# Integrable discretization of sine-and sinh-Gordon equations: Novelties and open problems

M Boitiy, F Pem pinelliy, B Prinariy and A Spirez

y D ipartim ento di F isica dell'U niversita and Sezione IN FN , 73100 Lecce, Italy z P hysique M athem atique et T heorique, C N R S-U M R 5825, U niversite M ontpellier 2, 34095 M ontpellier, France

A b stract. The inverse scattering theory for the discretized sine—and sinh—G ordon equations is considered. In the case in which only the space is discretized some di culties of the inverse scattering theory related to the traditional Lax pair proposed by H irota and O rfanidis are overcome by introducing a Lax triplet and by using the inverse scattering transform of the so-called exactly discretized Schrodinger operator. The method works also in the case in which both space and time are discretized but only for the sinh-G ordon equation. The problem of building an inverse scattering theory for the doubly discrete sine-G ordon equation in the form proposed by H irota remains open.

## 1. Introduction

In the fram ework of integrable nonlinear evolution equations, H irota recovered alm ost 25 years ago the B ianchi superposition formula for the solutions of the sine-G ordon equation, showing that it can be considered as an integrable doubly discrete (both in space and time) version of the sine-G ordon equation [1]. He found also its Lax pair, B acklund transform ations and N -soliton solutions. In the following this study was generalized to include the discrete (in space or time) case [2], soliton solutions were obtained by using the dressing method [3] and the related inverse spectral transform was studied [4].

Here we are interested in the spectral transform for the discrete and doubly discrete sine-Gordon and sinh-Gordon equations with solutions decaying at space in nity.

We show that the inverse spectral transform for the sem i-discrete case studied in [4] has an unexpected drawback. In order to overcome it we use as principal spectral problem the \exact discretization" of the Schrodinger operator introduced by Shabat

$$_{n+2} = g_{n-n+1} (1+)_n$$
 (1.1)

and obtained iterating the D arboux transform ations [5].

The spectral theory for this operator was exhaustively studied in [6] in the case of a potential  $g_n$ , not necessarily real, satisfying

$$\dot{x}^1$$
 (1 +  $\dot{y}_1\dot{y}\dot{y}_{h-1}$  2j< 1: (1.2)

and applied to a discrete version of the K dV.

Following a procedure analogous to that used in [7] for getting a 2+1 generalization of the sine—and sinh-Gordon equations, we do not consider a Lax pair but a Lax triplet, i.e. we derive the discrete sine—and sinh-Gordon equations as compatibility conditions of (1.1) with a pair of auxiliary spectral problem s.

T im e evolution of spectral data is easily derived and, therefore, according to the usual inverse scattering scheme, the C auchy initial value problem is solved and the drawback in [4] is overcome. However, one must be advised that the solutions of the discrete sine—and sinh-G ordon equations are related to the potential  $g_n$  via a continued fraction, which can be considered a discretized version of a R iccati equation.

In the sinh-G ordon case the potential  $g_n$  is real and the characterization equations for the spectral data are easily obtained in analogy with the continuous case. In the sine-G ordon case the potential  $g_n$  is complex and the problem (most surely not trivial) of characterizing the spectral data is left open.

We are also able to not the couple of doubly discrete auxiliary spectral operators that in triplet with the doubly discrete Schrodinger operator gives a dierence-dierence evolution equation in a eld  $_{\rm nm}$ . The reduction  $_{\rm nm}$  real is the only evident adm issible reduction and in this case in the limit of continuous time we recover the dierential-dierence sinh-Gordon equation. The equation is of sixth order and we were not able to verify if it can be integrated and, then, if another reduction is adm issible such that one recovers the H irota-B ianchi fourth order doubly discrete sine-Gordon equation.

Therefore the problem of solving the Hirota-Bianchi doubly discrete equation by using the inverse scattering method is left open.

