

# FUNCTION THEORY IN QUANTUM DISC: INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS

*S. Sinel'shchikov*

*D. Shklyarov*

*L. Vaksman*

Institute for Low Temperature Physics & Engineering  
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

## 1 Introduction

The theory of von Neumann algebras is a non-commutative analogue of the function theory of real variable and its far-reaching generalization. This approach is also applicable for developing a non-commutative analogue of the function theory of complex variable, as one can see in the classical work of Arveson [1]. However, a progress in non-commutative complex analysis was inhibited by the absence of substantial examples. The break was made possible by the fundamental works of L. Faddeev and his collaborators, V. Drinfeld, M. Jimbo, S. Woronovitch in quantum group theory. The subject of this paper is the simplest example of a quantum homogeneous complex manifold, the quantum disc. Meanwhile, a part of the exposed results are extensible onto the case of quantum bounded symmetric domains [16].

We obtain non-commutative analogues for some integral representations of functions in the disc and find a non-commutative analogue of the Plancherel measure. The Berezin method is applied to produce a formal deformation for our quantum disc. Our results provide an essential supplement to those of the well known work [13] in this field. The initial sections contain the necessary material on differential and integral calculi in the quantum disc.

In the cases when the statement in question to be proved via the quantum group theory is available in the mathematical literature (in particular, in our electronic preprints [17],[18],[19],[20]), we restrict ourselves to making exact references. This approach allows us to expound all the main results without applying the quantum group theory, and thus making this text intelligible for a broader class of readers.

The authors are grateful to V. Drinfeld for helpful discussions of a draft version of this work.

## 2 Functions in the quantum disc

We assume in the sequel all the vector spaces to be complex, and let  $q$  stand for a real number,  $0 < q < 1$ .

The works [15, 13, 9, 6] consider the involutive algebra  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  given by its generator  $z$  and the commutation relation

$$z^*z = q^2zz^* + 1 - q^2.$$

It arises both in studying the algebras of functions in the quantum disc [15, 13, 9] and in studying the  $q$ -analogues of the Weil algebra (oscillation algebra) [6].

Any element  $f \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  admits a unique decomposition

$$f = \sum_{jk} a_{jk}(f) z^j z^{*k}, \quad a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, at the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$  we have  $z^* z = z z^*$ . This allows one to treat  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  as a polynomial algebra on the quantum plane.

It is worthwhile to note that the passage to the generators  $a^+ = (1 - q^2)^{-1/2} z^*$ ,  $a = (1 - q^2)^{-1/2} z$  realizes an isomorphism between the  $*$ -algebra  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  and the  $q$ -analogue of the Weil algebra considered in [6]:  $a^+ a - q^2 a a^+ = 1$ .

Impose the notation  $y = 1 - z z^*$ . It is straightforward that

$$z^* y = q^2 y z^*, \quad z y = q^{-2} y z. \quad (1.1)$$

It is also easy to show that any element  $f \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  admits a unique decomposition

$$f = \sum_{m>0} z^m \psi_m(y) + \psi_0(y) + \sum_{m>0} \psi_{-m}(y) z^{*m}. \quad (1.2)$$

The passage to the decomposition (1.2) is similar to the passage from Cartesian coordinates on the plane  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$  to polar coordinates.

We follow [13, 15] in completing the vector space  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  to obtain the function space in the quantum disc.

Consider the  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ -module  $H$  determined by its generator  $v_0$  and the relation  $z^* v_0 = 0$ . Let  $T : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(H)$  be the representation of  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  in  $H$ , and  $l_{m,n}(f)$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , stand for the matrix elements of the operator  $T(f)$  in the basis  $\{z^m v_0\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .

Impose three topologies in the vector space  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  and prove their equivalence.

Let  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be the weakest among the topologies in which all the linear functionals  $l'_{j,k} : f \mapsto a_{jk}(f)$ ,  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , are continuous,

$\mathcal{T}_2$  the weakest among the topologies in which all the linear functionals  $l''_{m,n} : f \mapsto \psi_m(q^{2n})$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , are continuous,

$\mathcal{T}_3$  the weakest among the topologies in which all the linear functionals  $l_{m,n}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , are continuous.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3$  are equivalent.*

**Proof.** Remind the standard notation:

$$(t; q)_m = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - tq^j); \quad (t; q)_\infty = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - tq^j).$$

It follows from the definitions that with  $m \geq k$

$$z^{*k} z^m v_0 = (q^{2m}; q^{-2})_k \cdot z^{m-k} v_0.$$

Hence

$$l_{mn}(f) = \sum_{j=0}^{\min(m,n)} (q^{2m}; q^{-2})_{m-j} \cdot l'_{n-j, m-j}; \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Thus the topology  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is stronger than  $\mathcal{T}_3$ . In fact, these topologies are equivalent since the linear span of the functionals  $\{l_{m-j, n-j}\}_{j=0}^{\min(m, n)}$  coincides with that of  $\{l'_{m-j, n-j}\}_{j=0}^{\min(m, n)}$ . The equivalence of  $\mathcal{T}_2$  and  $\mathcal{T}_3$  follows from the relations  $l_{jk} = (q^{2j}; q^{-2})_{\max(0, j-k)} l''_{k-j, \min(j, k)}$ .  $\square$

We denote the completion of the Hausdorff topological vector space  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  by  $D(U)'_q$  and call it the space of distributions in the quantum disc.  $D(U)'_q$  may be identified with the space of formal series of the form (1.2) whose coefficients  $\psi_j(y)$  are defined in  $q^{2\mathbb{Z}_+}$ . The linear functionals  $l_{mn}$  are extendable by continuity onto the topological vector space  $D(U)'_q$ . Associate to each distribution  $f \in D(U)'_q$  the infinite matrix  $T(f) = (l_{mn}(f))_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ .

A distribution  $f \in D(U)'_q$  is said to be finite if  $\#\{(j, k) \mid \psi_j(q^{2k}) \neq 0\} < \infty$ . Evidently, a distribution  $f$  is finite iff the matrix  $T(f)$  has only finitely many non-zero entries. The vector space of finite functions in the quantum disc is denoted by  $D(U)_q$ . There exists a non-degenerate pairing

$$D(U)'_q \times D(U)_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad f_1 \times f_2 \mapsto \text{tr } T(f_1)T(f_2).$$

The extension by continuity procedure allows one to equip  $D(U)_q$  with a structure of  $*$ -algebra, and  $D(U)'_q$  with a structure of  $D(U)_q$ -bimodule.

Consider the algebra  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q^{op}$  derived from  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  via a replacement of the multiplication law by the opposite one. The elements  $z \otimes 1, z^* \otimes 1, 1 \otimes z, 1 \otimes z^*$  of  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q^{op} \otimes \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  are denoted respectively by  $z, z^*, \zeta, \zeta^*$ . To avoid confusion in the notation, we use braces to denote the multiplication in  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q^{op} \otimes \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ , e.g.  $\{zz^*\} = q^2\{z^*z\} + 1 - q^2$ . The module  $H^{op}$  over  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q^{op}$  is defined by its generator  $v_0^{op}$  and the relation  $zv_0^{op} = 0$ . Apply the above argument to  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q^{op} \otimes \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ -module  $H^{op} \otimes H$  in order to introduce the algebra  $D(U \times U)_q$  of finite functions in the Cartesian product of quantum discs, together with  $D(U \times U)_q$ -bimodule  $D(U \times U)'_q$ .

The reason for replacement the multiplication law in  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  by the opposite one will become clear later (see [17, 22, 23]).

The linear functional

$$\mu(f) = (1 - q^2) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \psi_0(q^{2m}) q^{2m}, \quad f \in D(U)_q,$$

is called the (normalized) Lebesgue integral in the quantum disc, since under the formal passage to the limit as  $q \rightarrow 1$  one has  $\mu(f) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_U f d\text{Im } z \cdot d\text{Re } z$ .

Let  $K \in D(U \times U)'_q$ ; the integral operator  $f \mapsto \text{id} \otimes \mu(K(1 \otimes f))$  with kernel  $K$  maps  $D(U)_q$  into  $D(U)'_q$ . We are interested in solving an inverse problem which is in finding out the explicit formulae for kernels  $K \in D(U \times U)'_q$  of well known linear operators. In this field, an analogue of the Bergman kernel for the quantum disc was obtained in the work of S. Klimek and A. Lesniewski [13]:

$$K_q(z, \zeta) = (1 - z\zeta^*)^{-1}(1 - q^2 z\zeta^*)^{-1}.$$

Finally, equip  $H$  with the structure of a pre-Hilbert space by setting

$$(z^j v_0, z^m v_0) = \delta_{jm} (q^2; q^2)_m; \quad j, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

It is easy to show that  $T(z^*) = T(z)^*$ ,  $I - T(z)T(z^*) \geq 0$ . Thus we get a  $*$ -representation of  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  in the completion  $\overline{H}$  of  $H$  (see [13]).

### 3 Differential forms and $\bar{\partial}$ -problem

Let  $\Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$  stand for the involutive algebra determined by its generators  $z, dz$  and the relations

$$1 - z^*z = q^2(1 - zz^*), \quad dz \cdot z^* = q^{-2}z^* \cdot dz, \quad dz \cdot z = q^2z \cdot dz, \\ dz \cdot dz^* = -q^{-2}dz^*dz, \quad dz \cdot dz = 0.$$

(Also, an application of the involution  $*$  to the above yields

$$dz^* \cdot dz^* = 0, \quad dz^* \cdot z = q^2z \cdot dz^*, \quad dz^* \cdot z^* = q^{-2}z^* \cdot dz^*.) \quad (2.1)$$

Equip  $\Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$  with the grading as follows:

$$\deg z = \deg z^* = 0, \quad \deg dz = \deg dz^* = 1.$$

There exists a unique linear map  $d : \Omega_q(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$d : z \mapsto dz, \quad d : z^* \mapsto dz^*, \quad d : dz \mapsto 0, \quad d : dz^* \mapsto 0,$$

and

$$d(\omega' \cdot \omega'') = d\omega' \cdot \omega'' + (-1)^{\deg \omega'} \cdot \omega' d\omega''; \quad \omega', \omega'' \in \Omega_q(\mathbb{C}).$$

Evidently,  $d^2 = 0$ , and  $(d\omega)^* = d\omega^*$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$ .

Turn to a construction of operators  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ . For that, we need a bigrading in  $\Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\deg z = \deg z^* = (0, 0); \quad \deg (dz) = (1, 0); \quad \deg (dz^*) = (0, 1).$$

Now  $d$  has a degree 1 and admits a unique decomposition into a sum  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$  of operators  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$  with bidegrees respectively  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ . A standard argument allows one to deduce from  $d^2 = 0$  that  $\partial^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 = \partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial = 0$ . It is also easy to show that  $(\partial\omega)^* = \bar{\partial}\omega^*$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$ .

Each element  $\omega \in \Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$  is uniquely decomposable into a sum

$$\omega = f_{00} + dz f_{10} + f_{01}dz^* + dz f_{11}dz^*, \quad f_{ij} \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q, \quad i, j = 0, 1.$$

Equip  $\Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$  with a topology corresponding to this decomposition:

$$\Omega_q(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \oplus \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \oplus \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \oplus \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q.$$

Pass as above via a completion procedure from  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  to the space of distributions  $D(U)'_q$  and then to the space of finite functions to obtain the bigraded algebra  $\Omega(U)_q$ . The operators  $d, \partial, \bar{\partial}$  are transferred by continuity from  $\Omega_q(\mathbb{C})$  onto the algebra  $\Omega(U)_q$  of differential forms with finite coefficients in the quantum disc.

The subsequent constructions involve essentially  $q$ -analogues of type  $(0, *)$  differential forms with coefficients in sections of holomorphic bundles. The latter carry a structure of bimodules over algebras of type  $(0, *)$  differential forms as above. Remind the notion of a differentiation for such a bimodule.

