

# Transference in Spaces of Analytic Measures

Nakhlé H. Asmar and Stephen J. Montgomery-Smith

Department of Mathematics

University of Missouri–Columbia

Columbia, Missouri 65211 U. S. A.

## 1 Introduction

Transference theory for  $L^p$  spaces is a powerful tool with many fruitful applications to singular integrals, ergodic theory, and spectral theory of operators [8]. These methods afford a unified approach to many problems in diverse areas, which before were proved by a variety of methods.

The purpose of this paper is to bring about a similar approach to the study of analytic measures. Our main transference result is motivated by the extensions of the classical F.&M. Riesz Theorem due to Bochner [6], Helson-Lowdenslager [13, 14], de Leeuw-Glicksberg [9], Forelli [12], and others. It might seem that these extensions should all be obtainable via transference methods, and indeed, as we will show, these are exemplary illustrations of the scope of our main result.

It is not straightforward to extend the classical transference methods of Calderón, Coifman and Weiss to spaces of measures. First, their methods make use of averaging techniques and the amenability of the group of representations. The averaging techniques simply do not preserve the analyticity. This difficulty was met and overcome in [3]. Secondly, and most importantly, their techniques require that the representation is strongly continuous. For spaces of measures, this last requirement would be prohibitive, even for the simplest representations such as translations. Instead, we will introduce a much weaker requirement, which we will call ‘sup path attaining’.

We will demonstrate a transference principle from functions in  $H^1$  to spaces of analytic measures for sup path attaining representations. As an interesting application, we obtain the main results of Forelli by transferring inequalities from Littlewood-Paley theory of  $H^1$  functions on the real line. Other important ingredients in our proofs are the Representation Theorems of Kakutani [17, 18] and a classical Banach space result of Bessaga-Pełczyński [5]. The other results related to the F.&M. Riesz Theorem, and much more, can also be obtained [4].

Throughout  $G$  will denote a locally compact abelian group with dual group  $\Gamma$ . The symbols  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  denote the integers and the real numbers, respectively. If  $A$  is a set, we denote the indicator function of  $A$  by  $1_A$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the space of Haar measurable functions  $f$  on  $G$  with  $\int_G |f|^p dx < \infty$  will be denoted by  $L^p(G)$ . The space of essentially bounded functions on  $G$  will be denoted by  $L^\infty(G)$ . The expressions “locally null” and “locally almost everywhere” will have the same meanings as in [15, Definition (11.26)].

Our measure theory is borrowed from [15]. In particular, the space of all complex regular Borel measures on  $G$ , denoted by  $M(G)$ , consists of all complex measures arising from bounded linear functionals on  $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ , the Banach space of continuous functions on  $G$  vanishing at infinity.

Suppose that the dual group  $\Gamma$  contains a Haar-measurable order  $P$ . Thus  $P$  satisfies the three axioms:

$$P + P = P; P \cap (-P) = \{0\}; P \cup (-P) = \Gamma.$$

Let

$$H^1(G) = \{f \in L^1(G) : \hat{f} = 0 \text{ on } \overline{(-P) \setminus \{0\}}\}; \quad (1)$$

and

$$H^\infty(G) = \left\{ f \in L^\infty(G) : \int_G f(x)g(x)dx = 0 \text{ for all } g \in H^1(G) \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Our general setting is a measurable space  $(X, \Sigma)$ , where  $X$  is a set and  $\Sigma$  is a sigma algebra of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $M(\Sigma)$  denote the Banach space of complex measures on  $\Sigma$  with the total variation norm, and let  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Sigma)$  denote the space of measurable bounded functions on  $\Omega$ .

Let  $T : t \mapsto T_t$  denote a representation of  $G$  by isomorphisms of  $M(\Sigma)$ . We suppose that  $T$  is uniformly bounded, i.e., there is a positive constant  $c$  such that for all  $t \in G$ , we have

$$\|T_t\| \leq c. \quad (3)$$

**Definition 1.1** *A measure  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  is called weakly measurable (in symbols,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$ ) if for every  $A \in \Sigma$  the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)$  is Borel measurable on  $G$ .*

Given a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$  and a Borel measure  $\nu \in M(G)$ , we can define the ‘convolution’  $\nu *_{T} \mu$  on  $\Sigma$  by

$$\nu *_{T} \mu(A) = \int_G T_{-t}\mu(A)d\nu(t) \quad (4)$$

for all  $A \in \Sigma$ . It is easy to show, using dominated convergence and the linearity of  $T_t$ , that  $\nu *_{T} \mu$  is a measure in  $\mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$ , and

$$\|\nu *_{T} \mu\| \leq 4c\|\nu\|\|\mu\|, \quad (5)$$

where  $c$  is as in (3). We will assume throughout this paper that the representation  $T$  commutes with the convolution (4) in the following sense: for each  $t \in G$ ,

$$T_t(\nu *_{T} \mu) = \nu *_{T} (T_t\mu). \quad (6)$$

It is easy to check that (6) holds if, for example, for all  $t \in G$ , the adjoint of  $T_t$  maps  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Sigma)$  into itself. In symbols,

$$T_t^* : \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Sigma). \quad (7)$$

For proofs we refer the reader to [2]. As a consequence of (6) we obtain that

$$\sigma *_{T} (\nu *_{T} \mu) = (\sigma * \nu) *_{T} \mu, \quad (8)$$

for all  $\sigma, \nu \in M(G)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$  (see [2]).

We can now introduce the analytic measures in  $\mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$ .

**Definition 1.2** *Let  $T$  be a uniformly bounded representation of  $G$  by isomorphisms of  $M(\Sigma)$  such that (3) and (6) hold. A measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$  is called weakly analytic if the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)$  is in  $H^\infty(G)$  for every  $A \in \Sigma$ .*

We will show in Section 3 that this definition agrees with the one based on the notion of spectra (e.g., the definition used by Forelli [12]). We come now to a definition which is fundamental to our work.

**Definition 1.3** *A representation  $T$  of a locally compact abelian group  $G$  in  $M(\Sigma)$  is said to be sup path attaining if it is uniformly bounded, satisfies property (6), and if there is a constant  $C$  such that for every weakly measurable  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$  we have*

$$\|\mu\| \leq C \sup \left\{ \text{ess sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_X h d(T_t \mu) \right| : h \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Sigma), \|h\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (9)$$

The fact that the mapping  $t \mapsto \int_X h d(T_t \mu)$  is measurable is a simple consequence of the measurability of the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t \mu(A)$  for every  $A \in \Sigma$ . In the next section, we will give examples of representations that are sup path attaining.

The main result of this paper is the following transference theorem.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $T$  be a sup path attaining representation of a locally compact abelian group  $G$  by isomorphisms of  $M(\Sigma)$ . Suppose that  $\nu$  is a measure in  $M(G)$  and that  $N(\nu)$  is a positive constant such that*

$$\|\nu * f\|_1 \leq N(\nu) \|f\|_1 \quad (10)$$

for all  $f$  in  $H^1(G)$ . Then for every weakly analytic measure  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  we have

$$\|\nu *_T \mu\| \leq N(\nu) c^3 C \|\mu\|, \quad (11)$$

where  $c$  is as in (3) and  $C$  is as in (9).

This result is new even if  $G = \mathbf{R}$ . As we stated above, we will use it to give a new proof of the result of Forelli [12], which in turn generalizes the results of de Leeuw and Glicksberg [9]. Let us remind the reader of Forelli's result. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  denote the Baire measurable subsets of  $\Omega$ . (We will discuss the definition of Baire measurability shortly.) Suppose also that  $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  is a group of homomorphisms of  $\Omega$  onto itself such that the mapping  $(t, \omega) \mapsto T_t \omega$  is jointly continuous. Then the maps  $T_t$  induce isomorphisms  $T_t$  on the space of Baire measures via the map  $T_t \mu(A) = \mu(T_t(A))$ .