Finally let us rem ark that the origin of the diculties both in our approach and in the approach of [4] m ay be related to the fact that we are trying to describe solutions of the H irota-B ianchi equation, that have instabilities as discussed in [8, 9], by using standard m ethods of inverse scattering that are not able to describe such instabilities.

## 2. Standard Lax Pair

In the following we found convenient to use, for any discrete function f (n;m), the notation

$$f_{ij} = f(n + i; m + j)$$
 (2.1)

when n and m are considered generic but xed.

Let us consider the Lax pair proposed by 0 rfanidis [2]

$$_{10} = M_{0000}$$
 (2.2)

$$_{01} = N_{00} _{00}$$
 (2.3)

with

$$M_{00} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(_{10} _{00})=2}k \\ k e^{i(_{10} _{00})=2} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.4)

with (n;m) real, k the spectral parameter and a real constant. The compatibility condition

$$F_{10} = E_{01}$$

where E shifts n and F shifts m gives by inserting in it (2.2) and (2.3)

$$M_{01}N_{00} = N_{10}M_{00}$$
:

From it we have the Bianchi-Hirota equation

$$^{2}\sin\frac{1}{4}(_{11}+_{10}+_{01}+_{01}+_{00})=\sin\frac{1}{4}(_{11}$$
  $_{10}$   $_{01}+_{00})$ :

If we introduce

$$ij = (x + hi;t+ j)$$
  
 $x = nh; t = m;$ 

choose the constant as follows

$$^{2} = \frac{h}{4}$$
 (2.6)

and take the  $\lim$  it h ! 0, ! 0 and n ! 1, m ! 1 at x and t xed we get the sine-G ordon equation in the light cone coordinates

$$xt = sin :$$
 (2.7)

If we make only the lim it! 0 we have

$$_{1t}$$
  $_{0t} = h \sin \frac{1}{2} (_{1} + _{0})$  (2.8)

and an analogous equation if h! 0.

Notice that in both cases, doubly discrete and space discrete cases, the principal spectral problem (2.2) is the same.

If in (2.2) we perform the following k dependent transform ation

$$(n) = c(k)^n (1 i_2) (n)$$
 (2.9)

where the dependence on m  $\,$  is understood,  $\,_2$  is the Pauli m atrix and

$$c(k) = p \frac{1}{1 + k^2 + 2}$$
 (2.10)

we recover the spectral problem considered in [4]

$$(n + 1) = [U + A(n)] (n)$$
 (2.11)

with

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1=z \end{pmatrix}$$
; A (n) =  $z + \frac{1}{z}$  A (n) (2.12)

$$R(n) = \frac{1}{2} \cos(n) + 1 \sin(n)$$
 $\sin(n) \cos(n) + 1$ 
(2.13)

and where

$$z = \frac{p}{\frac{1+k}{1-k}}$$
 (2.14)

is the new spectral param eter and

$$(n;m) = \frac{1}{2} ((n+1))$$
 (n): (2.15)

In term s of

$$(n) = (n)U^{-n}$$
 (2.16)

(2.11) becom es

$$(n + 1)U = (U + A(n))$$
 (n): (2.17)

We consider the case when (n) is going rapidly to zero as  $n \cdot 1$ . Then  $R(n) \cdot 1$ asn! 1.

As usual, the vector Jost solutions are de ned by xing their behaviour for n + 1 or for n + 1 . It is easy to check that the matrices and dened by the integral equations

$$(n) = 1$$
 $U^{n \ j} A (j) (j)U^{j \ n}$ 
 $(2.18)$ 

$$(n) = 1 + \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} U^{n-j-1}A(j) (j)U^{j-n}$$
 (2.19)

solve (2.17) and their columns have the required behaviours, respectively, for n + 1and for n! 1.

