

Embeddings of Banach Spaces into Banach Lattices and the Gordon-Lewis Property

P.G. Casazza*

N.J. Nielsen†

Abstract

In this paper we first show that if X is a Banach space and α is a left invariant crossnorm on $\ell_\infty \otimes X$, then there is a Banach lattice L and an isometric embedding J of X into L , so that $I \otimes J$ becomes an isometry of $\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha X$ onto $\ell_\infty \otimes_m J(X)$. Here I denotes the identity operator on ℓ_∞ and $\ell_\infty \otimes_m J(X)$ the canonical lattice tensor product. This result is originally due to G. Pisier (unpublished), but our proof is different. We then use this to characterize the Gordon-Lewis property GL in terms of embeddings into Banach lattices. Also other structures related to the GL are investigated.

Introduction

In this paper we investigate embeddings of Banach spaces into Banach lattices, which preserve a certain tensorial structure given a priori. This is then used to characterize the Gordon-Lewis property GL and related structures in Banach spaces.

Our basic result states that if X is a Banach space and α is a left tensorial crossnorm on $\ell_\infty \otimes X$ (see Section 0 for the definition), then there exist a Banach lattice L and an isometric embedding J of X into L so that $I \otimes J$ becomes an isometric embedding of $\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha X$ onto $\ell_\infty \otimes_m J(X)$. Here I denotes the identity operator on ℓ_∞ and $\ell_\infty \otimes_m X$ the canonical lattice tensor product. This result was originally proved by Pisier [19] (unpublished), but our construction of the Banach lattice L is quite different from his. It is a modification of a construction given by the

*Supported by SNF grant DMS 970618

†Supported in part by the Danish Natural Science Research Council, grants 9503296 and 9600673

second named author and presented at a conference in Columbia, Missouri in 1994 and is based on our Theorem 1.5 below.

This result is then used to prove that a Banach space X has GL_2 if and only if it embeds into a Banach lattice L , so that every absolutely summing operator from X to a Hilbert space extends to an absolutely summing operator defined on L . In a similar manner we prove that X has the general GL -property if and only if it embeds into a Banach lattice L , so that every absolutely summing operator from X to an arbitrary Banach space Y extends to a cone-summing operator from L to Y . Some related structures in Banach spaces, e.g. the Gaussian average property defined in [1], are also characterized in terms of embeddings into Banach lattices.

In Section 1 of the paper we investigate left tensorial crossnorms and prove the main result mentioned above. Section 2 is devoted to the characterizations of the GL -property, while Section 3 contains some further applications to GL -subspaces of Banach lattices of finite cotype.

Let us finally mention that L.B. McClaran [17] has used Pisier's result to characterize subspaces of quotients of Banach lattices.

0 Notation and Preliminaries

In this paper we shall use the notation and terminology commonly used in Banach space theory as it appears in [13], [14] and [24]. B_X shall always denote the closed unit ball of the Banach space X .

If X and Y are Banach spaces, $B(X, Y)$ ($B(X) = B(X, X)$) denotes the space of bounded linear operators from X to Y and throughout the paper we shall identify $X \otimes Y$ with the space of ω^* -continuous finite rank operators from X^* to Y in the canonical manner. Further, if $1 \leq p < \infty$ we let $\Pi_p(X, Y)$ denote the space of p -summing operators from X to Y equipped with the p -summing norm π_p ; $I_p(X, Y)$ denotes the space of all p -integral operators from X to Y equipped with the p -integral norm i_p and $N_p(X, Y)$ denotes the space of all p -nuclear operators from X to Y equipped with the p -nuclear norm ν_p . $X \otimes_\pi Y$ denotes the completion of $X \otimes Y$ under the largest tensor norm π on $X \otimes Y$.

We recall that if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then an operator $T \in B(X, Y)$ is said to factor through L_p if it admits a factorization $T = BA$, where $A \in B(X, L_p(\mu))$ and $B \in B(L_p(\mu), Y)$ for some measure μ and we denote the space of all operators which factor through L_p by $\Gamma_p(X, Y)$. If

$T \in \Gamma_p(X, Y)$ then we define

$$\gamma_p(T) = \inf\{\|A\| \|B\| \mid T = BA, A \text{ and } B \text{ as above}\};$$

γ_p is a norm on $\Gamma_p(X, Y)$ turning it into a Banach space. All these spaces are operator ideals and we refer to the above mentioned books and [9], [11] and [21] for further details. To avoid misunderstanding we stress that in this paper a p -integral operator T from X to Y has a p -integral factorization ending in Y with $i_p(T)$ defined accordingly; in some books this is referred to as a strictly p -integral operator.

If (\mathcal{A}, α) is an operator ideal, we let $\mathcal{A}^f(X, Y)$ denote the closure of $X^* \otimes Y$ under the norm α .

In the formulas in this paper we shall, as is customary, interpret π_∞ as the operator norm and i_∞ as the γ_∞ -norm.

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \in B(\ell_2^n, X)$ then, following [24], we define the ℓ -norm of T by

$$\ell(T) = \left(\int_{\ell_2^n} \|Tx\|^2 d\gamma(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where γ is the canonical Gaussian probability measure on ℓ_2^n .

We let (g_n) denote a sequence of independent standard Gaussian variables on a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \sigma)$; it is readily verified that if $T \in B(\ell_2^n, X)$ and (ξ_j) denotes the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 then

$$\ell(T) = \left(\int \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(t) T \xi_j \right\|^2 d\sigma(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

A Banach space X is said to have the Gordon-Lewis property (abbreviated GL) [4], if every absolutely summing operator from X to an arbitrary Banach space Y factors through L_1 . It is readily verified that X has GL if and only if there is a constant K so that $\gamma_1(T) \leq K\pi_1(T)$ for every Banach space Y and every $T \in X^* \otimes Y$. In that case $\text{GL}(X)$ denotes the smallest constant K with this property.

We shall say that X has GL_2 if it has the above property with $Y = \ell_2$ and we define the constant $\text{GL}_2(X)$ correspondingly. An easy trace duality argument yields that GL and GL_2 are self dual properties and that $\text{GL}(X) = \text{GL}(X^*)$, $\text{GL}_2(X) = \text{GL}_2(X^*)$ when applicable. It is

known that every Banach space with local unconditional structure has GL. For generalizations of GL, see [3].

A Banach space X is said to have the Gaussian Average property (abbreviated GAP) [1] if there is a constant K so that $\ell(T) \leq K\pi_1(T^*)$ for every $T \in \ell_2^n \otimes X$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The smallest constant K with this property is denoted $\text{gap}(X)$.

A deep result of Pisier [20] states that a Banach space is K -convex if and only if it is of type larger than 1. In this paper we shall use this as the definition of K -convexity.

We shall also need some notation on operators with ranges in a Banach lattice. Recall that if Y is a Banach space and L is a Banach lattice then an operator $T \in B(Y, L)$ is called order bounded (see e.g. [23], [18] and [5]), if there exists a $z \in L, z \geq 0$ so that

$$|Tx| \leq \|x\|z \quad \text{for all } x \in Y \quad (0.1)$$

and the order bounded norm $\|T\|_m$ is defined by

$$\|T\|_m = \inf\{\|z\| \mid z \text{ can be used in (0.1)}\}. \quad (0.2)$$

It follows from [10] and [14] that if $T = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^* \otimes x_j \in Y^* \otimes L$ then

$$\|T\|_m = \left\| \sup\left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^*(y)x_j \right| \mid \|y\| \leq 1 \right\} \right\| = \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m x_j y_j^* \right\|_{Y^*} \right\|_L$$

where the last equality is the definition of the 1-homogeneous expression on the right.

