

CALIBRATED EMBEDDINGS IN THE SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN AND COASSOCIATIVE CASES

ROBERT L. BRYANT

Duke University

December 27, 1999

Dedicated to the memory of Alfred Gray

ABSTRACT. Every closed, oriented, real analytic Riemannian 3-manifold can be isometrically embedded as a special Lagrangian submanifold of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, even as the real locus of an antiholomorphic, isometric involution. Every closed, oriented, real analytic Riemannian 4-manifold whose bundle of self-dual 2-forms is trivial can be isometrically embedded as a coassociative submanifold in a G_2 -manifold, even as the fixed locus of an anti- G_2 involution.

These results, when coupled with McLean's analysis of the moduli spaces of such calibrated submanifolds, yield a plentiful supply of examples of compact calibrated submanifolds with nontrivial deformation spaces.

0. INTRODUCTION

0.0. Calibrations. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and let ϕ be a *calibration* on M , i.e., a closed p -form ϕ with the property that

$$(1) \quad \phi(\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_p) \leq 1$$

for all p -tuples of orthonormal vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_p \in T_x M$ for any $x \in M$.

Any oriented p -plane $E \subset T_x M$, satisfies $\iota_E^* \phi \leq \Omega_E$, where $\iota_E : E \rightarrow T_x M$ is the inclusion and Ω_E is the canonical oriented unit volume element in $\Lambda^n(E^*)$ induced by the metric g and the given orientation of E . An oriented p -plane $E \subset T_x M$ is said to be *calibrated* by ϕ if $\iota_E^* \phi = \Omega_E$.

For any oriented p -dimensional submanifold $N \subset M$, the inequality $\iota_N^* \phi \leq \Omega_N$ holds, where Ω_N is the induced oriented unit volume form on N and $\iota_N : N \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion mapping. The submanifold N is said to be *calibrated* by ϕ if each of its oriented tangent planes is, i.e., if $\iota_N^* \phi = \Omega_N$.

If N is a closed, oriented p -dimensional submanifold of M that is calibrated by ϕ , then N is *homologically absolutely minimizing*. If N' is any closed oriented

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 53C25 Secondary: 58A15.

Key words and phrases. calibrations, special Lagrangian, coassociative, Calabi-Yau.

The research for this article was made possible by support from the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-9870164 and from Duke University.

p -dimensional submanifold homologous to N then $\text{Vol}(N) \leq \text{Vol}(N')$, with equality if and only if N' is also calibrated by ϕ .

This follows by writing $N' - N = \partial C$ for some (oriented) $(p+1)$ -cycle C and then observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(N') &= \int_{N'} \Omega_{N'} \geq \int_{N'} \phi \quad (\text{since } \phi \text{ is a calibration}), \\ &= \int_N \phi + \int_C d\phi \quad (\text{by Stokes' Theorem}), \\ &= \int_N \Omega_N + 0 \quad (\text{since } N \text{ is calibrated by } \phi \text{ and since } d\phi = 0), \\ &= \text{Vol}(N). \end{aligned}$$

Since equality would imply that $\Omega_{N'} = \iota_{N'}^* \phi$ almost everywhere, it would also imply that N' must be calibrated by ϕ .

Thus, calibrations provide a method of proving that certain submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds are not only minimal, but are actually homologically minimizing, a much stronger condition. The theory of calibrations was developed extensively by Harvey and Lawson [HL] and is a fundamental tool in the theory of minimal submanifolds.

Although the ‘generic’ calibration ϕ will not calibrate any submanifolds, Harvey and Lawson show that there are many calibrations for which calibrated submanifolds are plentiful, at least locally. However, even in these cases, the study of compact or complete calibrated submanifolds is usually less complete.

The best-known example is that of a Kähler form and its divided powers on a complex manifold (cf. §0.1), where the calibrated submanifolds are simply the complex submanifolds. Of course, there are many complex submanifolds of a given complex manifold, at least locally. Compact complex submanifolds are much more rigid. However, since, in this case, the moduli space of compact calibrated manifolds can be studied using techniques from complex geometry, our knowledge about these moduli spaces is rather extensive. In particular, vanishing theorems in the holomorphic category can be brought to bear to show that the moduli space of compact complex submanifolds is smooth in many cases.

The work of McLean [Mc] has provided two more examples in which the local geometry of the moduli space of compact calibrated submanifolds can be shown to be smooth. They are the special Lagrangian calibration (cf. §0.2) and the coassociative calibration (cf. §0.3). These cases have been extensively explored in the literature, partly for their intrinsic interest ([Br1], [Br3], [Hi1], [Hi2]) and partly for their interest for string theory and mirror symmetry ([Ac1, Ac2], [BSh], [Li], [SYZ], to give just a sample).

However, explicit examples of compact calibrated submanifolds in these cases are not so easy to construct, which makes the theory difficult to investigate. It is not even clear what sorts of restrictions there might be on the intrinsic geometry of calibrated submanifolds in these cases.

Part of the difficulty is that it is not so easy to construct nontrivial examples of such calibrations in the first place. For example, the special Lagrangian calibration is defined on a Calabi-Yau manifold and non-trivial compact examples of these latter spaces were not known to exist until the celebrated work of Yau [Ya].¹ The

¹applied to string theory in [CHSW]

coassociative calibration is defined on a Riemannian 7-manifold with holonomy G_2 and nontrivial local examples of such metrics were not known to exist until much later [Br2]. Compact examples came later still, with the work of Joyce [Jo].² In either case, compact examples of these calibrated submanifolds are still very rare.

In this article, I will show that there are many nontrivial examples of compact calibrated submanifolds N in these two cases. However, the ambient manifold M that will be constructed will not generally be compact or complete. Instead, it will be more like a ‘germ’ of a Calabi-Yau or G_2 -manifold that forms a neighborhood of the given N . Specifically, I show that any closed oriented, real analytic Riemannian 3-manifold can be isometrically embedded as a special Lagrangian submanifold of some Calabi-Yau 3-fold (Theorem 1) and that any closed oriented, real analytic Riemannian 4-manifold whose bundle of self-dual 2-forms is trivial can be isometrically embedded as a coassociative submanifold of a G_2 -manifold (Theorem 2).

While the errors and omissions in this article are my own, I would like to thank Dave Morrison for his valuable advice on references to the literature.

0.1. The almost Kähler case. The most familiar example of a nontrivial calibration is to be found in Kähler geometry. Let (M, g, Ω) be an almost-Kähler manifold. I.e., g is a Riemannian metric on M^{2m} and Ω is a closed 2-form on M with the property that the skewsymmetric endomorphism $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ that satisfies $g(v, w) = \Omega(v, Jw)$ for all $v, w \in T_x M$ and all $x \in M$ defines a complex structure on TM .

The well-known Wirtinger inequality [HL] implies that for $p \leq m$ the $2p$ -form

$$\Omega^{[p]} = \frac{1}{p!} \Omega^p$$

is a calibration on M and that an oriented $2p$ -plane $E \subset T_x M$ is calibrated by $\Omega^{[p]}$ if and only if it is a complex p -dimensional subspace of $T_x M$ endowed with its natural orientation as a complex vector space. Thus, the $\Omega^{[p]}$ -calibrated submanifolds are the almost-complex³ p -manifolds in M .

In the most interesting case, when J is an integrable complex structure (i.e., the Kähler case), the p -dimensional complex submanifolds of M are plentiful, at least locally. Moreover, given a compact p -dimensional complex submanifold $N^{2p} \subset M$, the moduli space of ‘nearby’ p -dimensional complex submanifolds is an analytic variety and can be described purely in terms of the underlying complex geometry.

Note also that, in the general almost-complex case, an almost-complex $N^{2p} \subset M$ inherits its own almost-Kähler structure by pullback from M . This structure will be Kähler whenever the ambient structure is Kähler.

0.2. The almost special Lagrangian case. Let

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= dz^1 \circ d\bar{z}^1 + \cdots + dz^m \circ d\bar{z}^m \\ \omega_0 &= \frac{i}{2} (dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 + \cdots + dz^m \wedge d\bar{z}^m) \\ \Upsilon_0 &= dz^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^m \end{aligned}$$

be the standard metric, Kähler form, and holomorphic volume form on \mathbb{C}^m . The subgroup of $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ that preserves these forms is $SU(m)$. For later use, I want

²applied to string theory in [PT] and [SV]

³Also known as ‘pseudo-holomorphic’.

to make an explicit identification of \mathbb{C}^m with \mathbb{R}^{2m} , namely $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ will be identified with $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$.

0.2.1. The calibration. Harvey and Lawson [HL] show that the m -form $\phi_0 = \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon_0$ is a calibration on \mathbb{C}^{2m} and that, moreover, an m -plane $E \subset T_0 \mathbb{C}^m \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ is ϕ_0 -calibrated if and only if there exists an $A \in \operatorname{SU}(m)$ so that $A(E) = \mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Thus, any ϕ_0 -calibrated E satisfies $\iota_E^*(\omega_0) = 0$, i.e., E is an ω_0 -Lagrangian m -plane.

Harvey and Lawson further show that any ω_0 -Lagrangian m -plane E satisfies $\iota_E^*(\Upsilon_0) = \lambda(E) \Omega_E$ for some complex number $\lambda(E)$ satisfying $|\lambda(E)| = 1$. Thus, an ω_0 -Lagrangian m -plane E is calibrated by ϕ_0 if and only if $\lambda(E) = 1$. For this reason, Harvey and Lawson call the ϕ_0 -calibrated m -planes *special Lagrangian*. In particular, an ω_0 -Lagrangian m -plane E is ϕ_0 -calibrated with respect to one of its two possible orientations if and only if $\iota_E^*(\psi_0) = 0$, where $\psi_0 = \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon_0$.

0.2.2. $\operatorname{SU}(m)$ -structures. Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be an $\operatorname{SU}(m)$ -structure on a manifold M of dimension $2m$. The elements of $P_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ are isomorphisms $u : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ is principal right $\operatorname{SU}(m)$ -bundle over M with right action given by $u \cdot a = a^{-1} \circ u$ for $a \in \operatorname{SU}(m)$. Then P defines (and, indeed, is defined by) the metric g , the 2-form ω , and the complex n -form Υ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_x &= u^*(g_0) \\ \omega_x &= u^*(\omega_0) \\ \Upsilon_x &= u^*(\Upsilon_0) \end{aligned} \quad \text{for any } u \in P_x \text{ and } x \in M.$$

By the above results of Harvey and Lawson, the m -form $\phi = \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon$ is a calibration if it is closed. Moreover, an m -plane $E \subset T_x M$ is ϕ -calibrated if and only if there exists a $u \in P_x$ so that $u(E) = \mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Such an E is an ω -Lagrangian m -plane and an ω -Lagrangian m -plane E is ϕ -calibrated with respect to one of its two possible orientations if and only if $\iota_E^*(\psi) = 0$, where $\psi = \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon$.

0.2.3. Special Lagrangian submanifolds. The ϕ -calibrated submanifolds $L^m \subset M^{2m}$ are said to be *special Lagrangian*. In string theory, especially when $m = 3$ (for example, see [SYZ]), these submanifolds are also known as *BPS* or *supersymmetric* cycles. Their geometry plays an important role in the understanding of mirror symmetry. However, I will not attempt a discussion of these applications in this article.

When $m = 2$, a special Lagrangian $N^2 \subset M^4$ is just an almost-complex curve for the almost-complex structure on M whose complex volume form is $\omega + i\psi$.