If we write the solution to (2.19) in the form of the following Neumann series

$$(n) = {x \choose 1} (n)$$
 (2.20)

$$^{(0)}$$
 (n) = 1 (2.21)

we have

Thismeansthat

where we introduced

$$\Re (n;z) = U^{-1}(z) A(n;z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & z^2+1 \end{pmatrix} \Re (n)$$
:

We consider the Neumann series in the circular annulus D $_R$  = fz 2 C:1=R < ½j < Rg  $w \pm h R > 1.W e have$ 

$$\mathcal{R}(n;z)$$
  $\mathbb{R}^2q(n)$ 

with

$$q(n) = 2 \ / (n)$$

and we require

Then we have

(1) (n) 
$$S^{(1)}$$
 (n)

w here

$$S^{(1)}(n) = R^{21}$$
  $X^{(1)}(q)$   $Y^{(1)}(q)$   $Y^{(1)}$ 

One can prove by induction that

$$S^{(1)}(n) = \frac{1}{1!} R^2 Q(n)^{-1}$$

w here

T herefore

(1) (n) 
$$\frac{1}{1!}$$
 R<sup>2</sup>Q (n) 1;

that is

$$k$$
 (n) $k$   $e^{R^2Q(n)}$ 

and we conclude that in  $D_R$  the Neumann series (2.20) is uniformly convergent. Analogously, one can prove that the following Neumann series for

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(n)} = \displaystyle \stackrel{\mbox{$\times$}^1$}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}}{\overset{\mbox{$\scriptscriptstyle 1$}}}{\overset{\$$

is uniform ly convergent in the same region with

$$k (n)k e^{R^2P(n)}$$

w here

$$P(n) = x^{1}$$
  $q(j)$ :

We conclude that the matrix Jost solutions and are analytic in the complex plane with two singularities at z=0 and at z=1.

Writing (2.18) { (2.19) explicitly we have

and

Taking into account the result obtained for the analytic properties of and , just by looking to the explicit form of the above discrete integral equations de ning the columns of and we deduce that the Jost solutions  $_1$  and  $_2$  have, respectively, an essential singularity at z=1 and at z=0, while the Jost solutions  $_1$  and  $_2$  have an essential singularity both at z=0 and at z=1. Therefore one cannot use the usual procedure for building the inverse scattering theory.

One could come back to the original Lax operator (2.4) and use the spectral parameter k, but then the potential at large n is going to the constant unity matrix. Therefore, we think that the encountered diculty is intrinsic to the problem, since it is well known that when the potential in the spectral problem is not going to zero at large distances the usual scheme of the inverse scattering method must be accordingly modied.

In the following section we try to overcome the diculty by using a dierent principal spectral problem with a potential going to zero at large n.

#### 3. Lax triplet

#### 3.1. Sem i-discrete case

Let us consider the following sem i-discrete spectral problem s

$$2 = g_0 \quad 1 \quad (1 + ) \quad 0$$
 (3.1)

$$1t = 0 0t + 0 0$$
 (3.2)

where plays the role of spectral parameter. The compatibility condition  $\ell_{t=2}$  = E  $\ell_{t}$ ; where E is the shift operator, E  $\ell_{t}$  =  $\ell_{t}$ , gives

$$(1 \ 0 \ 0 \ g_0 + 1 + ) \ 0t = (0 \ g_0 \ 1 \ 0) \ 0 + (g_{0t} \ 1) \ 1; (3.3)$$

which can be regarded a third Lax operator to be added to (3.1) and (3.2). In contrast with the usual case we have not a Lax pair but a Lax triplet. The compatibility conditions for this triplet of spectral problem s are closed by requiring that the spectral problem

$$(2_{1} 1g_{1} + 1 + 1)_{1t} = (1g_{1} 2_{1})_{1} + (g_{1t} 2)_{1} (g_{01} (1 + 1)_{0})_{1}$$

obtained by shifting (3.3) and using (3.1) is compatible with (3.2). We have

Assuming  $_0$  and  $_1$  to be linearly independent, and equating the coecients of the dierent powers in  $_1$  we get the following relations