We let $\mathcal{B}(Y, L)$ denote the space of all order bounded operators from Y to L equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_m$; it is readily seen to be a Banach space and a left ideal.

If X is a subspace of the Banach lattice L , then we let $Y \otimes_m X$ denote the closure of $Y \otimes X$ in $\mathcal{B}(Y^*, L)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_m$. Note that $Y \otimes_m X$ depends on how X is embedded into L .

The next definition generalizes the concept of convexity and concavity in Banach lattices.

Definition 0.1 *Let X be a subspace of a Banach lattice L and $1 \leq p < \infty$. X is called p -convex in L (respectively p -concave in L) if there is a constant $K \geq 1$ so that for all finite sets $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq K \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (0.3)$$

(respectively

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|. \quad (0.4)$$

The smallest constant K , which can be used in (0.3) (respectively (0.4)) is denoted by $K^p(X, L)$ (respectively $K_p(X, L)$). We put $K^p(L) = K^p(L, L)$ and $K_p(L) = K_p(L, L)$. Note that the inequalities (0.3) and (0.4) depend on the embedding of X into L .

It follows from [18] that if Y is a Banach space, X is a subspace of a Banach lattice L and $T \in B(Y, X)$ with $T^* \in \Pi_1^f(X^*, Y^*)$ then $T \in Y^* \otimes_m X$ with $\|T\|_m \leq \pi_1(T^*)$. The next theorem, which we shall use often in the sequel generalizes this result (it also generalizes [5, Theorem 1.3] with an easier proof). Before we can state it we need a little notation and a lemma.

Let $(\Delta, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X and L as above and $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $f \in L_p(\mu, X)$ is a simple function, say $f = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{A_j} x_j$, where $(x_j)_{j=1}^n \subseteq X$ and $(A_j)_{j=1}^n$ is a set of mutually disjoint measurable sets then we put

$$\left(\int |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j) |x_j|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The next lemma can be proved exactly as [5, Proposition 1.2].

Lemma 0.2 *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, X a p -convex subspace of a Banach lattice L and $f \in L_p(\mu, X)$. If $(s_n) \subseteq L_p(\mu, X)$ is a sequence of simple functions with $\int \|f - s_n\|^p d\mu \rightarrow 0$ then $(\int |s_n|^p d\mu)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ converges in X to a limit, which only depends on f and p . This limit is denoted by $(\int |f|^p d\mu)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and satisfies the inequalities:*

$$\left\| \left(\int |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq K^p(X, L) \left(\int \|f\|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (0.5)$$

$$\left(\int |x^*(f)|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq |x^*| \left(\int |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{for all } x^* \in L^*. \quad (0.6)$$

We can now state

Theorem 0.3 *Let X be a p -convex subspace of a Banach lattice L , $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let Y be a Banach space. Then:*

(i)

$$\|T\|_m \leq K^p(X, L)\pi_p(T^*) \quad \text{for all } T \in Y^* \otimes X. \quad (0.7)$$

(ii) If $T \in B(Y, X)$ with $T^* \in \Pi_p(X^*, Y^*)$ then $T \in \mathcal{B}(Y, L^{**})$ with $\|T\|_m \leq K^p(X, L)\pi_p(T^*)$.

Proof: To prove (i) we let $T \in Y^* \otimes X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By [3, Lemma 1.8] there is a finite dimensional subspace F of X containing $T(Y)$ so that if T_F denotes T considered as an operator from Y to F then $\pi_p(T_F^*) \leq \pi_p(T^*) + \varepsilon$.

By the Pietsch factorization theorem [13] there exists a probability measure μ on the unit ball B_F of F so that

$$\|T_F^* x^*\| \leq \pi_p(T_F^*) \left(\int_{B_F} |x^*(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (0.8)$$

For every $y \in Y$ with $\|y\| \leq 1$ and every $x^* \in L^*$, $x^* \geq 0$ we now get from (0.8) and Lemma 0.2:

$$|x^*(Ty)| \leq \pi_p(T_F^*) \left(\int_{B_F} |x^*(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \pi_p(T_F^*) x^* \left(\int_{B_F} |x|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (0.9)$$

which immediately gives

$$|Ty| \leq \pi_p(T_F^*) \left(\int_{B_F} |x|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{for all } y \in B_Y. \quad (0.10)$$

Hence

$$\|T\|_m \leq \pi_p(T_F^*) \left\| \left(\int_{B_F} |x|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \leq K^p(X, L)(\pi_p(T^*) + \varepsilon) \quad (0.11)$$

which gives (0.7), since ε was arbitrary.

(ii) can be proved in a similar manner. Noting that X^{**} is p -convex in L^{**} with $K^p(X, L) = K^p(X^{**}, L^{**})$ we get a measure μ on $B_{X^{**}}$, so that

$$|Ty| \leq \pi_p(T^*) \left(\int_{B_{X^{**}}} |x^{**}|^p d\mu(x^{**}) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where the right hand side represents an element in L^{**} . □

1 Tensor Products and Embeddings of a Given Banach Space Into a Banach Lattice

In this section we shall prove that every Banach space can be embedded into a Banach lattice preserving a certain tensorial structure given a priori. This result is based on an unpublished idea of Pisier [19], but our construction is different and is in nature similar to a result of Ruan [22] on operator spaces.

If Y and X are Banach spaces and α is a cross norm on $Y \otimes X$ then we let $Y \otimes_\alpha X$ denote the completion of $Y \otimes X$ under the norm α .

If $E \subseteq Y$ and $F \subseteq X$ we can let α act on $E \otimes F$ by considering it as a subspace of $Y \otimes X$ in the canonical manner and define $E \otimes_\alpha F$ accordingly. Note however that in general the outcome depends on how E , respectively F , are embedded into Y , respectively X .

We make the following definition

Definition 1.1 *A crossnorm α on $Y \otimes X$ is called left tensorial if for all $T \in B(Y)$ $T \otimes I_X \in B(Y \otimes X)$ with $\|T \otimes I_X\| \leq \|T\|$, where I_X denotes the identity operator on X .*

Remark: Note that the m -norm defined in section 0 is left tensorial.

To obtain the main result of this section we shall be concerned with left tensorial norms on $c_0 \otimes X$ (or rather on $\ell_\infty^n \otimes X$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$). For technical reasons we wish to have our left tensorial norms defined on $\ell_\infty \otimes X$ and hence need a few prerequisites. In passing we note that it is fairly easy to see that if a norm α on $c_0 \otimes X$ satisfies the operator inequality in Definition 1.1 then it is a cross norm up to a constant and hence left tensorial up to a constant.

In the rest of this section we let (e_j) denote the unit vector basis of c_0 with biorthogonal $(e_j^*) \subseteq \ell_1$; for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ S_∞^n denotes the unit sphere of ℓ_∞^n .

We need the following

Proposition 1.2 *Let X be a Banach space and α a left tensorial norm on $c_0 \otimes X$. If $u \in c_0 \otimes_\alpha X$, then there is a unique sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ so that*

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \otimes x_n \tag{1.1}$$

and so that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes x_j \right) \leq \alpha(u). \quad (1.2)$$

Proof: Let P_n denote the natural projection of c_0 onto $[e_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and put $P_0 = 0$. By the left tensoriality of α $P_n \otimes I_X$ is a bounded operator on $c_0 \otimes X$ with $\|P_n \otimes I_X\| = 1$ so it admits an extension $Q_n: c_0 \otimes_\alpha X \rightarrow [e_j]_{j=1}^n \otimes X$ with $\|Q_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note also that $\|Q_n - Q_{n-1}\| \leq 1$. Let $u \in c_0 \otimes_\alpha X$ and put for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$e_n \otimes x_n = (Q_n - Q_{n-1})(u). \quad (1.3)$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$Q_n u = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes x_j, \quad (1.4)$$

from which (1.2) follows.

