

STRUCTURE OF THE MODULI SPACE OF STABLE SHEAVES ON ELLIPTIC FIBRATIONS

D. HERNÁNDEZ RUIPÉREZ AND J.M. MUÑOZ PORRAS

ABSTRACT. Let $X \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic surface and $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ the moduli space of torsion-free sheaves on X which are stable of relative degree zero with respect to a polarization of type $aH + b\mu$, H being the section and μ the elliptic fibre ($b \gg 0$). We characterize the subscheme of $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ which is isomorphic, via the relative Fourier-Mukai transform, with the relative compactified Simpson Jacobian of the family of those curves $D \hookrightarrow X$ which are flat over B . The main result is that this subscheme is a union of connected components of $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$. This generalizes and completes earlier constructions due to Friedman, Morgan and Witten. We also study the relative moduli scheme of sheaves whose restriction to each fibre is torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree zero for higher dimensional elliptic fibrations. The relative Fourier-Mukai transform induces an isomorphism between this relative moduli space and the relative n -th symmetric product of the fibration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been a growing interest in the moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on elliptic fibrations. Aside from their mathematical importance, these moduli spaces provide a geometric background to the study of some recent developments in string theory, notably in connection with the conjectural duality between F-theory and heterotic string theory ([12], [13], [3], [9]).

In this paper we study such moduli spaces, dealing both with the case of relatively and absolutely stable sheaves.

For the sake of simplicity we only consider elliptic fibrations $p: X \rightarrow B$ with a section and geometrically integral fibres, and assume that the base scheme B is normal, i.e., it is regular in codimension 1.

The first part is devoted to the study of the relative moduli scheme $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ of B -flat sheaves on $X \rightarrow B$ whose restrictions to the fibres of X are torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0. (One should notice that the case of nonzero relative degree is somehow simpler, cf. [13], [6]). Let $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow B$ be the “dual” elliptic fibration ([5]) defined as the compactified relative Jacobian of $X \rightarrow B$ (actually, \hat{X} turns out to be isomorphic with X). We prove that the relative Fourier-Mukai induces an isomorphism of B -schemes $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X}$ (Theorem 3.6). Friedman-Morgan-Witten’s theorem on the structure of the moduli $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of vector bundles in $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ whose determinant is fibrewise trivial is quite easily derived from our results. As a corollary

Date: March 26, 2019.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14D20, 14J60, 14J27, 14H40, 83E30.

Key words and phrases. stable sheaves and vector bundles, semistable sheaves and vector bundles, moduli, elliptic fibrations, elliptic surfaces, Fourier-Mukai transform, compactified Jacobians, spectral covers.

This research was partly supported by the Spanish DGES through the research project PB96-1305 and by the “Junta de Castilla y León” through the research project SA27/98.

we determine the Picard group and the canonical series of the relative moduli scheme $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$.

The second part of the work is devoted to the study of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ of absolutely stable torsion-free sheaves on X with respect to a polarization of the form $aH + b\mu$, where H is the section of $p: X \rightarrow B$ and μ is the pull-back of a polarization on B . In this part B is supposed to be a smooth projective curve. Given a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \rightarrow B$ flat over B and whose restriction to the generic fibre is semistable, its *spectral cover* $C_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}$ (defined by the 0th Fitting ideal of the first Fourier-Mukai transform) may contain fibres of the projection $\hat{p}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow B$ and then it is not flat over B . If we remove the components of $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ supported on fibres, we obtain a new spectral cover $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ which is flat over B . An important observation (Theorem 3.20) is that this property is still true when we consider sheaves defined $X \times T \rightarrow B \times T$ whose restrictions to the fibres over $V \times T$ (where V is an open subset of B) are torsion-free and semistable of degree zero.

Let \mathcal{H} be scheme of all possible spectral covers which are flat of degree n over B . \mathcal{H} can be identified with the Hilbert scheme of sections of the projection $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} \rightarrow B$. Let $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow B \times \mathcal{H}$ be the “universal spectral cover”. We prove (Theorem 4.9) that the Fourier-Mukai transform gives rise to an isomorphism between the compactified Jacobian $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{H})$ of the universal spectral cover and a union $\mathcal{M}'(a, b)$ of connected components of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ of absolutely stable sheaves on X . In particular we obtain that there is a fibration $\pi: \mathcal{M}'(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ whose fibres are generalized compactified Jacobians. The generic fibres, for instance the fibres $\pi^{-1}([D])$ over a point $[D] \in \mathcal{H}$ representing a smooth curve D , are abelian varieties, but there are points of \mathcal{H} whose fibres are not abelian varieties.

Since nonintegral (even nonreduced) spectral covers may appear in the theory, the compactified Jacobian of an arbitrary projective relative curve $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined as the Simpson moduli scheme of \mathcal{H} -flat sheaves on \mathcal{D} whose restriction to every fibre is of pure dimension one, rank one and stable with respect to a fixed polarization. For those sheaves whose restricted spectral covers are integral, we recover the results already proved in [13], but making no assumptions about the generic regularity of the restrictions of the sheaves to the fibres.

2. ELLIPTIC FIBRATIONS AND RELATIVE FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORM

2.1. Preliminaries. All the schemes considered in this paper are noetherian and all the sheaves are coherent. Let $p: X \rightarrow B$ be an elliptic fibration. By this we mean a proper flat morphism of schemes whose fibres are geometrically integral Gorenstein curves of arithmetic genus 1. We also assume that the base B is a normal algebraic scheme over an algebraically closed field and that p has a section $e: B \hookrightarrow X$ taking values in the smooth locus $X' \rightarrow B$ of p .

We write $H = e(B)$ and we denote by X_t the fibre of p over $t \in B$, and by $i_t: X_t \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion. We denote by $\mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow B$ be the open subset supporting the smooth fibres of $p: X \rightarrow B$. Let us denote by $\omega_{X/B}$ the relative dualizing sheaf. Then $p_*\omega_{X/B}$ is a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_B(E)$ and $\omega_{X/B} \simeq p^*\mathcal{O}_B(E)$, that is, $K_{X/B} = p^{-1}E$ is a relative canonical divisor. We denote, as in [8], $\omega = R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_X \simeq (p_*\omega_{X/B})^*$, so that $\omega = \mathcal{O}_B(-E)$. Adjunction formula for $H \hookrightarrow X$ gives $\mathcal{O}_H = \omega_{H/B} = \omega_{X/B|_H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_H(H)$, that is $H^2 = -H \cdot p^{-1}E$ as cycles on X .

By ([19], Lemma II.4.3) $p: X \rightarrow B$ has a Weierstrass form: the divisor $3H$ is relatively very ample and if $V = p_*\mathcal{O}_X(3H) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \omega^{\otimes 2} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 3}$ and $P = \text{Proj}(S^\bullet(V))$ (projective spectrum of the symmetric algebra), then there is a closed immersion of B -schemes $j: X \hookrightarrow P$ such that $j^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) = \mathcal{O}_X(3H)$. Moreover j is locally a complete intersection whose normal sheaf is

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{N}(X/P) \simeq p^*\omega^{-\otimes 6} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(9H).$$

This follows by relative duality since $\omega_{P/B} = \bigwedge \Omega_{P/B} \simeq \bar{p}^*\omega^{\otimes 5}(-3)$, $\bar{p}: P \rightarrow B$ being the projection, due to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{P/B} \rightarrow \bar{p}^*V(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow 0$. The morphism $p: X \rightarrow B$ is then a l.c.i. morphism in the sense of ([14], 6.6) and has a virtual relative tangent bundle $T_{X/B} = [j^*T_{P/B}] - [\mathcal{N}_{X/P}]$ in the K -group $K^\bullet(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. *The Todd class of the virtual tangent bundle $T_{X/B}$ is*

$$\text{td}(T_{X/B}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p^{-1}E + H \cdot p^{-1}E + \frac{13}{12}p^{-1}E^2 + \text{terms of higher degree}$$

Proof. We compute the Todd class from

$$j^*T_{P/B} = \mathcal{O}_X(3H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(3H + 2p^*E) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(3H + 3p^*E)$$

and Eq. (2.1) using that $H^2 = -H \cdot p^{-1}E$. \square

Let $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/B}^-$ be the functor which to any morphism $f: S \rightarrow B$ of schemes associates the space of S -flat sheaves on $p_S: X \times_B S \rightarrow S$, whose restrictions to the fibres of p_S are torsion-free, of rank one and degree zero. Two such sheaves $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ are considered to be equivalent if $\mathcal{F}' \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes p_S^*\mathcal{N}$ for a line bundle \mathcal{N} on S (cf. [2]). Due to the existence of the section e , $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/B}^-$ is a sheaf functor.

By [2], $\mathbf{Pic}_{X/B}^-$ is represented by an algebraic variety $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow B$ (the Altman-Kleiman compactification of the relative Jacobian). Moreover, the natural morphism of B -schemes $\varpi: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$, $x \mapsto \mathfrak{m}_x^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(-e(t))$ is an isomorphism. Here \mathfrak{m}_x is the ideal sheaf of the point x in X_t . The relative Jacobian $J^0 \rightarrow B$ of X as a B -scheme is the smooth locus \hat{X}' of $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow B$ and if $\mathcal{U} \subseteq B$ is the open subset supporting the smooth fibres of p , one has $J_{\mathcal{U}}^0 \simeq \hat{X}_{\mathcal{U}}$. As in [5], we denote by $\hat{e}: B \hookrightarrow \hat{X}$ the section $\varpi \circ e$ and by Θ the divisor $\hat{e}(B) = \varpi(H)$. We write $\iota: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ for the isomorphism mapping any rank-one torsion-free and zero-degree sheaf \mathcal{F} on a fibre X_t to its dual \mathcal{F}^* .

Most of the results in [5] are also true in our more general setting, in some cases just with straightforward modifications.

2.2. Relative Fourier-Mukai transforms. The Fourier-Mukai transform was firstly defined by Mukai in [20] and has proven to be an important tool for the study of the moduli spaces of sheaves on algebraic schemes. The case of abelian varieties is considered in [20] and the case of K3 surfaces in [4] and [24]. Further applications of the Fourier-Mukai transform can be found in [21, 23, 18, 7, 26]. The relative version of this transform is also interesting (cf. [22] for a relative Fourier-Mukai transform for abelian schemes) and provides a description of the behaviour of D-branes under mirror symmetry in the case of elliptic K3 surfaces (cf. [5]).

Here we consider an elliptic fibration $p: X \rightarrow B$ as above and the associated “dual” fibration $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow B$. We shall define a relative Fourier-Mukai in this setting by means of the relative universal Poincaré sheaf \mathcal{P} on the fibred product $X \times_B \hat{X}$ normalized

so that $\mathcal{P}_{|H \times_B \hat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$ as in [5]. \mathcal{P} is also flat over X , and \mathcal{P}^* enables us to identify $p: X \rightarrow B$ with a compactification of the relative Jacobian $\hat{J}^0 \rightarrow B$ of $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow B$.

