider a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold Q with contravariant metric $G=(g^{ij})$ of arbitrary signature and the corresponding geodesic Hamiltonian $G=\frac{1}{2}g^{ij}p_ip_j$. A relation of equivalence is dened among separable coordinate systems for G ([1], [2]) such that in each class there are the particular coordinate systems described in in Theorem 1 below. We recall that a regular square matrix $\binom{i}{j}$ is a Stackel matrix if any element $\binom{i}{j}$ is a function of the coordinate q^j only.

Theorem 1. ([1],[2]) In an equivalence class of separable coordinates there exists a standard coordinate system $(q^{\hat{a}};q^{\hat{a}};q)$ such that (i) The metric tensor has the standard form

where the coordinates (q) (= m $_0$ + m $_1$ + 1;:::;n) are ignorable, 0 g^{ij} = 0

(i; j = 1; :::; n). (ii) The non-vanishing metric components have the form

where $_{a}$ and $_{a}$ are functions of the coordinate corresponding to the lower index only and $('^{a}_{(m)}) = ('^{a}_{(m)}; '^{a}_{(m)})$, $m = m_{0} + m_{1}$, is a row of the inverse of a m m Stackelm atrix S in the coordinates (q^{a}) .

Theorem 2. ([4]) The geodesic Ham iltonian G is separable if and only if there exists a pair (D $_{\rm r}$; K $_{\rm m}$), called separable K illing algebra such that: a) D $_{\rm r}$ is a r-dimensional Abelian algebra of K illing vectors spanning a regular distribution of rank r such that I = \ \ \ ^? has constant rank m $_{\rm 0}$. b) K $_{\rm m}$ is a m-dimensional space of K illing tensors, generated by m independent tensors (K $_{\rm a}$), with m com m on eigenvectors orthogonal to D $_{\rm r}$ which are normal (i.e., orthogonally integrable) and associated with real eigenvalues. c) K $_{\rm m}$ is D $_{\rm r}$ -invariant. d) For m $_{\rm 0}$ > 1, d(K dg) = 0 for any K 2 K $_{\rm m}$, where g = X X for any basis (X) of D $_{\rm r}$.

By \K illing tensor" (KT) we mean a symmetric two-tensor K such that \K ;G \C = 0; where \C ; denotes the Lie-Schouten brackets. With a separable K illing algebra we associate an important kind of coordinates in the following way:

De nition 1. Let $(D_r; K_m)$ be a separable K illing algebra and (E_a) be the m norm alcommon eigenvectors (E_a) of the elements of K_m . The coordinates $(q^a; q)$ are adapted coordinates of $(D_r; K_m)$ if the coordinates hypersurfaces of q^a are the integral manifolds orthogonal to each E_a (or equivalently, the dierential dq^a are common eigenforms of K_m) and the vector elds (Q^a) form a basis of (D_r) (i.e., the (Q^a) are the ane parameters of a given basis (Q^a) of (Q^a) are said to be essential. The (Q^a) essential coordinates (Q^a) such that (Q^a) are called isotropic or null coordinates.

The integrability of the distribution ? is a consequence of the hypotheses of Theorem 2 ([4]). We remark that if Q is a proper Riemannian manifold (or if the coordinates are orthogonal) there are no isotropic coordinates.

Theorem 3. ([4]) Let $(q^i) = (q^i; q^a; q)$ be an adapted coordinate system of a separable Killing algebra $(D_r; K_m)$. Then, (i) The coordinates (q^i) are standard

separable coordinates and each tensor K $\,2\,\,{\rm K}_{\,\rm m}\,$ assum es the standard form

(ii) G iven a basis (K $_{\rm a}$) of K $_{\rm m}$, the non-vanishing components of each K $_{\rm b}$ (b = 1;:::;m) assume the form

where $({}^{\prime}_{(b)}^{a})$ is a row of S 1 .

R em ark 1. The functions ($^{\rm a}$) are the eigenvalues of K corresponding to the com m on eigenvectors of all tensors in K $_{\rm m}$ and satisfy the follow ing intrinsic K illing equations:

The geometric realization of an equivalence class of separable coordinates is called separable K illing web [4]:

De nition 2. A separable K illing web is a triple $(S_m;D_r;K)$, where (i) $S_m = (S^a)$ is a set of m foliations of hypersurfaces pairw ise transversal and orthogonal; (ii) D_r is a r-dimensional A belian algebra of K illing vectors tangent to each foliation S^a ; (iii) K is a D_r -invariant K illing tensor with m eigenvectors (E_a) associated with m pointwise distinct real eigenvalues (a) and orthogonal to the foliations (S^a) . The KT K is called characteristic tensor of the web.

R em ark 2. The existence of a separable K illing web is equivalent to the existence of a separable K illing algebra, or of separable coordinates for G. Indeed, a separable K illing web $(S_m;D_r;K)$ gives rise to a standard separable coordinate system $(q^a;q)$ such that the coordinate hypersurfaces $q^a=$ constant are leaves of S^a and the vector elds (@) associated with q form a basis of D_r .

From De nitions 1 and 2, it follows that only essential coordinates are associated with the eigenvectors of K illing tensors, that is with the foliations (S^a) of a separable K illing web. Therefore, in the following sections we restrict our attention to the essential coordinates. In Example 3 we show a separable K illing web, the corresponding separable K illing algebra and the Stackelm atrix in the adapted coordinates for the case n=4, m=2 and $m_0=0$.

3 The method of the eigenvalues.

In order to clarify the exposition, we collect in this section the results proved in Sections $4\{6. W \text{ e recall that }$

De nition 3.A vector eld X is said to be a conformal K illing vector (CKV) if there exists a function F such that

$$[X;G] = L_X G = FG;$$

where [;] is the Schouten bracket and L the Lie-derivative. If F = 0, X is a Killing vector (KV) and if $F \in 0$ we call X a proper CKV.

Rem ark 3. A coordinate q^i is ignorable if and only if θ_i is a Killing vector. Moreover, in an orthogonal system, if θ_i is proportional to a KV (i.e., there is a function f such that $f\theta_i$ is a KV) then q^i is ignorable up to a rescaling $q^i = q^i(q^i)$.

N otation 1. Here and in the following, for any matrix $A=(a^i_j)$ the lower index is the row-index and the upper one is the column index. Moreover, we shall denote by A^i_j the submatrix of A obtained by eliminating the j-th row and the i-th column.

Step 1. Construction of the fundamental functions.

Let $(D_r; K_m)$ be a separable K illing algebra associated with a separable web $(D_r; S_m)$ and let $(K_1; :::; K_m = G)$ be a basis of K_m .

- i) We determ in the essential eigenvalues of (K $_{\rm a}$) associated with the comm on essential eigenvectors (E $_{\rm 1}$;:::;E $_{\rm m}$) orthogonal to D $_{\rm r}$.
- ii) We construct the m m matrix = (b_a) of the essential eigenvalues of K $_a$ 2 K $_m$ ordered as follows: b_a is the eigenvalue of K $_a$ associated with the common eigenvector E $_b$.

We remark that for the construction of we have to order properly the eigenvalues of each KT and, to do that, we need to compute the eigenvectors. However, our further analysis is based only upon the matrix of the eigenvalues and no integration is needed.

iii) For a; b; c = 1; :::; m we consider the intrinsic ratios

$$f_a^{bc} = \frac{\det {a \atop b}}{\det {a \atop c}};$$
 (6)

that we call fundam ental functions. They are well-de ned functions only if det $_{c}^{a}$ is not identically zero. M oreover, since $f_{a}^{bc} = 1 = f_{a}^{cb}$ and f_{a}^{bb} is equal to 1 or everywhere unde ned, in the following we shall assume b > c.

