

DEFORMATIONS OF BATALIN-VILKOVISKY ALGEBRAS

OLGA KRAVCHENKO

Abstract

We show that a graded commutative algebra A with any square zero odd differential operator is a natural generalization of the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. While such an operator of order 2 defines a Lie algebra structure on A , an operator of an order higher than 2 (Koszul-Akmann definition) leads to the structure of a strongly homotopy Lie algebra (L_1 -algebra) on A . This allows us to give a definition of a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra up-to homotopy. We also make an important conjecture generalizing Kontsevich formality theorem to the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra level.

1. Introduction.

Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras are graded commutative algebras with an extra structure given by a second order differential operator of square 0.

The simplest example is the algebra of polyvector fields on a vector space \mathbb{R}^n : There is a second order square zero differential operator on this algebra. This operator comes from the de Rham differential on the algebra of differential forms by pairing forms to polyvector fields [W].

In this article, we consider the following generalization of the Batalin-Vilkovisky structure: we do not require that the operator is of the second order. However, the condition that this operator is a differential (of square 0) leads to the structure of L_1 algebra [HS, GK, LS] (also sometimes called a Lie algebra up-to homotopy or strong homotopy Lie algebras).

The notion of an algebra up-to homotopy is a very useful tool in proving certain deep theorems (like formality theorem of Kontsevich [K]).

The most important property of algebraic structures up-to homotopy is that all the higher homotopies die on homology groups of algebras up-to homotopy. Namely, let A be a P -up-to homotopy algebra, with a differential d ; then $H(A; d)$ is a P -algebra (where P here means an adjective: Lie, or associative, or commutative, or Poisson, or Gerstenhaber etc.).

We propose a definition of a commutative strong homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra.

Its noncommutative version leads to a generalized formality conjecture.

2. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras (BV-algebras).

We work in the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded algebras: $A = \bigoplus A_i$; with $\dim(A_i) < \infty$ for all i : We denote the degree of a homogeneous element a by $|a|$ (if $a \in A_1$, then $|a| = 1$).

Definition 1. A map $D: A \rightarrow A$ is of degree $|D| = j$ if $D: A_l \rightarrow A_{l+j}$ for each l . Degree of an element $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \in A^{\otimes k}$ is a sum of degrees $\sum_{j=1}^k |a_j|$.

Date: March 1999.

The research for this paper was carried out while the author was a postdoc of the European Postdoctoral Institut (IPDE).

Let $\cdot : A \times A \rightarrow A$ be a graded commutative product on A . Following Akm an, for any such map $D : A \rightarrow A$ we can inductively define the following multi-linear expressions (multi-braces) $F_D^k : A^k \rightarrow A$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_D^1(a) &= D a; \\ F_D^2(a_1; a_2) &= D(a_1; a_2) - (D a_1; a_2) - (-1)^{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} (a_1; D a_2); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_D^{n+1}(a_1; \dots; a_n; a_{n+1}) &= F_D^n(a_1; \dots; (a_n; a_{n+1})) \\ (1) \quad & (F_D^n a_1; \dots; a_{n-1}; a_n); a_{n+1}) \\ & (-1)^{\bar{a}_n \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \dots \bar{a}_{n-1} \bar{a}_n} (a_n; F_D^n(a_1; \dots; a_{n-1}; a_{n+1})); \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. (Akm an) A linear map $D : A \rightarrow A$ is a differential operator of order lower than $k+1$ if F_D^{k+1} ; defined above, is identically 0:

Definition 3. A Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra (BV-algebra for short) is a the data $(A; \cdot)$: a \mathbb{Z} -graded associative commutative algebra A , and a second order operator of degree -1 and of square 0.

Definition 4. A Gerstenhaber algebra is a graded space A with a graded associative commutative product $(a \cdot b) = a \cdot b$ of degree 1 and a graded Lie bracket $(a \cdot b) = [a; b]$ of degree 0, such that

$$[a; b \cdot c] = [a; b] \cdot c + (-1)^{\bar{a} \bar{b}} [a; c] \cdot b;$$

that is the Lie adjoint action is an odd derivation with respect to the product.

Fact 5. Any BV-algebra $(A; \cdot)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra with the Lie bracket given by F^2 :

$$(2) \quad [a_1; a_2] = (-1)^{\bar{a}_1} F^2(a_1; a_2) = (-1)^{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} (a_1; a_2) - (a_1; a_2) - (-1)^{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} (a_1; a_2);$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in A$:

A Gerstenhaber algebra which is also a BV-algebra is called "exact" [KS], since the bracket is given by a coboundary.

