

Staggered Anisotropy Parameter Modification of the Perk-Schultz Model

T. Sedrakyan¹

*Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique LAPTH
CNRS, UMR 5108, associée à l'Université de Savoie
BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France*

Abstract

The Perk-Schultz model (anisotropic t-J model) with staggered disposition of the anisotropy parameter along a chain is considered and the corresponding ladder type integrable model is constructed. This is a generalization to spin-1 case of the staggered XXZ spin-1/2 model considered earlier. The corresponding Hamiltonian is calculated and, since it contains next to nearest neighbor interaction terms, can be written in a zig-zag form. The Algebraic Bethe Ansatz technique is applied and the eigenstates, along with eigenvalues of the transfer matrix of the model are found.

LAPTH-840/01
nlin.SI/0103027
February 2001

¹e-mail: tigrans@moon.yerphi.am, Permanent address: Yerevan Physics Institute, Armenia

1 Introduction

In recent articles [3, 4] the authors have proposed a construction of some integrable chain models with \mathbb{Z}_2 grading of the states along the chain, as well as along the time directions. Hence, a way of constructing an integrable models with staggered inhomogeneity was proposed, motivated by the problems of three dimensional Ising model [6] and the Hall effect [7]. The inhomogeneous anisotropic XXZ Heisenberg chain with staggered anisotropy parameter $\pm\Delta$ was constructed in [3], while the isotropic $t - J$ model was considered in [4].

The XXZ and $t - J$ models with only inhomogeneous shift of the spectral parameter was previously considered in a chain of articles [8, 9, 10, 11], but these authors have not analyzed the possibility of the inhomogeneity of the anisotropy parameter Δ (or other model parameters). As a result they have the same intertwining R -matrix as for ordinary homogeneous integrable XXZ and $t - J$ models correspondingly and, therefore, the same quantum group structure behind. Contrary to this, in the construction presented in the articles [3] and [5] the integrable inhomogeneity appeared not only in shifts of the spectral parameter, but also in some structural changes. As a result we got modified Yang–Baxter equations (YBE), leading to a quantum group algebraic structure different from the usual $sl_q(2)$ [5].

As it is shown in the mentioned above articles, due to periodic shift of the spectral parameters the Hamiltonians of all this models contain at most next to nearest neighbor (NNN) interaction terms and therefore can be represented as integrable two leg ladder (zig-zag) models. The interaction between the legs of the ladder is represented in the Hamiltonian by a topological type terms written as the product of three neighbor spins and the anisotropic antisymmetric tensor as

$$\hat{\epsilon}^{abc} \sigma_i^a \sigma_{i+1}^b \sigma_{i+2}^c. \quad (1)$$

As it was shown in [7], the model of free fermions hopping with inhomogeneous parameters, in a case when the rotational invariance is preserved, determines a Peierls type mechanism of mass generation, which is based on the breaking of the translational invariance for the translations of one lattice spacing. Perhaps the above mentioned 3-spin interaction terms are responsible for a gaped phase of the system, as it is discussed in the articles [12] in connection with high temperature superconductivity problems.

In this article, by use of the solution of the staggered YBE for the general $Sl_q(n)$ quantum group presented in [5], we pass from spin-1/2 XXZ model to spin-1 case and consider the Perk-Schultz model [13, 14, 15] (or anisotropic $t - J$ model) with staggered sign of the anisotropy parameter.

In Section 2 we present the solution of the staggered YBE for the $Sl_q(1, 2)$ case and calculate the Hamiltonian of the model in a ladder form.

In Section 3 we apply the technique of the Algebraic Bethe Ansatz (ABA) [1, 2] and find the eigenvalues and eigenstates of our Hamiltonian. The Bethe equations (BE) for

the allowed values of the spectral parameters (momentums) are written down here.

2 The Yang–Baxter Equations, their solution and the Hamiltonian of the Model

In this Section we follow the technique developed in the articles [3, 4]. We modify the spin-1 model of Perk and Schultz [13, 14, 15], which can be regarded as anisotropic supersymmetric $t - J$ model, in order to construct an integrable model with staggered disposition of the sign of the anisotropy parameter Δ .

The principal ingredient of integrable models via Bethe Ansatz technique is the R -matrix. The R_{aj} -matrix acts as an intertwining operator on the direct product of the auxiliary $V_a(v)$ and quantum $V_j(u)$ spaces

$$R_{aj}(u, v) : V_a(u) \otimes V_j(v) \longrightarrow V_j(v) \otimes V_a(u). \quad (2)$$

For the Perk-Schultz model the quantum and auxiliary spaces are three dimensional and corresponding R -matrix can be defined by the following formula

$$R(z) = qzR_q - q^{-1}z^{-1}R_q^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where we have introduced a new multiplicative spectral parameter $z = e^{iu}$. The parameter q defines the anisotropy of the model and, as it is shown in [15], the so called constant R -matrix R_q is equal to

$$R_q = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(z)}{z} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1}\lambda & 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -q^{-1}\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q^{-1}\lambda & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

with $\lambda = q - q^{-1}$.

It is very convenient for this model to consider its fermionized version by use of the technique developed in the article [16, 17]. Let us introduce two Fermi fields $c_{i,\sigma}$, $c_{i,\sigma}^+$ with spin up and down states $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ at each site i of the chain and three basic vectors of the corresponding spaces V_i as follows

$$| - \rangle \equiv | 0, \downarrow \rangle, \quad | + \rangle \equiv | \uparrow, 0 \rangle, \quad | 0 \rangle \equiv | 0, 0 \rangle, \quad (5)$$

numerated as $|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle$ respectively. Therefore we have \mathbb{Z}_2 graded quantum $V_j(u)$ and auxiliary $V_a(v)$ spaces with the following parities

$$p(|+\rangle) = p(|-\rangle) = 1, \quad p(|0\rangle) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Consider now Hubbard operators $X_{a_2}^{a_1} = |a_2\rangle\langle a_1|$, $X_{j_2}^{j_1} = |j_2\rangle\langle j_1|$, with $|a\rangle, |j\rangle$ defined as in formulas (5) and

