

# INTERSECTION PAIRING FOR ARITHMETIC CYCLES WITH DEGENERATE GREEN CURRENTS

ATSUSHI MORIWAKI

## INTRODUCTION

As we indicated in our paper [10], the standard arithmetic Chow groups introduced by Gillet-Soulé [4] are rather restricted to consider arithmetic analogues of geometric problems. In this note, we would like to propose a suitable extension of the arithmetic Chow group of codimension one, in which the Hodge index theorem still holds as in papers [2], [8] and [15].

Let  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  be a regular arithmetic variety with  $d = \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . As we defined in [10],  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$  is a group, consisting of pairs  $(Z, g)$  with cycles  $Z$  of codimension  $p$  on  $X$  and currents  $g$  of type  $(p-1, p-1)$  on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , modulo arithmetical rational equivalence. It seems to be impossible to give a natural ring structure on the graded module  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In [10, §2.3], we showed that  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  has, however, a natural scalar product of the arithmetic Chow ring  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , namely, a module structure of  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  over  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as a generalization of [4, Theorem 4.2.3]. In this note, we will introduce suitable subgroups  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$  of  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$  and  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{B'}^q(X)$  of  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^q(X)$  such that  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$  and  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{B'}^q(X)$  have a natural pairing

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_{B'}^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

In the following, we would like to explain how to construct  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$ , for example.

We denote by  $C^\infty(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $L_{1,loc}^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$ ) the set of all real valued  $C^\infty$ -functions (resp. locally square integrable functions with all weak partial derivatives in  $L_{loc}^2$ ) on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . A key point to get  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$  is to fix an abelian group  $B$  with  $C^\infty(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B \subseteq L_{1,loc}^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$ . This abelian group  $B$  is called a class of degeneration of Green functions. Fixing the class  $B$  of degeneration, an arithmetic  $B$ -divisor on  $X$  is defined to be a pair  $(D, g)$  such that  $D$  is a divisor on  $X$ , and that there are a Green function  $f$  for  $D(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\phi \in B$  with  $g = f + \phi$ . We denote by  $\widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$  the set of all arithmetic  $B$ -divisors on  $X$ , and define  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$  to be  $\widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$  modulo arithmetic linear equivalence. Using the Dirichlet form of  $L_{1,loc}^2(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$  together with the usual star product, if  $(D_1, g_1), (D_2, g_2) \in \widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$ , and  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  have no common component, then we can define the star product  $g_1 * g_2$  as well as the usual one (cf. §2.1). In this way, we have a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^2(X).$$

as desired.

Assuming  $X$  is projective over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $(H, k)$  be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on  $X$ , namely, (1)  $H$  is ample, (2) the Chern form  $c_1(H, k)$  is positive definite on the infinite fiber  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , and (3) there is a positive integer  $m_0$  such that, for any integer  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $H^0(X, H^m)$  is generated by the set  $\{s \in H^0(X, H^m) \mid \|s\|_{\sup} < 1\}$ . Then, the following is one of main results of this note, which is a generalization of [2], [8] and [15].

**Theorem A** (cf. Corollary 4.3). *Let us consider a homomorphism*

$$L : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

*given by  $L(x) = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H}) \cdot x$ . Then, we have the following.*

- (1)  $L^{d-1} : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^d(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  *is injective.*
- (2) *If  $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , and  $L^d(x) = 0$ , then  $\widehat{\deg}(L^{d-1}(x) \cdot x) < 0$ .*

On an arithmetic surface, Bost [1] also constructed the same intersection pairing independently for an arithmetic analogue of the Lefschetz theorem. Our motivation is, however, different. In this note, we introduce the special class **Br**, which is called the birational class of degeneration, arising from birational geometry. Namely, a locally integrable function  $\phi$  on  $X(\mathbb{C})$  belongs to the class **Br** if and only if there are a proper birational morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  of smooth algebraic schemes over  $\mathbb{C}$ , a divisor  $D'$  on  $Y$ , and a Green function  $g$  for  $D'$  such that  $\mu_*(D') = 0$  and  $\mu_*(g) = \phi$  (a. e.). In §1, we will check that the birational class **Br** is a class of degeneration (cf. Proposition 1.2). Note that if  $\dim X = 2$ , then **Br** is nothing more than  $C^\infty(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R})$ .

The reason why we need to introduce the birational class comes from the following observation. Let  $(E, h)$  be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank 2 on  $X$ , and  $L$  a rank 1 saturated subsheaf of  $E$ . Then,  $L_{\mathbb{C}}$  is not necessarily line subbundle of  $E_{\mathbb{C}}$  if  $\dim X_{\mathbb{Q}} \geq 2$ , so that the metric  $h_L$  of  $L$  induced by  $h$  is not necessarily  $C^\infty$  over  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . We can however see that  $\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L)$  gives rise to an element of  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{Br}}^1(X)$ . Thus, we may consider

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(E, h) - \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L))).$$

We would like to compare the above with  $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h))$ . If we take the geometric case into account, one can guess

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h)) \geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(E, h) - \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L))).$$

Actually, we will prove the above inequality in §5. Using this together with the result of [14], we have the following Bogomolov's instability theorem for rank 2 vector bundles in the arithmetic case.

**Theorem B** (cf. Theorem 6.2). *If  $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(E, h) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2)) < 0$ , then there is a rank 1 saturated subsheaf  $L$  of  $E$  such that  $L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det(E)^{-1}$  has positive degree on each connected component of  $X(\mathbb{C})$  with respect to  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and that*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h))^2) > 0.$$

Finally, we would like to thank Prof. Bost who kindly sent his paper [1] after writing the first version of this note. Inspired with his paper, we could simplify the description of this note.

## 1. CLASS OF DEGENERATION OF GREEN CURRENTS

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. We denote by  $C^\infty(X)$  (resp.  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ ) the set of all complex (resp. real) valued  $C^\infty$  functions. For a non-negative integer  $k$  and a real number  $r$  with  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , we denote by  $L_{k,loc}^r(X)$  (resp.  $L_{k,loc}^r(X, \mathbb{R})$ ) the set of all complex (resp. real) valued functions on  $X$  which locally have all weak partial derivatives up to order  $k$  in  $L^r$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a form of type  $(p, q)$  on  $X$ . We say  $\alpha$  is a locally  $L_k^r$ -form if all coefficients of  $\alpha$  in terms of local coordinates belong to  $L_{k,loc}^r$ . We denote the set of all (resp. real) locally  $L_k^r$ -forms of type  $(p, q)$  on  $X$  by  $L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,q})$  (resp.  $L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,q}, \mathbb{R})$ ). Let us begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** (i) *If  $\phi \in L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,p})$  and  $\psi \in C^\infty(\Omega_X^{q,q})$ , then  $[\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \in \text{Im}(\partial)$  and  $[\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)] \in \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$  as currents.*  
(ii) *Let  $r$  and  $r'$  be real numbers with  $1 \leq r, r' < \infty$  and  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ . If  $\phi \in L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p,p})$  and  $\psi \in L_{1,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_X^{q,q})$ , then  $[\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] = [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)]$  modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ .*  
(iii) *If  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional connected compact complex manifold with a fundamental form  $\Phi$ , then*

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_X \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \Phi^{d-1} \geq 0$$

*for all  $\phi \in L_1^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if  $\phi$  is a constant almost everywhere.*

*Proof.* (i) First of all,  $\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \in L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p+q,p+q+1})$ . Thus,

$$\partial(\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)) = \phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi) + \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi).$$

Hence, we get the first assertion. In the same way,

$$\bar{\partial}(\phi \wedge \partial(\psi)) = \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \partial(\psi) + \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \partial(\psi),$$

which shows us the second assertion.

(ii) It is sufficient to see that

$$\partial[\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + \bar{\partial}[\phi \wedge \partial(\psi)] = [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] - [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)]$$

as currents. This is a local question, so that we may assume that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  can be written in terms of a local coordinate and that all coefficients of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  belong to  $L_1^r(X)$  and  $L_1^{r'}(X)$  respectively. Since  $C^\infty(X) \cap L_1^{r'}(X)$  is dense in  $L_1^{r'}(X)$ , there is a sequence  $\{\psi_n\}$  in  $C^\infty(\Omega_X^{q,q})$  such that all coefficients of  $\psi_n$  belong to  $L_1^{r'}(X)$  and they converge to the coefficients of  $\psi$  in  $L_1^{r'}(X)$ . Then,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\phi \partial(\psi_n)] = [\phi \partial(\psi)], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)] = [\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi)], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)] = [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\partial(\psi_n) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)] = [\partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)] \end{cases}$$

as currents. Here note that if  $T$  is a current,  $\{T_n\}$  is a sequence of currents, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T$  as currents, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial(T_n) = \partial(T)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\partial}(T_n) = \bar{\partial}(T)$  as currents. On the

other hand, by virtue of the proof of (i),

$$\partial(\phi \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)) + \bar{\partial}(\phi \wedge \partial(\psi_n)) = (\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi_n)) - (\partial(\psi_n) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi))$$

for all  $n$ . Thus, we get (ii).

(iii) Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$ , and  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  a local orthogonal frame of the holomorphic cotangent bundle  $\Omega_X^1$  around  $x$  with respect to  $\Phi$  such that  $\Phi = \sqrt{-1} \sum_i \theta_i \wedge \bar{\theta}_i$ . If we set  $\partial(\phi) = \sum_i a_i \theta_i$  around  $x$ , then  $\bar{\partial}(\phi) = \sum_i \bar{a}_i \bar{\theta}_i$ . Thus,

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \Phi^{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \Phi^n$$

around  $x$ . This means that

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge \Phi^{n-1}$$

is non-negative on  $X$ . Therefore, we get the first assertion.

Next we assume the equality. Then, by the proof of the inequality, we can see that  $\partial(\phi) = \bar{\partial}(\phi) = 0$  (a. e.), i.e.,  $d(\phi) = 0$  (a. e.). Thus,  $\phi$  is a constant almost everywhere.  $\square$

An abelian group  $B$  is called a *class of degeneration of Green currents for codimension  $p$  cycles in  $L^r$*  (or simply a class of degeneration) if  $C^\infty(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B \subseteq L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ . For example,  $C^\infty(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $L_{k, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) are classes of degeneration in  $L^r$ .

Let us consider a non-trivial example of class of degeneration of Green functions. Let  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper bimeromorphic morphism of complex manifolds,  $U$  the maximal open set of  $X$  with  $\mu^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ , and  $\omega$  a form on  $Y$ . We define the form  $\mu_*(\omega)$  on  $X$  to be

$$\mu_*(\omega)(x) = \begin{cases} \omega(\mu^{-1}(x)) & \text{if } x \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin U. \end{cases}$$

Note that if  $\omega$  is locally integrable, then  $\mu_*(\omega)$  is also locally integrable and  $\mu_*([\omega]) = [\mu_*(\omega)]$  as currents. It is easy to see that  $\mu_*(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = \mu_*(\omega_1) \wedge \mu_*(\omega_2)$ .

Let  $D$  be a divisor on  $X$ . A locally integrable function  $g$  on  $X$  is called a *Green function for  $D$*  if  $g$  is  $C^\infty$  over  $X \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$  and  $dd^c([g]) + \delta_D$  is represented by a  $C^\infty$ -form. It is easy to see that if  $g$  is a Green function for some divisor, then for any points  $x \in X$ , there are a meromorphic function  $f$  around  $x$  and a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\psi$  around  $x$  with  $g = \log |f| + \psi$ .

Here we consider the following space  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ . A locally integrable function  $\phi$  on  $X$  belongs to  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  if and only if there are a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  of complex manifolds, a divisor  $D$  on  $Y$ , and a Green function  $g$  for  $D$  such that  $\mu_*(D) = 0$  and  $\phi = \mu_*(g)$  (a. e.).