$$g_{1t} = {}_{2} {}_{0}$$
 (3.5)

$$_{2} _{1} \quad _{1}g_{1} = _{1} _{0} \quad _{0}g_{0}$$
 (3.6)

$$( \ _{1} \ _{0} \ _{0}g_{0} + 1) = \ _{0} ( \ _{2} \ _{1} \ _{1}g_{1} + 1) (g_{0} \ _{1})$$

$$+ ( \ _{1} \ _{0} \ _{0}g_{0} + 1) ( \ _{2} \ _{1} \ _{1}g_{1} + 1)$$
(3.7)

and

$$g_{1 \ 1} \quad 2 \ 1 \quad g_{0 \ 0} = \quad 0 \quad 1$$
 (3.8)

$$(1_{0} \quad _{0}g_{0} + 1) (g_{1} \quad _{2} \quad _{1} \quad g_{0} \quad _{0}) = 0_{1} (2_{1} \quad _{1}g_{1} + 1)$$
 (3.9)

where we used (3.5) in (3.6) { (3.7) and (3.8) { (3.9). Note that, taking into account (3.6) and (3.8), (3.7) and (3.9) are identically satisfied and we are left with

$$g_{1t} = {}_{2} {}_{0}$$
 (3.10)

$$_{1 \ 0} \quad _{0}g_{0} = 1$$
 (3.11)

$$_{0}$$
  $_{1}$   $_{0}g_{0}$   $_{2}$   $_{1}$  +  $_{1}g_{1}$  = 0 (3.12)

where, without loss of generality, the constant of integration of the second equation was scaled to 1. The last equation can be rewritten as

$$_{1}g_{1}$$
  $_{2}$   $_{1}$   $_{1}$   $_{0}$   $=$   $_{0}g_{0}$   $_{1}$   $_{0}$   $_{0}$   $_{1}$ 

and, then, integrated to

$$_{1}q_{1}$$
  $_{2}$   $_{1}$   $_{0}$  = c: (3.13)

In order to get the discrete sine-G ordon equation the choice of the constant c of integration in (3.13) is crucial. The study of the continuous  $\lim$  it suggests to choose this constant to be zero. From (3.11) we get

$$g_0 = {}_1 + \frac{1}{0}: (3.14)$$

Notice that this equation, which can be considered as a discrete Riccati equation, can be solved for in terms of a continuous fraction of g (see [10]).

Then we substitute  $g_0$  into (3.13) with c = 0 and we get

$$_{0} = \frac{_{0}}{_{1}}: \tag{3.15}$$

If we put

$$_{0} = e^{q_{0}};$$
 (3.16)

we get from (3.15) and (3.14)

$$_{0} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_{0} \quad q_{1})} \tag{3.17}$$

$$g_0 = e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_1 + q_0)} + e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_1 + q_0)}$$
(3.18)

and the evolution equation (3.10) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{2}(q_1 - q_0)_t e^{-\frac{1}{2}(q_1 - q_0)} + \frac{1}{2}(q_2 - q_1)_t e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_2 - q_1)} = e^{q_2} - e^{q_0}:$$

We multiply both sides by equ getting

$$\frac{1}{2}(q_1 - q_0)_t e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_1 + q_0)} + e^{q_1 + q_0} = \frac{1}{2}(q_2 - q_1)_t e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_1 + q_2)} + e^{q_1 + q_2}$$

and then it can be integrated with respect to the spatial variable giving

$$\frac{1}{2}(q_1 - q_0)_t e^{\frac{1}{2}(q_1 + q_0)} = e^{q_1 + q_0} + const:$$

so that if we choose the constant of integration equal to 1 we nally get

$$(q_1 q_0)_t = 4 \sinh \frac{q_1 + q_0}{2}$$

which for q real is the discrete sinh-G ordon equation and for q purely in aginary is the discrete sine-G ordon equation.