Let  $\Omega$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded algebra and  $M$  a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded  $\Omega$ -bimodule. A degree 1 operator is said to be a differentiation if for all  $m \in M, \omega \in \Omega$  one has  $\bar{\partial}(m\omega) = (\bar{\partial}m)\omega + (-1)^{\deg m}m \cdot \bar{\partial}\omega$ ,  $\bar{\partial}(\omega m) = (\bar{\partial}\omega) \cdot m + (-1)^{\deg \omega}\omega \cdot \bar{\partial}m$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Consider the graded bimodule over  $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_q^{(0,*)} = \Omega(\mathbb{C})_q^{(0,0)} + \Omega(\mathbb{C})_q^{(0,1)}$  determined by its generator  $v_\lambda$  with  $\deg(v_\lambda) = 0$  and the relations

$$z \cdot v_\lambda = q^{-\lambda} v_\lambda \cdot z, \quad z^* \cdot v_\lambda = q^\lambda v_\lambda \cdot z^*, \quad dz^* \cdot v_\lambda = q^\lambda v_\lambda \cdot dz^*.$$

We denote this graded bimodule by  $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$ . It possesses a unique degree 1 differentiation  $\bar{\partial}$  such that  $\bar{\partial}v_\lambda = 0$ . Pass (via an extension by continuity) from polynomial coefficients to finite ones to obtain the graded bimodule  $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,*)}$  over  $\Omega(U)_q^{(0,*)}$ , together with its differentiation  $\bar{\partial}$ .

We restrict ourselves to the case  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and equip the spaces  $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,0)}$ ,  $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,1)}$  with the scalar products

$$(f_1 \cdot v_\lambda, f_2 \cdot v_\lambda) = \int_{U_q} f_2^* f_1 (1 - zz^*)^{\lambda-2} d\mu, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(f_1 v_\lambda dz^*, f_2 v_\lambda dz^*) = \int_{U_q} f_2^* f_1 (1 - zz^*)^\lambda d\mu. \quad (2.5)$$

The completions of the pre-Hilbert spaces  $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,0)}$  and  $\Omega(U)_{\lambda,q}^{(0,1)}$  can be used in the formulation of  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem. Specifically, we mean finding a solution of the equation  $\bar{\partial}u = f$  in the orthogonal complement to the kernel of  $\bar{\partial}$ . In the classical case ( $q = 1$ ) such a formulation is standard [2], and the solution is very well known. If  $\lambda$  stand for a real number and  $\lambda > 1$  than one has

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_U \frac{1}{z - \zeta} \left( \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z} \right)^{\lambda-1} f(\zeta) d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta. \quad (2.6)$$

(2.6) implies the "Cauchy-Green formula":

$$u(z) = \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\pi i} \int_U \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\lambda-2}}{(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)^\lambda} u(\zeta) d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_U \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^{\lambda-1}}{(z - \zeta)(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)^{\lambda-1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta. \quad (2.7)$$

. Our purpose is to obtain the  $q$ -analogues of (2.6), (2.7) for  $\lambda = 2$ .

The standard way of solving the  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem is to solve first the Poisson equation  $\square\omega = f$  with  $\square = -\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$ . In the case  $\lambda = 2$  the kernel in (2.6) is derived by a differentiation in  $z$  of the Green function

$$G(z, \zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln(|z - \zeta|^2) - \frac{1}{\pi} \ln(|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^2). \quad (2.8)$$

In its turn, (2.8) can be obtained by the d'Alembert method: the first term is contributed by a real source, and the second one is coming from an imaginary source.

Note that the differential calculus for the quantum disc we use here is well known (see, for example, [24]). Its generalization onto the case of an arbitrary bounded symmetric domain was obtained in [16] via an application of a quantum analogue of the Harish-Chandra embedding [11].

## 4 Green function for Poisson equation

With  $q = 1$  the measure  $d\nu = (1 - |z|^2)^{-2} d\mu$  is invariant with respect to the Möbius transformations. In the case  $q \in (0, 1)$  impose an "invariant integral"  $\nu : D(U)_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto \int_{U_q} f d\nu$

by setting

$$\int_{U_q} f d\nu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{U_q} f \cdot (1 - zz^*)^{-2} d\mu.$$

The Hilbert spaces  $L^2(d\nu)_q$ ,  $L^2(d\mu)_q$  are defined as completions of the vector spaces  $D(U)_q = \Omega(U)_q^{(0,0)}$ ,  $\Omega(U)_q^{(0,1)}$  with respect to the norms

$$\|f\| = \left( \int_{U_q} f^* f d\nu \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|f dz^*\| = \left( \int_{U_q} f^* f d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proofs of the following statements are to be found in [17, Proposition 5.7, Corollary 5.8], [19, Corollary 4.2].

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exist  $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$  such that*

$$c_1 \leq \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} \leq c_2. \quad (3.1)$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *The exact estimates for  $\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}$  are of the form*

$$\frac{1}{(1+q)^2} \leq \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} \leq \frac{1}{(1-q)^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

The inequalities (3.1) allow one to extend by continuity the operators  $\bar{\partial}$ ,  $\square = -\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}$  from the dense subspace of finite functions  $D(U)_q$  onto the entire  $L^2(d\nu)_q$ . They also imply that for any  $f \in L^2(d\nu)_q$  there exists a unique solution  $u$  of Poisson equation  $\square u = f$ . Now it follows from (3.2) that  $\|u\| \leq (1+q)^2 \|f\|$ .

One obtains easily from definitions

**Lemma 4.3.** *The series*

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z^{*i} \zeta^i \psi_{ij}(y, \eta) z \zeta^{*j}, \quad y = 1 - z^* z, \quad \eta = 1 - \zeta \zeta^*$$

*converges in  $D(U \times U)_q'$  for any family  $\{\psi_{ij}(y, \eta)\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  of functions defined on  $q^{2\mathbb{Z}_+} \times q^{2\mathbb{Z}_+}$ .*

**Corollary 4.4.** *For all  $m \geq 0$  there exists a well defined generalized kernel*

$$G_m = \left\{ \left( (1 - \zeta \zeta^*)(1 - z^* \zeta)^{-1} \right)^m \left( (1 - z^* z)(1 - z \zeta^*)^{-1} \right)^m \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

To state the principal result of the section, we need an expansion of the Green function (2.8):

$$\ln \frac{|z - \zeta|^2}{|1 - z \bar{\zeta}|^2} = \ln \left( 1 - \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2)}{|1 - z \bar{\zeta}|^2} \right) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2)}{|1 - z \bar{\zeta}|^2} \right)^m.$$

Evidently, a formal passage to a limit yields

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} G_m = \left( \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2)}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^2} \right)^m.$$

A proof of the following result one can find in [19, Theorem 1.2].