Step 2. Analysis.

Let us $\,x$ an index $\,a$. Due to the regularity of $\,$, at least one fundamental function (6) is well de ned (Proposition 3). By examining the functions f_a^{bc} written in an arbitrary coordinate system , we can easily detect sym m etries of the foliation $\,S^a\,2\,S_m$; if $\,S^a\,$ has no sym m etries we obtain the equation of the foliation $\,S^a\,$. Indeed, two dierent and mutually exclusive cases occur:

iv) There exist indices c and b such that the function f_a^{bc} is not identically constant. In this case, in a neighborhood of each point P_0 such that $df_a^{bc}(P_0) \in 0$, equation

$$f_a^{bc} = f_a^{bc} (P_0)$$

de nes a hypersurface containing P_0 and orthogonal to the eigenvector E_a (i.e., a hypersurface of S^a). Hence, equations

$$f_a^{bc} = k;$$

for suitable values of $k \ 2 \ R$, describe the foliation S^a (see Theorem s 5 and 8, for the orthogonal and the general case respectively).

v) For the xed index a all functions f_a^{bc} constructed in Step 1 are constant or unde ned. Then, special properties of the adapted coordinates of (D $_r$; K $_m$) hold. Let (q^1 ;:::; q^m) be the essential coordinates adapted to the foliations (S 1 ;:::; S^m). Up to a reparam eterization of q^a , the Stackel matrix $S = ({}'_c{}^{(b)})$ and its inverse matrix $S^1 = ({}'_c{}^{(b)}) = ({}_c{}^{(b)}{}^{(m)})$ do not depend on q^a (see Theorem 7 (ii)). We call the vector eld e_a a Stackel symmetry (see Section 5 for further details).

We remark that we do not need to distinguish between isotropic and non-isotropic coordinates.

M oreover, if we consider the orthogonal separation (i.e., when m=n, $D_r=0$ and all coordinates are essential) then we are able to test if a foliation S^a is orthogonal to a K illing vector or to a conform alK illing vector, by exam in ing the fundam ental functions. Indeed, the following properties hold:

- vi) A ll fundam ental functions f_a^{bc} are constant or unde ned if and only if the eigenvector E_a is proportional to a K illing vector i.e., the associated adapted coordinate q^a is (up to a reparam eterization) ignorable (Theorem 4 (ii)).
- vii) All fundamental functions f_a^{bc} with c < b < n (n > 2) are constant or unde ned if and only if the corresponding eigenvector E_a is proportional to a conformal K illing vector (Theorem 4 (i)).

R em ark 4. Also for the general separation, properties analogous to item s vi) and vii) hold. Item vi) is in fact a special case of the general situation described in item v), holding for orthogonal coordinates. Indeed, due to R em ark 3 and to equations $(5)_1$, we have that E_a is proportional to a K illing vector if and only if the Stackel matrix and its inverse do not depend on the corresponding coordinate q^a . The property stated in item vii) can be extended to general separable coordinates as follows (Theorem 7 (i)): All functions f_a^{bc} with $c < b < m \ (m > 2)$ are constant or unde ned if and only if there exist a function F such that (up to a reparam eterization of q^a) $e_a'_{(m)}^b = e_a'_{(m)}^b$ for all e_a a conform all Stackel symmetry (see Section 5 for further details).

R em ark 5. For m=2, we have only two fundamental functions: $f_1^{21}={}^1$ and $f_2^{21}={}^2$ i.e., the eigenvalues of the characteristic tensor.

We show how the method works in the following simple but illustrative example.

Example 1. Let us consider in R^3 the spherical coordinates centered at a point 0, with axis! passing through 0 and parallel to a unit vector n. It is well known that they are orthogonal separable coordinates for the geodesic Hamiltonian. Thus, we have m = n = 3 and all coordinates are essential. A basis of K_3 is

$$K_1 = r^2G$$
 r r; $K_2 = (n r)$ $(n r)$; $K_3 = G$;

where r is the position vector with respect to 0 and r = krk. The common eigenvectors are

$$E_1 = r$$
 $E_2 = r$ $(n r)$ $E_3 = n r$;

which are orthogonal to the foliation S^1 of the spheres centered at 0, to the foliation S^2 of the circular cones with vertex 0 and axis n and to the foliation S^3 of the meridian half-planes issued from !, respectively. The matrix of the eigenvalues of K $_a$ is

By computing det b for a; b = 1; ...; 3, we get that the non vanishing ones are

$$_{1}^{1} = _{1}^{2} = kn ext{ rk}^{2}; \quad _{3}^{1} = r^{2}kn ext{ rk}^{2}; \quad _{2}^{2} = _{2}^{3} = r^{2}:$$

The fundam ental functions (6) are sum m arized in the following table (n.d. m eans that the denominator vanishes identically and the function is not de ned)

	c= 1, b= 2	c= 1, b= 3	c = 2, b = 3
a = 1	$f_1^{21} = 0$	$f_1^{31} = r^2$	$f_1^{32} n d.$
a = 2	$f_2^{21} = \frac{r^2}{kn \ rk^2}$	$f_2^{31} = 0$	$f_2^{32} = 0$
a = 3	$f_3^{21} n d.$	$f_3^{31} = 0$	f_3^{32} n.d.

For a = 1, the function $f_1^{31} = r^2$ is constant on the hypersurfaces of S^1 and equation $f_1^{31} = k$, for real negative values of k, describes all the spheres of S^1 . A coording to the fact that $E_1 = r$ is a CKV ($L_rG = 2G$), we have that for all c < b < 3 all functions f_1^{bc} are constant or unde ned.

For a = 2, the level sets of the non-constant function $f_2^{21} = r^2 kn$ rk 2 are the surfaces of S^2 and, since the upper indices are both < 3, the eigenvector E_2 is not proportional to a CKV.

For a = 3, since for any b and c, f_3^{bc} is unde ned or identically constant, we have that E_3 is a Killing vector (the rotation around the axis!) and the corresponding coordinate q^3 (the rotational angle) is ignorable.

4 Orthogonal separable webs

We consider a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold Q with positive denitemetric G and the corresponding geodesic Hamiltonian $G = \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}p_ip_j$. We suppose that G is orthogonally separable. We adapt the general results of Section 2 to the case m=n, $m_0=0$. Thus, some of the geometric structures introduced in Section 2 are simplied. The separable Killing web (Denition 2) is replaced by the orthogonal separable web (S_n ; K), that is a set of n pairwise orthogonal foliations $S_n=(S^i)$ orthogonal to the eigenvectors of the Killing tensor K with simple eigenvalues (the characteristic tensor of the web). In the orthogonal context, the linear space D_r of Killing vectors disappears and the n-dimensional space of Killing tensors K_n associated with S_n is called Killing-Stackel algebra (KS-algebra) or Killing-Stackel space. All Killing tensors of K_n have common normal (i.e., orthogonally integrable) eigenvectors (E_i), the integralmanifolds orthogonal to E_i are the leaves of S^i and all coordinates are essential. We denote by (K_j) a basis of K_n with $K_n=G$. A dapting Theorem 3 to the orthogonal separation, we get

P roposition 1. Let (q^i) be a coordinate system adapted to the KS-algebra K_n . Then, (i) the (q^i) are orthogonal separable coordinates for G. (ii) G iven a basis (K_j) of K_n , we have

$$K_{j} = X_{j}^{i} g^{ii} E_{i} \quad E_{i} = X_{j}^{i} E_{i} \quad E_{i}; \quad 8 j = 1; \dots; n;$$

where E $_{i}$ are comm on eigenvectors of K $_{j}$ and $_{j}^{i}$ are the corresponding eigenvalues.

