

# Quantized moduli spaces of the bundles on the elliptic curve and their applications.

by A.V.Odesskii and B.L.Feigin

## Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be the Borel subalgebra of some Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $B$  the corresponding group. (If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is infinite-dimensional, then  $B$  is a projective limit of finite-dimensional groups). Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  be the moduli space of  $B$ -bundles on the elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$ . There is the natural map  $B \rightarrow H$ , where  $H$  is a Cartan subgroup, so each  $B$ -bundle defines an  $H$ -bundle. Note, that each  $H$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{E}$  has the characteristic class which lies in  $H^2(\mathcal{E}, L) \cong L$ , where  $L$  is the root lattice of Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For each  $n \in L$  we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  the corresponding connected component of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$ .

In [3] we define the Hamiltonian structure on the manifold  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  and quantize the coordinate ring of the manifold  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  in the case when  $n = \sum_i n_i \delta_i$ ,  $\{\delta_i\}$  are simple positive roots,  $\{n_i\}$  are positive and big enough. Actually, our construction works in case of arbitrary  $\{n_i\}$ .

In this paper we consider the case when almost all  $n_i$  are zero and some of them may be negative. Discuss first the case when all  $\{n_i\}$  are zero. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is finite-dimensional, then  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  is trivial. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an affine Kac-Moody algebra, then  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  is infinite-dimensional with trivial Hamiltonian structure. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{a}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra and  $P$  be a moduli space of  $\mathfrak{a}$ -bundles on  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  is a space of maps  $\varphi : D \rightarrow P$ , where  $D$  is a formal neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi(0)$  is a trivial bundle. In §5 we study the construction in this case. In the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{sl}_h$  we write the quantization of  $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  explicitly. It means that we construct an infinite set of commuting elements in some algebra.

In §4.2 we consider the case when  $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{h+1}$  and the set  $(n_1, \dots, n_h)$  is  $(m, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{(m,0,\dots,0)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  has a projection on  $\mathcal{E}^h$  and a typical fiber is  $(\mathbb{C}P^{m-1})^h$ . After quantization we get a new construction of elliptic  $R$ -matrix.

In the §4.4 we consider again the case  $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{h+1}$ , when the set of  $\{n_i\}$  is  $(1, 0, \dots, 0, m)$ . In this case our algebra contains the Sklyanin subalgebra  $Q_{hm+1,h}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  (see[2]).

In the §4.5 again for  $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{h+1}$  we consider the set  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . In this case we get the generalized elliptic  $R$ -matrix (compare [2], formula (6)).

In the §4.3 we consider the case  $(-1, 0, \dots, 0, m)$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{h+1}$ . It gives us the quantization of the coordinate ring of the Grassmannian of  $h$ -dimensional planes in  $m - h + 1$ -dimensional space.

Now we describe the contents of the paper.

In §1 we introduce the notations and construct the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . Here  $\Delta$  is a root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $\tau = 0$  this algebra is the coordinate ring of the manifold  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{b})$  and for generic  $\tau$  it is a quantization of this coordinate ring.

In §2 we construct some representations of the algebras  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  and in §3 we study intertwining operators for these representations. Namely, we embed the algebra  $Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$  for some  $n'$  into the algebra of intertwining operators.

In §4 we apply the construction of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  to produce some objects: the elliptic Belavin  $R$ -matrix, the quantization of the algebra of functions on the Grassmannian, the algebra  $Q_{hm+1,h}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  (see [2] for definition), some generalized elliptic  $R$ -matrix.

In §5 we consider the case of affine  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We write down the explicit formula for commuting elements in the case  $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{sl}_h$ .

In §6 we construct the elliptic deformation of the Poisson algebra and write down the explicit formula for commuting elements in this case (see also [5]).

### §1. Algebra $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ .

**1.1. Notations.** Let  $\Delta$  be the root system of the Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\Delta^+$  are the positive roots,  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_h\}$  are the simple positive roots (so  $h$  is rank  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). We suppose that the set of simple positive roots in  $\Delta$  is fixed. Let  $(a_{i,j}), 1 \leq i, j \leq h$  be the Cartan matrix,  $a_{i,j} = \frac{2(\delta_i, \delta_j)}{(\delta_i, \delta_i)}$ . Actually, for our construction of  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ , we need only a linear space with a fixed basis  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_h\}$  and a scalar product  $(\delta_i, \delta_j)$ . But we don't know anything about the properties of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  if  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_h\}$  is not a set of simple positive roots of the finite dimensional or affine Kac-Moody algebra. Let  $L$  be a lattice with the basis  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_h\}$ ,  $L \cong \mathbb{Z}^h$  and let  $L^+ = \{l_1\delta_1 + \dots + l_h\delta_h; l_1, \dots, l_h \geq 0\} \subset L$ .

Let us  $n : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be homomorphism of the abelian groups, so  $n_i = n(\delta_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$  be an elliptic curve, where  $\Gamma = \{m_1 + m_2\eta; m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a lattice,  $\text{Im } \eta > 0$ . For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  we denote by  $\xi_{m,c}$  a linear bundle on  $\mathcal{E}$  such that its sections are realized as functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  with the following properties:

$$f(z+1) = f(z); f(z+\eta) = e^{-2\pi i(mz+c)} f(z) \quad (1)$$

It is clear that  $\xi_{m,c} \cong \xi_{m',c'}$  if and only if  $m = m'$  and  $c - c' \in \Gamma$ . The space of holomorphic sections  $H^0(\xi_{m,c})$  is realized as a space of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfying (1). We denote this space by  $\Theta_{m,c}(\Gamma)$ . It is easy to see that  $\dim \Theta_{m,c}(\Gamma) = m$  if  $m > 0$ ,  $\Theta_{m,c}(\Gamma) = 0$  if  $m < 0$ . If  $m = 0$  then  $\Theta_{0,c}(\Gamma) = 0$  for  $c \notin \Gamma$ . If  $m = 0$  and  $c \in \Gamma$  then the bundle  $\xi_{0,c}$  is trivial and  $\dim H^0(\xi_{0,c}) = 1$ . For  $m > 0$  the elements of  $\Theta_{m,c}(\Gamma)$  are called  $\theta$ -functions of order  $m$ . It is clear that for  $m > 0$  for different  $c$  the spaces  $\Theta_{m,c}(\Gamma)$  are isomorphic and can be identified by translation of  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is easy to check that every  $\theta$ -function of order  $m$  has exactly  $m$  zeros mod  $\Gamma$  and the sum of these zeros is equal to  $c + \frac{1}{2}m \text{ mod } \Gamma$ . Let  $\theta(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^\alpha e^{2\pi i(\alpha z + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\eta)}$ . It is clear that  $\theta(z) \in \Theta_{1, \frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\theta(0) = 0$ ,  $\theta(-z) = -e^{-2\pi i z} \theta(z)$ .