Note that for q(n) vanishing at large n we have from (3.18) that g(n)! 2 and the spectral theory developed in [6] is applicable.

### 3.2. Evolution of spectral data

The evolution of the spectral data can be determined by considering the rst and the third Lax operators, i.e. the spectral problem (3.1) and (3.4).

In order to meet the notations used in [6] we introduce the new spectral k and the new eigenfunction as follow

$$= k^2$$
 (3.19)

$$(n;k) = (1 + ik)^n (n;k)$$
: (3.20)

The principal spectral problem (3.1) reads

$$(1+ik) (n+2) + (1-ik) (n) = g(n) (n+1)$$
 (3.21)

while the auxiliary spectral problem (3.4) thanks to (3.10) and (3.11) becomes

$$k^2_{1t} = A_{1}_{1} + (1 \text{ ik})_{0}_{0}$$
 (3.22)

w here

$$A_1 = {}_1g_1 \qquad {}_2 _1 \qquad {}_0g_0$$
:

We need the Jost solution  $^+$  (n;k) introduced in [6], which is analytic in the upper half-plane and is de ned via the following discrete integral equation

and which has the following asymptotic behaviour

$$\lim_{n!+1}$$
 +  $(n;k) = 1; k_{=} 0$  (3.24)

at large positive n and

+ 
$$(n;k)_{n!}$$
  $a^+(k) + \frac{1}{1+ik}$   $b^+(k);$   $k_= 0$  (3.25)

at large negative n, where  $a^+$  (k) is the inverse of the transmission coexcient and  $b^+$  (k) is the rejection coexcient.

Then we look for a solution of (3.22) of the form

$$(t;n;k) = C (t;k) + (t;n;k)$$
 (3.26)

If we substitute (3.26) into (3.22), we get

$$k^{2} C_{t}C^{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} = A_{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} = A_{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} = C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} = C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} = C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}^{1} = C_{t}^{1} + C_{t}$$

As jnj! 1, g 2; 1; 1! 0 and then  $A_1$ ! 1 so that from (327), taking into account the asymptotic behavior of  $^+$  for n! +1 we get, rst,

$$C_tC^{-1} = \frac{i}{k}$$

and, then, by considering the lim it for n ! 1  $(k_{=} = 0)$  the evolution equation for the spectral data

$$a_t(k) = 0$$
  
 $k^2b_t(k) = 2ikb(k);$ 

which can be trivially integrated to

$$a(t;k) = a_0(k)$$
  
 $b(t;k) = b_0(k) \exp \frac{2i}{k}t$ : (3.28)

## 3.3. Doubly discrete case

Let us consider now the doubly discrete version of (3.1)-(3.2), that is

$$g_{20} = g_{00} g_{10} (1 + 1) g_{00}$$
 (3.29)

$$_{11} = _{00} _{01} + (_{00} _{00}) _{00} + _{10}$$
: (3.30)

The compatibility condition E  $_{11}$  = F  $_{20}$ , where E is the shift operator with respect to the space variable, that is E  $v_{n,m}$  =  $v_{n+1,m}$ ; and F is the time-shift operator, F  $v_{n,m}$  =  $v_{n,m+1}$ , gives, thanks to (3.30),

$$[A_{00} + ]_{01} = [_{00} (g_{01} _{10}) + A_{00} + ]_{00} [_{10} + g_{00} _{901}]_{10}$$
 (3.31)

where we introduced the notation

$$A_{00} = {}_{10\ 00} g_{01\ 00} + 1$$
: (3.32)

This spectral problem can be considered de ning the third Lax operator. The compatibility condition for the Lax triplet is obtained by imposing  $_{11} = E_{01}$ , that is, taking into account (3.29) { (3.31)