If  $\nu$  is a Baire measure, we will say that it is quasi-invariant if  $T_t \nu$  and  $\nu$  are mutually absolutely continuous for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , that is, for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$  we have that  $|\nu|(A) = 0$  if and only if  $|T_t \nu|(A) = 0$ . If  $\mu$  is a Baire measure, we will say that  $\mu$  is  $T$ -analytic if

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} T_t \mu(A) h(t) dt = 0$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$  and all  $h \in H^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Note that this notion of  $T$ -analyticity is equivalent to our notion of weak analyticity, as we will show below. The result of Forelli says the following.

**Theorem 1.5** *Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space, and let  $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  be a group of homeomorphisms of  $\Omega$  onto itself such that the maps  $(t, \omega) \mapsto T_t \omega$  is jointly continuous. Suppose that  $\mu$  is a  $T$ -analytic Baire measure, and that  $\nu$  is a quasi-invariant Baire measure. Then both  $\mu_s$  and  $\mu_a$  are  $T$ -analytic, where  $\mu_s$  and  $\mu_a$  are the singular and absolutely continuous parts of  $\mu$  with respect to  $\nu$ .*

The proof of both this result in [12], and also its predecessor [9], are long and difficult. Furthermore, it is hard to understand why one must use Baire measures instead of Borel measures. Indeed, let us recall several definitions of Baire measures. Perhaps the most common is to define it as the sigma algebra generated by sets that are compact and also countable intersections of open sets. A second definition is to define it as the minimal sigma algebra so that compactly supported continuous functions are measurable. A third definition might be the minimal sigma algebra so that bounded uniformly continuous functions are measurable. For locally compact Hausdorff topological spaces, the first two definitions are equivalent. For locally compact sigma-compact Hausdorff topological spaces, all three definitions are equivalent. We will make use of the second or third definition.

The approach taken in [12] and [9] is to show that if  $\mu$  is  $T$ -analytic, then  $\mu$  is quasi-invariant. Our approach will be to show that if  $\mu$  is weakly analytic, then the map  $t \mapsto T_t\mu$  is continuous with respect to the norm topology on  $M(\mathcal{B}_0)$ . This has the advantage that it works for more general representations than those given by mappings on the sigma algebra.

Indeed the mystery of why we need to use Baire sets is reduced to the fact that such representations as described above on the Baire measures are sup path attaining. Furthermore, we are then able to generalize Forelli's result to other settings. For example, we see that we do not need that  $\Omega$  is locally compact Hausdorff. Or we might suppose that  $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  is any group of uniformly bounded isomorphisms satisfying (6) on any Lebesgue space (that is, a countably generated sigma field). Our result that will imply Forelli's result will be the following. (This result also appeared in [2], proved by different methods.)

**Theorem 1.6** *Let  $T$  be a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  in  $M(\Sigma)$  that is sup path attaining, and let  $\mu$  be a weakly analytic measure in  $M(\Sigma)$ . Then the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t\mu$  is continuous from  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $M(\Sigma)$ .*

As we said, we give our proof of this result to illustrate the power of the transference theorem we have developed. We also have other applications on more general locally compact abelian groups. Indeed, we will be able to give powerful decomposition theorems for weakly analytic measures [4]. For this reason, we present our transference result in full generality.

## 2 Sup Path Attaining Representations

We first note that for a sup path representation  $T$  of  $G$  that we have

$$\|\mu\| \leq C \sup \left\{ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_X h d(T_t\mu) \right| : h \text{ is a simple function with } \|h\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (12)$$

To see this, note that for any bounded measurable function  $h$ , there exist a sequence of simple functions  $s_n$  that converge uniformly to  $h$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_X s_n d(T_t\mu) \right| \rightarrow \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_X h d(T_t\mu) \right|,$$

and from this (12) follows easily.

Our first example is related to the setting of Forelli [12].

**Example 2.1** Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a topological space and  $(T_t)_{t \in G}$  is a group of homeomorphisms of  $\Omega$  onto itself such that the mapping

$$(t, \omega) \mapsto T_t \omega$$

is jointly continuous, and let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  denote the Baire subsets of  $\Omega$ , where the Baire sets are defined to be either the minimal sigma-field so that compactly supported continuous functions are measurable, or the minimal sigma-field so that bounded uniformly continuous functions are measurable. Since our proof will work in either case, let us temporarily use the term *especially continuous* to refer to either compactly supported continuous or bounded uniformly continuous. (We do not require the usual assumptions that  $\Omega$  be locally compact and Hausdorff.) For any Baire measure in  $M(\mathcal{B}_0)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , define  $T_t \mu(A) = \mu(T_t(A))$ , where  $T_t \mu(A) = \{T_t \omega : \omega \in A\}$ . Note that  $T$  satisfies (3) and (6). We will show that every Baire measure is weakly measurable in the sense of Definition 1.1, and that the representation  $T$  is sup path attaining. We start by verifying the latter property.

Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_0 : 1_A = \lim_n f_n, f_n \text{ is especially continuous on } \Omega, |f_n| \leq 1\},$$

and for a given weakly measurable  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$ , let

$$\kappa = \sup \left\{ \text{ess sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_{\Omega} h d(T_t \mu) \right| : h \text{ is an especially continuous function with } \|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_0 : |\mu(A)| \leq \kappa\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monotone class, closed under nested unions and intersections. Also,  $\mathcal{R}$  is an algebra of sets, closed under finite unions and set complementation. For an especially continuous function  $h$ , the mapping  $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} h d(T_t \mu)$  is continuous. Hence

$$\kappa = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\Omega} h d\mu \right| : h \text{ is an especially continuous function with } \|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Now, if  $A \in \mathcal{R}$ , then let  $f_n$  be especially continuous functions such that  $|f_n| \leq 1$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 1_A$ . Then  $\int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu \rightarrow \mu(A)$ , and hence we see that  $|\mu(A)| \leq \kappa$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{C}$ . By the monotone class theorem, it follows that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the sigma algebra generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ . Now, every set  $B$  of the form  $B = f^{-1}(0, \infty)$ , for some especially continuous  $f$  on  $\Omega$ , is also in  $\mathcal{R}$ . To see this, it suffices to note that

$$1_B = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{0, \min\{f, 1\}\}^{1/n}.$$

By replacing  $f$  by  $\max\{f - \lambda, 0\}$ , we see that  $\mathcal{R}$  contains  $B = f^{-1}(\lambda, \infty)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , and from here a simple argument shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Consequently, we have  $|\mu(A)| \leq \kappa$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$  from which (9) follows with  $C \leq 4$ .

To show that every Baire measure is weakly measurable, we use a similar argument. Here we take  $\mathcal{R}$  as before, and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_0 : t \mapsto T_t \mu(A) \text{ is Borel measurable}\}$ . Again,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monotone class containing the algebra of sets  $\mathcal{R}$ , and hence it contains  $\mathcal{B}_0$ .

The crucial point in Example 2.1 that requires restricting to Baire measures is that the measure of a Baire set can be approximated by integrals of uniformly continuous functions. Since this property holds for regular Borel measures on locally compact abelian groups, we have the following useful example.

**Example 2.2** Let  $\phi : G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  be a continuous homomorphism. Define an action of  $G_2$  on  $M(G_1)$  (the regular Borel measures on  $G_1$ ) by translation by  $\phi$ . Hence, for  $x \in G_2, \mu \in M(G_1)$ , and any Borel subset  $A \subset G_1$ , let  $T_{\phi(x)}\mu(A) = \mu(A + \phi(x))$ . Then every  $\mu \in M(G_1)$  is weakly measurable, the representation is sup path attaining with constants  $c = 1$  and  $C = 4$ .