For every morphism $S \rightarrow B$ we denote all objects obtained by base change to S by a subscript S . There is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \times_B \hat{X})_S \simeq X_S \times_S \hat{X}_S & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}_S} & \hat{X}_S \\ \downarrow \pi_S & & \downarrow \hat{p}_S \\ X_S & \xrightarrow{p_S} & S \end{array}$$

We define the Fourier-Mukai functors \mathbf{S}_S^i , $i = 0, 1$ by associating with every sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_S the sheaf of \hat{X}_S

$$\mathbf{S}_S^i(\mathcal{F}) = R^i \hat{\pi}_{S*}(\pi_S^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P}_S).$$

There is then a natural notion of WIT_i and IT_i sheaves.

Definition 2.2. We say that a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_S is WIT_i if $\mathbf{S}_S^j(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $j \neq i$. We say that \mathcal{F} is IT_i if it is WIT_i and $\mathbf{S}_S^i(\mathcal{F})$ is locally free.

The following result is proved as in [5]:

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X_S , flat over S . For every morphism $g: S' \rightarrow S$ one has $g_{\hat{X}}^* \mathbf{S}_S^1(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathbf{S}_{S'}^1(g_X^* \mathcal{F})$, where $g_X: X_{S'} \rightarrow X_S$, $g_{\hat{X}}: \hat{X}_{S'} \rightarrow \hat{X}_S$ are the morphisms induced by g . \square*

The formation of $\mathbf{S}_S^0(\mathcal{F})$ is not compatible with base change, but we have:

Proposition 2.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X_S , flat over S . There exists a coherent sheaf $H(\mathcal{F})$ on \hat{X}_S such that*

$$[H(\mathcal{F})_{S'}]^* \simeq \mathbf{S}_{S'}^0(g_X^* \mathcal{F})$$

for every morphism $g: S' \rightarrow S$.

Proof. Let us write $Z = X \times_B \hat{X}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \pi_S^* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P}_S$. By (1.1) of [2] applied to the morphism $\hat{\pi}_S: Z_S \rightarrow \hat{X}_S$ and to the sheaves \mathcal{O}_{Z_S} and \mathcal{G} , there exists a coherent sheaf $H(\mathcal{F})$ on \hat{X}_S such that for every morphism $W \rightarrow \hat{X}_S$ and every sheaf \mathcal{N} on W there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_W(H(\mathcal{F})_W, \mathcal{N}) \simeq H^0(Z_W, \mathcal{G}_W \otimes \mathcal{N}).$$

If we consider a morphism $S' \rightarrow S$, applying the above formula for the open subsets U of $\hat{X}_{S'}$, we obtain an isomorphism $[H(\mathcal{F})_{S'}]^* \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{S'}^0(\mathcal{F}_{S'})$ of sheaves on $\hat{X}_{S'}$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X_S , flat over S . There exists an open subscheme $V \subseteq S$ such that a morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ factors through V if and only if the restrictions \mathcal{F}_s of $\mathcal{F}_{S'}$ to the fibres X_s are WIT_1 for every point $s \in S'$.*

Proof. Take V as the complementary of the support of $\hat{\pi}_{S*}(H(\mathcal{F}))$. \square

Let \mathcal{L} be a sheaf on X_S , flat over S , whose restrictions to the fibres of p_S are torsion-free and have rank one and degree zero. Let $\phi: S \rightarrow \hat{X}$ be the morphism determined by the universal property, so that $(1 \times \phi)^* \mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes p_S^* \mathcal{N}$ for a line bundle \mathcal{N} on S . Let $\Gamma: S \hookrightarrow \hat{X}_S$ be the graph of the morphism $\iota \circ \phi: S \rightarrow \hat{X}$. Lemma 2.11 and Corollary 2.12 of [5] now take the form:

Lemma 2.6. *In the above situation $\mathbf{S}_S^0(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{S}_S^1(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \hat{p}_S^* \mathcal{N} \simeq \Gamma_*(\omega_S)$. In particular,*

1. $\mathbf{S}_{\hat{X}}^0(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\hat{X}}^1(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \zeta_* \hat{p}^* \omega$, where $\zeta: \hat{X} \hookrightarrow \hat{X} \times_B \hat{X}$ is the graph of the morphism ι .
2. $\mathbf{S}_{\hat{X}}^0(\mathcal{P}^*) = 0$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\hat{X}}^1(\mathcal{P}^*) \simeq \delta_* \hat{p}^* \omega$, where $\delta: \hat{X} \hookrightarrow \hat{X} \times_B \hat{X}$ is the diagonal immersion.
3. $\mathbf{S}_S^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_S}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{S}_S^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_S}) = \mathcal{O}_\Theta \otimes \hat{p}^* \omega$.

□

Corollary 2.7. *Let \mathcal{L} be a rank-one, zero-degree, torsion-free sheaf on a fibre X_s . Then*

$$\mathbf{S}_s^0(\mathcal{L}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{S}_s^1(\mathcal{L}) = \kappa([\mathcal{L}^*]),$$

where $[\mathcal{L}^*]$ is the point of \hat{X}_s defined by \mathcal{L}^* .

□

We can also define Fourier-Mukai functors $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S^i$, $i = 0, 1$ by associating with every sheaf \mathcal{G} on \hat{X}_S the sheaf of X_S

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S^i(\mathcal{G}) = R^i \pi_{S*}(\hat{\pi}_S^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_S), \quad \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^* \otimes \pi^* p^* \omega^{-1}.$$

This Fourier-Mukai transform enjoys the same properties as \mathbf{S} . The relationship between these functors is more neatly stated if we consider the associated functors

$$\mathbf{S}_S: D^-(X_S) \rightarrow D^-(\hat{X}_S); \quad \mathbf{S}_S(F) = R\hat{\pi}_{S*}(\pi_S^* F \otimes^L \mathcal{P}_S)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S: D^-(\hat{X}_S) \rightarrow D^-(X_S); \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}_S(G) = R\pi_{S*}(\hat{\pi}_S^* G \otimes^L \mathcal{Q}_S)$$

between the derived categories of complexes of coherent sheaves bounded from above. Proceeding as in Theorem 3.2 of [5], and taking into account Lemma 2.6, we obtain an invertibility result:

Proposition 2.8. *For every $G \in D^-(\hat{X}_S)$, $F \in D^-(X_S)$ there are functorial isomorphisms*

$$\mathbf{S}_S(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S(G)) \simeq G[-1], \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}_S(\mathbf{S}_S(F)) \simeq F[-1]$$

in the derived categories $D^-(\hat{X}_S)$ and $D^-(X_S)$, respectively.

□

In the language of derived categories, Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 take the following form:

Proposition 2.9. *Let F be an object in $D^-(\hat{X}_S)$. For every morphism $g: S' \rightarrow S$ there is an isomorphism*

$$Lg_{\hat{X}}^*(\mathbf{S}_S(F)) \simeq \mathbf{S}_{S'}(Lg_X^* F)$$

in the derived category $D^-(\hat{X}_{S'})$, where $g_X: X_{S'} \rightarrow X_S$, $g_{\hat{X}}: \hat{X}_{S'} \rightarrow \hat{X}_S$ are the morphisms induced by g .

□

Corollary 2.10. *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X_S , flat over S .*

1. *Assume that \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 and let $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbf{S}_S^1(\mathcal{F})$ be its Fourier-Mukai transform. Then for every $s \in S$ there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{T}or_1^{\mathcal{O}_S}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \kappa(s)) \simeq \mathbf{S}_s^0(\mathcal{F}_s),$$

of sheaves over \hat{X}_s .

2. If \mathcal{F}_s is WIT_1 for every $s \in S$, then \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over S .
3. If \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 , then the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over the local ring \mathcal{O}_s for a point $s \in S$ if and only if the restriction \mathcal{F}_s to the fibre X_s is WIT_1 .

Proof. 1 is a direct corollary of Proposition 2.9. 2. If \mathcal{F}_s is WIT_1 for every $s \in S$, \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 by Proposition 2.3; the flatness statement follows now from 1. 3 follows also from 1. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X_S , flat over S . There exists an open subscheme $V \subseteq S$ such that a morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ factors through V if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions hold:*

1. $\mathcal{F}_{S'}$ is WIT_1 on $X_{S'}$ and the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{S'}$ is flat over S' .
2. The restrictions \mathcal{F}_s of $\mathcal{F}_{S'}$ to the fibres X_s are WIT_1 for every point $s \in S'$.

Proof. It follows from Corollaries 2.5 and 2.10. \square

There are similar properties for sheaves on $X \times T \rightarrow B \times T$ that are only flat over T .

Corollary 2.12. *Let T be a scheme, and \mathcal{F} a sheaf on $X \times B$, flat over T . Assume that \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 and let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbf{S}_{B \times T}^1(\mathcal{F})$ be its Fourier-Mukai transform. Then for every morphism $T' \rightarrow T$ there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{T}or_1^{\mathcal{O}_T}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{O}_{T'}) \simeq \mathbf{S}_{B \times T'}^0(\mathcal{F}_{B \times T'}),$$

of sheaves over $\widehat{X} \times T'$. In particular $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over T if and only if, $\mathcal{F}_{B \times \{t\}}$ is WIT_1 on $X_{B \times \{t\}} \simeq X$ for every $t \in T$.

2.3. Topological invariants of the Fourier-Mukai transforms. Our next aim is to compute the Chern classes of the Fourier-Mukai transforms. We restrict ourselves to the case of elliptic surfaces over a smooth curve B ; this is the only case we need in this paper.

In this case, if e is the degree of the divisor E on B , we have $H \cdot p^*E = e = -H^2$. Moreover, $K_{X/B} = p^*E \equiv e\mu$ where μ is the class of a fibre of p . There are similar formulas for $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow B$, namely, $\Theta \cdot \widehat{p}^*E = e = -\Theta^2$ and $K_{\widehat{X}/B} = \widehat{p}^*E \equiv e\widehat{\mu}$.

By Proposition 2.1 the Todd class of the virtual relative tangent bundle of p is given by

$$(2.2) \quad \text{td}(T_{X/B}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p^{-1}E + ew,$$

where w is the fundamental class of X . A similar formula holds for \widehat{p} .

Let $f: S \rightarrow B$ be a morphism and \mathcal{F} a sheaf on X_S flat over S . The topological invariants of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathbf{S}_S(\mathcal{F}) = R\widehat{\pi}_{S*}(\pi_S^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P}_S)$ are computed by using the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem for $\widehat{\pi}_S$. The schemes involved may fail to be smooth and we need the Riemann-Roch theorem for singular varieties as stated in ([14], Cor.18.3.1). We first notice that $\widehat{\pi}_S$ is a l.c.i. morphism since it is obtained from p by base change, and that its virtual relative tangent bundle is $T_{\widehat{\pi}_S} = (T_{X/B})_S$. By ([14], Cor.18.3.1), we have

$$\text{ch } \mathbf{S}_S(F) = \widehat{\pi}_{S*}[\pi_S^*(\text{ch } \mathcal{F}) \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{P})_S \text{td}(T_{X/B})_S].$$

The Todd class $\mathrm{td}(T_{X/B})_S$ is readily determined from Eq. (2.2). The Chern character of \mathcal{P} is computed from

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(H) \otimes \hat{\pi}^* \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(\Theta) \otimes q^* \omega^{-1},$$

where \mathcal{I} is the ideal of the graph $\gamma: X \hookrightarrow X \times_B \hat{X}$ of $\varpi: X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}$ and $q = p \circ \pi = \hat{p} \circ \hat{\pi}$.

