

# A new integrable system of symmetrically coupled derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations via the singularity analysis

S. YU. SAKOVICH

TAKAYUKI TSUCHIDA

Institute of Physics,  
National Academy of Sciences,  
P.O. 72, Minsk, BELARUS.  
sakovich@dragon.bas-net.by

Department of Physics,  
Graduate School of Science,  
University of Tokyo,  
Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku,  
Tokyo 113-0033, JAPAN.  
tsuchida@monet.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

*April 13, 2000*

## Abstract

A new integrable system of two symmetrically coupled derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations is detected by means of the singularity analysis. A nonlinear transformation is proposed which uncouples the equations of the new system.

In this paper, we study the integrability of the following system of two symmetrically coupled derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= iq_{xx} + aq\bar{q}q_x + bq^2\bar{q}_x + cr\bar{r}q_x + dq\bar{r}r_x + eqr\bar{r}_x + ifq^2\bar{q} + igqr\bar{r}, \\ r_t &= ir_{xx} + ar\bar{r}r_x + br^2\bar{r}_x + cq\bar{q}r_x + dr\bar{q}q_x + erq\bar{q}_x + ifr^2\bar{r} + igrq\bar{q}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $a, b, c, d, e, f, g$  are real parameters, and the bar denotes the complex conjugation. By means of the singularity analysis, we detect one new integrable case of the system (1), characterized by the conditions

$$a = c = e \neq 0, \quad b = d = g = 0. \quad (2)$$

Then we propose a nonlinear transformation, which uncouples the equations (1) in the case (2).

We follow the Weiss-Kruskal algorithm of the singularity analysis [1], [2]. With respect to  $q, \bar{q}, r, \bar{r}$ , which should be considered as mutually independent, the system (1) is a normal system of four second-order equations, of total order eight. A hypersurface  $\phi(x, t) = 0$  is non-characteristic for (1) if  $\phi_x \neq 0$ , and we set  $\phi_x = 1$ . The substitution of the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} q &= q_0(t)\phi^\alpha + \dots + q_n(t)\phi^{n+\alpha} + \dots, \\ \bar{q} &= \bar{q}_0(t)\phi^\beta + \dots + \bar{q}_n(t)\phi^{n+\beta} + \dots, \\ r &= r_0(t)\phi^\gamma + \dots + r_n(t)\phi^{n+\gamma} + \dots, \\ \bar{r} &= \bar{r}_0(t)\phi^\delta + \dots + \bar{r}_n(t)\phi^{n+\delta} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

(the bar does not mean the complex conjugation now) into the system (1) determines the branches, i.e. admissible choices of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  and  $q_0, \bar{q}_0, r_0, \bar{r}_0$ , as well as the positions  $n$  of resonances for those branches. We require that the system (1) admits a singular generic branch, where at least one of the exponents  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  is negative, the number of resonances is eight, and seven of them lie in nonnegative positions. For this singular generic branch, there are three possibilities: (i)  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma + \delta = -1$ , (ii)  $\alpha + \beta = -1, \gamma + \delta > -1$ , and (iii)  $\alpha + \beta > -1, \gamma + \delta = -1$ , which is related to (ii) by  $q \leftrightarrow r, \bar{q} \leftrightarrow \bar{r}$ . The choice of (i) would lead us to known integrable cases of (1), and we will give a detailed consideration of it in a separate paper. In this paper, we study the possibility (ii), which leads to a new integrable case of (1). Computations are done by using the *Mathematica* system [3].

Setting  $\beta = -1 - \alpha, \gamma + \delta > -1$  in (3), and assuming without loss of generality that  $q_0 \bar{q}_0 = i$ , we obtain from (1) the following four cases:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{-2-5\alpha-5\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha}, \quad b = \frac{-3\alpha-3\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha}, \\ c &= \frac{(1+2\alpha)e+(1+\alpha)(\gamma-\gamma^2)-\alpha(\delta-\delta^2)}{(1+\alpha)\gamma+\alpha\delta}, \quad d = \frac{(\alpha\gamma+(1+\alpha)\delta)e+\gamma\delta(2-\gamma-\delta)}{(1+\alpha)\gamma+\alpha\delta}, \\ (n+1)n^3(n-2)(n-3)(n+\gamma+\delta+\frac{(1+2\alpha)e-(2\alpha+\gamma)\delta}{(1+\alpha)\gamma+\alpha\delta}) \times \\ &\quad (n+\gamma+\delta-2-\frac{(1+2\alpha)e-(2\alpha+\gamma)\delta}{(1+\alpha)\gamma+\alpha\delta}) = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{-2-5\alpha-5\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha}, \quad b = \frac{-3\alpha-3\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha}, \\ c &= \frac{(1+\alpha)d}{\delta} - \frac{1+2\alpha+2\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha} + \frac{(1+3\alpha+3\alpha^2)\delta}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}, \\ e &= \frac{\alpha\delta(2+2\alpha-\delta)}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}, \quad \gamma = -\frac{\alpha\delta}{1+\alpha}, \\ (n+1)n^3(n-2)(n-3)(n-\frac{(1+\alpha)d}{\delta} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha} + \frac{(1+3\alpha+\alpha^2)\delta}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}) \times \\ &\quad (n+\frac{(1+\alpha)d}{\delta} - \frac{2(1+\alpha)^2}{1+2\alpha} + \frac{(1+\alpha-\alpha^2)\delta}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}) = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$a = \frac{-2-5\alpha-5\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha}, \quad b = \frac{-3\alpha-3\alpha^2}{1+2\alpha}, \quad d = e = \gamma = \delta = 0, \\ (n+1)n^3(n-2)(n-3)(n-1+c)(n-1-c) = 0; \quad (6)$$

$$a = 2, \quad b = e = 0, \quad c = \frac{d}{\gamma} + 1 - \gamma, \quad \alpha = -1, \quad \delta = 0, \\ (n+1)n^3(n-2)(n-3)(n + \frac{d}{\gamma} + \gamma)(n - \frac{d}{\gamma} - 2 + \gamma) = 0. \quad (7)$$