Remark 1. In the language of operads one can give another definition of a Gerstenhaber algebra. A Gerstenhaber algebra is an algebra over the braid operad $[G]$. Then BV-algebras are algebras over a cyclic braid operad $[GK]$. In other words a Gerstenhaber algebra structure comes from a BV-operator if the corresponding operad is cyclic.

3. L_1 -algebras.

The multi-braces (brackets) given by the recursive formulas (1) have interesting relations. To describe them we need the notion of an L_1 -algebra. Here we follow an exposition by Penkava [P]. We view L_1 -algebra as the structure of a codifferential on the exterior coalgebra of a vector space. Incidentally, this is a generalization of the point of view on graded Lie algebras taken in [R].

Precise definitions are in order. Let V be a graded vector space. Define the exterior coalgebra structure on V by giving $\Delta : V \rightarrow V \otimes V$; the natural coproduct on the exterior algebra:

(3)

$$(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) \Delta = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{Sh(k;n-k)} (-1)^{\sigma} (v_{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(k)} \otimes v_{(k+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(n)})$$

where $Sh(k;n-k)$ are unshuffles of type $(k;n-k)$, that is the subset of permutations of n elements such that $(i) < (i+1)$ when $i \notin k$. The sign of each summands defined by $(-1)^{\sigma}$ is determined by the requirement that

$$(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) \Delta = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{Sh(k;n-k)} (-1)^{\sigma} (v_{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(k)} \otimes v_{(k+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(n)})$$

where $(-1)^{\sigma}$ is a sign of permutation σ .

Definition 6. An L_1 -algebra structure on a graded vector space V is a coderivational Q on V , that is a map $Q : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$Q \text{ is a coderivation: } Q(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\sigma_i} v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Q(v_i) \wedge \dots \wedge v_n;$$

$$Q \text{ is a homological vector field: } Q^2 = 0;$$

The notion of a homological vector field appears in [V], where the Gerstenhaber structure on the exterior algebra of an algebroid is described. A.S. Schwarz calls algebras with a homological vector field Q manifolds ([Sch]).

A coderivation Q_k is said to be of order k if it is defined by its action $Q_k : {}^k V \rightarrow V$. Then the coderivation property provides the action of Q_k on ${}^n V$ for any n :

$$Q_k : {}^n V \rightarrow {}^{n-k+1} V \text{ for } n \geq k; \text{ and } Q_k : {}^n V \rightarrow 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

This way we can consider sums of coderivations of various degrees and define

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{Sh(k;n-k)} (-1)^{\sigma} Q_k(v_{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(k)} \wedge v_{(k+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(n)})
 \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_k : {}^k V \rightarrow V$ and $Q = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k$. Then we can rewrite $Q^2 = 0$ as a series of equations for each n :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{Sh(k;n-k)} (-1)^{\sigma} Q_{n-k+1} Q_k(v_{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(k)} \wedge v_{(k+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(n)}) = 0;$$

4. Deformations of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras.

The multi-braces (1) are skew symmetric if the product is graded commutative. Hence they can be restricted to the exterior powers of A :

$$F_D^k : {}^k A \rightarrow A;$$

Then we make another step and consider the multi-braces (1) extended to be coderivations. We are going to show that their sum is a homological vector field.

We need just another notion related to the degree:

Definition 7. A map D on a graded space $A = \sum_i A_i$; $D : A \rightarrow A$; is called odd if $D : A_i \rightarrow \sum_k A_{i+2k+1}$; $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each i .

Lemma 1.¹ Consider an odd operator D on a graded commutative algebra $(A; \cdot)$. Then $D^2 = 0$ if and only if the sum of multi-braces $Q_D = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_D^n$ is an L_1 -algebra.

Proof. The statement means that $\sum_{k+l=n+1} F_D^k F_D^l = 0$ for each $n \geq 1$:

The "if" direction is obvious — it is the first equation in the series of equations above: $n = k = l = 1$. The proof of the "only if" part is a tedious calculation.