**Proposition 1.2.** *The space  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  is a class of degeneration of Green functions in  $L^2$ . Moreover, the following properties are satisfied.*

- (1) *For all  $\phi \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$ , the differentials  $\partial\bar{\partial}([\phi])$  in the sense of currents are represented by locally integrable forms. (By abuse of notation, representatives of  $\partial\bar{\partial}([\phi])$  are denoted by  $\partial\bar{\partial}(\phi)$ .)*

- (2)  $\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)$  is locally integrable forms for any  $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$ , and, as currents,  $[\phi \wedge \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \in \text{Im}(\partial)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbf{Br}(X) \subseteq L_{loc}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  because a  $C^\infty$ -function is a Green function for the zero divisor, and a Green function is locally square integrable. First, let us check that  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  is an abelian group. Choose arbitrary elements  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$ . Then, we can easily find a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  of complex manifolds, divisors  $D_1, D_2$  on  $Y$ , and Green functions  $g_1$  for  $D_1$  and  $g_2$  for  $D_2$  such that  $\mu_*(D_1) = \mu_*(D_2) = 0$ ,  $\phi_1 = \mu_*(g_1)$  (a. e.), and  $\phi_2 = \mu_*(g_2)$  (a. e.). Thus,  $g_1 - g_2$  is a Green function for  $D_1 - D_2$ , and  $\mu_*(g_1 - g_2) = \phi_1 - \phi_2$  (a. e.). Hence,  $\phi_1 - \phi_2 \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$ . This shows us that  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  is an abelian group.

In order to check another properties, we need to prepare two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold,  $D$  a divisor on  $X$ , and  $g$  a Green function for  $D$ . Then,*

$$\int_X g d(\omega) = - \int_X d(g) \wedge \omega$$

for all  $\omega \in C_c^\infty(X, \Omega^{2n-1})$ , i.e.,  $\omega$  is a compactly supported  $(2n-1)$ -form on  $X$ . In other words,  $d([g]) = [d(g)]$ . Note that  $d(g)$  is a logarithmic form on  $X$ , so that  $d(g)$  is locally integrable.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper bimeromorphic morphism such that  $\mu^{-1}(\text{Supp}(D))$  is a normal crossing divisor. Then,

$$\int_X g d(\omega) = \int_Y \mu^*(g) d(\mu^*(\omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X d(g) \wedge \omega = \int_Y d(\mu^*(g)) \wedge \mu^*(\omega).$$

Thus, we may assume that  $\text{Supp}(D)$  is a normal crossing divisor.

Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a locally finite open covering of  $X$  such that each  $U_\alpha$  is isomorphic to a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha = 1$  be a partition of unity subordinate to  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ . If

$$\int_X g d(\phi_\alpha \omega) = - \int_X d(g) \wedge \phi_\alpha \omega$$

for all  $\alpha \in A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_X d(g) \wedge \omega &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} - \int_X d(g) \wedge \phi_\alpha \omega \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_X g d(\phi_\alpha \omega) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_X g (d(\phi_\alpha) \omega + \phi_\alpha d(\omega)) \\ &= \int_X g \left( d \left( \sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha \right) \omega + \sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha d(\omega) \right) \\ &= \int_X g d(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to complete our lemma, it is sufficient to show the following sublemma.  $\square$

**Sublemma 1.3.1.** *Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  be a coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  real numbers. Then, for any  $\omega \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n, \Omega^{2n-1})$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n}) d(\omega) = - \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d(\log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n})) \wedge \omega.$$

Note that

$$d(\log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{2} \left( \frac{dz_i}{z_i} + \frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \right)$$

and it is a  $L^1$ -form.

*Proof.* Since  $\log(|z_1|^{a_1} \cdots |z_n|^{a_n}) = a_1 \log|z_1| + \cdots + a_n \log|z_n|$ , it is sufficient to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \log|z_1| d(\omega) = - \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d(\log|z_1|) \wedge \omega.$$

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , we set

$$U_\epsilon = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid |z_1| \geq \epsilon\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_\epsilon = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mid |z_1| = \epsilon\}.$$

Then, since  $d(\log|z_1|\omega) = d(\log|z_1|)\omega + \log|z_1|d(\omega)$  over  $U_\epsilon$ , by Stokes' formula,

$$- \int_{D_\epsilon} \log|z_1|\omega = \int_{U_\epsilon} d(\log|z_1|) \wedge \omega + \int_{U_\epsilon} \log|z_1|d(\omega).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{U_\epsilon} d(\log|z_1|) \wedge \omega = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} d(\log|z_1|) \wedge \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{U_\epsilon} \log|z_1|d(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \log|z_1|d(\omega).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{D_\epsilon} \log|z_1|\omega = 0.$$

Let us choose a sufficiently large number  $M$  such that  $\text{supp}(\omega) \subset \Delta_M^n$ , where  $\Delta_M = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq M\}$ . Then, if we set  $S_\epsilon^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = \epsilon\}$ , we have

$$\int_{D_\epsilon} \log|z_1|\omega = \int_{S_\epsilon^1 \times \Delta_M^{n-1}} \log|z_1|\omega.$$

Here we set

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \Big\{ & \alpha_i(dx_1 \wedge dy_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\widehat{dx_i} \wedge dy_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_n \wedge dy_n) \\ & + \beta_i(dx_1 \wedge dy_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_i \wedge \widehat{dy_i}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_n \wedge dy_n) \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}y_i$ . Then, since  $dx_1 \wedge dy_1 = 0$  on  $S_\epsilon^1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_\epsilon^1 \times \Delta_M^{n-1}} \log |z_1| \omega &= \log(\epsilon) \int_{S_\epsilon^1 \times \Delta_M^{n-1}} (\alpha_1 dy_1 + \beta_1 dx_1) \wedge (dx_2 \wedge dy_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dx_n \wedge dy_n) \\ &= \epsilon \log(\epsilon) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Delta_M^{n-1}} (\alpha_1^\epsilon \cos(\theta) - \beta_1^\epsilon \sin(\theta)) d\theta dx_2 dy_2 \cdots dx_n dy_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_1^\epsilon(\theta, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \alpha_1(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  and  $\beta_1^\epsilon(\theta, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \beta_1(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ . Thus, we get our lemma because

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \epsilon \log(\epsilon) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_1^\epsilon \cos(\theta) - \beta_1^\epsilon \sin(\theta)| \leq \|\alpha_1\|_{\sup} + \|\beta_1\|_{\sup}.$$

□

Next, let us consider the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold, and*

$$Y = X_N \xrightarrow{\pi_{N-1}} X_{N-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{N-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_0} X_0 = X$$

*a succession of blowing-ups along smooth and irreducible subvarieties of codimension at least 2, i.e., for each  $0 \leq \alpha < N$ , there is a smooth and irreducible subvariety  $C_\alpha$  on  $X_\alpha$  such that  $\text{codim } C_\alpha \geq 2$  and  $\pi_\alpha : X_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is the blowing-up along  $C_\alpha$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the exceptional set of  $\pi = \pi_0 \cdots \pi_{N-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ . Let  $D$  be a divisor on  $Y$  with  $\text{Supp}(D) \subseteq \Sigma$ , and  $g$  a Green function for  $D$ . If  $\Sigma$  is a normal crossing divisor, then  $d(g) \wedge \pi^*(\omega)$  is a  $C^\infty$  form for any  $\omega \in C^\infty(X, \Omega^{n-1, n-1})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be an arbitrary point of  $Y$ , and  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  a local coordinate of  $Y$  at  $y$  such that  $z_1(y) = \cdots = z_n(y) = 0$  and  $\Sigma$  is given by  $\{z_1 \cdots z_a = 0\}$  around  $y$ . Then,  $g$  can be written by a form

$$g = e_1 \log |z_1|^2 + \cdots + e_a \log |z_a|^2 + (C^\infty \text{ function}).$$

Then,

$$d(g) = \sum_{i=1}^a e_i \left( \frac{dz_i}{z_i} + \frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \right) + (C^\infty \text{ form}).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge \pi^*(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \wedge \pi^*(\omega)$$

are  $C^\infty$  forms around  $y$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq a$ .

We choose  $0 \leq \alpha < N$  such that  $\{z_i = 0\}$  is an irreducible component of  $\mu_\alpha^{-1}(C_\alpha)$ , where  $\mu_\alpha = \pi_\alpha \cdots \pi_{N-1} : Y \rightarrow X_\alpha$ . Moreover, we choose a local coordinate  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  of  $X_\alpha$  at  $\mu_\alpha(y)$  such that  $w_1(\mu_\alpha(y)) = \cdots = w_n(\mu_\alpha(y)) = 0$  and  $C_\alpha$  is given by an equation  $w_1 = \cdots = w_b = 0$ . Then,  $b \geq 2$  because  $\text{codim } C_\alpha \geq 2$ . We set  $\phi_i = \mu_\alpha^*(w_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By our choice of  $x_i$ 's and  $w_j$ 's, the ideal generated by  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_b$  is contained in the ideal generated by  $z_i$ . Thus, there are holomorphic functions  $f_1, \dots, f_b$  around  $y$  with  $\phi_1 = z_1 f_1, \dots, \phi_b = z_b f_b$ . Here we set

$$(\pi_0 \cdots \pi_{\alpha-1})^*(\omega) = \sum_{s,t} \omega_{st} \left( dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dw_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_n \right) \wedge \left( d\bar{w}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{w}_t} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{w}_n \right)$$

around  $\mu_\alpha(y)$ . Then,

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \sum_{s,t} \mu_\alpha^*(\omega_{st}) \left( d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\phi_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_n \right) \wedge \left( d\bar{\phi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{\phi}_t} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{\phi}_n \right)$$

Since  $b \geq 2$ , for each  $s$ , there is  $s'$  with  $1 \leq s' \leq b$  and  $s' \neq s$ . Then,

$$\frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge d\phi_{s'} = \frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge ((dz_i)f_{s'} + z_i df_{s'}) = dz_i \wedge df_{s'},$$

which shows us that

$$\frac{dz_i}{z_i} \wedge d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\phi_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_n$$

is a holomorphic form for all  $s$ . In the same way, we can see that

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{\bar{z}_i} \wedge d\bar{\phi}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{\phi}_t} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{\phi}_n$$

is an anti-holomorphic form for each  $t$ . Thus, we get our lemma.  $\square$

Let us go back to the proof of Proposition 1.2. Let us pick up arbitrary  $\phi, \psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X)$ . Choose a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  of complex manifolds, divisors  $D$  and  $E$  on  $Y$ , and Green functions  $g$  for  $D$  and  $f$  for  $E$  such that  $\mu_*(D) = \mu_*(E) = 0$ ,  $\phi = \mu_*(g)$  (a. e.), and  $\psi = \mu_*(f)$  (a. e.). Changing a model, if necessarily, we may assume that  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  can be obtained by a succession of blowing-ups along smooth and irreducible subvarieties of codimension at least 2, and that the exceptional set is a divisor with only normal crossings. Let  $\omega$  be a  $C^\infty$ -form on  $Y$  with

$$dd^c([f]) + \delta_E = \frac{-1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \partial\bar{\partial}([f]) + \delta_E = [\omega].$$

**Claim 1.5.**  $d([\phi]) = [\mu_*(d(g))]$  and  $\partial\bar{\partial}([\psi]) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}[\mu_*(\omega)]$ . In particular,  $d([\phi])$  and  $\partial\bar{\partial}([\psi])$  are represented by locally integrable forms.

By virtue of Lemma 1.3,  $d([g]) = [d(g)]$ . Thus,

$$d([\phi]) = d(\mu_*[g]) = \mu_*d([g]) = \mu_*[d(g)] = [\mu_*(d(g))].$$

Further,  $\mu_*\partial\bar{\partial}([f]) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*[\omega]$  because  $\mu_*(\delta_E) = 0$ . Thus,

$$\partial\bar{\partial}([\psi]) = \partial\bar{\partial}(\mu_*[f]) = \mu_*\partial\bar{\partial}([f]) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*[\omega] = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}[\mu_*(\omega)].$$

**Claim 1.6.**  $\phi\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi)$ ,  $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)$ , and  $\phi\bar{\partial}(\psi)$  are locally integrable. Note that the local integrability of  $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)$  implies that  $\phi \in L_{1,loc}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

Using the equation  $\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*(\omega)$ , we have

$$\phi\partial\bar{\partial}(\psi) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*(g)\mu_*(\omega) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu_*(g\omega).$$

Here, since  $g\omega$  is locally integrable, so is  $\mu_*(g\omega)$ . In order to see that  $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)$  is locally integrable, it is sufficient to see that  $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda$  is integrable for all  $\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_X^{n-1, n-1})$ . Since

$$\int_X \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda = \int_Y \partial(g) \wedge \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda)$$



and  $\bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -form by Lemma 1.4, we can see that  $\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda$  is integrable. In the same way as above, using Lemma 1.4, we can check that  $\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi)$  are locally integrable.