$$\begin{aligned} X_m^k &= \begin{pmatrix} |-\rangle\langle -| & |-\rangle\langle +| & |-\rangle\langle 0| \\ |+\rangle\langle -| & |+\rangle\langle +| & |+\rangle\langle 0| \\ |0\rangle\langle -| & |0\rangle\langle +| & |0\rangle\langle 0| \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (1-n_\uparrow)n_\downarrow & c_\downarrow^+c_\uparrow & (1-n_\uparrow)c_\downarrow^+ \\ c_\uparrow^+c_\downarrow & n_\uparrow(1-n_\downarrow) & c_\uparrow^+(1-n_\downarrow) \\ (1-n_\uparrow)c_\downarrow & c_\uparrow(1-n_\downarrow) & (1-n_\uparrow)(1-n_\downarrow) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $n_\sigma = c_\sigma^+c_\sigma$ is the particle number operator. The demand that the trace of this operator acts on auxiliary and quantum spaces as the identity operator means that the double occupancy of the sites by fermions is excluded

$$\Delta |l\rangle = X_m^m |l\rangle = (1-n_\uparrow n_\downarrow) |l\rangle = |l\rangle, \quad m, l = 1, 2, 3. \quad (8)$$

Now we can write down the formula connecting the fermionic R_{aj} -operator of the Perk-Schultz model with the R_{aj} -matrix defined by the expression (4)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{aj} &= R_{aj} |j_1\rangle\langle a_1| \langle a_1| \langle j_1| = (R_{aj})_{a_1 j_1}^{a_2 j_2} |a_2\rangle\langle j_2| \langle a_1| \langle j_1| \\ &= (-1)^{p(a_1)p(j_2)} (R_{aj})_{a_1 j_1}^{a_2 j_2} X_{a_2}^{a_1} X_{j_2}^{j_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is straightforward to check that this fermionic R -operator satisfies the YBE in the operator form [17].

Having the R -matrix of the integrable model we should construct the monodromy matrix (operator), which is usually a homogeneous product of the R -matrices along the chain. But now let us instead consider two different monodromy matrices (operators) $M_0(u)$ and $M_1(u)$ defined by staggered inhomogeneous product of the R -operators

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(u) &= R_{01}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(u) R_{02}^{\iota_1}(\bar{u}) R_{03}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(u) \cdots \\ M_0(u) &= R_{01}^{\iota_2}(\bar{u}) R_{02}(u) R_{03}^{\iota_2}(\bar{u}) \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here the operations ι_1 and ι_2 transform the R -operator of the Perk-Schultz model to $R^{\iota_1}(u)$, $R^{\iota_2}(u)$ with the property $\iota_1^2 = \iota_2^2 = 1$. These operations will be defined below.

The full monodromy operator $M(u)$ of the model is defined as a product of these two monodromy operators

$$M(u) = M_0(u) M_1(u), \quad (11)$$

while the transfer matrix is the trace of $M(u)$ on auxiliary spaces 0 and $\bar{0}$

$$\tau(u) = \text{Tr}_0 \text{Tr}_{\bar{0}} M(u) = \tau_0(u) \tau_1(u). \quad (12)$$

It is known that the Yang–Baxter equations (YBE) insure the commutativity of the transfer matrices $\tau(u)$ and $\tau(v)$ for different values of the spectral parameters $u \neq v$. As it was shown in the article [3] for our inhomogeneous case we will need two sets of *YBE* defined as

$$\check{R}_{12}(u, v) \check{R}_{23}^{\iota_1}(\bar{u}) \check{R}_{12}(v) = \check{R}_{23}^{\iota_1}(v) \check{R}_{12}(\bar{u}) \check{R}_{23}(u, v), \quad (13)$$

and

$$\check{R}_{12}(u, v) \check{R}_{23}^{\iota_2 \iota_1}(u) \check{R}_{12}^{\iota_1}(\bar{v}) = \check{R}_{23}^{\iota_2 \iota_1}(\bar{v}) \check{R}_{12}^{\iota_2}(u) \check{R}_{23}(u, v), \quad (14)$$

where $\check{R}_{ij} = P_{ij} R_{ij}$ is the R -matrix in the braid formalism and P_{ij} is the permutation operator.

In order to have a integrable model this *YBE*-s should have a solution of the equations (13, 14) for some nontrivial ι_1 and ι_2 operations. The solution of the first set of equations can be found in the article [5] by extending $Sl_q(1, 2)$ case from the $Sl_q(3)$ solutions. It is

$$\check{R}^{\iota_1}_q(z) = qz \check{R}^{\iota_1}_q - q^{-1} z^{-1} (\check{R}^{\iota_1}_q)^{-1}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\check{R}^{\iota_1}_q = \check{R}_q = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1}\lambda & 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -q^{-1}\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & -q^{-1}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

and the bar operation is a multiplicative shift of the multiplicative spectral parameter $z = e^{iu}$, $\check{R}(\bar{z}) = \check{R}(e^{i\bar{u}}) = \check{R}(hz^{-1})$.

If we define the operation ι_2 on $\check{R}(z)$ as

$$\check{R}^{\iota_2}(z) = \check{R}^{\iota_1}(z^{-1}), \quad (17)$$

then easy to see that second set of YBEs will coincide with the first set of *YBE*-s.

Therefore we have found an integrable inhomogeneous model and our aim now is to write down the expression for the Hamiltonian. But in order to have a local Hamiltonian it is necessary to have a point u_0 , where

$$\check{R}_{ij}(u_0) = \hat{I}_{ij}, \quad (18)$$

with \hat{I}_{ij} being an identity operator.

From the form of \check{R} -matrix (15) one can easily see that the value $u_0 = 0$ (or $z_0 = e^{iu_0} = 1$) is just the needed one. Let us notice that though $\check{R}_{ij}(u)|_{u=0} = \hat{I}_{ij}$, we have $\check{R}_{ij}(\bar{u})|_{u=0} \neq \hat{I}_{ij}$. Because of this, and as future calculations will show, the Hamiltonian of our model contains, together with nearest-neighbour interaction terms, also next-to-nearest-neighbor interaction terms.