In the following example no topology is required on the measure space.

**Example 2.3** Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be an abstract Lebesgue space, that is,  $\Sigma$  is countably generated. Then any uniformly bounded representation  $T$  of  $G$  by isomorphisms of  $M(\Sigma)$  is sup path attaining. To see this, note that since  $\Sigma$  is countably generated, there is a countable subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Sigma)$  such that for any  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  we have

$$\|\mu\| = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_X h d\mu \right| : h \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

If  $\mu$  is weakly measurable, then for  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  and for locally almost all  $u \in G$ , we have

$$\left| \int_X g d(T_u\mu) \right| \leq \text{ess sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_X g d(T_t\mu) \right|. \quad (13)$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable we can find a subset  $B$  of  $G$  such that the complement of  $B$  is locally null, and such that (13) holds for every  $u \in B$  and all  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $u \in B$ , take the sup in (13) over all  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ , and get

$$\|T_u\mu\| \leq \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}} \text{ess sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_X g d(T_t\mu) \right|.$$

But since  $\|\mu\| \leq c\|T_u\mu\|$ , it follows that  $T$  is sup path attaining with  $C = c$ .

With the exception of Proposition 2.8 below, the concept of sup path attaining will be needed in this paper in the following weaker form which was introduced in [2], and was called hypothesis (A).

**Proposition 2.4** *Suppose that  $T$  is sup path attaining and  $\mu$  is weakly measurable such that for every  $A \in \Sigma$  we have*

$$T_t\mu(A) = 0$$

*for locally almost all  $t \in G$ . Then  $\mu = 0$ .*

The proof is immediate and follows from (12).

The key in Proposition 2.4 is that the set of  $t \in G$  for which  $T_t\mu(A) = 0$  depends on  $A$ . If this set were the same for all  $A \in \Sigma$ , then the conclusion of the proposition would trivially hold for any representation by isomorphisms of  $M(\Sigma)$ .

For further motivation, we recall an example from [2] of a class of representations that fail to be sup path attaining.

**Example 2.5** (a) Let  $\Sigma$  denote the sigma algebra of countable and co-countable subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Define  $\nu \in M(\Sigma)$  by

$$\nu(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ is co-countable,} \\ 0 & \text{if } A \text{ is countable.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\delta_t$  denote the point mass at  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , and take  $\mu = \nu - \delta_0$ . Consider the representation  $T$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  given by translation by  $t$ . Then:

$\mu$  is weakly measurable;

$\|\mu\| > 0$ ;

$T_t(\mu) = T_t(\nu - \delta_0) = \nu - \delta_t$ ;

for every  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $T_t(\mu)(A) = 0$  for almost all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

It now follows from Proposition 2.4 that the representation  $T$  is not sup path attaining.

(b) Let  $\alpha$  be a real number and let  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mu, \nu, \delta_t$ , and  $T_t$  have the same meanings as in (a). Define a representation  $T^\alpha$  by

$$T_t^\alpha = e^{i\alpha t} T_t.$$

Arguing as in (a), it is easy to see that  $T^\alpha$  is not sup path attaining.

In many proofs, it is desirable to approximate a measure  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  by a measure of the form  $k *_T \mu$  where  $k \in L^1(G)$ . While the former measure may be hard to analyze, the latter can be studied by transferring properties of  $L^1(G)$  functions. As we will see, the fact that this approximation can be done depends crucially on the concept of sup path attaining. Let us recall a well-known property of approximate identities (see [16, Theorem (28.52)]).

**Scholium 2.6** *Let  $G$  be an arbitrary locally compact abelian group. Let  $\{h_\alpha\}$  be a net of nonnegative functions in  $L^1(G)$  such that  $\|h_\alpha\|_1 = 1$  for all  $\alpha$ . Then  $\{h_\alpha\}$  is an approximate identity in  $L^1(G)$  if and only if  $\lim_\alpha \|h_\alpha 1_{G \setminus W}\|_1 = 0$  for every neighborhood  $W$  of the identity in  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $(k_\beta)$  be an approximate identity for  $L^1(G)$  with  $k_\beta \geq 0$  and  $\|k_\beta\|_1 = 1$ . Let  $g \in L^\infty(G)$ , and let  $K$  be any compact subset of  $G$ . Then, there is a subsequence  $(k_{\beta_n})$  such that  $k_{\beta_n} * g(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  for almost all  $x \in K$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $V$  be an open, symmetric, and relatively compact neighborhood of 0 containing  $K$ , and let  $W = V + V$ . Since  $(k_\beta)$  is an approximate identity for  $L^1(G)$  and  $1_W g$  is in  $L^1(G)$ , we can find a subsequence  $(k_{\beta_n})$  such that

$$k_{\beta_n} * (1_W g)(x) \rightarrow 1_W(x)g(x)$$

for almost all  $x \in G$ . In particular, for almost all  $x \in V$ , we have

$$k_{\beta_n} * (1_W g)(x) \rightarrow g(x). \tag{14}$$

Note that

$$k_{\beta_n} * g(x) = k_{\beta_n} * (1_W g)(x) + \int_G g(x-y) 1_{G \setminus W}(x-y) k_{\beta_n}(y) dy. \tag{15}$$

Thus to complete the proof, it suffices from (14) to show that the second term on the right in (15) tends to zero as  $\beta_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Write this term as  $\int_{x-G \setminus W} g(x-y) k_{\beta_n}(y) dy$ , where  $x \in V$ . Note that  $x - G \setminus W$  is disjoint from  $V$ . By Scholium 2.6, it follows easily that  $\int_{x-G \setminus W} g(x-y) k_{\beta_n}(y) dy \rightarrow 0$  which completes the proof.

**Proposition 2.8** *Let  $T$  be a representation of  $G$  that is sup path attaining, and let  $(k_\beta)$  be as in Lemma 2.7. Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$ . Then*

$$c' \|\mu\| \leq \overline{\lim}_\beta \|k_\beta *_T \mu\| \leq 4c \|\mu\|,$$

where  $c$  is as in (3),  $c' = \frac{1}{cC}$ , and  $C$  is as in (12).

**Proof.** The second inequality follows from (5). To prove the first inequality, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . From (12), we can find a simple function  $h \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\Sigma)$ , such that  $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$  and

$$\|\mu\| \leq C \text{ess sup}_{t \in G} \left| \int_X hd(T_t \mu) \right| + \epsilon. \quad (16)$$

The proposition will follow once we show that, for any compact subset  $K \subset G$ , we have

$$\left| \int_X hd(T_t \mu) \right| \leq c \overline{\lim}_\beta \|k_\beta *_T \mu\| \quad (17)$$

for almost all  $t \in K$ . Write  $h = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j 1_{A_j}$ , where  $A_j \in \Sigma$ . For any  $t \in G$ , using (3) and (6), we have

$$\|k_\beta *_T T_t \mu\| = \|T_t(k_\beta *_T \mu)\| \leq c \|k_\beta *_T \mu\|. \quad (18)$$

Note that

$$\int_X hd(k_\beta *_T T_t \mu) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j k_\beta *_T (T_t \mu)(A_j) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \int_G T_{t-s} \mu(A_j) k_\beta(s) ds. \quad (19)$$

By Lemma 2.7, there is a subsequence  $(k_{\beta_n})$  such that for almost all  $t \in K$

$$\int_X hd(k_{\beta_n} *_T T_t \mu) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j T_t \mu(A_j) = \int_X hd(T_t \mu).$$

From this and (18), we get, for almost all  $t \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X hd T_t \mu \right| &\leq \overline{\lim}_\beta \left| \int_X hd(k_\beta *_T T_t \mu) \right| \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_\beta \|k_\beta *_T T_t \mu\| \\ &\leq c \overline{\lim}_\beta \|k_\beta *_T \mu\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (17) and completes the proof.