**Claim 1.7.**  $[\phi \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)] + [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] = \partial[\phi \bar{\partial}(\psi)]$ .

The above equation means that

$$\int_X \phi \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda + \int_X \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \lambda = \int_X \phi \bar{\partial}(\psi) \wedge \partial(\lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_X^{n-1, n-1})$ . This is equivalent to say that

$$-2\pi\sqrt{-1} \int_Y g\omega \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) + \int_X \partial(g) \wedge \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) = \int_X g \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \partial(\mu^*(\lambda))$$

for all  $\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_X^{n-1, n-1})$ . We set  $\eta = \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\lambda)$ . Then,  $\eta$  is  $C^\infty$  by Lemma 1.4 and

$$d(\eta) = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}\omega \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) - \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\partial(\lambda)).$$

Thus, using Lemma 1.3,

$$\int_Y \partial(g) \wedge \eta = \int_Y d(g) \wedge \eta = - \int_Y g \wedge d(\eta) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \int_Y g\omega \wedge \mu^*(\lambda) + \int_Y g \bar{\partial}(f) \wedge \mu^*(\partial(\lambda)).$$

Hence we get our claim.

Gathering Claim 1.5, Claim 1.6 and Claim 1.7, we can complete the proof of Proposition 1.2.  $\square$

## 2. DEGENERATE GREEN CURRENTS

**2.1.  $B$ -Green currents and their star product.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold, and  $B$  a class of degeneration of Green currents for codimension  $p$  cycles. Let  $Z$  be a cycle of codimension  $p$  on  $X$ . A current (resp. locally integrable form)  $g$  of type  $(p-1, p-1)$  on  $X$  is called a  $B$ -Green current for  $Z$  (resp.  $B$ -Green form for  $Z$ ) if there are a Green current (resp. Green form)  $f$  for  $Z$  and  $\phi \in B$  with  $g = f + [\phi]$  (resp.  $g = f + \phi$  (a. e.)). We denote  $dd^c(g) + \delta_Z$  by  $\omega(g)$ . For example, if  $B = C^\infty(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , then a  $B$ -Green current is nothing more than an usual Green current.

We also fix a class  $B'$  of degeneration of Green currents for codimension  $q$  cycles. We assume that  $B \subseteq L_{1,loc}^r(\Omega_X^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $B' \subseteq L_{1,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_X^{q-1, q-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ . Let  $Z_1$  be a cycle of codimension  $p$  on  $X$ , and  $Z_2$  a cycle of codimension  $q$  on  $X$ . Let  $g_1$  be a  $B$ -Green current for  $Z_1$ , and  $g_2$  a  $B'$ -Green current for  $Z_2$ . Let us choose a Green current  $f_1$  for  $Z_1$ , a Green current  $f_2$  for  $Z_2$ ,  $\phi_1 \in B$ , and  $\phi_2 \in B'$  such that  $g_1 = f_1 + \phi_1$  and  $g_2 = f_2 + \phi_2$ . We suppose that  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  intersect properly. We would like to define the star product  $g_1 * g_2$  of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  to be

$$g_1 * g_2 = f_1 * f_2 + [\omega(f_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\phi_1 \wedge \omega(f_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)]$$

as an element of  $D^{1,1}(X)/(\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}))$ . The following proposition says us that the above product is well defined and it is commutative modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ .

**Proposition 2.1.1.** (1)  $g_1 * g_2$  modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$  is well defined, namely,  $f_1 * f_2 + [\omega(f_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\phi_1 \wedge \omega(f_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)]$  modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$  does not depend on the choices of  $f_1, f_2, \phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .  
 (2)  $g_1 * g_2 = g_2 * g_1$  modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $g_1 = f'_1 + \phi'_1$  and  $g_2 = f'_2 + \phi'_2$  be another expressions of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , where  $f'_1$  is a Green current for  $Z_1$ ,  $f'_2$  is a Green current for  $Z_2$ ,  $\phi'_1 \in B$  and  $\phi'_2 \in B'$ . Then, there are smooth forms  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ , and currents  $S_1, T_1, S_2$  and  $T_2$  such that

$$f'_1 = f_1 + \eta_1 + \partial(S_1) + \bar{\partial}(T_1) \quad \text{and} \quad f'_2 = f_2 + \eta_2 + \partial(S_2) + \bar{\partial}(T_2).$$

Thus, we have

$$\phi'_1 = \phi_1 - \eta_1 - \partial(S_1) - \bar{\partial}(T_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_2 = \phi_2 - \eta_2 - \partial(S_2) - \bar{\partial}(T_2).$$

First of all, it is well known that

$$(2.1.1.1) \quad f'_1 * f'_2 = (f_1 + \eta_1) * (f_2 + \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

Moreover, since  $\omega(f'_1) = \omega(f_1 + \eta_1)$  and  $\omega(f'_1)$  is  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, we can see that

$$\omega(f'_1) \wedge \phi'_2 = \omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2) - \partial(\omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge S_2) - \bar{\partial}(\omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge T_2),$$

which shows us that

$$(2.1.1.2) \quad \omega(f'_1) \wedge \phi'_2 = \omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

In the same way,

$$(2.1.1.3) \quad \phi'_1 \wedge \omega(f'_2) = (\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \omega(f_2 + \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

Further, since

$$\partial(\phi'_1) = \partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) - \partial\bar{\partial}(T_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2) = \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2) - \bar{\partial}\partial(S_2),$$

$\partial\bar{\partial}(T_1)$  (resp.  $\bar{\partial}\partial(S_2)$ ) is a  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed locally  $L_0^r$ -form (resp.  $L_0^{r'}$ -form). Thus, we can see that

$$\partial(\phi'_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2) = \partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2) + \bar{\partial}(\partial\bar{\partial}(T_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2)) + \partial(\phi'_1 \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}(S_2)),$$

which says us that

$$(2.1.1.4) \quad \partial(\phi'_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2) = \partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2) \quad \text{modulo } \text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}).$$

Thus, gathering (2.1.1.1), (2.1.1.2), (2.1.1.3), and (2.1.1.4), we obtain that

$$f'_1 * f'_2 + [\omega(f'_1) \wedge \phi'_2] + [\phi'_1 \wedge \omega(f'_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi'_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi'_2)]$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = & (f_1 + \eta_1) * (f_2 + \eta_2) + [\omega(f_1 + \eta_1) \wedge (\phi_2 - \eta_2)] + \\ & [(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \omega(f_2 + \eta_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1 - \eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2 - \eta_2)] \end{aligned}$$

modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ . Moreover, by easy calculations, we can see that

$$\Delta - \left( f_1 * f_2 + [\omega(f_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\phi_1 \wedge \omega(f_2)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)] \right)$$

is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} ([\phi_1 \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}(\eta_2)] + [\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\eta_2)]) + (\eta_1 * f_2 - f_2 * \eta_1) + \\ & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} ([\partial\bar{\partial}(\eta_1) \wedge \phi_2] + [\partial(\eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)]) - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} ([\partial(\eta_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\eta_2)] + [\eta_1 \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}(\eta_2)]), \end{aligned}$$

which is elements of  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$  by (i) of Lemma 1.1 and [4, Corollary 2.2.9]. Thus, we get (1).

(2) It is well known that  $f_1 * f_2 = f_2 * f_1$  modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$  (cf. [4, Corollary 2.2.9]). Moreover, by (ii) of Lemma 1.1,  $[\partial(\phi_1) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_2)] = [\partial(\phi_2) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi_1)]$  modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ . Thus, we have (2).  $\square$

**2.2. Birational Green function.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold, and  $D$  a divisor on  $X$ . Let  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$  be the class introduced in §1. A  $\mathbf{Br}(X)$ -Green function for a divisor  $D$  is specially called a *birational Green function* for  $D$ . It is easy to see that  $g$  is a birational Green function for  $D$  if and only if there are a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  of complex manifolds, a divisor  $D'$  on  $Y$ , and a Green function  $g'$  for  $D'$  such that  $\mu_*(D') = D$  and  $\mu_*(g') = g$ . A point at which  $\omega(g)$  is not  $C^\infty$  is called a *singular point* of  $g$ . In this sense, the pair  $(D', g')$  is called a *resolution of singularities* of  $(D, g)$  by  $\mu$ .

An idea of the birational Green functions arises from the following observation. Let  $(E, h)$  a Hermitian vector bundle on  $X$ ,  $L$  a rank-1 saturated subsheaf of  $E$ , and  $s$  a rational section of  $L$ . If  $\dim X \geq 2$ , then  $g = -\log h(s, s)$  is not necessarily a Green function for  $D = \text{div}(s)$  because  $E/L$  is not locally free in general. However, we can see that  $g$  is a birational Green function for  $D$ . For, there are a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  of complex manifolds, and a rank-1 saturated subsheaf  $L'$  of  $\mu^*(E)$  such that  $\mu^*(E)/L'$  is locally free and  $\mu_*(L') = L$ . Since  $L'$  is a sub-line bundle of  $\mu^*(E)$ ,  $L'$  possesses a Hermitian metric  $h'$  induced from  $\mu^*(h)$ . Let  $s'$  be the rational section of  $L'$  corresponding with  $s$ . Then, by virtue of Poincaré-Lelong formula,  $g' = -\log h'(s', s')$  is a Green function for  $D' = \text{div}(s')$ . Further,  $D = \mu_*(D')$  and  $g = \mu_*(g')$  by our construction.

By the above observation, we have the following definition. Let  $X$  be a complex manifold, and  $E$  a torsion free sheaf on  $X$ . We say  $h$  is a *birationally Hermitian metric* of  $E$  if there is a proper bimeromorphic morphism  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  of complex manifolds, a Hermitian vector bundle  $(E', h')$  on  $Y$ , and a Zariski open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{codim}(X \setminus U) \geq 2$ ,  $\mu^{-1}(U) \simeq U$ ,  $(E, h)$  is a Hermitian vector bundle on  $U$ , and  $(E', h')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \simeq (E, h)|_U$ . We say  $(E', h')$  is a *resolution of singularities* of  $(E, h)$  by  $\mu$ . Then, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.1.** *Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$  and  $h$  a generalized metric of  $L$  over  $X$ . Let  $s$  be a non-zero rational section of  $L$ . Then,  $h$  is a birationally Hermitian metric if and only if  $-\log h(s, s)$  is a birational Green function for  $\text{div}(s)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we assume that  $h$  is a birationally Hermitian metric. Let  $(L', h')$  be a resolution of singularities of  $(L, h)$  by  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ . Let  $U$  be a Zariski open set of  $X$  as the above. Here, we can find a rational section  $s'$  of  $L'$  corresponding with  $s$  via isomorphism  $(L', h')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \simeq (L, h)|_U$ . Then,  $\mu_*(\text{div}(s')) = \text{div}(s)$ , and  $-\log h'(s', s')$  is a Green function

for  $\text{div}(s')$ . Thus,  $-\log h(s, s)$  is a birational Green function for  $\text{div}(s)$  because  $-\log h(s, s) = \mu_*(-\log h'(s', s'))$ .