For a graded commutative algebra A Kuran's definition of the braces (1) coincides with the definition of Koszul [Ko], which we are going to reformulate in the following terms. Define the following product on the exterior algebra $\wedge^* A \cong \wedge^* A$ by the same formula as on A : $(a_1 \wedge a_2) = a_1 \lrcorner a_2$, also we can extend it to any exterior power $(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) = a_1 \lrcorner \dots \lrcorner_n a_n$. Then we can define a coproduct as a map $\wedge^* A \rightarrow \wedge^* A$:

$$(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-k}} (a_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{i_{n-k}}) \lrcorner (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_k) \lrcorner (a_{i_{n-k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge a_{i_n})$$

The Koszul definition of multi-braces is the following:

$$F_D^n(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) = (D \lrcorner 1)(a_1 \lrcorner 1 \lrcorner 1 \lrcorner a_1) \lrcorner \dots \lrcorner_n (a_1 \lrcorner 1 \lrcorner a_n):$$

It can be reformulated as

$$(4) \quad F_D^n(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) = (D \lrcorner 1)(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n):$$

Then the lemma states:

$$(D \lrcorner 1)(D \lrcorner 1) \lrcorner 1 = 0$$

i. $D^2 = 0$:

Carefully looking at this equation we see that we have summands with D^2 and any other summand is present twice with the opposite signs thanks to the fact that the operator D is odd. \square

Notice that the brackets F_D^n form the Lie algebra structure up to homotopy with respect to the operator D (not D_1). That is the bracket F_D^2 gives a Lie algebra structure on the cohomology of A with respect to the operator D :

Remark 2. Differential BV-algebra. If the operator D is of order n we see that the highest homotopy is given by the n -th brace.

In particular, the second bracket

$$F_D^2(a; b) = D(ab) - Dab - (-1)^{j_1 j_2} a Db$$

gives a classical BV-bracket for the case when $D_n = 0$ for $n \geq 3$. Then the operator D is of order 2, that is $D = D_1 + D_2$: Such a D describes the case of a differential BV-algebra which was the starting point of Barannikov-Kontsevich [BK], see also [M].

On the other hand, starting from a differential algebra $(A; \cdot; d)$ with additional second order differential operator one can define a generalized BV-algebra by adding to d operators of higher order, requiring that their sum

$$D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + \dots$$

is of square 0; where $D_1 = d$; $D_2 = \cdot$:

¹ While finishing this article, I learned about the paper [BDA] which contains a result similar to this lemma. However, the aim and the language of [BDA] are somewhat different.

Comparing with the differential BV algebra we see, that there are still two differentials on the deformed algebra $|D$ and D_1 (the fact that $D_1^2 = 0$ of course follows from $D^2 = 0$)

Remark 3. Order and degree. There is a filtration on the algebra of differential operators defined by the operator's order. For the operator D however we would like to obtain an unambiguous splitting $D = \sum_{n=1} D_n$; where D_n are operators of n -th order. All we know is that the first D_1 is killed by all F_D^n for $n > 1$. Then once D_1 is killed, D_2 is killed by F^n ; $n > 2$; but not F^2 and so on. Grading comes from the degree.

D acts on a graded algebra, so D is a sum of operators of different degrees. It turns out that degree and order are in correspondence. It is natural to ask that the classical BV structure is a particular case of the generalized structure. Hence, we may start with the requirement that D_1 is of order 1 and of degree +1, and D_2 is of order 2 and degree -1. This defines the grading: the operator D is unambiguously represented as a sum of operators of homogeneous order.

Lemma 2. Consider an operator $D : A \rightarrow A$; such that $D^2 = 0$ and given by a sum of an operator of order 1 and of degree +1; $D_1 : A \rightarrow A_{+1}$ with higher order operators. Then D can be represented as a sum

$$D = \sum_n D_n$$

where each D_n is an operator of order n and degree $3 - 2n$; that is $D_n : A \rightarrow A_{+3-2n}$:

This follows from the condition $D^2 = 0$: of course we also can weight each operator of a certain degree by some \sim in the corresponding degree.

The following lemma should prove to be useful in generalizing the paper [BK].

Lemma 3. An operator $D = \sum^n D_n$, such that $D^2 = 0$ on the algebra $(A; \cdot)$ is a derivation of the bracket $[a; b] = F_D^2(a; b)$, but not of the product \cdot ; while D_1 is a derivation of the product but not of the bracket.

The second bracket $F_D^2 = [D; \cdot]$ gives a derivation of the product up-to the third bracket F_D^3 (by definition), so it gives Leibniz identity up-to an extra bracket.

Remark 4. Generalization to Leibniz algebras ([Li]). If we start with a non-commutative associative algebra structure, the multi-braces F_D^n (1) still make sense for a differential operator D . However there is no antisymmetry condition anymore, so the homotopy structure we get from $D^2 = 0$ is not L_1 . Instead, one gets "Leibniz₁" algebra, homotopy version of a Leibniz algebra (see [Li]). This is probably a reasonable generalization of the structure for which the vertex operator algebras would be an example.

5. Commutative BV₁ algebra.

We are proposing now a definition of a strong homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. Here we will restrict ourselves to the case of commutative algebras (the most general definition will be given elsewhere).