When $m > 2$, the generic $\operatorname{SU}(m)$ -structure on M^{2m} will not admit any special Lagrangian submanifolds, even locally. However, if the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \Omega^*(M)$ generated algebraically by ω and ψ is differentially closed, and, moreover, this ideal is real analytic, then the Cartan-Kähler theorem can be invoked [HL] to show that any real analytic submanifold $W^{m-1} \subset M$ that is an integral manifold of ω lies in a special Lagrangian submanifold $X^m \subset M$.

The case of most interest is that of an $\operatorname{SU}(m)$ -structure with $d\omega = d\Upsilon = 0$. The structure (M, g, ω) is then a Kähler structure and Υ is a holomorphic volume form that is g -parallel. In this case, the structure P is said to be *Calabi-Yau*.⁴ By abuse of language, the data (M, ω, Υ) is said to constitute a Calabi-Yau manifold.

⁴So-named because of Yau's solution [Ya] of the Calabi conjecture.

For a Calabi-Yau manifold, the underlying metric g is Ricci-flat, so that results of DeTurck and Kazdan [DK], imply that the metric and the forms ω and Υ are real analytic with respect to the real analytic structure on M induced by its complex structure. Moreover, since a special Lagrangian submanifold $L^m \subset M^{2m}$ is minimal, it is necessarily real analytic, as long as it is C^1 .

0.2.4. Moduli spaces. When $L^m \subset M^{2m}$ is a compact special Lagrangian submanifold, McLean [Mc] showed that the moduli space of nearby special Lagrangian submanifolds has a simple description:

Theorem. (MCLEAN) *Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M^{2m}$ be a Calabi-Yau structure on M . For any closed special Lagrangian submanifold $L^m \subset M^{2m}$, the L -component of the moduli space of closed special Lagrangian submanifolds of M is a smooth manifold of dimension $b_1(L)$.*

Here is an outline of McLean's argument. Since L is a Lagrangian submanifold of the symplectic manifold (M, ω) , the Darboux-Weinstein theorem implies that there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ and a symplectomorphism $e : B_\epsilon(T^*L) \rightarrow N$ between the ϵ -disk bundle $B_\epsilon(T^*L)$ in the cotangent bundle to L (using the induced metric on L) and a tubular neighborhood N of L in M in such a way that $e(0_p) = p$ for all $p \in L$. Then any Lagrangian immersion $a : L \rightarrow M$ that is sufficiently C^1 -close to $\iota : L \rightarrow M$ is of the form $a = e \circ \alpha$ where α is a small closed 1-form on L , regarded as a section of T^*L . The mapping D from small $C^{k,\delta}$ -sections of T^*L to $C^{k-1,\delta}$ m -forms on L defined by

$$D\alpha = (e \circ \alpha)^*(\psi) = \alpha^*(e^*\psi)$$

is a first order nonlinear differential operator that takes values in the exact m -forms on L since the m -form $e^*\psi$ is closed, vanishes along the zero section of T^*L (since, by hypothesis, L is special Lagrangian), and α is homotopic to the zero section.

By choosing e carefully, one can arrange that the linearization D' of D at $\alpha = 0$ is given by $D'\alpha = d(*\alpha)$. A Banach space implicit function theorem argument now shows that the intersection of $D^{-1}(0)$ with the space of $C^{k,\delta}$ closed 1-forms on L is a smooth, finite dimensional manifold whose tangent space at $\alpha = 0$ is the space of harmonic 1-forms on L .

Each special Lagrangian manifold $L \subset M$ inherits an orientation and a real analytic Riemannian metric from its immersion into M . Since the stabilizer in $SU(m)$ of the special Lagrangian plane $\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is $SO(m)$, there is no evident further structure that is induced on L by its inclusion into M .

0.2.5. Real structures and slices. Nearly all of the known explicit examples of closed special Lagrangian manifolds arise as the fixed points of a special type of involution of a Calabi-Yau manifold. Given a Calabi-Yau manifold (M, ω, Υ) , a *real structure* is an involution $r : M \rightarrow M$ that satisfies $r^*\omega = -\omega$ and $r^*\Upsilon = \overline{\Upsilon}$. If the set of fixed points of a real structure on a Calabi-Yau manifold is non-empty, then it is a special Lagrangian submanifold. This idea has been used in [Br1], [Br3], and [Ko] to construct several explicit examples of special Lagrangian manifolds via algebro-geometric methods.

0.3. The coassociative case. The third example that will be discussed in this article is the coassociative calibration, which exists in dimension 7. Let x^1, \dots, x^7 be the standard linear coordinates on \mathbb{R}^7 and set $dx^{ij} = dx^i \wedge dx^j$ and $dx^{ijk} =$

$dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k$, etc. Define

$$\phi_0 = dx^{567} - dx^5 \wedge (dx^{12} + dx^{34}) - dx^6 \wedge (dx^{13} + dx^{42}) - dx^7 \wedge (dx^{14} + dx^{23}).$$

The subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{R})$ that preserves ϕ_0 will be denoted G_2 . It is a compact, connected, simple Lie group of dimension 14, so this designation is appropriate. In fact, G_2 preserves the metric and orientation for which the coframe $dx = (dx^i)$ is oriented and orthonormal [Br1].

0.3.1. The calibration. Harvey and Lawson show that the 4-form

$$*\phi_0 = dx^{1234} - dx^{67} \wedge (dx^{12} + dx^{34}) - dx^{75} \wedge (dx^{13} + dx^{42}) - dx^{56} \wedge (dx^{14} + dx^{23})$$

is a calibration on \mathbb{R}^7 . They call this 4-form the *coassociative calibration* and the 4-manifolds that it calibrates are said to be *coassociative*.⁵

They show that a 4-plane E is calibrated by $*\phi_0$ if and only if $\iota_E^*(\phi_0) = 0$. For example, the 4-plane E_0 defined by $dx^5 = dx^6 = dx^7 = 0$ and oriented so that dx^{1234} is a positive volume form is calibrated by $*\phi_0$. Furthermore, they show that G_2 acts transitively on the coassociative 4-planes and that the G_2 -stabilizer of a coassociative $E \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ acts faithfully on E as its group of orientation preserving isometries.

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^7$ is calibrated by $*\phi_0$ and $E^\perp \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ is its orthogonal complement, then the assignment $v \mapsto -\iota_E^*(v \lrcorner \phi)$ defines an isomorphism $E^\perp \rightarrow \Lambda_+^2(E)$ that is manifestly equivariant with respect to the action of the G_2 -stabilizer of E . This is visible in the case of E_0 , since the forms

$$\Omega_2 = dx^{12} + dx^{34}, \quad \Omega_3 = dx^{13} + dx^{42}, \quad \Omega_4 = dx^{14} + dx^{23}$$

are $\sqrt{2}$ times an orthonormal basis of $\Lambda_+^2(E_0)$.

0.3.2. G_2 -structures. Consider a G_2 -structure $\pi : P \rightarrow M^7$. Thus, the elements of $P_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ are linear isomorphisms $u : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ and $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ is a principal right G_2 -bundle over M where, for $a \in G_2$, the right action of a is given by $u \cdot a = a^{-1} \circ u$. The G_2 -structure P induces (and, by [Br1], is defined by) a unique 3-form ϕ on M satisfying the condition that $\phi_x = u^*(\phi_0)$ for some (and hence any) $u \in P_x$. Moreover, M has a unique metric g and orientation form $*1$ for which $u : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ is an oriented isometry for all $u \in P_x$. The 4-form $*\phi$ satisfies $(*\phi)_x = u^*(\phi_0)$ for all $u \in P_x$.

0.3.3. Coassociative submanifolds. When $*\phi$ is closed, it is a calibration, known as the *coassociative calibration* of P . The 4-manifolds it calibrates are known as *coassociative submanifolds*. Since the subgroup of G_2 that stabilizes a coassociative 4-plane E is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ and is represented faithfully on E as $\mathrm{SO}(E)$, a coassociative submanifold $N^4 \subset M$ inherits an orientation and a Riemannian metric from M , but no finer structure of first order.

Just as in the flat case, the coassociative submanifolds are the 4-dimensional integral manifolds of ϕ and, hence, of $d\phi$ as well. Now, the generic G_2 -structure that satisfies $d(*\phi) = 0$ will not have any coassociative submanifolds because there will not be any 4-dimensional integral manifolds of the ideal generated by ϕ and $d\phi$.

⁵The term has its origin in the algebra of the octonions.

However, in the special case in which $d\phi = 0$, the situation is different. By a theorem of Fernandez and Gray [FG], the form ϕ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g if and only if $d\phi = d(*\phi) = 0$. In particular, in this case, the holonomy of g preserves ϕ and so is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_2 . By a theorem of Bonan [Be,10.64], this implies that the metric is Ricci flat. Hence, by Deturck and Kazdan [DK], the metric g is real analytic in harmonic coordinates. Since ϕ is harmonic with respect to g , it, too, is real analytic in these coordinates.

By the same argument used by Harvey and Lawson in the flat case, the Cartan-Kähler Theorem can now be applied to show that any real analytic 3-dimensional integral manifold of ϕ lies in a unique 4-dimensional integral manifold of ϕ . (Any C^1 coassociative 4-manifold N will be real analytic anyway, since it is minimal.)

Thus, when $d\phi = d(*\phi) = 0$, the coassociative submanifolds of M are plentiful. When these conditions are satisfied, the data (M, ϕ) is said to constitute a G_2 -manifold.

0.3.4. Coassociative moduli. When $N^4 \subset M^7$ is a closed coassociative submanifold, McLean [Mc] showed that the moduli space of nearby closed coassociative submanifolds has a simple description:

Theorem. (McLEAN) *Let (M, ϕ) be a G_2 -manifold. For any closed coassociative submanifold $L^4 \subset M^7$, the L -component of the moduli space of closed coassociative submanifolds of L is a smooth manifold of dimension $b_2^+(L)$.*

Here is an outline of McLean's argument. The normal bundle ν_L can be identified with $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ in a natural way. Namely, to every normal vector field u along L , one associates the 2-form $u^\flat = -\iota_L^*(u \lrcorner \phi)$. From the form of ϕ_0 and the fact that G_2 acts transitively on the coassociative planes, it follows that the mapping $u \mapsto u^\flat$ defines an isomorphism from ν_L to $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$. Let $\cdot^\sharp : \Lambda_+^2(TL) \rightarrow \nu_L$ denote the inverse of $\cdot^\flat : \nu_L \rightarrow \Lambda_+^2(TL)$. Since L is compact, each sufficiently small section β of $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ defines a deformation $\hat{\beta} : L \rightarrow M$ of $\hat{0} = \iota_L : L \hookrightarrow M$ by the formula

$$\hat{\beta}(x) = \exp_x(\beta(x)^\sharp)$$

for $x \in L$. Moreover, every $f : L \rightarrow M$ that is sufficiently C^1 -close to ι_L is of the form $f = \hat{\beta} \circ \varphi$ for some small $\beta \in \Omega_+^2(L)$ and diffeomorphism $\varphi : L \rightarrow L$.

Now, the mapping D from small sections of $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ to 3-forms on L defined by

$$D\beta = \hat{\beta}^*(\phi)$$

is a first-order, nonlinear differential operator. It takes values in the exact 3-forms on L since the 3-form ϕ is closed, $\iota_L^*\phi = 0$, and $\hat{\beta}$ is homotopic to $\iota_L = \hat{0}$. The linearization of D at $\beta = 0$ is computed to be $d : \Omega_+^2(L) \rightarrow \Omega^3(L)$. A Banach space implicit function theorem argument now shows that $D^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth, finite dimensional manifold whose tangent space at $\beta = 0$ is the space of closed, self-dual 2-forms on L .

