

Covariant Poisson Structures on Complex Projective Spaces

Albert Jeu-Liang Sheu*
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Kansas
 Lawrence, KS 66045
 U. S. A.

1 Introduction

One of the most intriguing aspects of the theory of quantum groups and quantum spaces [Dr, RTF, So, Wo1, Wo2, Po, Ri, VaSo] is the close interplay between the geometric structure of the underlying Poisson Lie group (or Poisson space) [We2, LuWe1] and the algebraic structure on the corresponding quantum group (or quantum space). For example, Soibelman's classification [So] of all irreducible $*$ -representations of the quantum algebra $C(G_q)$ for complex Poisson simple Lie groups G gives a one-to-one correspondence between irreducible $*$ -representations of $C(G_q)$ and the symplectic leaves [We2] on G . This leads to a groupoid C^* -algebraic [Re] approach to study the structure of the algebra $C(G_q)$ [Sh2] which shows that the decomposition of $SU(n)$ (or \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) by symplectic leaves of various dimensions corresponds to a compatible decomposition of $C(SU(n)_q)$ (or $C(\mathbb{S}_q^{2n+1})$) by its (closed) ideals in the spirit of noncommutative geometry.

It is well known that the standard multiplicative Poisson structure on $SU(n+1)$ induces a standard covariant Poisson structure on the homogeneous spaces $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} = SU(n+1)/SU(n)$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n = SU(n+1)/U(n)$ determined by the Poisson Lie subgroups $SU(n)$ and $U(n)$, respectively. On the other hand, Lu and Weinstein [LuWe2] described explicitly all $SU(2)$ -covariant Poisson structures on $\mathbb{S}^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$ including a one-parameter family of nonstandard $SU(2)$ -covariant Poisson structures on \mathbb{S}^2 , and showed that each nonstandard covariant Poisson sphere \mathbb{S}_c^2 contains a copy of the trivial Poisson 1-sphere \mathbb{S}^1 (consisting of a circle family of 0-dimensional symplectic leaves) and exactly two 2-dimensional symplectic leaves. This geometric structure is reflected faithfully in the algebraic structure of the algebra $C(\mathbb{S}_{qc}^2)$ of the nonstandard quantum spheres \mathbb{S}_{qc}^2 [Sh1].

*Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9623008.

Dijkhuizen and Noumi studied in great detail [DiNo] a one-parameter family of nonstandard quantum projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P_{q,c}^n$ with quantum algebras $C(\mathbb{C}P_{q,c}^n)$. In [Sh3], the structure of $C(\mathbb{C}P_{q,c}^n)$ is studied and analyzed as a groupoid C^* -algebra, and an algebraic decomposition of $C(\mathbb{C}P_{q,c}^n)$ by a closed ideal indicates that the underlying nonstandard Poisson $\mathbb{C}P^n$ should contain an embedded copy of the standard Poisson \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} . In this paper, we describe a one-parameter family of nonstandard $SU(n+1)$ -covariant Poisson structures τ_c on the projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ that represents the classical counterpart of the quantum family $C(\mathbb{C}P_{q,c}^n)$, and show that the standard Poisson \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} is indeed embedded in each of these nonstandard Poisson $\mathbb{C}P^n$. We also show that (non-zero) $SU(n)$ -invariant contravariant 2-tensors on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} with $n \neq 3$ (or $\mathbb{C}P^n$) are unique, up to a constant factor. We remark that in [KhRaRu] Khoroshkin, Radul, and Rubtsov obtained interesting results about covariant Poisson structures on coadjoint orbits, including $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Our approach, motivated by Dijkhuizen and Noumi's work [DiNo], is different from theirs and the embedding of the standard Poisson \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} in the nonstandard Poisson $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is new.

2 Poisson structure on Lie groups

In this section, we discuss some basic properties of affine Poisson structures in the form needed later. We recall that an affine Poisson structure on a Lie group G is given by a Poisson 2-tensor $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TG)$, such that

$$\pi(gh) = L_g(\pi(h)) + R_h(\pi(g)) - L_g R_h(\pi(e))$$

for any $g, h \in G$ [We3], or equivalently,

$$\pi_l(g) := \pi(g) - L_g(\pi(e))$$

for $g \in G$ defines a multiplicative Poisson 2-tensor on G [Lu, DaSo], where L_g and R_g are the left and the right actions by $g \in G$, respectively, and e is the identity element of G . For an affine Poisson 2-tensor π on a Lie group G , the left action of the Poisson-Lie group (G, π_l) on the Poisson manifold (G, π) by left translation is a Poisson action, i.e. the multiplication map $G \times G \rightarrow G$ is a Poisson map, where $G \times G$ and G are endowed with the product Poisson structures $\pi_l \times \pi$ and π , respectively. In another word, π on G (as a homogeneous space of G) is a (left) (G, π_l) -covariant Poisson structure.