Definition 8. A triple $(A; d; D)$ is a commutative strong homotopy Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra when

A is a graded commutative algebra,

$d : A \rightarrow A$ is a degree 1 differential of the algebra A ;
 $D : A \rightarrow A$ is an odd square zero differential operator, such that the degree of $D \circ d$ is negative.

There are various ways to define a strong homotopy BV-algebra (BV-algebra). In our definition the commutative structure is preserved. One can imagine deforming the commutative structure as well (we mentioned one of the generalizations, the one letting the Loday-algebras.) However, all definitions should lead to the following property: a BV-algebra up-to homotopy should have a BV-algebra structure on its cohomology. Indeed in our case:

Theorem 4. The cohomology $H(A; d)$ of the algebra $(A; d; D)$ is a BV-algebra.

Proof. Consider the condition $D^2 = 0$: Since the degree of $D \circ d$ is negative, it means that D is a sum of d ; the derivation of degree +1; and negative degree operators: $D_2 + D_3 + \dots$ (Lemma 2). From the fact that $D^2 = 0$ follows that $dD_2 + D_2d = 0$; that is D_2 acts on the cohomology $H(A; d)$: Moreover, $D_2^2 = dD_3 + D_3d$; which means that on the cohomology $H(A; d)$ $D_2^2 = 0$: Since D_2 is a second order operator, it defines a structure of a BV-algebra on the cohomology $H(A; d)$: \square

6. Possible applications.

My original aim in considering deformations of BV-algebras was extending Kontsevich formality theorem [K] to the quasi-isomorphism of BV-algebras up-to homotopy.

Kontsevich formality theorem says that two differential graded Lie algebras defined on any manifold M : algebra of local Hochschild cochains D and algebra of polyvector fields T are quasi-isomorphic as L_∞ -algebras.

Denote A the algebra of functions on M (say, smooth $A = C^\infty(M)$), with a point-wise commutative product. D can be thought of also as an algebra of local polydifferential operators on M : $D = \bigoplus_k D^k$; $D^k = \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}(A^{k+1}; A)$; and T is an algebra of polyvector fields on M : $T = \bigoplus_k T^k$; $T^k = \bigwedge^{k+1} TM$, both with the degree shifted by 1:

Then there are the following corresponding structures on these two algebras:

Graded space	Polyvector fields $T = \bigoplus_k T^k = \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^{k+1} TM$	Polydifferential Operators $D = \bigoplus_k D^k = \bigoplus_k \text{Hom}(A^{k+1}; A)$
Differential	$d = 0$	Hochschild B : $D \rightarrow D^{+1}$
Lie bracket	Schouten-Nijenhuis	Gerstenhaber
Product	\wedge exterior product	$[\]$ cup product
BV-operator	D	??

One can check that T is in fact a Gerstenhaber algebra (Definition 4) while D is a Gerstenhaber algebra up-to homotopy in the following sense. The $[\]$ -product on D is commutative only up-to homotopy (the Lie adjoint action is still an odd derivation with respect to the product).

Recently Dimak and Tamarkin [T] lifted Kontsevich's theorem of formality to the level of Gerstenhaber algebras. Namely, algebra of polydifferential operators is Gerstenhaber:

the algebra of polydifferential operators and the algebra of polyvector fields are quasi-isomorphic as G_1 -algebras (Gerstenhaber algebras up-to homotopy).

However we want even more. It would be nice to see how one can lift the formality of L_1 -algebras to the formality of BV_1 -algebras.

If the first Chern class of a manifold M is 0, then the algebra of polyvector fields on M is a BV -algebra. There is a one-to-one correspondence between BV -structures on a manifold M and flat connections on the determinant bundle (bundle of polyvector fields in the top degree: $\text{top } T^*M$). Such a structure on real manifolds was studied in many papers: [Ko, Xu, H, W], on Calabi-Yau manifolds one can refer to ([Sch, BK]). We conjecture that in these cases there should be some BV_1 -structure leading to the Gerstenhaber bracket on polydifferential operators.

Conjecture 1. There is a structure of a BV_1 -algebra on the space of local polydifferential operators on a manifold with a zero first Chern class.

Conjecture 2. The BV_1 -algebra of local polydifferential operators on a manifold is formal: it is quasi-isomorphic as a BV_1 -algebra to its cohomology, the BV -algebra of polyvector fields.

For this conjecture we will need a more general definition than definition 8, since the cup product on the algebra of polydifferential operators is commutative only up-to homotopy. This generalization should not pose a problem (compare with [A]).

From the conjecture would follow the Maurer-Cartan equations (MC-equations) for the BV -operator on both of the algebras. Moreover, the quasi-isomorphism of BV_1 -algebras maps solutions of MC-equation on one algebra to solutions of MC-equation on the other algebra.