**Theorem 4.5.** *The continuous operator  $\square^{-1}$  in  $L^2(d\nu)_q$  coincides on the dense linear subspace  $D(U)_q \subset L^2(d\nu)_q$  with the integral operator whose kernel is  $G = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-2} - 1}{q^{-2m} - 1} G_m$ :*

$$\square^{-1} f = \int_{U_q} G(z, \zeta) f(\zeta) d\nu.$$

Here  $G_m \in D(U \times U)_q'$  is given by (3.3).

Note in conclusion that the operators  $\partial, \bar{\partial}, \square$  admit an extension by continuity onto the space  $D(U)_q'$  of distributions in the quantum disc.

## 5 Cauchy-Green formula

One can use the differentials  $\partial : \Omega_q^{(0,0)} \rightarrow \Omega_q^{(1,0)}, \bar{\partial} : \Omega_q^{(0,0)} \rightarrow \Omega_q^{(0,1)}$  to define the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial^{(l)}}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^{(r)}}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^{(l)}}{\partial z^*}, \frac{\partial^{(r)}}{\partial z^*}$ . Specifically, we set up  $\partial f = dz \cdot \frac{\partial^{(l)} f}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial^{(r)} f}{\partial z} dz$ ,  $\bar{\partial} f = dz^* \cdot \frac{\partial^{(l)} f}{\partial z^*} = \frac{\partial^{(r)} f}{\partial z^*} dz^*$ . It is easy to show that these operators admit extensions by continuity from  $D(U)_q$  onto  $D(U)_q'$ .

Let  $f \in D(U)_q$ . Define the integral of the (1,1)-form  $dz \cdot f \cdot dz^*$  over the quantum disc by  $\int_{U_q} dz \cdot f \cdot dz^* = -2i\pi \int_{U_q} f d\mu$ .

A proof of the following proposition can be found in [19, Theorem 2.1].

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $f \in D(U)_q$ . Then*

1. *There exists a unique solution  $u \in L^2(d\mu)_q$  of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem  $\bar{\partial}u = f$ , which is orthogonal to the kernel of  $\bar{\partial}$ .*
2.  *$u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{U_q} d\zeta \frac{\partial^{(l)}}{\partial z} G(z, \zeta) f d\zeta^*$ , with  $G \in D(U \times U)_q'$  being the Green function of the Poisson equation.*
3.  *$f = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{U_q} (1 - z\zeta^*)^{-1} (1 - q^{-2} z\zeta^*)^{-1} d\zeta f(\zeta) d\zeta^* - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{U_q} d\zeta \frac{\partial^{(l)}}{\partial z} G(z, \zeta) \cdot \frac{\partial^{(r)} f}{\partial \zeta^*} d\zeta^*$ .*

## 6 Eigenfunctions of the operator $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q$  stand for the algebra of finite sums of the form

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m e^{im\theta}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \quad (5.1)$$

with complex coefficients. The  $\mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q$ -module of formal series of the form (5.1) is denoted by  $\mathbb{C}[[\partial U]]_q$ . We also denote the algebra of finite sums like (5.1) with coefficients from  $D(U)_q$  by  $D(U \times \partial U)_q$ , and the module of formal series (5.1) with coefficients from  $D(U)_q'$  by  $D(U \times \partial U)_q'$ . This vector space will be equipped by the topology of coefficientwise convergence.

The use of the index  $q$  in the notation for the above vector spaces is justified by the fact that, as one can show, they are in fact modules over the quantum universal enveloping algebra.

Recall the notations [10]:

$$(a; q^2)_\infty = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 - aq^{2j}), \quad (a; q^2)_\gamma = \frac{(a; q^2)_\infty}{(aq^{2\gamma}; q^2)_\infty}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

With  $q = 1$ , the integral

$$u(z) = \int_{\partial U} \left( \frac{1 - |z|^2}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})(1 - \bar{z}\zeta)} \right)^{l+1} f(\zeta) d\nu, \quad d\nu = \frac{d\theta}{2\pi},$$

represents an eigenfunction of  $\square$  (see [12]):

$$\square u = \lambda(l)u, \quad \lambda(l) = \left( l + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}.$$

With  $q \in (0, 1)$ , the power  $P^\gamma$  of the Poisson kernel  $P = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^2}$  is replaced by the element  $P_\gamma \in D(U \times \partial U)_q$ :

$$P_\gamma = (1 - z z^*)^\gamma (z \zeta^*; q^2)_{-\gamma} \cdot (q^2 z^* \zeta; q^2)_{-\gamma}. \quad (5.2)$$

Here  $(z \zeta^*; q^2)_{-\gamma}$ ,  $(q^2 z^* \zeta; q^2)_{-\gamma}$  are the  $q$ -analogues of the powers  $(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^{-\gamma}$ ,  $(1 - \bar{z}\zeta)^{-\gamma}$ , and the  $q$ -binomial theorem (see [10]) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (z \zeta^*; q^2)_{-\gamma} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(q^{2\gamma}; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} (q^{-2\gamma} z \zeta^*)^n, \\ (q^2 z^* \zeta; q^2)_{-\gamma} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(q^{2\gamma}; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} (q^{2-2\gamma} z^* \zeta)^n. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is proved in [19, Theorem 3.1].