Lemma 2.13. *The Chern character of \mathcal{I} is:*

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{I}) = 1 - \gamma_*(1) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_*(p^* E) + e \gamma_*(w).$$

Proof. $\mathcal{I} = (1 \times \varpi^{-1})^* \mathcal{I}_\Delta$ where \mathcal{I}_Δ is the ideal of the diagonal immersion $\delta: X \hookrightarrow X \times_B X$. We are then reduced to prove that $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta) = 1 - \Delta - 1/2 \delta_*(p^* E) + e \delta_*(w)$. We have $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta) = 1 - \mathrm{ch}(\delta_* \mathcal{O}_X)$. We now use the singular Riemann-Roch theorem for the diagonal immersion $\delta: X \hookrightarrow X \times_B X$ to obtain

$$\mathrm{ch}(\delta_* \mathcal{O}_X) \cdot \mathrm{Td}(X \times_B X) = \delta_*(\mathrm{Td}(X))$$

This is allowed because δ is a perfect morphism ([14] Cor.18.3.1). Since B is smooth, X and $X \times_B X$ are l.c.i. schemes and the corresponding virtual tangent bundles are $T_X = T_{X/B} + p^* T_B$, $T_{X \times_B X} = \pi_2^* T_X + T_{\pi_2}$. Moreover X and $X \times_B X$ are embeddable in smooth schemes, so that

$$\mathrm{Td}(X) = \mathrm{td}(T_X) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} K_X + ew$$

$$\mathrm{Td}(X \times_B X) = \mathrm{td}(T_{X \times_B X}) = (1 - \frac{1}{2} \pi_2^* K_X + e \pi_2^* w) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2} q^*(E) + e \pi_1^* w)$$

by the same reference. A standard computation gives the desired formula. \square

By singular Riemann-Roch for $\hat{\pi}_S$ and the above computations we obtain:

Proposition 2.14. *Let \mathcal{F} be a complex of sheaves on X_S . The Chern character of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathbf{S}_S(\mathcal{F})$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}(\mathbf{S}_S(\mathcal{F})) &= \hat{\pi}_* [\pi^*(\mathrm{ch} \mathcal{F}) \cdot (1 - \gamma_*(1) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_*(p^* E) + e \gamma_*(w))_S \cdot (1 + \pi^* H - \frac{1}{2} e w)_S \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2} p^* E + ew)_S] \\ &\quad \cdot (1 + \Theta_S - \frac{1}{2} \hat{w}_S) \cdot (1 + e \hat{\mu}_S). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.15. *The first Chern characters of $\mathbf{S}_S(\mathcal{F})$ are*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathbf{S}_S(\mathcal{F})) &= d \\ \mathrm{ch}_1(\mathbf{S}_S(\mathcal{F})) &= -\varpi(c_1(\mathcal{F})) + d \hat{p}^* E + (d - n) \Theta + (c - \frac{1}{2} ed + s) \hat{\mu} \\ \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathbf{S}_S(\mathcal{F})) &= (-c - de + \frac{1}{2} ne) \hat{w} \end{aligned}$$

where $n = \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathcal{F})$, $d = c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \mu$ is the relative degree, $c = c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot H$ and $\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{F}) = s w$.

Similar calculations can be done for the inverse Fourier-Mukai transform.

Corollary 2.16. *Let \mathcal{G} be a complex of sheaves on \hat{X}_S . The first Chern characters of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S(\mathcal{G})$ are*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_0(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S(\mathcal{G})) &= \hat{d} \\ \mathrm{ch}_1(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S(\mathcal{G})) &= \varpi^{-1}(c_1(\mathcal{G})) - \hat{n} p^* E - (\hat{d} + \hat{n}) H + (\hat{s} + \hat{n} e - \hat{c} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{e} \hat{d}) \hat{\mu} \\ \mathrm{ch}_2(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_S(\mathcal{G})) &= -(\hat{c} + \hat{d} e + \frac{1}{2} \hat{n} e) \hat{w} \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{n} = \mathrm{ch}_0(\mathcal{G})$, $\hat{d} = c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \hat{\mu}$ is the relative degree, $\hat{c} = c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \Theta$ and $\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{G}) = \hat{s} \hat{w}$.

3. MODULI OF RELATIVELY SEMISTABLE DEGREE ZERO SHEAVES ON ELLIPTIC FIBRATIONS

3.1. Semistable degree zero sheaves on Gorenstein elliptic curves. We are going to describe S-equivalence classes of torsion-free semistable sheaves of degree 0 on a fibre X_s and some properties of their Fourier-Mukai transform. The structure theorems are essentially contained in [1] and [29] in the smooth case and in [13] for Weierstrass curves and locally free sheaves.

We denote by \mathcal{L}_0 the only nonlocally-free rank 1 torsion-free sheaf of degree 0 on X_s . Let \mathcal{F} be a torsion-free semistable sheaf on X_s of rank n and degree 0. By Theorem 1.1 of [13], and using the same notation, there exists a unique (up to ordering) sequence $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ of degree 0 line bundles on X_s , such that

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_i).$$

Moreover, every subsheaf $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_i)$ is a direct sum of indecomposable sheaves of the same type, that is, there exists a partition $\alpha(\mathcal{L}_i) = (j_{i_1}, \dots, j_{i_s})$ of $n_i = \text{rk } \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_i)$, ($n_i = j_{i_1} + \dots + j_{i_s}$) such that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_i) \simeq \bigoplus_{h=1}^r \mathcal{F}_{j_h}(\mathcal{L}_i),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{j_h}(\mathcal{L}_i)$ is indecomposable.

Proposition 3.1. *Every torsion-free semistable sheaf of rank n and degree 0 on X_s is S-equivalent to $\bigoplus_{i=0}^r (\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \cdot^{n_i} \cdot \mathcal{L}_i)$, where $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ is the corresponding sequence of degree 0 line bundles and the number n_0 of factors isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_0 can be zero. We then write*

$$\mathcal{F} \sim \bigoplus_{i=0}^r (\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \cdot^{n_i} \cdot \mathcal{L}_i).$$

Proof. By Eq. (3.1) we can assume that \mathcal{F} is indecomposable and of the form $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ for a torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{L} of rank 1 and degree 0. We proceed by induction on n , the case $n = 1$ being trivial. For $n > 1$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0.$$

One easily observes that \mathcal{F}' is an indecomposable torsion-free sheaf of rank $n - 1$ and degree 0 and that $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{L})$. By induction, \mathcal{F}' is S-equivalent to $\mathcal{L} \oplus \cdot^{n-1} \cdot \mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{F} is then S-equivalent to $\mathcal{L} \oplus \cdot^n \cdot \mathcal{L}$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a zero-degree sheaf of rank $n \geq 1$ on a fibre X_s . Then \mathcal{F} is torsion-free and semistable on X_s if and only if $\mathbf{S}_s^0(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, that is, \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 .*

Proof. Assume first that \mathcal{F} is torsion-free and semistable. The case $n = 1$ is Corollary 2.7. For $n > 1$, we can assume that \mathcal{F} is indecomposable; as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, there is an exact sequence of torsion-free degree 0 sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{L} has rank 1. The claim follows by induction on n from the associated exact sequence of Fourier-Mukai transforms. For the converse, if \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 , all its subsheaves

are WIT_1 as well, and then \mathcal{F} has neither subsheaves supported on dimension zero, nor torsion-free subsheaves of positive degree. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a zero-degree torsion-free semistable sheaf of rank $n \geq 1$ on a fibre X_s .*

1. *The 0-th Fitting ideal $F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbf{S}_s^1(\mathcal{F})$ only depends on the S -equivalence class of \mathcal{F} .*
2. *One has*

$$F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) = \prod_{i=0}^r \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i},$$

where $\mathcal{F} \sim \bigoplus_{i=0}^r (\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \cdot^{n_i} \oplus \mathcal{L}_i)$ is the S -equivalence given by Proposition 3.1 and \mathfrak{m}_i is the ideal of the point $\xi_i^* \in \widehat{X}_s$ defined by \mathcal{L}_i^* . Then, $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_s}/F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})) \geq n$ with equality if either $n_0 = 0$ or $n_0 = 1$, that is, if the only nonlocally-free rank 1 torsion-free sheaf of degree 0 occurs at most once.

Proof. 1. We can assume that \mathcal{F} is indecomposable; as in above Proposition there is an exact sequence of torsion-free degree 0 sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{L} has rank 1. It follows that the 0th-Fitting ideal of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the product of the 0th-Fitting ideals of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}'}$. Induction on n gives the result.

2. The description of the Fitting ideal follows from the previous properties and Corollary 2.7. Then $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_s}/F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})) \geq n$ with equality if and only if either all points ξ_i^* are smooth or the exponent n_0 of the maximal ideal of the singular point ξ_0^* is equal to 1. \square

3.2. Relatively semistable degree zero sheaves on elliptic fibrations. In this section we describe the structure of relatively semistable sheaves on an elliptic fibration $p: X \rightarrow B$. Proposition 3.1 suggests that the moduli space of semistable sheaves of rank n and degree 0 on a single fibre X_s is the n -th symmetric product $\text{Sym}^n X_s$. The tool for generalizing this statement to the elliptic fibration is the Fourier-Mukai transform. We start with the study of the Fourier-Mukai transform of a sheaf on $X_S \rightarrow S$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X_S , flat over S and of fibrewise degree zero. There exists an open subscheme $S(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq S$ such that a morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ factors through $S(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions hold:*

1. *$\mathcal{F}_{S'}$ is WIT_1 and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{S'}$ is flat over S' .*
2. *The restrictions \mathcal{F}_s of $\mathcal{F}_{S'}$ to the fibres X_s are WIT_1 for every point $s \in S'$.*
3. *The restrictions \mathcal{F}_s of $\mathcal{F}_{S'}$ to the fibres X_s are torsion free and semistable for every point $s \in S'$.*

Proof. It follows from Propositions 2.11 and 3.2. \square

We shall call $S(\mathcal{F})$ the relative semistability locus of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X_S flat over S and of fibrewise degree zero. If its relative semistability locus $S(\mathcal{F})$ is dense, then \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 .*

Proof. By the previous Lemma $\mathcal{F}_{S(\mathcal{F})}$ is WIT_1 and then $\mathbf{S}_S^0(\mathcal{F})_{S(\mathcal{F})} = \mathbf{S}_{S(\mathcal{F})}^0(\mathcal{F}_{S(\mathcal{F})}) = 0$ because $S(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow S$ is a flat base change. Thus, $\mathbf{S}_S^0(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ since it is flat over S so that \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 . \square

Let $\text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}/B) \rightarrow B$ be the Hilbert scheme of B -flat subschemes of \widehat{X} of fibrewise dimension 0 and length n and let $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ be the relative symmetric n -product of the fibration $\widehat{X} \rightarrow B$. The Chow morphism $\text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}/B) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}'/B) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}'$, where $\widehat{X}' \rightarrow B$ is the smooth locus of $\hat{p}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow B$. Let us denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ the (coarse) moduli scheme of B -flat sheaves on $X \rightarrow B$ whose restrictions to the fibres are torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0. We denote by $[\mathcal{F}]$ the point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ defined by a sheaf \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ be the open subscheme of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ corresponding to sheaves whose restriction to each fibre is S -equivalent to a direct sum of line bundles (see Proposition 3.1).