### 3 Analytic measures

In this section, we derive some basic properties of analytic measures. The notation is as in Section 1. In particular,  $P$  will denote a measurable order on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $T$  denote a representation of  $G$  by isomorphisms of  $M(\Sigma)$  satisfying (3) and (6). We will introduce the notion of  $T$ -spectrum, following Forelli [12], and then equate this notion with Definition 1.2. Our reference is Rudin [19, Chapter 7].

We regard  $L^\infty(G)$  as the conjugate space of  $L^1(G)$  with the weak-\* topology. If  $I$  is an ideal in  $L^1(G)$ , let

$$Z(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} \left\{ \chi \in \Gamma : \widehat{f}(\chi) = 0 \right\}.$$

The set  $Z(I)$  is called the zero set of  $I$ . If  $\phi$  is in  $L^\infty(G)$ , write  $[\phi]$  for the smallest weak-\* closed translation-invariant subspace of  $L^\infty(G)$  containing  $\phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}([\phi]) = \mathcal{I}(\phi)$  denote the closed translation-invariant ideal in  $L^1(G)$ :

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \{f \in L^1(G) : f * \phi = 0\}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \{f \in L^1(G) : f * g = 0, \forall g \in [\phi]\}$ . The spectrum of  $\phi$ , denoted by  $\sigma[\phi]$ , is the set of all continuous characters of  $G$  that belong to  $[\phi]$ . This closed subset of  $\Gamma$  is also given by

$$\sigma[\phi] = Z(\mathcal{I}(\phi)). \quad (20)$$

(See [19, Chapter 7, Theorem 7.8.2, (b), (c), and (d)].) For a weakly measurable  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$ , let

$$\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{f \in L^1(G) : f *_T \mu = 0\}.$$

Using the properties of the convolution  $*_T$ , it is straightforward to show that  $\mathcal{I}(\mu)$  is a closed ideal in  $L^1(G)$ .

**Definition 3.1** *The  $T$ -spectrum of a weakly measurable  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$  is defined by*

$$\text{spec}_T(\mu) = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{I}(\mu)} \{\chi \in \Gamma : \widehat{f}(\chi) = 0\}. \quad (21)$$

A measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$  is called  $T$ -analytic if  $\text{spec}_T(\mu) \subset \overline{P}$ .

**Remarks 3.2** Since  $\mathcal{I}(\mu)$  is a closed ideal in  $L^1(G)$ , it is translation-invariant, by [19, Theorem 7.1.2]. It follows readily that for all  $t \in G$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}(T_t \mu) = \mathcal{I}(\mu),$$

and hence

$$\text{spec}_T(T_t(\mu)) = \text{spec}_T(\mu). \quad (22)$$

To derive further properties of analytic measures, we need to know more about the structure of orders and their spectral properties. We start with a fundamental characterization of measurable orders from [1].

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $P$  be a measurable order on  $\Gamma$ . There are a totally ordered set  $\Pi$  with largest element  $\alpha_0$ ; a chain of subgroups  $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$ ; and a collection of continuous real-valued homomorphisms  $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$  on  $\Gamma$  such that:*

(i) *for each  $\alpha \in \Pi$ ,  $C_\alpha$  is an open subgroup of  $\Gamma$ ;*

(ii)  *$C_\alpha \subset C_\beta$  if  $\alpha > \beta$ ;*

*if  $D_\alpha$  denotes the kernel of the restriction of  $\psi_\alpha$  to  $C_\alpha$ , then, for every  $\alpha \in \Pi$ ,*

(iii)  *$\psi_\alpha(\chi) > 0$  for every  $\chi \in P \cap (C_\alpha \setminus D_\alpha)$ ,*

(iv)  *$\psi_\alpha(\chi) < 0$  for every  $\chi \in (-P) \cap (C_\alpha \setminus D_\alpha)$ .*

(v) *When  $\Gamma$  is discrete,  $D_{\alpha_0} = \{0\}$ ; and when  $\Gamma$  is not discrete,  $D_{\alpha_0}$  has empty interior and is locally null.*

**Remarks 3.4** (i) The subgroups  $(C_\alpha)$  are characterized as being the principal convex subgroups in  $\Gamma$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Pi$ , we have

$$D_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha} C_\beta,$$

and hence  $C_\alpha \subset D_\beta$  if  $\beta < \alpha$  (see [1]).

(ii) When  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, it follows easily from Theorem 3.3 that 0 is in the closure of the interior of  $P$ .

(iii) If the order is archimedean, then  $C_{\alpha_0} = \Gamma$  and  $D_{\alpha_0} = \{0\}$ .

For the equivalence of the following definitions, see [19, Section 7.8].

**Definition 3.5** Let  $E$  denote a closed subset of  $\Gamma$  and write  $[E]$  for the weak-\* closed translation-invariant subspace of  $L^\infty(G)$  generated by the characters in  $E$ . We say that  $E$  is a set of spectral synthesis, or an  $S$ -set, if and only if  $\mathcal{I}([E])$  is the only ideal in  $L^1(G)$  whose zero set is  $E$ . Equivalently,  $E$  is an  $S$ -set if and only if every essentially bounded function  $g$  in  $L^\infty(G)$  with  $\sigma[g] \subset E$  is the weak-\* limit of linear combinations of characters from  $E$ .

Here are our primary examples of  $S$ -sets.

**Proposition 3.6** Suppose that  $P$  is a measurable order on  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\overline{P}$  and  $\overline{(-P)}$  are  $S$ -sets.

**Proof.** If  $\Gamma$  is discrete, there is nothing to prove since in this case every subset of  $\Gamma$  is an  $S$ -set (see [19, Section 7.1.4]). If  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, the proposition follows from Remarks 3.2 (ii), and [19, Theorem 7.5.6].

The following simple observations are very useful.

**Proposition 3.7** Let  $B$  be a closed subset of  $\Gamma$ .

(i) Suppose that  $f$  is in  $L^\infty(G)$  and

$$\int_G f(x)\overline{g}(x)dx = 0 \tag{23}$$

for all  $g$  in  $L^1(G)$  such that  $\widehat{g} = 0$  on  $B$ . Then  $\sigma[f] \subset B$ . (ii) If  $B$  is an  $S$ -set,  $f$  is in  $L^\infty(G)$  with  $\sigma[f] \subset B$ , and  $g$  in  $L^1(G)$  such that  $\widehat{g} = 0$  on  $B$ , then (23) holds.

**Proof.** The proof of (i) is straightforward and will be omitted. For (ii), we use the fact that  $f$  is a weak-\* limit of linear combinations of characters from  $B$ . Since  $g$  annihilates all such polynomials, (23) follows.

As an immediate application, we have the following characterizations.

**Corollary 3.8** Suppose that  $f$  is in  $L^\infty(G)$ , then  $\sigma[f] \subset \overline{P}$  if and only if  $f \in H^\infty(G)$ .

Aiming for a characterization of weakly analytic measures in terms of their spectra, we present one more result.

**Proposition 3.9** Let  $\mu$  be weakly measurable in  $M(\Sigma)$ .

(i) Suppose that  $B$  is a closed subset of  $\Gamma$  and that  $\text{spec}_T \mu \subset B$ . Let  $A \in \Sigma$ , then  $\sigma[t \mapsto T_t \mu(A)] \subset B$ .

(ii) Conversely, suppose that  $B$  is an  $S$ -set in  $\Gamma$  and that  $\sigma[t \mapsto T_t \mu(A)] \subset B$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$ , then  $\text{spec}_T \mu \subset B$ .