**Proposition 6.1.** For all  $f \in \mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q$  the element

$$u = \int_{\partial U} P_{l+1}(z, e^{i\theta}) f(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (5.3)$$

of  $D(U)'_q$  is an eigenvector of  $\square$ :

$$\square u = \lambda(l)u, \quad \lambda(l) = -\frac{(1 - q^{-2l})(1 - q^{2l+2})}{(1 - q^2)^2}.$$

We need the following standard notation ([10]):

$$\begin{aligned} {}_r\Phi_s \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q; z \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \right] &= \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(a_1; q)_n \cdot (a_2; q)_n \cdot \dots \cdot (a_r; q)_n}{(b_1; q)_n \cdot (b_2; q)_n \cdot \dots \cdot (b_s; q)_n (q; q)_n} \left( (-1)^n \cdot q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \right)^{1+s-r} \cdot z^n. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 6.2** (cf. [22]). The series

$$\varphi_l(y) = {}_3\Phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} y^{-1}, q^{-2l}, q^{2(l+1)}; q^2; q^2 \\ q^2; 0 \end{matrix} \right]$$

converges in  $D(U)'_q$ , and its sum is an eigenfunction of  $\square$ :  $\square \varphi_l = \lambda(l) \varphi_l$ .

**Proof.** The convergence of the series is due to the fact that it breaks for each  $y \in q^{2\mathbb{Z}_+}$ . So it suffices to establish the relation

$$\varphi_l(y) = \int_{\partial U} P_{l+1}(z, \zeta) d\nu.$$

It follows from the definitions that the above integral equals to

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(q^{2l+2}; q^2)_n^2}{(q^2; q^2)_n^2} q^{-2(2l+1)n} y^{l+1} z^n z^{*n} = y^{l+1} {}_3\Phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{2+2l}, q^{2+2l}, y^{-1}; q^2; q^{-2(2l+1)}y \\ q^2 \end{matrix} \right].$$

Now it remains to apply the identity (see [10]):

$$b^n {}_3\Phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b, \frac{q}{z}; q, \frac{z}{c} \\ \frac{bq^{1-n}}{c} \end{matrix} \right] = {}_3\Phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b, \frac{bzq^{-n}}{c}; q, q \\ \frac{bq^{1-n}}{c}, 0 \end{matrix} \right],$$

with  $q$  being replaced by  $q^2$ ,  $y$  by  $q^{2n}$ ,  $b$  by  $q^{2l+2}$ ,  $z$  by  $q^{-2l}$ , and  $c$  by  $q^{2+2l-2n}$ .  $\square$ .

Note that  $\varphi_l(y)$  is a  $q$ -analogue of a spherical function on a hyperbolic plane (see [12]).

For each  $l \in \mathbb{C}$  a linear operator has been constructed from  $\mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q$  into the eigenspace of  $\square$ , associated to the eigenvalue  $\lambda(l)$ . Now we try to invert this linear operator.

For that, we need a  $q$ -analogue of the operator  $b_r : f(z) \mapsto f(re^{i\theta})$  which restricts the function in the disc onto the circle  $|z| = r$  of radius  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $r > 0$ ,  $1 - r^2 \in q^{2\mathbb{Z}_+}$ . Define a linear operator  $b_r : D(U)'_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\partial U]]_q$  by

$$b_r : \sum_{j>0} z^j \cdot \psi_j(y) + \psi_0(y) + \sum_{j>0} \psi_{-j}(y) \cdot z^{*j} \mapsto \sum_{j>0} (re^{i\theta})^j \cdot \psi_j(1 - r^2) + \psi_0(1 - r^2) + \sum_{j>0} \psi_{-j}(q^{-2j} \cdot (1 - r^2))(re^{-i\theta})^j.$$

(It is implicit that the functions  $\psi_j(y)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , vanish at  $y \notin q^{2\mathbb{Z}_+}$ ).

Recall the definition of the  $q$ -gamma-function ([10]):

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^x; q)_\infty} (1 - q)^{1-x}.$$

One may assume without loss of generality that

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Im} l < \frac{\pi}{2\ln(q^{-1})}, \quad \operatorname{Re} l \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\operatorname{Re} l > -\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $u \in D(U)'_q$  is an eigenfunction of  $\square$  given by (5.3). Then in  $\mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q$  one has*

$$f = \frac{\Gamma_{q^2}^2(l+1)}{\Gamma_{q^2}^2(2l+1)} \lim_{1-r^2 \in q^{2\mathbb{Z}_+}, r \rightarrow 1} (1-r^2)^l b_r u.$$

The proof of this proposition is based on the following result which was communicated to the authors by L. I. Korogodsky:

**Lemma 6.4.**

$$1). \quad \lim_{x \in q^{-2\mathbb{Z}_+}, x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_l \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \bigg/ \left( \frac{\Gamma_{q^2}(2l+1)}{\Gamma_{q^2}^2(l+1)} x^l \right) = 1$$

if  $\operatorname{Re} l > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

$$2). \quad \lim_{x \in q^{-2\mathbb{Z}_+}, x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_l \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \bigg/ \left( \frac{\Gamma_{q^2}(-2l-1)}{\Gamma_{q^2}^2(-l)} x^{-l-1} \right) = 1$$

if  $\operatorname{Re} l < -\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proof.** It follows from the relation  $\varphi_l(y) = \varphi_{-1-l}(y)$  that one may restrict oneself to the case  $\operatorname{Re} l > -\frac{1}{2}$ . An application of the identity ([10])

$${}_2\Phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b; q; z \\ c \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{\left( \frac{c}{b}; q \right)_n}{(c; q)_n} {}_3\Phi_2 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b, \frac{bzq^{-n}}{c}; q; q \\ \frac{bq^{1-n}}{c}, 0 \end{matrix} \right],$$

with  $q, b, c, z$  being replaced respectively by  $q^2, q^{-2l}, q^{-2l-2n}, q^{2l+2}$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_l(q^{2n}) &= \frac{(q^{-2l-2n}; q^2)_n}{(q^{-2n}; q^2)_n} \cdot {}_2\Phi_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} q^{-2n}; q^{-2l}; q^2; q^{2l+2} \\ q^{-2l-2n} \end{matrix} \right] \sim \\ &\sim q^{-2nl} \frac{(q^{2(l+1)}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \cdot {}_1\Phi_0[q^{-2l}; q^2; q^{2(l+1)}] = \\ &= q^{-2nl} \frac{(q^{2(l+1)}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \cdot \frac{(q^{2(l+1)}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{2(2l+1)}; q^2)_\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to refer to the definition of the  $q$ -gamma-function.  $\square$

In the special case  $f = 1$  proposition 5.3 follows from lemma 5.4. The general case reduces to the above special case via an application of a quantum symmetry argument, which will be described in [19, Theorem 3.7].