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{00} + \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_0 (g_{11} & 2_0) + A_{10} + \\ \\ A_{00} + \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2_0 + g_{10} & g_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_{00} & 1_0 & (1+ \\ ) & 0_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0_0 A_{10} + \\ \\ 0_0 A_{10} + \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_0 (g_{01} & 1_0) + A_{00} + \\ \\ 0_0 A_{10} + \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_0 + g_{00} & g_{01} \\ \\ 1_0 + B_{10} + \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_0 + B_{10} + \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_0 + B_{10} + \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_0 + B_{1$$

which involves only  $_{00}$  and  $_{10}$ : We assume that they are linearly independent and equate the coecients of the dierent powers of . We get from the coecient of  $_{00}$ 

$$g_{11} g_{10} = {}_{20} 00 (3.33)$$

$$(20 + g_{10} g_{11}) (A_{00} + 1) = 00 (A_{10} + 1)$$
 (3.34)

$$(_{20} + g_{10} \quad g_{11}) A_{00} = _{00} A_{10}$$
: (3.35)

The rst equation is the searched evolution equation. Inserting it into the two following equations we get  $A_{00} = A_{10}$  which can be integrated to

$$A_{00} = d^2 (3.36)$$

with dan arbitrary constant. From the coe cient of 10 we get

$$g_{10}(g_{11} g_{00}) g_{00}(g_{10} + g_{10} g_{11}) + g_{00}(g_{10} + g_{00} g_{01}) = 0$$
 (3.37)

$$_{10}$$
 ( $g_{11}$   $_{20}$ ) $A_{00}$   $g_{00}A_{00}$  ( $_{20} + g_{10}$   $g_{11}$ ) +  $_{00}A_{10}$  ( $_{10} + g_{00}$   $g_{01}$ ) = 0 (3.38)

which, thanks to (3.33) and (3.36), reduce to only one equation that can be written as

$$10 \ 00 + 10g_{11}$$
  $10 \ 00$   $20 \ 10 = 00$   $10 + 00g_{01}$   $00 \ 10$   $10 \ 00$ : (3.39)

It can be integrated to

$$_{00}$$
  $_{10} + _{00}g_{01}$   $_{00}$   $_{10}$   $_{10}$   $_{00} = c$  (3.40)

with can arbitrary constant.

In conclusion from (3.36) we get

$$g_{01} = {}_{10} + \frac{1}{}_{00} \frac{d^2}{}$$
 (3.41)

and inserting it into (3.40)

$$_{00} = \frac{1}{2}_{00} \quad \frac{c}{2_{10}} + \frac{B_{00}}{2_{10}} \tag{3.42}$$

w here

$$B_{00} = \frac{p}{(0.0 \ 10)^2 + 4(1)^2 \ 0.00 \ 10}; \tag{3.43}$$

From (3.33), (3.41) and (3.42) noting that

$$\frac{1}{00} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{c}{200} + \frac{B_{00}}{200}$$
 (3.44)

we get

$$g_{01} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1_0 & 1_0 \end{array} \right) + \frac{B_{10} + B_{00}}{2_{00}}$$
 (3.45)

and, therefore, the following evolution equation in the doubly discrete eld

$${}_{20} \qquad {}_{00} + {}_{2} \; {}_{1} \qquad {}_{0} \; {}_{1} = \frac{B_{20} + B_{10}}{{}_{10}} \qquad \frac{B_{2} \; {}_{1} + B_{1} \; {}_{1}}{{}_{1} \; {}_{1}} \tag{3.46}$$

In analogy with the sem i-discrete case we can put

$$(n;m) = e^{g(n;m)}$$
 (3.47)

and rewrite the evolution equation in term sofq(n;m). The real parameter—takes into account that the time can be scaled and it is necessary for performing the continuous limit in time. We consider solutions q(n;m) decaying at large n. In order to be able to apply the spectral theory of the discrete Schrödinger equation the constants of integration c and d must be chosen in such a way that for n ! 1 the potential g(n;m) ! 2. Precisely, we get

$$c = {}^{2} 2d$$
: (3.48)

The evolution equation (3.46) adm its the reduction  $q = \overline{q}$  but not the reduction  $q = \overline{q}$  adm itted in the sem i-discrete case. If we choose d = 0 and let q(n;t) = q(n;m), in the lim it = 0 we recover the sem i-discrete sinh-G ordon equation.