Next, we assume that  $g = -\log h(s, s)$  is a birational Green function for  $D = \text{div}(s)$ . Let  $(D', g')$  be a resolution of singularities of  $(D, g)$  by  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ . Let  $s'$  be a non-zero rational section of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(D')$  with  $D' = \text{div}(s')$ . Let  $U$  be a non-empty Zariski open set of  $X$  with  $\mu^{-1}(U) \simeq U$ . Since  $D'|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} = D|_U$ , there is  $u \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U)^\times$  with  $s' = us$ . Thus, there is an isomorphism  $\iota : \mathcal{O}_Y(D')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_U$  with  $\iota(s') = s$ . Since  $g'$  is a Green function for  $D'$ , there is a  $C^\infty$  Hermitian metric  $h'$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(D')$  with  $g' = -\log h'(s', s')$ . Then, we have  $h'(s', s') = h(s, s)$  over  $U = \mu^{-1}(U)$  because  $g = \mu_*(g')$ . Thus,  $\iota$  gives rise to an isometry  $(\mathcal{O}_Y(D'), h')|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_X(D), h)|_U$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.2.** *Let  $g$  be a birational Green function for a divisor  $D$ . Then, there is a birationally Hermitian metric  $h$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  such that  $g = -\log h(s, s)$ , where  $s$  is a non-zero rational section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  with  $\text{div}(s) = D$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $g$  is a locally integrable function, there is a generalized metric  $h$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  with  $g = -\log h(s, s)$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.2.1,  $h$  must be a birationally Hermitian metric.  $\square$

### 3. ARITHMETIC $B$ -CYCLES AND THEIR PAIRING

**3.1. Arithmetic  $B$ -cycles.** Let  $X$  be an arithmetic variety, i.e., a quasi-projective integral scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the smooth generic fiber over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For each  $p$ , let  $\widehat{R}^p(X)$  be the subgroup of  $\widehat{Z}^p(X)$  generated by the following elements:

- (a)  $((f), -[\log |f|^2])$ , where  $f$  is a rational function on some subvariety  $Y$  of codimension  $p-1$  and  $[\log |f|^2]$  is the current defined by

$$[\log |f|^2](\gamma) = \int_{Y(\mathbb{C})} (\log |f|^2) \gamma.$$

- (b)  $(0, \partial(\alpha) + \bar{\partial}(\beta))$ , where  $\alpha \in D^{p-2, p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $\beta \in D^{p-1, p-2}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ .

A pair  $(Z, g)$  is called an *arithmetic  $D$ -cycle on  $X$  of codimension  $p$*  if  $Z$  is a cycle of codimension  $p$  on  $X$  and  $g \in D^{p-1, p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ . We denote the set of all arithmetic  $D$ -cycles of codimension  $p$  on  $X$  by  $\widehat{Z}_D^p(X)$ . Moreover,  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$  is defined by  $\widehat{Z}_D^p(X)/\widehat{R}^p(X)$ .

Let  $B$  be a class of degeneration of Green currents for codimension  $p$  cycles on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . A pair  $(Z, g)$  is called an *arithmetic  $B$ -cycle of codimension  $p$  on  $X$*  if  $Z \in Z^p(X)$  and  $g$  is a  $B$ -Green current for  $Z(\mathbb{C})$  on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . We denote the set of all arithmetic  $B$ -cycles of codimension  $p$  on  $X$  by  $\widehat{Z}_B^p(X)$ , and define

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X) = \widehat{Z}_B^p(X)/\widehat{R}^p(X).$$

If  $B = L_{k, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{Z}_B^p(X)$  and  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$  are denoted by  $\widehat{Z}_{L_k^r}^p(X)$  and  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_k^r}^p(X)$  respectively. Note that for  $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_B^p(X)$ , there are  $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)$  and  $\phi \in B$  with  $x = x_0 + a(\phi)$ .

From now, we assume that  $X$  is regular. We would like to construct a pairing

$$(3.1.1) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^r}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ . Roughly speaking, for  $(Z_1, g_1) \in \widehat{Z}_{L_1^r}^p(X)$  and  $(Z_2, g_2) \in \widehat{Z}_{L_1^{r'}}^q(X)$ ,  $(Z_1, g_1) \cdot (Z_2, g_2)$  is defined by  $(Z_1 \cdot Z_2, g_1 * g_2)$  if  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  intersect properly.

To define it exactly, for  $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^r}^p(X)$  and  $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^q(X)$ , we choose  $x_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ ,  $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(Y)$ ,  $\phi \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1,p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\psi \in L_{k,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{q-1,q-1}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $x = x_0 + a(\phi)$  and  $y = y_0 + a(\psi)$ . Then, we define  $x \cdot y$  to be

$$x \cdot y = x_0 \cdot y_0 + a \left( [\omega(x_0) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(y_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right),$$

where  $x_0 \cdot y_0$  is the usual arithmetic intersection. In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.1.1, we can see that the above definition does not depend on the choices of  $x_0, y_0, \phi$  and  $\psi$ . Moreover, the pairing is commutative by virtue of (ii) of Lemma 1.1.

Here we recall the scalar product

$$(3.1.2) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

introduced in [10, §2.3]. Let  $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$  and  $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_D^q(X)$ . We choose  $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(X)$  and  $T \in D^{p-1,p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$  with  $y = y_0 + a(T)$ . Then,  $x \cdot y$  is defined by

$$x \cdot y = x \cdot y_0 + a(\omega(x) \wedge T).$$

If  $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_k}^q(X)$ , then  $T$  can be represented by  $\phi \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{q-1,q-1}, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $\omega(x) \wedge T \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p+q-1,p+q-1}, \mathbb{R})$ . This observation shows us that (3.1.2) induces

$$(3.1.3) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_k^r}^q(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_k^r}^{p+q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Then, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.4.** *Let  $r$  and  $r'$  be real numbers with  $1 \leq r, r' < \infty$  and  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ . For  $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^p(X)$ ,  $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^r}^q(X)$ , and  $z \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^s(X)$ ,  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$ .*

*Proof.* We set  $y = y_0 + a(\phi)$  and  $z = z_0 + a(\psi)$ , where  $y_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^q(X)$ ,  $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}^s(Y)$ ,  $\phi \in L_{k,loc}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{q-1,q-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\psi \in L_{k,loc}^{r'}(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{s-1,s-1}, \mathbb{R})$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (y \cdot z) &= x \cdot \left( y_0 \cdot z_0 + a \left( [\omega(y_0) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(z_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right) \right) \\ &= x \cdot (y_0 \cdot z_0) + a \left( \omega(x) \wedge \left( [\omega(y_0) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(z_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot y) \cdot z &= (x \cdot y_0 + a(\omega(x) \wedge \phi)) \cdot z \\ &= (x \cdot y_0) \cdot z_0 + \\ &\quad a \left( [\omega(x \cdot y_0) \wedge \psi] + [\omega(x) \wedge \phi \wedge \omega(z_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\omega(x) \wedge \phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\omega(x \cdot y_0) = \omega(x) \wedge \omega(y_0)$  and  $\partial(\omega(x) \wedge \phi) = \omega(x) \wedge \partial(\phi)$ . Thus, we have our proposition.  $\square$

**3.2. Intersection on singular varieties.** Let  $X$  be an arithmetic variety. Let  $\overline{E} = (E, h)$  be a Hermitian vector bundle on  $X$ . In [5, Theorem 4], the operator

$$(3.2.1) \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) : \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is defined. Here we would like to extend the above operator in two ways.

The first one is

$$(3.2.2) \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

This is defined by

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot (x_0 + a(T)) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot x_0 + a(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \wedge T),$$

where  $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)$  and  $T \in \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} D^{p-1, p-1}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ .

To give the second one, let us fix an element  $\phi$  of  $\bigoplus_{p \geq 1} L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ . We define

$$(3.2.3) \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) + a(\phi) : \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

as follows, where  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ . Let  $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^*(X)$ . We choose  $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^*(X)$  and  $\psi \in \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} L_{1, \text{loc}}^{r'}(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$  with  $x = x_0 + a(\psi)$ . Then,

$$(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) + a(\phi)) \cdot x = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot x_0 + a \left( [\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \wedge \psi] + [\phi \wedge \omega(x_0)] - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} [\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] \right).$$

In the same way as in Proposition 3.1.4, using [5, 4 of Theorem 4], we have the following.

**Proposition 3.2.4.** *Let  $\overline{E}$  and  $\overline{F}$  be Hermitian vector bundles on  $X$ . Then, we have the following.*

- (1)  $\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot (\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F}) \cdot x) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) \cdot x$  for  $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)$ .
- (2) Let  $r$  and  $r'$  be real numbers with  $1 \leq r, r' < \infty$  and  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ . Then, for any  $\phi \in \bigoplus_{p \geq 1} L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $x \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^*(X)$ ,

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot ((\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F}) + a(\phi)) \cdot x) = (\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E} \otimes \overline{F}) + a(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \wedge \phi)) \cdot x.$$

**3.3. Arithmetic  $B$ -Cartier divisor.** Let  $X$  be an arithmetic variety. Let  $\text{Rat}_X$  be the sheaf of rational functions on  $X$ . We denote  $H^0(X, \text{Rat}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$  by  $\text{Div}(X)$ . An element of  $\text{Div}(X)$  is called a *Cartier divisor on  $X$* . For a Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $X$ , we can assign the divisor  $[D] \in Z^1(X)$  in the natural way. This gives rise to a homomorphism

$$c_X : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow Z^1(X).$$

Note that  $c_X$  is neither injective nor surjective in general. The exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \text{Rat}_X^\times \rightarrow \text{Rat}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow 1$$

induces to a homomorphism  $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ . For a Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $X$ , the image of  $D$  by the above homomorphism induces a line bundle on  $X$ . We denote this line bundle by  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . An *arithmetic Cartier divisor on  $X$*  is a pair  $(D, g)$  such that  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  and  $g$  is a Green function for  $D(\mathbb{C})$  on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . The set of all arithmetic Cartier divisors on  $X$  is denoted by  $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X)$ , and  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$  is defined by  $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X) / \widehat{c}_X^{-1}(\widehat{R}^1(X))$ , where  $\widehat{c}_X$  is a natural homomorphism  $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X) \rightarrow \widehat{Z}^1(X)$ .

Let us fix a class  $B$  of degeneration of Green functions on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , namely, an abelian group with  $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq B \subseteq L_{1, \text{loc}}^r(X, \mathbb{R})$ . A pair  $(D, g)$  is called an *arithmetic  $B$ -Cartier divisor on  $X$*  if  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  and  $g$  is a  $B$ -Green function for  $D(\mathbb{C})$  on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . We denote the set of all arithmetic  $B$ -Cartier divisors on  $X$  by  $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X)$ , and define

$$\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) = \widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X) / \widehat{c}_X^{-1}(\widehat{R}^1(X)).$$

Note that if  $X$  is regular, then  $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X) = \widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$  and  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) = \widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$ .

If  $B = L_{k, \text{loc}}^r(\Omega_{X(\mathbb{C})}^{p-1, p-1}, \mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X)$  and  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X)$  are denoted by  $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{L_k^r}(X)$  and  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_k^r}(X)$ . Moreover, If  $B = \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ , then  $\widehat{Z}_B^1(X)$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Div}}_B(X)$ ,  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_B^1(X)$ , and  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X)$  are denoted by  $\widehat{Z}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$ ,  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)$ , and  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$ . An element of  $\widehat{Z}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)$  (resp.  $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$ ) is called a *birational arithmetic divisor* (resp. a *birational arithmetic Cartier divisor*).

We can easily see that (3.2.2) and (3.2.3) induce

$$(3.3.1) \quad \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X).$$

and

$$(3.3.2) \quad \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^r}(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_{L_1^{r'}}^p(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X)$$

respectively, where  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ . Note that if  $D$  and  $Z$  intersect properly, then (3.3.2) is given by  $(D, g_D) \cdot (Z, g_Z) = (D \cdot Z, g_D * g_Z)$ , where  $D \cdot Z$  is defined as follows. Let  $s$  be a rational section of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  with  $\text{div}(s) = D$ , and  $Z = a_1 Z_1 + \cdots + a_n Z_n$  the irreducible decomposition as cycles. Then,  $s|_{Z_i}$  gives rise to a rational section of  $\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(D)$ , so that we define  $D \cdot Z$  to be

$$a_1 \text{div}(s|_{Z_1}) + \cdots + a_n \text{div}(s|_{Z_n}).$$

In particular, if  $B \subseteq L_{1, \text{loc}}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ , then we have a commutative pairing:

$$(3.3.3) \quad \widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) \otimes \widehat{\text{Pic}}_B(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^2(X).$$

**Remark 3.3.4.** Let  $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ ,  $y_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ ,  $\phi \in \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ , and  $\psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ . Then,

$$(x_0 + a(\phi)) \cdot (y_0 + a(\psi)) = x_0 \cdot y_0 + a(\omega(x_0)\psi + \phi\omega(y_0) + dd^c(\phi)\psi).$$

Indeed, by Proposition 1.2 and (ii) of Lemma 1.1, we have

$$[\partial\bar{\partial}(\phi)\psi] = -[\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)] = -[\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)]$$

modulo  $\text{Im}(\partial) + \text{Im}(\bar{\partial})$ .