0.3.5. Anti- G_2 involutions and slices. If (M, ϕ) is a G_2 -manifold, an *anti- G_2* mapping is a map $r : M \rightarrow M$ that satisfies $r^*\phi = -\phi$. If such an r is an involution, then its fixed point set consists of a collection of isolated points and (4-dimensional) coassociative submanifolds. Nearly all of the explicitly known closed coassociative submanifolds are of this kind. There are not many explicitly known compact examples beyond the ones in [BSa]. However, many of the G_2 -manifolds proved to exist by Joyce [Jo] admit anti- G_2 involutions whose fixed point locus is coassociative.

0.4. Cartan-Kähler theory. Since the Cartan-Kähler Theorem plays such an important role in this article and since many readers may not be familiar with it, I will now recall the rudiments of Cartan-Kähler theory. For details and proofs, the reader may consult [BCG, Chapter 3].

Let M be a manifold and let $\mathcal{I} \subset \Omega^*(M)$ be a graded ideal in the ring $\Omega^*(M)$ of differential forms on M . Let $\mathcal{I}^p = \mathcal{I} \cap \Omega^p(M)$.

An *integral manifold* of \mathcal{I} is a submanifold $\iota : N \hookrightarrow M$ so that $\iota^*\psi = 0$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{I}$. An *integral element* of \mathcal{I} is a p -plane $E \subset T_x M$ that satisfies $\iota_E^*\psi = 0$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $V_p(\mathcal{I}) \subset \text{Gr}_p(TM)$ denote the (closed) subset consisting of the p -dimensional integral elements of \mathcal{I} .

The tangent spaces of an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} are evidently integral elements of \mathcal{I} . The fundamental goal of Cartan-Kähler theory is to find conditions under which a given $E \in V_p(\mathcal{I})$ can be shown to be tangent to some p -dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} .

The concepts that need to be introduced are *ordinary integral element*, *polar space*, and *regular integral element*. I will take these up in turn.

Roughly speaking, an integral element $E \in V_p(\mathcal{I})$ is ordinary if it is a smooth point of $V_p(\mathcal{I})$ and the p -forms in \mathcal{I} define $V_p(\mathcal{I})$ ‘cleanly’ in a neighborhood of E in $\text{Gr}_p(TM)$. A more precise definition will now be given. Let $E \in \text{Gr}_p(T_z M)$ be a p -plane. Choose coordinates $x = (x^i)$ on a z -neighborhood $U \subset M$ in such a way that $\iota_E^*(dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^p) \neq 0$. The set V consisting of the p -planes $F \in \text{Gr}_p(TU)$ that satisfy $\iota_F^*(dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^p) \neq 0$ is an open E -neighborhood. Each $\varphi \in \Omega^p(M)$ defines a smooth function $\widehat{\varphi}$ on V by the rule

$$\iota_F^*(\varphi) = \widehat{\varphi}(F) \iota_F^*(dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^p).$$

Since \mathcal{I} is an ideal, $V \cap V_p(\mathcal{I}) = \{ F \in V \mid \widehat{\varphi}(F) = 0, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{I}^p \}$.

An $E \in V_p(\mathcal{I})$ is said to be *ordinary* if there are $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_q \in \mathcal{I}^p$ and an open E -neighborhood $W \subset V$ such that the functions $\widehat{\varphi}_1, \dots, \widehat{\varphi}_q$ have linearly independent differentials on W and, moreover,

$$W \cap V_p(\mathcal{I}) = \{ F \in W \mid \widehat{\varphi}_1(F) = \dots = \widehat{\varphi}_q(F) = 0 \}.$$

(The condition of being ordinary does not depend on the choice of the coordinate system x .) Let $V_p^o(\mathcal{I}) \subset V_p(\mathcal{I})$ denote the space of ordinary integral elements of \mathcal{I} . Note that $V_p^o(\mathcal{I})$ is open in $V_p(\mathcal{I})$ (though it might be empty).

The *polar space* $H(E)$ of $E \in V_p(\mathcal{I})$ is the union of all the integral elements of \mathcal{I} that contain E . If e_1, \dots, e_p is any basis of $E \subset T_z M$, then

$$H(E) = \{ v \in T_z M \mid \kappa(e_1, \dots, e_p, v) = 0 \forall \kappa \in \mathcal{I}^{p+1} \}.$$

Consequently, $H(E)$ is a linear subspace of $T_z M$ that contains E . Moreover, the $(p+1)$ -dimensional integral elements of \mathcal{I} that contain E are the $(p+1)$ -dimensional subspaces of $H(E)$ that contain E . If this set is non-empty, it is in one-to-one correspondence with the points of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(H(E)/E)$. The number $r(E) = \dim H(E) - p - 1$ is the dimension of this space of ‘integral enlargements’ of E and will be referred to as the *extension rank* of E . Unless E is a maximal integral element, $r(E) \geq 0$.

An ordinary integral element $E \in V_p^o(\mathcal{I})$ is said to be *regular* if the extension rank function $r : V_p(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ is locally constant near E . An integral manifold

is said to be *regular* if all of its tangent spaces are regular integral elements. For a connected regular integral manifold $N \subset M$, the extension rank of N is defined to be the extension rank of (any one of) its tangent spaces.

I can now state the Cartan-Kähler theorem [BCG].

Theorem. (CARTAN-KÄHLER) *Let M be a real analytic manifold and suppose that $\mathcal{I} \subset \Omega(M)$ is a real analytic ideal that is closed under exterior differentiation. Let $X^p \subset M$ be a connected, real analytic, regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} and suppose that its extension rank r is nonnegative. Let $Z \subset M$ be a real analytic manifold of codimension r in M that contains X and satisfies⁶ $\dim(T_x Z \cap H(T_x X)) = p+1$ for all $x \in X$.*

Then there exists a real analytic $(p+1)$ -dimensional integral manifold Y of \mathcal{I} that satisfies $X \subset Y \subset Z$. Moreover, Y is locally unique in the sense that, for any real analytic $(p+1)$ -dimensional integral manifold Y' of \mathcal{I} satisfying $X \subset Y' \subset Z$, the intersection $Y \cap Y'$ is also a $(p+1)$ -dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} .

Verifying the regularity of an integral manifold can be arduous. However, there are a few criteria for regularity that simplify this task.

One very useful fact is that, when $E \in V_p(\mathcal{I})$ is regular, every $E^+ \in V_{p+1}(\mathcal{I})$ that contains E is ordinary.

Building on this idea, Cartan devised a test for regularity that is usually not difficult to check in practice. It will now be described. For simplicity, assume that the ideal \mathcal{I} is generated in positive degrees, i.e., that $\mathcal{I}^0 = (0)$. (This will be true for the ideals that appear in this article.)

An *integral flag* of length n at $x \in M$ is a sequence $F = (E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$ of integral elements based at x that satisfy $\dim E_i = i$ and

$$(0)_x = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_{n-1} \subset E_n \subseteq T_x M.$$

If E_i is regular for $i < n$, the flag F is said to be *regular*. Let $c_i = c_i(F)$ be the codimension of $H(E_i)$ in $T_x M$ and define $C = C(F) = c_0 + \dots + c_{n-1}$.

Theorem. (CARTAN'S TEST) *Let F be an integral flag of length n . Then near E_n , the space $V_n(\mathcal{I})$ lies in a codimension C submanifold of $\text{Gr}_n(TM)$. Moreover, F is regular if and only if $V_n(\mathcal{I})$ is a smooth submanifold of codimension C in $\text{Gr}_n(TM)$ in some neighborhood of E_n .*

0.5. G -structures and ideals. This section contains an account of the relation between torsion-free G -structures and differential ideals. For details and proofs, see [Br2]. When indices are needed in this subsection, I will adopt the Einstein summation convention and will let lower case latin indices range from 1 to n .

0.5.1. The coframe bundle. Let M^n be a smooth n -manifold and let $\pi : F \rightarrow M$ denote its bundle of \mathbb{R}^n -valued coframes, i.e., an element of $F_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ is a vector space isomorphism $u : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. This is a principal right $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle over M where the right action is given by $u \cdot a = a^{-1} \circ u$ for $a \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. For any subspace $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and any $u \in F$, let $\mathfrak{s}_u \subset T_u F$ denote the subspace of $\ker \pi'(u)$ that corresponds to \mathfrak{s} under the natural identification of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $\ker \pi'(u)$ generated by this right action.

⁶This is just the condition that $T_x Z$ and $H(T_x X)$ be transverse.

0.5.2. G-structures. Let $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ be a connected, proper, closed Lie subgroup⁷ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$. The quotient space $S = F/G$ carries the structure of a smooth manifold. The quotient mapping $\tau : F \rightarrow S$ and the induced mapping $\bar{\pi} : S \rightarrow M$ are both smooth fiber bundles.

A G -structure on M is a principal G -subbundle $P \subset F$. The G -structures on M are in one-to-one correspondence with the sections of $\tau : S \rightarrow M$. In fact, if $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$ is a section of τ , then $P_\sigma = \tau^{-1}(\sigma(M))$ is a G -structure on M . Conversely, every G -structure on M is P_σ for some unique τ -section $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$.

Since $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ by hypothesis, every G -structure $P = P_\sigma$ has an underlying Riemannian metric $g = g_\sigma$ and orientation that is defined by the condition that $u : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an oriented isometry for all $u \in P_x$ and all $x \in M$. The set $P \cdot \mathrm{SO}(n) \subset F$ is the oriented orthonormal coframe bundle of the underlying metric and orientation.

0.5.3. Torsion-free and flat. A G -structure P on M and the section σ so that $P = P_\sigma$ are said to be *torsion-free* if P is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the underlying Riemannian metric. The condition of being torsion-free is np first order quasilinear PDE for the section $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$, where $p = \binom{n}{2} - \dim G$ is the codimension of G in $\mathrm{SO}(n)$.

A torsion-free G -structure P and its corresponding section σ are said to be *flat* if the underlying metric g is flat. All flat G -structures are locally equivalent to the translation-invariant G -structure P_0 on \mathbb{R}^n containing the identity coframe $dx : T_0 \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

It is not difficult to show that $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$ is torsion-free if and only if it is flat to first order at every point, i.e., every $x \in M$ has an open neighborhood U on which there is a flat G -structure with section $\sigma_0 : U \rightarrow S$ so that $\sigma_0(x) = \sigma(x)$ and so that the graphs $\sigma_0(U)$ and $\sigma(M)$ are tangent at $\sigma(x)$. For this reason, torsion-free G -structures are sometimes referred to as being *1-flat*.

As examples: When $n = 2m$ and $G = \mathrm{U}(m)$, a $\mathrm{U}(m)$ -structure $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ is torsion-free if and only if it is a Kähler structure on M . When $n = 2m$ and $G = \mathrm{SU}(m)$, a $\mathrm{SU}(m)$ -structure $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ is torsion-free if and only if it is Calabi-Yau.

0.5.4. Admissible groups. Let $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^n)^G \subset \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the ring of G -invariant, constant coefficient differential forms on \mathbb{R}^n . Say that G is *admissible* if G is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ that leaves invariant all of the forms in $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^n)^G$.

For example, $\mathrm{U}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2m)$ is not admissible since, in this case, $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^{2m})^G$ is generated by the 2-form ω_0 and yet the stabilizer of ω_0 is $\mathrm{Sp}(m, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(2m, \mathbb{R})$, which properly contains $\mathrm{U}(m)$.

However, $\mathrm{SU}(m)$ is admissible, since in this case, $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^{2m})^G$ is generated by ω_0 and the real and imaginary parts of Υ_0 and $\mathrm{SU}(m) = \mathrm{Sp}(m, \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathrm{SL}(m, \mathbb{C})$. According to [Br2, Proposition 1], $G = \mathrm{G}_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$ is also admissible. In this case, $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^7)^G$ generated by $\phi_0 \in \Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7)$ and $*\phi_0 \in \Lambda^4(\mathbb{R}^7)$.