So being provided by such value, our Hamiltonian is defined as logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix at that point

$$\mathfrak{H} = -\frac{\partial \ln \tau(u)}{\partial u} \Big|_{u=0}. \quad (19)$$

For the calculation of this logarithmic derivative we need the following linear expressions of the operators $\check{R}(z), \check{R}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(z), \check{R}^{\iota_1}(\bar{z}), \check{R}^{\iota_2}(\bar{z})$ around the point $u = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{R}(u) &= -\lambda + iuH, & H &= q\check{R}_q + q^{-1}\check{R}_q^{-1}, \\ \check{R}(\bar{u}) &= \check{R}(h) + iu\bar{H}, & \bar{H} &= -qh\check{R}_q - q^{-1}h^{-1}\check{R}_q^{-1}, \\ \check{R}^{\iota_1}(\bar{u}) &= \check{R}^{\iota_1}(h) + iu\bar{H}^{\iota_1}, & \bar{H}^{\iota_1} &= -qh\check{R}_q^{\iota_1} - q^{-1}h^{-1}(\check{R}_q^{\iota_1})^{-1}, \\ \check{R}^{\iota_2}(\bar{u}) &= \check{R}^{\iota_2}(h^{-1}) + iu\bar{H}^{\iota_2}, & \bar{H}^{\iota_2} &= qh^{-1}\check{R}_q^{\iota_1} + q^{-1}h(\check{R}_q^{\iota_1})^{-1}, \\ \check{R}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(u) &= -\lambda - iuH, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and we also have that

$$\check{R}^{\iota_1}(h)\check{R}^{\iota_1}(h^{-1}) = (\lambda^2 + 4\cos^2 \theta)\hat{I}, \quad (21)$$

with $\theta = -i \log h$, as an additive shift of the spectral parameter.

The next step consists in inserting expressions(20) in expressions (11) and (10) of the monodromy matrix (in the braid formalism)

$$M(u) = \check{R}_{01}^{\iota_2}(\bar{u})\check{R}_{12}(u)\check{R}_{23}^{\iota_2}(\bar{u}) \cdots \check{R}_{01}^{\iota_1}(\bar{u})\check{R}_{12}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(u)\check{R}_{23}^{\iota_1}(\bar{u}) \cdots \quad (22)$$

and to extract the linear in u terms from the product. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H} &= \sum_j c_{2j-1,\downarrow}^+ c_{2j,\downarrow} [f_2(q, z^{-1})\bar{n}_{2j-2,\uparrow} - f_1(q, z^{-1})\bar{n}_{2j+1,\uparrow}] \\ &+ c_{2j-1,\uparrow}^+ c_{2j,\uparrow} [f_1(q, z)\bar{n}_{2j-2,\downarrow} - f_2(q, z)\bar{n}_{2j+1,\downarrow}] \\ &+ c_{2j,\downarrow}^+ c_{2j+1,\downarrow} \bar{n}_{2j+2,\uparrow} f_3(q, z^{-1}) - c_{2j,\uparrow}^+ c_{2j+1,\uparrow} \bar{n}_{2j-1,\downarrow} f_3(q, z) \\ &+ (-1)^{j+1} (c_{j-1,\downarrow}^+ c_{j+1,\downarrow} + c_{j-1,\uparrow}^+ c_{j+1,\uparrow}) [f_4(q, z) + f_5(q, z)n_{j,\uparrow}] \\ &+ \vec{S}_{2j-1} \vec{S}_{2j} [(n_{2j+1,\downarrow} - n_{2j-2,\uparrow})f_2(q, z) + (n_{2j+1,\uparrow} - n_{2j-2,\downarrow})(f_2(q, z) + f_3(q, z^{-1}))] \\ &+ \vec{S}_{2j} \vec{S}_{2j+1} (n_{2j-1,\downarrow} - n_{2j+2,\uparrow})f_3(q, z) \\ &+ \vec{S}_{j-1} \vec{S}_{j+1} \left[2(z - z^{-1})^2 - n_{j,\uparrow} f_5(q, z^{-(-1)^j}) + n_{j,\downarrow} (f_6(q, z) - f_5(q, z^{-(-1)^j})) \right] \\ &+ \vec{S}_{j-1} \vec{S}_{j+1} \hat{e}^{j-1,j,j+1} (z^{(-1)^j}) + H_{diag} + h.c, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where H_{diag} is defined by some conserved diagonal type terms which depend on the particle numbers and where the functions $f_a(q, z)$, $a = 1, \dots, 6$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(q, z) &= 2(q + q^{-1})(q + 2q^{-1} - qz^{-2}), \\
f_2(q, z) &= 2(1 + q^{-2})(1 + z^{-2}), \\
f_3(q, z) &= 2(q + q^{-1})^2(z^2 - 1), \\
f_4(q, z) &= 2(qz^2 + q^{-1}z^{-2} - q - q^{-1}), \\
f_5(q, z) &= -f_4(q, z) + 2(z - z^{-1})^2, \\
f_6(q, z) &= 2(q - q^{-1})(z^2 - z^{-2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Tensor $\hat{e}^{j-1, j, j+1}(z)$ is antisymmetric in second and third indices and defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{e}^{123}(z) &= -\hat{e}^{132}(z) = f_4(q, z), \\
\hat{e}^{231}(z) &= -\hat{e}^{213}(z) = f_4(q, z^{-1}), \\
\hat{e}^{321}(z) &= -\hat{e}^{312}(z) = f_4(q, z).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

3 ABA solution of the model

The ABA solution for the homogeneous Perk-Schultz model was carried out in [14] and in a chain of articles [18] for a model with open boundaries. In this section we will use the technique of Algebraic Bethe Ansatz ([1]-[2]) in order to find the eigenstates and eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (23) for staggered inhomogeneity.