We call S 1 = $^{\prime}$ i the regular n n m atrix of the components of (K $_{j}$):

$$'_{(i)}^{i} = K_{i}^{ii} = {}_{i}^{i}g^{ii}; \qquad '_{(n)}^{i} = K_{n}^{ii} = g^{ii}; \qquad (7)$$

As in the general case (see Eq.4), its inverse matrix $S = \binom{j}{i}$ is a Stackelm atrix. Moreover, we consider the invariant non matrix $= \binom{i}{j}$ of the eigenvalues of a basis of K_n , introduced in Section 3. Theorem s 4 and 5 below give a complete algebraic characterization of orthogonal separable webs in terms of eigenvalues of associated K illing-Stackel spaces, improving considerably the analysis contained in [11] and providing a rigorous proof of the method illustrated in Section 3 for the orthogonal separation.

Proposition 2. For any xed index i = 1;:::; n the fundamental functions

$$f_{i}^{jh} = \frac{\det \ \frac{i}{j}}{\det \ \frac{i}{h}}; \tag{8}$$

when well-de ned, depend on qi only: in particular we have

$$f_{i}^{jh} = (1)^{h+j} \frac{f_{i}^{(j)}}{f_{i}^{(h)}}$$
: (9)

Proof. We have the following relations between S^{-1} and :

detS¹ = det
$${}^{Q}_{i}g^{ii};$$
 det(S¹)_h^k = $\frac{\det {}^{k}_{h}}{g^{kk}} {}^{Q}_{i}g^{ii};$ (10)

By de nition of inverse matrix and by (10), we see that each element of S has the following expression

$$'_{i}^{(j)} = (1)^{i+j} \frac{\det(S^{-1})_{j}^{i}}{\det S^{-1}} = (1)^{i+j} \frac{\det_{j}^{i}}{\gcd_{j}^{i}} = (1)^{i+j}$$

Hence, by (8), equation (9) holds and the fundamental functions (8) depend on the coordinate q^i only.

P roposition 3. For any index i there exist indices h and j with h < j such that the function (8) is well de ned.

Proof. Since det $\ \in \ 0$, for each i there exists an index h_0 such that det $\frac{i}{h_0} \ \in \ 0$. If $h_0 < n$, then $f_i^{jh_0}$ is well-de ned for any $j > h_0$. If $h_0 = n$, then there exists an index h < n such that det $\frac{i}{h} \ \in \ 0$. Indeed, the n (n 1) m atrix i obtained from by elim inating the i-th column has rank n 1. Moreover, being det $\frac{i}{n} \ \in \ 0$,

the rst n 1 lines are independent i.e., form a basis of a (n 1)-dimensional linear space. Since the last row is dierent from zero (all its elements are equal to 1), there exists a basis made of the last row and other n 2 rows of i . This means that there exists h < n such that det $^{i}_{h}$ \in 0. Hence, for any index i, at least one function f_{i}^{jh} with j > h is well de ned.

From the De nition 3 of CKV, we get the following lemma

Lem m a 1. The vector eld $X = f(q^1; :::; q^n) \theta_i$ is a CKV if and only if (i) f depends on q^i only; (ii) there exists a function F such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho_{i} \ln g^{jj} &= F & j \in i; \\
\varrho_{i} \ln g^{ii} &= F + 2\varrho_{i} \ln f;
\end{aligned} (11)$$

In particular if F = 0, X is a KV.

Rem ark 6. By (11) it follows that $\ell_i g^{hh} = \ell_i g^{jj}$ for allh; j both dierent from i. M oreover, due to item (i) of Lem m a 1 the coordinate q^i can always be rescaled in order to have $X = \ell_i$. This means that if ℓ_i is parallel to a CKV (resp. KV), we can assume without loss of generality that ℓ_i is a CKV (resp. KV), by rescaling the corresponding coordinate.

Theorem 4. Let E_i be a common eigenvector of a KS-algebra K_n . Then, (i) for n>2 E_i is proportional to a conformal K illing vector if and only if the ratios f_i^{jh} are constant or unde ned for every h< j< n; in particular, (ii) for any n E_i is proportional to a K illing vector if and only if the ratios f_i^{jh} are constant or unde ned for every h< j.

Proof. Let E_i be a common eigenvector of K_n and (q^i) be separable coordinates adapted to K_n . For simplicity we take i=1. Since the separable coordinates are orthogonal, E_1 is proportional to \mathcal{Q}_1 . Thus, without loss of generality, we assume that the vector $E_1=\mathcal{Q}_1$ is proportional to a CKV. From Proposition 2 we have for all $j \in 1$

$$Q_1 f_j^{hk} = 0$$
: (12)

Let us consider $\theta_1 f_1^{hk}$. Due to properties of determ inants, we have

$$\theta_1 \det_{k}^{1} = \prod_{p=2}^{n} p_{p};$$
 (13)

where p is the determinant of the matrix obtained from p by replacing the elements (p) of its p-th column with (p). By equations (5), and (11), for all p, p, we have

$$\theta_1 \stackrel{h}{=} (\stackrel{1}{k} \stackrel{h}{=})F:$$
 (14)

By substituting (14) in (13), we obtain

$$Q_1 \det_{k}^{1} = F \quad (n \quad 2) \det_{k}^{1} \quad \prod_{p=1}^{n} (1)^p \det_{k}^{p} :$$
(15)

We remark that $_{p=1}^{n}$ (1)^p det $_{k}^{p}$ is (up to the sign) the determinant of them atrix obtained from by replacing the k-th row with the row made by nelements equal to 1. Moreover, since for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ nalso the last row of $_{k}^{1}$ contains nelements equal to 1, we have

We can now evaluate $\ell_1 f_1^{hk}$ for all indices j, h such that the function is well-de ned. Recalling that we always assume k < h, from (15) and (16) it follows

8 <
$$e_1 f_1^{hk} = 0;$$
 $h \in n;$
: $e_1 f_1^{nk} = (1)^{n+1} F \frac{\det}{\det \frac{1}{k}}$: (17)

This proves that f_1^{hk} is constant for k < h < n. In particular if F = 0 (i.e., θ_1 is proportional to a K illing vector), then all f_1^{hk} are constant or unde ned.