A typical example of an affine Poisson structure on a Poisson-Lie group G with multiplicative Poisson 2-tensor π is provided by a right translation π_σ of π by an element $\sigma \in G$, i.e.

$$\pi_\sigma(g) := R_\sigma(\pi(g\sigma^{-1}))$$

for $g \in G$. Since the right translation by σ on G is a diffeomorphism on G , the 'push-forward' π_σ of π by R_σ is clearly a Poisson 2-tensor on G . Furthermore,

$$(\pi_\sigma)_l(g) = R_\sigma(\pi(g\sigma^{-1})) - L_g(R_\sigma(\pi(\sigma^{-1})))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= R_\sigma (L_g (\pi (\sigma^{-1})) + R_{\sigma^{-1}} (\pi (g))) - L_g (R_\sigma (\pi (\sigma^{-1}))) \\
&= R_\sigma L_g (\pi (\sigma^{-1})) + \pi (g) - R_\sigma L_g (\pi (\sigma^{-1})) = \pi (g)
\end{aligned}$$

which is a multiplicative Poisson 2-tensor on G . So π_σ on G (as a homogeneous space of G) is a (left) (G, π) -covariant Poisson structure, for any $\sigma \in G$. Note that

$$\pi_\sigma = \pi + (X_\sigma)^l$$

where $X_\sigma := \pi_\sigma (e) = R_\sigma (\pi (\sigma^{-1})) \in \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ and $X^l (g) := L_g (X)$ is the left-invariant 2-tensor generated by $X \in \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$, since $(\pi_\sigma)_l = \pi$.

When a closed subgroup H of a Lie group G is coisotropic [We1] with respect to a Poisson structure ρ on G , i.e.

$$\rho (gh) - R_h (\rho (g)) \in L_{gh} (\mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g})$$

for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, it is easy to see that the Poisson bracket $\{f_1, f_2\} := (df_1 \wedge df_2) (\rho)$ of $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty (G/H) \subset C^\infty (G)$ is still in $C^\infty (G/H)$ and hence induces a Poisson structure on G/H , or equivalently, a Poisson 2-tensor $\tilde{\rho}$ on the homogeneous space G/H is well defined by

$$\tilde{\rho} ([gH]) := [\rho (g)] \in L_g (\wedge^2 (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})) = \wedge^2 T_{[gH]} (G/H).$$

Given a Poisson-Lie group (G, π) and $\sigma \in G$, if a closed subgroup H of G is coisotropic with respect to π , then H is coisotropic with respect to the affine Poisson structure π_σ on G if and only if

$$(X_\sigma)^l (gh) - R_h \left((X_\sigma)^l (g) \right) \in L_{gh} (\mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}),$$

since $\pi_\sigma = \pi + (X_\sigma)^l$ and $\pi (gh) - R_h (\pi (g)) \in L_{gh} (\mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g})$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&(X_\sigma)^l (gh) - R_h \left((X_\sigma)^l (g) \right) = L_{gh} (X_\sigma) - R_h (L_g (X_\sigma)) \\
&= L_g (L_h X_\sigma - R_h X_\sigma) = L_g L_h (X_\sigma - L_{h^{-1}} R_h X_\sigma) \\
&= L_{gh} (\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}}) (X_\sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

So $(X_\sigma)^l (gh) - R_h \left((X_\sigma)^l (g) \right) \in L_{gh} (\mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g})$ for all $(g, h) \in G \times H$ if and only if

$$(\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}}) (X_\sigma) \in \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$$

for all $(g, h) \in G \times H$, or equivalently,

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}} (X_\sigma) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g},$$

since $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}} (\mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{Ad}_H (\mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$. Thus we get the following result.

Proposition 1 *Given a Poisson-Lie group (G, π) and a closed subgroup H of G that is coisotropic with respect to π , the subgroup H is coisotropic with respect to π_σ for $\sigma \in G$, if and only if $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(X_\sigma) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$, where $X_\sigma := R_\sigma(\pi(\sigma^{-1})) \in \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$.*

In case the multiplicative Poisson structure π on G is given by an r -matrix $r \in \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ (satisfying the modified Yang-Baxter equation), i.e.

$$\pi(g) = L_g r - R_g r,$$

we have

$$X_\sigma = R_\sigma(\pi(\sigma^{-1})) = R_\sigma(L_{\sigma^{-1}} r - R_{\sigma^{-1}} r) = \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(r) - r.$$

A closed subgroup H being coisotropic with respect to π is equivalent to

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(r) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(gh) - R_h(\pi(g)) &= L_{gh} r - R_{gh} r - R_h(L_g r - R_g r) \\ &= L_{gh} r - R_{gh} r - L_g R_h r + R_h R_g r = L_{gh} r - L_g R_h r \\ &= L_{gh}(r - L_{h^{-1}} R_h r) = L_{gh}(\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}})(r) \end{aligned}$$

and $L_{gh}(\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}})(r) \in L_{gh}(\mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g})$ for all $(g, h) \in G \times H$ if and only if $(\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{h^{-1}})(r) \in \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ for all $h \in H$.