At the beginning we need the definition of the L -operator

$$L_{i,j}(z)_m^{m'} = \langle m | R_{i,j}(z) | m' \rangle = (-1)^{p(m')p(n')} (R_{i,j}(z))_{mn}^{m'n'} X_n^n \tag{26}$$

which is a 3×3 matrix in a horizontal auxiliary space, with the matrix elements acting in quantum space. It has the following expression

$$(L_{i,j})_m^{m'}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (qz - q^{-1}z^{-1})(1 - n_\uparrow)n_\downarrow + (z - z^{-1})(1 - n_\downarrow) & \lambda z^{-1}c_\downarrow^+ c_\uparrow & -\lambda z^{-1}(1 - n_\uparrow)c_\downarrow^+ \\ \lambda z c_\uparrow^+ c_\downarrow & (z - z^{-1})(1 - n_\uparrow)n_\downarrow - (-qz + q^{-1}z^{-1})n_\uparrow(1 - n_\downarrow) + (z - z^{-1})(1 - n_\uparrow)(1 - n_\downarrow) & -\lambda z^{-1}c_\uparrow^+(1 - n_\downarrow) \\ -\lambda z(1 - n_\uparrow)c_\downarrow & -\lambda z c_\uparrow(1 - n_\downarrow) & (z - z^{-1})(1 - n_\uparrow)n_\downarrow + (z - z^{-1})n_\uparrow(1 - n_\downarrow) + (q^{-1}z - qz^{-1})(1 - n_\uparrow)(1 - n_\downarrow) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

The matrix elements of the monodromy operator (11) between auxiliary states $|k'\rangle$ and $\langle k|$ can be expressed as a product of L_{ij} matrices

$$\begin{aligned} M_0(z)_{k'}^k &= \langle k | M_0(z) | k' \rangle = L_{01}^{\iota_2}(\bar{z})_{k_1}^k L_{02}(z)_{k_2}^{k_1} \cdots L_{0N}(z)_{k'}^{k_{N-1}}, \\ M_1(z)_{k'}^k &= \langle k | M_1(z) | k' \rangle = L_{01}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(z)_{k_1}^k L_{02}^{\iota_1}(\bar{z})_{k_2}^{k_1} \cdots L_{0N}^{\iota_1}(\bar{z})_{k'}^{k_{N-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

which in matrix form looks like

$$M_s(z)_{k'}^k = \begin{pmatrix} A_{s,11}(z) & A_{s,12}(z) & B_{s,1}(z) \\ A_{s,12}(z) & A_{s,22}(z) & B_{s,2}(z) \\ C_{s,1}(z) & C_{s,2}(z) & D_s(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = 0, 1, \quad (29)$$

where $A_{s,ab}$, $B_{s,a}$, $C_{s,a}$, D_s ; ($a, b = 1, 2$) are operators in the quantum space.

The graded property of the model is the origin of the following form of the transfer matrix (12)

$$\tau_s(z) = -A_{s,11}(z) - A_{s,22}(z) + D_s(z), \quad s = 0, 1. \quad (30)$$

Now we would like to take the empty fermionic state

$$|\Omega\rangle_s = |0, 0, \dots, 0\rangle_s = |0\rangle_{1s} |0\rangle_{2s} \cdots |0\rangle_{Ns}, \quad s = 0, 1, \quad (31)$$

as a “test” vacuum and let us demonstrate that the “vacuum” $|\Omega\rangle$ is indeed eigenstate of the transfer matrix (30)

$$\tau_s(z) |\Omega\rangle_s = \nu_s^{(0)} |\Omega\rangle_{1-s}. \quad (32)$$

The action of $L_{0k}(z)$ and $L_{0k}^{\iota_2}(\bar{z})$ on the k -th empty state $|0\rangle_k$ is easy to calculate

$$L_{0k}(z)_{m'}^{m'} |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} z - z^{-1} & 0 & -\lambda z^{-1} c_{\downarrow}^+ \\ 0 & z - z^{-1} & -\lambda z^{-1} c_{\uparrow}^+ \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1}z - qz^{-1} \end{pmatrix} |0\rangle_k, \quad (33)$$

$$L_{0k}^{\iota_2}(\bar{z})_{m'}^{m'} |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -h^{-1}z + hz^{-1} & 0 & \lambda h z^{-1} c_{\downarrow}^+ \\ 0 & -h^{-1}z + hz^{-1} & \lambda h z^{-1} c_{\uparrow}^+ \\ 0 & 0 & h^{-1}q^{-1}z - hqz^{-1} \end{pmatrix} |0\rangle_k, \quad (34)$$

and as we see it has an upper-triangular form. Because the upper triangular matrices form a semigroup the action of the monodromy matrix $M_0(z)_{k'}$ on $|\Omega\rangle_0$ also have an upper-triangular form directly following from the expression (28)

$$M_0(z)_{m'}^{m'} |\Omega\rangle_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (-h^{-1}z + hz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} & 0 & B_{0,1} \\ (z - z^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-h^{-1}z + hz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} (z - z^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} & B_{0,2} \\ 0 & 0 & (h^{-1}q^{-1}z - hqz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} \\ & & (q^{-1}z - qz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} \end{pmatrix} |\Omega\rangle_0. \quad (35)$$

Following the definitions (16-17) of the ι_1 and ι_2 operations one can easily find the actions of $L_{0k}^{\iota_1\iota_2}(z)$ and $L_{0k}^{\iota_1}(\bar{z})$ on $|0\rangle_k$ by use of formulas

$$L^{\iota_1\iota_2}(z) = L(z^{-1}), \quad L^{\iota_1}(\bar{z}) = L^{\iota_2}(\bar{z}^{-1}), \quad (36)$$

and correspondingly the action of $M_1(z)_{k'}^k$ on $|\Omega\rangle_1$ will be

$$M_1(z)_m^{m'} |\Omega\rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (h^{-1}z - hz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} & 0 & B_{1,1} \\ (-z + z^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (h^{-1}z - hz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}}(-z + z^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} & B_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & (hq^{-1}z^{-1} - h^{-1}qz)^{\frac{N}{2}} \\ & & (q^{-1}z^{-1} - qz)^{\frac{N}{2}} \end{pmatrix} |\Omega\rangle_1. \quad (37)$$