Conversely, let us assume that f_1^{hk} is constant or undened for every k < h < n. By (9) there exists an index $j \in n$ and n = 1 real constant c^{hj} (h = 1; :::; n = 1) such that for all h < n

$$I_1^{(h)} = c^{hj}I_1^{(j)}$$
 (18)

and, due to the regularity of S, $r_1^{(j)} \in 0$. Let S be the n-n matrix obtained from S by dividing the rest row by $r_1^{(j)}$. The following relations link S and S

$$\begin{array}{ll} \det S &= {\prime}_1^{(j)} \det S;\\ \det S_h^k &= {\prime}_1^{(j)} \det S_h^k; & h \in 1;\\ \det S_1^k &= \det S_1^k: \end{array}$$

The n-th element of the rst row of S is the only element of the matrix depending on q^1 . Thus,

$$e_1 \det S = (1)^{n+1} e_1 \frac{1}{(1)^{(1)}} \det S_1^n;$$
 (19)

and for the same reason we get, up to the sign,

8
$$ext{\langle} e_1 \det S_h^k = e_1 = \frac{f_n^{(n)}}{f_n^{(j)}} \det S_{h-1}^k; \quad h \in 1 \text{ and } k \in n;$$
 $ext{$\langle$} e_1 \det S_h^k = 0; \quad h = 1 \text{ or } k = n;$

$$ext{\langle} e_1 \det S_h^k = 0; \quad h = 1 \text{ or } k = n;$$

From the de nition of inverse matrix, we have

8
$$\[\gtrless g^{11} = '_{(n)}^1 = (1)^{1+n} \frac{\det S_1^n}{\det S} = (1)^{1+n} \frac{\det S_1^n}{'_{(n)}^{(j)} \det S}; \]$$
 $\[\gtrless g^{hh} = '_{(n)}^h = (1)^{h+n} \frac{\det S_h^n}{\det S} = (1)^{h+n} \frac{\det S_h^n}{\det S}; \quad h \in 1: \]$

Thus, we get

$$Q_1 g^{11} = (1)^{1+n} Q_1 \frac{\det S_1^n}{\int_{1}^{(j)} \det S}$$
; $Q_1 g^{hh} = (1)^{h+n} Q_1 \frac{\det S_h^n}{\det S}$; (21)

for $h \in 1$. Hence, by $(20)_2$ we have

$$e_1g^{11} = (1)^n \frac{(\det S_1^n)e_1('_1^{(j)} \det S)}{('_1^{(j)} \det S)^2};$$
 $e_1g^{hh} = (1)^{h+n-1} \frac{(\det S_h^n)e_1(\det S)}{(\det S)^2};$

and

$$Q_1 \ln g^{hh} = Q_1 \ln (\det S);$$
 $Q_1 \ln g^{11} = Q_1 \ln (\det S)$ $Q_1 \ln f_1^{(j)}$: (22)

By Lem ma 1 it follows that $@_1$ is proportional to a CKV with $F = @_1 \ln (\det S)$ and $f = {}'_1{}^j$. We remark that F does not depend on the choice of the element ${}'_1{}^{(j)}$ used in the construction of S. Indeed, for any other j^0 such that ${}'_1{}^{(j^0)} \notin O$, by (18) we have

$$r_1^{(j^0)} = c^{j^0 j} r_1^{(j)}$$

for a suitable constant c^{j^0j} 2 R and $\det S = c^{j^0j} \det S^0$, where S^0 is obtained from S by dividing the rst row by ${}'_1{}^{(j^0)}$. Then, the function F does not change. In the particular case when f_1^{jh} are all constant, then (18) hold for all $h=1;\ldots;n$ and by (19) it follows that $F=0_1\ln(\det S)=0$. Hence, by (21) we get $0_1g^{hh}=0$ for any $h\in 1$ and $0_1g^{11}=0_1\ln {}'_1{}^{(j)}$. A coording to Lemma 1 and Remark 6, this means that 0_1 is proportional to a K illing vector and 0_1g^{1} is ignorable up to a rescaling. In this case, due to 0_1g^{1} all the elements of 0_1g^{1} and 0_1g^{1} are 0_1g^{1} and 0_1g^{1} are 0_1g^{1} and $0_1g^{$

R em ark 7. For n=2 every eigenvector of a characteristic K illing tensor is proportional to a CKV. This fact can be checked directly by writing g^{11} and g^{22} in term s of a two dimensional Stackelm atrix.

From Proposition 2 and Theorem 4 it follows that

Theorem 5. Let E_i be a common eigenvector of a KS-algebra. For every i=1;:::;n one and only one of the following sentences holds: I) E_i is, up to a scalar factor, a KV. II) There exist indices j;h such that, in a neighborhood of any point P where df_i^{jh} (P) Θ 0, the equation

$$f_i^{jh} = const. (23)$$

de nes a hypersurface orthogonal to E_{i} .

Example 2. Let us consider a L-tensor L, that is a conformal K illing tensor with sim ple eigenvalues and vanishing N ijenhuis torsion ([5]). We recall that a symmetric two-tensor eld K is said to be a conformal K illing tensor (CKT) if there exists a vector eld C such that

$$[K;G] = 2C G (24)$$

where [;] denotes the Lie-Schouten brackets and the sym m etric tensor product. Then, (see [5], [3]), the tensors (K $_0$;K $_1$;:::;K $_n$ $_1$) where

$$K_0 = G$$
; $K_a = \frac{1}{2} tr(K_{a-1}L)G$ $K_{a-1}L$; (a > 1)

form a basis of a KS-algebra. The matrix of eigenvalues of the K $_a$ is = $_a^i(u^1;:::;u^n)$, a=0;:::;n 1, i=1;:::;n; where $\underline{u}=(u^1;:::;u^n)$ are the eigenvalues of L and, for a>0, $_a^i$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials of degree a in the n-1 variables $(u^1;:::;u^{i-1};u^{i+1};:::;u^n)$, for a=0 we set $_0^i=1$. In this case we have

$$f_i^{jh} = (u^i)^{h}^{j}$$
: (25)

Indeed, we have that the inverse matrix of is

$$A = (A_i^a) = (1)^a \frac{(u^i)^{n-a-1}}{U^0(u^i)}$$
;

where U 0 (u^i) is a suitable function of u^i (see [3]) and the fundam ental functions satisfy

$$f_{i}^{jh} = \frac{\det \frac{i}{j}}{\det \frac{i}{h}} = (1)^{j} \frac{A_{i}^{j}}{A_{i}^{h}};$$

In particular we get $f_i^{\,j+1}=u^i$: If the eigenvalues of the L-tensor L are functionally independent (this property is not required in our de nition, according to [5]), then the (u^i) are a separable coordinate system . We notice that, due to (25), for any xed i either the fundamental functions are constant for all j < h (if $u^i \ 2 \ R$), either none of them is constant (if u^i is a non-constant function). Thus, by Theorem 4, we obtain the following theorem, which provides an interesting restriction upon L-tensors.

Theorem 6. For n > 2, a L-tensor has no eigenvector proportional to a proper conform alK illing vector.

5 Separable webs in Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

In this section we prove that the method shown in Section 3 is elective also when separable coordinates are not orthogonal or the metric is not positive de nite and

isotropic coordinates may be present. However, for adapting the results of the orthogonal case, we have to take in account several di erences occurring in the general case. First of all we recall that in the general case we cannot identify E; and Q; as seen in the previous section. Indeed, for orthogonal separable coordinates the common eigenvectors of K_n are always in the form $E_i = f^i \theta_i$ (i not sum med) as well as the corresponding eigenforms $(E_i)^1 = f_i dq^i$ are proportional to dqi (see De nition 1). In the non-orthogonal case, from De nition 1 still $dq^a = (E_a)^{[}$, but by (1)

$$E_a = g^{\hat{a}\hat{a}} \theta_{\hat{a}}; \qquad E_a = g^a \theta = '^a_{(m)} (_a \theta);$$
 (26)

Thus, for all indices \hat{a} the eigenvectors $E_{\hat{a}}$ are proportional to $Q_{\hat{a}}$, but for isotropic coordinates the elds E_a are not proportional to Q_a . Moreover, by $(26)_2$, we see that Ea are proportional to the vectors a@ which are Killing vectors of the hypersurfaces orthogonal to E_a . If for all the functions $_a$ are constant, the vectors a @ are KV of the whole manifold. Since E a are null vectors, we can distinguish between essential eigenvectors of type â and a before knowing the separable coordinates. Moreover, in non-orthogonal coordinates the characterization of the vector elds Q_a associated with essential coordinates q^a as CKV or KV is more complicated than Lemma 1:

Lem m a 2. Let (g^{ij}) in standard form (1) in coordinates $(q^i) = (q^a; q)$. The vector $X = Q_a$ is a CKV if and only if there exists a function F such that

$$\begin{array}{lll}
8 \\
< Q_a \ln g^{\hat{b}\hat{b}} = F \\
Q_a g^b = F g^b \\
\vdots Q_a g = F g :
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\hat{b} = 1; \dots; m_1; & b = m_0 + 1; \dots; m_1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\text{then } X \text{ is a KV.}
\end{array}$$
(27)

If F = 0 then X is a KV.