Corollary 2 *Given a Poisson-Lie group (G, π) with π defined by an r -matrix $r \in \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$, a π -coisotropic closed subgroup H of G is coisotropic with respect to π_σ for $\sigma \in G$, if and only if $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(r)) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$.*

3 Non-standard Poisson $\mathbb{C}P^n$

Recall that the standard Poisson $SU(n)$ is defined (up to a constant multiple) by the Poisson 2-tensor $\pi(u) = \pi^{(n)}(u) := L_u r - R_u r$ determined by the r -matrix

$$r := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_{ij}^+ \wedge X_{ij}^-$$

where $X_{ij}^+ = e_{ij} - e_{ji}$, $X_{ij}^- = i(e_{ij} + e_{ji})$, and e_{ij} are the matrix units.

It is well known that $SU(n-1) = \{1\} \oplus SU(n-1)$ (or $SU(n-1) \oplus \{1\}$) and

$$U(n-1) := \left\{ \det(u)^{-1} \oplus u : u \in SU(n-1) \right\}$$

are Poisson-Lie subgroups of $SU(n)$ and hence induce the ‘standard’ $SU(n)$ -covariant Poisson structures $\rho = \rho^{(n)}$ and $\tau = \tau^{(n-1)}$ on the sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \cong SU(n) / [\{1\} \oplus SU(n-1)]$$

and the complex projective space

$$\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \cong SU(n) / U(n-1),$$

respectively.

Theorem 3 *The closed subgroup $U(n-1)$ of $SU(n)$ is coisotropic with respect to the (left) $SU(n)$ -covariant affine Poisson structure π_{σ_c} on $SU(n)$ defined by*

$$\sigma_c := (\sqrt{c}e_{11} + \sqrt{1-c}e_{n1} - \sqrt{1-c}e_{1n} + \sqrt{c}e_{nn}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} e_{ii} \in SU(n)$$

with $c \in [0, 1]$. Hence π_{σ_c} induces a (left) $SU(n)$ -covariant Poisson structure τ_c on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \cong SU(n) / U(n-1)$.

Proof. We set $\sigma = \sigma_c$ for simplicity. It is easy to see that if the Poisson structure τ_c induced by π_{σ_c} on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \cong SU(n) / U(n-1)$ is well defined, then it is automatically (left) $SU(n)$ -covariant since π_{σ_c} is.

Now since $U(n-1)$ is a Poisson-Lie subgroup and hence coisotropic with respect to π , we have

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(n-1)}(r) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n),$$

and hence only need to show that

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(n-1)}(\text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(r)) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n).$$