0.5.5. An ideal. Any $\alpha \in \Lambda^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defines a π -semibasic p -form $\hat{\alpha}$ on F by

$$\hat{\alpha}_u(v_1, \dots, v_p) = \alpha(\eta(v_1), \dots, \eta(v_p))$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_p \in T_u F$. If α is G -invariant, then $\hat{\alpha}$ is invariant under the right action of G on F and so descends to a well-defined p -form (also denoted $\hat{\alpha}$) on S . Any

⁷The restriction to subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is not essential, but it simplifies the presentation and is all that will be needed in this article.

section $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$ then induces a corresponding p -form $\alpha_\sigma = \sigma^*(\hat{\alpha})$ on M . When σ is torsion-free, the form α_σ is parallel with respect to the underlying Levi-Civita connection and so must be closed. Consequently, $\sigma^*(d\hat{\alpha}) = 0$.

Let \mathcal{I} denote the ideal on either⁸ F or S that is generated algebraically by the closed forms $d\hat{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^n)^G$. By the above discussion, the graph of a torsion-free section $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$ is necessarily an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . The converse is not always true.

For example, when $G = \mathrm{U}(m)$, the ideal \mathcal{I} is generated by the 3-form $d\widehat{\omega}_0$ and the condition that $\sigma(M)$ be an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} is just that $\omega_\sigma = \sigma^*(\widehat{\omega}_0)$ be closed. Such structures are sometimes referred to in the literature as *almost Kähler* since the underlying almost complex structure of such a structure need not be integrable.

Even when G is admissible, the closure of the forms α_σ for $\alpha \in \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^n)^G$ need not imply that P_σ is torsion-free. For example, $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2)\mathrm{Sp}(1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8)$ is admissible and the ring $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^8)^G$ is generated by a single 4-form Φ . However, it can be shown that the closure of Φ_σ does not imply that the G -structure P_σ is torsion-free.⁹

However, for the examples important in this article, those of $\mathrm{SU}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2m)$ and $G_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$, the graph of a section $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$ is an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} if and only if σ is torsion-free. For $\mathrm{SU}(m)$, this is well-known (and, in any case, easy to prove). For G_2 , this is the theorem of Fernandez and Gray mentioned earlier.

For each $k \leq n$, let $V_k(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi}) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_p(TS)$ denote the space of k -dimensional integral elements $E \subset T_s S$ of \mathcal{I} that are $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse, i.e., the projection $\bar{\pi}' : E \rightarrow T_{\bar{\pi}(s)}M$ is injective. Similarly, let $V_k(\mathcal{I}, \pi) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_p(TS)$ denote the space of k -dimensional integral elements $E \subset T_u F$ of \mathcal{I} that are π -transverse. Evidently, the map $\tau' : TF \rightarrow TS$ induces a surjective mapping $\tau' : V_k(\mathcal{I}, \pi) \rightarrow V_k(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi})$, with $\tau'(E_1) = \tau'(E_2)$ for $E_1, E_2 \subset T_u F$ if and only if $E_1 + \mathfrak{g}_u = E_2 + \mathfrak{g}_u$. Note also that

$$H(E) = (\tau')^{-1}(H(\tau'(E)))$$

for all $E \in V_k(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$. In particular, $c(E) = c(\tau'(E))$ for such integral elements.

0.5.6. Strong admissibility. Examining the form of the generators $d\hat{\alpha}$ of \mathcal{I} , one can show that $V_n(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi})$ is a submanifold of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(TS)$, that $V_n(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ is a submanifold of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(TF)$, and that

$$\mathrm{codim}(V_n(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi}), \mathrm{Gr}_n(TS)) = \mathrm{codim}(V_n(\mathcal{I}, \pi), \mathrm{Gr}_n(TF)).$$

Now, $V_n(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi})$ contains the set of tangent spaces to the graphs of local torsion-free sections of S (which is the same as the set of tangent spaces to the local flat sections of S). An admissible $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ is said to be *strongly admissible* if $V_n(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi})$ consists exactly of these tangent spaces.

Thus, if G is strongly admissible, any section $\sigma : M \rightarrow S$ whose graph $\sigma(M) \subset S$ is an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} is torsion-free.

⁸I will rely on context to make clear which is meant in any given situation. In fact, when G is admissible, the fibers of $\tau : F \rightarrow S$ are the Cauchy leaves of \mathcal{I} [BCG, Chapter 2, Theorem 2.2].

⁹The closure of Φ_σ is only $\binom{8}{5} = 56$ equations on σ , but the torsion-free condition is $8 \cdot (28 - 13) = 120$ equations. Of course, this, by itself, is not conclusive, since, *a priori*, some combination of the derivatives of the 56 equations $d\Phi_\sigma = 0$ could imply the remaining 64 equations. However, a Cartan-Kähler analysis of this system carried out jointly by Dominic Joyce and myself (in 1994, but so far unpublished) shows that this does not happen.

When $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ is strongly admissible, the space $V_n(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi})$ is a submanifold of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(TS)$ of codimension np while $V_n(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ is a submanifold of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(TF)$ with the same codimension. When G is not strongly admissible, this codimension will be strictly less than np .

It is not difficult to show that $\mathrm{SU}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2m)$ and $G_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$ are strongly admissible (which implies the theorem of Fernandez and Gray). (Some other strongly admissible groups are $\mathrm{Sp}(m) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4m)$ ($m \geq 1$), $\mathrm{Sp}(m)\mathrm{Sp}(1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4m)$ ($m \geq 3$), and $\mathrm{Spin}(7) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8)$. As already remarked, $\mathrm{Sp}(2)\mathrm{Sp}(1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8)$ is not strongly admissible.)

0.5.7. Canonical flags and regular presentations. An \mathbb{R}^n -valued 1-form η is defined on F by $\eta(v) = u(\pi'(v))$ for all $v \in T_u F$. I will usually express this form in components as $\eta = (\eta^i)$.

Any $E \in V_n(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ at $u \in F$ is the terminus of a canonical flag F defined by

$$E_i = \{v \in E \mid \eta^j(v) = 0 \text{ for } j > i\}.$$

For this canonical integral flag, the sequence of polar spaces is easy to compute: For $0 \leq k \leq n$, let $\iota_k : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the natural inclusion and set

$$\mathfrak{h}_k = \{x \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \iota_k^*(x \cdot \alpha) = 0, \forall \alpha \in \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^n)^G\}.$$

Then computation shows that $H(E_k) = E + (\mathfrak{h}_k)_u$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. By Cartan's Test

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{codim}(\mathfrak{h}_i, M_n(\mathbb{R})) \leq \mathrm{codim}(V_n(\mathcal{I}, \pi), \mathrm{Gr}_n(TF)).$$

The group G is said to be *regularly presented* in $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ if equality holds.

Now, from the definition, \mathfrak{h}_k always contains both \mathfrak{h}_{k+1} and $M_{n,k}(\mathbb{R})$, the space of n -by- n matrices whose first k columns are all zero. When G is admissible, $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{g}$ and it is not difficult to calculate that $\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{g} + M_{n,n-1}(\mathbb{R})$. For $k < n-1$ however, the space \mathfrak{h}_k is not so readily computed. In fact, the dimensions of the spaces \mathfrak{h}_k can depend on \mathfrak{g} itself and not just on the conjugacy class of \mathfrak{g} in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$.

For example, for any integer $m > 0$, consider the $2m$ -by- $2m$ matrix

$$J_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0_m & I_m \\ -I_m & 0_m \end{pmatrix}$$

and the $2m$ -by- $2m$ matrix

$$J_m^* = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0_2 & \cdots & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & J_1 & \cdots & 0_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_2 & 0_2 & \cdots & J_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These two matrices are conjugate in $\mathrm{O}(2m)$. Let

$$\mathfrak{su}(m) = \{x \in \mathfrak{so}(2m) \mid xJ_m = J_mx, \mathrm{tr}(J_mx) = 0\}$$

$$^*\mathfrak{su}(m) = \{x \in \mathfrak{so}(2m) \mid xJ_m^* = J_m^*x, \mathrm{tr}(J_m^*x) = 0\}$$

and let $\mathrm{SU}(m)$ and $^*\mathrm{SU}(m)$ denote the corresponding (conjugate) Lie subgroups. It can be shown that $\mathrm{SU}(m)$ is regularly presented, but that, for $m > 2$, the group $^*\mathrm{SU}(m)$ is not. It is for this reason that I defined $\mathrm{SU}(m)$ in §0.2 to be the former group and not the latter.

0.5.8. An existence result. If G is regularly presented, then by Cartan's Test every $E \in V_n(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ is the terminus of a regular flag, namely F . Moreover, setting $\bar{E} = \tau'(E)$ and considering the flag \bar{F} defined by $\bar{E}_i = \tau'(E_i)$, it follows that the flag \bar{F} is also regular.

The smooth manifold M has an underlying real analytic structure.¹⁰ The bundles P and S and the ideal \mathcal{I} then inherit real analytic structures from that of M . Since \mathcal{I} is differentially closed by construction, the Cartan-Kähler theorem has the following consequence:

Corollary. *If $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(n)$ is conjugate to a regularly presented subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, then every $E \in V_n(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi})$ is tangent to the graph of some local section $\sigma : U \rightarrow S$ defined on some open $U \subset M$ and satisfying $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}) = 0$. \square*

When G is also strongly admissible, the section σ will be torsion-free.¹¹

1. SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN REALIZATION

Theorem 1. *Let (L^3, g) be a closed, real analytic, oriented Riemannian manifold. Then there exists a Calabi-Yau 3-fold (N^6, ω, Υ) and an isometric embedding $\iota : L \rightarrow N$ whose image is a special Lagrangian 3-manifold in N . Moreover, (N^6, ω, Υ) and ι can be chosen so that $\iota(L)$ is the fixed locus of a real structure $r : N \rightarrow N$.*

Proof. First, I will examine the differential system \mathcal{I} constructed in §0.5 for the group $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)$ and show that it is regularly presented. The summation convention is still in force and lower case Latin indices now range from 1 to 6.

As in §0.2, let $\mathrm{SU}(3) \subset \mathrm{SO}(6)$ be the connected subgroup of dimension 8 whose Lie algebra consists of the matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

where a is a skewsymmetric 3-by-3 matrix and b is a traceless symmetric 3-by-3 matrix. This $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ can also be defined as the simultaneous stabilizer in $\mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ of the forms ω_0 and Υ_0 in $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^6)$ defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 &= dx^{14} + dx^{25} + dx^{36}, \\ \Upsilon_0 &= (dx^1 + \iota dx^4) \wedge (dx^2 + \iota dx^5) \wedge (dx^3 + \iota dx^6) \\ &= dx^{123} - dx^{156} + dx^{246} - dx^{345} + \iota(dx^{246} + dx^{234} - dx^{135} - dx^{456}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)$ is admissible.

Since $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^6)^G$ has no forms of degree 1, $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_1 = M_6(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{36}$. Moreover,

$$\iota_2^*(x.\omega_0) = (x_2^4 - x_1^5) dx^1 \wedge dx^2,$$

so $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \{x \in M_6(\mathbb{R}) \mid x_2^4 - x_1^5 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{35}$. Similarly, $\iota_3^*(x.\omega_0) = \iota_3^*(x.\Upsilon_0) = 0$ if and only if

$$x_2^4 - x_1^5 = x_3^5 - x_2^6 = x_1^6 - x_3^4 = x_1^1 + x_2^2 + x_3^3 = x_1^4 + x_2^5 + x_3^6 = 0,$$

¹⁰This real analytic structure is essentially unique by a theorem of Grauert [Gr].