In the case (4), taking into account that the equation for  $n$  should admit four positive integer solutions and that  $\gamma, \delta$  should be integers, we have to set

$$e = \delta - \frac{\delta^2}{1+2\alpha}, \quad \gamma = -\delta. \quad (8)$$

Now the positions of resonances are  $n = -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3$ . We obtain from (1) and (3) the recursion relations for  $q_n, \bar{q}_n, r_n, \bar{r}_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , and then check the consistency of those relations at the resonances. At  $n = 1$ , we have to set

$$g = 0, \quad \delta = -1 - 2\alpha, \quad \alpha(\alpha + 1) = 0, \quad (9)$$

otherwise logarithmic terms should be introduced into the expansions (3). With (9), the recursion relations become consistent at  $n = 2, 3$  as well. Both choices of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = -1$  and  $\alpha = 0$ , lead through (9), (8), (4) and scaling of variables to the case (2) of the system (1).

In the cases (5) and (7), it is impossible to have four resonances in positive integer positions, if  $\gamma, \delta$  are integers.

In the case (6), we should set  $c = 0$  to have correct positions of resonances. Then the consistency of recursion relations at  $n = 1$  requires  $g = 0$ , and the system (1) becomes a pair of non-coupled equations.

As we see, the system (1) admits a good singular generic branch in the new case (2). Setting  $a = 2$  without loss of generality and making the transformation

$$q = q'(x', t') \exp(i\omega), \quad \bar{q} = \bar{q}'(x', t') \exp(-i\omega), \\ r = r'(x', t') \exp(i\omega), \quad \bar{r} = \bar{r}'(x', t') \exp(-i\omega), \quad (10) \\ \omega = -\frac{f}{2}x' + \frac{f^2}{4}t', \quad x = x' - ft', \quad t = t',$$

we obtain the simplified form of the new case:

$$q_t = iq_{xx} + 2(q\bar{q}q_x + r\bar{r}q_x + qr\bar{r}_x), \\ r_t = ir_{xx} + 2(r\bar{r}r_x + q\bar{q}r_x + rq\bar{q}_x), \quad (11)$$

where the prime of the new variables is omitted. Besides the singular generic branch, already considered, and the Taylor expansions, governed by the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem, we have to study the following two branches, admitted by the system (11):

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 \bar{q}_0 &= -i, \quad r_0 \bar{r}_0 = -i, \quad \alpha = \gamma = 1, \quad \beta = \delta = -2, \\ (n+1)^2 n^2 (n-2)^2 (n-3)^2 &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 \bar{q}_0 &= -i, \quad r_0 \bar{r}_0 = i, \quad \alpha = \delta = -1, \quad \beta = \gamma = 0, \\ (n+1)^2 n^2 (n-2)^2 (n-3)^2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The recursion relations turn out to be consistent at all resonances of the branches (12) and (13). Consequently, the system (11), or (1) with (2), has passed the Painlevé test for integrability well.

Using the *Mathematica* package **condens.m** [4], we can check that the system (11) has two conservation laws for each rank from 1 to (at least) 4. The fact, that the conservation laws appear by pairs, is highly suggestive that the equations of (11) can be uncoupled by some transformation. The first conservation laws of (11) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (q\bar{q})_t &= \{i(q_x \bar{q} - q\bar{q}_x) + (q\bar{q})^2 + 2q\bar{q}r\bar{r}\}_x, \\ (r\bar{r})_t &= \{i(r_x \bar{r} - r\bar{r}_x) + (r\bar{r})^2 + 2r\bar{r}q\bar{q}\}_x. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We introduce a new set of dependent variables by

$$q = u \exp(i \int_{x_0}^x v \bar{v} dx'), \quad r = v \exp(i \int_{x_0}^x u \bar{u} dx'). \quad (15)$$

Using the first conservation laws (14) and the definition of new variables (15), we find that the system (11) is equivalent to two independent Chen-Lee-Liu equations [5]:

$$u_t = iu_{xx} + 2u\bar{u}u_x, \quad v_t = iv_{xx} + 2v\bar{v}v_x. \quad (16)$$

Here we have assumed that the dependent variables approach zero as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ . The transformation (15) of (11) into (16) proves the integrability of the new case (2) of the system (1).

**Acknowledgments.** The work of S. Yu. S. was supported in part by the Fundamental Research Fund of Belarus, grant  $\Phi 98-044$ . The work of T. T. was supported by a JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

## References

- [1] J. Weiss, M. Tabor and G. Carnevale: J. Math. Phys. **24** (1983) 522.
- [2] M. Jimbo, M. D. Kruskal and T. Miwa: Phys. Lett. A **92** (1982) 59.
- [3] S. Wolfram: *The Mathematica Book*, 4th edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [4] Ü. Göktaş and W. Hereman: J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997) 591.
- [5] H. H. Chen, Y. C. Lee and C. S. Liu: Phys. Scr. **20** (1979) 490.