**Proof.** We clearly have  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{I}([t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)])$ . Hence,  $\text{spec}_T\mu = Z(\mathcal{I}(\mu)) \supset Z(\mathcal{I}([t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)])) = \sigma[t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)]$ , and (i) follows.

Now suppose that  $B$  is an  $S$ -set and let  $g \in L^1(G)$  be such that  $\hat{g} = 0$  on  $B$ . Then, for all  $A \in \Sigma$ , we have from Proposition 3.7 (ii):

$$\int_G \bar{g}(t) T_t\mu(A) dt = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int_G \bar{g}(-t) T_{-t}\mu(A) dt = 0.$$

Since the Fourier transform of the function  $t \mapsto \bar{g}(-t)$  vanishes on  $B$ , we see that  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) \supset \{f \in L^1(G) : \hat{f} = 0 \text{ on } B\}$ . Thus  $Z(\mathcal{I}(\mu)) \subset Z(\{f \in L^1(G) : \hat{f} = 0 \text{ on } B\}) = B$ , which completes the proof.

Straightforward applications of Propositions 3.6, 3.9, and Corollary 3.8 yield the desired characterization of weakly analytic measures.

**Corollary 3.10** *Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$ . Then,  $\mu$  is weakly  $T$ -analytic if and only if  $\text{spec}_T\mu \subset \overline{P}$  if and only if  $\sigma[t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)] \subset \overline{P}$ , for every  $A \in \Sigma$ .*

We end this section with yet another simple property of the spectrum.

**Proposition 3.11** *Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$  and  $\nu \in M(G)$ . Then  $\text{spec}_T\nu * \mu$  is contained in the support of  $\hat{\nu}$ , and  $\text{spec}_T\nu * \mu \subset \text{spec}_T\mu$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $\chi_0$  not in the support of  $\hat{\nu}$ , to conclude that it is also not in the spectrum of  $\nu * \mu$  it is enough to find a function  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$  with  $\hat{f}(\chi_0) = 1$  and  $f * \nu = 0$ . Simply choose  $f$  with Fourier transform vanishing on the support of  $\hat{\nu}$  and taking value 1 at  $\chi_0$ . By Fourier inversion, we have  $f * \nu = 0$ , and since  $f * \nu * \mu = (f * \nu) * \mu$ , one implication follows. For the second implication, note that, because of (6),  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{I}(\nu * \mu)$ . Hence  $Z(\mathcal{I}(\mu)) \supset Z(\mathcal{I}(\nu * \mu))$ .

## 4 Proof of the Main Theorem

The proof that we present simplifies in the cases when  $\Gamma$  is discrete or  $\Gamma = \mathbf{R}$ . The few additional details that are required for the general case are related to the structure of orders and are contained in Theorem 3.3. It will be useful to introduce the following notation. For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$U_\epsilon = C_{\alpha_0} \cap \psi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}((-\epsilon, \epsilon)). \quad (24)$$

Here  $\psi_{\alpha_0}$  is the continuous homomorphism given by Theorem 3.3. If  $\Gamma$  is discrete,  $U_\epsilon = \{0\}$ . If the order is archimedean, then  $\Gamma = C_{\alpha_0}$  and then  $U_\epsilon = \psi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}((-\epsilon, \epsilon))$ .

We start with a lemma which is obvious when  $G$  is compact or  $G = \mathbf{R}$ .

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $U_\epsilon$  be as in (24). Then*

$$\{U_{\epsilon/4} + \overline{(-P)}\} \cap \{(U_\epsilon^c \cap \overline{P}) + U_{\epsilon/4}\} = \emptyset. \quad (25)$$

**Proof.** When  $\Gamma$  is discrete, we have  $U_\epsilon = \{0\}$ , and (25) is obvious. Henceforth, we assume that  $\Gamma$  is a nondiscrete group. To verify (25), let  $A = U_{\epsilon/4} + \overline{(-P)}$  and  $B = (U_\epsilon^c \cap \overline{P}) + U_{\epsilon/4}$ , and consider the following two claims which are easily checked with the help of Theorem 3.3.

Case 1:  $x \in C_{\alpha_0}$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $\psi_{\alpha_0}(x) > \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$ . While if  $x \in A$ , then  $\psi_{\alpha_0}(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ .

Case 2:  $x \in C_\alpha \setminus D_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $\psi_\alpha(x) < 0$ . While if  $x \in B$  then  $\psi_\alpha(x) > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $U_\epsilon$  be as in (24). Then there is a function  $g$  in  $L^1(G)$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $g \geq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\widehat{g}(0) = 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\widehat{g}$  has compact support;
- (iv)  $gf$  is in  $H^1(G)$  for any  $f \in H^\infty(G)$  with  $\sigma[f] \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** If  $G$  is compact, take  $g = 1$ . Throughout the rest of the proof, we suppose that  $G$  is noncompact. Let  $g \in L^1(G)$  be such that (i)-(iii) hold, and such that the support of  $\widehat{g}$  is contained in  $U_{\epsilon/4}$ . We claim that (iv) obtains. Since  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, it is enough to show that, for  $\chi_0 \in \overline{(-P)}$ ,

$$(\widehat{gf})(\chi_0) = 0.$$

Equivalently, it is enough to show that

$$\int_G g^*(-x)\chi_0(-x)f(x)dx = (g^*\chi_0) * f(0) = 0, \quad (26)$$

where  $g^*$  denotes the function  $x \mapsto g(-x)$ . The support of the Fourier transform of  $g^*\chi_0$  is contained in  $U_{\epsilon/4} + \chi_0 \subset U_{\epsilon/4} + \overline{(-P)}$ . Also, since  $f \in H^\infty(G)$ , we have  $\sigma[f] \subset \overline{P}$ , by Corollary 3.8. Hence  $\sigma[f] \subset (U_\epsilon^c \cap \overline{P}) + U_{\epsilon/4}$ . Because of (25), we see that the Fourier transform of  $g^*\chi_0$  vanishes on an open neighborhood containing  $\sigma[f]$ , namely  $(U_\epsilon^c \cap \overline{P}) + U_{\epsilon/4}$ . Thus  $(g^*\chi_0) * f = 0$  (see [19, 7.8.3 (b)]), and in particular, (26) holds.

Let  $H^1(G, M(\Sigma))$  denote the space of Bochner integrable functions  $f$  on  $G$  with values in  $M(\Sigma)$  such that

$$\int_G f(t)\overline{\chi}(t)dt = 0,$$

for all  $\chi \in \overline{(-P)}$ . For basic definitions and properties of Bochner integrable functions, see [21, Chap. V, Sec. 5].

**Corollary 4.3** *Suppose that  $\eta$  is weakly analytic in  $M(\Sigma)$  and  $f(t) = T_t\eta$  is a continuous function from  $G$  into  $M(\Sigma)$ . Suppose further that  $\text{spec}_T\eta \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $g \in L^1(G)$  be as in Lemma 4.2. Then  $h = gf$  belongs to  $H^1(G, M(\Sigma))$ .*

**Proof.** It suffices to show that for every  $A \in \Sigma$  we have

$$\int_G g(t)f(t)(A)\overline{\chi}(t)dt = 0. \quad (27)$$

Since  $\eta$  is weakly analytic and  $\text{spec}_T\eta \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $t \mapsto T_t\eta(A)$  is in  $H^\infty(G)$  and  $\sigma[t \mapsto T_t\eta(A)] \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$  (by Proposition 3.9 (i)). Now (27) follows by Lemma 4.2.

The following lemma is a simple consequence of the fact that translation is uniformly continuous in  $L^1(G)$ . We omit the proof.