Clearly $Q_n u \rightarrow u$ for all $u \in \text{span}\{e_j\} \otimes X$ and since $\|Q_n\| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an easy density argument gives that $Q_n u \rightarrow u$ for all $u \in c_0 \otimes_\alpha X$ as well; this together with (1.4) gives (1.1). \square

From this result we obtain:

Proposition 1.3 *Let X be a Banach space and α a left tensorial norm on $c_0 \otimes_\alpha X$. There exists a uniquely determined left tensorial norm $\tilde{\alpha}$ on $\ell_\infty \otimes X$ so that $\tilde{\alpha}|_{c_0 \otimes X} = \alpha$. Here c_0 is considered as a subspace of ℓ_∞ in the canonical manner.*

Proof: We consider the Banach space $(c_0 \otimes_\alpha X)^{**}$ with its canonical norm α^{**} and the idea is to identify $\ell_\infty \otimes X$ with a canonical subspace of $(c_0 \otimes_\alpha X)^{**}$ and then put $\tilde{\alpha}$ equal to the restriction of α^{**} to that subspace.

It is readily verified that $(c_0 \otimes_\alpha X)^*$ can be identified with the space $\ell_1 \otimes_{\alpha^*} X^*$ consisting of all sequences $(x_n^*) \subseteq X^*$ (written as $\sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n^* \otimes x_n^*$) so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n^*(x_n)| < \infty \quad \text{for all } \sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n \otimes x_n \in c_0 \otimes_\alpha X \quad (1.5)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\alpha^* \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^* \otimes x_n^* \right) = \sup \{ \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^*(x_n) \right| \mid \alpha \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n \otimes x_n \right) \leq 1 \}. \quad (1.6)$$

Note that in particular we get for all $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^* \otimes x_n^* \in \ell_1 \otimes_{\alpha^*} X^*$ and all $x \in X$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n^*(x)| \leq \alpha^* \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^* \otimes x_n^* \right) \|x\| \quad (1.7)$$

and if $(\lambda_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$ with $|\lambda_n| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^* \otimes \lambda_n x_n^* \in \ell_1 \otimes_{\alpha^*} X^*$ with

$$\alpha^* \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^* \otimes \lambda_n x_n^* \right) = \alpha^* \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n^* \otimes x_n^* \right). \quad (1.8)$$

If $\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \in \ell_{\infty} \otimes X$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i^* \otimes x_i^* \in \ell_1 \otimes_{\alpha^*} X^*$ then by (1.7) and (1.8)

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} | \langle h_j, e_i^* \rangle | |x_i^*(x_j)| \leq \alpha^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i^* \otimes x_i^* \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\| \quad (1.9)$$

and hence we can let $\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j$ act as an element of $(c_0 \otimes_{\alpha} X)^*$ by the formula

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i^* \otimes x_i^* \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle h_j, e_i^* \rangle \langle x_i^*, x_j \rangle \quad \text{for all } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i^* \otimes x_i^* \in \ell_1 \otimes_{\alpha} X \quad (1.10)$$

and we put $\tilde{\alpha}(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j)$ equal to the norm of that functional. Clearly $\tilde{\alpha}|_{c_0 \otimes_{\alpha} X} = \alpha$.

In order to prove that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is left tensorial we first note that if $S \in B(c_0)$ then $(S \otimes I_X)^{**} = S^{**} \otimes I_X$ with $\|S^{**} \otimes I_X\| = \|S \otimes I_X\| = \|S\|$. If $T \in B(\ell_{\infty})$ is arbitrary then since ℓ_{∞} has the metric approximation property, it follows from the local reflexivity principle [6], [12] that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a net (S_t) of bounded operators on c_0 with $\|S_t\| \leq \|T\| + \varepsilon$ for all t so that

$$\lim_t \langle S_t^{**} h, f \rangle = \langle Th, f \rangle \quad \text{for all } h \in \ell_{\infty} \text{ and all } f \in \ell_1. \quad (1.11)$$

For every $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i^* \otimes x_i^* \in \ell_1 \otimes_{\alpha^*} X^*$ we now get:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_t \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n S_t^{**} h_j \otimes x_j, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i^* \otimes x_i^* \right\rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_t \langle S_t^{**} h_j, e_i^* \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n (Th_j) \otimes x_j, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i^* \otimes x_i^* \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

and hence $T \otimes I_X$ is bounded on $\ell_{\infty} \otimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} X$ with

$$\|T \otimes I_X\| \leq \|T\| + \varepsilon. \quad (1.13)$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is unique. \square

The definition of left tensoriality immediately gives:

Lemma 1.4 *Let X be a Banach space and α a left tensorial norm on $\ell_{\infty} \otimes X$. If $F \subseteq \ell_{\infty}$ is a subspace and $T \in B(F, \ell_{\infty})$ then $T \otimes I_X \in B(F \otimes_{\alpha} X, \ell_{\infty} \otimes_{\alpha} X)$ with $\|T \otimes I_X\| = \|T\|$. If T is an isometry into then so is $T \otimes I_X$.*

Proof: Since ℓ_{∞} has the extension property there is an extension $\tilde{T}: \ell_{\infty} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ of T with $\|\tilde{T}\| = \|T\|$ and hence the first part of the lemma follows from the definition. If T is an isometry into we can apply the same procedure to $T^{-1}: T(F) \rightarrow F \subseteq \ell_{\infty}$ and the result follows. \square

We are now able to prove the finite dimensional version of our main theorem:

Theorem 1.5 *Let E be an n -dimensional Banach space with a normalized basis $(x_j)_{j=1}^n$ and biorthogonal system $(x_j^*)_{j=1}^n$ and let α be a left tensorial norm on $\ell_{\infty} \otimes E$. There exists a lattice norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ on $C(S_{\infty}^n)$*

$$ubc(x_j^*)^{-1} \|f\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha} \leq \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes x_j \right) \|f\|_{\infty} \quad (1.14)$$

where $ubc(x_j^*)$ denotes the unconditional basis constant of (x_j^*) , and an isometry $J: E \rightarrow$

$(C(S_\infty^n), \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$ so that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in E$ we have

$$\left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^k |Jy_j| \right\|_\alpha = \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^k e_j \otimes y_j \right). \quad (1.15)$$

Proof: The construction of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is a kind of exercise over the theme “Krivine Calculus in Banach lattices”, [10], [14]. We first note that if $u \in \ell_\infty \otimes E$, then there are uniquely determined $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in \ell_\infty$ so that $u = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j$. Further we let $\mathcal{S}_n \subseteq C(S_\infty^n)$ denote the set of all functions p of the form $p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j h_j \right\|_\infty$ for all $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in S_\infty^n$, where $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in \ell_\infty$. If $p \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n are as above then we shall say that $(h_j)_{j=1}^n$ represents p .

If $(f_j)_{j=1}^n \subseteq \ell_\infty$ also represents p , then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j h_j \right\|_\infty = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f_j \right\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.16)$$

and hence the operator $T: [h_j] \rightarrow [f_j]$ defined by

$$T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j h_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f_j \quad \text{for all } t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

is an isometry. From Lemma 1.4 it therefore follows that

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \right) = \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes x_j \right). \quad (1.17)$$

Hence we can define $\|p\|_\alpha$ by

$$\|p\|_\alpha = \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \right). \quad (1.18)$$

If $f \in C(S_\infty^n)$ then we define

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \inf \{ \|p\|_\alpha \mid p \in \mathcal{S}_n, |f| \leq p \}. \quad (1.19)$$

(Note that $|f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j e_j \right\|_\infty$ for all $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in S_\infty^n$).