#### 4. HODGE INDEX THEOREM

Let  $K$  be a number field, and  $O_K$  the ring of integers of  $K$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$  be a projective arithmetic variety with the geometrically irreducible generic fiber. Let  $\overline{H} = (H, k)$  be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on  $X$ , i.e., (1)  $H$  is  $f$ -ample, (2) the Chern form  $c_1(H, k)$  is positive definite on the infinite fiber  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , and (3) there is a positive integer  $m_0$  such that, for any integer  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $H^0(X, H^m)$  is generated by the set  $\{s \in H^0(X, H^m) \mid \|s\|_{\text{sup}} < 1\}$ . Let us consider the pairing

$$(\cdot)_{\overline{H}} : \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by  $(x \cdot y)_{\overline{H}} = \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (x \cdot y))$ , where  $d = \dim X_K$ . Further, we have the homomorphism

$$\deg_K : \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

given by  $\deg_K((D, g)) = (D_K \cdot c_1(H_K)^{d-1})$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\deg_K(x) = 0$ , then  $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$ . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if there is  $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $x = f^*(y)$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, we may assume that  $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)$ . There is  $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$  such that  $z(x) = z(x_0)$  and  $\omega(x_0)$  is harmonic with respect to  $c_1(H, k)$ . Consequently,  $\omega(x_0) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1} = 0$  because  $\deg_K(x) = 0$ . Then, we can find  $\phi \in L_{1, \text{loc}}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  with  $x = x_0 + a(\phi)$ . Thus, since  $x_0 \cdot a(\phi) = a(\phi\omega(x_0))$  and  $a(\phi) \cdot a(\phi) = a\left(\frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi)\right)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} &= (x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}} + 2(x_0 \cdot a(\phi))_{\overline{H}} + (a(\phi) \cdot a(\phi))_{\overline{H}} \\ &= (x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (ii) of Lemma 1.1,

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1} \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq (x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}}$ . Moreover, by [15, Theorem 1.1],  $(x_0 \cdot x_0)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$ . Therefore, we get the first assertion.

Next we assume that the equality holds. Then,

$$\sqrt{-1} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} \partial(\phi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\phi) \wedge c_1(H, k)^{d-1} = 0.$$



Thus, by the equality condition of (ii) of Lemma 1.1, there is a function  $c$  on  $X(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\phi = c$  (a. e.) and  $c$  is constant on each connected component of  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . Therefore,

$$x = x_0 + a(c) \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X).$$

Hence, by [15, Theorem 1.1], then there are  $(D, g) \in \widehat{\text{Div}}(X)$  and a positive integer  $n$  such that  $nx \sim (D, g)$ ,  $D$  is vertical with respect to  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(O_K)$  and  $g$  is constant on each connected component of  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . Then,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (D, g)^2) = \sum_{P \in \text{Spec}(O_K) \setminus \{0\}} \deg(H_P^{d-1} \cdot D_P^2).$$

Thus, by Zariski's lemma for integral scheme (cf. Lemma B.1), there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $T$  on  $\text{Spec}(O_K)$  with  $f^*(T) = D$  in  $Z^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $K(\mathbb{C})$  be the set of all embeddings of  $K$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ , and, for each  $\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})$ , let  $X_{\sigma} = X \otimes_K^{\sigma} \mathbb{C}$  be the base extension in terms of  $\sigma$ . Then,  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \coprod_{\sigma} X_{\sigma}$  is nothing more than the decomposition into connected component. Let  $g_{\sigma}$  be the value of  $g$  on  $X_{\sigma}$ . Then,  $(D, g) = f^*(T, \{g_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma})$  in  $\widehat{Z}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Thus, if we set  $y = (1/n)(T, \{g_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma})$ , then  $x = f^*(y)$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Finally, we assume that there is  $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $x = f^*(y)$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then, since  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  passes through  $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and the pairing

$$\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is symmetric, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x^2) &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (x \cdot f^*(y))) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (f^*(y) \cdot x)) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (f^*(y) \cdot f^*(y))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get all assertions of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $h \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $\deg_K(h) > 0$  and  $(h \cdot h)_{\overline{H}} > 0$ . If  $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L_1^2}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $(h \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$ , then  $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$ . Moreover, if  $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$  and  $f_*(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (h \cdot x)) = 0$ , then  $x = 0$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us choose a rational number  $t$  with  $\deg_K(x + th) = 0$ . Then, by Theorem 4.1,

$$0 \geq (x + th \cdot x + th)_{\overline{H}} = (x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} + t^2(h \cdot h)_{\overline{H}}.$$

Thus,  $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} \leq 0$ .

Next we assume that  $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$  and  $f_*(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^{d-1} \cdot (h \cdot x)) = 0$ . Then, in the above inequality, we can see that  $t = 0$ . Thus,  $\deg_K(x) = 0$  and  $(x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} = 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, there is  $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $x = f^*(y)$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then, by virtue of Proposition A.1,

$$f_*(f^*(y) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot h)) = y \cdot f_*(\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot h) = \deg_K(h)y.$$

Thus, using (1) of Proposition 3.2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_K(h)y &= f_* (f^*(y) \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot h)) = f_* (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot (f^*(y) \cdot h)) \\ &= f_* (\widehat{c}_1(H, k)^d \cdot (h \cdot f^*(y))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $y = 0$ . Hence,  $x = 0$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

Further, we can give a generalization of [15, Theorem A].

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let us consider a homomorphism*

$$L : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^{p+1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$$

*given by  $L(x) = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H}) \cdot x$ . By abuse of notation, the composition of homomorphisms*

$$\widehat{\text{Pic}}_D(X)_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^1(X)_\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{L^{d-1}} \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^d(X)_\mathbb{Q}$$

*is also denoted by  $L^{d-1}$ . Then, we have the following.*

- (1)  $\text{Ker} \left( L^{d-1} : \widehat{\text{Pic}}_D(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^d(X)_\mathbb{Q} \right) = \text{Ker} \left( \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q} \right)$ . *In particular, if  $X$  is regular, then  $L^{d-1} : \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^1(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^d(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  is injective.*
- (2) *If  $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{L^2_1}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $x \neq 0$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{L^2_1}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $L^d(x) = 0$ , then  $\widehat{\deg}(L^{d-1}(x) \cdot x) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* First, let us see (2). By virtue of (2) of Proposition 3.2.4,

$$L^{d-1}(x) \cdot x = (\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x) \cdot x = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x^2.$$

Thus, applying Corollary 4.2 to the case where  $h = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})$ , we have (2).

Next, let us see (1). It is sufficient to show that if  $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_D(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  and  $L^{d-1}(x) = 0$ , then  $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  and  $x = 0$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ . Let us choose  $x_0 \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $z(x) = z(x_0)$  and  $\omega(x_0)$  is harmonic with respect to  $c_1(\overline{H})$ . Then, there is a distribution  $T$  on  $X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $x = x_0 + a(T)$ . Here,  $z(x) \cdot c_1(H)^{d-1} = z(L^{d-1}(x)) = 0$ . Thus,  $\omega(x_0) \wedge c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x_0^2 &= \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x_0^2 + a(\omega(x_0) \wedge c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} T) \\ &= \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot x_0 \cdot x = x_0 \cdot L^{d-1}(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by virtue of Theorem 4.1, there is  $y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\text{Spec}(O_K))_\mathbb{Q}$  with  $x_0 = f^*(y)$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ . In particular,  $\omega(x_0) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \wedge dd^c(T) &= \omega(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1}) \wedge \omega(x_0) + c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \wedge dd^c(T) \\ &= \omega(L^{d-1}(x_0) + a(c_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} T)) = \omega(L^{d-1}(x)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\Delta(T) = 0$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian with respect to  $c_1(\overline{H})$ . Hence, using the regularity of solutions of the elliptic operator  $\Delta$ ,  $T$  is represented by the  $C^\infty$ -function  $c$  which is constant on each connected component of  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . In particular,  $x \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus, by virtue of (2), we have  $x = 0$  in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

## 5. COMPARISON OF INTERSECTION NUMBERS VIA BIRATIONAL MORPHISM

Let  $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$  be a birational morphism of projective arithmetic varieties with  $d = \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}} = \dim Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We assume that  $X$  is normal. We set

$$\mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{x \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \mu_*(x) \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{x \in \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid z(x) \in \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}\},$$

where  $z : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the homomorphism forgetting Green functions. Then, the push-forward  $\mu_*$  induces the homomorphism

$$\mu_* : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Let us fix an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle  $\overline{H} = (H, k)$  on  $X$ . Here we define the symmetric bi-linear map

$$\Delta_{\mu} : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

to be

$$\Delta_{\mu}(x, y) = \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot x \cdot y) - \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \mu_*(x) \cdot \mu_*(y)).$$

In this section, we will investigate basic properties of  $\Delta_{\mu}$  (cf. Proposition 5.1 and 5.2) and give its application (cf. Corollary 5.5).

Here let us introduce the homomorphism  $\delta_{\mu} : \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  given by

$$\delta_{\mu}(x) = x - \mu^*(\mu_*(x)).$$

By abuse of notation, the composition

$$\delta_{\mu} \cdot z : \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{z} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mu}} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is also denoted by  $\delta_{\mu}$ . First, let us consider the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $\delta_{\mu}(x) = \delta_{\mu}(x')$  and  $\delta_{\mu}(y) = \delta_{\mu}(y')$  for  $x, x', y, y' \in \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , then  $\Delta_{\mu}(x, y) = \Delta_{\mu}(x', y')$ .*

*Proof.* First, let us see that if  $z(x) = z(x')$  and  $z(y) = z(y')$  for  $x, x', y, y' \in \widehat{\mathrm{Pic}}_{\mu}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , then  $\Delta_{\mu}(x, y) = \Delta_{\mu}(x', y')$ . For this purpose, it is sufficient to see that  $\Delta_{\mu}(x, a(\phi)) = 0$  for all  $C^{\infty}$ -functions  $\phi$  on  $Y(\mathbb{C})$ . First of all,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot x \cdot a(\phi)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \phi \omega(x) \wedge \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1}).$$

On the other hand, we set  $x = (D, g)$ . Let  $g'$  be a Green function for  $\mu_*(D)$ . If we set  $\psi = \mu_*(g) - g'$ , then  $\psi \in \mathbf{Br}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ . By the definition of the star product and Remark 3.3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_*(D), \mu_*(g)) \cdot (0, \mu_*(\phi)) &= (0, \omega(g')\mu_*(\phi) + dd^c(\psi)\mu_*(\phi)) \\ &= (0, \omega(\mu_*(g))\mu_*(\phi)) = (0, \mu_*(\omega(g)\phi)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \mu_*(x) \cdot \mu_*(a(\phi))) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \phi \omega(x) \wedge \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1}).$$

Hence,  $\Delta_\mu(x, a(\phi)) = 0$ .