**1.2. Algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ .** Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ . We will construct an associative algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  that depends on 2 continues parameters: an elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  and a point  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ . The algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is  $L^+$ -graded, so  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau) = \bigoplus_{l \in L^+} F_l$  and

$F_l * F_{l'} \subset F_{l+l'}$ . Here  $*$  is the product in the algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . By definition, the space  $F_l$  for  $l = l_1\delta_1 + \dots + l_h\delta_h$  is a space of everywhere meromorphic functions  $f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_1,1}; \dots; x_{1,h}, \dots, x_{l_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h)$  in  $l_1 + \dots + l_h + h$  variables  $\{x_{\alpha,i}, u_i; 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq \alpha \leq l_i\}$ . We assume, that these functions are symmetric with respect to each group of variables  $\{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{l_i,i}\}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq h$ . Let  $f \in F_l, g \in F_{l'}$ , where  $l = l_1\delta_1 + \dots + l_h\delta_h, l' = l'_1\delta_1 + \dots + l'_h\delta_h$ . By definition, for the product  $f * g \in F_{l+l'}$  we have:

$$f * g(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_1+l'_1,1}; \dots; x_{1,h}, \dots, x_{l_h+l'_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) =$$

$$\frac{1}{l_1!l'_1! \dots l_h!l'_h!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 \in S_{l_1+l'_1} \\ \dots \\ \sigma_h \in S_{l_h+l'_h}}} f(x_{\sigma_1(1),1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_1(l_1),1}; \dots; x_{\sigma_h(1),h}, \dots, x_{\sigma_h(l_h),h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) \times$$

$$g(x_{\sigma_1(l_1+1),1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_1(l_1+l'_1),1}; \dots; x_{\sigma_h(l_h+1),h}, \dots, x_{\sigma_h(l_h+l'_h),h}; u_1^{(\tau)}, \dots, u_h^{(\tau)}) \times \quad (2)$$

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq h, \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq l_i, \\ l_j+1 \leq \beta \leq l_j+l'_j}} \frac{\theta(x_{\sigma_i(\alpha),i} - x_{\sigma_j(\beta),j} - (\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau)}{\theta(x_{\sigma_i(\alpha),i} - x_{\sigma_j(\beta),j})}$$

Here  $u_i^{(\tau)} = u_i - 2(l, \delta_i)\tau$  for  $1 \leq i \leq h$ .

**Proposition 1.** The formula (2) defines in the space  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau) = \bigoplus_{l \in L^+} F_l$  the structure of the associative algebra.

**Proof.** By direct calculation.

**Remarks. 1.** Let  $\tau = 0$ . In this case the algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, 0)$  is commutative and does not depend on  $\mathcal{E}$ . The formula for  $f * g$  takes such a form:

$$f * g(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_1+l'_1,1}; \dots; x_{1,h}, \dots, x_{l_h+l'_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) =$$

$$\frac{1}{l_1!l'_1! \dots l_h!l'_h!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 \in S_{l_1+l'_1} \\ \dots \\ \sigma_h \in S_{l_h+l'_h}}} f(x_{\sigma_1(1),1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_1(l_1),1}; \dots; x_{\sigma_h(1),h}, \dots, x_{\sigma_h(l_h),h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) \times$$

$$g(x_{\sigma_1(l_1+1),1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_1(l_1+l'_1),1}; \dots; x_{\sigma_h(l_h+1),h}, \dots, x_{\sigma_h(l_h+l'_h),h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) \quad (3)$$

Let  $P_l \subset F_l$  be a space of functions which does not depend on  $\{u_1, \dots, u_h\}$  and are polynomials in variables  $\{x_{\alpha,i}; 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq \alpha \leq l_i\}$ . It is clear that  $P = \bigoplus_{l \in L^+} P_l$  is subalgebra in  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, 0)$ . We have:  $P_l = S^{l_1} P_{\delta_1} \otimes S^{l_2} P_{\delta_2} \otimes \dots \otimes S^{l_h} P_{\delta_h}$ , so  $P = S^* P_{\delta_1} \otimes S^* P_{\delta_2} \otimes \dots \otimes S^* P_{\delta_h}$ . Here  $P_{\delta_i}$  is a space of polynomials in one variable. The formula (3) defines the usual product in this tensor product of symmetric algebras.

2. By definition  $F_0$  is a space of all meromorphic functions in variables  $\{u_1, \dots, u_h\}$ . For  $f, g \in F_0$  we have (see (2))  $f * g = fg$ . It is the usual product of functions. So  $F_0$  is a field. We have  $F_0 * F_l \subset F_l$  and  $F_l * F_0 \subset F_l$  so we have two structures of a  $F_0$ -vector space on  $F_l$ . These two structures are connected by the following: for  $f \in F_0$  and  $g \in F_l$  we have:  $g * f(u_1, \dots, u_h) = f(u_1 - 2(l, \delta_1)\tau, \dots, u_h - 2(l, \delta_h)\tau) * g$  (see (2)). For  $\tau = 0$ , the algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, 0)$  is a  $F_0$ -algebra.

**1.3. Algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ .** For every linear form  $n : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  we will construct a subalgebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  in the algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . By definition  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau) = \bigoplus_{l \in L^+} Q_l$ ,

here  $Q_l \subset F_l$  is a space of functions satisfied following conditions:

1.  $f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h)$  as a function in variables  $\{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_h,h}\}$  is holomorphic outside the divisors  $\{x_{\alpha,i} - x_{\beta,j} = 0; i \neq j, (\delta_i, \delta_j) \neq 0\}$  and has a pole of order  $\leq 1$  on these divisors.

2. For each  $x_{\alpha,i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq l_i$   $f$  satisfies (1) for  $m = n_i$ ,  $c = u_i - (\delta_i, l)\tau$  as a function in  $x_{\alpha,i}$ . Here  $n_i = n(\delta_i)$ . So we have:

$$f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{\alpha,i} + 1, \dots, x_{l_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) = f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h);$$

$$f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{\alpha,i} + \eta, \dots, x_{l_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) = e^{-2\pi i(n_i x_{\alpha,i} + u_i - (\delta_i, l)\tau)} f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h)$$

3. Let  $1 \leq i, j \leq h$  such that  $a_{i,j} < 0$ . Let  $1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{-a_{i,j}+1} \leq l_i$ ,  $1 \leq \beta \leq l_j$ . Then  $f(x_{1,1}, \dots, u_h) = 0$  on the affine subspace of codimension  $-a_{i,j} + 1$  defined by the following relations:

$$x_{\alpha_1,i} - x_{\alpha_2,i} = (\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau, x_{\alpha_2,i} - x_{\alpha_3,i} = (\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau, \dots, x_{\alpha_{-a_{i,j}+1},i} - x_{\alpha_{-a_{i,j}+2},i} = (\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau,$$

$$x_{\alpha_{-a_{i,j}+1},i} - x_{\beta,j} = (\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau, x_{\beta,j} - x_{\alpha_1,i} = (\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau.$$

4. Let  $\{\delta_{i_1}, \dots, \delta_{i_p}\}$  generates an irreducible component of the root system  $\Delta$ ;  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_h; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_h; \nu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mu_j = \lambda_j = 0$  if  $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ ,  $\mu_{i_\alpha} = -\nu$ ,  $\lambda_{i_\alpha} = n_{i_\alpha} \nu$  for  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p$ . Then  $f(x_{1,1} + \mu_1, \dots, x_{l_1,1} + \mu_1; \dots; x_{1,h} + \mu_h, \dots, x_{l_h,h} + \mu_h; u_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, u_h + \lambda_h) = f(x_{1,1}, \dots, u_h)$ .