¹¹However, this result is of interest even when G is not strongly admissible. For example, when $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2)\mathrm{Sp}(1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8)$, it can be shown that G is conjugate to a regularly presented subgroup. This is the heart of the calculation by Joyce and myself referred to in an earlier footnote.

so $\dim \mathfrak{h}_3 = 31$. (Note that \mathfrak{h}_3 is defined by at most $5 = \binom{3}{2} + 2 \cdot \binom{3}{3}$ linearly independent equations anyway.) Further, $\mathfrak{h}_4 \subset \mathfrak{h}_3$ is defined by the additional nine equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^1 + x_1^1 &= x_1^2 + x_2^1 = x_1^3 + x_3^1 = 0, \\ x_4^1 + x_1^4 &= x_4^2 + x_1^5 = x_4^3 + x_1^6 = 0, \\ x_4^4 - x_1^1 &= x_4^5 - x_1^2 = x_4^6 - x_1^3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $\dim \mathfrak{h}_4 = 22$. As already remarked, the results $\dim \mathfrak{h}_5 = 8+6 = 14$ and $\dim \mathfrak{h}_6 = 8$ follow from the general considerations of §0.5.

Now let M be any 6-manifold and let $\pi : F \rightarrow M$ and $S = F/\mathrm{SU}(3)$ be its coframe bundle and $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ -structure bundle as in §0.5. Let $\eta = (\eta^i)$ be the tautological \mathbb{R}^6 -valued 1-form on F . The ideal \mathcal{I} on F or S is generated by $d(\widehat{\omega}_0)$ and $d(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0)$.

By the calculations above and the discussion in §0.5, any $E \in V_6(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ has a canonical flag $\{E_i | 0 \leq i \leq 6\}$ and the codimension of $H(E_i)$ is c_i , where

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_6) = (0, 0, 1, 5, 14, 22, 28).$$

Since $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ is strongly admissible, $V_6(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ has codimension $6 \cdot (15 - 8) = 42$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_6(TS)$. Since

$$c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 + c_5 = 42,$$

it follows that $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ is regularly presented, and the canonical flag associated to any integral element $E \in V_6(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ is regular.

Now I want to show how one can use the Cartan-Kähler Theorem to produce the desired M of Theorem 1.

By a theorem of Wu [MS], an orientable 3-manifold is smoothly parallelizable. Bochner [Bo] proved that on a closed, real analytic Riemannian manifold, the real analytic differential forms are dense in the smooth differential forms endowed with the uniform topology. It follows that a real analytic orientable Riemannian 3-manifold is real analytically parallelizable.

Consequently, Gramm-Schmidt orthonormalization can be invoked to construct real analytic 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ on L so that

$$g = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2$$

and so that $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$ is a positive volume form on L for the given orientation.

Let $M = L \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and let y^1, y^2 , and y^3 be linear coordinates on the second factor and regard them as functions on M via pullback from its projection onto the second factor. For notational simplicity, I will identify L with $L \times \mathbf{0}$ from now on.

The 1-forms $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, dy^1, dy^2, dy^3)$ define a real analytic parallelization of M . As before, let $\pi : F \rightarrow M$ be the coframe bundle on M and let η be the canonical \mathbb{R}^6 -valued 1-form.

There exists a unique real analytic map $g : F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ for which

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta^1 \\ \eta^2 \\ \eta^3 \\ \eta^4 \\ \eta^5 \\ \eta^6 \end{pmatrix} = g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ dy^1 \\ dy^2 \\ dy^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $(\pi, g) : F \rightarrow M \times \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ is a real analytic trivialization of F , regarded as a $\mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle. To simplify notation, I will usually identify F with $M \times \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ without explicitly noting the identification.

Theorem 1 will be proved by applying the Cartan-Kähler theorem to construct a real analytic 6-dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} that projects diffeomorphically onto a neighborhood N of $L \subset M$ so that the induced Calabi-Yau structure on N has the properties that, first, L is a special Lagrangian submanifold of N and, second, the induced metric and orientation agree with the given ones on L .

To prove the last statement in Theorem 1, I will construct an involution of M and a covering involution of F . By abuse of notation, I will use the same letter r for each. The formula for r acting on $M = L \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is $r(p, y) = (p, -y)$; when it acts on F the formula is

$$r(p, y, g) = (p, -y, RgR), \quad \text{where } R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathrm{I}_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, $R = R^{-1} \in \mathrm{O}(6)$ does not belong to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, but it is nevertheless true that $R \mathrm{SU}(3) R = \mathrm{SU}(3)$. Consequently, r preserves the fibers of $\tau : F \rightarrow S$, and thus induces an involution of S , which I will continue to denote by r .

Since $r^*\eta = R\eta$ and since

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\omega}_0 &= \eta^1 \wedge \eta^4 + \eta^2 \wedge \eta^5 + \eta^3 \wedge \eta^6, \\ \widehat{\Upsilon}_0 &= (\eta^1 + \imath \eta^4) \wedge (\eta^2 + \imath \eta^5) \wedge (\eta^3 + \imath \eta^6) \\ &= \eta^1 \wedge \eta^2 \wedge \eta^3 - \eta^1 \wedge \eta^5 \wedge \eta^6 + \eta^2 \wedge \eta^4 \wedge \eta^6 - \eta^3 \wedge \eta^4 \wedge \eta^5 \\ &\quad + \imath (\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2 \wedge \eta^6 + \eta^2 \wedge \eta^3 \wedge \eta^4 - \eta^1 \wedge \eta^3 \wedge \eta^5 - \eta^4 \wedge \eta^5 \wedge \eta^6), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$r^*(\widehat{\omega}_0) = -\widehat{\omega}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad r^*(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0) = \overline{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0}.$$

In particular, $r^*\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$, so that r takes integral manifolds of \mathcal{I} to integral manifolds of \mathcal{I} .

The integral manifold of \mathcal{I} to be constructed in the course of the proof will be invariant under the involution r . It will then follow that forms (ω, Υ) induced by the Calabi-Yau structure on the neighborhood N of L will satisfy $r^*(\omega) = -\omega$ and $r^*\Upsilon = \overline{\Upsilon}$. Thus $r : N \rightarrow N$ is a real structure on (N, ω, Υ) and $L \subset N$ is its fixed locus.

To begin the construction of the desired 6-dimensional integral manifold, define a lifting $f_3 : L \rightarrow S$ by taking it to be of the form

$$f_3(p) = \tau(p, \mathbf{0}, \mathrm{I}_6).$$

Then $f_3^*(\widehat{\omega}_0) = 0$ and $f_3^*(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0) = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$. Consequently, $f_3^*(d\widehat{\omega}_0) = f_3^*(d\widehat{\Upsilon}_0) = 0$, so $X_3 = f_3(L)$ is an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . Note that X_3 lies in the fixed locus of r . Moreover, the tangent spaces to X_3 are the projections to S of the tangents to the lifting $p \mapsto (p, \mathbf{0}, \mathrm{I}_6) \in F$, so these are of the type of E_3 in a canonical (regular) flag. It follows that these tangent spaces are all regular and their polar spaces have dimension 29 (the minimum possible). Thus, the computation of \mathfrak{h}_3 shows that X_3 is a regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} with extension rank 25.

Let $W_5 \subset M_6(\mathbb{R})$ denote the 5-dimensional subspace consisting of the matrices of the form

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_4 & x_3 & -x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_3 & x_4 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & -x_1 & x_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $W_5 \cap \mathfrak{h}_3 = (0)$. Since \mathfrak{h}_3 contains $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, the affine space $I_6 + W_5$ intersects $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ transversely at $I_6 \in \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, there is a $\mathbf{0}$ -neighborhood $U_5 \subset W$ so that the map $U_5 \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $(x, a) \mapsto (I_6 + x)a$ is an embedding. Note also that W_5 is invariant under conjugation by R .

Define a 9-dimensional submanifold $Z_3 \subset S$ by the rule

$$Z_3 = \{ \tau(p, (y^1, 0, 0), I_6 + x) \mid p \in L, y^1 \in \mathbb{R}, x \in U_5 \}.$$

Note that $X_3 \subset Z_3$ and that Z_3 is r -invariant. By the description of \mathfrak{h}_3 , it follows that $H(T_x X_3)$ and $T_x Z_3$ meet transversely along X_3 , so that $E_x = H(T_x X_3) \cap T_x Z_3$ is a 4-dimensional integral element of \mathcal{I} for all $x \in X_3$ that is $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse. In fact, its $\bar{\pi}$ -projection is spanned by the corresponding tangent space to L plus the vector $\partial/\partial y^1$.

By the Cartan-Kähler theorem, there is a real analytic 4-dimensional integral manifold Y_4 of \mathcal{I} that satisfies $X_3 \subset Y_4 \subset Z_3$. Since X_3 and Z_3 are r -invariant, $r(Y_4)$ is also an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} and satisfies $X_3 \subset r(Y_4) \subset Z_3$. By the uniqueness part of the Cartan-Kähler Theorem, $X_4 = Y_4 \cap r(Y_4)$ is also a 4-dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} and is manifestly r -invariant.

A neighborhood of X_3 in X_4 projects diffeomorphically onto a neighborhood N_4 of L in $L \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset M$. By shrinking X_4 if necessary, it can be supposed that X_4 is the graph of a section of S along such an open $N_4 \subset L \times \mathbb{R}^1$, so assume this. Furthermore, for $x \in X_3$, the integral elements $T_x X_4$ are of type E_4 in a canonical flag and hence must be regular. By shrinking X_4 again if necessary, it can be assumed that X_4 is a connected, regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} containing X_3 and invariant under r . By the calculation of $\mathfrak{h}_4 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{22}$, it follows that X_4 has extension degree 15.

Thus, in order to extend X_4 to a 5-dimensional integral manifold, one needs to find a restraining manifold $Z_4 \subset S$ that contains X_4 , is of codimension 15, meets the polar spaces of the tangent planes to X_4 transversely, and is r -invariant. This is done as follows:

Let $W_{14} \subset M_6(\mathbb{R})$ denote the 14-dimensional subspace containing W_5 and consisting of the matrices of the form

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_5 + x_{10} & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_8 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_{14} & x_5 + x_9 & 0 & x_6 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_{13} & 0 & x_5 + x_9 & x_7 & 0 & 0 \\ x_4 & x_3 & -x_2 & x_9 + x_{10} & 0 & 0 \\ -x_3 + x_6 & x_4 - x_8 & x_1 & x_{11} + x_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 + x_7 & -x_1 & x_4 - x_8 & x_{12} + x_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $W_{14} \cap \mathfrak{h}_4 = (0)$. Since \mathfrak{h}_4 contains $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, the affine space $I_6 + W_{14}$ intersects $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ transversely at $I_6 \in \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, there is a $\mathbf{0}$ -neighborhood $U_{14} \subset$

W_{14} so that the map $U_{14} \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $(x, a) \mapsto (\mathrm{I}_6 + x)a$ is an embedding. Note also that W_{14} is invariant under conjugation by R .

Define a 19-dimensional submanifold $Z_4 \subset S$ by the rule

$$Z_4 = \{ \tau(p, (y^1, y^2, 0), \mathrm{I}_6 + x) \mid p \in L, y^1 \in \mathbb{R}, x \in U_{14} \}.$$

Then $Z_3 \subset Z_4$ and Z_4 is r -invariant. Using the computation of \mathfrak{h}_4 , one sees that $H(T_x X_4)$ and $T_x Z_4$ meet transversely along X_4 , so that $E_x = H(T_x X_4) \cap T_x Z_4$ is a 5-dimensional integral element of \mathcal{I} for all $x \in X_4$ that is $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse. In fact, its $\bar{\pi}$ -projection is spanned by the corresponding tangent space to L plus the vectors $\partial/\partial y^1$ and $\partial/\partial y^2$.