Theorem 3.6. 1. *The Fourier-Mukai transform \mathbf{S}^1 induces an isomorphism of B -schemes $\mathbf{F}': \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}'/B) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}'$ defined over geometric points by $\mathbf{F}'([\mathcal{F}]) = \mathcal{O}_{X_t}/F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$.*
 2. *\mathbf{F}' extends to an isomorphism of B -schemes $\mathbf{F}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$. For every geometric point $\mathcal{F} \sim \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \cdot^{n_i} \oplus \mathcal{L}_i)$ the image $\mathbf{F}([\mathcal{F}])$ is the point of $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ defined by $n_1 \xi_1^* + \cdots + n_r \xi_r^*$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathbf{M}}(n, 0)$ be the moduli functor of B -flat sheaves on $X \rightarrow B$ whose restrictions to the fibres are torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0, and let $\mathbf{M}(n, 0)$ the open subfunctor corresponding to those sheaves whose restriction to each fibre is S -equivalent to a direct sum of line bundles.

1. Suppose we are given a morphism $S \rightarrow B$ and a S -flat sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X_S \rightarrow S$ defining a S -valued point of $\mathbf{M}(n, 0)$. Since Fitting ideals are compatible with arbitrary base changes, Proposition 3.3 entails that $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_T}/F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$ is an S -valued point of $\text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}'/B)$ which depends only on the S -equivalence class of \mathcal{F} . So we can define a morphism of functors $\mathbf{M}(n, 0) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}'/B)$. By definition of the coarse moduli scheme, this results in a morphism of B -schemes

$$\mathbf{F}': \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}'/B) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}'.$$

To see that \mathbf{F}' is an isomorphism we define a morphism $\mathbf{G}: \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ inducing the inverse isomorphism $\mathbf{G}': \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n, 0)$. By the very definition of $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$, such a morphism is uniquely determined by a S^n -equivariant¹ functor morphism $\mathfrak{G}: \prod_B^n \widehat{X}^\bullet \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$. Let $S \rightarrow B$ be a B -scheme and let $\sigma: S \rightarrow \prod_B^n \widehat{X}$ be a morphism of B -schemes, that is, a family of points $\sigma_i: S \rightarrow \widehat{X}$. We then define $\mathfrak{G}(\sigma) = [\bigoplus_i \mathcal{P}_i^*]$, where $\mathcal{P}_i = (1 \times \sigma_i)^* \mathcal{P}$ is the sheaf on X_S defined by σ_i .

Now, by Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.3, $\mathbf{F}' \circ \mathbf{G}'$ and $\mathbf{G}' \circ \mathbf{F}'$ are the identity on closed points. Then \mathbf{F}' is an isomorphism by Zariski's Main Theorem since $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}'$ is a normal scheme due to the normality of B .

2. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, \mathbf{G} is bijective on closed points. Then the codimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) - \mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ equals to the codimension of $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} - \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}'$ so that it is greater than 1. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ is normal, since $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ is normal because \mathbf{G}'

¹ S^n denotes the symmetric group.

is an isomorphism. Then Zariski's Main Theorem implies that \mathbf{G} is an isomorphism, and $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}^{-1}$ extends \mathbf{F}' . \square

There is a “determinant” morphism of B -schemes $\det: \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \rightarrow J^0$ described on closed points by $\det([\mathcal{F}]) = [\det(\mathcal{F})]$, where $[\det(\mathcal{F})]$ is the point of J^0 determined by $\det(\mathcal{F})$. We denote by $J^n \rightarrow B$ the relative Jacobian of line bundles on $p: X \rightarrow B$ of fibrewise degree n . Similarly, $\hat{J}^n \rightarrow B$ is the relative degree n Jacobian of $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow B$. Let us consider the following isomorphisms: $\tau: \hat{J}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{J}^0$ is the translation $\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-n\Theta)$, $\varpi^*: \hat{J}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} J^0$ is the isomorphism induced by $\varpi: X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}$ and $\iota: J^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} J^0$ is the natural involution. Let $\gamma: \hat{J}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} J^0$ be the composition $\gamma = \iota \circ \varpi^* \circ \tau$. If $\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n$ is a positive divisor in \hat{X}'_s , then

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma[\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}_t}(\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n)] = [\mathcal{L}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n^*],$$

where $\xi_i = [\mathcal{L}_i]$. Now we have:

Theorem 3.7. *There is a commutative diagram of B -schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(n, 0) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} & \mathrm{Sym}_B^n(\hat{X}') \\ \det \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_n \\ J^0 & \xleftarrow{\gamma} & \hat{J}^n \end{array}$$

where ϕ_n is the Abel morphism of degree n .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ and J^0 are integral, we can check the commutativity of the diagram only for the closed points. Let \mathcal{F} be a semistable sheaf on a fibre X_s S -equivalent to a direct sum $\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_n$ of line bundles of degree zero. Then $\mathbf{F}([\mathcal{F}]) = \xi_1^* + \cdots + \xi_n^*$, where ξ_i^* is the point of \hat{X} defined by \mathcal{L}_i^* , and $\phi_n(\xi_1^* + \cdots + \xi_n^*) = [\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}_t}(\xi_1^* + \cdots + \xi_n^*)]$. Eq. (3.2) gives the desired result. \square

The previous Theorem generalizes Theorem 3.14 of [10] and can be considered as a global version of the results obtained in Section 4 of [13] about the relative moduli space of locally free sheaves on $X \rightarrow B$ whose restrictions to the fibres have rank n and trivial determinant. Theorem 3.7 leads to these results by using the structure theorems for the Abel morphism. In order to derive them we start by reviewing some standard facts about $\phi_n: \mathrm{Sym}_B^n(\hat{X}') \rightarrow \hat{J}^n$. Notice first that the section $\hat{e}: B \hookrightarrow \hat{X}$ induces a section $\hat{e}_n: \mathrm{Sym}_B^{n-1} \hat{X} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_B^n \hat{X}$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_n = \hat{e}_n(\mathrm{Sym}_B^{n-1} \hat{X})$ is the natural relative polarization for $\mathrm{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \rightarrow B$. Then, $\Theta_{n,0} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}(\tilde{\Theta}_n)$ is a natural polarization for the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ as a B -scheme. Let \mathcal{L}_n be a universal line bundle over $q: \hat{X} \times_B \hat{J}^n \rightarrow \hat{J}^n$. The Picard sheaf $\mathcal{P}_n = R^1 q_*(\mathcal{L}_n^{-1} \otimes \omega_{\hat{X}/B})$ is a locally free sheaf of rank n and then defines a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_n^*) = \mathrm{Proj} S^\bullet(\mathcal{P}_n)$ (the projective spectrum of the symmetric algebra). The following result is well known (see, for instance, [2]):

Lemma 3.8. *There is a natural immersion of \hat{J}^n -schemes $\mathrm{Sym}_B^n \hat{X}' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_n^*)$, such that $\tilde{\Theta}_n \cap \mathrm{Sym}_B^n \hat{X}'$ is a hyperplane section. Moreover, $\mathrm{Sym}_B^n \hat{X}'$ is dense in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_n^*)$ and the above immersion induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}_U^n \hat{X}_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_{n|U}^*)$. \square*

If $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n = (\gamma^{-1})^* \mathcal{P}_n$, by Theorem 3.7 and the above Lemma one has

Proposition 3.9. *There is a natural immersion of J^0 -schemes $\mathcal{M}(n, 0) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)$ such that $\Theta_{n,0}$ is a hyperplane section. Moreover, if $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}}(n, 0)$ is the pre-image of \mathcal{U} by $\mathcal{M}(n, 0) \rightarrow B$, the above immersion induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}}(n, 0) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{n|\mathcal{U}}^*)$.*

Corollary 3.10. $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n \simeq (\det)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(n,0)}(\Theta_{n,0})$.

We now obtain the structure theorem proved in [13]. Let $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) = (\det)^{-1}(\hat{e}(B))$ be the subscheme of those locally free sheaves in $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ with determinant class $[\mathcal{O}_X] \in J^0$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}}(n, 0)$.

Corollary 3.11. *There is a dense immersion of B -schemes $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_n)$, where $\mathcal{V}_n = p_*(\mathcal{O}_X(nH))$. Moreover, this morphism induces an isomorphism of \mathcal{U} -schemes $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U}}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_{n|\mathcal{U}})$.*

Proof. It is enough to note that $\hat{e}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n) \simeq (p_* \mathcal{O}_X(nH))^*$. □

3.3. The Picard group and the dualizing sheaf of the moduli scheme. Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.9 enable us to compute the Picard group and the canonical series of the moduli scheme $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$.

Proposition 3.12. *There is a group immersion $\eta: \text{Pic}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0))$ defined by associating to a divisor D in X the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ of the divisor $(\det)^{-1}(\varpi(D)|_{J^0})$. Moreover, there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)) \simeq \eta(\text{Pic}(X)) \oplus \Theta_{n,0} \cdot \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.7, the complement of $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ has codimension at least 2 in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$. By Proposition 3.9, $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ is a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)$ whose complement has codimension greater than 1, so that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}(n, 0)) \simeq \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*))$. Moreover, Corollary 3.10 implies that the class of the relative polarization $\Theta_{n,0}$ in $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0))$ goes to the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)}(1)$ in $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*))$. Finally, $\text{Pic}(\hat{X}) \simeq \text{Pic}(J^0)$, and the result is now straightforward. □

We assume now that B is smooth so that X (and \hat{X}) are Gorenstein. Let K_X be a canonical divisor in X .

Theorem 3.13. *The Cartier divisor $K = \eta(K_X) - n\Theta_{n,0}$ is a canonical divisor of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$.*

Proof. We have two open immersions $j: \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ and $h: \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)$ (Proposition 3.9), and then, a natural isomorphism between the restrictions of the dualizing sheaves $j^*(\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n,0)}) \simeq h^*(\omega_{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)})$. Relative duality for the projective bundle $\Phi_n: \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*) \rightarrow J^0$ gives $\omega_{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)}(-n) \otimes \Phi_n^*(\omega_{J^0})$, and then

$$h^*(\omega_{\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_n^*)}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(n,0)}(\Theta_{n,0|\mathcal{M}(n,0)}) \otimes (\det)^{-1}(\omega_{\hat{X}|J^0}).$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ is the smooth locus of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n(\hat{X})$ and this scheme is normal, we have $\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n,0)} \simeq j_* j^*(\omega_{\mathcal{M}(n,0)})$, thus finishing the proof. □

3.4. A global spectral cover construction. In this section we provide a global construction of the spectral cover described in [11], [13] (sections 4.3 and 5.1) and [3]. Our definitions are in principle different but coincide for a large family of sheaves.

Definition 3.14. Let $S \rightarrow B$ a morphism and \mathcal{F} a sheaf on $X_S \rightarrow S$ flat over S . The *naive spectral cover* of \mathcal{F} is the closed subscheme $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \widehat{X}_S defined by the 0-th Fitting ideal $F_0(\mathbf{S}_S^1(\mathcal{F}))$ of $\mathbf{S}_S^1(\mathcal{F})$.