Now we see that $|\Omega\rangle$ is the eigenstate of $\tau(u)$ with the eigenvalue $\nu^{(0)}(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{(0)}(z) &= \nu_0^{(0)}(z)\nu_1^{(0)}(z), \\ \nu_0^{(0)}(z) &= -2(-h^{-1}z + hz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}}(z - z^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} + (h^{-1}q^{-1}z - hqz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}}(q^{-1}z - qz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}}, \\ \nu_1^{(0)}(z) &= -2(h^{-1}z - hz^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}}(-z + z^{-1})^{\frac{N}{2}} + (hq^{-1}z^{-1} - h^{-1}qz)^{\frac{N}{2}}(q^{-1}z^{-1} - qz)^{\frac{N}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

which follows from the expressions (12), (30), (32), (35). Simultaneously we see, that the $B_{s,1}(z)$ and $B_{s,2}(z)$ ($s = 0, 1$) operators are creation operators, while the operators $C_s(z)$ acts on $|\Omega\rangle$ as the annihilation operators. This motivates us to consider the states

$$|v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n | F\rangle_0 = F^{a_n \dots a_1} B_{0,a_1}(v_1) B_{1,a_2}(v_2) \cdots B_{0,a_n}(v_n) |\Omega\rangle_0, \quad a_i = 1, 2; \quad (39)$$

as n -particle eigenstates of the transfer matrix $\tau(u)$. $F^{a_n \dots a_1}$ is a function of the spectral parameters v_j , which should be found later.

In order to proceed further we need to rewrite the YBEs (13) and (14) in terms of the matrix elements of the monodromy matrix

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{p(k'')(p(m')+p(m''))} \check{R}_{k'm'}^{km}(\bar{u}, v) M_{1m'}^{m'}(u) M_{0k''}^{k'}(v) \\ &= (-1)^{p(k')(p(m)+p(m'))} M_{1m'}^m(v) M_{0k'}^k(u) \check{R}_{k''m''}^{k'm'}(\bar{u}, v), \\ &(-1)^{p(k'')(p(m')+p(m''))} (\check{R}^{\iota_1})_{k'm'}^{km}(\bar{u}, v) M_{0m'}^{m'}(u) M_{1k''}^{k'}(v) \\ &= (-1)^{p(k')(p(m)+p(m'))} M_{0m'}^m(v) M_{1k'}^k(u) (\check{R}^{\iota_1})_{k''m''}^{k'm'}(\bar{u}, v). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

For our purpose, the interesting components of the equations (40) are the following commutation relations between the operators $A_{bc}(u)$ and $D(u)$ with the $B_c(v)$

$$A_{1,ba}(u) B_{0,c}(v) = \frac{r_{bc}^{b'c'}(u, v)}{b(u, v)} B_{1,c'}(v) A_{0,b'a}(u) + \frac{a_a(u, v)}{b(u, v)} B_{1,b}(u) A_{0,ca}(v), \quad (41)$$

$$A_{0,ba}(u)B_{1,c}(v) = -\frac{r_{bc}^{b'c'}(u,v)}{b(u,v)}B_{0,c'}(v)A_{1,b'a}(u) - \frac{a_a(u,v)}{b(u,v)}B_{0,b}(u)A_{1,ca}(v), \quad (42)$$

$$D_1(u)B_{0,a}(v) = \frac{1}{b'(u,v)}B_{1,a}(v)D_0(u) - \frac{a'_a(v,u)}{b'(v,u)}B_{1,a}(u)D_0(v), \quad (43)$$

$$D_0(u)B_{1,a}(v) = -\frac{1}{b'(u,v)}B_{0,a}(v)D_1(u) + \frac{a'_a(v,u)}{b'(v,u)}B_{0,a}(u)D_1(v), \quad (44)$$

$$B_{1,a}(u)B_{0,b}(v) = r_{ab}^{b'a'}(u,v)B_{1,a'}B_{0,b'}(u), \quad (45)$$

$$B_{0,a}(u)B_{1,b}(v) = r_{ab}^{b'a'}(u,v)B_{0,a'}B_{1,b'}(u), \quad (46)$$

where we have defined $b(u,v)$, $a_a(u,v)$, $b'(u,v)$, $a'_a(v,u)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} b(u,v) &= z(u,v) - z^{-1}(u,v), \\ a_a(u,v) &= \begin{cases} -\lambda z(u,v), & \text{for } a=1, \\ -\lambda z^{-1}(u,v), & \text{for } a=2, \end{cases} \\ b'(u,v) &= -\frac{z(u,v) - z^{-1}(u,v)}{q^{-1}z^{-1}(u,v) - qz(u,v)}, \\ a'_a(v,u) &= \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda z(u,v)}{q^{-1}z(u,v) - qz^{-1}(u,v)}, & \text{for } a=1, \\ \frac{\lambda z^{-1}(u,v)}{q^{-1}z(u,v) - qz^{-1}(u,v)}, & \text{for } a=2 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

and

$$r_{bc}^{b'c'}(u,v) = \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} qz(u,v) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u,v) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z(u,v) - z^{-1}(u,v) & \lambda z^{-1}(u,v) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda z(u,v) & z(u,v) - z^{-1}(u,v) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & qz(u,v) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u,v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we have used the notation $z(u,v) = \exp(i[u-v]) = \frac{z(u)}{z(v)}$.

The first terms in the commutation relations (41)-(44) are so called “wanted” terms. As we will see they are contributing to the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix $\tau_s(u)$, $s = 0, 1$.

The second terms in the (41)-(44) are so called “unwanted” terms and their contribution should be canceled in order to have an eigenstate.