## 7 Fourier transformation

It follows from the definitions that the integral operator with kernel  $K = \sum_i k_i'' \otimes k_i'$  is conjugate to the integral operator with the kernel  $K^t = \sum_i k_i'^* \otimes k_i''^*$ . Note that the conjugate to the unitary is an inverse operator.

Recall [12] the heuristic argument that leads to the Fourier transformation. Proposition 5.1 allows one to obtain eigenfunctions of  $\square$ . It is natural to expect that "any" function  $u$  admits a decomposition in eigenfunctions of  $\square$ , and that the associated Fourier operator is unitary.

Impose the notations:  $h = -2 \ln q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}P_{l+1}^t &= (q^2 z^* \zeta; q^2)_{-l-1} (z \zeta^*; q^2)_{-l-1} (1 - \zeta \zeta^*)^{1+l}, \\ c(l) &= \Gamma_{q^2}(2l+1) / (\Gamma_{q^2}(l+1))^2.\end{aligned}$$

It is shown in [19, section 5] that, just as in the standard representation theory (see [12]), one has

**Proposition 7.1.** *Consider the Borel measure  $d\sigma$  on  $[0, \frac{\pi}{h}]$ , given by*

$$d\sigma(\rho) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{h \cdot e^h}{e^h - 1} c(-\frac{1}{2} + i\rho)^{-1} \cdot c(-\frac{1}{2} - i\rho)^{-1} d\rho.$$

*The integral operators*

$$\begin{aligned}u(z) &\mapsto \int_{U_q} P_{\frac{1}{2}-i\rho}^t(z, \zeta) u(\zeta) d\nu, \\ f(e^{i\theta}, \rho) &\mapsto \int_0^{\pi/h} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{\frac{1}{2}+i\rho}(z, e^{i\theta}) f(e^{i\theta}, \rho) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} d\sigma(\rho)\end{aligned}$$

are extendable by continuity from the dense linear subspaces

$$\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \subset L^2(d\nu)_q, \quad C^\infty[0, \frac{\pi}{h}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q \subset L^2(\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}) \otimes L^2(d\sigma)$$

up to mutually inverse unitaries  $F, F^{-1}$ .

**Remark 6.2.** The function  $c(l)$ , the measure  $d\sigma(\rho)$  and the operator  $F$  are the quantum analogues for  $c$ -function of Harish-Chandra, Plancherel measure and Fourier transformation respectively (see [12]).

## 8 Berezin deformation of the quantum disc

We are going to use in the sequel bilinear operators  $L : D(U)_q \times D(U)_q \rightarrow D(U)_q$  of the form

$$L : f_1 \times f_2 \rightarrow \sum_{ijkm=0}^{N(L)} a_{ijkm} \left( \left( \frac{\partial^{(r)}}{\partial z^*} \right)^i f_1 \right) z^{*j} z^k \left( \left( \frac{\partial^{(l)}}{\partial z} \right)^m f_2 \right), \quad (7.1)$$

with  $a_{ijkm} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Such operators will be called  $q$ -bidifferential.

Our principal purpose is to construct the formal deformation of the multiplication law in  $D(U)_q$ . The new multiplication is to be a bilinear map

$$* : D(U)_q \times D(U)_q \rightarrow D(U)_q[[t]],$$

$$* : f_1 \times f_2 \mapsto f_1 \cdot f_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t^i C_i(f_1, f_2),$$

which satisfies the formal associativity condition

$$\sum_{i+k=m} C_i(f_1, C_k(f_2, f_3)) = \sum_{i+k=m} C_i(C_k(f_1, f_2), f_3)$$

(cf. [14]). When producing the new multiplication  $*$ , we follow F. Berezin [3]. The bilinear operators  $C_j : D(U)_q \times D(U)_q \rightarrow D(U)_q$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , will turn out to be  $q$ -bidifferential, and we shall give explicit formulae for them.

To begin with, choose a positive  $\alpha$  and consider a linear functional  $\nu_\alpha : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ;

$$\int_{U_q} f d\nu_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1 - q^{4\alpha}}{1 - q^2} \cdot \int_{U_q} f \cdot (1 - zz^*)^{2\alpha+1} d\nu = (1 - q^{4\alpha}) \text{tr } T(f \cdot (1 - zz^*)^{2\alpha}).$$

Impose a norm  $\|f\|_\alpha = \left( \int_{U_q} f^* f d\nu_\alpha \right)^{1/2}$  on  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ . Let  $L_{q,\alpha}^2$  stand for the completion of

$\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  with respect to the above norm, and  $H_{q,\alpha}^2$  for the linear span of monomials  $z^j \in L_{q,\alpha}^2$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *The monomials  $\{z^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  are pairwise orthogonal in  $H_{q,\alpha}^2$ , and  $\|z^m\|_\alpha = ((q^2; q^2)_m / (q^{4\alpha+2}; q^2)_m)^{1/2}$ .*

**Proof.** The pairwise orthogonality of the monomials  $z^m$  is obvious;

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^m\|_\alpha^2 &= (1 - q^{4\alpha}) \cdot \text{tr } T(z^{*m} z^m (1 - z z^*)^{2\alpha}) = \frac{1 - q^{4\alpha}}{1 - q^2} \int_0^1 (q^2 y; q^2)_m \cdot y^{2\alpha-1} d_{q^2} y = \\ &= \frac{1 - q^{4\alpha}}{1 - q^2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{q^2}(2\alpha) \cdot \Gamma_{q^2}(m+1)}{\Gamma_{q^2}(m+2\alpha+1)} = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_m}{(q^{4\alpha+2}; q^2)_m}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the well known [10, §1.11] identity

$$\int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} \cdot (tq^2; q^2)_{\alpha-1} d_{q^2} t = \frac{\Gamma_{q^2}(\beta) \Gamma_{q^2}(\alpha)}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\alpha + \beta)}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 8.2.** *Let  $\widehat{z}$  be the operator of multiplication by  $z$  in  $H_{q,\alpha}^2$ , and  $\widehat{z}^*$  the conjugate operator. Then  $\widehat{z}, \widehat{z}^*$  are bounded, and*

$$\widehat{z}^* \widehat{z} = q^2 \widehat{z} \widehat{z}^* + 1 - q^2 + q^{4\alpha} \cdot \frac{1 - q^2}{1 - q^{4\alpha}} (1 - \widehat{z} \widehat{z}^*) (1 - \widehat{z}^* \widehat{z}). \quad (7.2)$$