**Proposition 2.** *The space  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is closed with respect to the product  $*$  defined by (2). So  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is a subalgebra of the algebra  $F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ .*

**Proof.** By direct calculation it is easy to see that the product  $*$  preserves each of the properties 1-4.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$  is a root subsystem generated by some subset of  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_h\}$ . Then  $F_{\Delta_1}(\mathcal{E}, \tau) \subset F_\Delta(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ ,  $Q_{m,\Delta_1}(\mathcal{E}, \tau) \subset Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  as graded subalgebras. Here  $m$  is a restriction of  $n$  to the sublattice generated by  $\Delta_1$ .*

**Proof.** It is evident from the definitions.

**Remarks.** 1.  $Q_0$  is a field of meromorphic functions  $f(u_1, \dots, u_h)$  satisfying the property 4.

2. We have  $Q_0 * Q_l \subset Q_l$  and  $Q_l * Q_0 \subset Q_l$  so  $Q_l$  has two structures of a  $Q_0$ -vector space. These two structures are connected in the following way: for  $f \in Q_0$  and  $g \in Q_l$  we have;  $g * f(u_1, \dots, u_h) = f(u_1 - 2(l, \delta_1)\tau, \dots, u_h - 2(l, \delta_h)\tau) * g$  (see (2)), so the dimension of these spaces is the same.

3. From the properties 1 and 2 of the elements from the space  $Q_l$  it follows that  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_l$  is finite for each  $l \in L^+$ .

4. For  $\tau = 0$  the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is a commutative  $Q_0$ -algebra.

5.  $Q_{\delta_i}$  consists of the functions  $f(x; u_1, \dots, u_h)$  which are holomorphic with respect to  $x$  and satisfy the properties 2 and 4. So  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\delta_i} = n_i$  if  $n_i > 0$  and  $Q_{\delta_i} = 0$  if  $n_i \leq 0$ .

6.  $Q_{\alpha\delta_i}$  consists of the functions  $f(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha; u_1, \dots, u_h)$  which are holomorphic and symmetric with respect to  $\{x_1, \dots, x_\alpha\}$  and satisfy the properties 2 and 4. It is clear that  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\alpha\delta_i} = \frac{n_i(n_i+1)\dots(n_i+\alpha-1)}{\alpha!}$  if  $n_i > 0$  and  $Q_{\alpha\delta_i} = 0$  if  $n_i \leq 0$ .

7. If  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$  then  $Q_{\alpha\delta_i + \beta\delta_j} \cong Q_{\alpha\delta_i} \otimes_{Q_0} Q_{\beta\delta_j}$ .

8. If  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) \neq 0$  (and  $i \neq j$ ), then  $Q_{\delta_i + \delta_j}$  becomes bigger than  $Q_{\delta_i} \otimes_{Q_0} Q_{\delta_j}$  because of the pole part of the functions from  $Q_{\delta_i + \delta_j}$ . So we have:  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\delta_i + \delta_j} = n_i n_j + n_i + n_j$ , if  $n_i, n_j \geq 0$ . If  $n_i$  or  $n_j < 0$ , then  $Q_{\delta_i + \delta_j} = 0$ .

## §2. Representations of the algebras $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ .

Let  $p \in L^+$ ,  $p = p_1\delta_1 + \dots + p_h\delta_h$ . Let  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  be the algebra generated by  $\{e_{\alpha,i}, e_{\alpha,i}^{-1}; 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq \alpha \leq p_i\}$  and  $\{\varphi(y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{p_1,1}; \dots; y_{1,h}, \dots, y_{p_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h)\}$ , where  $\varphi$  is any meromorphic function in variables  $\{y_{\alpha,i}, u_i; 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq \alpha \leq p_i\}$  such that as a function of  $\{y_{\alpha,i}\}$  it has poles only on the divisors of the form  $\{y_{\alpha,i} - y_{\beta,j} - (\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau + \mu(\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau - \nu(\delta_j, \delta_j)\tau = 0; \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}, (\delta_i, \delta_j) \neq 0\}$ . We assume such the following relations hold:

$$e_{\alpha,i}e_{\beta,j} = -e^{2\pi i(y_{\beta,j}-y_{\alpha,i})} \frac{\theta(y_{\alpha,i} - y_{\beta,j} - (\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau)}{\theta(y_{\beta,j} - y_{\alpha,i} - (\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau)} e_{\beta,j}e_{\alpha,i} \quad (4)$$

$e_{\alpha,i}y_{\beta,j} = y_{\beta,j}e_{\alpha,i}$ , here  $i \neq j$  or  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ;

$$e_{\alpha,i}e_{\alpha,i}^{-1} = 1, e_{\alpha,i}y_{\alpha,i} = (y_{\alpha,i} + (\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau)e_{\alpha,i}, e_{\alpha,i}u_j = (u_j - 2(\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau)e_{\alpha,i};$$

$$[y_{\alpha,i}, y_{\beta,j}] = [y_{\alpha,i}, u_j] = [u_i, u_j] = 0.$$

Let us define the map  $x : Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau) \rightarrow A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  by the following. For  $f \in Q_0$ , we put  $x(f) = f$ . Recall that  $Q_0 \subset A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  by definition. For  $f(x; u_1, \dots, u_h) \in Q_{\delta_i}$  (if  $n_i > 0$ ), we put  $x(f) = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq p_i} f(y_{\alpha,i}; u_1, \dots, u_h)e_{\alpha,i}$ . In general case for  $f(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{l_h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) \in Q_l$ ,  $l = l_1\delta_1 + \dots + l_h\delta_h$ , we define:

$$x(f) = \sum_{\substack{\varphi_{1,1}, \dots, \varphi_{p_h,h} \geq 0, \\ \varphi_{1,i} + \dots + \varphi_{p_i,i} = l_i \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq h}} B_{\varphi_{1,1}, \dots, \varphi_{p_h,h}} e_{1,1}^{\varphi_{1,1}} \dots e_{p_1,1}^{\varphi_{p_1,1}} \dots e_{1,h}^{\varphi_{1,h}} \dots e_{p_h,h}^{\varphi_{p_h,h}} \quad (5)$$

Here  $B_{\varphi_{1,1}, \dots, \varphi_{p_h,h}}$  is a function in variables  $\{y_{\alpha,i}, u_i\}$ ,

$$B_{\varphi_{1,1}, \dots, \varphi_{p_h,h}} = f(y_{1,1}, y_{1,1} + (\delta_1, \delta_1)\tau, \dots, y_{1,1} + (\varphi_{1,1} - 1)(\delta_1, \delta_1)\tau, \dots, y_{p_h,h}, y_{p_h,h} + (\delta_h, \delta_h)\tau, \dots, y_{p_h,h} + (\varphi_{p_h,h} - 1)(\delta_h, \delta_h)\tau; u_1, \dots, u_h) \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq h, \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq p_i, 1 \leq \beta \leq p_j, \\ 0 \leq \mu \leq \varphi_{\alpha,i} - 1, 0 \leq \nu \leq \varphi_{\beta,j} - 1; \\ i \leq j; \text{ if } i=j, \text{ then } \alpha \leq \beta; \\ \text{if } i=j, \alpha=\beta, \text{ then } \mu < \nu}} \frac{\theta(y_{\alpha,i} + \mu(\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau - y_{\beta,j} - \nu(\delta_j, \delta_j)\tau)}{\theta(y_{\alpha,i} + \mu(\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau - y_{\beta,j} - \nu(\delta_j, \delta_j)\tau - (\delta_i, \delta_j)\tau)}$$

**Proposition 4.**  $x$  is homomorphism of algebras.

**Proof.** It is direct checking using the formulas (2) and (4).

**Remarks. 1.** If  $\varphi_{\alpha,i} = 0$  for some  $\alpha$  and  $i$ , then  $f$  does not contain variable  $y_{\alpha,i}$  in corresponding part of the formula (5). For  $\varphi_{\alpha,i} > 0$ ,  $f$  contains variables  $y_{\alpha,i} + \mu(\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau$  for  $0 \leq \mu \leq \varphi_{\alpha,i} - 1$ .