From

$$\begin{cases} \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{ij}^+) = X_{ij}^+, & \text{if } 1 < i < j < n \\ \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{1j}^+) = \sqrt{c}X_{1j}^+ + \sqrt{1-c}X_{jn}^+, & \text{if } 1 < j < n \\ \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{in}^+) = -\sqrt{1-c}X_{1i}^+ + \sqrt{c}X_{in}^+, & \text{if } 1 < i < n \\ \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{1n}^+) = X_{1n}^+, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{ij}^-) = X_{ij}^-, & \text{if } 1 < i < j < n \\ \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{1j}^-) = \sqrt{c}X_{1j}^- - \sqrt{1-c}X_{jn}^-, & \text{if } 1 < j < n \\ \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{in}^-) = \sqrt{1-c}X_{1i}^- + \sqrt{c}X_{in}^-, & \text{if } 1 < i < n \\ \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{1n}^-) = (2c-1)X_{1n}^- + 2i\sqrt{c(1-c)}(e_{11} - e_{nn}). \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(r) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{ij}^+) \wedge \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(X_{ij}^-)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{1 < i < j < n} X_{ij}^+ \wedge X_{ij}^- + X_{1n}^+ \wedge \left[(2c-1) X_{1n}^- + 2\sqrt{c(1-c)} i (e_{11} - e_{nn}) \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 < i < n} (\sqrt{c} X_{1i}^+ + \sqrt{1-c} X_{in}^+) \wedge (\sqrt{c} X_{1i}^- - \sqrt{1-c} X_{in}^-) \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 < i < n} (-\sqrt{1-c} X_{1i}^+ + \sqrt{c} X_{in}^+) \wedge (\sqrt{1-c} X_{1i}^- + \sqrt{c} X_{in}^-) \\
&= \sum_{1 < i < j < n} X_{ij}^+ \wedge X_{ij}^- + 2\sqrt{c(1-c)} X_{1n}^+ \wedge i (e_{11} - e_{nn}) \\
&\quad + (2c-1) \left[(X_{1n}^+ \wedge X_{1n}^-) + \sum_{1 < i < n} (X_{1i}^+ \wedge X_{1i}^- + X_{in}^+ \wedge X_{in}^-) \right] \\
&\quad \quad + 2\sqrt{c(1-c)} \sum_{1 < i < n} (X_{in}^+ \wedge X_{1i}^- - X_{1i}^+ \wedge X_{in}^-) \\
&= (1 - (2c-1)) \sum_{1 < i < j < n} X_{ij}^+ \wedge X_{ij}^- + 2\sqrt{c(1-c)} X_{1n}^+ \wedge i (e_{11} - e_{nn}) \\
&\quad + (2c-1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} X_{ij}^+ \wedge X_{ij}^- + 2\sqrt{c(1-c)} \sum_{1 < i < n} (X_{in}^+ \wedge X_{1i}^- - X_{1i}^+ \wedge X_{in}^-) \\
&= 2(1-c) \sum_{1 < i < j < n} X_{ij}^+ \wedge X_{ij}^- + 2\sqrt{c(1-c)} X_{1n}^+ \wedge i (e_{11} - e_{nn}) \\
&\quad + (2c-1)r + 2\sqrt{c(1-c)} \sum_{1 < i < n} (X_{in}^+ \wedge X_{1i}^- - X_{1i}^+ \wedge X_{in}^-)
\end{aligned}$$

which is in $(2c-1)r + (\mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n))$, since $X_{ij}^+, X_{ij}^-, X_{in}^+, X_{in}^-, i(e_{11} - e_{nn}) \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1)$ for all $1 < i < j < n$. So we get

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(n-1)}(\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(r)) \subset (2c-1)\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(n-1)}(r) + \mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(n-1)}(\mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n))$$

$$\subset \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n),$$

because $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(n-1)}(r) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n)$ and

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{u}(n-1)}(\mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n)) \subset \mathfrak{u}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n).$$

■

The Poisson manifold $(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}, \tau_c)$ with $c \in (0, 1)$ is referred to as a non-standard Poisson $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. Note that $\tau_1 = \tau^{(n-1)}$ the standard Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ since $\sigma_1 = 1 \in SU(n)$ and hence $\pi_{\sigma_1} = \pi$. On the other hand, R_{σ_0} simply swaps the first column with the n -th column and hence τ_0 is the standard Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \cong SU(n) / (SU(n-1) \oplus \{1\})$ induced by π .

We remark that $X_{ij}^+, X_{ij}^-, X_{in}^+, X_{in}^- \in \mathfrak{su}(n-1)$ but $i(e_{11} - e_{nn}) \notin \mathfrak{su}(n-1)$, so $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{su}(n-1)}(\text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(r)) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{su}(n-1) \wedge \mathfrak{su}(n)$ and hence π_{σ_c} does not induce a Poisson structure on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \cong SU(n) / SU(n-1)$. On the other hand, as a generalization of Lu and Weinstein's result on covariant Poisson spheres $\mathbb{S}^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$ [LuWe2], we can show that $(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}, \tau_c)$ contains a copy of the standard Poisson sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-3}, \rho^{(n-1)})$. Here it is understood that $\rho^{(1)} = 0$ on \mathbb{S}^1 by definition.

Theorem 4 *The standard Poisson sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-3}, \rho^{(n-1)})$ is embedded in $(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}, \tau_c)$ for $c \in (0, 1)$ and $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Note that the quotient map $\phi : SU(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ can be viewed as the composition of the quotient map

$$\phi_1 : u \in SU(n) \mapsto u_1 \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \cong SU(n) / SU(n-1)$$

and the quotient map

$$\phi_2 : v \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \mapsto [v] \in \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} / \mathbb{T},$$

where the circle group \mathbb{T} acts diagonally on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$u_1 := (u_{11}, u_{21}, \dots, u_{n1}) \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

is the first column of $u \in SU(n)$. It is well known that ϕ_2 is a diffeomorphism from the submanifold

$$S_+ := \{v \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} : v_1 > 0\} \subset \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$$

onto its image $\phi_2(S_+) \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, and

$$\phi_3 : v \in S_c \mapsto \phi_3(v) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c}}(v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-3}$$

is a diffeomorphism identifying

$$S_c := \{v \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} : v_1 = \sqrt{c}\} \subset S_+$$

with \mathbb{S}^{2n-3} . We denote by $\psi : u \in SU(n) \mapsto u_n \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ the projection to the last column. Functions similar to ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ψ , for other dimensions than n , will be denoted by the same symbols for the simplicity of notation.