The support of $\mathbf{S}_S^1(\mathcal{F})$ is contained in the naive spectral cover C and differs very little from it, in that some embedded components may have been removed; the reason for our definition lies in the following result:

Proposition 3.15. *The naive spectral cover is compatible with base change, that is, for every morphism $S' \rightarrow S$ one has $C_{\mathcal{F}_{S'}} = (C_{\mathcal{F}})_{S'}$ as closed subschemes of $\widehat{X}_{S'}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.3 since the closed subscheme defined by a Fitting ideal is compatible with base changes ([27], 5.1). \square

Lemma 3.16. *If \mathcal{F} is relatively torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0 on $X_S \rightarrow S$, then:*

1. $C_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow S$ is a finite morphism with fibres of degree $\geq n$.
2. $C_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow S$ is finite and flat of degree n if and only if for every $s \in V$ the restriction \mathcal{F}_s to X_s is S -equivalent to a direct sum of line bundles of degree zero plus, at most, one copy of the unique nonlocally-free torsion-free sheaf of degree zero on X_s .
3. Assume that B is a smooth projective curve, $V \subseteq B$ is an open subscheme and $S = V \times T$ for certain scheme T . Then $C_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow S$ is a finite and flat morphism of degree n so that $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Cartier divisor of \widehat{X}_S .

Proof. 1. Since the naive spectral cover commutes with base changes, $(C_{\mathcal{F}})_V \rightarrow V$ is quasi-finite with fibres of degree $\geq n$ by Proposition 3.3; then it is finite by Zariski's Main theorem. 2 follows also from Proposition 3.3. To prove 3, notice that for every $t \in T$, $V \times \{t\} = V$ is a smooth curve and then $(C_{\mathcal{F}})_{V \times \{t\}} \rightarrow V \times \{t\}$ is flat since it is dominant because is finite. \square

When \mathcal{F}_s is unstable for a point $s \in S$, then $C(\mathcal{F})$ contains the fibre \widehat{X}_s : Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

be a destabilizing sequence, where K is a sheaf on X_s of negative degree. Then K is WIT_1 and \widehat{K} is torsion-free (see [6]). Since $\mathbf{S}_s^1(\mathcal{F}_s) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_s^1(K)$ is surjective, $(C_{\mathcal{F}})_s = C_{\mathcal{F}_s} = \widehat{X}_s$. Another example is the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ where \mathcal{L} is a line bundle of positive degree on a fibre X_s . To circumvent these problems, we will consider a spectral cover obtained by flattening the previous one. In order to give a precise definition it is better to exploit the isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ provided by Theorem 3.6.

There is a “universal” subscheme

$$D \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$$

defined as the image of the closed immersion $\widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^{n-1} \widehat{X} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$, $(\xi, \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{n-1}) \mapsto (\xi, \xi + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{n-1})$. The natural morphism $g: D \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ is finite and generically of degree n . Let $A: S \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ be a morphism of B -schemes.

Let $1 \times A: \widehat{X}_S \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ be the graph of A and let $D_A = (1 \times A)^{-1}(C) \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}_S$ be the closed subscheme of \widehat{X}_S obtained by pulling the universal subscheme back by $1 \times A$. There is a finite morphism $g_A: D_A \rightarrow S$ induced by g . By Proposition 3.3 we have

Proposition 3.17. *Let \mathcal{F} be an S -flat sheaf on X_S fibrewise torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0, and let $A = \mathbf{F}([\mathcal{F}]): S \rightarrow \text{Sym}_S^n(\widehat{X}_S)$ be the point of $\text{Sym}_S^n(\widehat{X}_S)$ defined by \mathcal{F} . Then D_A is the naive spectral cover associated to \mathcal{F} , $D_A = C_{\mathcal{F}}$. \square*

Remark 3.18. When $S = B$, A is merely a section of $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \rightarrow B$. If A takes values in $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}' \simeq \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \rightarrow B$, then $g_A: D_A \rightarrow B$ is flat of degree n and coincides with the spectral cover constructed in [13]. This can be easily seen from Proposition 3.9.

We now restrict our attention to base schemes of the form $S = B \times T$, where B is a smooth projective curve and T is an arbitrary scheme.

Proposition 3.19. *For every morphism $A: B \times T \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ of B -schemes, the naive spectral cover $g_A: D_A \rightarrow B \times T$ is flat of degree n .*

Proof. All fibres of g_A are finite of degree n because of Remark 3.18. \square

Let now \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on $X \times T \rightarrow B \times T$. Assume that there is a non-empty open subset $V \subseteq B$ such that if $W = V \times T \subseteq B \times T$, then the restriction \mathcal{F}_W is flat over W , fibrewise torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0 on $X_W = X_V \times T \rightarrow W = V \times T$. By (3) of Lemma 3.16, the spectral cover $C_{\mathcal{F}_W} \rightarrow W = V \times T$ is a finite and flat morphism of degree n and it is a Cartier divisor in $\widehat{X}_W = \widehat{X}_V \times T$.

Theorem 3.20. 1. *There exists a unique closed subscheme $D_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times T$ such that the projection $D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow B \times T$ is finite and flat of degree n and extends $C_{\mathcal{F}_W} \rightarrow W = V \times T$. Moreover $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Cartier divisor in $\widehat{X} \times T$.*
 2. *The formation of $D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow T$ is compatible with base changes on T , that is, if $T' \rightarrow T$ is a scheme morphism and $\mathcal{F}' = (\mathcal{F})_{B \times T'}$, then $D_{\mathcal{F}'} = (D_{\mathcal{F}})_{T'} = D_{\mathcal{F}} \times_T T'$.*

Proof. (1) The unicity is clear, because if $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ exists, it is the scheme-theoretic closure of $C_{\mathcal{F}_W}$ in $\widehat{X} \times T$. One also sees that $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Cartier divisor. To prove the existence, let us assume first that T is a (maybe non-rational) point, that is, $T = \text{Spec } K$ for an extension $k \hookrightarrow K$. Then $B_K = B \times T$ is a projective smooth curve over K and $V_K = W = V \times T$ is an open subset of it. The spectral cover $C_K := C_{\mathcal{F}_W} \rightarrow W = V_K$ defines a morphism $V_K \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}/B)$ of B -schemes, and we have only to prove that it can be extended to a morphism $B_K \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}/B)$. Since B_K is a smooth curve, the existence (and unicity) of the extension is an straightforward application of the valuative criterion of properness for the morphism $\text{Hilb}^n(\widehat{X}/B) \rightarrow B$. Let us denote by $D_K \rightarrow B_K$ the flat finite flat morphism $D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow B_K$ for $T = \text{Spec } T$ whose existence we have proven. If T is a general scheme, that we can assume to be connected, we have then constructed for every point $t \in T$ and every extension $\kappa(t) \hookrightarrow K$, a coherent sheaf \mathcal{O}_{D_K} on $\widehat{X}_K = \widehat{X} \times \text{Spec } K$. By the following Lemma 3.21, the sheaves \mathcal{O}_{D_K} form a bounded family. Then, there exists a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}$ on $\widehat{X} \times T \rightarrow T$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{D_K} = (\mathcal{O}_{D_{\mathcal{F}}})_K$ for every $t \in T$ and every extension $\kappa(t) \hookrightarrow K$. Then, the support $D_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times T$ of $\mathcal{O}_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is the subscheme we are looking for.

(2) Since $\mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}} = (\mathcal{O}_{D_{\mathcal{F}}})_{\kappa(t)}$ for every $t \in T$, the claim follows. \square

Lemma 3.21. *The family of the sheaves \mathcal{O}_{D_K} is bounded.*

Proof. By ([17], Théorème 1.13) we have to prove that there exists a polarization \tilde{H} on X such that the set of the Hilbert polynomials $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{D_K}(m\tilde{H}))$ is finite and there is an integer s such that all the sheaves \mathcal{O}_{D_K} are s -regular with respect to \tilde{H} . We take $\tilde{H} = aH + b\mu$ for $a > 0$, $b > 0$ to be determined later. We can consider only the case $K = \kappa(t)$.

(1) The Hilbert polynomial $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}}(m\tilde{H}))$ depends on the arithmetic genus $g(D_{\kappa(t)})$ and on the degree of the divisor $\tilde{H} \cdot D_{\kappa(t)} = a(H \cdot D_{\kappa(t)}) - bn$ on $D_{\kappa(t)}$. The integer $g(D_{\kappa(t)})$ can be computed from $K_{(D_{\kappa(t)})} = K_{X_{\kappa(t)}} \cdot D_{\kappa(t)} + D_{\kappa(t)}^2 = (2g - 2 + e)n + D_{\kappa(t)}^2$ where g is the genus of B . Then, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}}(m\tilde{H}))$ depends only on $H \cdot D_{\kappa(t)}$ and $D_{\kappa(t)}^2$. The same happens for the Hilbert polynomials $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{C_{\kappa(t)}}(m\tilde{H}))$, they only depend on $H \cdot C_{\kappa(t)}$ and $C_{\kappa(t)}^2$. Since the family of the sheaves \mathcal{O}_{C_K} is clearly bounded, there is a finite number of possible values for $H \cdot C_{\kappa(t)}$ and $C_{\kappa(t)}^2$. But $D_{\kappa(t)}$ and $C_{\kappa(t)}$ differ at most on the fibres over the points of $B - V$, which are finitely many, so that there is a finite number of possible values for $H \cdot D_{\kappa(t)}$ and $D_{\kappa(t)}^2$ as well. Then, the set of the Hilbert polynomials $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}}(m\tilde{H}))$ is finite.

(2) Let us prove that $\mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}}$ is (-1) -regular with respect to \tilde{H} , or in other words, that we have $H^0(X_{\kappa(t)}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}}(-\tilde{H})) = 0$ and $H^1(X_{\kappa(t)}, \mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}}(-2\tilde{H})) = 0$. The first equality holds because $\mathcal{O}_{D_{\kappa(t)}}(-\tilde{H})$ has negative degree as a sheaf on $D_{\kappa(t)}$. Since there is a finite number of possible values for $g(D_{\kappa(t)})$ and $H \cdot D_{\kappa(t)}$, the second follows from Serre's duality since $2g(D_{\kappa(t)}) - 2 - 2a(H \cdot D_{\kappa(t)}) - 2bn$ is negative for every t for a and b big enough. \square

Definition 3.22. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on $X \times T \rightarrow B \times T$. Assume that there is a non-empty open subset $V \subseteq B$ such that if $W = V \times T \subseteq B \times T$, then the restriction \mathcal{F}_W is flat over W , fibrewise torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0 on $X_W = X_V \times T \rightarrow W = V \times T$. The *spectral cover* associated with \mathcal{F} is the Cartier divisor $D_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \hat{X} \times T$ given by Theorem 3.20.

We notice, that a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $B \times T$ fulfilling the assumptions in Definition 3.22 is still WIT₁ (Lemma 3.5) and that by Theorem 3.20, the spectral cover $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat of degree n over $B \times T$ and it is compatible with base changes $T' \rightarrow T$.