Using (41)-(46) we can represent the action of the diagonal elements of the monodromy matrix as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_1(u) | v_1, \dots, v_n | F \rangle_1 = \\
& (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b'(\bar{u}, v_i)} [q^{-1}z^{-1}(u) - qz(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [hq^{-1}z^{-1}(u) - h^{-1}qz(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} | v_1, \dots, v_n | F \rangle_0 \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n (\tilde{\Lambda}_k)_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{b_1 \dots b_n} F^{a_n \dots a_1} B_{1, b_k}(\bar{u}) \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n B_{b_j}(v_j) | \Omega \rangle_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& [A_{1,11}(u) + A_{1,22}(u)] | v_1, \dots, v_n | F \rangle_1 = \\
& = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b(\bar{u}, v_i)} [-z(u) + z^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [h^{-1}z(u) - hz^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdot \\
& \tau^{(1)}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{a'_1 \dots a'_n}(\bar{u}, v_1, \dots, v_n) F^{a_n \dots a_1} \prod_{i=1}^n B_{a'_i}(v_i) | \Omega \rangle_1 + \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n (\Lambda_k)_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{b_1 \dots b_n} F^{a_n \dots a_1} B_{1, b_k}(\bar{u}) \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^n B_{b_i}(v_i) | \Omega \rangle_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where

$$\tau^{(1)}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{a'_1 \dots a'_n}(\bar{u}, v_1, \dots, v_n) = -r_{ca_1}^{b_1 a'_1}(\bar{u}, v_1) r_{b_1 a_2}^{b_2 a'_2}(\bar{u}, v_2) \dots r_{b_{n-1} a_n}^{ca'_n}(u, v_n). \tag{51}$$

In the equations (49) and (50) the first terms appeared due to the “wanted” terms of the commutation relations (41)-(44) while the second terms result from the “unwanted” terms.

The expression (51) for $\tau^{(1)}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{a'_1 \dots a'_n}$ can be rewritten as $str[l_n(\bar{u}, v_n) l_{(n-1)}(\bar{u}, v_{n-1}) \dots l_1(\bar{u}, v_1)]$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
[l_k(\bar{u}, v_k)]_{b_{k-1}}^{b_k} &= r_{b_{k-1} a_k}^{b_k a'_k}(\bar{u}, v_k) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} [qz(\bar{u}, v_k) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(\bar{u}, v_k)]e_1^1 & \lambda z^{-1}(\bar{u}, v_k)e_1^2 \\ + (z(\bar{u}, v_k) - z^{-1}(\bar{u}, v_k))e_2^2 & \\ \lambda z(\bar{u}, v_k)e_2^1 & [qz(\bar{u}, v_k) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(\bar{u}, v_k)]e_2^2 \\ & + (z(\bar{u}, v_k) - z^{-1}(\bar{u}, v_k))e_1^1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Here the quantum operators e_a^b act on the k -th space and have $(e_a^b)_\beta^\alpha = \delta_a^\alpha \delta_\beta^b$ matrix representation.

As it follows from the equations(49),(50) and (30), in order $|v_1, \dots, v_n|F\rangle$ to be an eigenstate of $\tau_s(u)$

$$\tau_s(u) |v_1, \dots, v_n|F\rangle = \nu_s(u; v_1, \dots, v_n) |v_1, \dots, v_n|F\rangle \quad (53)$$

we should demand

i) the cancellation of the unwanted terms

$$[(\tilde{\Lambda}_k)_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{b_1 \dots b_n} - (\Lambda_k)_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{b_1 \dots b_n}] F^{a_n \dots a_1} = 0, \quad (54)$$

and

ii) F should be an eigenvector of the small (nested) transfer matrix $\tau^{(1)}(u)$

$$\tau^{(1)}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{a'_1 \dots a'_n}(u; v_1, \dots, v_n) F^{a_n \dots a_1} = \nu^{(1)}(u; v_1, \dots, v_n) F^{a'_n \dots a'_1}. \quad (55)$$

Once these conditions are fulfilled, the expressions for the eigenvalues of $\tau_s(u)$ become

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1(u; v_1, \dots, v_n) &= \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b'(\bar{u}, v_i)} [q^{-1}z^{-1}(u) - qz(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [hq^{-1}z^{-1}(u) - h^{-1}qz(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} \\ &- (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b(\bar{u}, v_i)} [-z(u) + z^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [h^{-1}z(u) - hz^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} \nu^{(1)}(u; v_1, \dots, v_n), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

with

$$\nu_0(u) = \nu_1(\bar{u}). \quad (57)$$

Therefore, as it is obvious from the preceding analysis, in order to know exactly the eigenvalue we need to solve another eigenvalue-eigenstate problem (55) for the transfer matrix $\tau^{(1)}$ with the reduced amount of degrees of freedom. That is why the all procedure is called Nested Bethe Ansatz (*NBA*).

In the article [19] the computation of the quantities $\tilde{\Lambda}_k$ and Λ_k for the ordinary $t - J$ model was demonstrated and the condition of cancellation of the unwanted terms was reduced to some equations, which are defining the first set of Bethe equations. It is not necessary to repeat these calculations here, but let us mention the main difference, namely

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{1,11} + A_{1,22}) |\Omega\rangle &= [-z(u) + z^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [h^{-1}z(u) - hz^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} |\Omega\rangle, \\ D_1 |\Omega\rangle &= [-qz(u) + q^{-1}z^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [-h^{-1}z(u)q + hz^{-1}(u)q^{-1}]^{\frac{N}{2}} |\Omega\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

which leads to the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{(1)}_{b_1 \dots b_n}^{b'_1 \dots b'_n}(v_k; v_1, \dots, v_n) F^{b_n \dots b_1} &= -\lambda \prod_{i=1; i \neq k}^n [qz(v_k, v_i) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(v_k, v_i)] \cdot \\ &\frac{[q^{-1}z^{-1}(\bar{v}_k) - qz(\bar{v}_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [hq^{-1}z^{-1}(\bar{v}_k) - h^{-1}qz(\bar{v}_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}}}{[-z(\bar{v}_k) + z^{-1}(\bar{v}_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [h^{-1}z(\bar{v}_k) - hz^{-1}(\bar{v}_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}}} F^{b'_n \dots b'_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

The next step of NABA is the diagonalization of the small transfer matrix $\tau^{(1)}(u)$ for a chain of length n in order for F to become an eigenvector of it. Following the ABA technique we write the *YBE*

$$\check{r}_{k'm'}^{km}(u, v) M^{(1)m'}_{m''}(u) M^{(1)k'}_{k''}(v) = M^{(1)m}_{m'}(v) M^{(1)k}_{k'}(u) \check{r}_{k''m''}^{k'm'}(u, v), \quad (60)$$

where $M^{(1)a'}_a$ is a monodromy matrix for the “nested” problem, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{r}_{bc}^{b'c'}(u, v) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} qz(u, v) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda z(u, v) & z(u, v) - z^{-1}(u, v) & 0 \\ 0 & z(u, v) - z^{-1}(u, v) & \lambda z^{-1}(u, v) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & qz(u, v) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

is the r -matrix from (48) in a braid formalism.