**Proposition 4.4** *Suppose that  $k \in L^1(G)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$ . Then the mapping  $t \mapsto k *_T (T_t \mu)$  is continuous from  $G$  into  $\mathcal{M}_T(\Sigma)$ . Moreover, if  $\mu$  is weakly analytic, then so is  $k *_T (T_t \mu)$  for every  $t \in G$ .*

Our next goal is a transference result from scalar-valued integrable functions to vector-valued integrable functions. The proof is based on the Representation Theorem of Kakutani (see [17, Section 1, and Theorem 7 ff.], and [18, Section 16]). Let  $M_r(\Sigma)$  denote the real-valued measures on  $\Sigma$ . It is well-known that  $M_r(\Sigma)$  is an abstract  $L$ -space in the sense of Kakutani [17]. Accordingly, it is isometric and lattice isomorphic to  $L^1(\Omega', \sigma)$ , where  $\Omega'$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $\sigma$  is a measure on the Borel subsets of  $\Omega'$ .

Suppose that  $f$  is a Bochner integrable continuous function defined on  $G$  with values in  $M(\Sigma)$ . Write  $f = f_1 + if_2$  where  $f_j$  are Bochner integrable continuous functions with values in  $M_r(\Sigma)$ . Expressing each  $f_j$  as a limit of simple functions, then using Kakutani's Theorem, we see that there is a continuous function  $F = F_1 + iF_2$  in  $L^1(G, L^1(\sigma))$  such that

$$\left\| \int_G F(t)g(t)dt \right\|_{L^1(\sigma)} = \left\| \int_G f(t)g(t)dt \right\|_{M(\Sigma)},$$

for any bounded continuous function  $g$  on  $G$ . Hence,  $\|f\|_{L^1(G, M(\Sigma))} = \|F\|_{L^1(G, L^1(\sigma))}$ , and  $f \in H^1(G, M(\Sigma))$  if and only if  $F \in H^1(G, L^1(\sigma))$ . Because  $F$  is a limit of simple functions in  $L^1(G, L^1(\sigma))$ , it can be considered as a jointly measurable function on  $G \times \Omega'$  with the product measure  $\lambda \times \sigma$  where  $\lambda$  is the Haar measure on  $G$ .

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function in  $H^1(G, M(\Sigma))$  and let  $F$  be the continuous function in  $H^1(G, L^1(\Omega', \sigma))$  constructed from  $f$  using Kakutani's Representation Theorem, as above. Then, there is a  $\sigma$ -measurable subset  $B$  of  $\Omega'$  such that  $\sigma(\Omega' \setminus B) = 0$ , and, for all  $\omega \in B$ , the function  $x \mapsto F(x, \omega)$  is in  $H^1(G)$ .*

**Proof.** We construct the set  $B$  in three basic steps. Let  $\{h_j(x, \omega)\}$  be a sequence of simple functions converging in  $L^1(G \times \Omega')$  to  $F$ . Each  $h_j$  is of the form

$$h_j(x, \omega) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_j} a_{j,\nu} 1_{A_{j,\nu}}, \quad (28)$$

where  $a_{j,\nu} \in L^1(\sigma)$ , and  $A_{j,\nu}$  is a measurable subset of  $G$  with finite Haar measure. Since

$$\int_{\Omega'} \int_G |h_j(x, \omega) - F(x, \omega)| dx d\sigma \rightarrow 0$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , there is a measurable subset  $B_1 \subset \Omega'$  such that  $\sigma(\Omega' \setminus B_1) = 0$ , and for a subsequence of the  $h_j$ 's (which without loss of generality we may relabel as  $(h_j)$ ), we have

$$\int_G |h_j(x, \omega) - F(x, \omega)| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (29)$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $\omega \in B_1$ . From (29), it follows that for all  $\omega \in B_1$ , and all bounded measurable functions  $g$  on  $G$ , we have

$$\int_G |h_j(x, \omega) - F(x, \omega)| |g(x)| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (30)$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . From (28), because the support of the Fourier transform of integrable functions is sigma compact, we see that there is a sigma compact subset  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  such that for all  $\chi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ , we have

$$\int_G h_j(x, \omega) \overline{\chi(x)} dx = 0 \quad (31)$$

for all  $\omega$  and all  $j$ . Combining (30) and (31), we see that for all  $\omega \in B_1$  and all  $\chi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$  we have

$$\int_G F(x, \omega) \overline{\chi(x)} dx = 0. \quad (32)$$

Now since  $\Gamma_0$  is sigma-compact, we can find a countable dense subset  $\{\chi_n\}$ . For a given  $\chi_n \in (\overline{(-P)} \cap \Gamma_0)$ , it follows from the fact that  $F \in H^1(G, L^1(\sigma))$  that

$$\int_G F(x, \omega) \overline{\chi_n(x)} dx = 0 \quad (33)$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega'$ . Since we are only dealing with a countable set of  $\chi_n$ 's, we see that for almost all  $\omega$ , say  $\omega \in B_2$ , and all  $\chi_n \in (\overline{(-P)} \cap \Gamma_0)$ , we have (33) holding. Finally, let  $B_3$  be the subset of  $\Omega'$  such that  $x \mapsto F(x, \omega)$  is in  $L^1(G)$  for all  $\omega \in B_3$ , and let  $B = B_1 \cap B_2 \cap B_3$ . Then,  $\sigma(\Omega' \setminus B) = 0$ , and for all  $\omega \in B$ , we have (32) and (33) holding. Now since the Fourier transform of  $x \mapsto F(x, \omega)$  is continuous, and supported in  $\Gamma_0$ , and vanishes on a dense subset of  $\overline{(-P)} \cap \Gamma_0$ , we conclude that the function  $x \mapsto F(x, \omega)$  is in  $H^1(G)$ .

Our next Lemma establishes the transference result from scalar-valued analytic functions to a space of vector-valued analytic functions.

**Lemma 4.6** *Suppose that  $\nu$  is a measure in  $M(G)$  and  $N(\nu)$  is a positive number such that*

$$\|\nu * g\|_1 \leq N(\nu) \|g\|_1 \quad (34)$$

for all  $g \in H^1(G)$ . Then

$$\|\nu * f\|_{L^1(G, M(\Sigma))} \leq N(\nu) \|f\|_{L^1(G, M(\Sigma))},$$

for every continuous Bochner integrable function  $f \in H^1(G, M(\Sigma))$ .

**Proof.** The function  $\nu * f$  is Bochner integrable with values in  $M(\Sigma)$ . Let  $F$  be the function in  $L^1(G, L^1(\sigma))$  corresponding to  $f$  as in Lemma 4.5. By approximating with simple functions, we see that

$$\left\| \int_G f(x-t) d\nu(t) \right\|_{M(\Sigma)} = \left\| \int_G F(x-t) d\nu(t) \right\|_{L^1(\sigma)}$$

for almost all  $x \in G$ . By Lemma 4.5, the function  $x \mapsto f(x, \omega)$  is in  $H^1(G)$  for almost all  $\omega \in X$ . Keeping this in mind, and using (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_G F(x-t) d\nu(t) \right\|_{L^1(G, L^1(\sigma))} &= \int_G \int_{\Omega'} \left| \int_G F(x-t, \omega) d\nu(t) \right| d\sigma(\omega) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega'} \int_G \left| \int_G F(x-t, \omega) d\nu(t) \right| dx d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq N(\nu) \int_{\Omega'} \int_G |F(x, \omega)| dx d\sigma(\omega) \\ &\leq N(\nu) \|f\|_{L^1(G, M(\Sigma))}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

We can now prove a special case of our main transference result.