Proof. From de nition of CKV we have, for $X = Q_a$

$$Q_a q^{ij} = F q^{ij}$$
;

for all i;
$$j = 1; :::; n. By (1)$$
, observing that $g^{\hat{b}\hat{b}} \in 0$, we obtain (27).

Unlike the orthogonal case, by (2) and (27) we can see that not only the components of the inverse of a Stackelm atrix are involved in the de nition of CKV, but also the functions $_{a}$ and $_{a}$. Since these functions appear in the m etric components, we have to modify Theorem 4 for the non-orthogonal case. In particular we need to de ne a new kind of vector elds playing the role of KV and CKV in non-orthogonal separable coordinates.

De nition 4. Let $(q^i) = (q^a; q)$ be standard separable coordinates. The vector $X = Q_a$ is a conformal Stackel symmetry (CS-symmetry) of the foliation S^a if there exists a function F such that for all b = 1;:::;m

$$eall ' b = F:$$
 (28)

We say that X is a Stackel sym metry (S-sym metry) if it is a CS-sym metry with F = 0.

Remark 8. Due to the regularity of (g^{ij}) the $\prime^b_{(m)}$ are all dierent from zero. For the same reason, for a given b, the b are not all zero.

Proposition 4. Let ℓ_a be a CS-symmetry (S-symmetry). Then, ℓ_a is a CKV (KV) if and only if ℓ_a and ℓ_a are constant for every ; .

Proof. By (2) and (28), for a given CS-sym metry ℓ_a we have

8

$$\gtrless @_a \ln g^{\hat{b}\hat{b}} = F$$
 $@_a g^b = {}^b @_a {}^b {}^{m} + F g^b$
 $@_a g = @_a {}^a {}^a {}^{m} + F g$:

Because of (27) and of ' $^a_{(m)}$ § 0, ϱ_a is a CKV if and only if $_a$ and $_a$, both functions of the coordinate corresponding to the lower index only, are constant for every ; .

Remark 9. Proposition 4 shows that CS-symmetries are not coordinate independent objects unless coordinates are orthogonal, in this case they coincide with CKV. Also for the isotropic coordinates we have that if ℓ_a is a KV then, by Proposition 4 and $(26)_2$, E_a is proportional to the KV $_a$ ℓ 0. If no isotropic coordinate occurs, then ℓ 0 is a CS-symmetry if and only if it is a CKV of each m-dimensional submanifold fq = const., = m + 1;:::;ng.

As in the previous sections, we introduce the m m matrix = $\binom{a}{b}$ of the essential eigenvalues of a basis $(K_1; :::; K_m = G)$ of K_m described in Section 3. Even if in the construction of we do not explicitly distinguish between eigenvalues $\binom{a}{b}$ and $\binom{a}{b}$, we will see in Remark 12 that the distinction is relevant.

P roposition 5. The vector $X = Q_a$ is a CS-sym m etry if and only if

$$Q_a \stackrel{b}{c} = (\stackrel{a}{c} \stackrel{b}{c})F$$
 8 b; c = 1;:::;m; (29)

where F is the function appearing in (28). The vector $X = Q_a$ is a S-sym m etry if and only if

$$Q_{a \ c}^{b} = 0$$
 8b; $c = 1; :::; m$:

Proof. It follows directly from De nition 4 and $(5)_1$, recalling that in (K_m) there is always at least one KT with distinct essential eigenvalues.

Lem m a 3. Let $S = ({r'}_a^{(b)})$ be the m m Stackelmatrix de ned by (2) and (4). Then

$$'^{a}_{(b)} = {a'}^{a}_{b'(m)};$$
 8a;b= 1;:::;m: (30)

Proof. A coording to (3) and (4), the non-vanishing components of the basis $(K_1; :::; K_m)$ in standard coordinates have the following equivalent forms

$$K_{b}^{\hat{a}\hat{a}} = {}_{b}^{\hat{a}}g^{\hat{a}\hat{a}} = {}'_{(b)}^{\hat{a}};$$

$$K_{b}^{a} = {}_{b}^{a}g^{\hat{a}} = {}_{a}{}'_{(b)}^{\hat{a}};$$
(31)

By inserting the expression of the metric (2) in (31), we get

Hence, due to Remark 8, relation (30) holds for all essential eigenvalues, without distinction between isotropic and non-isotropic coordinates. \Box

We do not for essential coordinates the fundamental functions f_a^{bc} in terms of minors of as described by (6). Namely, Propositions 2 and 3 can be directly restated here as follows

Proposition 6. (i) If

$$f_{a}^{bc} = \frac{\det {a \atop b}}{\det {a \atop c}} = (1)^{b+c} \frac{{a \atop (c)}}{{a \atop (c)}};$$
 (32)

is well-de ned, then it depends on q^a only. (ii) For any xed index a there exist two indices $c < b \quad m$ such that the fundam ental function f_a^{bc} (32) is well-de ned.

We can now generalize Theorem 4:

Theorem 7. Let q^a be an essential coordinate adapted to a separable K illing algebra (D $_r$; K $_m$). Then, (i) for m>2 there exists a rescaling $q^a=q^a$ (q^a) such that the associated vector eld e_a is a C S-sym m etry if and only if the functions f_a^{bc} (32) are constant or unde ned for every c < b < m. In particular, (ii) for any e_a is a S-sym m etry if and only if the functions f_a^{bc} are constant or unde ned for every indices e_a b; e_a

Proof. By comparing (30) and (7), we see that the relations between essential components of K illing tensors and the m m Stackel matrix ($^{\prime}$ _c) are exactly the same relations as in the orthogonal case. To prove our thesis, we follow the proof of Theorem 4 with some modications. Let us assume a = 1 and that θ_1 is a CS-symmetry. Then, equations (29) hold and by calculating $\theta_1 f_1^{bc}$ as in Theorem 4 we get equations

corresponding to (17). Hence, the fundamental functions are f_1^{bc} are constant or unde ned for all b < c < m and, if F = 0 (i.e., θ_1 is a S-symmetry) they

are all constant or unde ned. Conversely, if f_1^{bc} are constant or unde ned for all b < c < m, by repeating the same reasoning of Theorem 4 we obtain the following equations analogous to (22)

$$Q_1 \ln '_{(m)}^b = Q_1 \ln (\det S);$$
 $Q_1 \ln '_{(m)}^1 = Q_1 \ln (\det S)$ $Q_1 \ln '_{(1)}^{(c)};$

with b $\[\in \]$ 1, where $'_1^{(c)}$ is a non-vanishing element of the rst row of the Stackel matrix S and S is the matrix obtained from S by dividing the rst row by $'_1^{(c)}$. If $@_1'_1^{(c)} \in 0$, we can locally rescale q^1 as $q^1 = '_1^{(c)}(q^1)$ (if $'_1^{(c)}$ is constant we do not need to rescale and $@_1 = @_1$). Hence, for all b = 1;:::;m we get $@_1 \ln '_{(m)}^b = @_1 \ln \det S$ and by (28) $@_1$ is a CS-symmetry with $F = @_1 \ln \det S$. In particular, as in the orthogonal case, if all f_1^{bc} are constant or unde ned then det S is independent of q^1 , F = 0, and $@_1$ is a S-symmetry.