By the Cartan-Kähler theorem, there is a real analytic 5-dimensional integral manifold Y_5 of \mathcal{I} that satisfies $X_4 \subset Y_5 \subset Z_4$. Since X_4 and Z_4 are r -invariant, $r(Y_5)$ is also an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} and satisfies $X_4 \subset r(Y_5) \subset Z_4$. By the uniqueness part of the Cartan-Kähler Theorem, $X_5 = Y_5 \cap r(Y_5)$ is also a 5-dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} and is manifestly r -invariant.

A neighborhood of X_3 in X_5 projects diffeomorphically onto a neighborhood N_5 of L in $L \times \mathbb{R}^2 \subset M$. By shrinking X_5 if necessary, it can be supposed that X_5 is the graph of a section of S along such an open $N_5 \subset L \times \mathbb{R}^2$, so assume this. Then X_5 is a regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . By the computation of \mathfrak{h}_5 , it has extension degree 6.

Thus, in order to extend X_5 to a 6-dimensional integral manifold, one needs to find a restraining manifold $Z_5 \subset S$ that contains X_5 , is of codimension 6 and meets the polar spaces of X_5 transversely, and is r -invariant. This is done as follows.

Let $W_{22} \subset M_6(\mathbb{R})$ denote the 22-dimensional subspace containing W_{14} and consisting of the matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_5 + x_{10} & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_8 & x_{15} & 0 \\ -x_{14} + x_{16} & x_5 + x_9 + x_{17} & x_{18} & x_6 - x_{15} & x_{19} & 0 \\ -x_{13} & -x_{21} & x_5 + x_9 + x_{22} & x_7 & x_{20} & 0 \\ x_4 - x_{19} & x_3 & -x_2 & x_9 + x_{10} + x_{22} & x_{16} & 0 \\ -x_3 + x_6 & x_4 - x_8 & x_1 & x_{11} + x_{14} & x_{17} + x_{22} & 0 \\ x_2 + x_7 & -x_1 + x_{20} & x_4 - x_8 - x_{19} & x_{12} + x_{13} & x_{18} + x_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $W_{22} \cap \mathfrak{h}_5 = (0)$. Since \mathfrak{h}_5 contains $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, the affine space $\mathrm{I}_6 + W_{22}$ intersects $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ transversely at $\mathrm{I}_6 \in \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, there is a $\mathbf{0}$ -neighborhood $U_{22} \subset W$ so that the map $U_{22} \times \mathrm{SU}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $(x, a) \mapsto (\mathrm{I}_6 + x)a$ is an embedding. Note also that W_{22} is invariant under conjugation by R .

Define a 28-dimensional submanifold $Z_5 \subset S$ by the rule

$$Z_5 = \{ \tau(p, (y^1, y^2, y^3), \mathrm{I}_6 + x) \mid p \in L, y^1 \in \mathbb{R}, x \in U_{22} \}.$$

Then $Z_4 \subset Z_5$ and Z_5 is r -invariant. By the \mathfrak{h}_5 computation, one sees that $H(T_x X_5)$ and $T_x Z_5$ meet transversely along X_5 , so that $E_x = H(T_x X_5) \cap T_x Z_5$ is an integral element of \mathcal{I} for all $x \in X_5$ that is $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse.

By the Cartan-Kähler Theorem, there is a real analytic 6-dimensional integral manifold Y_6 of \mathcal{I} that satisfies $X_5 \subset Y_6 \subset Z_5$. Since X_5 and Z_5 are r -invariant, $r(Y_6)$ is also an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} and satisfies $X_5 \subset r(Y_6) \subset Z_5$. By the uniqueness part of the Cartan-Kähler Theorem, $X_6 = Y_6 \cap r(Y_6)$ is also a 6-dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} and is manifestly r -invariant.

A neighborhood of X_3 in X_6 projects diffeomorphically onto an open neighborhood $N = N_6$ of L in $M = L \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus, X_6 is the graph of some $\sigma : N \rightarrow S$.

As has already been remarked, the section σ induces a Calabi-Yau structure on N that satisfies $r^*\omega = -\omega$ and $r^*\Upsilon = \overline{\Upsilon}$. By the way X_3 was chosen, the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= \omega_1 \wedge dy^1 + \omega_2 \wedge dy^2 + \omega_3 \wedge dy^3 \\ \Upsilon &= (\omega_1 + \imath dy^1) \wedge (\omega_2 + \imath dy^2) \wedge (\omega_3 + \imath dy^3)\end{aligned}$$

hold along the locus $y = 0$. The underlying metric of the Calabi-Yau structure agrees with

$$\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + (dy^1)^2 + (dy^2)^2 + (dy^3)^2$$

along $y = 0$. In particular, this metric induces the original metric g on L , which, being the fixed locus of the real structure r , is necessarily special Lagrangian. \square

To conclude this section, here are a few remarks about different approaches and generalizations.

An alternative approach. Theorem 1 can be given a different proof that is based on constructing the Calabi-Yau structure in two stages.

First, let $\pi : F \rightarrow M^6$ be the coframe bundle as above and consider the quotient bundle $\bar{\pi} : J = F/\mathrm{SL}(3, C) \rightarrow M$ where $\mathrm{SL}(3, C)$ is the connected subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{R})$ whose Lie algebra consists of the matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

where a and b are traceless 3-by-3 matrices. The 3-form

$$\gamma = (\eta^1 + \imath \eta^4) \wedge (\eta^2 + \imath \eta^5) \wedge (\eta^3 + \imath \eta^6)$$

is well-defined on J and $d\gamma$ generates a differential ideal \mathcal{J} on J . Let $V_p(\mathcal{J}, \bar{\pi})$ denote the space of $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse integral elements. One can then prove the following result:

Proposition 1. *For any $E \in V_p(\mathcal{J}, \bar{\pi})$, the dimension of $H(E)$ is at least m_p where*

$$(m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5, m_6) = (26, 26, 26, 24, 18, 12, 6)$$

and equality holds except possibly when $p = 3$ or 4. Moreover, equality does hold for E in a dense open subset of $V_p(\mathcal{J}, \bar{\pi})$ and, when it does, E is regular.

The proof of Proposition 1 is simpler than the corresponding result for $G = \mathrm{SU}(3)$, partly because the group $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ is larger than $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and partly because \mathcal{J} is algebraically simpler than \mathcal{I} .

One then uses Proposition 1 to show that for $M = L \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with involution $r : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $r(p, y) = (p, -y)$ as before, one can find a neighborhood of $L = L \times \mathbf{0}$ on which there is a section j of J whose graph is an integral manifold of \mathcal{J} so that the induced holomorphic volume form $\Upsilon = j^*\gamma$ satisfies $r^*\Upsilon = \overline{\Upsilon}$ and so that the pullback of Υ to the slice L is the oriented volume form of g . Again, this proof is simpler than the corresponding one for $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ because the polar spaces are smaller and the transversality conditions are easier to verify.

Now suppose that M is a complex 3-manifold endowed with a holomorphic volume form Υ . Let $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : F_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow M$ be the $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ -structure whose fiber over $x \in M$ consists of the complex linear, volume preserving isomorphisms $u : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$. The canonical form η can now be regarded as a \mathbb{C}^3 -valued 1-form on $F_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let

$$\beta = \frac{i}{2} \left(\eta^1 \wedge \overline{\eta^1} + \eta^2 \wedge \overline{\eta^2} + \eta^3 \wedge \overline{\eta^3} \right) \quad \text{where } \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^1 \\ \eta^2 \\ \eta^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then β is invariant under right action by $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ and so is well-defined on the quotient bundle $\bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}} : K = F_{\mathbb{C}} / \mathrm{SU}(3) \rightarrow M$.

One can now consider the ideal \mathcal{K} generated by $d\beta$ on K and the $\bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -transverse integral elements $V_p(\mathcal{K}, \bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}})$. One can then prove

Proposition 2. *For any $E \in V_p(\mathcal{K}, \bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}})$, the dimension of $H(E)$ is at least m_p where*

$$(m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5, m_6) = (14, 14, 13, 11, 8, 6, 6)$$

and equality holds except possibly when $p = 3$ or 4 . Moreover, equality does hold for E in a dense open subset of $V_p(\mathcal{K}, \bar{\pi}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and, when it does, E is regular.

Again, the proof of Proposition 2 is simpler than that Theorem 1.

Combining this result with the same sorts of arguments made in the proof of Theorem 1 (but, again, much simpler), one can now show that starting with M a neighborhood of L already endowed with a holomorphic volume form for which r serves as an antiholomorphic involution, one can construct a section of K over an L -neighborhood $N \subset M$ whose graph is an integral manifold of \mathcal{K} , is invariant under the appropriate involution, and is such that the induced Kähler form ω together with Υ defines a Calabi-Yau structure on N that induces the original given metric on L .

While the resulting proof is locally simpler, it is no shorter than the ‘collapsed’ proof of Theorem 1. It has another disadvantage in that it does not correspond well with the proof to be offered in the next section about coassociative extensions.

Higher dimensions. On the other hand, the 2-step proof just described does have the advantage that it leads to an easier proof of the generalization of Theorem 1 to higher dimensions: Any *parallelizable* m -manifold L^m endowed with an orientation and a real analytic Riemannian metric can be isometrically embedded as a special Lagrangian submanifold of a Calabi-Yau manifold. As in Theorem 1, one can even arrange that the special Lagrangian submanifold be the fixed locus of an antiholomorphic involution of the Calabi-Yau structure.

Parallelizability. It is an interesting question as to whether the assumption of parallelizability is really necessary. It is certainly necessary for the proof via Cartan-Kähler theory since this method requires that one be able to construct the extension one dimension at a time. However, this does not say whether or not there might be some other proof that works in general. Fortunately, since orientable 3-manifolds are parallelizable, this difficulty did not arise for the proof of Theorem 1.

The reader may wonder whether or not the choice of parallelization really has any effect, so that one might imagine that one could do the extension locally and then expect the solutions to ‘patch’ appropriately. However, a careful look at how the restraining manifolds Z_3 , Z_4 , and Z_5 are constructed shows that the choice of

parallelization does indeed have an effect on the solution. I do not see any way of choosing a family of local parallelizations whose corresponding extensions would agree on overlaps.

Also, the reader may have noticed that the only use of the assumption that L be closed was to derive the existence of a real analytic parallelization, which is necessary for the Cartan-Kähler proof. It seems reasonable that any orientable, real analytic 3-manifold would be real analytically parallelizable. I have not been able to find or construct a convincing proof of this, but perhaps the results in [Sh] are applicable. If so, then Theorem 1 can be strengthened by removing the hypothesis that L be compact.

Prescribing the second fundamental form. The reader may wonder whether the method can be used to find extensions in which the special Lagrangian manifold L^3 is not totally geodesic in M^6 . The answer is ‘yes’. In fact, one can prescribe the ‘second fundamental form’ arbitrarily subject to some obvious necessary conditions.

More precisely, the situation can be described as follows: For any Lagrangian submanifold $L^m \subset M^{2m}$, the normal bundle to L can be canonically identified with T^*L , using the metric and symplectic structure. Thus, the second fundamental form \mathbb{I} can be regarded as a section of $T^*L \otimes S^2(T^*L)$. Because L is Lagrangian, it turns out that \mathbb{I} actually takes values in $S^3(T^*L) \subset T^*L \otimes S^2(T^*L)$. If L is special Lagrangian, then it is minimal, so that the trace of \mathbb{I} with respect to the induced metric g vanishes. I.e., \mathbb{I} must take values in $S_0^3(T^*L)$.