First we assume that $n > 2$. For each $v \in S_c$, we can find some $u' \in SU(n)$ with the first column $u'_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and the last column $u'_n = \sqrt{1-c}^{-1}(0, v_2, \dots, v_n)$. Note that the first row of u' has to be $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and hence

$$u' = 1 \oplus u'' \in \{1\} \oplus SU(n-1)$$

for some $u'' \in SU(n-1)$ with

$$(u'')_{n-1} = \sqrt{1-c}^{-1} (v_2, \dots, v_n) = \phi_3(v).$$

Furthermore since $\{1\} \oplus SU(n-1)$ is a Poisson-Lie subgroup of $SU(n)$,

$$\pi(u') = 0 \oplus \pi^{(n-1)}(u'') \in \{0\} \oplus \wedge^2 T_{u''} SU(n-1) \subset \wedge^2 T_{u'} SU(n)$$

where $\pi^{(n-1)}$ is the standard multiplicative Poisson structure on $SU(n-1)$. Note that

$$\rho^{(n-1)}(\phi_3(v)) = (D\psi)_{u''} \left(\pi^{(n-1)}(u'') \right)$$

for the standard Poisson 2-tensor $\rho^{(n-1)}$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n-3} . (Here we take $\mathbb{S}^{2n-3} = SU(n-1) / [SU(n-2) \oplus \{1\}]$.)

For

$$u := R_{\sigma_c}(u') = u' \sigma_c \in SU(n),$$

we have

$$\phi_1(u) = u_1 = (u' \sigma_c)_1 = v \in S_c,$$

and in $\wedge^2 T_v S_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} (D\phi_1)_u(\pi_{\sigma_c}(u)) &= (D\phi_1)_u(R_{\sigma_c}(\pi(u'))) = (D\phi_1)_u(\pi(u') \sigma_c) \\ &= \sqrt{1-c} (D\psi)_{u'}(\pi(u')) \in \wedge^2 T_v S_c \subset \wedge^2 T_v S_+ \end{aligned}$$

because the first columns of the component matrices in the 2-tensor $\pi(u') = 0 \oplus \pi^{(n-1)}(u'')$ are all zero.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_c([v]) &= \tau_c(\phi_2(v)) = \tau_c(\phi(u)) \\ &= (D\phi)_u(\pi_{\sigma_c}(u)) = (D\phi_2)_{\phi_1(u)}((D\phi_1)_u(\pi_{\sigma_c}(u))) \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined 2-tensor at $[v] \in \phi_2(S_c) \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and ϕ_2 is a diffeomorphism on S_+ . So

$$\pi' : v \in S_c \mapsto (D\phi_1)_u(\pi_{\sigma_c}(u)) \in \wedge^2 T_v S_c$$

is a well-defined Poisson 2-tensor on S_c and $\phi_2(S_c)$ is a Poisson submanifold of $(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}, \tau_c)$ that is Poisson isomorphic to (S_c, π') . Under the diffeomorphism $\phi_3 : S_c \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n-3}$ identifying $v \in S_c$ with $\phi_3(v) \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-3}$, the 2-tensor $\pi'(v)$ is identified with

$$(D\phi_3)_v((D\phi_1)_u(\pi_{\sigma_c}(u))) = (D\phi_3)_v(\sqrt{1-c} (D\psi)_{u'}(\pi(u')))$$

$$= (D\phi_3)_v \left(\sqrt{1-c} \left(0 \oplus (D\psi)_{u'} \left(\pi^{(n-1)}(u'') \right) \right) \right)$$

$$(D\phi_3)_v \left(\sqrt{1-c} \left(0 \oplus \rho^{(n-1)}(\phi_3(v)) \right) \right) = \rho^{(n-1)}(\phi_3(v)) \in \wedge^2 T_{\phi_3(v)} \mathbb{S}^{2n-3}.$$

Thus (S_c, π') or $(\phi_2(S_c), \tau_c)$ is Poisson isomorphic to the standard Poisson sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-3}, \rho^{(n-1)})$.