From Lemma 1.4 it follows in a similar manner as above that if $p, q \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with $p \leq q$ then $\|p\|_\alpha \leq \|q\|_\alpha$ and therefore (1.19) coincides with (1.18) in case $|f| \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Thus $\|f\|_\alpha$ is well-defined for all $f \in C(S_\infty^n)$.

We shall now show that $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is a norm on $C(S_\infty^n)$. To this end let $p \in \mathcal{S}_n, q \in \mathcal{S}_n$ be represented by $(h_j)_{j=1}^n \subseteq \ell_\infty$ and $(f_j)_{j=1}^n \subseteq \ell_\infty$, respectively. We have to find a representation of $p + q$. Put $F = ([h_j] \oplus [f_j])_1$ and let S be any isometry of F into ℓ_∞ . For all $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{S}_n$ we now get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j S(h_j, f_j) \right\|_\infty &= \left\| S \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j h_j, \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f_j \right) \right\|_\infty \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j h_j \right\|_\infty + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f_j \right\|_\infty \\ &= p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) + q(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

Hence using the definition of $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ and Lemma 1.4:

$$\begin{aligned} \|p + q\|_\alpha &= \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n S(h_j, f_j) \otimes x_j \right) \\ &= \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n S(h_j, 0) \otimes x_j + \sum_{j=1}^n S(0, f_j) \otimes x_j \right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n S(h_j, 0) \otimes x_j \right) + \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n S(0, f_j) \otimes x_j \right) \\ &= \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \right) + \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes x_j \right) = \|p\|_\alpha + \|q\|_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

Let now $f, g \in C(S_\infty^n)$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and choose $p, q \in \mathcal{S}_n$ so that $|f| \leq p, |g| \leq q$, $\|p\|_\alpha \leq \|f\|_\alpha + \varepsilon$ and $\|q\|_\alpha \leq \|g\|_\alpha + \varepsilon$. Since $|f + g| \leq p + q$ we obtain

$$\|f + g\|_\alpha \leq \|p + q\|_\alpha \leq \|p\|_\alpha + \|q\|_\alpha \leq \|f\|_\alpha + \|g\|_\alpha + 2\varepsilon. \quad (1.22)$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we have proved that $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ satisfies the triangle inequality. It is clear that $\|af\|_\alpha = |a|\|f\|_\alpha$ for all $f \in C(S_\infty^n)$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us now show the left inequality of (1.14). Let $f \in C(S_\infty^n)$ and $p \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with $|f| \leq p$. If

$(h_j)_{j=1}^n \subseteq \ell_\infty$ represents p then we can define $T: \ell_1 \rightarrow E$ by $T = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j$. For arbitrary $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty^n$ we put $x^* = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j x_j^*$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)| &\leq p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x^*(x_j) h_j \right\|_\infty \\ &= \|T^* x^*\|_\infty \leq \|T^*\| \|x^*\| \leq \alpha(T) \|x^*\| = \|p\|_\alpha \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j x_j^* \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

Taking first infimum over all $p \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with $|f| \leq p$ in (1.23) and thereafter supremum over all $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty^n$ we obtain

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\alpha \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j x_j^* \right\| \mid |t_j| = 1 \right\} = \|f\|_\alpha \text{ubc}(x_j^*). \quad (1.24)$$

(1.24) shows the left inequality of (1.14) and hence together with the above also gives that $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is a norm. It follows immediately from the definition that if $f, g \in C(S_\infty^n)$ with $|f| \leq |g|$ then $\|f\|_\alpha \leq \|g\|_\alpha$ so that $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is a lattice norm.

To prove the right inequality of (1.14) we let again $f \in C(S_\infty^n)$. Since for every $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in S_\infty^n$ we have

$$|f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j e_j \right\|_\infty \quad (1.25)$$

we get by the definition of $\|f\|_\alpha$ that

$$\|f\|_\alpha \leq \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes x_j \right) \|f\|_\infty \quad (1.26)$$

which is the right inequality of (1.14).

For every $1 \leq j \leq n$ we let $\varphi_j \in C(S_\infty^n)$ be defined by $\varphi_j(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = t_j$ for all $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in S_\infty^n$ and define $J: E \rightarrow C(S_\infty^n)$ by

$$J \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^*(x) x_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^*(x) \varphi_j \quad \text{for all } x \in E. \quad (1.27)$$

We have to show that J is an isometry and that (1.16) holds.

To this end let $(h_j)_{j=1}^n \subseteq \ell_\infty$ and let $p \in \mathcal{S}_n$ be represented by (h_j) . Since for every $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{S}_\infty^n$ we have

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^n h^*(h_j) \varphi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \right| \mid h^* \in \ell_1, \|h^*\|_1 \leq 1 \right\} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n t_j h_j \right\|_\infty = p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$$

we get from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes Jx_j \right\|_m &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes \varphi_j \right\|_m \\ &= \left\| \sup_{\|h^*\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n h^*(h_j) \varphi_j \right| \right\|_\alpha = \|p\|_\alpha = \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

which is (1.16) written in another form.

If $x \in E$ and we put $h_j = x_j^*(x) e_1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ in (1.28) we obtain that J is an isometry.

□

Before we can prove the main theorem of this section we need the following proposition on the m -tensor product and ultraproducts of Banach lattices.

Proposition 1.6 *Let $(L_t)_{t \in I}$ denote a family of Banach lattices and let L denote the Banach lattice obtained as the ultraproduct of (L_t) along an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\ell_\infty^n \otimes_m L = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \ell_\infty^n \otimes_m L_t.$$

Proof: Let $Z = \{((x(t))) \in \prod_{t \in I} L_t \mid \sup\{\|x(t)\| \mid t \in I\} < \infty\}$ and let $\Phi: Z \rightarrow L$ denote the canonical quotient map. Since by definition the ordering in L is the one induced by Φ it follows easily that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{y_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq L$ and $(y_j(t))_{t \in I} \in Z$ with $\Phi((y_j(t))) = y_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ then $\Phi((\bigvee |y_j(t)|)) = \bigvee_{j=1}^n |y_j|$, and hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes y_j(t) \right\|_m &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n |y_j(t)| \right\|_{L_t} \\ &= \left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n |y_j| \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes y_j \right\|_m. \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

□

We can now easily prove

Theorem 1.7 *Let X be a Banach space and α a left tensorial norm on $\ell_\infty \otimes X$. There exist a Banach lattice L and an isometry J of X into L so that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in X$ we have*

$$\left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^k |Jy_j| \right\| = \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^k e_j \otimes y_j \right). \quad (1.30)$$

Proof: For every finite dimensional subspace $E \subseteq X$ we consider $\ell_\infty \otimes E$ as a subspace of $\ell_\infty \otimes X$ in the natural way and equip it with the norm α restricted to $\ell_\infty \otimes E$, i.e. we put $\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha E = (\ell_\infty \otimes E, \alpha)$ (this is a slight misuse of notation which can cause problems for concrete α 's but we shall only use it in this proof). α is clearly left tensorial on $\ell_\infty \otimes E$.

Put

$$\mathcal{F} = \{E \subseteq X \mid E \text{ finite dimensional}\}. \quad (1.31)$$

In every $E \in \mathcal{F}$ we choose a normalized basis and let L_E be the Banach lattice constructed in Theorem 1.5 relative to the chosen basis and our choice of $\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha E$ and let $J_E: E \rightarrow L_E$ be the isometry constructed there.

We define L to be the ultraproduct of $\{L_E \mid E \in \mathcal{F}\}$ along a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{F} .