Let us pick up  $x, y \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_\mu(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ . In order to see that  $\Delta_\mu(x, y)$  depends only on  $\delta_\mu(x)$  and  $\delta_\mu(y)$ , by replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $nx$  and  $ny$  for some positive integer  $n$ , we may assume that  $x, y \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_\mu(Y)$  and  $\mu_*(x), \mu_*(y) \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}_{\mathbf{Br}}(X)$ . Let  $(L, h_L)$  and  $(Q, h_Q)$  be Hermitian line bundles on  $Y$  with  $\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) = x$  and  $\widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q) = y$ , and let  $L'$  and  $Q'$  be line bundles on  $X$  with  $c_1(L') = \mu_*(z(x))$  and  $c_1(Q') = \mu_*(z(y))$ . Here we can find Cartier divisors  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  on  $Y$  such that  $\mu^*(L') \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1) = L$ ,  $\mu^*(Q') \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2) = Q$ , and  $\mu_*(\Sigma_1) = \mu_*(\Sigma_2) = 0$  in  $\text{Div}(X)$ . Then,  $\delta_\mu(x) = \Sigma_1$  and  $\delta_\mu(y) = \Sigma_2$ . Let  $h_{L'}$  and  $h_{Q'}$  be  $C^\infty$ -Hermitian metrics of  $L'$  and  $Q'$  respectively. Then, there are  $C^\infty$ -Hermitian metrics  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2)$  respectively such that  $\mu^*(L', h_{L'}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1), e_1) = (L, h_L)$  and  $\mu^*(Q', h_{Q'}) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2), e_2) = (Q, h_Q)$ . Therefore, since

$$\begin{cases} \mu_*(x) = \widehat{c}_1(L', h_{L'}) + \mu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1), e_1)) \\ \mu_*(y) = \widehat{c}_1(Q', h_{Q'}) + \mu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2), e_2)), \end{cases}$$

using projection formula (cf. Proposition A.1), we can easily see that

$$\Delta_\mu(x, y) = \Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_1), e_1), \widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma_2), e_2)).$$

Thus, combining the first assertion, we have our proposition.  $\square$

Before starting the next property, we would like to fix a terminology. Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $Y$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be a prime divisor on  $Y$  with  $\mu_*(\Gamma) = 0$ . We define  $\deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma)$  to be

$$\deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \text{the degree of } L \text{ on the generic fiber of } \Gamma \rightarrow \mu(\Gamma) & \text{if } \dim \Gamma - \dim \mu(\Gamma) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  be effective Cartier divisors on  $Y$  with the following properties.

- (1)  $\mu_*(D_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ .
- (2)  $D_i$  and  $D_j$  have no common component for all  $i \neq j$ .
- (3) There are positive integers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such that if we set  $D = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i D_i$ , then  $\deg_\mu(\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)|_\Gamma) \geq 0$  for all prime divisors  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Supp}(D)$ .

Note that if  $-D$  is  $\mu$ -nef, then (3) is satisfied.

Here we define the subspace  $V$  of  $\widehat{\text{Div}}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  to be

$$V = \left\{ (D, g) \in \widehat{\text{Div}}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \mid D = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i D_i \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Then, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.2.**  $\Delta_\mu(x, x') \leq 0$  for any  $x, x' \in V$  with  $z(x) = z(x')$ . In particular,  $\Delta_\mu$  is negative semi-definite on  $V$ .

*Proof.* First of all, note that

$$(5.2.1) \quad \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \mu_*(D, g) \cdot \mu_*(D', g')) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Y g' \omega(D, g) \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1})$$

because  $\mu_*(D, g) = (0, \mu_*(g))$ ,  $\mu_*(D', g') = (0, \mu_*(g'))$  and Remark 3.3.4. Further, in order to prove our proposition, we may assume  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$  by replacing  $D_i$  by  $a_i D_i$ .

Let us choose a Green function  $g_i$  for each  $D_i$ . We set  $e_i = (D_i, g_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $V' = \mathbb{Q}e_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Q}e_n$ . By virtue of Proposition 5.1, if  $\Delta_\mu(x, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in V'$ , then the assertion of our proposition holds. Here let us consider the following claim, which is the crucial part of the proof of our proposition.

**Claim 5.2.2.** (i)  $\Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) \geq 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ .  
(ii)  $\Delta_\mu(e, e_j) \leq 0$  for all  $j$ , where  $e = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ .

To prove the above claim, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\bar{L}$  be a Hermitian line bundle on  $Y$ , and  $\Gamma$  a prime divisor on  $Y$  with  $\mu_*(\Gamma) = 0$ . Let  $\nu : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a birational morphism of projective integral schemes. We assume that if  $\Gamma$  is horizontal with respect to  $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\nu$  is a generic resolution of singularities of  $\Gamma$ ; otherwise,  $\nu = \text{id}_\Gamma$ . If  $\deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma) \geq 0$ , then

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L})) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\nu^*\mu^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \geq 0.$$

(For the definition of generic resolution of singularities, see Appendix A.)

*Proof.* We set  $\Sigma = f(\Gamma)$ . Let  $\pi : \Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a proper birational morphism of projective integral schemes. We assume that if  $\Sigma$  is horizontal, then  $\pi$  is a generic resolution of singularities of  $\Sigma$ ; otherwise,  $\pi = \text{id}_\Sigma$ . Changing a model of  $\Gamma'$ , if necessarily, we may assume that there is a morphism  $f : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Sigma'$  with  $\pi \circ f = \mu \circ \nu$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma' & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \Sigma' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

Thus, using projection formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L})) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\nu^*\mu^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) &= \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L})) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(f^*\pi^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \\ &= \widehat{\deg}(f_*(\widehat{c}_1(\nu^*(\bar{L}))) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\pi^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \\ &= \deg_\mu(L|_\Gamma) \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\pi^*(\bar{H}))^{d-1}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us go back to the proof of Claim 5.2.2. Let  $D_j = b_1\Gamma_1 + \dots + b_s\Gamma_s$  be the irreducible decomposition as cycles. For each  $k$ , let  $\nu_k : \Gamma'_k \rightarrow \Gamma_k$  be a proper birational morphism of projective integral schemes. We assume that if  $\Gamma_k$  is horizontal with respect to  $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\nu_k$  is a generic resolution of singularities of  $\Gamma_k$ . Then, by Lemma A.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*\bar{H})^{d-1} \cdot (D_i, g_i) \cdot (D_j, g_j)) &= \\ \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^*\mu^*\bar{H})^{d-1} \cdot \nu_k^*(D_i, g_i)) &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{Y(\mathbb{C})} g_j \omega(g_i) \mu^*(\Omega^{d-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega = c_1(H, k)$ . Thus, combining the above with (5.2.1), we can see that

$$\Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^*\mu^*\bar{H})^{d-1} \cdot \nu_k^*(D_i, g_i)).$$

Here, since  $\Gamma_k$  is not a component of  $D_i$ ,  $\deg_\mu(\mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)|_{\Gamma_k}) \geq 0$  for every  $k$ . Therefore, by Lemma 5.3, we get  $\Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) \geq 0$ .

Let  $h$  be a Hermitian metric of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(D)$  with  $e = \widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(D), h)$ . In the same way as above, by using Lemma A.3, we can see that

$$\Delta_\mu(e, e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^* \mu^* \overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\nu_k^*(\mathcal{O}_Y(D), h))) .$$

Here, by our assumption,  $\deg_\mu(\mathcal{O}_Y(-D)|_{\Gamma_k}) \geq 0$  for all  $k$ . Thus, by Lemma 5.3,  $\Delta_\mu(e, e_j) \leq 0$ .

Finally, let us see that  $\Delta_\mu(x, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in V'$ . We set  $x = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n$ . It is easy to see that

$$\Delta_\mu(x, x) = \sum_i x_i^2 \Delta_\mu(e_i, e) - \sum_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2 \Delta_\mu(e_i, e_j) .$$

Thus, Claim 5.2.2 implies  $\Delta_\mu(x, x) \leq 0$ . □

**Corollary 5.4.** *We assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, i.e., the natural homomorphisms  $\text{Div}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Z^1(X)_\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\text{Div}(Y)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Z^1(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$  are isomorphisms. Then,  $\Delta_\mu(x, y) \leq 0$  for any  $x, y \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\delta_\mu(x) = \delta_\mu(y)$ . In particular,  $\Delta_\mu$  is negative semi-definite on  $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n$  be all prime divisors on  $Y$  contracted by  $\mu$ . By virtue of Proposition 5.1 and 5.2, it is sufficient to show that there are positive rational numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such that  $-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Gamma_i$  is  $\mu$ -ample.

Let  $A$  be an ample line bundle on  $Y$ . Then, there are a positive integer  $m$  and a section  $s$  of  $H^0(Y, A^{\otimes m})$  such that  $\Gamma_i$  is not a component of  $\text{div}(s)$  for every  $i$ . We set  $D = \mu^*(\mu_*(\text{div}(s)) - \text{div}(s))$ . (Note that  $\mu_*(\text{div}(s)) \in \text{Div}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ .) Then, by our choice of  $s$ ,  $D$  is effective,  $-D$  is  $\mu$ -ample, and  $D$  is contracted by  $\mu$ . Thus, there are non-negative rational numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  with  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Gamma_i$ . Here we suppose  $a_i = 0$  for some  $i$ . Let  $F$  be the generic fiber of  $\Gamma_i \rightarrow \mu(\Gamma_i)$ . Then,  $\dim F \geq 1$ ,  $D|_F$  is effective, and  $-D|_F$  is ample. This is a contradiction. Thus,  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . □

Let  $M$  be a  $d$ -dimensional smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field,  $E$  a vector bundle of rank 2 on  $M$ , and  $H$  an ample line bundle on  $M$ . It is well known that if  $L$  is a rank 1 saturated subsheaf of  $E$ , then

$$\deg(c_2(E) \cdot c_1(H)^{d-2}) \geq \deg(c_1(L) \cdot c_1(E/L) \cdot c_1(H)^{d-2}) .$$

This is very useful formula to estimate the degree of  $c_2(E)$ . The following is an arithmetic analogue of the above formula.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $X$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety with  $d = \dim X_\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(E, h)$  a Hermitian vector bundle of rank 2 on  $X$ , and  $\overline{H} = (H, k)$  an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $L$  be a saturated subsheaf of  $E$  with  $\text{rk } L = 1$ , and let  $Q = (E/L)^{**}$ . Let  $h_L$  and  $h_Q$  be metrics of  $L$  and  $Q$  induced by  $h$ . Then,  $h_L$  and  $h_Q$  are birationally Hermitian metrics, and*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h)) \geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)) .$$

*Proof.* First of all, there is an ideal sheaf  $I$  on  $X$  such that the image of  $E \rightarrow Q$  is  $Q \otimes I$ . Then,  $\text{codim Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X/I) \geq 2$ . Let  $p_I : X_I \rightarrow X$  be the blowing-up by the ideal sheaf  $I$ , and  $\nu : Y \rightarrow X_I$  a generic resolution of singularities of  $X_I$ . Then, by our construction, there is an effective Cartier divisor  $\Sigma$  on  $Y$  with  $I\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma)$ . Then,  $\mu^*(E) \rightarrow \mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma)$  is surjective and its kernel is  $\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma)$ , where  $\mu = p_I \cdot \nu : Y \rightarrow X$ . Thus, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mu^*(E) \rightarrow \mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $h'_L$  and  $h'_Q$  be Hermitian metrics of  $\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma)$  and  $\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma)$  induced by  $\mu^*(h)$  of  $\mu^*(E)$ . Let  $\overline{A} = (A, e)$  be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on  $Y$ . Then, by [12, Proposition 7.3], for all  $n > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \overline{A})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(\mu^*(E), \mu^*(h)) \right) \geq \\ \widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \overline{A})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(\mu^*(E), \mu^*(h)) \right) \geq \\ \widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, by the projection formula (cf. Proposition A.1),

$$\widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(\mu^*(E), \mu^*(h)) \right) = \widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h) \right).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(\overline{H}))^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q) \right) \geq \\ \widehat{\deg} \left( \widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Namely,

$$\Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L), \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q)) \geq 0.$$

Let  $e$  be a Hermitian metric of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma)$ . Then, by Proposition 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), h'_L), \widehat{c}_1(\mu^*(Q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), h'_Q)) = \\ - \Delta_\mu(\widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(\Sigma), e), \widehat{c}_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma), e)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 5.2, it suffices to show that  $-\Sigma$  is  $\mu$ -nef. This is obvious because  $I\mathcal{O}_{X_I}$  is  $\mu_I$ -ample and  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-\Sigma) = \nu^*(I\mathcal{O}_{X_I})$ .  $\square$

## 6. BOGOMOLOV'S INSTABILITY FOR RANK 2 BUNDLES

Let  $K$  be a number field, and  $\mathcal{O}_K$  the ring of integers of  $K$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  be a regular projective arithmetic variety with the geometrically irreducible generic fiber and  $d = \dim X_K$ . Let  $\overline{H} = (H, k)$  be an arithmetically ample Hermitian line bundle on  $X$ . Let

$$(\cdot)_{\overline{H}} : \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_K : \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\text{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

be homomorphisms given in §4. Here, we set

$$\widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (x \cdot x)_{\overline{H}} > 0 \text{ and } \deg_K(x) > 0\}$$

and

$$\widehat{C}_+(X; \overline{H}) = \{x \in \widehat{\mathrm{CH}}_{\mathbf{Br}}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (x \cdot y)_{\overline{H}} > 0 \text{ for all } y \in \widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H})\}.$$

By virtue of the Hodge index theorem (cf. Theorem 4.1), we have the following in the same way as [13, §1].