Then we know from [BK] that formal moduli space of solutions to the MC-equation modulo gauge invariance on polyvector fields on a Calabi-Yau manifold carry a natural structure of the Frobenius manifold. If this quasi-isomorphism of BV -structures up-to homotopy exists it would define the Frobenius manifold structure on the solutions of MC-equation on polydifferential operators.

Another interesting example where we could expect to find the generalised BV -structures is vertex operator algebras. There is a structure of the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on the cohomology of vertex operator algebras (Lian and Zuckerman [LZ], and Penkava and Schwarz [PS]). Natural question to ask what is the initial structure on the vertex operator algebras. This shows the need of a suitable definition of a BV_1 -structure. Besides it should fit into the general picture outlined by Stasheff [S].

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Paul Bressler, Ale Frabetti, Ezra Getzler, Johannes Huebschmann, Maxim Kontsevich, Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Jean-Louis Loday, Dominique Manchon, A.S. Schwarz, Boris Tsygan, Sasha Voronov and Simon Willerton for inspiring discussions and to the organizers of the Warsaw conference for the hospitality.

References

- [A] F. Akmann, On Some Generalizations of Batalin-Vilkovisky Algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 120 (1997), no. 2, 105-141
- [BK] S. Barannikov and M. Kontsevich, Frobenius Manifolds and Formality of Lie Algebras of Polyvector Fields, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1998, no. 4, 201-215.
- [BDA] K. Bering, P.H. Damgaard and J. Alfaro, A Algebra of Higher Antibrackets, *Nucl. Phys. B* 478 (1996) 459-504

- [G] E. Getzler, Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 159 (1994), no. 2, 265{285.
- [GK] E. Getzler and M. Kapranov, Cyclic operads and cyclic homology, in "Geometry, topology, and physics," International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 167{201.
- [HS] V. Hinich and V. Schechtman Homotopy Lie algebras, in I. M. Gelfand Seminar, 1{28, *Adv. Soviet Math.*, 16, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [H] J. Huebschmann, Lie-Rinehart algebras, Gerstenhaber algebras, and BV algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 48 (1998), no. 2, 425{440.
- [K] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I, preprint, *q-alg/9709040*.
- [KS] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids, *Acta Applic. Math.* 41 (1995) 153{165.
- [Ko] J.-L. Koszul, Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie, The mathematical heritage of Lie Cartan, (Lyon, 1984). *Astisque* 1985, Numéro Hors Serie, 257{271.
- [LS] T. Lada and J. Stashe Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 32 (1993) 1087{1104
- [LZ] B. H. Lian and G. J. Zuckerman, New perspectives on the BRST-algebraic structure of string theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 154 (1993), 613{646.
- [Li] M. Livernet, Homologie des algèbres de matrices d'une algèbre associative homotopie prs, Preprint.
- [L] J.-L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz. *Enseign. Math.* (2) 39 (1993), no. 3{4, 269{293.
- [M] Yu. Manin, Three constructions of Frobenius manifolds: a comparative study, preprint, *math.QA/9801006*
- [P] M. Penkava, L_1 algebras and their cohomology, preprint, *q-alg/9512014*.
- [PS] M. Penkava and A. Schwarz, On some algebraic structures arising in string theory. Perspectives in mathematical physics, 219{227, *Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Math. Phys.*, III, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [R] C. Roger, Algèbres de Lie gradées et quantification, *Symplectic Geometry and Mathematical Physics*, Progress in Maths (Birkhauser) no.99, 1991, 374{421.
- [Sch] V. Schechtman, Remarks on formal deformations and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras, preprint, *math/9802006*.
- [S] J. Stashe , The (secret?) homological algebra of the Batalin-Vilkovisky approach, preprint, *hep-th/9712157*, to appear in Proceedings of the Conference Secondary Calculus and Cohomological Physics, Moscow, August 24{31, 1997
- [Schw] A. Schwarz, Geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 155 (1993), no. 2, 249{260.
- [T] D. Tamarkin, Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem, preprint, *math/9803025*.
- [V] A. Vaintrob, Lie algebroids and homological fields, *Russian Math. Surveys* 52 (1997), no.2.
- [W] E. Witten, A note on antibracket formalism, *Mod. Phys. Let., A* 5, 487{494.
- [Xu] P. Xu, Gerstenhaber algebras and BV algebras in Poisson geometry, preprint, *dg-ga/9703001*.

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée (UM R 7501), CNRS et Université Louis Pasteur, 7 rue Ren-Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France

E-mail address: ok@alum.mit.edu