Let us now take

$$M^{(1)}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(1)}(u) & B^{(1)}(u) \\ C^{(1)}(u) & D^{(1)}(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

and the corresponding trace

$$\tau^{(1)}(u) = -A^{(1)}(u) - D^{(1)}(u). \quad (63)$$

From the formulas (60) one can choose following algebraic relations

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(1)}(u)B^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{qz(u, v) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v)}{z(u, v) - z^{-1}(u, v)} B^{(1)}(v)A^{(1)}(u) \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda z(u, v)}{z(u, v) - z^{-1}(u, v)} B^{(1)}(u)A^{(1)}(v), \\ D^{(1)}(u)B^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{q^{-1}z(u, v) - qz^{-1}(u, v)}{z(u, v) - z^{-1}(u, v)} B^{(1)}(v)D^{(1)}(u) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda z(u, v)}{z(u, v) - z^{-1}(u, v)} B^{(1)}(u)D^{(1)}(v), \\ B^{(1)}(u)B^{(1)}(v) &= B^{(1)}(v)B^{(1)}(u), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

which are the *YBE* for the *XXZ* model with staggered inhomogeneity, defined in the article [3]. The first (second) term in the expression (64) called “wanted” (correspondingly “unwanted”) term.

Let us take

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle_k^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\Omega\rangle^{(1)} &= |0\rangle_1^{(1)} \dots |0\rangle_n^{(1)} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^n |0\rangle_k^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

as a reference state. In order to find the action of nested monodromy matrix $M^{(1)}(u)$ on the reference state one should act by $l_k(u)$ from (52) on $|0\rangle_k^{(1)}$.

After simple calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(1)}(u) |\Omega\rangle^{(1)} &= \prod_{i=1}^n [qz(u, v_i) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v_i)] |\Omega\rangle^{(1)}, \\ D^{(1)}(u) |\Omega\rangle^{(1)} &= \prod_{i=1}^n [z(u, v_i) - z^{-1}(u, v_i)] |\Omega\rangle^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Now let us make the following Ansatz for the eigenstates of $\tau^{(1)}(u)$

$$|v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)}\rangle = B^{(1)}(v_1^{(1)}) B^{(1)}(v_2^{(1)}) \dots B^{(1)}(v_m^{(1)}) |\Omega\rangle^{(1)}. \quad (67)$$

By use of (64) the actions of $A^{(1)}(u)$ and $D^{(1)}(u)$ on the states (67) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(1)}(u) |v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)}\rangle &= \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{-1}z(u, v_j^{(1)}) - qz^{-1}(u, v_j^{(1)})}{z(u, v_j^{(1)}) - z^{-1}(u, v_j^{(1)})} \prod_{j=1}^n [z(u, v_j) - z^{-1}(u, v_j)] |v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)}\rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \Lambda_k^{(1)} B^{(1)}(u) \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^m B^{(1)}(v_j^{(1)}) |\Omega\rangle^{(1)}, \\ A^{(1)}(u) |v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)}\rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{qz(u, v_i^{(1)}) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v_i^{(1)})}{z(u, v_i^{(1)}) - z^{-1}(u, v_i^{(1)})} \prod_{j=1}^n [qz(u, v_i) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v_i)] |v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)}\rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{\Lambda}_k^{(1)} B^{(1)}(u) \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^m B^{(1)}(v_j^{(1)}) |\Omega\rangle^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The first terms in this expressions are the “wanted” terms, while the second terms (with $\Lambda_k^{(1)}$, $\tilde{\Lambda}_k^{(1)}$) are the “unwanted” terms of the nested problem and should cancel each other in the eigenvalue equation (55). Hence we can write the eigenvalues $\nu^{(1)}(u; v_1, \dots, v_n; v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)})$ of $\tau^{(1)}(u)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{(1)}(u) | v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)} \rangle &= [-A^{(1)}(u) - D^{(1)}(u)] | v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)} \rangle \\ &= - \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{qz(u, v_i^{(1)}) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v_i^{(1)})}{z(u, v_i^{(1)}) - z^{-1}(u, v_i^{(1)})} \prod_{j=1}^n [qz(u, v_j) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v_j)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{-1}z(u, v_j^{(1)}) - qz^{-1}(u, v_j^{(1)})}{z(u, v_j^{(1)}) - z^{-1}(u, v_j^{(1)})} \prod_{j=1}^n [z(u, v_j) - z^{-1}(u, v_j)] \right] | v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_m^{(1)} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Setting now $u = v_k$ in (69) and comparing it with the condition (59) we obtain the first set of Bethe equations

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{qz(v_k, v_i^{(1)}) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(v_k, v_i^{(1)})}{z(v_k, v_i^{(1)}) - z^{-1}(v_k, v_i^{(1)})} = \frac{[h^{-1}q^{-1}z(v_k) - hqz^{-1}(v_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [q^{-1}z(v_k) - qz^{-1}(v_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}}}{[-h^{-1}z(v_k) + hz^{-1}(v_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [z(v_k) - z^{-1}(v_k)]^{\frac{N}{2}}}, \quad (70)$$

$k = 1, \dots, n.$

The equations which are obtained from the cancellation of the unwanted terms $\Lambda_k^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_k^{(1)}$

$$\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \frac{q^{-1}z(v_k^{(1)}, v_i^{(1)}) - qz^{-1}(v_k^{(1)}, v_i^{(1)})}{qz(v_k^{(1)}, v_i^{(1)}) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(v_k^{(1)}, v_i^{(1)})} = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{qz(v_k^{(1)}, v_j) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(v_k^{(1)}, v_j)}{z(v_k^{(1)}, v_j) - z^{-1}(v_k^{(1)}, v_j)}, \quad (71)$$

$k = 1, \dots, m$

are the second set of Bethe equations.