**2.** It is possible to construct representations of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  using homomorphism  $x$ . For this let us construct the representation of the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  with diagonal action of the elements  $\{y_{\alpha,i}, u_i\}$ . The basis of this representation consists of monomials of the elements  $\{e_{\alpha,i}\}$ . The homomorphism  $x$  defines the representation of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  in this space.



### §3. Intertwining operators.

In this paragraph we assume that  $\Delta$  is a root system of the finite dimension Lie algebra. The intertwining operators for the representations of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  are constructed in the following way (see also [6], §3). Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . We say that an element  $C \in A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$   $\sigma$ -commutes with the image  $x(Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau))$ , if for each element  $f \in Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  we have:  $Cx(f) = (\sigma x(f))C$  in the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . Let  $\pi$  be some representation of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  constructed by the homomorphism  $x$  (see remark 2 in §2). It is clear that  $C$  defines the intertwining operator between the representations  $\pi$  and  $\pi^\sigma$  of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . Let  $C_1 \in A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$   $\sigma_1$ -commutes and  $C_2 \in A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$   $\sigma_2$ -commutes with  $x(Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau))$ . It is clear that  $(\sigma_2 C_1)C_2$   $\sigma_2 \sigma_1$ -commutes with  $x(Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau))$ . This product coincides with the product of the intertwining operators.

Let  $L_{\mathbb{C}} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with a basis  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_h\}$ . Let  $\mu \in L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mu = \mu_1 \delta_1 + \dots + \mu_h \delta_h$ . Let us define an automorphism  $T_\mu$  of the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  by the following:  $T_\mu(e_{\alpha,i}) = e_{\alpha,i}$ ,  $T_\mu(y_{\alpha,i}) = y_{\alpha,i}$ ,  $T_\mu(u_i) = u_i + \mu_i$ . We will look for the elements of the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  that  $T_\mu$ -commutes with  $x(Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau))$ . The algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is  $L$ -graded, if we assume  $\deg y_{\alpha,i} = \deg u_i = 0$ ,  $\deg e_{\alpha,i} = \delta_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq p_i$ . It is clear that  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau) = \bigoplus_{l \in L} A_l$ , here  $A_l$  is the space of elements of degree  $l$  and  $A_l A_{l'} \subset A_{l+l'}$  in the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . It is clear that the homomorphism  $x$  preserves the grading, so  $x(Q_l) \subset A_l$ . Let  $\omega : L \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{C}}$  be a homomorphism of abelian groups. We denote by  $A_{p,\Delta}^\omega(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  the associative algebra such that as a linear space it is isomorphic to  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  and the product  $\circ$  is defined by the following:  $C_1 \circ C_2 = (T_{\omega(l_2)} C_1) C_2$ . Here  $C_1 \in A_{l_1}$ ,  $C_2 \in A_{l_2}$  and  $\circ$  is the product in the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}^\omega(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . We will construct a homomorphism

$$y : Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau) \rightarrow A_{p,\Delta}^\omega(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$$

for some  $n'$  and  $\omega$  such that for each elements  $f \in Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ ,  $g \in Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$  we will have:

$$y(g)x(f) = (T_{-\omega(l)} x(f))y(g)$$

in the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . Here  $g \in Q'_l$ ,  $Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau) = \bigoplus_{l \in L^+} Q'_l$  is the decomposition of  $Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$  for  $L^+$ -grading. We will have  $y(Q'_l) \subset A_{-l}$ .

Let  $n' : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be such homomorphism that

$$n'(\delta_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h} a_{j,i} p_j - n(\delta_i)$$

We denote  $n'_i = n'(\delta_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq h$ .

Let  $A'_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$  be the algebra with generators  $\{e'_{\alpha,i}, e'^{-1}_{\alpha,i}; 1 \leq i \leq h, 1 \leq \alpha \leq p_i\}$  and  $\{\varphi(y'_{1,1}, \dots, y'_{p_1,1}; \dots; y'_{1,h}, \dots, y'_{p_h,h}; u'_1, \dots, u'_h)\}$ , where  $\varphi$  are meromorphic functions. We assume the the relations are as in (4), with  $\tau$  replaced by  $-\tau$  and  $e_{\alpha,i}, y_{\alpha,i}, u_i$  replaced by  $e'_{\alpha,i}, y'_{\alpha,i}, u'_i$  respectively. It is clear, that there is a homomorphism  $x' : Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau) \rightarrow A'_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$  defined by (5), where  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is replaced by  $Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$  and  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is replaced by  $A'_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$ . Here  $Q'_0$  consists of meromorphic functions in variables  $\{u'_1, \dots, u'_h\}$ .

Let  $\omega : L \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{C}}$  be such homomorphism that

$$\omega(\delta_i) = - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h} 2(\delta_i, \delta_j) \tau \cdot \delta_j$$

**Proposition 5.** *Following formulas define the homomorphism of the algebras  $\varkappa : A'_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau) \rightarrow A^\omega_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ :*

$$\varkappa(y'_{\alpha,i}) = y_{\alpha,i}$$

$$\varkappa(u'_i) = -u_i - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq h, \\ 1 \leq \beta \leq p_j}} a_{j,i} y_{\beta,j} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa(e'_{\alpha,i}) = & \prod_{\substack{j \neq i, 1 \leq j \leq h, \\ 1 \leq \beta \leq p_j, 0 \leq \delta \leq -a_{j,i} - 1}} (\theta(y_{\alpha,i} - y_{\beta,j} - ((\delta_i, \delta_j) + (\delta_i, \delta_i) + \delta(\delta_j, \delta_j))\tau) e^{\pi i y_{\beta,j}}) \times \\ & \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \beta \leq p_i \\ \beta \neq \alpha}} \frac{e^{-2\pi i y_{\beta,i}}}{\theta(y_{\alpha,i} - y_{\beta,i} - (\delta_i, \delta_i)\tau) \theta(y_{\alpha,i} - y_{\beta,i})} \cdot e_{\alpha,i}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** It is a direct checking of the relations (4).

Let  $T'_\mu$  be an automorphism of the algebra  $A'_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$  such that  $T'_\mu e'_{\alpha,i} = e'_{\alpha,i}$ ,  $T'_\mu y'_{\alpha,i} = y'_{\alpha,i}$ ,  $T'_\mu u'_i = u'_i + \mu_i$ . Here  $\mu \in L_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\mu = \mu_1 \delta_1 + \dots + \mu_h \delta_h$ . We put:

$$\mu_i = (-(n'_i + 3)(\delta_i, \delta_i) + (\delta_i, p))\tau + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h} a_{j,i} p_j$$

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $y : Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau) \rightarrow A^\omega_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  be the composition of the homomorphisms  $x'$ ,  $T'_\mu$  and  $\varkappa$ , so  $y(g) = \varkappa T'_\mu x'(g)$  for  $g \in Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$ . Then for each  $g \in Q_{n',\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, -\tau)$ ,  $f \in Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  there is a relation  $y(g)x(f) = (T_{-\omega(l)}x(f))y(g)$  in the algebra  $A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ . Here  $g \in Q'_l$ .*

**Proof.** See [6], §3 for the case of strict dominant  $n$  and  $n'$  (this means  $n(\delta_i) > 0$  and  $n'(\delta_i) > 0$ ). In general case the proof is similar.