**Theorem 4.7** *Suppose that  $T$  is a sup path attaining representation of a locally compact abelian group  $G$  by isomorphisms of  $M(\Sigma)$ . Suppose that  $\nu$  is a measure in  $M(G)$  and  $N(\nu)$  is a positive number such that*

$$\|\nu * f\|_1 \leq N(\nu)\|f\|_1 \quad (35)$$

for all  $f$  in  $H^1(G)$ . Then for every weakly analytic measure  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  such that  $\text{spec}_T \mu \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\|\nu *_T \mu\| \leq N(\nu)C c^3 \|\mu\| \quad (36)$$

where  $c$  is as in (3), and  $C$  is as in (9).

**Proof.** Let  $(k_\beta)$  be an approximate identity for  $L^1(G)$  with  $\|k_\beta\|_1 = 1$ , for all  $\beta$ . By Proposition 3.11,  $k_\beta *_T \mu$  is weakly analytic and  $\text{spec}_T(k_\beta *_T \mu) \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$ . For  $t \in G$ , let

$$f(t) = k_\beta *_T T_t \mu.$$

Then  $f$  is a bounded continuous function from  $G$  into  $M(\Sigma)$  with  $\sup_{t \in G} \|f(t)\| \leq c\|\mu\|$ . Let  $g \in L^1(G)$  be as in Corollary 4.3. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g &\geq 0; \\ \hat{g}(0) &= \int_G g(t) dt = 1; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h = gf \in H^1(G, M(\Sigma)).$$

Applying Lemma 4.6 we find that

$$\|\nu * h\|_1 \leq N(\nu)\|h\|_1. \quad (37)$$

We now proceed to show that (36) is a consequence of (37). We start with the right side of (37):

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_1 &= \int_G \|h(t)\| dt \\ &= \int_G \|f(t)\| g(t) dt \\ &\leq c\|\mu\| \int_G g(t) dt = c\|\mu\|. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Manipulating the left side of (37) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu * h\|_1 &= \int_G \|\nu * h(t)\| dt \\ &= \int_G \left\| \int_G h(t-s) d\nu(s) \right\| dt \\ &= \int_G \left\| \int_G g(t-s) k_\beta *_T (T_{t-s} \mu) d\nu(s) \right\| dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c} \int_G \left\| \int_G T_{-t} [g(t-s) k_\beta *_T (T_{t-s} \mu)] d\nu(s) \right\| dt \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \int_G \left\| \int_G g(t-s) k_\beta *_T (T_{-s} \mu) d\nu(s) \right\| dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c} \int_G \int_G g(t-s) dt k_\beta *_T (T_{-s} \mu) d\nu(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{c} \widehat{g}(0) \left\| \int_G k_\beta *_T (T_{-s}\mu) d\nu(s) \right\| \\
&= \frac{1}{c} \left\| \nu *_T (k_\beta *_T \mu) \right\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Comparing (37), (38), and (39), we find that

$$\left\| \nu *_T (k_\beta *_T \mu) \right\| \leq N(\nu) c^2 \|\mu\|.$$

The proof is completed upon letting  $k_\beta$  run through the approximate identity, and using the first inequality in Proposition 2.8.

**Remark 4.8** If  $G$  is compact, we may drop the assumption on the spectrum of  $\mu$  in Theorem 4.7. This is because this assumption is only required for the construction of the function  $g$  in Lemma 4.2, which is trivial when  $G$  is compact. (Recall that when  $G$  is compact, we can take  $g = 1$ .)

Our goal in the rest of this section is to consider the case of a noncompact  $G$ , and remove the assumption on the spectrum of  $\mu$  in Theorem 4.7. Two lemmas are needed.

**Lemma 4.9** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is nondiscrete, and that  $T$  is sup path attaining.*

(i) *Let  $\chi \in \Gamma$ . Then the representation  $\chi T$  defined by  $t \mapsto \chi(t)T_t$  also is sup path attaining with same constants  $c$  and  $C$ .*

(ii) *Suppose that  $\mu$  is weakly  $T$ -analytic and  $\chi \in P$ . Then  $\mu$  is weakly  $\chi T$ -analytic.*

(iii) *Suppose that  $\mu$  is weakly  $T$ -analytic and  $\chi_0$  is any element in  $(C_{\alpha_0} \setminus D_{\alpha_0}) \cap P$ . Then  $\text{spec}_{\chi_0 T} \mu \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$  where  $\epsilon = \frac{\psi_{\alpha_0}(\chi_0)}{2}$ .*

(Note that  $\psi_{\alpha_0}(\chi_0) > 0$  since  $\chi_0 \in (C_{\alpha_0} \setminus D_{\alpha_0}) \cap P$ .)

**Proof.** Parts (i) and (ii) follow easily from definitions. To prove (iii), recall that

$$U_\epsilon = C_{\alpha_0} \cap \left\{ \chi \in \Gamma : |\psi_{\alpha_0}(\chi)| < \frac{\psi_{\alpha_0}(\chi_0)}{2} \right\}.$$

Note that  $U_\epsilon + \chi_0 \subset C_{\alpha_0} \cap P$ . We now prove that  $\text{spec}_{\chi_0 T} \mu \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$ . Let  $\gamma \in U_\epsilon$ , and let  $g \in L^1(G)$  be such that  $\widehat{g}(\gamma) = 1$  and  $\widehat{g} = 0$  on  $\Gamma \setminus U_\epsilon$ . Let  $g^*$  denote the function  $g^*(t) = g(-t)$ . Then the Fourier transform of  $\chi_0 g^*$  is supported in  $U_\epsilon + \chi_0 \subset C_{\alpha_0} \cap P$ . Hence  $\chi_0 g^*$  is in  $H^1(G)$  and since  $\mu$  is weakly  $T$ -analytic, for any  $A \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$\int_G T_t \mu(A) g^*(t) \chi_0(t) dt = \int_G (\chi_0 T)_{-t} \mu(A) g(t) dt = 0.$$

Thus,  $g *_T \mu = 0$  and so  $U_\epsilon \cap \text{spec}_{\chi_0 T} \mu = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 4.10** *Suppose that  $G$  is not compact, and let  $B$  be a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $G$ . Then, there is a sequence of characters,  $(\chi_n)$ , contained in  $(C_{\alpha_0} \setminus D_{\alpha_0}) \cap P$ , such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |1 - \overline{\chi_n}(t)| = 0,$$

for all  $t \in B$ .

**Proof.** Write  $B \subset \bigcup_j F_j$  where  $F_j$  is a nonvoid compact subset of  $G$ . The lemma will follow if we can show that for every  $j$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |1 - \overline{\chi_n}(t)| = 0$ , for all  $t \in F_j$ . Let  $(\epsilon_j)$  be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to zero, and let

$$P(F_j, \epsilon_j) = \{\chi \in \Gamma : |1 - \chi(t)| < \epsilon_j, \text{ for all } t \in F_j\}.$$

Clearly  $P(F_j, \epsilon_j)$  is non-void since it contains 1, and by definition of the topology of  $\Gamma$  ([15, Theorem (23.15)]), the sets  $P(F_j, \epsilon_j)$  are open. Recall that the subgroup  $C_{\alpha_0}$  is open while the set  $D_{\alpha_0}$  has void interior. A simple argument shows that  $V_j = P(F_j, \epsilon_j) \cap (C_{\alpha_0} \setminus D_{\alpha_0}) \cap P$  is nonvoid. Pick  $\chi_j \in V_j$ . Clearly,  $V_{j+1} \subset V_j$ , and for all  $t \in F_j$ , and all  $n \geq j$ , we have  $|\chi_n(t) - 1| < \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n$  tends to infinity, which completes the proof.