$D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in $C_{\mathcal{F}}$, it consist of the closure of the part of $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ obtained by removing the fibres X_s contained in it. The flat spectral cover coincides then with the original $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ when \mathcal{F} is flat over $B \times T$ and relatively semistable.

3.5. Pure dimension one sheaves on spectral covers. We know that if $S = B \times T$ and \mathcal{F} is an S -flat sheaf on $X_S \rightarrow S$, fibrewise torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0, then \mathcal{F} is WIT₁ and the spectral cover $D_{\mathcal{F}} = C_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow S$ is finite of degree n and contains the support of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ as a closed subscheme containing a dense open subscheme. Then $\mathcal{F} = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_S^0(\hat{F})$ is the Fourier-Mukai transform of a sheaf \hat{F} on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$. It is then natural to study sheaves on spectral covers and determine those sheaves on X_S that we can obtain as their inverse Fourier-Mukai transforms.

The spectral cover $D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow B \times T \rightarrow T$ can be considered as a flat finite family of curves $(D_{\mathcal{F}})_t \hookrightarrow \hat{X}$ of degree n over B . As the curves $(D_{\mathcal{F}})_t$ may fail to be integral we need to choose a polarization in them to be able to define rank degree and stability.

Let us consider first a Cartier divisor D in \widehat{X} finite of degree n over B . The fibres of \hat{p} define a polarization $\mu_D = \hat{\mu} \cap D$ on D .

Definition 3.23. The rank and the degree (with respect to μ_D) of a sheaf \mathcal{G} on D are the rational numbers $r_D(\mathcal{G})$ and $d_D(\mathcal{G})$ determined by the Hilbert polynomial

$$P(\mathcal{G}, m) = \chi(D, \mathcal{G}(m\mu_D)) = r_D(\mathcal{G})n \cdot m + d_D(\mathcal{G}) + r_D(\mathcal{G})\chi(D).$$

In [28] Simpson defined the rank as the integer number $r_D(\mathcal{G})n$ and the slope as $a(\mathcal{G})/r_D(\mathcal{G})n$ where $a(\mathcal{G}) = d_D(\mathcal{G}) + r_D(\mathcal{G})\chi(D)$. Stability and semistability considered in terms of Simpson's slope and in terms of our slope $d_D(\mathcal{G})/r_D(\mathcal{G})$ are clearly equivalent. We have adopted this definition of rank and degree because they coincide with the standard ones when the curve is integral.

In the relative case, given a Cartier divisor $D \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times T$ such that $D \rightarrow B \times T \rightarrow T$ is a relative flat curve of degree n over $S = B \times T$, the relative curve $D \rightarrow T$ admits a relative polarization μ_D of relative degree n given by the fibres of \hat{p} . We define the relative rank and degree of a T -flat sheaf \mathcal{G} on D as above.

Proposition 3.24. *Let g be the genus of B .*

1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a rank n' sheaf on $X_S \rightarrow S$. Assume that \mathcal{G} is WIT_1 and that the support of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is contained in D . Then $c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mu = 0$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ has rank n'/n on D and degree*

$$d_D(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}) = c' - n'e + n'(1 - g) - \frac{n'}{n}\chi(D)$$

with respect to μ_D , where $c' = c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot H$.

2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a S -flat sheaf on $X_S \rightarrow S$, fibrewise torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0, and let $D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow T$ be the associated spectral cover. As a sheaf on $D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow T$, the restriction of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ to the fibres has pure dimension one, rank one and degree*

$$d_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) = c - ne + n(1 - g) - \chi(D_{\mathcal{F}}) = c + \frac{1}{2}(D_{\mathcal{F}}^2 - ne).$$

Proof. 1. By Corollary 2.15 we have

$$\text{ch}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(m\hat{\mu})) = [\varpi(c_1(\mathcal{G}) + n'm\hat{\mu} + n'\Theta - (c' + s')\hat{\mu}) + (c' - \frac{1}{2}n'e + n'm)\hat{w}].$$

where $\text{ch}_2(\mathcal{G}) = s'w$. Since $\text{td}(\widehat{X}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}K_{\widehat{X}} + e\hat{w} =$ and $K_{\widehat{X}} \equiv (e + 2g - 2)\hat{\mu}$, by the singular Riemann-Roch theorem on \widehat{X} we have

$$\chi(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(m\hat{\mu})) = n' \cdot m + c' + n'(1 - g) - n'e.$$

2. $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over $S = B \times T$ (Lemma 3.3) and then is flat over T as well. We can now assume that T is a point. If there is a subsheaf \mathcal{G} of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ concentrated on a zero-dimensional subscheme of $D_{\mathcal{F}}$, then \mathcal{G} is WIT_0 as a sheaf on \widehat{X} and the inverse Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^0(\mathcal{G})$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{F} = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$ concentrated topologically on some fibres of $p: X \rightarrow B$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}^0(\mathcal{G})$ is annihilated by a non-zero ideal of \mathcal{O}_B , so that \mathcal{F} has torsion as a \mathcal{O}_B -module, which is absurd since it is flat over B and B is integral. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is of pure dimension 1. By 1, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ has rank one on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ and degree $c - ne + n(1 - g) - \chi(D_{\mathcal{F}})$. The last equality follows by adjunction for the Cartier divisor $D_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}$. \square

Let $D \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times T$ be a Cartier divisor such that $D \rightarrow B \times T \rightarrow T$ is a relative flat curve of degree n over $S = B \times T$. Let \mathcal{L} be a sheaf on $D \rightarrow T$, flat over T , whose restrictions to the fibres have pure dimension one, rank one and degree r (cf. Definition 3.23).

Lemma 3.25. *As a sheaf on $\widehat{X} \times T \rightarrow S$, the sheaf \mathcal{L} is WIT_0 and the inverse Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is a S -flat sheaf on $X \times T \rightarrow S$ of fibrewise rank n , torsion-free, of degree zero and semistable. Its relative Chern characters with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow T$ are*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_0(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) &= n \\ \text{ch}_1(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) &= \varpi^{-1}(D) - nH + (r - \tfrac{1}{2}(ne + D^2) - D \cdot \Theta)\mu \\ &= \varpi^{-1}(D) - nH + (r + \chi(D) + n(g - 1) - D \cdot \Theta)\mu \\ \text{ch}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) &= -(ne + D \cdot \Theta)w \end{aligned}$$

Proof. \mathcal{L} is WIT_0 as a sheaf on $\widehat{X} \times T \rightarrow B \times T$ since it is fibrewise concentrated on points. Moreover \mathcal{L} is flat over $B \times T$ since it is flat over T and B is a smooth curve. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{B \times T}^0(\mathcal{L})$ is a sheaf on $X \times T$ flat over $B \times T$ and commutes with base change. We can then assume that T is a single point. By the singular Riemann-Roch theorem, the Chern characters of \mathcal{L} as a sheaf on \widehat{X} are $\text{ch}_0(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, $\text{ch}_1(\mathcal{L}) = D$, $\text{ch}_2(\mathcal{L}) = r - \frac{1}{2}D^2$. Formulas for the Chern characters of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ now follow from Corollary 2.16 and Proposition 3.24. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ has rank n and its relative degree is zero. Since for every point $t \in B$ $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|_{X_t}$ is WIT_1 all its subsheaves are WIT_1 as well. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}|_{X_t}$ has neither subsheaves fibrewise supported on dimension zero, nor fibrewise torsion-free subsheaves of positive degree ([6]). \square

4. MODULI SPACE OF ABSOLUTELY SEMISTABLE SHEAVES ON AN ELLIPTIC SURFACE

In this section we apply the theory so far developed to the study of the moduli space of absolutely stable sheaves on an elliptic surface. The first step is the study of the preservation of stability under the Fourier-Mukai transform. We shall see that stable sheaves on spectral covers transform into absolutely stable sheaves on the surface and prove that in this way one obtains a union of connected components of the moduli space of absolutely stable sheaves on the surface.

In order to avoid confusion, we shall adopt the following terminology: given a morphism $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ and a relative polarization H' on Y , we say that a sheaf \mathcal{F} on Y is stable (resp. semistable) with respect to $Y \rightarrow Z$ if it is flat over Z and the restrictions of \mathcal{F} to the fibres of f are stable (resp. semistable) with respect to the polarization induced by H' on the fibres of f .

Then, if T is a scheme and we fix a relative polarization H' on $X \times T \rightarrow T$, a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \times T$ is stable with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow T$ if it is T -flat and for every point $t \in T$, the restriction \mathcal{F}_t of \mathcal{F} to the fibre $X \times \{t\} = X$ is an absolutely stable sheaf on the surface X for the polarization H'_t . Notice however that \mathcal{F} is semistable with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow B \times T$ if it is flat over $B \times T$ and for every point $t \in T$ the sheaf \mathcal{F}_t on the surface X is relatively semistable with respect to $X \rightarrow B$.

4.1. Preservation of stability. We assume that B is a smooth curve. Let T be a scheme and $D \rightarrow B \times T$ a spectral cover, that is, a Cartier divisor $D \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times T$ flat of degree n over $B \times T$. We write $1 - p$ for the Euler characteristic of D and $\ell = D \cdot \Theta$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a T -flat sheaf on $D \rightarrow T$ whose restrictions to the fibres are of pure dimension one, rank one, degree r and semistable with respect to μ_D (Definition 3.23). By Lemma 3.25, \mathcal{L} is WIT₀ as a sheaf on $\widehat{X} \times T \rightarrow B \times T$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is a T -flat sheaf on $\widehat{X} \times T \rightarrow B \times T$ whose restrictions to the fibres are torsion-free and semistable of relative rank n , degree zero and Chern character (n, Δ, s) , where $\Delta = \Delta(n, r, p, \ell) = \varpi^{-1}(D_{\mathcal{F}}) - nH + (r - p + 1 + n(g - 1) - \ell)\mu$ and $s = s(n, \ell) = -(ne + \ell)w$.

Friedman and Morgan ([10] Th.3.3 or [11]) and O'Grady ([25] Proposition I.1.6) have proved that for vector bundles of positive relative degree there exists a polarization on the surface such that absolute stability with respect to it is equivalent to the stability of the restriction to the generic fibre. For degree 0, the result is no longer true, but if we consider semistability instead of stability we can adapt O'Grady's proof to show the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Let us fix a Mukai vector (n, Δ, s) with $\Delta \cdot \mu = 0$. For every $a > 0$, there exists b_0 such that for every $b \geq b_0$, every k -scheme T and every sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \times T \rightarrow T$ flat over T with relative Chern character (n, Δ, s) and semistable with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow T$ for the polarization $aH + b\mu$, the restriction of \mathcal{F} to the generic fibre $X_\nu \times T$, where ν is the generic point of B , is semistable with respect to $X_\nu \times T \rightarrow \{\nu\} \times T$.*

Proof. We can assume that T is a single point. If the restriction $\mathcal{F}_\nu = \mathcal{F}|_{X_\nu}$ to the generic fibre is unstable, there exists a subsheaf \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{F} of rank $n' \leq n$ of fibrewise positive degree, $d' > 0$. Then, if b is sufficiently large, $nc_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot (aH + b\mu) - n'c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot (aH + b\mu) = a(nc_1(\mathcal{G}) - n'c_1(\mathcal{F})) \cdot H + bnd'$ is strictly positive, and \mathcal{F} is unstable as well. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Given $a > 0$, there exists $b_0 \geq 0$ such that for every $b \geq b_0$, every k -scheme T and every T -flat sheaf \mathcal{L} on $D \rightarrow T$ whose restrictions to the fibres are of pure dimension one, rank one, degree r and semistable with respect to μ_D , the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is semistable with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow T$ for the polarization $aH + b\mu$. Moreover, if \mathcal{L} is stable with respect to $D \rightarrow T$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is stable as well with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow T$.*

Proof. We can assume that T is a single point because $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is flat over T (and over $B \times T$) by Lemma 3.25. If the statement is not true, given a, b , there exists a destabilizing sequence with respect to $H' = aH + b\mu$

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

where \mathcal{G} is torsion-free of rank $n' < n$, \mathcal{E} is torsion-free and H' -semistable and $[nc_1(\mathcal{G}) - n'c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})] \cdot H' > 0$. Let us write $c = c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) \cdot H$, $c' = c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot H$, $c'' = c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot H$ and $d' = c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mu$. We have $d - d' \geq 0$ since $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is fibrewise semistable by Lemma 3.25; then $d' \leq 0$.