**Proof** follows from

$$\widehat{z} : z^m \mapsto z^{m+1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad \widehat{z}^* : 1 \mapsto 0, \quad \widehat{z}^* : z^m \mapsto \frac{1 - q^{2m}}{1 - q^{4\alpha+2m}} z^{m-1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \widehat{z} \widehat{z}^*)^{-1} : z^m &\mapsto ((q^{-2m} - q^{4\alpha}) / (1 - q^{4\alpha})) z^m, \\ (1 - \widehat{z}^* \widehat{z})^{-1} : z^m &\mapsto ((q^{-2m-2} - q^{4\alpha}) / (1 - q^{4\alpha})) z^m. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } (1 - \widehat{z} \widehat{z}^*)^{-1} = q^2 (1 - \widehat{z}^* \widehat{z})^{-1} - q^{4\alpha} \frac{1 - q^2}{1 - q^{4\alpha}}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 7.1 and corollary 7.2 were proved in the work by S. Klimek and A. Lesniewski [13] on two-parameter quantization of the disc beyond the frameworks of perturbation theory.

To every element  $f = \sum a_{ij} z^i z^{*j} \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})$  we associate the linear operator  $\widehat{f} = \sum a_{ij} \widehat{z}^i \widehat{z}^{*j}$  in  $H_{q,\alpha}^2$ . The formal deformation of the multiplication law in the algebra of functions in the quantum disc will be derived via an application of "Berezin quantization procedure"  $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$  to the ordinary multiplication in the algebra of linear operators.

More exactly, (7.2) allows one to get a formal asymptotic expansion

$$\widehat{f_1} \cdot \widehat{f_2} = \widehat{f_1 \cdot f_2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{4\alpha k} C_k(\widehat{f_1}, \widehat{f_2}). \quad f_1, f_2 \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q,$$

with  $C_k : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \times \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , bilinear maps. In this way, we get a formal deformation

$$* : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \times \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q[[t]];$$

$$f_1 * f_2 = f_1 \cdot f_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^k \cdot C_k(f_1, f_2); \quad f_1, f_2 \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q.$$

We present an explicit formula for the multiplication  $*$ , and thus also for bilinear maps  $C_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\tilde{\square}$  be a linear operator in  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q^{op} \otimes \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  given by

$$\tilde{\square} = q^{-2}(1 - (1 + q^{-2})z^* \otimes z + q^{-2}z^{*2} \otimes z^2) \frac{\partial^{(r)}}{\partial z^*} \otimes \frac{\partial^{(l)}}{\partial z},$$

and  $m : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \times \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ ,  $m : \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \rightarrow \psi_1 \psi_2$  the multiplication in  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ .

**Theorem 8.3.** *For all  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$*

$$f_1 * f_2 = (1 - t) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j \cdot m(p_j(\tilde{\square})f_1 \otimes f_2),$$

with

$$p_j(\tilde{\square}) = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(q^{-2j}; q^2)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k^2} q^{2k} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - q^{2i}((1 - q^2)^2 \cdot \tilde{\square} + 1 + q^2) + q^{4i+2}).$$

The proof can be found in [20, Theorem 8.4].

EXAMPLE 7.4. For all  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$

$$f_1 * f_2 = f_2 \cdot f_1 + t \cdot (q^{-2} - 1) \frac{\partial^{(r)} f_1}{\partial z^*} (1 - z^* z)^2 \frac{\partial^{(l)} f_2}{\partial z} + O(t^2).$$

It is worthwhile to note that the formal associativity of the multiplication  $*$  follows from the associativity of multiplication in the algebra of linear operators.

**Corollary 8.5.** *The bilinear operators  $C_k$  are of the form (7.1) and are extendable by continuity up to  $q$ -bidifferential operators  $C_k : D(U)_q \times D(U)_q \rightarrow D(U)_q$ .*

The above  $q$ -bidifferential operators determine a formal deformation of the multiplication in  $D(U)_q$ . The formal associativity of the newly formed multiplication  $* : D(U)_q \times D(U)_q \rightarrow D(U)_q[[t]]$  follows from the formal associativity of the previous multiplication  $* : \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \times \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q[[t]]$ .

Finally, note that our proof of theorem 7.3 is based on the properties of some  $q$ -analogue for Berezin transformation [21].

## Appendix. On $q$ -analogue of the Green formula

Consider the two-sided ideal  $J \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  generated by the element  $1 - zz^* \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ , and the commutative quotient algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q/J$ . Its elements will be identified with the corresponding polynomials on the circle  $\partial U$ . The image  $f|_{\partial U}$  of  $f \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$  under the canonical homomorphism  $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\partial U]_q$  will be called a restriction of  $f$  onto the boundary of the quantum disc.

Define the integral  $\Omega(\mathbb{C})_q^{(1,0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\int_{\partial U} dz \cdot f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2\pi i \int_{\partial U} (z \cdot f)|_{\partial U} d\nu, \quad f \in \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q,$$

with

$$\int_{\partial U} \psi d\nu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

**Proposition A.1.** *For all  $\psi \in \Omega(\mathbb{C})_q^{(0,1)}$  one has*

$$\int_{U_q} \bar{\partial} \psi = \int_{\partial U} \psi. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

REMARK A.2. The integral  $\int_{U_q} dz \cdot f \cdot dz^* = -2i\pi \int_{U_q} f d\mu$  introduced in section 4 for  $f \in D(U)_q$ , is extendable by continuity onto all  $(1,1)$ -forms  $dz \cdot f \cdot dz^*$  with

$$f = \sum_{m>0} z^m \psi_m(y) + \psi_0(y) + \sum_{m>0} \psi_{-m}(y) z^{*m} \in D(U)_q',$$

such that  $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} |\psi_0(q^{2m})| q^{2m} < \infty$ . Under these assumptions one also has

$$\int_{U_q} dz dz^* f = \int_{U_q} dz \cdot f \cdot dz^* = \int_{U_q} f dz dz^*. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

**Proof.** Recall that (see (1.2))

$$\psi = dz \left( \sum_{m>0} z^m \psi_m(y) + \psi_0(y) + \sum_{m>0} \psi_{-m}(y) z^{*m} \right). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We can restrict ourselves to the case  $\psi = dz \psi_{-1}(y) z^*$ , since this is the only term in (A.2) which could make a non-zero contribution to (A.1).