#### 3.4. Evolution of spectral data

Let us rewrite the auxiliary spectral problem (3.31) by using (3.33), (3.41) and the spectral parameter  $k^2 = introduced$  in (3.19). We have

$$d^2 + k^2$$
  $_{01} =$   $_{00} \frac{1}{_{00}} + d^2 + k^2$   $_{00}$   $_{10}$   $_{10}$ :

It is convenient now to introduce the doubly discrete (n;m) equivalent to (320) and write

$$d^2 + k^2$$
  $_{01} =$   $_{00} \frac{1}{_{00}} \frac{d^2}{} + d^2 + k^2$   $_{00}$   $(1 + ik)$   $_{10}$   $_{10}$ :

We look for a solution of the form

$$(n;m;k) = (m;k)^{+} (n;m;k)$$
 (3.49)

where  $^{+}$  (n;m;k) is the Jost solution introduced in [6]. We have

$$(d^{2} + k^{2}) (m + 1;k) ^{1} (m;k) ^{+} (n;m + 1;k)$$

$$= (n;m) ^{1} ^{2} ^{2} ^{+} ^{2} ^{+} ^{2} ^{+} ^{m};k)$$

$$(1 + ik) (n 1;m) ^{+} ^{+} (n + 1;m;k); (3.50)$$

Let us rst note that thanks to (3.43), (3.44) and (3.48) we have

$$\lim_{j_1,j_1+1} (n;m) \frac{1-d^2}{(n;m)} = (1-d):$$

Then we proceed in the usual way evaluating the  $\lim$  it of (3.50) for n!+1 and n!-1. Taking into account the asymptotic behaviours (3.24) and (3.25) we obtain, respectively, for n!+1 the time evolution of

$$(m + 1;k)$$
  $^{1}(m ;k) = 1$   $\frac{1}{d + ik}$  (3.51)

and for n ! 1 the time evolution of the spectral data  $(k_{=} = 0)$ 

$$a(m + 1;k) = a(m;k)$$
 (3.52)

$$b(m + 1;k) = \frac{(d + ik)(d + ik)}{(d + ik)(d + ik)}b(m;k):$$
(3.53)

Notice that for d=0 in the lim it ! 0 we recover as expected the time evolution of the spectral data for the sinh-Gordon equation.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was partially supported by COFIN 2000 \Sintesi"

## References

- [1] R. Hirota, J. Phys. Soc. of Japan 43, 2079 (1977)
- [2] S.J.Orfanidis, Phys. Review D 18,3828 (1978)
- [3] D. Levi, O. Ragnisco and M. Bruschi, Nuovo Cimento 58A, 56 (1980)
- [4] L.Pilloni, D. Levi, Phys. Lett. 92A, 5 (1982)
- [5] A. Shabat, in Nonlinearity, Integrability and All That. Twenty Years After NEEDS '79, M. Boiti, L.M artina, F.Pem pinelli, B.Prinari and G. Soliani eds, pp.331-342, W orld Scientic Pu.Co., Singapore (2000).

- [6] M .Boiti, F.Pem pinelli, B.Prinari and A.Spire, \An integrable discretization of the KdV at large times", nlin.SI/0101023, January 2001
- [7] M . Boiti, J. Leon and F. Pem pinelli, Inverse Problems 3, 37 (1987)
- [8] M .J.Ablow itz, B .M .H erbst and C .Schober, J. of C om put. Phys. 126, 299 (1996)
- [9] M .J. Ablow itz, B.M. Herbst and C. Schober, J. of Comput. Phys. 131, 354 (1997)
- [10] H.S.Wall, \Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions" (New York: Chelsea, 1967)