Assume first that $d' < 0$ and let ρ be the maximum of the integers $nc_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot H - \text{rk}(\mathcal{F})c$ for all nonzero subsheaves \mathcal{F} of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Then $[nc_1(\mathcal{G}) - n'c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})] \cdot H' = nac' - n'ac + nbd' \leq a\rho + nbd'$ is strictly negative for b sufficiently large, which is absurd.

Then $d' = 0$ and the destabilizing condition is $nc' > n'c$. We will now get a contradiction by applying the Fourier-Mukai transform to Eq. (4.1). The sheaf \mathcal{G} is WIT_1 since it is a subsheaf of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$; \mathcal{E} is WIT_1 as well by Lemmas 4.1 and 3.5 because it is torsion-free and H' semistable. We then have an exact sequence of Fourier-Mukai transforms

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Proposition 3.24 $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ has rank n'/n and degree $d_D(\mathcal{G}) = c' - n'e + n'(1-g) - \chi(D)n'/n$ on D and we have $r = c - ne + n(1-g) - \chi(D)$. The semistability of \mathcal{L} implies $\frac{d_D(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})}{n'/n} \leq r$. We then obtain $nc' \leq n'c$ which is absurd.

The same argument proves the stability statement. \square

Corollary 4.3. *In the situation of the previous Lemma, if the spectral cover $D \rightarrow T$ is fibrewise integral, then for every sheaf \mathcal{L} on $D \rightarrow T$ of relative pure dimension one, rank one and degree r , the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is stable with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow T$ for the polarization $aH + b\mu$.*

Proof. Every torsion-free rank one sheaf on an integral curve is stable. \square

Remark 4.4. In the case of non-integral spectral covers $D \rightarrow B$, the stability condition for the sheaf \mathcal{L} on D is essential because even line bundles may fail to be semistable. One may then have line bundles on D whose Fourier-Mukai transform is unstable. Let us consider, for instance, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{p}^*\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Theta \rightarrow \hat{p}^*\omega^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow \hat{p}^*\omega^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Theta \rightarrow 0$$

where $D = 2\Theta$. The Fourier-Mukai transform of this sequence is the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p^*\omega \rightarrow 0.$$

where \mathcal{F} is the rank 2 vector bundle on X obtained as the Fourier-Mukai transform of the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \hat{p}^*\omega^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_D$ on D . One sees that \mathcal{F} is unstable with respect to every polarization of the form $aH + b\mu$ unless $e = 0$. But according to Definition 3.23, one checks that the slope of \mathcal{L} as a sheaf on D is $-4e$ whereas the slope of $\hat{p}^*\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Theta$ is $-3e$. This proves that \mathcal{L} is unstable on D , again unless $e = 0$, which agrees with Proposition 4.2. Actually, the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_D is unstable as well. \square

Let us write $\Delta = \Delta(n, r, p, \ell)$ and let $aH + b\mu$ be a polarization of X of the type considered in Lemma 4.1 for (n, Δ, s) . We also assume that Proposition 4.2 holds for every r and with respect to $aH + b\mu$. Let T be a k -scheme and \mathcal{F} a semistable sheaf with respect to $X \times T \rightarrow T$ for $aH + b\mu$ of relative Chern character (n, Δ, s) . We assume $n > 1$.

By Lemma 4.1, there is an open $V \subseteq B$ such that the restriction of \mathcal{F} to $X_V \times T \rightarrow V \times T$ is relatively semistable of relative rank n and degree zero. Then \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 by Lemma 3.5 and by Theorem 3.20 we can consider its spectral cover $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 3.22). Let us denote by j the closed immersion of $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ into $\widehat{X} \times T$.

There are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow j_*\widehat{\mathcal{F}}|_{D_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}or_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, j_*\mathcal{O}_{D_{\mathcal{F}}})$ is the Tor sheaf concentrated on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$. The sheaf \mathcal{E} is topologically supported on the finite number of fibres contained in $C'_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then $\mathcal{E}_W = 0$ for an open subset W of B .

Lemma 4.5. *The sheaves $j_*\widehat{\mathcal{F}}|_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}$ and $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ are flat over T and compatible with base changes $T' \rightarrow T$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_{B \times t}$ is WIT_1 by Lemma 3.5, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over T by Corollary 2.12. Moreover $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over $B \times T$ and compatible with base changes $T' \rightarrow T$ by Theorem 3.20. It follows that $j_*\widehat{\mathcal{F}}|_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is flat over T and compatible with such base changes. The same results are then true both for \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$. \square

Let us define $\eta(\mathcal{F}_t)$ by $\eta(\mathcal{F}_t)w = \text{ch}_2(\mathcal{F}_t) - \text{ch}_2(\mathcal{T}_t(D_{\mathcal{F}_t}))$. By Corollary 2.15, $\text{ch}_2(\mathcal{F}_t) = (c - \frac{1}{2}ne)w$ and since $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is flat over T and compatible with base change by the previous Lemma, the integer $\eta(\mathcal{F}_t)$ does not depend on the point $t \in T$ when T is connected.

Definition 4.6. The invariant $\eta(\mathcal{F})$ is the discrete invariant given by on each connected component Z of T by $\eta(\mathcal{F}_t)$ for an arbitrary $t \in Z$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let us take T as a single point.*

1. *If the naive spectral cover $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} (Definition 3.14) is finite over B , then $\mathcal{E} = 0$ so that $\eta(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ and the morphisms $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow j_*\widehat{\mathcal{F}}|_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}$ are isomorphisms.*
2. *$\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is of pure dimension one.*
3. *If $\eta(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is of pure dimension one, rank one, degree r and semistable on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover, if \mathcal{F} is stable on X , $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is stable on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ as well.*

Proof. If $C_{\mathcal{F}}$ is finite, then $C_{\mathcal{F}} = D_{\mathcal{F}}$. This proves part 1.

Let us prove part 2. Since the sheaf $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is concentrated on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ it is WIT_0 and since $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is WIT_0 , $\mathcal{E}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is WIT_0 as well. Moreover, $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{E}(D_{\mathcal{F}}))$ is concentrated on a finite number of fibres because $\mathcal{E}_W = 0$. By inverting the Fourier-Mukai transform we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{E}(D_{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}))$ is torsion-free of rank n , so that $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ has no subsheaves concentrated on points.

3. All sheaves in the above sequence have fibrewise degree zero and $c_1(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{E}(D_{\mathcal{F}}))) \cdot H = \eta(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ by Corollary 2.16. It follows that $c_1(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}))) \cdot (aH + b\mu) = c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot (aH + b\mu)$ so that $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}))$ is semistable on X with respect to $aH + b\mu$.

Let

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

be a destabilizing exact sequence on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$. We have an exact sequence of Fourier-Mukai transforms

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})) \rightarrow \widehat{K} \rightarrow 0$$

Let us write $c = c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot H = c_1(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}))) \cdot H$, $c' = c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}) \cdot H$ and $n' = \text{rk}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})$. We notice that all sheaves in the diagram have degree 0 on fibres. Then, by the semistability of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_B^0(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}}))$ with respect to $aH + b\mu$, we have $c'n \leq cn'$. By Proposition 3.24 $\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ has rank one on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ and degree $d_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})) = c - ne + n(1 - g) - \chi(D_{\mathcal{F}}) = r$ and \mathcal{G} has rank n'/n on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ and degree $d_{D_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{G}) = c' - n'e + n'(1 - g) - \chi(D_{\mathcal{F}})n'/n$. The destabilizing condition for Eq. 4.2 now reads $nc' > n'c$, which is absurd. The proof of the stability is the same. \square

Very recently, Jardim-Maciocia ([16]) and Yoshioka ([30]) have obtained stability results related with those in this section.

4.2. Moduli of absolutely stable sheaves and compactified Jacobian of the universal spectral cover. In this subsection we shall prove that there exists a universal spectral cover over a Hilbert scheme and that the Fourier-Mukai transform embeds the compactified Jacobian of the universal spectral cover into the moduli space of absolutely stable sheaves on the elliptic surface. We also prove that the image of the embedding is open and closed, that is, a union of connected components. Most of what is needed has been proven in the preceeding subsection. Moreover, since the construction of the spectral cover is compatible with base changes, we can rephrase in functorial terms our statements to obtain the desired global results about the relevant moduli spaces.

Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert scheme of sections of the projection $\hat{\pi}_n: \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \rightarrow B$. If T is a k -scheme, a T -valued point of \mathcal{H} is a section $B \times T \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times T$ of the projection $\hat{\pi}_n \times 1: \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times T \rightarrow B \times T$. There is a universal section $\mathcal{A}: B \times \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}_{B \times \mathcal{H}}^n(\hat{X} \times \mathcal{H}) = \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times \mathcal{H}$ of $\hat{\pi}_n \times 1: \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow B \times \mathcal{H}$. We can then mimic the spectral cover construction, and the relevant universal subscheme is now

$$D \times \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow (\hat{X} \times \mathcal{H}) \times_{(B \times \mathcal{H})} \text{Sym}_{B \times \mathcal{H}}^n(\hat{X} \times \mathcal{H}) \simeq (\hat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X}) \times \mathcal{H}.$$

The induced morphism $\tilde{g} = g \times 1: D \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times \mathcal{H}$ is finite and generically of degree n .

The spectral cover $\tilde{g}_\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B \times \mathcal{H}$ associated with the universal section \mathcal{A} is the finite morphism obtained by pulling \tilde{g} back by $1 \times \mathcal{A}$. We have then a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A} & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & D \times \mathcal{H} \\ \downarrow \tilde{g}_\mathcal{A} & & \downarrow \tilde{g} \\ B \times \mathcal{H} & \xhookrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{A} \quad} & \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

We have then a “universal” spectral cover $\tilde{g}_\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B \times \mathcal{H}$; this is a flat finite morphism of degree n by Proposition 3.19 and the natural projection $\rho: \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a flat relative curve compatible with base change. It is endowed with a relative polarization $\Xi = \tilde{g}_\mathcal{A}^{-1}(\{b_0\} \times \mathcal{H})$.