Let Q be the canonical quotient map of $(\Pi L_E)_\infty$ onto L and let for every $x \in E$ $\tilde{x} \in (\Pi L_E)_\infty$ be defined by

$$\tilde{x}(E) = \begin{cases} J_E x & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{for every } E \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (1.32)$$

If we put $Jx = Q\tilde{x}$ for all $x \in X$, then J is readily seen to be a linear map from X to L and if $x \in E$ then it follows from (1.32) and the definition of the norm in L that

$$\|Jx\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|\tilde{x}(E)\| = \|x\|. \quad (1.33)$$

Hence J is an isometry of X into L .

If finally $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq X$ then it follows from Theorem 1.5 and Proposition

1.6 that

$$\left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n |Jx_j| \right\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n \tilde{x}_j(E) \right\|_{L_E} = \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes x_j \right). \quad (1.34)$$

(Note that our special choice of $\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha E$ is important here.) \square

We end this section with a few corollaries:

Corollary 1.8 *If X and L are as in Theorem 1.7 then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $\{x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq X$ and all $\{h_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq \ell_\infty$ we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes Jx_j \right\|_m = \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \right). \quad (1.35)$$

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{h_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq \ell_\infty$, $\{x_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Using the local properties of ℓ_∞ we can find an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an isomorphism T of $[h_j]$ into ℓ_∞^m so that $\|T\| = 1$ and $\|T^{-1}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)$.

By Lemma 1.4 we get:

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \right) \leq \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n Th_j \otimes x_j \right) \leq \alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes x_j \right) \quad (1.36)$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes Jx_j \right\|_m \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n Th_j \otimes Jx_j \right\|_m \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \otimes Jx_j \right\|_m. \quad (1.37)$$

Since by Theorem 1.7 $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n Th_j \otimes Jx_j \right\|_m = \alpha(\sum_{j=1}^n Th_j \otimes x_j)$ we get (1.35) by letting ε tend to 0. \square

The next corollary follows from trace class duality.

Corollary 1.9 *Let X and L be as in Theorem 1.7 and let α^* be the dual norm to α . Every operator $T: J(X) \rightarrow \ell_1$ with $TJ \in (\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha X)^*$ extends to an operator $\tilde{T}: L \rightarrow \ell_1$ with*

$\tilde{T}^* \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\infty, L^*)$ so that

$$\|T^*\|_m = \alpha^*(TJ). \quad (1.38)$$

Proof: From [23] it follows that $(\ell_\infty \otimes_m L)^* = \mathcal{B}(\ell_\infty, L^*)$ and since $\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha X$ is canonically isometric to $\ell_\infty \otimes_m J(X)$ we get that the restriction map from L^* onto $J(X)^*$ induces a quotient map of $\mathcal{B}(\ell_\infty, L^*)$ onto $(\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha X)^*$. Hence (1.38) follows. \square

Remark: Using the local properties of L_1 -spaces it is readily verified that Corollary 1.9 still holds if ℓ_1 is substituted by $L_1(\mu)$, where μ is an arbitrary measure.

2 Some Applications

In this section we shall give some applications of Theorem 1.7 and its corollaries. We start with

Theorem 2.1 *Let X be a Banach space. Then there exists a Banach space L so that X embeds isometrically into L (we write $X \subseteq L$) and so that for all Banach spaces F we have*

$$T \in F \otimes_m X \iff T^* \in \Pi_1^f(X^*, F). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof: Put $\ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha X = \ell_\infty \otimes_\pi X$ and let L be the Banach lattice constructed in Theorem 1.7 so that $X \subseteq L$ and $\ell_\infty \otimes_m X = \ell_\infty \otimes_\pi X$. To prove that L has the desired property it is enough to prove (2.1) when $F \subseteq \ell_\infty$. We clearly have

$$T \in F \otimes_m X \iff (T^*: X^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty) \in N_1(X^*, \ell_\infty) \iff T^* \in \Pi_1^f(X^*, F)$$

which is (2.1). \square

In analogy with Corollary 1.9 we get the following corollary, using trace class duality arguments.

Corollary 2.2 *Let X be a Banach space and let L be the Banach lattice constructed in Theorem 2.1. If G is another Banach space then every operator $T \in \Gamma_1(X, G)$ admits an extension*

$\tilde{T} \in \Gamma_1(L, G)$ with $\gamma_1(T) = \gamma_1(\tilde{T})$. Furthermore,

$$\gamma_1(\tilde{T}) = \inf \|A\| \|B\| \mid \exists \text{ a measure } \nu, A: L \rightarrow L_1(\nu), A \geq 0, B: L_1(\nu) \rightarrow G, T = BA\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof: Let $T \in \Gamma_1(X, G)$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and choose a measure μ and operators $S: X \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$, $U: L_1(\mu) \rightarrow G$ so that $T = US$, $\|U\| \leq 1$ and $\|S\| \leq \gamma_1(T) + \varepsilon$. By Corollary 1.9 and its remark S admits an extension $\tilde{S}: L \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ so that $\tilde{S}^* \in \mathcal{B}(L_\infty(\mu), L^*)$ and $\|S\| = \|\tilde{S}\|_m$. Since X^* is order complete and $L_1(\mu)$ is complemented in $L_1(\mu)^{**}$ it follows e.g. from [18] that there exists a measure ν , a positive operator $A: L \rightarrow L_1(\nu)$ and an operator $V: L_1(\nu) \rightarrow L_1(\mu)$ so that $\tilde{S} = VA$ and

$$\|A\| \|V\| \leq \|\tilde{S}^*\|_m + \varepsilon \leq \|S\| + \varepsilon. \quad (2.3)$$

The operator $\tilde{T} = U\tilde{S}$ clearly extends T and belongs to $\Gamma_1(L, G)$. Furthermore, $\tilde{T} = UV A$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(T) &\leq \gamma_1(\tilde{T}) \leq \|A\| \|UV\| \leq \|A\| \|U\| \|V\| \\ &\leq \|S\| \|U\| + \varepsilon \|U\| \leq \gamma_1(T) + 2\varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

so that $\gamma_1(T) = \gamma_1(\tilde{T})$ and (2.2) holds. \square

The next theorem characterizes Banach spaces with GL_2 in terms of embeddings into Banach lattices. It generalizes [1, Corollary 2.3].

Theorem 2.3 *Let X be a Banach space. The following two statements are equivalent*

- (i) X has GL_2 .
- (ii) *There exists a Banach lattice $L \supseteq X$ so that every $T \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_2)$ admits an extension $\tilde{T} \in \Pi_1(L, \ell_2)$.*

Proof: Since every Banach lattice has GL_2 by [4] (ii) trivially implies (i). Next, assume that X has GL_2 and let L be the Banach lattice constructed in Theorem 2.1. If $T \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_2)$ then also $T \in \Gamma_1(X, \ell_2)$ with $\gamma_1(T) \leq \text{GL}_2(X) \pi_1(T)$ and hence by Corollary 2.2 T admits an

extension $\tilde{T} \in \Gamma_1(L, \ell_2)$ with $\gamma_1(\tilde{T}) = \gamma_1(T)$. However, by Grothendieck's theorem [13], \tilde{T} is also 1-summing with

$$\pi_1(\tilde{T}) \leq K_G \gamma_1(\tilde{T}) \leq K_G \text{GL}_2(X) \pi_1(T) \quad (2.5)$$

where K_G is Grothendieck's constant. \square

Remark: From Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 it follows that if X has GL_2 and $T \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_2)$ then $\gamma_1(T)$ can be computed by looking on factorizations $T = BA$ where A is the restriction to X of a positive operator from a suitable Banach lattice $L \supseteq X$ to an L_1 -space.