Finally we obtain the eigenvalues of $\tau_1(u)$ and $\tau_0(u)$ from (56) and (57) as

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1(u; \{v\}; \{v^{(1)}\}) &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b'(\bar{u}, v_i)} [q^{-1}z^{-1}(u) - qz(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [hq^{-1}z^{-1}(u) - h^{-1}qz(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b(\bar{u}, v_i)} [-z(u) + z^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} [h^{-1}z(u) - hz^{-1}(u)]^{\frac{N}{2}} \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{qz(u, v_i^{(1)}) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v_i^{(1)})}{z(u, v_i^{(1)}) - z^{-1}(u, v_i^{(1)})} \prod_{j=1}^n [qz(u, v_j) - q^{-1}z^{-1}(u, v_j)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{-1}z(u, v_j^{(1)}) - qz^{-1}(u, v_j^{(1)})}{z(u, v_j^{(1)}) - z^{-1}(u, v_j^{(1)})} \prod_{j=1}^n [z(u, v_j) - z^{-1}(u, v_j)] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

and

$$\nu_0(u; \{v\}; \{v^{(1)}\}) = \nu_1(\bar{u}; \{v\}; \{v^{(1)}\}). \quad (73)$$

4 Acknowledgments

The author acknowledge D.Arnaudon, A.Sedrakyan, P.Sorba for the formulation of the problem and many fruitful discussions.

Work was partially supported by INTAS grant 99-1459.

References

- [1] J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, Academic Press, London (1989)
- [2] L. Faddeev, L. Takhtajian - Russian Math.Surveys **34:5**(1979)11
V. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov, A. Izergin - Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions - Cambridge Univ.Press - 1993.
- [3] D. Arnaudon, R. Poghossian, A. Sedrakyan, P. Sorba, Nucl. Phys. **588 B [FS]**(2000) 638,
D. Arnaudon, R. Poghossian, A. Sedrakyan, T. Sedrakyan, and P. Sorba, “Construction of integrable models on ladders, and related quantum symmetries,” in *Non-perturbative Quantum Effects 2000*, Paris, September 7-13, 2000 (B. Julia and D. Bernard, eds.), JHEP vol. PRHEP-tmr2000/053.
- [4] J. Ambjorn, D. Arnaudon, A. Sedrakyan, T. Sedrakyan, P. Sorba, Integrable Ladder t-J Model with Staggered Shift of the Spectral Parameter, hep-th/0006243,
- [5] D. Arnaudon, A. Sedrakyan, T. Sedrakyan, P. Sorba, - article in preparation,
- [6] A. Kavalov, A. Sedrakyan, Nucl. Phys. **285 B [FS19]** (1987) 264,
- [7] A. Sedrakyan, Nucl.Phys. **554 B [FS]** (1999) 514,
- [8] L.D. Faddeev - How Algebraic Bethe Ansatz works for integrable models, hep-th/9605187,
- [9] V. Yu. Popkov, A.A. Zvyagin, Phys.Lett. **A 175** (1993) 295,
A.A. Zvyagin, Phys.Rev. **51 B** (1995) 12579,
A.A. Zvyagin, Low Temp. Phys.**26** (2000) 134,
- [10] A.A. Zvyagin, Phys.Rev. **52 B** (1995) 15050,
A.A. Zvyagin, Phys.Rev. **57 B** (1998) 1035,

- [11] H. Frahm, C. Rödenbeck, Europhys.Lett. **33** (1996) 47,
- [12] X.G.Wen, F. Wilczek, A. Zee- Phys.Rev. **39 B**(1989) 11413,
- [13] J.H.H. Perk, C.L. Schultz, Phys.Lett. **84 A**(1981) 407,
C.L. Schultz Phys.Rev.Lett. **46**(1981) 629,
- [14] H.J.de Vega, E. Lopes, Phys.Rev.Lett. **67**(1991) 489,
- [15] D. Arnaudon, C. Chryssomalakos, L. Frappat, J. Math. Phys. **36(10)** (1995) 5262 ,
- [16] T. Hakobyan, A. Sedrakyan - Phys.Lett.**377B**(1996)250,
A. Avakyan, T. Hakobyan, A. Sedrakyan - Nucl.Phys.**490B [FS]** (1997)633,
J. Ambjorn, A. Avakyan, T. Hakobyan, A. Sedrakyan - Mod.Phys.Let. **A13** (1998)
495,
J. Ambjorn, A. Avakyan, T. Hakobyan, A. Sedrakyan - J. Math.Phys. **41** (1999)
- [17] J. Ambjorn, D. Karakhanyan, M. Mirumyan, A. Sedrakyan, Fermionization of the
Spin-S Uimin-Lai-Sutherland Model: Generalization of Supersymmetric t-J Model
to Spin-S, cond-mat/9909432, to be published in Nucl.Phys. **B [FS]**(2001)
- [18] A. Foerster, M. Karowski- Nucl.Phys.**408 B [FS]**(1993)512,
A. Foerster, PhD Thesis(FU-Berlin, 1993),
H. J.deVega, A. Gonzales-Ruiz-Nucl.Phys.**417 B [FS]**(1994)553,
A. Gonzales-Ruiz-Nucl.Phys.**424 B [FS]**(1994)468,
Rui-Hong Yue, Heng Fan, Bo-yu Hou-Nucl. Phys.**462 B**(1996)167,
- [19] F.H.L. Essler, V. Korepin - Phys.Rev.**46B**(1992)9247