When $n = 2$, for $v \in S_c$ with $v_2 \neq \sqrt{1-c}$, we cannot find a $u' \in SU(2)$ with the first column $u'_1 = (1, 0)$ and the last column $u'_2 = \sqrt{1-c}^{-1}(0, v_2)$. But for $v_0 = (\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{1-c})$, such a u'_0 exists, namely, $u'_0 = I_2$ the 2×2 identity matrix, and the above argument essentially works. More precisely, it is well known that $\pi(u'_0) = 0$ since $u'_0 \in U(1) \subset SU(2)$, and hence for $u_0 = u'_0 \sigma_c = \sigma_c$,

$$(D\phi_1)_{u_0}(\pi_{\sigma_c}(u_0)) = (D\phi_1)_{u_0}(R_{\sigma_c}(\pi(u'_0))) = (D\phi_1)_{u_0}(0) = 0.$$

So

$$\tau_c([v_0]) = (D\phi_2)_{v_0}(D\phi_1)_{u_0}(\pi_{\sigma_c}(u_0)) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since τ_c on $\mathbb{C}P^1 \approx \mathbb{S}^2$ is $SU(2)$ -covariant and $U(1) \subset SU(2)$ consists of 0-dimensional leaves, the action of any

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \in U(1)$$

on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ preserves the Poisson structure τ_c . In particular, $\tau_c([tv_0]) = 0$ for any $t \in U(1)$. Since any $v = (\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{1-c}e^{i\theta}) \in S_c$ is equivalent to a tv_0 with $t \in U(1)$ under the diagonal \mathbb{T} -action, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} [v] &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta/2} \sqrt{c} \\ e^{-i\theta/2} \sqrt{1-c} e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta/2} \sqrt{c} \\ e^{i\theta/2} \sqrt{1-c} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{c} \\ \sqrt{1-c} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix} v_0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbb{C}P^1$, we have $\tau_c([v]) = 0$ for all $v \in S_c$, i.e. $\tau_c = 0$ on $\phi_2(S_c) \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$. Since $\phi_2(S_c)$ is diffeomorphic to S_c and hence to \mathbb{S}^1 , we get the standard (trivial) Poisson $(\mathbb{S}^1, \rho^{(1)})$ embedded in $(\mathbb{C}P^1, \tau_c)$. ■

It is easy to see that the difference of covariant Poisson 2-tensors is an invariant 2-tensor, though not necessarily a Poisson 2-tensor. In the next section, we show that any (left) $SU(n)$ -invariant 2-tensor $\tilde{\tau}$ on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, i.e.

$$L_u(\tilde{\tau}(x)) = \tilde{\tau}(ux)$$

for all $u \in SU(n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, is a constant multiple of the canonical $SU(n)$ -invariant symplectic 2-tensor on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, and hence a Poisson 2-tensor on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. So $\tau_1 - \tau_c$ on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ for $c \in (0, 1)$ is actually an $SU(n)$ -invariant Poisson 2-tensor.

4 Invariant 2-tensor on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}

In this section, we first classify the $SU(n)$ -invariant (contravariant) 2-tensor on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} , and then we conclude that the canonical $SU(n)$ -invariant symplectic structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ gives the only, up to a constant factor, $SU(n)$ -invariant 2-tensor on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$.

For each $p \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, we have $ip \in T_p\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, and the orthogonal complement $E_p := \{p, ip\}^\perp \subset T_p\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ is a complex subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n = T_p\mathbb{C}^n$ endowed with a canonical symplectic structure $\tilde{\Omega}_p$ determined by the complex hermitian structure on \mathbb{C}^n . Indeed $(d\omega)_p = \tilde{\Omega}_p$ on E_p for the unique 1-form ω , the standard contact structure, on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} such that $\omega_p(ip) = 1$ and $\omega_p(E_p) = \{0\}$ at each $p \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$. The contact manifold $(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \omega)$ with the diagonal \mathbb{T} -action on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} is the standard prequantization [Kos, We1] of the canonical $SU(n)$ -invariant symplectic structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}/\mathbb{T}$.

Since the vector fields $p \mapsto p$ and $p \mapsto ip$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} are invariant under the $U(n)$ -action, so is the distribution $p \mapsto E_p$ of tangent subspaces. Furthermore, since the $U(n)$ -action preserves the complex hermitian structure on \mathbb{C}^n (and on E_p), the field $p \mapsto \tilde{\Omega}_p$ of symplectic forms on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} is also invariant under the $U(n)$ -action. Thus the contravariant 2-tensor $\tilde{\pi}$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} uniquely determined by the form $\tilde{\Omega}$ on $E \subset TSU(n)$ is $U(n)$ -invariant. Note that this 2-tensor $\tilde{\pi}$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} , invariant under the diagonal \mathbb{T} -action, induces the canonical symplectic structure on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}/\mathbb{T}$ determined by its complex hermitian structure.

Given an $SU(n)$ -invariant contravariant 2-tensors $\pi \neq 0$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} with $n \geq 2$, we show that $\pi = \tilde{\pi}$ after a suitable normalization if $n \neq 3$ or if π is $U(n)$ -invariant. Through the standard Euclidean structure on $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we identify the $SU(n)$ -invariant contravariant 2-tensors $\pi \neq 0$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} with an $SU(n)$ -invariant 2-forms $\Omega \neq 0$ on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} .