Let $\bar{\mathbf{J}}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the functor of \mathcal{H} -flat sheaves on $\rho: \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ whose restrictions to the fibres have pure dimension one, rank one and degree r (cf. Definition 3.23), and are semistable with respect to Ξ . A T -valued point of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}^r$ is a pair $(A, [\mathcal{L}])$ where:

1. A is a T -valued point of \mathcal{H} (that is, a section $A: B \times T \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times T$ of $\text{Sym}_B^n \hat{X} \times T \rightarrow B \times T$).
2. $[\mathcal{L}]$ is the class of a sheaf \mathcal{L} on the spectral cover $\rho_T: D_A \rightarrow T$, flat over T , and whose restrictions to the fibres have pure dimension one, rank one, degree r and are semistable. Two such sheaves $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ are equivalent if $\mathcal{L}' \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes \rho_T^* \mathcal{N}$, where \mathcal{N} is a line bundle on T .

Let $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ be the subscheme of those points $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the Euler characteristic of $\rho^{-1}(h)$ is $1 - p$ and $\rho^{-1}(h) \cdot \Theta = \ell$. The subscheme $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ is a disjoint union of connected components of \mathcal{H} and then we have $\rho_{p,\ell}: \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A},p,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$. We can decompose $\bar{\mathbf{J}}^r$ into functors $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ parametrizing $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ -flat sheaves on $\rho_{p,\ell}: \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A},p,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ whose restrictions

to the fibres are of pure dimension one, rank one, degree r and semistable with respect to Ξ .

Let us consider the functor $\overline{\mathbf{M}}(a, b)$ of torsion-free sheaves on X that are semistable with respect to $aH + b\mu$ and have Chern character (n, Δ, s) . We have a morphism of functors $q: \overline{\mathbf{M}}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which can be decomposed into morphisms of functors $q: \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b) = q^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$. We write $\mathbf{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ for the open subfunctor of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ corresponding to stable sheaves. Similarly, $\mathbf{J}_{p,\ell}^r$ denotes the open subfunctor of $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ corresponding to stable sheaves.

Theorem 4.8. *The Fourier-Mukai transform induces morphisms of functors*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0: \overline{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0: \mathbf{J}_{p,\ell}^r \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$$

that are representable by open immersions.

Proof. If T is a k -scheme and $(A, [\mathcal{L}])$ is a T -valued point of $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ and $S = B \times T$, then $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_S^0(\mathcal{L})$ is a T -valued point of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ by Proposition 4.2. Moreover, by the invertibility of the Fourier-Mukai transform (Proposition 2.8) and by Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.7, $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_S^0$ is an isomorphism of $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ with the subfunctor $\overline{\mathbf{M}}'_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ of those points of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ whose naive spectral cover is finite over $S = B \times T$. To see that $\overline{\mathbf{M}}'_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ is an open subfunctor of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$, we have to prove that given a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \times T \rightarrow T$ fibrewise torsion-free with Chern character (n, Δ, s) and semistable with respect to $aH + b\mu$, there is an open subscheme $W \subseteq T$ such that a morphism $Z \rightarrow T$ factors through W if and only if the spectral cover of $\mathcal{F}_{B \times Z}$ is finite over $B \times Z$. By the Stein factorization, $g_{\mathcal{F}}: C_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow B \times T$ is the composition of a morphism $\beta: C_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow Y$ with connected fibres and a finite morphism $\eta: Y \rightarrow B \times T$. Let $V \subseteq Y$ be the maximal open subset over which β is an isomorphism. Then the complement of $p_2\eta(Y - V) \subseteq T$ is the open subscheme W we are looking for. By Proposition 4.2 $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0$ preserves stability and the statement for the stable case follows. \square

By Theorem 1.21 of [28] there exists a coarse moduli scheme for $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$, that is, a scheme $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ projective over $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ that universally corepresents $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ in the category of $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ -schemes. We can think of $\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ as a “compactified” relative Jacobian of the universal spectral cover $\rho_{p,\ell}: \mathcal{D}_{A,p,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$.

Let a, b_0 be as in Proposition 4.2 and $b \geq b_0$. By the same reference, there exists a projective scheme $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ that universally corepresents $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ in the category of k -schemes. It also universally corepresents the functor in the category of $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ -schemes.

Simpson also proved that there are open subschemes $\mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{p,\ell}^r$ and $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ parametrizing (isomorphisms classes of) stable sheaves, and that they are fine moduli spaces for their corresponding functors, that is, they represent the sheaves associated to $\mathbf{J}_{p,\ell}^r$ and $\mathbf{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ for the étale topology, respectively.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}^0(a, b)$ the subscheme of those sheaves in $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ whose invariant $\eta(\mathcal{F})$ (Definition 4.6) vanishes. This is a closed and open subscheme of $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$, that is, a union of connected components. Given a T -flat sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X \times T \rightarrow T$ defining a T -valued point of $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}^0(a, b)$, by Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.7, the sheaf $\mathbf{r}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ on the spectral cover $D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow T$ defines a T -valued point of $\mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r$. We have then a morphism

$$\mathbf{r}: \mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}^0(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r.$$

Theorem 4.9. *The Fourier-Mukai transform gives a morphism $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0: \bar{\mathcal{J}}_{p,\ell}^r \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ -schemes that induces an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0: \mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}'_{p,\ell}(a, b),$$

where $\mathcal{M}'_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ is the open subscheme of $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}^0(a, b)$ of those sheaves in $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ whose naive spectral cover is finite over $S = B \times T$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}'_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ is a union of connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.8 $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0$ induces a morphism $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_{p,\ell}^r \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{p,\ell}$ -schemes. Since the moduli for stable sheaves is fine, we have an open immersion $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0: \mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$. By the proof of Theorem 4.8, $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0$ is an isomorphism with $\mathcal{M}'_{p,\ell}(a, b) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}^0(a, b)$. Moreover, Proposition 4.7 and the invertibility of the Fourier-Mukai transform imply that $\mathbf{r} \circ \widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0$ is the identity, that is, $\mathbf{r}: \mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}^0(a, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r$ is a retract of the open immersion $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0: \mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$. Since both $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}^0(a, b)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r$ are separated, $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^0: \mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ is proper, and then it is also a closed immersion. This concludes the proof. \square

We know that there may exist absolutely stable sheaves on X whose restrictions to some fibres of $X \rightarrow B$ are unstable. However, as a consequence of Theorem 4.9, we have:

Corollary 4.10. *Let T be an irreducible scheme and \mathcal{F} a sheaf on $X \times T$ defining a T -valued point of $\mathcal{M}_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ (so, for every $t \in T$ the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}|_{X \times \{t\}}$ on X is absolutely stable). If there is a point $t_0 \in T$ such that the restrictions of \mathcal{F}_{t_0} to all fibres of $X \rightarrow B$ are semistable, then for every point $t \in T$ the restrictions of \mathcal{F}_t to all fibres of $X \rightarrow B$ are semistable as well.* \square

Acknowledgements. We thank U. Bruzzo, C. Bartocci, C. Sorger and K. Yoshioka for useful discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah, M. *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **7**(1957), 414–452.
- [2] Altman, A. and Kleiman, S. *Compactifying the Picard scheme*, Adv. Math. **35** (1980), 50–112.
- [3] Aspinwall, P.S. and Donagi, R.Y. *The heterotic string, the tangent bundle, and derived categories*, hep-th/9806094.
- [4] Bartocci, C., Bruzzo, U. and Hernández Ruipérez, D. *A Fourier-Mukai transform for stable bundles on K3 surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **486** (1997), 1–16.
- [5] Bartocci, C., Bruzzo, U., Hernández Ruipérez, D. and Muñoz Porras, J.M. *Mirror symmetry on K3 surfaces via Fourier-Mukai transform*, Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 79–93.
- [6] ———, *Generalized Hecke correspondences on elliptic surfaces*, Preprint (1998).
- [7] Bruzzo, U. and Maciocia, A. *Hilbert schemes of points on some K3 surfaces and Gieseker stable bundles*, Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. **120** (1996), 255–261.
- [8] Deligne P. *Courbes elliptiques: Formulaire*, in “Modular forms of one variable”, Lecture Notes in Maths. **476**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1975).
- [9] Donagi, R.Y. *ICMP Lecture on Heterotic/F-theory Duality*, to appear in Proc. International Congress of Mathematical Physics 1997, Brisbane, hep-th/9802093.
- [10] Friedman, R. *Vector bundles and $SO(3)$ invariants for elliptic surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), 29–139.
- [11] Friedman, R. and Morgan, J. W. “Smooth Four-Manifolds and Complex Surfaces”, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band **27**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1994).

- [12] Friedman, R., Morgan, J. W. and Witten, E. *Vector bundles and F-theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **187** (1997), 679–743.
- [13] ———, *Vector bundles over elliptic fibrations*, [alg-geom/9709029](#).
- [14] Fulton, W. “Intersection Theory”, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band **2**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1984).
- [15] Grothendieck, A. and Diudonné, J. *Étude local des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*, EGA IV, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. **20** (1964), **24** (1965), **28** (1966), **32** (1967).
- [16] Jardim, M. and Maciocia, A. *A Fourier-Mukai approach to spectral data for instantons*, [math.AG/0006054](#).
- [17] Kleiman, S. *Les Théorèmes de finitude pour le foncteur de Picard* In “Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch, SGA 6”, Lecture Notes in Maths. **225**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1971).
- [18] Maciocia, A. *Generalized Fourier-Mukai transforms* J. Reine Ang. Math. **480** (1996), 197–211.
- [19] Miranda, R. “The basic theory of elliptic surfaces”, ETS Editrice, Pisa (1982).
- [20] Mukai, S. *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J. **81** (1981), 153–175.
- [21] ———, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 101–116.
- [22] ———, *Fourier functor and its application to the moduli of bundles on an abelian variety*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Algebraic Geometry Conference, Sendai 1985, **10**(1987), 515–550.
- [23] ———, *On the moduli space of bundles on a K3 surface I*, in “Vector bundles on algebraic varieties”, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Oxford University Press, Bombay and London (1987).
- [24] ———, *Duality of polarized K3 surfaces*, in “Proc. of Algebraic Geometry Euroconference (Warwick 1996)”, Nagoya Preprint (1997).
- [25] O’Grady, K. *The weight-two structure of moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface*, J. of Algebraic Geom. **6** (1997), 599–644.
- [26] Orlov, D. *Equivalences of derived categories and K3 surfaces*, [alg-geom/9606006](#).
- [27] Rim, D. S. *Formal deformation theory*, in “Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969, SGA 7 I”, Springer Lecture Notes **288** (1972) 32–132.
- [28] Simpson, C. *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. **79** (1994), 47–129.
- [29] Tu, L. W. *Semistable bundles over an elliptic curve*, Adv. in Math. **98** (1996), 1–26.
- [30] Yoshioka, K. *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces*, [math.AG/0009001](#).

E-mail address: ruiperez@gugu.usal.es, jmp@gugu.usal.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SALAMANCA, PLAZA DE LA MERCED 1-4,
37008 SALAMANCA, SPAIN