**Remarks. 1.** This construction for the case of strict dominant  $n$  and  $n'$  was done in [6], §3, propositions 3 and 4. We have extended this construction for general case above.

**2.** In [6], §3, Proposition 5 we have constructed another elements  $K_d \in A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  that  $T_\nu$ -commute with  $x(Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau))$ . Here  $d$  is such that  $\frac{d}{(\delta_i, \delta_i)} \in \mathbb{N}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ,  $\nu = -d\tau(n'_1\delta_1 + \dots + n'_h\delta_h)$ . In [6] we considered only the case of strict dominant  $n$  and  $n'$ , but it is clear that the Proposition 5 holds for general case.

**3.** In [6] we used slightly different notations (compare [6], §1 and §1 of this paper). In notations of [6] we had to divide by  $n_i$  (see for example [6], formula (1)) but it is not good if we want to consider the case  $n_i = 0$  for some  $i$ . So we have to change the notations.

## §4. Examples and applications.

### 4.1. Case of finite root system $\Delta$ and dominant $n$ .

This case was studied in [6]. We remind the main result about the structure of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^+$  be the Cartan decomposition,  $\{g_\gamma; \gamma \in \Delta^+\}$  be a basis in  $\mathfrak{g}^+$ ,  $\varphi_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \in \mathbb{C}$  be the structure constants, so  $[g_{\gamma_1}, g_{\gamma_2}] = \varphi_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} g_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$ . We denote by  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^+(\mathcal{E}, n)$  the following  $L^+$ -graded Lie algebra over field  $Q_0$ :

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^+(\mathcal{E}, n) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} \Theta_{n(\gamma), u(\gamma)}(\Gamma)$$

as a linear space. Here  $u(\gamma) = u_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + u_h \gamma_h$  for  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \delta_1 + \dots + \gamma_h \delta_h$ . The commutator for  $\mu \in \Theta_{n(\gamma), u(\gamma)}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Theta_{n(\gamma'), u(\gamma')}(\Gamma)$  is by definition  $[\mu, \lambda] = \varphi_{\gamma, \gamma'} \mu \cdot \lambda$ . Here  $\mu \cdot \lambda$  is the usual product of functions. We remind that by definition  $\Theta_{n(\gamma), u(\gamma)}(\Gamma) = 0$  if  $n(\gamma) = 0$  (see §1.1). It is clear that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^+(\mathcal{E}, n)$  is a subalgebra of the current algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^+$  over field  $Q_0$ .

**Proposition 7.** *The algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ , defined for finite root system  $\Delta$  and dominant  $n$  is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^+(\mathcal{E}, n))$  in the class of  $L^+$ -graded associative algebras.*

**Remark.** From this proposition it follows that the Hilbert function of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  for finite  $\Delta$  and dominant  $n$  is

$$\sum_{l \in L^+} \dim_{Q_0} Q_l w^l = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta^+} (1 - w^\delta)^{-n(\delta)}$$

Here  $w^l = w_1^{l_1} \dots w_h^{l_h}$  for  $l = l_1 \delta_1 + \dots + l_h \delta_h$ ;  $w_1, \dots, w_h$  are formal parameters.

### 4.2. The Zamolodchikove algebra for the elliptic Belavin $R$ -matrix.

Let  $\Delta$  be root system  $A_h$ , so  $(\delta_i, \delta_i) = 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_{i+1}) = -1$ ,  $1 \leq i < h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$  if  $|i - j| > 1$ . Let  $n(\delta_1) = m > 0$ ,  $n(\delta_2) = \dots = n(\delta_h) = 0$ . The Hilbert function of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  has a form (see §4.1):  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} (1 - w^\gamma)^{-n(\gamma)} = (1 - w_1)^{-m} (1 - w_1 w_2)^{-m} \dots (1 - w_1 \dots w_h)^{-m}$ . So the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is a deformation of the polynomial ring in  $hm$  variables:  $m$  variables of degree  $\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq h$ . It is easy to check that the space  $Q_{\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i}$  consists of functions of the form:

$$f(x_{1,1}; \dots; x_{1,i}; u_1, \dots, u_h) = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < i} \theta(x_{1,\alpha} - x_{1,\alpha+1} - \sum_{\alpha < \nu \leq i} u_\nu + \tau)}{\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < i} \theta(x_{1,\alpha} - x_{1,\alpha+1})} \varphi(x_{1,1}),$$

where  $\varphi(x) \in \Theta_{m, u_1 + \dots + u_i}(\Gamma)$ .

**Proposition 8.** *The space  $Q_{\delta_1+\dots+\delta_i}$  generates the algebra  $Q_m(\mathcal{E}, \frac{2}{m}\tau)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq h$ . The commutation relations between  $Q_{\delta_1+\dots+\delta_i}$  and  $Q_{\delta_1+\dots+\delta_j}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq h$  are defined by the elliptic  $R$ -matrix  $R_m(\mathcal{E}, \frac{2}{m}\tau)$  (for the definitions of  $Q_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  and  $R_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  see [1]).*

**Proof.** It is enough to proof the proposition for the image of the homomorphism  $x : Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau) \rightarrow A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ , because for large  $\{p_i\}$  this homomorphism is injection. Let us denote by  $z_{\varphi,i}$  the image of the element from the space  $Q_{\delta_1+\dots+\delta_i}$  for a function  $\varphi(x) \in \Theta_{m,u_1+\dots+u_i}(\Gamma)$ . We denote by  $v_i = u_1 + \dots + u_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq h$ . The formula (5) gives us the following expression for  $z_{\varphi,i} \in A_{p,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ :

$$z_{\varphi,i} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p_1 \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ 1 \leq \alpha_i \leq p_i}} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \nu < i} \theta(y_{\alpha_\nu, \nu} - y_{\alpha_{\nu+1}, \nu+1} + v_\nu - v_i + \tau)}{\prod_{1 \leq \nu < i} \theta(y_{\alpha_\nu, \nu} - y_{\alpha_{\nu+1}, \nu+1} + \tau)} \varphi(y_{\alpha_1, 1}) e_{\alpha_1, 1} e_{\alpha_2, 2} \dots e_{\alpha_i, i} \quad (7)$$

Let

$$f_{\alpha_1, i} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq p_2 \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ 1 \leq \alpha_i \leq p_i}} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq \nu < i} \theta(y_{\alpha_\nu, \nu} - y_{\alpha_{\nu+1}, \nu+1} + v_\nu - v_i + \tau)}{\prod_{1 \leq \nu < i} \theta(y_{\alpha_\nu, \nu} - y_{\alpha_{\nu+1}, \nu+1} + \tau)} e_{\alpha_1, 1} e_{\alpha_2, 2} \dots e_{\alpha_i, i} \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) we have:

$$z_{\varphi, i} = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq p_1} \varphi(y_{\alpha, 1}) f_{\alpha, i} \quad (9)$$