**Proposition 6.1.**  $\widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}) \subset \widehat{C}_+(X; \overline{H})$ .

Let  $\overline{E} = (E, h)$  be a Hermitian vector bundle of rank 2 on  $X$ . Let  $L$  be a saturated subsheaf of  $E$  with  $\mathrm{rk} L = 1$ . Since  $X$  is regular and  $L$  is reflexive,  $L$  is an invertible sheaf. Let  $h_L$  be the metric induced by  $h$  of  $E$ . Then,  $h_L$  is a birationally Hermitian metric. In this notation, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** *If  $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}) - \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2)) < 0$ , there is a saturated rank 1 subsheaf  $L$  of  $E$  with*

$$2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h) \in \widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}).$$

*Proof.* First of all, by virtue of [14],  $E_{\overline{K}}$  is not  $\mu$ -semistable with respect to  $H_{\overline{K}}$ . Thus, there is the destabilizing subsheaf  $L'$  of  $E_{\overline{K}}$ . Using the uniqueness of  $L'$ , in the same way as [13, Claim 3.2], we can see that  $L'$  is defined over  $K$ . Hence, we can find a saturated subsheaf  $L$  of  $E$  with  $L_K = L'$ . Let  $Q = (E/L)^{**}$ , and let  $h_L$  and  $h_Q$  be birationally Hermitian metrics of  $L$  and  $Q$  induced by  $h$ . Then, by Corollary 5.5,

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_2(E, h)) \geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)).$$

Therefore, since  $\widehat{c}_1(E, h) = \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) + \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ((2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h))^2)_{\overline{H}} &= (\widehat{c}_1(E, h)^2)_{\overline{H}} - 4(\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q))_{\overline{H}} \\ &\geq \widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (\widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2 - 4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}))) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get our theorem.  $\square$

Let us fix  $\lambda \in \widehat{C}_+(X; \overline{H})$ . Let  $\overline{E} = (E, h)$  be a Hermitian vector bundle on  $X$  of rank 2.  $\overline{E}$  is said to be *arithmetically semistable with respect to  $\lambda$*  if, for any saturated rank 1 subsheaves  $L$  of  $E$ ,

$$(\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{H}} \leq \frac{(\widehat{c}_1(E, h) \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{H}}}{2}.$$

With notation as above, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.3.** *If  $\overline{E}$  is arithmetically semistable with respect to  $\lambda$ , then,*

$$\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}) - \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2)) \geq 0.$$



*Proof.* If  $\widehat{\deg}(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{H})^{d-1} \cdot (4\widehat{c}_2(\overline{E}) - \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^2)) < 0$ , then, by Theorem 6.2, there is a saturated subsheaf  $L$  of  $E$  with

$$2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h) \in \widehat{C}_{++}(X; \overline{H}).$$

Thus,

$$(2\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - \widehat{c}_1(E, h) \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{H}} > 0.$$

which contradicts to the semistability of  $\overline{E}$ .  $\square$

## Appendix A. PROJECTION FORMULA FOR THE ARITHMETIC CHERN CHARACTER

In this section, we will show the following projection formula in a general context.

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of arithmetic varieties,  $\overline{E} = (E, h)$  a Hermitian vector bundle on  $Y$ , and  $z$  an arithmetic  $D$ -cycle on  $X$ . Then,*

$$f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot f_*z.$$

*Proof.* For the proof of the projection formula above, we need the following two lemmas. The proof of these lemmas can be found in [10, Proposition 2.4.1 and Proposition 2.4.2]. Here we fix notation. Let  $Z$  be a quasi-projective integral scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then, by virtue of Hironaka's resolution of singularities [7], there is a proper birational morphism  $\mu : Z' \rightarrow Z$  of quasi-projective integral schemes over  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $Z'_\mathbb{Q}$  is non-singular. The above  $\mu : Z' \rightarrow Z$  is called a *generic resolution of singularities* of  $Z$ .

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of arithmetic varieties. Let  $(L, h)$  be a Hermitian line bundle on  $Y$ , and  $z \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_D^p(X)$ . Then*

$$f_*(\widehat{c}_1(f^*L, f^*h) \cdot z) = \widehat{c}_1(L, h) \cdot f_*(z).$$

**Lemma A.3.** *Let  $X$  be an arithmetic variety, and  $\overline{L}_1 = (L_1, h_1), \dots, \overline{L}_n = (L_n, h_n)$  be Hermitian line bundles on  $X$ . Let  $(Z, g)$  be an arithmetic  $D$ -cycle on  $X$ , and  $Z = a_1Z_1 + \dots + a_rZ_r$  the irreducible decomposition as cycles. For each  $i$ , let  $\tau_i : Z'_i \rightarrow Z_i$  be a proper birational morphism of quasi-projective integral schemes. We assume that if  $Z_i$  is horizontal with respect to  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\tau_i$  is a generic resolution of singularities of  $Z_i$ . Then, we have*

$$\widehat{c}_1(\overline{L}_1) \cdots \widehat{c}_1(\overline{L}_n) \cdot (Z, g) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \mu_{i*}(\widehat{c}_1(\mu_i^*\overline{L}_1) \cdots \widehat{c}_1(\mu_i^*\overline{L}_n)) + a(c_1(\overline{L}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(\overline{L}_n) \wedge g)$$

in  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_D^*(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\mu_i$  is the composition of  $Z'_i \xrightarrow{\tau_i} Z_i \hookrightarrow X$  for each  $i$ .

Let us start the proof of Proposition A.1. We will prove this proposition by induction on  $r = \text{rk } E$ . If  $r = 1$ , then

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{c}_1(f^*\overline{E})^n \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{c}_1(\overline{E})^n.$$

Thus, our proposition is a consequence of Lemma A.2. Thus, we may assume that  $r > 1$ . Moreover, if  $z = (0, T)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z) &= f_*(0, \text{ch}(f^*\overline{E}) \wedge T) = (0, f_*(f^* \text{ch}(\overline{E}) \wedge T)) \\ &= (0, \text{ch}(\overline{E}) \wedge f_*T) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot f_*z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may further assume that  $z$  is an usual arithmetic cycle, i.e.,  $z \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^p(X)$  for some  $p$ .

Let  $\pi : P = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(E)) \rightarrow Y$  and  $\nu : Q = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(f^*E)) \rightarrow X$  be the projective bundles of  $E$  and  $f^*E$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Q(1)$  be the tautological line bundles of  $P$  and  $Q$  respectively. We set the induced morphisms as following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\nu} & Q \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\pi} & P \end{array}$$

We give  $\mathcal{O}_Q(1)$  the Hermitian metric induced from  $\nu^*f^*\overline{E}$ . Since  $\nu : Q \rightarrow X$  is smooth, we can consider the pull-back  $\nu^*(z)$  of  $z$ . Here we claim the following.

**Claim A.3.2.**  $\nu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z)) = z$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(Z, g)$  be a representative of  $z$ . Clearly, we may assume that  $Z$  is integral. If  $Z$  is vertical, then our assertion is trivial. So we may assume that  $Z$  is horizontal. Let  $\mu : Z' \rightarrow Z$  be a generic resolution of singularities of  $Z$ . Let  $\nu' : T = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}^n(\mu^*f^*(E))) \rightarrow Z'$  be the projective bundle of  $\mu^*f^*(E)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_T(1)$  the tautological line bundle on  $T$ . We set the induced morphism as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & Q \\ \nu' \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \end{array}$$

Then,  $\mu'$  gives rise to a generic resolution of singularities of  $\nu^*(Z)$ . Thus, by virtue of Lemma A.3,

$$\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z) = \mu'_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T(1)})^{r-1}) + a(c_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \wedge \nu^*(g)).$$

Here since

$$\nu_*\mu'_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T(1)})^{r-1}) = \mu_*\nu'_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_T(1)})^{r-1}) = \mu_*([Z']) = (Z, 0)$$

and  $\nu_*(c_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \wedge \nu^*(g)) = g$ , we can see that

$$\nu_*(\widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z)) = (Z, 0) + a(g) = (Z, g).$$

Hence, we get our claim.  $\square$

Let us go back to the proof of Proposition A.1. We set  $\beta = \widehat{c}_1(\overline{\mathcal{O}_Q(1)})^{r-1} \cdot \nu^*(z)$ . Then, by the above claim,  $\nu_*(\beta) = z$ . Thus, since  $\nu$  is smooth, using [5, 6 of Theorem 4],

$$f_*\nu_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) \cdot \beta) = f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z).$$

On the other hand, let  $F_P$  be the kernel of the natural homomorphism  $\pi^*(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(1)$ . We give  $F_P$  and  $\mathcal{O}_P(1)$  the metrics induced from the metric of  $\pi^*\overline{E}$ , so that we get an exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles:

$$\mathcal{E}_Q : 0 \rightarrow \overline{F_P} \rightarrow \pi^*\overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then,

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\pi^*\overline{E}) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P)),$$

which implies

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\pi^*\overline{E}) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(g^*\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P)).$$

Thus, since the rank of  $F_P$  is less than  $r$  and  $\pi$  is smooth, using hypothesis of induction and [5, 6 of Theorem 4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\nu_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) \cdot \beta) &= \pi_*g_*\left((\widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(g^*\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(g^*\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P))) \cdot \beta\right) \\ &= \pi_*\left((\widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{F_P}) + \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_P(1)}) - a(\widetilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_P))) \cdot g_*\beta\right) \\ &= \pi_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\pi^*\overline{E}) \cdot g_*\beta) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot \pi_*g_*\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(f^*\overline{E}) \cdot z) = f_*\nu_*(\widehat{\text{ch}}(\nu^*f^*\overline{E}) \cdot \beta) = \widehat{\text{ch}}(\overline{E}) \cdot f_*z$$

because  $\pi_*g_*\beta = f_*\nu_*\beta = f_*z$ . □

## Appendix B. ZARISKI'S LEMMA FOR INTEGRAL SCHEME

Let  $R$  be a discrete valuation ring, and  $f : Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  a flat and projective integral scheme over  $R$ . Let  $\eta$  be the generic point of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and  $o$  the special point of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . We assume that the generic fiber  $Y_\eta$  of  $f$  is geometrically reduced and irreducible. Let  $Y_o$  be the special fiber of  $f$ , i.e.,  $Y_o = f^*(o)$ . Let us consider a pairing

$$\text{Pic}(Y) \otimes \text{CH}^p(Y_o) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{p+1}(Y_o)$$

given by the composition of homomorphisms

$$\text{Pic}(Y) \otimes \text{CH}^p(Y_o) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y_o) \otimes \text{CH}^p(Y_o) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{p+1}(Y_o).$$