First we show that the tangent vector

$$e'_1 := ie_1 \in T_{e_1}\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} = i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$$

at $e_1 \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ is in

$$\ker \Omega_p := \{v \in T_p\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} : \Omega_p(v, \cdot) = 0\}.$$

If not, then we can find an orthonormal set $\{e'_i\}_{i=2}^{n-1} \cup \{\eta'_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset 0 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that $\Omega_{e_1}(e'_i, \eta'_j) = \delta_{ij}a_{ii}$ and $\Omega_{e_1}(e'_i, e'_j) = \Omega_{e_1}(\eta'_i, \eta'_j) = 0$ with $a_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_{11} \neq 0$. Now since Ω is $SU(n)$ -invariant, we have

$$\Omega_{e_1}(e'_1, u(\eta'_1)) = \Omega_{u(e_1)}(u(e'_1), u(\eta'_1)) = \Omega_{e_1}(e'_1, \eta'_1) = a_{11}$$

for any $u \in \{1\} \oplus SU(n-1) \subset SU(n)$. This cannot be true, since by a suitable choice of u , $u(\eta'_1)$ can be any unit vector in $0 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, for example, η'_n . Thus $e'_1 = ie_1 \in \ker \Omega_p$.

Now with respect to the standard orthonormal \mathbb{R} -linear basis of

$$i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cong i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1} = T_{e_1}\mathbb{S}^{2n-1},$$

the 2-form Ω_{e_1} can be represented by a block diagonal matrix $0 \oplus B$ where $B \in M_{2(n-1)}(\mathbb{R})$ is a skew symmetric matrix. The $SU(n)$ -invariance of $\Omega \neq 0$ implies that $\Omega_{e_1} \neq 0$ and

$$uBu^{-1} = uBu^t = B \neq 0,$$

or $uB = Bu$, for any $u \in SU(n-1) \subset O_{2n-2}(\mathbb{R})$ since $1 \oplus u \in SU(n)$ and $(1 \oplus u)(e_1) = e_1$. (If Ω is $U(n)$ -invariant, then $uB = Bu$ for any $u \in U(n-1)$ since $1 \oplus u \in U(n)$.)

We claim that B must be conformal, i.e. $\|B(v)\| = \|B\| \|v\|$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ where $\|B\| := \sup_{\|v\|=1} \|B(v)\| > 0$. Let w be a unit vector with $\|B(w)\| = \|B\|$. Since $SU(n-1)$ acts on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-3} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ transitively, for any unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$, we can find $u \in SU(n-1)$ with $u^{-1}(v) = w$, and hence

$$\|B(v)\| = \|uBu^{-1}(v)\| = \|u(B(w))\| = \|B(w)\| = \|B\|.$$

Thus $B/\|B\|$ is a skew-symmetric isometry on \mathbb{R}^{2n-2} and so $B/\|B\| \in O_{2n-2}(\mathbb{R})$.

If $n = 2$, then any skew symmetric $0 \neq B/\|B\| \in O_2(\mathbb{R})$ determines the same 2-form Ω_{e_1} on $0 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ and hence on $i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$, up to a constant multiple. So $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega}$ after normalized.

If $n \geq 4$, then the commutativity of $\mathbb{T}^{n-2} \subset SU(n-1)$ with B implies that B is complex linear on $\mathbb{R}^{2n-2} = \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and so $B/\|B\| \in U(n-1)$. In fact, since for any $1 \leq j \neq k \leq n-1$, $t_{jk\theta}B = Bt_{jk\theta}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ implies that $B_{jj}, B_{kk} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $B_{kl} = 0$ for any $j \neq l \neq k$, where $B = (B_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n-1}$ with $B_{jk} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$t_{jk\theta} := e^{i\theta}e_{jj} + e^{-i\theta}e_{kk} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq n-1 \\ j \neq l \neq k}} e_{ll} \in \mathbb{T}^{n-2} \subset SU(n-1).$$

It is well known that only scalar matrices in $M_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ commute with $SU(n-1)$, so we get $B/\|B\| \in \mathbb{T}$ with $-B/\|B\| = (B/\|B\|)^* = (B/\|B\|)^{-1}$, i.e. $(B/\|B\|)^2 = -1$ or $B = \pm i\|B\|$. Thus

$$\Omega_{e_1} = \pm \|B\| \tilde{\Omega}_{e_1}$$

a (real) constant multiple of the standard symplectic form. Hence we get $\pi = \tilde{\pi}$ after a suitable normalization.