Using (4) it is easy to check the following relations between  $\{f_{\alpha, i}, y_{\alpha, 1}, v_i; 1 \leq \alpha \leq p_1; 1 \leq i \leq h\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha, i} f_{\beta, j} &= \frac{e^{2\pi i \tau} \theta(v_i - v_j) \theta(y_{\alpha, 1} - y_{\beta, 1} - 2\tau)}{\theta(v_i - v_j + 2\tau) \theta(y_{\alpha, 1} - y_{\beta, 1})} f_{\beta, j} f_{\alpha, i} + \\ &\frac{e^{2\pi i (v_i - v_j - \tau)} \theta(2\tau) \theta(y_{\alpha, 1} - y_{\beta, 1} + v_j - v_i)}{\theta(v_i - v_j + 2\tau) \theta(y_{\alpha, 1} - y_{\beta, 1})} f_{\alpha, j} f_{\beta, i}, \text{ here } \alpha \neq \beta, i < j; \\ f_{\alpha, i} f_{\beta, i} &= -e^{2\pi i (y_{\beta, 1} - y_{\alpha, 1})} \frac{\theta(y_{\alpha, 1} - y_{\beta, 1} - 2\tau)}{\theta(y_{\beta, 1} - y_{\alpha, 1} - 2\tau)} f_{\beta, i} f_{\alpha, i}, \text{ here } \alpha \neq \beta; \\ f_{\alpha, j} f_{\alpha, i} &= e^{2\pi i \tau} f_{\alpha, i} f_{\alpha, j}, \text{ here } i < j; \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$f_{\alpha,i}y_{\beta,1} = y_{\beta,1}f_{\alpha,i}, \text{ here } \alpha \neq \beta; f_{\alpha,i}y_{\alpha,1} = (y_{\alpha,1} + 2\tau)f_{\alpha,i};$$

$$f_{\alpha,i}v_j = (v_j - 2\tau)f_{\alpha,i}, \text{ here } i \neq j; f_{\alpha,i}v_i = (v_i - 4\tau)f_{\alpha,i};$$

$$[y_{\alpha,1}, y_{\beta,1}] = [y_{\alpha,1}, v_i] = [v_i, v_j] = 0.$$

The proposition follows from the relations (10), the formula (9) and the results of [2].

#### 4.3. The elliptic deformation of the algebra functions on Grassmannian.

Let us set  $\Delta = A_h$ ,  $(\delta_i, \delta_i) = 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_{i+1}) = -1$ ,  $1 \leq i < h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$  if  $|i - j| > 1$  as above. Let  $n(\delta_1) = -1$ ,  $n(\delta_i) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq h - 1$ ,  $n(\delta_h) = m > 0$ . The space  $Q_{\delta_1+2\delta_2+\dots+h\delta_h}$  consists of the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_{1,1}; x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}; \dots; x_{1,h}, \dots, x_{h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) = \\ & \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < h} \theta\left(\sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq i} x_{\alpha,i} - \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq i+1} x_{\alpha,i+1} + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq i} u_{\alpha}\right) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h, \\ 1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq i}} \theta(x_{\alpha,i} - x_{\beta,i} - \tau)}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < h, \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq i, 1 \leq \beta \leq i+1}} \theta(x_{\alpha,i} - x_{\beta,i+1})} \times (11) \\ & \varphi(x_{1,h}, \dots, x_{h,h}; u_1, \dots, u_h) \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_h; u_1, \dots, u_h)$  is holomorphic and symmetric in the variables  $\{x_1, \dots, x_h\}$  and satisfies relations:

$$\varphi(x_1 + 1, x_2, \dots, x_h; u_1, \dots, u_h) = \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_h; u_1, \dots, u_h)$$

$$\varphi(x_1 + \eta, x_2, \dots, x_h; u_1, \dots, u_h) =$$

$$e^{-2\pi i((m-2h+2)x_1+x_2+\dots+x_h+u_1+\dots+u_h)} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_h; u_1, \dots, u_h)$$

It is easy to check that the space of such functions is isomorphic to  $\Lambda^h \Theta_{m-h+1, u_1+\dots+u_h}(\Gamma)$ .

**Proposition 9.** For  $\tau = 0$  the subalgebra  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq 1} Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+2\delta_2+\dots+h\delta_h)}$  of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is an algebra of functions on the manifold of  $h$ -dimensional subspaces in  $m-h+1$ -dimensional vector space. This means that the algebra is commutative and generated by  $Q_{\delta_1+2\delta_2+\dots+h\delta_h} \cong \Lambda^h \Theta_{m-h+1, u_1+\dots+u_h}(\Gamma)$  and the Plucker relations. For generic  $\tau$  the algebra  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq 1} Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+2\delta_2+\dots+h\delta_h)}$  is a flat deformation of the algebra of functions on the Grassmannian  $Gr(h, m-h+1)$ .

**Proof.** For  $\tau = 0$  the proof is similar to the proof of proposition 8. From our definitions it follows that  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+2\delta_2+\dots+h\delta_h)}$  does not depend on  $\tau$ . So this dimension is the same as for  $\tau = 0$ .

#### 4.4. Algebra $Q_{hm+1,h}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ .

Let  $\Delta = A_h$ ,  $(\delta_i, \delta_i) = 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_{i+1}) = -1$ ,  $1 \leq i < h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$  if  $|i-j| > 1$  as above. Let  $n(\delta_1) = 1$ ,  $n(\delta_i) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq h-1$ ,  $n(\delta_h) = m > 0$ . From the proposition 7 it follows that the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, 0)$  is the algebra of polynomials in  $h(m+1)$  variables: one variable of degree  $\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i$  for each  $1 \leq i < h$ ,  $m$  variables of degree  $\delta_i + \dots + \delta_h$  for each  $1 < i \leq h$  and  $m+1$  variables of degree  $\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h$ . It is easy to see from this that the subalgebra  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq 1} Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+\dots+\delta_h)}$  of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, 0)$  is the algebra of polynomials in  $hm+1$  variables of degree  $\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h$ . So the subalgebra  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq 1} Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+\dots+\delta_h)}$  of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is a flat deformation of the algebra of polynomials in  $hm+1$  variables. The Hilbert function is  $1 + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \dim_{Q_0} Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+\dots+\delta_h)} w^\alpha = (1-w)^{-(hm+1)}$ .

**Proposition 10.** *The subalgebra  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \geq 1} Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+\dots+\delta_h)}$  of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is isomorphic to  $Q_{hm+1,h}(\mathcal{E}, \frac{2}{hm+1}\tau)$ .*

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of proposition 8, using the construction of the algebras  $Q_{n,k}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  (see [2]).