We denote by  $x \cdot z$  the image of  $x \otimes z$  by the above homomorphism. Let  $D$  be a Cartier divisor on  $Y$ , and  $Z$  a cycle of codimension  $p$  on  $Y_o$ , i.e.,  $Z \in Z^p(Y_o)$ . We assume that  $D$  and  $Z$  intersect properly. Let  $s$  be a rational section of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(D)$  with  $\text{div}(s) = D$ , and let  $Z = a_1Z_1 + \cdots + a_rZ_r$  be the irreducible decomposition as cycles. Then, since  $s|_{Z_i}$  is a rational section of  $\mathcal{O}_{Z_i}(D)$ , we define  $D \sqcap Z \in Z^{p+1}(Y_o)$  to be

$$D \sqcap Z = a_1 \text{div}(s|_{Z_1}) + \cdots + a_r \text{div}(s|_{Z_r}).$$

Then, the class of  $D \sqcap Z$  is equal to  $\mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot (\text{the class of } Z)$ . Moreover, for a Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $Y$ , the associated cycle of  $D$  is denoted by  $[D]$ , which is an element of  $Z^1(Y)$ . Let us consider the following subgroup  $F_c(Y)$  of  $Z^0(Y_o)$ :

$$F_c(Y) = \{x \in Z^0(Y_o) \mid x = [D] \text{ for some Cartier divisor } D \text{ on } Y\}.$$

For a Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $Y$  with  $[D] \in F_c(Y)$ , and  $y \in F_c(Y)$ ,  $D \cdot y$  depend only on  $[D]$ . For, if  $D'$  is a Cartier divisor on  $Y$  with  $[D'] = [D]$ , and  $E$  is a Cartier divisor on  $Y$  with  $y = [E]$ , then, by [3, Theorem 2.4],

$$D \cdot y = E \cdot [D] = E \cdot [D'] = D' \cdot y.$$

Thus, we can define a bi-linear map

$$q : F_c(Y) \times F_c(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^1(Y_o)$$

by  $q([D], y) = D \cdot y$ . Moreover, [3, Theorem 2.4] says us that  $q$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in F_c(Y)$ . Let  $H$  be an ample line bundle on  $Y$ . Using  $q$  and  $H$ , we have a quadratic form  $Q_H$  on  $F_c(Y)$  given by

$$Q_H(x, y) = \deg(H^{d-1} \cdot q(x, y)),$$

where  $d = \dim Y_\eta$ . Then, we have the following Zariski's lemma on integral schemes, which is well known if  $X$  is regular.

**Lemma B.1** (Zariski's lemma for integral scheme). (1)  $Q_H([Y_o], x) = 0$  for all  $x \in F_c(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ .  
 (2)  $Q_H(x, x) \leq 0$  for any  $x \in F_c(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ .  
 (3)  $Q_H(x, x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot [Y_o]$ .

*Proof.* (1): This is obvious because  $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y_o) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y$ .

(2) and (3): If  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot [Y_o]$ , then by (1),  $Q_H(x, x) = 0$ . Thus, it is sufficient to prove that (a)  $Q_H(x, x) \leq 0$  for any  $x \in F_c(Y)_\mathbb{Q}$ , and that (b) if  $Q_H(x, x) = 0$ , then  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot [Y_o]$ . For this purpose, we may assume that  $x \in F_c(Y)$ , i.e.,  $x = [D]$  for some Cartier divisor  $D$  on  $Y$ . We prove (a) and (b) by induction on  $d$ . If  $d = 1$  and  $Y$  is regular, the lemma follows from the following sublemma.

**Sublemma B.1.1.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $Q$  a quadratic form on  $V$ . We assume that there are  $e \in V$  and a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  with the following properties:*

- (i) *If we set  $e = a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n$ , then  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i$ .*
- (ii)  *$Q(x, e) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in V$ .*
- (iii)  *$Q(e_i, e_j) \geq 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ .*
- (iv) *If we set  $S = \{(i, j) \mid i \neq j \text{ and } Q(e_i, e_j) > 0\}$ , then, for any  $i \neq j$ , there is a sequence  $i_1, \dots, i_l$  such that  $i_1 = i$ ,  $i_l = j$ , and  $(i_t, i_{t+1}) \in S$  for all  $1 \leq t < l$ .*

*Then,  $Q(x, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in V$ . Moreover, if  $Q(x, x) = 0$  for some  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x \in \mathbb{R}e$  and  $Q(y, e) = 0$  for all  $y \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Replacing  $e_i$  by  $a_i e_i$ , we may assume that  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$ . If we set  $x = x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n$ , then, by an easy calculation, we can show

$$Q(x, x) = \sum_i x_i^2 Q(e_i, e) - \sum_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2 Q(e_i, e_j).$$

Thus, we can easily see our assertions. □

Let us go back to the proof of Lemma B.1. Let  $\mu : Y' \rightarrow Y$  be a proper birational morphism of projective integral schemes over  $R$ . We assume that if  $d \geq 2$ , then  $\mu$  is finite. Here we

claim that if (a) and (b) hold for  $Y'$ , then they hold for  $Y$ . Note that if  $d = 1$ , then the lemma does not involve  $H$ . By virtue of projection formula (cf. [3, (c) of Proposition 2.4]),

$$\deg(\mu^*(H)^{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\mu^*(D)) \cdot [\mu^*(D)]) = \deg(H^{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot [D]).$$

Thus, if  $Q_H([\mu^*(D)], [\mu^*(H)]) \leq 0$ , then  $Q_H([D], [D]) \leq 0$ . Moreover, if there is a rational number  $\alpha$  such that  $[\mu^*(D)] = \alpha[Y_o']$ , then  $[\mu^*(D)] = \alpha[\mu^*(Y_o)]$ . Thus, taking the push-forward  $\mu_*$ , we can see that  $[D] = \alpha[Y_o]$  in  $Z^1(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Hence, we get our claim.

By the above claim, considering the normalization of  $Y$ , we may assume that  $Y$  is normal. Moreover, if  $d = 1$ , there is a resolution of singularities  $\mu : Y' \rightarrow Y$  of  $Y$  (cf. [11]). Thus it holds for  $d = 1$ . Hence we may assume  $d \geq 2$ .

Let  $(Y_o)_{red} = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_l$  be the irreducible decomposition of  $(Y_o)_{red}$  and  $I$  the defining ideal of  $(Y_o)_{red}$ . Since  $H$  is ample, there is a positive integer  $m$  such that  $H^{\otimes m}$  is very ample and  $H^1(Y, H^{\otimes m} \otimes I) = 0$ . Thus,  $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0((Y_o)_{red}, H^{\otimes m}|_{(Y_o)_{red}})$  is surjective. Here note that  $\text{codim}(Y \setminus \text{Sing}(Y)) \geq 2$  because  $Y$  is normal. Hence, there is a section  $s_0$  of  $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$  such that  $s_0|_{\Gamma_i} \neq 0$  for every  $i$ , and that  $\text{div}(s_0|_{(Y_o)_{red}})$  intersects with  $(\Gamma_i \cap \text{Sing}(Y))_{red}$  and  $(\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j)_{red}$  properly for all  $i \neq j$ . Let  $t$  be a element of  $R$  such that  $t$  is a generator of the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Since  $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$  is a free  $R$ -module, there is a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$  as  $R$ -module. Then, there are  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in R$  with  $s_0 = c_1 e_1 + \cdots + c_n e_n$ . For each  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  of  $R$ , let us consider the following element  $s$  of  $H^0(Y, H^{\otimes m})$ ;

$$s = s_0 + t(a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n) = (c_1 + t a_1) e_1 + \cdots + (c_n + t a_n) e_n.$$

Since  $\#(R) = \infty$ , it is easy to see that the set

$$\{(c_1 + t a_1, \dots, c_n + t a_n) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in R\}$$

is Zariski dense in  $\mathbb{A}^n(K)$ , where  $K$  is the quotient field of  $R$ . Thus, we can find  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  such that  $\text{div}(s_\eta)$  is geometrically reduced and irreducible divisor on  $X_\eta$  (cf. [9, Theorem 6.10]). Let  $[\text{div}(s)] = S + T$  be the decomposition as cycles such that  $S$  is horizontal and  $T$  is vertical with respect to  $f$ . Then, by our choice of  $s$ ,  $s|_{\Gamma_i} = s_0|_{\Gamma_i}$  for all  $i$ . Thus,  $T = 0$  and  $S$  is integral. Hence, by the proof of [3, Theorem 2.4], we can see that  $\text{div}(s) \cap [D] = D \cap S$  in  $Z^2(Y)$ . Therefore, if we set  $H_S = H|_S$  and  $D_S = D|_S$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(H_S^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_S(D_S) \cdot [D_S]) &= \deg(H^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot (D \cap S)) \\ &= \deg(H^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot (\text{div}(s) \cap [D])) \\ &= \deg(H^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot H^{\otimes m} \cdot [D]) \\ &= m \deg(H^{d-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y(D) \cdot [D]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by hypothesis of induction,  $Q_H([D], [D]) \leq 0$ .

Further, we assume that  $Q_H([D], [D]) = 0$ . Then,  $\deg(H_S^{d-2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_S(D_S) \cdot [D_S]) = 0$ . Thus, by hypothesis of induction, there is a rational number  $\alpha$  with  $D \cap S = \alpha(Y_o \cap S)$  in  $Z^2(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We set  $[D] = \sum_i \alpha_i \Gamma_i$  and  $[Y_o] = \sum_i \beta_i \Gamma_i$  as cycles. Moreover, we set

$$Y^0 = Y \setminus \left( \text{Sing}(Y) \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} (\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j) \right),$$

$D^0 = D \cap Y^0$ ,  $Y_o^0 = Y_o \cap Y^0$ ,  $S^0 = S \cap Y^0$ , and  $\Gamma_i^0 = \Gamma_i \cap Y^0$  for each  $i$ . Then,

$$D^0 \cap S^0 = \sum_i \alpha_i(\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_o^0 \cap S^0 = \sum_i \beta_i(\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0)$$

in  $Z^2(Y^0)$ . Thus,

$$\sum_i \alpha_i(\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0) = \sum_i \alpha\beta_i(\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0)$$

in  $Z^2(Y^0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Here  $H^{\otimes m}$  is very ample and  $\text{div}(s_0|_{(Y_o)_{red}})$  intersects with  $(\Gamma_i \cap \text{Sing}(Y))_{red}$  and  $(\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j)_{red}$  properly for all  $i \neq j$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0 \neq 0$  for all  $i$ , and  $\Gamma_i^0 \cap S^0$  and  $\Gamma_j^0 \cap S^0$  have no common component for all  $i \neq j$ . Thus, we have  $\alpha_i = \alpha\beta_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $[D] = \alpha[Y_o]$  in  $Z^1(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.-B. Bost, Potential Theory and Lefschetz Theorems for Arithmetic Surfaces, preprint.
- [2] G. Faltings, Calculus on arithmetic surfaces, Ann. of Math., 119 (1984), 387–424.
- [3] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 2, (1984), Springer-Verlag.
- [4] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Arithmetic Intersection Theory, Publ. Math. (IHES), 72 (1990), 93–174.
- [5] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem, Invent. Math., 110 (1992), 473–543.
- [6] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Arithmetic analogs of the standard conjectures, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 55-I (1994), 129–140.
- [7] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, Ann. of Math. 79 (1964), 109–326.
- [8] P. Hriljac, Heights and Arakelov’s intersection theory, Amer. J. Math., 107 (1985), 23–38.
- [9] J.-P. Jouanolou, Théorèmes de Bertini et Applications, Birkhäuser (1983).
- [10] S. Kawaguchi and A. Moriwaki, Inequalities for semistable families of arithmetic varieties, preprint (alg-geom/9710007).
- [11] J. Lipman, Desingularization of two dimensional schemes, Ann. Math., 107 (1978), 151–207.
- [12] A. Moriwaki, Inequality of Bogomolov-Gieseker type on arithmetic surfaces, Duke Math. J., 74 (1994), 713–761.
- [13] A. Moriwaki, Bogomolov unstability on arithmetic surfaces, Math. Research Letters, 1 (1994), 601–611.
- [14] A. Moriwaki, Arithmetic Bogomolov-Gieseker’s inequality, Amer. J. of Math., 117 (1995), 1325–1347.
- [15] A. Moriwaki, Hodge index theorem for arithmetic cycles of codimension one, Mathematical Research Letter, 3 (1996), 173–183.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-01, JAPAN  
*E-mail address*, Atsushi Moriwaki: moriwaki@kusm.kyoto-u.ac.jp