R em ark 10. In the previous theorem no distinction is made between coordinates of type \hat{a} and a. For m=2 it is easy to check that every θ_a is up to a rescaling a CS-sym m etry

By Theorem 7, Theorem 5 can be generalized in the following way

Theorem 8. Let (q^a) be essential coordinates adapted to a separable K illing algebra $(D_r; K_m)$. For every $a=1; \ldots; m$ one and only one of the following sentences holds: I) there exists a rescaling $q^a=q^a$ (q^a) such that the associated vector eld Q_a is a S-symmetry. II) There exist indices b; c such that, in a neighborhood of any point where $df_a^{bc} \in O$, the equation

$$f_a^{bc} = const.$$

de nes a hypersurface of the foliation $q^a = const.$

Remark 11. The vector eld @ is a S-symmetry if and only if the Stackel matrix does not depend on q^a . Therefore, unlike the orthogonal case, item I) of Theorem 8 does not provide a geometric characterization of the eld @ but merely a property of the Stackel matrix with respect to (q^i) , as it is illustrated in Example 3. On the contrary, item II) retains the same geometric meaning as in Theorem 5.

Rem ark 12. Since $E_{\hat{a}}$ is proportional to $\ell_{\hat{a}}$, by applying Theorem s 7 and 8 to the fundam ental functions $f_{\hat{a}}^{bc}$ we have that if $\ell_{\hat{a}}$ is a CS-sym m etry then $\ell_{\hat{a}}$ is a com m on eigenvector of K_m orthogonal to $S^{\hat{a}}$. This is not true for indices a, which correspond to isotropic eigenvectors $E_{\hat{a}}$ generating the isotropic distribution $I = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 3. Let us consider the Euclidean four-dimensional space R_4 . Let S_2 be the set of two foliations S^1 and S^2 described in Cartesian coordinates (x;y;z;t) as

$$S^{1} = \int_{k>0}^{1} f(x;y;z;t) 2 R^{4} jx^{2} + y^{2} = kg;$$
 $S^{2} = \int_{h2R}^{1} f(x;y;z;t) 2 R^{4} jt = hg$:

Two vectors orthogonal to S^1 and S^2 respectively are $n_1 = x \, \theta_x + y \, \theta_y$; $n_2 = \theta_t$: Let D₂ be the linear space generated by the vectors

$$X_3 = \theta_z$$
; $X_4 = y \theta_x \quad x \theta_y$;

which are commuting Killing vectors tangent to both foliations Sa. The tensor

$$K = G^{+} G^{+}$$

is a D $_2$ -invariant K T . M oreover, E $_1$ = n_1 and E $_2$ = n_2 are eigenvectors of K associated with the eigenvalues 1 = 0 and 2 = 1 respectively. Hence, according to D e nition 2, (S $_2$;D $_2$;K) is a separable K illing web. The tensors (K;G) form a basis of the K T-space K $_2$. Let us construct the adapted coordinates (q^a ;q) and compute the components of metric in this new coordinates. As essential coordinates we choose q^1 = $x^2 + y^2$ = and q^2 = t. Adding the ignorable coordinates (q^a ; q^a) associated with the basis (X $_3$;X $_4$) and with a section Z orthogonal to the orbits of D $_2$, the coordinate transform ation is defined by

$$x = \cos(q^4 + 0);$$
 $y = \sin(q^4 + 0);$ $z = q^3 + z_0;$ $t = q^2;$ (33)

where $_0$ 2 (0; 2) and z_0 2 R are the param eters de ning Z . In these coordinates the m etric is diagonal and the non vanishing components of G are

$$g^{11} = g^{22} = g^{44} = 1;$$
 $g^{33} = {}^{2}:$

By choosing a di erent basis of D $_2$, for instance X $_3^0$ = X $_3$ and X $_4^0$ = X $_3$ + X $_4$, and leaving Z unchanged, we get non-orthogonal ignorable coordinates (\mathbf{q}^0) given by

$$x = \cos(q^{04} + c_0);$$
 $y = \sin(q^{04} + c_0);$ $z = q^{03} + q^{04} + z_0;$ $t = q^2:$

and the metric assumes the standard form

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ B & 0 & 1 & & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & + & 2 & & 1A \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In both cases the 2 2 Stackelm atrices associated with the essential separable coordinates and its inverse are

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
; $S^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $S^{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$;

respectively. The matrix of the essential eigenvalues of K and G coincides with the matrix S¹. The method of the eigenvalues does not provide any coordinate hypersurface because S is constant. Then, according to Theorem 8, θ_1 , θ_2 are both S-symmetries. However, from a geometric point of view the corresponding

eigenvectors $E_1 = x \, \theta_x + y \, \theta_y$ and $E_2 = \theta_t$ have di erent properties. Indeed, E_2 is a KV, according to the fact that q^2 is ignorable, while E_1 is not a KV since g^{33} depends on q^1 . We can apply the eigenvalue method to the orthogonal system (33) for computing the equation of the hypersurfaces of S^1 . In order to determine the 4 - 4 m atrix we consider the 4-dimensional KT space containing K $_2$ and the tensors K $_2$ = X $_3$ X $_3$, K $_3$ = X $_4$ X $_4$, which is a KS-algebra for the orthogonal system (33).

Exam ple 4. Let us consider a 4-dim ensional pseudo Riem annian manifold having in a coordinate system (X;Y;Z;U) the following non-zero metric contravariant components

$$g^{11} = X^{10} \frac{4X^{4} + 4Y^{4} - 9X^{4}Y^{24}Z + 9X^{4}Y^{24}U + 9X^{10}Y^{24} + 9X^{4}Y^{30}}{144(X^{10} + Y^{10})^{2}(X^{4} - Y^{4})}$$

$$g^{22} = Y^{10} \frac{4X^{4} + 4Y^{4} - 9X^{24}Y^{4}Z + 9X^{24}Y^{4}U + 9X^{30}Y^{4} + 9X^{24}Y^{10}}{144X^{10}(X^{10} + Y^{10})^{2}(X^{4} - Y^{4})}$$

$$g^{12} = X^{5}Y^{5} \frac{4X^{4} - 4Y^{4} - 9X^{14}Y^{14}Z + 9X^{14}Y^{14}U + 9Y^{14}X^{20} + 9Y^{20}X^{14}}{144(X^{10} + Y^{10})^{2}(X^{4} - Y^{4})}$$

$$g^{33} = Z^{2} + 2ZU - U^{2} + Z - U - X^{6} - Y^{6}; \qquad g^{44} = Z^{2} - 2ZU + U^{2} + Z - U - X^{6} - Y^{6}$$

$$g^{34} = Z - U - X^{6} - Y^{6};$$

For Y j < X jand Z U < $(X^6 + Y^6)$ the signature is (3,1), while for Y j > X j and Z U < $(X^6 + Y^6)$ the signature is (2,2). The 2-dim ensional space of the KVs is generated by $X_1 = Q_Z + Q_U$, $X_2 = 2jf X Q_X$

$$f = \frac{Y^{14}X^{14}}{32(X^{10} + Y^{10})^2(X^{4} + Y^{4})}$$
:

W e call D $_1$ the K V space generated by X $_1$. W e have $r=1=m_0$, since X $_1$ is an isotropic vector. Let us consider the independent tensors K $_1$, K $_2$ whose non null contravariant components are

$$K_{1}^{11} = Y^{10} (U Z)f;$$
 $K_{1}^{22} = X^{10} (U Z)f;$ $K_{1}^{12} = X^{5} Y^{5} (U Z)f;$ $K_{1}^{13} = X^{10} (U Z)f;$ $K_{1}^{14} = X^{10} (U Z)f;$

The space K_3 generated by $(K_1; K_2; G)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Thus, we can apply the eigenvalue method to get the equations of the separated coordinates hypersurfaces. The matrix of the eigenvalues is

W e get

$$f_1^{23} = X^6 Y^6$$
; f_2^{ab} constant or n.d; $f_3^{21} = \frac{1}{2}$ (U Z):

This means that

$$x = X^{6} + Y^{6}; z = (Z U) = 2$$

are essential separable coordinates (the last one is a null coordinate) and that, up to a rescaling, the vector $@_y$ associated with the remaining essential separable coordinate y is a S-sym metry. The separable coordinate $y=1=Y^4-1=X^4$ cannot be computed by the eigenvalue method. The coordinate associated with $X_1 \ge D_1$ is u=(U+Z)=2. By performing the change of variables (X;Y;Z;U)! (x;y;z;u) we get the metric in standard form

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ B & 0 & \frac{2x-z}{y} & & 0 & & 0 & C \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 2z^2 & A \end{bmatrix}$$

In the separable coordinates the general KV is $c_1 \frac{1}{p-\frac{1}{y}} \theta_y + c_2 \theta_u$ and the tensors K $_1$, K $_2$ become

$$K_1 = -\frac{z}{y}Q_y - Q_y + 2z^2Q_z - Q_u + (1-z)Q_u - Q_u; \qquad K_2 = \frac{1}{y}Q_y - Q_y + Q_u - Q_u;$$

We remark that K $_2$ is a reducible K T (i.e., sum of symmetric products of K V), while K $_1$ is an irreducible tensor.

6 Conform alseparable orthogonal system s.

The method developed in Section 3 characterizes also conformal separable orthogonal webs [7] in a natural way. We recall that

Denition 5. The geodesic Ham iltonian G is conformal separable if there exists a function on Q such that the conformal geodesic Ham iltonian G = G = (associated with the conformal metric <math>G = G = (associated with the conformal metric G = (associated with the conform

Remark 13. An important application of conformal separable coordinates is the fact that coordinates allowing Reseparation of the Laplace equation are necessarily conformal separable (see [17, 12]). Moreover, in conformally at manifolds, all the conformal separable coordinates are also Reseparable (see [14]).

Due to De nition 5, the conformal separation in orthogonal coordinates is equivalent to the existence of a KS-algebra for a conformal metric G. The following theorem contains an intrinsic characterization in terms of the original metric tensor G, involving conformal Killing tensors (CKT), introduced in Example 2.

Theorem 9. ([7]) The geodesic Ham iltonian G is conform alseparable in orthogonal coordinates if and only if there exist n CKT (K $_{i}$) pointwise independent with common eigenvectors (E $_{i}$) and in conformal involution (i.e., there exist vector elds C $_{ij}$ such that [K $_{i}$; K $_{j}$] = C $_{ij}$ G). It is not restrictive to assume K $_{n}$ = G . Each conformal separable coordinate hypersurface is orthogonal to one of the n common normal eigenvectors of (K $_{i}$).

We have ([16],[7])

P roposition 7. Let (K $_1$;:::;K $_n$ = G) be a set of independent CKT associated with conformal separable orthogonal coordinates (q^i), and let ($_i^j$) be their eigenvalues with respect to the metric G . Then, for any choice of the index k, the tensors

$$K_{i} = K_{i} \quad {}_{i}^{k}G \quad i = 1; :::; n \quad 1$$
 (34)

are KT for the metric

$$K_n = G = (g^{kk})^{-1}G$$
 (35)

and $(K_1; ::::; K_n = G)$ is a basis for the KS-algebra associated with (q^i) .

Remark 14. We say that $(K_1; :::; K_{n-1}; G)$ is a basis of the conformal Killing space (CK-space) associated with the conformal separable coordinates (q^i) .

Due to Proposition 7, by considering the matrix made by the eigenvalues of (K $_{\rm i}$) with respect to G , we can apply Theorem s 4, 5 for characterizing any orthogonal conformal separable web associated with (K $_{\rm 1}$;:::;K $_{\rm n}$ $_{\rm 1}$;G) as orthogonal separable web associated with the K S-algebra generated by (K $_{\rm 1}$;:::;K $_{\rm n}$ $_{\rm 1}$;G). Following Section 3, we de ne

$$f_{i}^{jh} = (1)^{j+h} \frac{\det \frac{i}{j}}{\det \frac{i}{h}};$$
 (36)

where the matrix is formed by the eigenvalues of (K_i) with respect to G, and

$$f_{i}^{jh} = (1)^{j+h} \frac{\det \frac{i}{j}}{\det \frac{i}{h}};$$
 (37)

where is the matrix made by eigenvalues of (K $_{\rm i}$) with respect to G . We remark that functions (36) are not intrinsically dened, since to determine the G-eigenvalues of the tensors (K $_{\rm i}$) it is necessary to know the coordinates because of the factor g^{kk} appearing in (35). On the contrary, in functions (37) only the eigenvalues of tensors satisfying intrinsic conditions (the hypotheses of Theorem 9) are involved.

Remark 15. De nition 5 of (K $_{\rm i}$) implies that in the k-th column has n 1 zeros.

P roposition 8. Let f_i^{jh} and f_i^{jh} be the functions de ned in (36) and (37) respectively. Then, for $h < j < n \ (n > 2)$ we have either

$$f_i^{jh} = f_i^{jh};$$

or both functions are unde ned.

Proof. The eigenvalues of K $_{\rm i}$ with respect to G are

$$j = (j k)g^{kk}$$
: (38)

By linear algebra argum ents, we have that

det
$$_{h}^{i} = g^{kk})^{n} det _{h}^{i}$$
:

Hence, by (36) and (37) the thesis follows.

Remark 16. A vector eld X is CKV for G if and only if it is a CKV for any metric conformal to G. Thus, in the following for CKV we shall not specify which is the metric tensor considered.

In the case of the orthogonal conform alseparation Theorem s 4 and 5 can be restated as follows

Theorem 10. Let E_i be a common eigenvector of a basis (K_i) of a CK-space. Then, E_i is proportional to a CKV if and only if for all h < j < n (n > 2) the functions f_i^{jh} de ned by (37) are constant or unde ned.

Proof. Due to Proposition 8 and Remark 16, the thesis follows by applying Theorem 4 (i) to the KS-algebra (34), (35) with $k \in I$.

Theorem 11. Let E $_i$ be a common eigenvector of a basis (K $_i$) of a CK-space. For every $i=1;\dots;n$ (n > 2) one and only one of the following sentences holds: I) E $_i$ is, up to a scalar factor, a CKV . II) There exist indices h < j < n such that, in a neighborhood of any point where df_i^{jh} $\[\in \]$ 0, the equation

$$f_i^{jh} = const.$$

de nes a hypersurface orthogonal to E_{i} .