#### 4.5. Generalized elliptic R-matrix.

Let  $\Delta = A_h$ ,  $(\delta_i, \delta_i) = 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_{i+1}) = -1$ ,  $1 \leq i < h$ ;  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$  if  $|i-j| > 1$  as above. We fix  $\nu$ ,  $1 < \nu < h$ . Let  $n(\delta_\nu) = 1$ ,  $n(\delta_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ,  $i \neq \nu$ . According to the proposition 7 the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, 0)$  is the algebra of polynomials in  $\nu(h-\nu+1)$  variables: one variable of degree  $\delta_{i_1} + \delta_{i_1+1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}$  for each  $i_1, i_2$  such that  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \nu \leq i_2 \leq h$ . The algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is a flat deformation of this polynomial ring. It is clear that in the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  we have  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\delta_{i_1}+\dots+\delta_{i_2}} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \nu \leq i_2 \leq h$ ,  $Q_{\delta_{i_1}+\dots+\delta_{i_2}} = 0$  for  $\nu < i_1$  or  $i_2 < \nu$ . Let  $e_{i_1, i_2}$  be a nonzero element from  $Q_{\delta_{i_1}+\delta_{i_1+1}+\dots+\delta_{i_2}}$  (for  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \nu \leq i_2 \leq h$ ). It is easy to check that we can choose  $e_{i_1, i_2}$  in such a form:

$$e_{i_1, i_2} = \frac{\prod_{i_1 \leq \varphi < \nu} \theta(x_{1,\varphi} - x_{1,\varphi+1} + \sum_{i_1 \leq \alpha \leq \varphi} u_\alpha - \tau) \prod_{\nu \leq \psi < i_2} \theta(x_{1,\psi} - x_{1,\psi+1} - \sum_{\psi < \alpha \leq i_2} u_\alpha + \tau)}{\prod_{i_1 \leq p < i_2} \theta(x_{1,p} - x_{1,p+1})} \times \quad (12)$$

$$\theta(x_{1,\nu} + \sum_{i_1 \leq \alpha \leq i_2} u_\alpha - 2\tau)$$

Let us denote  $v_i = u_i + u_{i+1} + \dots + u_\nu$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$  and  $w_i = u_\nu + u_{\nu+1} + \dots + u_i$  for  $\nu \leq i \leq h$ . We denote  $q(j, j') = e^{2\pi i \tau}$  for  $j < j'$ ,  $q(j, j') = e^{-2\pi i \tau}$  for  $j > j'$ ,  $q(j, j) = 1$ .

**Proposition 11.** *The elements  $\{e_{i_1, i_2}, v_{i_1}, w_{i_2}; 1 \leq i_1 \leq \nu \leq i_2 \leq h\}$  satisfy following commutation relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{i_1, i_2} e_{i'_1, i'_2} &= \frac{\theta(v_{i_1} - v_{i'_1} - 2\tau) \theta(w_{i'_2} - w_{i_2}) q(i'_1, i_1) q(i'_2, i_2)}{\theta(v_{i_1} - v_{i'_1}) \theta(w_{i'_2} - w_{i_2} - 2\tau)} e_{i'_1, i'_2} e_{i_1, i_2} + \quad (13) \\
&\quad \frac{\theta(-2\tau) q(i'_2, i_2) \theta(w_{i'_2} - w_{i_2} - v_{i_1} + v_{i'_1})}{\theta(v_{i'_1} - v_{i_1}) \theta(w_{i'_2} - w_{i_2} - 2\tau)} e_{i_1, i'_2} e_{i'_1, i_2}, \text{ for } i_1 \neq i'_1, i_2 \neq i'_2; \\
e_{i_1, i_2} e_{i'_1, i_2} &= q(i'_1, i_1) e_{i'_1, i_2} e_{i_1, i_2}; e_{i_1, i_2} e_{i_1, i'_2} = q(i'_2, i_2) e_{i_1, i'_2} e_{i_1, i_2}; \\
e_{i_1, i_2} v_{i'_1} &= v_{i'_1} e_{i_1, i_2} \text{ for } i_1 \neq i'_1; e_{i_1, i_2} w_{i'_2} = w_{i'_2} e_{i_1, i_2} \text{ for } i_2 \neq i'_2; \\
e_{i_1, i_2} v_{i_1} &= (v_{i_1} - 2\tau) e_{i_1, i_2}; e_{i_1, i_2} w_{i_2} = (w_{i_2} - 2\tau) e_{i_1, i_2}; \\
[v_{i_1}, v_{i'_1}] &= [w_{i_2}, w_{i'_2}] = [v_{i_1}, w_{i_2}] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** It is clear that

$$e_{i_1, i_2} e_{i'_1, i'_2}, e_{i'_1, i'_2} e_{i_1, i_2}, e_{i_1, i'_2} e_{i'_1, i_2} \in Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \delta_{i_1+1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2} + \delta_{i'_1} + \delta_{i'_1+1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}}$$

but  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2} + \delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}} = 2$ , so there is a linear relation between these elements. It is easy to find the coefficients of this relation using (2). Another relations in (13) are easy to check directly.

**Remark.** If we put  $n(\delta_\nu) = m > 0$  instead of  $n(\delta_\nu) = 1$ , then we will have an algebra that is a deformation of the polynomial ring in  $m\nu(h - \nu + 1)$  variables:  $m$  variables of degree  $\delta_{i_1} + \delta_{i_1+1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}$  for each  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \nu \leq i_2 \leq h$ . It is clear that  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}} = m$  for  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \nu \leq i_2 \leq h$ ,  $Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}} = 0$  for  $i_1 > \nu$  or  $i_2 < \nu$  and  $\dim_{Q_0} Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2} + \delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}} = 2m^2$  for  $1 \leq i_1, i'_1 \leq \nu \leq i_2, i'_2 \leq h$ . So the elements from the product  $Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}} * Q_{\delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}}$  are uniquely expressed as linear combination of the elements from the space  $Q_{\delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}} * Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}} \oplus Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}} * Q_{\delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}}$ . So we have a map

$$Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}} \otimes Q_{\delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}} \rightarrow Q_{\delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}} \otimes Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}} \oplus Q_{\delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i'_2}} \otimes Q_{\delta_{i'_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_2}}$$

It is clear that this map satisfies the Yang-Baxter equations.



**§5. Case of affine root system.**  
**A family of commuting elements.**

Let  $\Delta$  be the root system of the Lie algebra  $\widehat{sl}_h$ . So  $(\delta_i, \delta_i) = 2$ ,  $(\delta_i, \delta_{i+1}) = -1$  and  $(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$  otherwise. Here we assume that  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_h$ . It is possible to prove that for dominant  $n$  the Hilbert function of  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is equal to

$$\prod_{\gamma \in \Delta^+} (1 - w^\gamma)^{-n(\gamma)k(\gamma)} \prod_{\alpha \geq \mu} (1 - w^{\alpha(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h)})^{-\alpha n(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h)}$$

Here  $k(\gamma)$  is a multiplicity of the root  $\gamma$  in the algebra  $\widehat{sl}_h$ ,  $\mu = 2$  for  $h = 2$  and  $\mu = 1$  for  $h > 2$ . So the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is bigger then in the finite dimensional case. Note that the element  $u_1 + \dots + u_h$  is central in the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$ , because the root  $\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h$  is imaginary. We denote by  $Q_{n,\Delta,c}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  (for  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ) the factor algebra of  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  by the relation  $u_1 + \dots + u_h = c$ . If  $n$  is dominant and  $n \neq 0$  then the Hilbert function of the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta,c}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is the same as for the algebra  $Q_{n,\Delta}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  and does not depend on  $c$ . Let us  $n = 0$ . The Hilbert function of the algebra  $Q_{0,\Delta,c}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is equal to 1 if  $c \notin \Gamma$  and is equal to  $\prod_{\alpha \geq 1} (1 - w^{\alpha(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h)})^{-h}$

for  $h > 2$  and  $(1 - w_1 w_2)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \geq 2} (1 - w_1^\alpha w_2^\alpha)^{-2}$  for  $h = 2$  if  $c \in \Gamma$ .