Let us note in passing that if X is contained in a Banach lattice L and (ii) of Theorem 2.3 holds then

$$\ell_2 \otimes_m X = \{T: \ell_2 \rightarrow X \mid T^* \in \Pi_1^f(X^*, \ell_2)\}.$$

Indeed, it easily follows that there is a constant $K \geq 1$ so that every $S \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_2)$ admits an extension $\tilde{S} \in \Pi_1(L, \ell_2)$ with $\pi_1(\tilde{S}) \leq K \pi_1(S)$. Hence if $S \in \Gamma_1(X, \ell_2)$, S admits an extension $\tilde{S} \in \Gamma_1(L, \ell_2)$ with $\gamma_1(\tilde{S}) \leq \pi_1(\tilde{S}) \leq K \pi_1(S) \leq K K_G \gamma_1(S)$. An easy trace duality argument now gives that if Q denotes the natural quotient map of L^* onto X^* , then for every $T: \ell_2 \rightarrow X$ with $T^* \in \Pi_1(X^*, \ell_2)$ we have $\pi_1(T^*) \leq K K_G \pi_1(T^*Q)$.

If now $T \in \ell_2 \otimes_m X$ then by [5] and the above $\pi_1(T^*) \leq K K_G \pi_1(T^*Q) \leq K K_G^2 \|T\|_m$, so that $T^* \in \Pi_1^f(X^*, \ell_2)$. The other direction follows from Section 0, since if $T^* \in \Pi_1^f(X^*, \ell_2)$, $T \in \ell_2 \otimes_m X$ with $\|T\|_m \leq \pi_1(T^*Q) \leq \pi_1(T^*)$.

We can now characterize Banach spaces with GAP.

Theorem 2.4 *Let X be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X has GAP.
- (ii) *There exist a Banach lattice $L \supseteq X$ and a constant $K \geq 1$ so that for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \leq \left(\int \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(t) x_j \right\|^2 d\sigma(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let L be the Banach lattice constructed in Theorem 2.1, and let (f_j) denote the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ and $T = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes x_j$ then it follows from Theorem 2.1 and the GAP of X that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(t) x_j \right\|^2 d\sigma(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \ell(T) \leq \text{gap}(X) \pi_1(T^*) \\ &\leq \text{gap}(X) \|T\|_m = \text{gap}(X) \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The left inequality of (2.6) always holds in a Banach lattice [14]. This shows (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume that $X \subseteq L$ and that (2.6) holds. If $T \in \ell_2 \otimes X$ then

$$\ell(T) \leq K \|T\|_m \leq K \pi_1(T^*) \quad (2.8)$$

so that X has GAP. \square

Remark: It follows from [1] that a Banach space with GAP is of finite cotype and one could hope that the Banach lattice in Theorem 2.4 could be chosen to be of finite cotype. However this is not the case. Indeed, [1, Example 1.16] shows that the Schatten class c_p for $2 < p < \infty$ has GAP but not (S) and therefore a Banach lattice $L \supseteq c_p$ with the properties of Theorem 2.4 cannot be of finite cotype, since every subspace of a Banach lattice of finite cotype has (S) .

We also note that if a Banach space X is contained in a Banach lattice L , so that (2.6) holds then it follows from [1, Proposition 0.3] that there is a constant $K_1 \geq 1$ so that for all $T \in \ell_2 \otimes X$ we have $K_1^{-1} \pi_1(T^*) \leq \ell(T) \leq \|T\|_m \leq K \pi_1(T^*)$. Furthermore, an easy trace duality argument, similar to the one in Corollary 2.2, applied to these inequalities yields that every operator $T \in \Gamma_1(X, \ell_2)$ admits an extension $\tilde{T} \in \Gamma_1(L, \ell_2)$.

Combining Theorem 2.4 with [1, Theorem 1.9] we obtain

Corollary 2.5 *Let X be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent*

- (i) X is K -convex and has GL_2 .
- (ii) *There exist Banach lattices $L \supseteq X$, $Y \supseteq X^*$ and a constant $K \geq 1$ so that the inequality (2.6) holds for finite sets of vectors in X , respectively in X^* .*

It follows from the remark above that if (ii) of Corollary 2.5 holds then every operator $T \in \Pi_1(X, \ell_2)$ admits an extension $\tilde{T} \in \Pi_1(L, \ell_2)$. Note also that since X is K -convex in that case (2.6) shows that $\ell_2 \otimes_m X^*$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\ell_2 \otimes_m X)^*$.

We now introduce a property of Banach spaces which is more general than property (S) defined in [1].

Definition 2.6 *Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. A Banach space X is said to have $SGL(p, q)$ if there is a constant K so that if Y is an arbitrary Banach space, then*

$$\pi_q(T) \leq K \pi_p(T^*) \quad \text{for all } T \in Y^* \otimes X. \quad (2.9)$$

If we put $Y = \ell_2$ and $p = 1$ in this definition we get the property (S_q) of [1]. It is immediate that subspaces of Banach spaces with property $GL(p, q)$ from [3] have $SGL(p, q)$. In particular it follows from [3, Theorem 1.3] (see also [5] and [7]) that every subspace of a p -convex and q -concave Banach lattice has $SGL(p, q)$. It is actually also a consequence of our next result.

We now wish to characterize property $SGL(p, q)$ in terms of embeddings into Banach lattices. The result states:

Theorem 2.7 *Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X has $SGL(p, q)$.
- (ii) X satisfies (2.9) with $Y = \ell_{q'}$.
- (iii) There exists a Banach lattice L with $X \subseteq L$ so that X is p -convex and q -concave in L .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious so assume that (ii) holds and let L be the Banach lattice constructed in Theorem 1.7 with

$$T \in \ell_\infty \otimes_\alpha X \iff T^* \in \Pi_p^f(X^*, \ell_\infty) \quad (2.10)$$

as the defining tensor norm.

Further, let (f_j) denote the unit vector basis of ℓ_q with biorthogonal system $(f_j^*) \subseteq \ell_{q'}$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ then with $T = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes x_j$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|T f_j^*\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \pi_q(T) \leq K \pi_p(T^*) = K \|T\|_m = K \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

which shows that X is q -concave in L .

If (u_i) denotes the unit vector basis in ℓ_p we get with $U = \sum u_i \otimes x_i$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| = \|U\|_m = \pi_p(U^*) \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.12)$$

which shows that X is p -convex in L .

Assume next that (iii) holds, put $K = K_q(X, L)$ and let Y be an arbitrary Banach space, $T \in Y^* \otimes X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since L^{**} is order complete there is a compact Hausdorff space Δ and operators $A \in B(Y, C(\Delta))$, $B \in B(C(\Delta), L^{**})$, $B \geq 0$ so that $\|A\| \|B\| \leq \|T\|_m + \varepsilon$ and $T = BA$.

If $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y$ are arbitrarily chosen, then since $B \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|T y_j\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |B A y_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\| \\ &\leq K \|B\| \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |A(y_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\| = K \|B\| \sup_{t \in \Delta} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |(A y_j)(t)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= K \|B\| \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\mu(A y_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \mid \mu \in C(\Delta)^*, \|\mu\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq K \|A\| \|B\| \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |y^*(y_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \mid y^* \in Y^*, \|y^*\| \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

This shows that $\pi_q(T) \leq K\|A\|\|B\| \leq K(\|T\|_m + \varepsilon)$ and hence since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary

$$\pi_q(T) \leq K\|T\|_m. \quad (2.14)$$

Furthermore, from Theorem 0.3 it follows that $\|T\|_m \leq K^p(X, L)\pi_p(T^*)$; thus concluding that X has $SGL(p, q)$. \square

We end this section by giving a characterization of the GL-property in terms of Banach lattices, but it is less intuitive than the results above. Before we can formulate it we need a little notation.