It then turns out that, given a real analytic metric g on an oriented L^3 and a real analytic section \mathbb{I} of the bundle $S_0^3(T^*L)$, i.e., the traceless cubic forms on L , then there exists an isometric embedding of L as a special Lagrangian submanifold of a Calabi-Yau M^6 with the given \mathbb{I} as second fundamental form. The proof proceeds exactly as in Theorem 1, with the modification that one uses the information in \mathbb{I} to choose different restraining manifolds Z_3 , Z_4 , and Z_5 . Details will be left to the interested reader.

Special Lagrangian foliations. Finally, if g is a real analytic metric on the m -torus T^m with the property that every nonzero g -harmonic 1-form on T^m is nowhere vanishing, then for any realization of (T^m, g) as a special Lagrangian manifold in a Calabi-Yau $(M^{2m}, \omega, \Upsilon)$, the set of nearby special Lagrangian tori foliate M in a neighborhood of T^m . (This is a consequence of McLean’s description of the moduli space.) For a discussion of the significance of these foliations for string theory and mirror symmetry, see [SYZ]. For a discussion of the mathematical aspects of such foliations, see [Hi1, Hi2].

It is easy to see that there are many metrics on the m -torus for which a basis of the harmonic 1-forms is everywhere linearly independent. In fact, when $m = 2$, all the metrics on the torus have this property.

On the other hand, suppose that $m > 2$ and that one has such a metric g on T^m . One can identify T^m with $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ in such a way that the differentials dx^i are a basis for the g -harmonic 1-forms on T^m so suppose that this has been done.¹² The metric g has the form $g = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ for some functions $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ on the torus T . Let $\Delta = \det(g_{ij})$ and set $h = \Delta^{1/2}(g_{ij})^{-1}$. Then $h = (h^{ij})$ is a symmetric, positive definite matrix on T^m and the condition that the forms dx^i be g -harmonic becomes

¹²The essential ambiguity in this identification is an element of $\mathrm{SL}(m, \mathbb{Z})$.

the m linear, first order equations

$$\frac{\partial h^{ij}}{\partial x^j} = 0.$$

Conversely, if h is any positive definite solution on T^m to these linear equations, setting

$$(g_{ij}) = \det(h)^{1/(m-2)} h^{-1}$$

defines a metric $g = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ on T^m for which the dx^i are g -harmonic.

Thus, the space of such metrics (even in the real analytic category) is a convex open set in a linear space.

2. COASSOCIATIVE REALIZATION

Theorem 2. *Let (L^4, g) be a closed, real analytic, oriented Riemannian 4-manifold whose bundle of self-dual 2-forms is trivial. Then there exists a G_2 -manifold (N^7, ϕ) and an isometric embedding $\iota : L \rightarrow N$ whose image is a coassociative 4-manifold in N . Furthermore, (N^7, ϕ) and ι can be chosen so that $\iota(L)$ is the fixed point locus of an anti G_2 -involution $r : N \rightarrow N$.*

Proof. First, I will examine the differential system \mathcal{I} constructed in §0.4 for the case $G = G_2$ and show that it is regularly presented. Throughout this proof, lower case latin indices will range from 1 to 7.

As in §0.3, let $G = G_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$ be the subgroup that stabilizes the 3-form

$$\phi_0 = dx^{567} - dx^5 \wedge (dx^{12} + dx^{34}) - dx^6 \wedge (dx^{13} + dx^{42}) - dx^7 \wedge (dx^{14} + dx^{23}).$$

The ring $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^7)^G$ is generated by ϕ_0 and the 4-form

$$*\phi_0 = dx^{1234} - dx^{67} \wedge (dx^{12} + dx^{34}) - dx^{75} \wedge (dx^{13} + dx^{42}) - dx^{56} \wedge (dx^{14} + dx^{23}).$$

In particular, G_2 is admissible.

One can now compute the spaces \mathfrak{h}_k for $0 \leq k \leq 7$. This computation is essentially the same as that in [Br2, Proposition 2], so I will not go into detail. One has $\mathfrak{h}_k = M_7(\mathbb{R})$ for $k < 3$, while \mathfrak{h}_3 is defined by the single equation $x_3^5 - x_2^6 + x_1^7 = 0$. Next, $\mathfrak{h}_4 \subset \mathfrak{h}_3$ is defined by four more equations

$$x_1^1 + x_2^2 + x_3^3 + x_4^4 = x_2^5 + x_3^6 + x_4^7 = x_1^5 + x_4^6 - x_3^7 = x_4^5 - x_1^6 - x_2^7 = 0.$$

Then $\mathfrak{h}_5 \subset \mathfrak{h}_4$ is defined by ten more equations:

$$x_1^1 + x_2^2 - x_3^3 - x_4^4 = x_1^3 + x_2^4 + x_3^1 + x_4^2 = x_1^4 - x_2^3 - x_3^2 + x_4^1 = 0$$

and

$$x_1^1 - x_4^6 + x_3^7 = x_5^2 - x_3^6 - x_4^7 = x_5^3 + x_2^6 - x_1^7 = x_5^4 + x_1^6 + x_2^7 = x_5^5 = 0$$

$$2x_5^6 - x_1^4 - x_2^3 + x_3^2 + x_4^1 = 2x_5^7 + x_1^3 - x_2^4 - x_3^1 + x_4^2 = 0.$$

Finally, from general considerations $\mathfrak{h}_6 = \mathfrak{g}_2 + M_{7,6}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{21}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_7 = \mathfrak{g}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{14}$.

In particular, letting c_i denote the codimension of \mathfrak{h}_i in $M_7(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_7) = (0, 0, 0, 1, 5, 15, 28, 35).$$

Since

$$c_0 + \dots + c_6 = 49 = 7 \cdot (21 - 14),$$

it follows by Cartan's Test that G_2 is strongly admissible [Br2, Proposition 1] and that G_2 is regularly presented. In fact, using the result [Br2, Proposition 2] that G_2 acts transitively on the space of oriented p -planes in \mathbb{R}^7 except for $p = 3$ and $p = 4$, it can be shown that any conjugate of G_2 in $SO(7)$ is regularly presented.

Next, let $M = L \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with linear coordinates y^1, y^2, y^3 on the second factor and let $r : M \rightarrow M$ be the involution defined by $r(p, y) = (p, -y)$. For notational simplicity, I will identify L with $L \times \mathbf{0}$, the fixed point set of r . Let $\pi : F \rightarrow M$ be the coframe bundle and define an involution (also denoted r) on F by the rule

$$r(u) = -u \circ r'(x)$$

for $u \in F_x$. Note that $\pi(r(u)) = r(\pi(u))$ and, tracing through the definitions, that $r^*\eta = -\eta$. Since $-I_7$ does not lie in G_2 , the involution $r : F \rightarrow F$ does not preserve $\widehat{\phi_0}$. In fact,

$$r^*(\widehat{\phi_0}) = -\widehat{\phi_0} \quad \text{while} \quad r^*(\widehat{* \phi_0}) = \widehat{* \phi_0}.$$

In particular, r preserves \mathcal{I} and hence its integral manifolds. Since $-I_7$ commutes with the elements of G_2 , it follows that r descends to a well-defined involution of $S = F/G_2$, also to be denoted by r .

Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal on F or S generated by $d(\widehat{\phi_0})$ and $d(\widehat{* \phi_0})$. Then, since G_2 is regularly presented, any $E \in V_7(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ is the terminus of a regular flag, namely the canonical flag $\{E_i | 0 \leq i \leq 7\}$ as defined in §0.5. In fact, by the remark above that any conjugate of G_2 is regularly presented, it follows that *all* of the elements E in either $V_i(\mathcal{I}, \pi)$ or $V_i(\mathcal{I}, \bar{\pi})$ are regular for $0 \leq i \leq 7$, with the codimension of $H(E)$ being c_i as defined above.

Moreover, since G_2 has been shown to be strongly admissible, it follows that any section $\sigma : U \rightarrow S$ over an open $N \subset M$ is torsion-free and so induces the structure of a G_2 -manifold on N .

Now let (L^4, g) be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 2. By hypothesis, $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ is smoothly trivial. Since g is closed, real analytic and Riemannian, Bochner's result that the real analytic forms are dense in the smooth forms in the uniform topology can be applied to show that $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ can be real analytically trivialized. I.e., there exist three, real analytic self-dual 2-forms Ω_1, Ω_2 , and Ω_3 on L so that

$$\Omega_i \wedge \Omega_j = 2\delta_{ij} *_g 1.$$

It is an elementary result in linear algebra that, at every point $x \in L$, there exists an oriented g -orthonormal basis $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_3$ of T_x^*L so that

$$\Omega_1(x) = \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3,$$

$$\Omega_2(x) = \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_1,$$

$$\Omega_3(x) = \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_3 + \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2.$$

(L may not be parallelizable, so there may not be a global g -orthonormal coframing with this property.)

Consider the 3-form on M

$$\varphi = dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge dy^3 - dy^1 \wedge \Omega_1 - dy^2 \wedge \Omega_2 - dy^3 \wedge \Omega_3.$$

By the linear algebra result stated above and the definition of ϕ_0 given in §0.4, at every point $x \in M$ there exists a linear isomorphism $u : T_x M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ so that $u^*(\phi_0) = \varphi_x$. Consequently, φ defines a G_2 -structure on M and hence corresponds to a section $\bar{\sigma} : M \rightarrow S$ that satisfies $\sigma^* \widehat{\phi_0} = \varphi$. The metric underlying this G_2 -structure is $\bar{h} = g + (dy^1)^2 + (dy^2)^2 + (dy^3)^2$, the Hodge dual of φ with respect to \bar{h} is

$$*\bar{h}\varphi = *_g 1 - dy^2 \wedge dy^3 \wedge \Omega_1 - dy^3 \wedge dy^1 \wedge \Omega_2 - dy^1 \wedge dy^2 \wedge \Omega_3,$$

and evidently $\sigma^* \widehat{\phi_0} = *\bar{h}\varphi$.

Let $X_4 = \sigma(L)$. Since $d\varphi$ vanishes when pulled back to L , it follows that X_4 is an integral manifold of \mathcal{I} that is transverse to $\bar{\pi}$. Moreover, since $r^*\varphi = -\varphi$, it follows that $X_4 \subset S$ is pointwise fixed under r . Since X_4 is $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse, it is a regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} .

From this point, the proof of Theorem 2 will follow the same lines as the proof of Theorem 1. There are some details to check, since the construction of the ‘restraining’ manifolds needed for the application of the Cartan-Kähler theorem requires some care in the absence of a global parallelization of L^4 , but this is a detail best left to the reader. The crucial point is that one can define subspaces $W_5 \subset W_{15} \subset W_{28} \subset M_7(\mathbb{R})$ of dimensions 5, 15, and 28 respectively that satisfy

$$W_5 \cap \mathfrak{h}_4 = W_{15} \cap \mathfrak{h}_5 = W_{28} \cap \mathfrak{h}_6 = (0),$$

are invariant under conjugation by the element

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} I_4 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and are invariant under the subgroup $SU(2) \subset G_2$ consisting of the transformations that fix ϕ_0 , dx^5 , dx^6 , and dx^7 . These spaces are what one uses to construct the restraining manifolds below, in a manner completely analogous to the constructions in the proof of Theorem 1. (It is the $SU(2)$ -invariance property that is needed to ensure that the restraining manifolds can be defined without having to choose a coframing on L .)