If Ω is $U(n)$ -invariant, then the commutativity of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} \subset U(n-1)$ with B implies that B is complex linear and hence $B/\|B\| \in U(n-1)$ and as above, $\Omega = \pm \|B\| \tilde{\Omega}$. In fact, $t'_{k\theta}B = Bt'_{k\theta}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ implies that $B_{kk} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $B_{kl} = 0$ for any $l \neq k$, where

$$t'_{k\theta} := e^{i\theta}e_{kk} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq n-1 \\ l \neq k}} e_{ll} \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \subset U(n-1).$$

We observe that the quotient map $\phi : \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and its differential $D\phi : T\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \rightarrow T\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ are $U(n)$ -equivariant since the diagonal \mathbb{T} -action commutes with the $U(n)$ -action. Furthermore, the restriction

$$(D\phi)|_E : E \rightarrow T\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$$

of $D\phi$ to the $U(n)$ -equivariant subbundle E defined above is a bundle isomorphism. So any $SU(n)$ -invariant (and hence $U(n)$ -invariant) 2-tensor $\tau \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$ on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ can be ‘pulled back’ to an $U(n)$ -invariant 2-tensor

$$\pi = (D\phi)|_E^{-1}(\tau) \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 E) \subset \Gamma(\wedge^2 T\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$$

on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} which must be, up to a constant factor, equal to $\tilde{\pi}$. Thus $\tau = \tilde{\tau} := (D\phi)(\tilde{\pi})$ which is the standard symplectic 2-tensor on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$.

References

- [DaSo] P. Dazord and D. Sondaz, *Groupes de Poisson affines*, in ‘Symplectic Geometry, Groupoids, and Integrable Systems’, P. Dazord and A. Weinstein (Eds.), Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [DiNo] M. S. Dijkhuizen and M. Noumi, *A family of quantum projective spaces and related q -hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials*, preprint.
- [Dr] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proc. I.C.M. Berkeley 1986, Vol. 1, 789-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987.
- [KhRaRu] S. Khoroshkin, A. Radul, and V. Rubtsov, *A family of Poisson structures on hermitian symmetric spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. 152 (1993), 299-315.
- [KoVa] L. I. Korogodsky and L. L. Vaksman, *Quantum G -spaces and Heisenberg algebra*, in “Quantum Groups”, P. P. Kulish (Ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1510, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, pp. 56-66.
- [Kos] B. Kostant, *Quantization and unitary representations. I: Prequantization*, in “Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications. III”, E. T. Taam (Ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 170, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970, pp. 87-208.
- [Lu] J. H. Lu, *Multiplicative and affine Poisson structures on Lie groups*, Ph. D. thesis, Univ. of California, Berkeley, 1990.
- [LuWe1] J. H. Lu and A. Weinstein, *Poisson Lie groups, dressing transformations and Bruhat decompositions*, J. Diff. Geom. 31 (1990), 501-526.
- [LuWe2] ———, *Classification of $SU(2)$ -covariant Poisson structures on \mathbb{S}^2* , Comm. Math. Phys. 135 (1991), 229-231.
- [Po] P. Podles, *Quantum spheres*, Letters Math. Phys. 14 (1987), 193-202.
- [Re] J. Renault, *A Groupoid Approach to C^* -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 793, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [RTF] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan, and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), 193-225.

- [Ri] M. A. Rieffel, *Non-compact quantum groups associated with abelian subgroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. 171 (1995), 181-201.
- [Sh1] A. J. L. Sheu, *Quantization of the Poisson $SU(2)$ and its Poisson homogeneous space – the 2-sphere*, Comm. Math. Phys. 135 (1991), 217-232.
- [Sh2] _____, *Compact quantum groups and groupoid C^* -algebras*, J. Func. Anal. 144 (1997), 371-393.
- [Sh3] _____, *Groupoid approach to quantum projective spaces*, preprint.
- [So] Ya. S. Soibelman, *The algebra of functions on a compact quantum group, and its representations*, Algebra Analiz. 2 (1990), 190-221. (Leningrad Math. J., 2 (1991), 161-178.)
- [VaSo] L. L. Vaksman and Ya. S. Soibelman, *The algebra of functions on the quantum group $SU(n + 1)$, and odd-dimensional quantum spheres*, Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), 1023-1042.
- [We1] A. Weinstein, *Lectures on Symplectic Manifolds*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
- [We2] A. Weinstein, *The local structure of Poisson manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983), 523-557.
- [We3] A. Weinstein, *Affine Poisson structures*, preprint.
- [Wo1] S. L. Woronowicz, *Twisted $SU(2)$ group: an example of a non-commutative differential calculus*, Publ. RIMS. 23 (1987), 117-181.
- [Wo2] _____, *Compact matrix pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. 111 (1987), 613-665.