In the case of conform all orthogonal separation, we do not distinguish if E $_{\rm i}$ is proportional to a CKV or a KV. The following property holds

P roposition 9. If E $_i$ is, up to a factor, a CKV, then it is a KV of G = G = g^{kk} for any $k \in i$.

Proof. Using (34), we get a basis of the KS-algebra with respect to $G=g^{kk}$ with $k \in i$. A coording to Rem ark 15, the k-th column of has not 1 zeros. Therefore, all submatrices of kind $n \in k$, have null determinant. This means that for all h < nother functions f_i^{hn} are undened or identically null. Moreover, since according to Remark 16 E_i is up to a factor a CKV for $G = G=g^{kk}$, due to Theorem 4 (i) we get that for h < j < nother functions $f_i^{hj} = f_i^{hj}$ are constant or undened. Then, Theorem 4 (ii) implies that E_i is a KV for G.

Exam ple 5. Let us consider in $R^{\,3}$ the vector $\,$ elds $R_{\,\,3}$ and I_3 having Cartesian components

$$R_3 = (y;x;0);$$
 $I_3 = (2xz; 2yz; x^2 + y^2 z^2);$

respectively. The vector I_3 is a CKV with respect to the Euclidean metric G: it is the inversion with respect to a generic point on the axis z. The vector R_3 is the rotation around the z axis and it is a K illing vector. It is straightforward to check that the two vector elds commute so that the corresponding linear rst integrals are in involution. Moreover, the tensors ($K_1 = I_3 \quad I_3$; $K_2 = R_3 \quad R_3$; G) are pointwise independent. Hence, they satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 9 and they are associated with some conformal separable coordinate system. We apply the above described method to determ ine the conformal separable coordinate hypersurfaces. Being R_3 ? I_3 , the common eigenvectors are

$$E_1 = I_3$$
 R_3 ; $E_2 = I_3$; $E_3 = R_3$;

The eigenvalues matrix is

The coordinate hypersurfaces orthogonal to E_1 are the level sets of the function

which describes the rotational surface obtained by rotating around the z-axis a circle in the plane (x;z) tangent in the origin 0 to the z-axis (toroids without center opening). Since f_1^{12} is not constant and both the upper indices are dierent from 3, the eigenvector E_1 is not proportional to a CKV. A coording to the fact that I_3 and R_3 are conform al K illing tensors, all functions f_i^{jh} for i=2;3 and $h;j\in 3$ are constant or unde ned. It is well known that the surfaces orthogonal to $E_3=R_3$ are half-planes issued from the z-axis. Moreover, it is easy to check

that the spheres tangent in O to the xy-plane are hypersurfaces orthogonal to $E_2=I_3$. Indeed, the gradient of the functions $(x^2+y^2+z^2)=z$ is up to the factor z^2 exactly I_3 . The coordinates associated with $(K_1;K_2;G)$ are known as tangent-spheres coordinates [18] (see also [10] for a detailed analysis and classication of the symmetric conformal separable coordinates in R^3 and the associated CKTs). A conformal metric which is separable in these coordinates is for instance $G=(R_3-R_3)G$. By Proposition 7, the tensors K_1 and K_2 are KT for G. By Proposition 9, E_2 is a KV for G. By denition of K_2 and because it is a KT for G, E_3 also is a KV for G.

7 Conclusion.

By using simple arguments of linear algebra and the properties of the Stackelmatrices, we have seen how to construct separable hypersurfaces by means of eigenvalues of symmetric two-tensors in Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. It follows that the webs associated with these hypersurfaces have the same domain of de nition of the eigenvalues employed in the costruction, apart som e closed singular set where the comm on eigenspaces of the tensors in the KS (CKS) spaces are not one-dimensional. In (real) pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, KTs (and CKTs) may have complex conjugated eigenvalues, in this case it is not possible to de ne real separable coordinates. However, it is possible to introduce separated complex coordinates allowing the Jacobi integration (see [13]). The application of our eigenvalue method to the complex case is in progress. For manifolds of constant curvature the whole spaces of Killing and conformal-Killing tensors are well known, then it is possible to apply our method to get com puter-graphical representations of the webs. We rem ark that the separable (resp. conform al-separable) coordinates here considered are the only ones allowing separation (resp. Fixed Energy R-separation [12]) of Laplace, Helmholtz and Schrodinger equations [6].

A cknow ledgem ents

This research is partially supported by M IUR (National Research Project \G eom etry of dynamical system") and by the research project \P rogetto Lagrange" of Fondazione CRT.

R eferences

- [1] Benenti S., Separability structures on Riemannian manifolds, in L.N. Math., 1980, V.863, 512 [538.
- [2] Benenti S., Separation of variables in the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation, Progress in Mathematics, 1991, V.9, 1{36.

- [3] Benenti S., Inertia tensors and Stackel systems in the Euclidean spaces, Rend. Sem in. Mat. Univ. Polit. Torino, 1992, V.50, 315{341.
- [4] Benenti S., Intrinsic characterization of the variable separation in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, J. M ath. Phys., 1997, V.38, 6578 (6602.
- [5] Benenti S., Separability in Riemannian manifolds to apper in Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A (2006).
- [6] Benenti S., Chanu C., Rastelli G., Remarks on the connection between the additive separation of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and the multiplicative separation of the Schroedinger equation.1. The completeness and the Robertson conditions, J.Math. Phys., 2002, V.43, 5183 {5222.
- [7] BenentiS., Chanu C., RastelliG., Variable separation theory for the nullHamilton (Jacobi equation, J. Math. Phys., 2005, V.46, 042901/29.
- [8] Blaszak M., Separable bi-Ham iltonian systems with quadratic in momenta rst integrals, SI/0312025.
- [9] Bolsinov A.V., M atheev V.S., Geometrical interpretation of Benenti systems J.Geom. Phys., 2003, V.44, 489 [506.
- [10] Chanachow icz M , Chanu C , M cLenaghan R $\mathcal G$, Invariant classication of the sym metric R -separable webs in E₃, in progress.
- [11] Chanu C., Rastelli G., Eigenvalues of Killing tensors and orthogonal separable webs, in Proceedings of the International Conference STP 2002, \Symmetry and perturbation theory" (May 19{24, 2002, Cala Gonone), Eds. S. abenda, G. Gaeta and S. Walcher, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 2002, 18{25.
- [12] Chanu C., RastelliG., Fixed Energy R-separation for Schrodinger equation, International Journal on Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 2006 V.3 n.3, 489 (508.
- [13] Degiovanni L., Rastelli G., Complex separable coordinates on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, nlin SI/0610012.
- [14] Kalnins E.G., Separation of variables for Riemannian spaces of constant curvature, Pitman M onographs and Surveys in P.A.M ath., V 28, New York, Longman Scientic and Technical, 1986.
- [15] Kalnins E.G., Miller W. Jr., Killing tensors and variable separation for the Hamilton-Jacobi and Helmholtz equations, SIAM J.Math. Anal., 1980, V.11, 1011{1026.
- [16] Kalnins E.G., Miller W. Jr., Conformal Killing tensors and variable separation for HJEs, SIAM J.Math. Anal., 1983, V.14, 126{137.
- [17] Kalnins E.G., Miller W. Jr., Intrinsic characterisation of orthogonal R-separation for Laplace equation, 1982, J. Phys. A V.15, 2699 [2709.
- [18] Moon P., D. E. Spencer D. E., Field Theory Handbook, Berlin Springer Verlag, 1961.