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $c \in \Gamma$ . The algebra  $Q_{0,\Delta,c}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is commutative for each  $\tau \in \mathcal{E}$  and isomorphic to polynomials in infinite number of variables. Namely, for  $h > 2$  it is the algebra of polynomials in  $h$  variables of degree  $\alpha(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h)$  for each  $\alpha \geq 1$  and for  $h = 2$  it is the algebra of polynomials in one variable of degree  $\delta_1 + \delta_2$  and two variables of degree  $\alpha(\delta_1 + \delta_2)$  for each  $\alpha \geq 2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $c = 0$ . Firstly we consider the case  $h > 2$ . Let  $g(z_1, \dots, z_h)$  be the holomorphic function in variables  $\{z_j; j \in \mathbb{Z}_h\}$  that satisfies the relations:

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_j + 1, \dots, z_h) = g(z_1, \dots, z_h),$$

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_j + \eta, \dots, z_h) = e^{-2\pi i(2z_j - z_{j-1} - z_{j+1} - \eta + u_j)} g(z_1, \dots, z_h) \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{Z}_h.$$

It is easy to see that the space of such functions is  $h$ -dimensional (over field of functions in variables  $\{u_j\}$ ) and  $g(z_1 + p, \dots, z_h + p) = g(z_1, \dots, z_h)$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $K_{\alpha,g}$  be the following element of the space  $Q_{\alpha(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_h)}$ :

$$K_{\alpha,g} = \frac{\prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_h, \\ 1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq \alpha}} \theta(x_{\mu,i} - x_{\nu,i} - 2\tau) \cdot g(x_{1,1} + \dots + x_{\alpha,1}, \dots, x_{1,h} + \dots + x_{\alpha,h})}{\prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_h, \\ 1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq \alpha}} \theta(x_{\mu,i} - x_{\nu,i+1})} \quad (14)$$

One can check by direct calculation that  $K_{\alpha_1, g_1} * K_{\alpha_2, g_2} = K_{\alpha_2, g_2} * K_{\alpha_1, g_1}$  for each  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2; g_1, g_2$ .

For  $h = 2$  the elements  $K_{\alpha,g}$  defined by (14) commute also, but in this case  $K_{\alpha,g} \notin Q_{\alpha(\delta_1+\delta_2)}$  because  $K_{\alpha,g}$  has a pole of order 2. In this case the algebra  $Q_{0,\Delta,0}(\mathcal{E}, \tau)$  is a subalgebra of the algebra generated by  $\{K_{\alpha,g}\}$ .

**§6. Elliptic deformation of the Poisson algebra.**  
**Another family of commuting elements.**

Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define an associative  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded algebra  $H_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  by the following: as a linear space  $H_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) = F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus F_3 \oplus \dots$ , where  $F_\alpha * F_\beta \subset F_{\alpha+\beta}$  and  $F_\alpha$  is a space of functions  $f(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^\alpha$  that satisfies the properties:

1.  $f(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha)$  is symmetric by  $x_1, \dots, x_\alpha$ .
2.  $f(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha)$  is holomorphic outside the divisors  $\{x_\mu - x_\nu = 0\}$  and has a pole of order  $\leq 2$  on these divisors.
3. For  $\alpha \geq 3$  we have:

$$f(x, x + \tau_1, x + \tau_1 + \tau_2, x_4, \dots, x_\alpha) = 0$$

$$f(x, x + \tau_2, x + \tau_2 + \tau_1, x_4, \dots, x_\alpha) = 0$$

4.  $f(x_1 + 1, x_2, \dots, x_\alpha) = f(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha)$ ,  
 $f(x_1 + \eta, x_2, \dots, x_\alpha) = e^{-2\pi i(m x_1 + c)} f(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha)$ , here  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is fixed.

We define the product  $*$  in the algebra  $H_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  by the following rule: for  $f \in F_\alpha$ ,  $g \in F_\beta$  we set:

$$f * g(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha+\beta}) = \frac{1}{\alpha! \beta!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{\alpha+\beta}} f(x_{\sigma_1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_\alpha}) g(x_{\sigma_{\alpha+1}}, \dots, x_{\sigma_{\alpha+\beta}}) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \mu \leq \alpha, \\ \alpha+1 \leq \nu \leq \alpha+\beta}} \lambda(x_{\sigma_\mu}, x_{\sigma_\nu}),$$

here  $\lambda(x, y) = \frac{\theta(x-y-\tau_1)\theta(x-y-\tau_2)\theta(x-y-\tau_3)}{\theta(x-y)^3}$ .

It is clear that for  $m > 0$  the algebra  $H_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  does not depend on  $c$ . It is possible to prove that for  $m > 0$  the algebra  $H_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  is a deformation in class of  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded associative algebras of the universal enveloping algebra of the following  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded Lie algebra  $h_{m,c}(\mathcal{E})$ : as a vector space,  $h_{m,c}(\mathcal{E}) = \Theta_{m,c}(\Gamma) \oplus \Theta_{2m,2c}(\Gamma) \oplus \dots$  and for  $\varphi \in \Theta_{\alpha m, \alpha c}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\psi \in \Theta_{\beta m, \beta c}(\Gamma)$ , the commutator  $[\varphi, \psi] = \beta \varphi' \psi - \alpha \psi' \varphi \in \Theta_{(\alpha+\beta)m, (\alpha+\beta)c}(\Gamma)$ . The Hilbert function of the algebra  $H_m(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  is  $1 + \sum_{\alpha \geq 1} \dim F_\alpha w^\alpha = \prod_{\alpha \geq 1} (1 - w^\alpha)^{-m\alpha}$  (see also [5]).

Now let us apply this construction to the case  $m = 0$ . For  $c \notin \Gamma$  we have  $H_0(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) = 0$ . For  $c \in \Gamma$  the Hilbert function of the algebra  $H_0(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  is equal to  $\prod_{\alpha \geq 1} (1 - w^\alpha)^{-1}$ . In this case the algebra  $H_0(\mathcal{E}, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$  is commutative for each  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$  and isomorphic to the polynomial algebra in infinite number of variables: one variable of degree  $\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Let us  $c = 0$ . We define the elements  $K_\alpha \in F_\alpha$  by the formula:

$$K_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_\alpha) = \prod_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq \alpha} \frac{\theta(x_\mu - x_\nu - \tau_1)\theta(x_\mu - x_\nu + \tau_1)}{\theta(x_\mu - x_\nu)^2} \text{ for } \alpha > 1,$$

$$K_1(x_1) = 1$$

It is easy to check by direct calculation that  $K_\alpha * K_\beta = K_\beta * K_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$  (see for details [5]).

### References.

1. A.V.Odesskii and B.L.Feigin, "Sklyanin's elliptic algebras", Funkts. Anal. Philozhen., 23, No. 3, 45-54 (1989).
2. A.V.Odesskii and B.L.Feigin, "Constructions of Sklyanin elliptic algebras and quantum  $R$ -matrices", Funkts. Anal. Philozhen. 27, No. 1, 37-45 (1993).
3. B.L.Feigin and A.V.Odesskii, Vector Bundles on Elliptic Curve and Sklyanin Algebras, RIMS-1032, September 1995, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
4. Cherednik I.V. On  $R$ -matrix quantization of formal loop groups. Group theoretical methods in physics, Voll. 2 (Yurmala, 1985), 161-180, VNU Sci. Press, Utrecht, 1986.
5. B.L.Feigin and A.V.Odesskii, A family of elliptic algebras. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1997, No 11, 531-539.
6. A.V.Odesskii and B.L.Feigin, Elliptic deformation of current algebras and their representations by difference operators. Funct. Anal. Appl. 31 (1997), No 3, 193-203 (1998).