If X is a subspace of a Banach lattice L and E is a finite dimensional Banach space we denote the norm in $(E \otimes_m X)^*$ by $\|\cdot\|_m^*$. If $S \in E^* \otimes X^*$ and $T \in E \otimes_m X$ then

$$|\text{Tr}(T^*S)| \leq \nu_1(T^*S) \leq \nu_1(S)\|T\|_m \quad (2.15)$$

and therefore S acts as a bounded linear functional on $E \otimes_m X$ by the formula

$$\langle S, T \rangle = \text{Tr}(T^*S) \quad \text{for all } T \in E \otimes_m X \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\|S\|_m^* = \sup\{|\text{Tr}(T^*S)| \mid T \in E \otimes_m X, \|T\|_m \leq 1\}. \quad (2.17)$$

We are now able to prove the following:

Theorem 2.8 *Let X be a Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X has GL.
- (ii) *There exist Banach lattices L and M so that $X \subseteq L$, $X^* \subseteq M$ and a constant $K \geq 1$ so that for all finite dimensional Banach spaces E we have*

$$\|S\|_m^* \leq K\|S\|_m \quad \text{for all } S \in E^* \otimes X^*. \quad (2.18)$$

Proof: Assume first that X has GL with GL-constant K , and let L and M be the Banach lattices constructed in Theorem 2.1 with $X \subseteq L$ and $X^* \subseteq M$. If E is an arbitrary finite dimensional

Banach space and $T \in E \otimes_m X$ then by the definition of L and the GL-property we have

$$\gamma_\infty(T) = \gamma_1(T^*) \leq K\pi_1(T^*) = K\|T\|_m. \quad (2.19)$$

Hence by duality and the definition of M we get for every $S \in E^* \otimes X^*$:

$$\|S\|_m^* \leq K\pi_1(S^*) = K\|S\|_m, \quad (2.20)$$

which shows that the inequality in (ii) holds.

Assume next that (ii) holds and let E be an arbitrary finite dimensional Banach space. Since for every $T \in E^* \otimes X$ we have $\|T\|_m \leq \pi_1(T^*)$ it follows by duality that for all $S \in E \otimes X^*$ we have $\gamma_\infty(S) \leq \|S\|_m^*$. Hence by (2.18) we get for every $T \in X^* \otimes E$

$$\gamma_1(T) = \gamma_\infty(T^*) \leq \|T^*\|_m^* \leq K\|T^*\|_m \leq K\pi_1(T) \quad (2.21)$$

which shows that X has GL with constant less than or equal to K . \square

Remark: By putting $E = \ell_2$ in Theorem 2.8 it is readily verified that a similar result holds for GL_2 . In (ii) we actually get that the norms $\|\cdot\|_m^*$ and $\|\cdot\|_m$ become equivalent. The GL_2 -version of Theorem 2.8 can also easily be derived from Theorem 2.3.

Recall that an operator T from a Banach lattice L to a Banach space Y is called cone-summing if it maps unconditional convergent series of positive vectors to absolutely convergent ones. It follows from [23] that T is cone-summing if and only if T^* is order bounded. Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we easily obtain:

Theorem 2.9 *If X is a Banach space, then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X has GL.
- (ii) *There exists a Banach lattice $L \supseteq X$ so that every absolutely summing operator T from X to an arbitrary Banach space Y extends to a cone-summing operator \tilde{T} from L to Y .*

3 GL-subspaces of Banach lattices of finite cotype

As noted in the previous section it is not always possible to embed a Banach space of finite cotype into a Banach lattice of finite cotype (equivalently of finite concavity), not even if it has GAP. However, combining the results of this paper with those of [1] we believe that the following two conjectures have positive answers.

Conjecture 3.1 *If a Banach space X has $SGL(1, q)$ for some q , $1 \leq q < \infty$, then X embeds into a q -concave Banach lattice L .*

Conjecture 3.2 *A K -convex Banach space X has GL_2 if and only if both X and X^* embed into Banach lattices of finite cotype.*

In this section we shall investigate when a subspace of a Banach lattice has GL or GL_2 . For convenience we shall say that a subspace X of a Banach lattice L is optimally embedded into L if $\ell_2 \otimes_m X = \Gamma_\infty^f(\ell_2, X)$.

It follows from Theorem 2.3 and the remark just after that a Banach space X has GL_2 if and only if there exists a Banach lattice L so that X can be optimally embedded into L . On the other hand it follows from [1, Corollary 2.3] that if X is a subspace of a Banach lattice of finite cotype then X has GL_2 if and only if it is optimally embedded into L ; in that case any other embedding of X into L is also optimal.

From the results in Section 2 we can conclude

Theorem 3.3 *Let X be a subspace of a Banach lattice L of finite concavity. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X is K -convex and has GL_2 .
- (ii) There exist a Banach lattice M with $X^* \subseteq M$ and a constant $K \geq 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} K^{-1} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| &\leq \left(\int \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(t) x_j^* \right\|^2 d\sigma(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq K \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j^*|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all finite sets $\{x_j^* \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\} \subseteq X^*$.

Proof: It follows from [14] that the analogue of (3.1) holds for all finite sets of vectors in L and therefore the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from Corollary 2.5. \square

It is well known and easy to prove that if X or X^* has cotype 2 then GL and GL_2 are equivalent for X . This leads to

Theorem 3.4 *Let X be a Banach space of cotype 2. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) X has GL.
- (ii) X has $SGL(1, 2)$.
- (iii) X has $SGL(1, q)$ for some q , $1 \leq q < \infty$.
- (iv) There exists a Banach lattice L with $X \subseteq L$ so that X is 2-concave in L .

Proof: The equivalence between (i) and (ii) can be proved as [1, Theorems 1.3 (iv) and 1.4 (i)]. Since X is of cotype 2 $B(L_\infty, X) = \Pi_2(L_\infty, X)$, which together with an easy application of Maurey's extension property [15] shows that $\Pi_q(Y, X) = \Pi_2(Y, X)$ for all $2 \leq q < \infty$ and all Y . This shows the equivalence between (ii) and (iii). It follows directly from Theorem 2.7 that (ii) and (iv) are equivalent. \square

As a corollary we obtain

Corollary 3.5 *Any cotype 2 subspace of a Banach lattice of finite cotype has GL.*

Let us end this section with some results which relate the GL-property of a Banach space X to compactness of absolutely summing operators defined on X . Our first result is probably well-known.

Proposition 3.6 *Let X and Y be Banach spaces so that X does not contain a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then every p -summing operator from X to Y is compact.*

Proof: Let $T \in \Pi_p(X, Y)$ and let $(x_n) \subseteq X$ with $\|x_n\| \leq 1$. Since X does not contain ℓ_1 it follows from Rosenthal's ℓ_1 -theorem [13] that (x_n) has a subsequence (x_{n_k}) , which is a weak Cauchy sequence. It now follows from a result of Pietsch [21] that (Tx_{n_k}) is norm convergent in Y .

Hence T is compact. □

The next result can often be used to prove that a given concrete space does not have GL.

Theorem 3.7 *Let Y be a Banach space with GL, and let X be a quotient of Y .*

If there exists an absolutely summing, non-compact operator from X to a Banach space Z with the Radon-Nikodym property (RNP), then Y contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 .