It follows from the definitions that  $\bar{\partial} \psi = dz \cdot f(y) dz^*$ , with

$$f(y) = \psi_{-1}(y) - q^{-2} \frac{\psi_{-1}(q^{-2}y) - \psi_{-1}(y)}{q^{-2}y - y} (1 - y).$$

In fact,  $\bar{\partial} y = \bar{\partial}(1 - z z^*) = -z dz^*$ . Hence  $\bar{\partial} y^m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y^j (-z dz^*) y^{m-1-j} = -\frac{1 - q^{2m}}{1 - q^2} z y^{m-1} dz^*$ .

That is, for any polynomial  $p(y)$  one has

$$\bar{\partial} p(y) = -z \frac{p(y) - p(q^2 y)}{y - q^2 y} \cdot dz^*. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

(Note that the validity of (A.4) for polynomials already implies its validity for all distributions). Finally,

$$\bar{\partial}(dz \psi_{-1}(y) z^*) = dz \left( -z \frac{\psi_{-1}(y) - \psi_{-1}(q^2 y)}{y - q^2 y} z^* + \psi_{-1}(y) \right) dz^*.$$

On the other hand,  $-z \frac{\psi_{-1}(y) - \psi_{-1}(q^2 y)}{y - q^2 y} z^* + \psi_{-1}(y) = f(y)$ , since  $zy = q^{-2}yz$ ,  $zz^* = 1 - y$ .

If one assumes  $\psi_{-1}(0) = 0$ , it is easy to show that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} f(q^{2n})q^{2n} = 0$ . Hence, in this case  $\int_{U_q} \bar{\partial}\psi = \int_{\partial U} \psi = 0$ . Thus, Proposition A.1 is proved for all  $(1,0)$ -forms from some linear subspace of codimensionality 1. Now it remains to prove (A.1) in the special case  $\psi = dz \cdot z^*$ .  $\square$

**Corollary A.3.** *If  $\psi \in \Omega(U)_q^{(1,0)}$ , then  $\int_{U_q} \bar{\partial}\psi = 0$ .*

## References

- [1] W.B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta Mathematica, 1969, **123**, No 3-4, 141-224.
- [2] M. Beals, C. Fefferman, R. Grossman, *Strictly pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1983), No 2, 125 – 322.
- [3] F. Berezin, *General concept of quantization*, Commun Math. Phys., **40** (1975), 153 – 174.
- [4] B. Blackadar. K-theory for Operator Algebras, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1986.
- [5] O. Bratteli, D. Robinson. Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1979.
- [6] M. Chaichian, P. P. Kulish. Quantum group covariant systems, preprint q-alg/9512017.
- [7] V. Chari, A. Pressley. A Guide to Quantum Groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [8] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, 1986, A. M. Gleason (ed.), 1987, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 798 – 820.
- [9] P. G. A. Floris. Addition Formula for  $q$ -adic Polynomials, Report W94-24, Mathematical Institute, University of Leiden, 10 p.
- [10] G. Gasper, M. Rahman. Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [11] S. Helgason. Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, Acad. Press, N.Y. – London, 1962.
- [12] S. Helgason. Groups and Geometric Analysis, Academic Press Inc., N.Y. – London, 1984.
- [13] S. Klimek, A. Lesniewski, *A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc*, J. Funct. Anal. **115**, (1993), 1 – 23.
- [14] A. Lichnerowicz. Deformation of algebras associated with a symplectic manifold, in Differential Geometry and Mathematical Physics, M. Cahen et al.(eds.), D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1983, 69 – 83.



- [15] G. Nagy, A. Nica. *On the "quantum disc" and a "non-commutative circle"*, in: Algebraic Methods on Operator Theory, R. E. Curto, P. E. T. Jorgensen (eds.), Birkhauser, Boston, 1994, 276 – 290.
- [16] S. Sinel'shchikov, L. Vaksman. *On  $q$ -analogues of Bounded Symmetric Domains and Dolbeault Complexes*, Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry; Kluwer Academic Publishers, V.1, No.1, 1998, 75–100, E-print: q-alg 9703005.
- [17] D. Shklyarov, S. Sinel'shchikov, L. Vaksman. On function theory in quantum disc: covariance, E-print: math.QA/9808037.
- [18] D. Shklyarov, S. Sinel'shchikov, L. Vaksman. On function theory in quantum disc: invariant kernels, E-print: math.QA/9808047.
- [19] D. Shklyarov, S. Sinel'shchikov, L. Vaksman. On function theory in quantum disc:  $q$ -differential equations and Fourier transform, E-print: math.QA/9809002.
- [20] D. Shklyarov, S. Sinel'shchikov, L. Vaksman. On function theory in quantum disc:  $q$ -analogue of Berezin transformation, E-print: math.QA/9809018.
- [21] A. Unterberger, H. Upmeyer, *The Berezin transform and invariant differential operators*, Comm. Math. Phys., **164** (1994), 563 – 598.
- [22] L. L. Vaksman, *Intertwining operators and quantum homogeneous spaces*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., **1** (1994), n 3/4, 329 – 409, and preprint q-alg/9511007.
- [23] L. L. Vaksman, *Integral intertwining operators and quantum homogeneous spaces*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, **105** (1995), No 3.
- [24] Chong-Sun Chu, Pei-Ming Ho, Bruno Zumino. Some complex quantum manifolds and their geometry, preprint hep-th/9608188, 1996, 49 p.p.