The rest of the proof can now be described as follows:

First, one constructs an r -invariant real analytic manifold $Z_4 \subset S$ that contains X_4 , submerses onto $L \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset M$ with fibers of dimension 5, and satisfies $\dim(T_x Z_4 \cap H(T_x X_4)) = 5$ for all $x \in X_4$. (That this is the appropriate dimension for the fibers follows from the calculation of \mathfrak{h}_4 .) Applying the Cartan-Kähler Theorem produces an integral manifold Y_5 of \mathcal{I} that satisfies $X_4 \subset Y_5 \subset Z_4$. The intersection $X_5 = Y_5 \cap r(Y_5)$ is r -invariant and, by the uniqueness part of the Cartan-Kähler theorem, it is a 5-dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . By shrinking X_5 if necessary, one can ensure that it is the graph of a section of S over an open neighborhood N_5 of L in $L \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset M$. In particular, X_5 is $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse and so must be a regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} .

Next, one constructs an r -invariant real analytic manifold $Z_5 \subset S$ that contains X_5 , submerses onto an open neighborhood of L in $L \times \mathbb{R}^2$ with fibers of dimension 15, and satisfies $\dim(T_x Z_5 \cap H(T_x X_5)) = 6$ for all $x \in X_5$. (That this is the appropriate dimension for the fibers follows from the calculation of \mathfrak{h}_5 .) Applying the Cartan-Kähler Theorem constructs an integral manifold Y_6 of \mathcal{I} that satisfies $X_5 \subset Y_6 \subset Z_5$. The intersection $X_6 = Y_6 \cap r(Y_6)$ is r -invariant and, by the uniqueness part of the Cartan-Kähler theorem, it is a 6-dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . By shrinking X_6 if necessary, one can ensure that it is the graph of a section of S over an open neighborhood N_6 of L in $L \times \mathbb{R}^2 \subset M$. In particular, X_6 is $\bar{\pi}$ -transverse and so must be a regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} .

Finally, one constructs an r -invariant real analytic manifold $Z_6 \subset S$ that contains X_6 , submerses onto an open neighborhood of L in $L \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with fibers of dimension 28, and satisfies $\dim(T_x Z_6 \cap H(T_x X_6)) = 6$ for all $x \in X_6$. (That this is the appropriate dimension for the fibers follows from the calculation of \mathfrak{h}_6 .) Applying the Cartan-Kähler Theorem constructs an integral manifold Y_7 of \mathcal{I} that satisfies $X_6 \subset Y_7 \subset Z_6$. The intersection $X_7 = Y_7 \cap r(Y_7)$ is r -invariant and, by the uniqueness part of the Cartan-Kähler theorem, it is a 7-dimensional integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . By shrinking X_7 if necessary, one can ensure that it is the graph of a section σ of S over an open neighborhood $N = N_7$ of L in $M = L \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Let $\phi = \sigma^*(\widehat{\phi}_0)$. By construction, $d\phi = d*\phi = 0$ and $r^*\phi = -\phi$. Thus, (N, ϕ) is a G_2 -manifold with anti- G_2 involution r . Now, ϕ and φ agree along L , the fixed locus of r . Thus, L is an integral manifold of ϕ since it is visibly an integral manifold of φ . Moreover, if h is the metric on N associated to ϕ , then h and \bar{h} agree along L .

Consequently, the inclusion of L into N is an isometric embedding of (L, g) into (N, h) as a coassociative submanifold, in particular, as the fixed locus of the anti- G_2 involution r . \square

Topological conditions. If L is an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold and $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ is trivial, then the structure group of TL can be reduced to a copy of $SU(2) \subset SO(4)$. In particular, L must be spin. Also, the first Pontrjagin class of $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ must vanish. This class is $p_1(TL) + 2e(TL)$, so the signature theorem [MS] implies

$$b_2^-(L) + 4b_1(L) = 5b_2^+(L) + 4.$$

Conversely, if an oriented, spin 4-manifold L satisfies this relation, then for any metric g , its bundle of self-dual 2-forms is topologically trivial. Examples of such compact manifolds are the 4-torus and the K3 surface, but these are not the only possibilities, of course.

The reader may wonder whether or not the triviality of $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ is really necessary for the conclusion of Theorem 2. Certainly the proof via Cartan-Kähler theory requires it, but there could conceivably be a way around this. However, a careful look at the construction in the proof of Theorem 2 shows that the choice of trivialization of $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ does affect the resulting G_2 -structure on N . I do not know how one could ‘patch’ to avoid this. Nevertheless, there are known compact examples [BSa, Jo] for which $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ is not trivial.

Finally, the reader may have noticed that the only place that the compactness of L was used was to prove that the topological triviality of $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$ implies that this bundle is real analytically trivial. Naturally, one would expect this to be true even without the compactness hypothesis. I have been unable to find an explicit proof of this in the literature, but perhaps the reference [Sh] is relevant.

Coassociative fibrations. The analog of special Lagrangian fibrations in the coassociative setting is, of course, coassociative fibrations. If a G_2 -manifold (N, ϕ) has a fibration $\beta : N \rightarrow B^3$ whose fibers are compact coassociative submanifolds, then the normal bundle of each fiber $L = L_b = \beta^{-1}(b)$ is trivial. Since this normal bundle is canonically isomorphic to $\Lambda_+^2(TL)$, this latter bundle is trivial. Moreover, by McLean's description of the moduli space of coassociative submanifolds, it follows that there exist three harmonic, self-dual 2-forms $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3$ in $\Omega_+^2(L)$ that are everywhere linearly independent.

Of course, these 2-forms are closed and satisfy

$$\Omega_i \wedge \Omega_j = 2a_{ij} *1$$

for some $a = (a_{ij})$ that is symmetric and positive definite at each point of L .

Conversely, suppose that L^4 is a compact, real analytic 4-manifold and that there are three closed, real analytic 2-forms Ω_1, Ω_2 , and Ω_3 on L that satisfy the nondegeneracy condition that

$$\Omega_i \wedge \Omega_j = 2a_{ij} \Phi$$

for some $a = (a_{ij})$ that is symmetric and positive definite at each point of L and some nonvanishing 4-form Φ on L .

Then there is a unique orientation and real analytic conformal structure on L so that the forms Ω_i are a basis for the self-dual 2-forms on L . Choosing a real analytic metric g in this conformal class, one can apply Theorem 2 and McLean's description of coassociative moduli to construct a¹³ G_2 -manifold (N^7, ϕ) so that (L, g) is isometrically embedded as the $\mathbf{0}$ -fiber of a coassociative fibration $\beta : N^7 \rightarrow B^3$, where B^3 is a neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Now, the obvious examples of such triples $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3)$ are the parallel examples on the flat 4-torus T^4 and the parallel 2-forms on a K3 surface endowed with its Calabi-Yau metric. However, these are by no means the only ones. In fact, given one such triple $(\bar{\Omega}_1, \bar{\Omega}_2, \bar{\Omega}_3)$, one can construct many others in the form

$$(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3) = (\bar{\Omega}_1 + d\alpha_1, \bar{\Omega}_2 + d\alpha_2, \bar{\Omega}_3 + d\alpha_3)$$

where the α_i are real analytic 1-forms whose exterior derivatives are small in the uniform norm. (Generically, these will not be parallel with respect to any metric on L .)

Prescribed second fundamental forms. The construction in Theorem 2 produces a G_2 -manifold in which L appears as a totally geodesic submanifold. However, modifying the construction allows one to get other second fundamental forms.

For a coassociative submanifold $L \subset N$ where (N, ϕ) is a G_2 -manifold, the second fundamental form \mathbb{I} takes values in a certain 15-dimensional subbundle $S \subset \Lambda_+^2(TL) \otimes S_0^2(T^*L)$ that can be defined using only the metric g on L and not the ambient metric or connection on N . (The bundle S is the coassociative analog of $S_0^3(T^*L)$, which appeared as the receiving bundle for second fundamental forms of special Lagrangian submanifolds.) When L is parallelizable and a real analytic section \mathbb{I} is specified, one can show that the restraining manifolds Z_i used in the Cartan-Kähler construction can be chosen so as to produce a G_2 -manifold into which (L, g) embeds isometrically as a coassociative submanifold with second fundamental form equal to \mathbb{I} . Details are left to the reader.

¹³in fact, infinitely many

REFERENCES

- [Ac1] B. S. Acharya, *N = 1 Heterotic/M-theory Duality and Joyce Manifolds*, Nuclear Phys. B **475** (1996), 579–596 (hep-th/9603033).
- [Ac2] B. S. Acharya, *On mirror symmetry for manifolds of exceptional holonomy*, Nuclear Phys. B **524** (1998), 269–282 (hep-th/9707186).
- [BCG] R. Bryant, et al, *Exterior Differential Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [Be] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) Band 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1987.
- [Bo] S. Bochner, *Analytic mapping of compact Riemannian spaces into Euclidean space*, Duke Math. J. **3** (1937), 339–354.
- [Br1] R. Bryant, *Minimal Lagrangian submanifolds of Kähler-Einstein manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1255, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1985, pp. 1–12.
- [Br2] ———, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math. **126** (1987), 525–576.
- [Br3] ———, *Some examples of special Lagrangian tori*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **1** (1999), 83–90 (math.DG/9902076).
- [BSa] R. Bryant and S. Salamon, *On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Duke Math. J. **58** (1989), 829–850 (90i:53055).
- [BSH] R. Bryant and E. Sharpe, *D-Branes and Spin^c Structures*, Phys. Lett. B **450** (1999), 353–357 (hep-th/9812084).
- [CHSW] P. Candelas, Gary T. Horowitz, A. Strominger, and E. Witten, *Vacuum configurations for superstrings*, Nucl. Phys. B **258** (1985), 46–74.
- [DK] D. DeTurck and J. Kazdan, *Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry*, Ann. Sc. Éc. Norm. Sup. **14** (1981), 249–260.
- [FG] M. Fernandez and A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group G₂*, Ann. di Math. Pura ed Appl. **32** (1982), 19–45.
- [Gr] H. Grauert, *On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **68** (1958), 460–472.
- [HL] F. R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47–157.
- [Hi1] N. Hitchin, *The moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds* (dg-ga/9711002).
- [Hi2] N. Hitchin, *The moduli space of complex Lagrangian submanifolds* (dg-ga/9901069).
- [Jo] D. Joyce, *Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G₂: I & II*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), 291–328, 329–375.
- [Ko] M. Kobayashi, *A special Lagrangian 3-torus as a real slice*, Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1998, pp. 315–319.
- [Li] C.-H. Liu, *On the global structure of some natural fibrations of Joyce manifolds* (hep-th/9809007).
- [Mc] R. McLean, *Deformations of calibrated submanifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **6** (1998), 705–747.
- [MS] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Annals of Math Studies, no. 76, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1974.
- [PT] G. Papadopoulos and P.K. Townsend, *Compactification of D = 11 supergravity on spaces of exceptional holonomy*, Phys. Lett. B **357** (1995), 300–306 (hep-th/9506150).
- [Sa] S. Salamon, *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups* (Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, 201), Longman, New York, 1989.
- [Sh] K. Shiga, *Some aspects of real-analytic manifolds and differentiable manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **16** (1964), 128–142 (However, see the corrections in **17** (1965), 216–217).
- [SYZ] A. Strominger, S.T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror Symmetry is T-Duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **479** (1996), 243–259 (MR 97j:32022).
- [SV] S. Shatashvili and C. Vafa, *Superstrings and manifolds of exceptional holonomy*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **1** (1995), 347–381 (96k:81223; arXiv:hep-th/9407025).
- [Ya] S.T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equations. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339–411 (MR 81d:53045).