Proof: Let $Q: Y \rightarrow X$ be a quotient map of Y onto X , let Z be a Banach space with the RNP and assume that there is a $T \in \Pi_1(X, Z)$ which is not compact. Hence there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ with $\|x_n\| < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$\|Tx_n - Tx_m\| \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose an $y_n \in Y$ with $\|y_n\| < 1$ so that $Qy_n = x_n$. Since Y has GL there exist a measure μ and operators $A \in B(Y, L_1(\mu))$, $B \in B(L_1(\mu), Z)$ so that $TQ = BA$. By [2] B takes weak Cauchy sequence into norm convergent ones and therefore (Ay_n) does not have any weak Cauchy subsequence and hence by [8] there exist a subsequence (Ay_{n_k}) of (Ay_n) which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and a bounded projection P of $L_1(\mu)$ onto $[Ay_{n_k}]$. Since ℓ_1 has the lifting property and PA maps Y onto $[Ay_{n_k}]$ it follows that if U is any isomorphism of ℓ_1 onto $[Ay_{n_k}]$ then there exists a $V \in B(\ell_1, Y)$ so that $U = PAV$. Clearly $V(\ell_1)$ is isomorphic to ℓ_1 and $VU^{-1}PA$ is a projection of Y onto $V(\ell_1)$. □

Corollary 3.8 *Let Y be a Banach space of finite cotype with GL and let $X \subseteq Y$ be a subspace. Then every absolutely summing operator from X^* to a Banach space Z with the RNP is compact.*

Proof: Since Y is of finite cotype ℓ_1 cannot be isomorphic to a complemented subspace of Y^* and hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.7. □

4 Some concluding remarks

The construction in Section 1 gives rise to the hope that it could be possible to develop a theory of lattice subspaces with the so-called regular operators as morphisms, somewhat following the idea

from operator spaces. This turns out not to be possible, if one in addition requires a reasonable duality theory. In this section we wish to comment a little on these problems. We start with the following definition:

Definition 4.1 *Let L and M be Banach lattices, $X \subseteq L$, $Y \subseteq M$ be subspaces and $T \in B(X, Y)$. T is called ℓ_1 -regular (respectively ℓ_∞ -regular) if there is a constant $K \geq 1$ so that for all finite sets $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |Tx_j| \right\| \leq K \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right\| \quad (4.1)$$

(respectively

$$\left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n |Tx_j| \right\| \leq K \left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right\| \quad). \quad (4.2)$$

If $T \in B(L, M)$ then ℓ_∞ -regularity of T equals the usual definition of a regular operator [23]. It is easy to see that in this case T is regular if and only if it is ℓ_1 -regular. This turns out not to be the case if T is only defined on a subspace of a Banach lattice, as the example below shows. Let us first state the following lemma

Lemma 4.2 *Let $(\Delta, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space and X a subspace of $L_1(\mu)$. If L is a Banach lattice, then every $T \in B(X, L)$ is ℓ_1 -regular.*

Proof: If $T \in B(X, L)$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |Tx_j| \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|Tx_j\| \leq \|T\| \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\| = \|T\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right\|. \quad (4.3)$$

□

Example 4.3 *Let (r_j) be the sequence of Rademacher functions in $L_1(0, 1)$, put $H = [r_j]$ and let $T: H \rightarrow \ell_2$ be the natural isomorphism. By the lemma T is ℓ_1 -regular, but $\left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n |Tr_j| \right\|_2 = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n Tr_j \right\|_2 = \sqrt{n}$ and $\left\| \bigvee_{j=1}^n |r_j| \right\|_1 = 1$ which shows that T is not ℓ_∞ -regular.*

If X is a subspace of a Banach lattice L then we can consider $\ell_\infty \otimes X^*$ as a (non-closed) subspace of $(\ell_1 \otimes_m X)^*$ and define the norm α on $\ell_\infty \otimes X^*$ as the restriction of the norm on

$(\ell_1 \otimes_m X)^*$. This α is readily seen to be left invariant and hence Theorem 1.7 gives an embedding of X^* into a Banach lattice M , so that α corresponds to the m -norm. With this construction one could try to build up a theory of lattice subspaces using the ℓ_∞ -regular operators as morphisms. Unfortunately it will not lead to a reasonable duality theory. Indeed, doing the above dualization twice we obtain an embedding of X^{**} into a Banach lattice, but the canonical embedding of X into X^{**} need not be ℓ_∞ -regular.

One of the main reasons for this obstacle is the difference between ℓ_1 - and ℓ_∞ -regularity.

In her Ph.D.-thesis L.B. McClaran [17] makes a thorough investigation of subspaces and quotients of Banach lattices and has succeeded in developing a theory for subspaces of quotients of Banach lattices with the ℓ_∞ - and ℓ_1 -regular operators as morphisms.

There are rudiments of a duality theory in some of the results in the previous sections and it is our belief that a theory of GL-subspaces of Banach lattices can be developed.

References

- [1] P.G. Casazza and N.J. Nielsen, *A Gaussian average property of Banach spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **41** (1997), 559–576..
- [2] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Mathematical Surveys **15**, Amer. Math. Soc. 1977.
- [3] Y. Gordon, M. Junge and N.J. Nielsen, *The relation between volume ratios and new concepts of GL-constants*, Positivity **1** (1997), 359–379.
- [4] Y. Gordon and D.R. Lewis, *Absolutely summing operators and local unconditional structure*, Acta Math. **133** (1974), 27–48.
- [5] S. Heinrich, N.J. Nielsen and G.H. Olsen, *Order bounded operators and tensor products of Banach lattices*, Math. Scand. **49** (1981), 99–127.
- [6] W.B. Johnson, H.P. Rosenthal and M. Zippin, *On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 488–506.
- [7] M. Junge, *Hyperplane conjecture for quotient spaces of L_p* , Forum Math. **6** (1994), 617–635.
- [8] M.I. Kadec and A. Pelczynski, *Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the spaces L_p* , Studia Math. **21** (1962), 161–176.

- [9] H. König, *Eigenvalue distribution of compact operators*, Operator Theory: Adv. App. vol. 16, Birkhäuser, Basel 1986.
- [10] J.L. Krivine, *Théorèmes de factorisation dans les espaces réticulés*, Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz, 1973–94, École Polytechniques, Paris.
- [11] S. Kwapien, *On operators factorizable through L_p -spaces*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **31–32** (1972), 215–225.
- [12] J. Lindenstrauss and H.P. Rosenthal, *The \mathcal{L}_p -spaces*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 325–349.
- [13] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I, Sequence spaces*, Ergebnisse **92**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York; Springer Verlag 1977.
- [14] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II, Function spaces*, Ergebnisse **97**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York; Springer Verlag 1979.
- [15] B. Maurey, *Un théorème de prolongement*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **A279** (1974), 329–332.
- [16] B. Maurey and G. Pisier, *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. **58** (1976), 45–90.
- [17] L.B. McClaran, *Some results on lattice structures on Banach spaces*, Ph.D.-thesis, Texas A&M University, 1994.
- [18] N.J. Nielsen, *On Banach ideals determined by Banach lattices and their applications*, Dissertationes Math. **CIX** (1973), 1–62.
- [19] G. Pisier, *Lattice subspaces* (unpublished).
- [20] G. Pisier, *Factorization of linear operators and the geometry of Banach spaces*, Regional conference of the A.M.S. CBMS **60** (1986).
- [21] A. Pietch, *Operator ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [22] Zhang-jin Ruan, *Subspaces of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **76** (1988), 217–230.
- [23] H.H. Schaefer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Springer Verlag 1974.

- [24] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Banach-Mazur distances and finite dimensional operator ideals*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics **38**, Longman Scientific and Technical 1989.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Missouri,
Columbia MO 65211,
pete@casazza.math.missouri.edu

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Odense University,
Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark,
njn@imada.ou.dk