We are now ready to prove the main transference Theorem 1.4.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** From Remark 4.8, we only need to treat the case of a noncompact group  $G$ . Let  $B = \text{supp } \nu$ , and let  $(\chi_n)$  be as in Lemma 4.10. By Lemma 4.9 (ii) and (iii),  $\mu$  is weakly  $\chi_n T$ -analytic, and its  $\chi_n T$ -spectrum is disjoint from  $U_\epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Theorem 4.7, we have that

$$\|\nu *_{\chi_n T} \mu\| \leq N(\nu) c^3 C \|\mu\|. \quad (40)$$

We will show that for any  $A \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu *_{\chi_n T} \mu(A) = \nu *_{T} \mu(A), \quad (41)$$

and then the desired inequality (11) will follow from (40) and (41). We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu *_{\chi_n T} \mu(A) - \nu *_{T} \mu(A)| &= \left| \int_G (T_{-t} \mu(A) - \overline{\chi_n}(t) T_{-t} \mu(A)) d\nu(t) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_G (1 - \overline{\chi_n}(t)) T_{-t} \mu(A) d\nu(t) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and (41) follows from Lemma 4.10 and Dominated Convergence.

## 5 Littlewood-Paley theory in $H^1(\mathbf{R})$

In this section, we recall some results about bounded multipliers of  $H^1(\mathbf{R})$  that arise from Littlewood-Paley theory. For  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , let  $m_n$  be the integrable function on  $\mathbf{R}$  whose Fourier transform is a piecewise linear function described by the tent:

$$\widehat{m_n}(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \notin [2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]; \\ 1 & \text{if } s = 2^n. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{m_n}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s > 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } s \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

Recall the Fejér kernels  $\{k_a\}_{a>0}$ , where

$$\widehat{k}_a(s) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|s|}{a} & \text{if } |s| < a; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

By Fourier inversion, we see that

$$m_n(x) = \exp(i2^n x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \exp(i3 \cdot 2^{n-1} x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x). \quad (45)$$

**Theorem 5.1** (i) *Let  $f$  be any function in  $H^1(\mathbf{R})$ , and let  $M$  and  $N$  be arbitrary positive integers. Then there is a positive constant  $a$ , independent of  $f$ ,  $M$ , and  $N$  such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=-M}^N \epsilon_n m_n * f \right\|_1 \leq a \|f\|_1 \quad (46)$$

for any choice of  $\epsilon_n = -1$  or  $1$ . (ii) *Moreover, for all  $f \in H^1(\mathbf{R})$ ,*

$$\lim_{M, N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-M}^N m_n * f = f$$

unconditionally in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ .

**Proof.** The proof of (ii) is immediate from (i) and (43), by Fourier inversion. For part (i), use (45), to write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-M}^N \epsilon_n m_n &= \sum_{n=-M}^N \epsilon_n \exp(i2^n x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \exp(i3 \cdot 2^{n-1} x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=-M}^{-1} \epsilon_n \exp(i2^n x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x) + \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon_n \exp(i2^n x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-M}^{-1} \epsilon_n \exp(i3 \cdot 2^{n-1} x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon_n \exp(i3 \cdot 2^{n-1} x)k_{2^{n-1}}(x) \\ &= K_1(x) + K_2(x) + K_3(x) + K_4(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to prove (46) it is enough to show that there is a positive constant  $a$ , independent of  $f$  such that  $\|K_j * f\|_1 \leq a \|f\|_1$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Appealing to [20, Theorem 3, p. 114], we will be done once we establish that:

$$\left| \widehat{K}_j \right| \leq A; \quad (47)$$

$$\|K_j * f\|_2 \leq B \|f\|_2; \quad (48)$$

and the Hörmander condition

$$\sup_{y>0} \int_{|x|>y} |K_j(x-y) - K_j(x)| dx \leq C, \quad (49)$$

where  $A, B$ , and  $C$  are absolute constants. Inequalities (47) and (48) hold with  $A = 1$  and  $B = 1$ , since the Fourier transforms of the summands defining the kernels  $K_j$  have disjoint supports and are bounded by 1. Condition (49), is well-known. For a proof, see [11, pp. 138-140, and 7.2.2, p. 142].

In the remainder of the section, we describe a sequence of functions, related to the  $m_n$ 's which will serve as a summability kernel for  $H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  functions. For notational convenience, let

$$\kappa_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N m_n(x) \quad (50)$$

for  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $h(x)$  be the function in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  whose Fourier transform is a piecewise linear function, such that

$$\widehat{h}(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \notin [-1, 1], \\ 1 & \text{if } |s| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\widehat{h}(s) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{m}_n(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \leq -1, \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

and that the left side of (52) is continuous and piecewise linear.

**Theorem 5.2** (i) Let  $h$  be as in (51),  $f$  be any function in  $H^1(\mathbf{R})$ , and let  $N$  be any nonnegative integer. Then there is a positive constant  $a$ , independent of  $f$  and  $N$ , such that

$$\|h * f + \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon_n m_n * f\| \leq a \|f\|_1 \quad (53)$$

for any choice of  $\epsilon_n = -1$  or  $1$ . (ii) For all  $f \in H^1(\mathbf{R})$ , we have

$$h * f + \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N m_n * f = f$$

unconditionally in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ .

(iii) For  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ , we have

$$h * f + \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^N m_n * f = f \quad (54)$$

almost everywhere on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**Proof.** Parts (i) and (ii) follow as in Theorem 5.1, so we only prove (iii). Let  $V_{2^N}$  denote the de la Vallée Poussin kernels on  $\mathbf{R}$  of order  $2^N$ . Its Fourier transform is continuous, piecewise linear, and satisfies

$$\widehat{V}_{2^N}(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \notin [-2^{n+1}, 2^{n+1}]; \\ 1 & \text{if } |s| \leq 2^n. \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

It is well-known that  $V_n$  is a summability kernel for  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  and, in particular, that  $V_{2^N} * f$  converges pointwise almost everywhere to  $f$  for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Thus, we will be done, if we can show that for  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ ,

$$V_{2^N} * f = h * f + \sum_{n=0}^N m_n * f. \quad (56)$$

Write  $V_{2^N} = (h + \kappa_N) + (V_{2^N} - (h + \kappa_N))$ , where  $\kappa_N$  is as in (50). For  $N \geq 1$ , the Fourier transform of  $(V_{2^N} - (h + \kappa_N))$ , vanishes on  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ . Thus, for  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ , we have  $(V_{2^N} - (h + \kappa_N)) * f = 0$ , and so (56) follows, and the proof is complete.

## 6 Analyticity and sup path attaining representations of $\mathbf{R}$

Throughout this section, we consider a uniformly bounded one-parameter group of isomorphisms  $T$  acting on a space of measures  $M(\Sigma)$ . We further assume that  $T$  is sup path attaining. We will show how to obtain the main result of Forelli [12] by simply transferring inequalities from Littlewood-Paley theory. Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 6.1** *Let  $T$  be a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  in  $M(\Sigma)$  that is sup path attaining, and let  $h$  and  $m_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  be as in Theorem 5.2. Then for every weakly analytic measure  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  we have*

$$\mu = h *_T \mu + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n *_T \mu, \quad (57)$$

where the series converges unconditionally in  $M(\Sigma)$ .

Toward proving this theorem, let us start with a straightforward transference application which is of independent interest.

**Theorem 6.2** *Let  $T$  be a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  in  $M(\Sigma)$  that is sup path attaining, and let  $h$  and  $m_n$  be as in Theorem 5.2. Suppose that  $\mu$  is weakly analytic. Then,*

$$\|h *_T \mu + \sum_{n=0}^N \epsilon_n m_n *_T \mu\| \leq ac^3 C \|\mu\| \quad (58)$$

for any choice of  $\epsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ , where  $a$  is as in Theorem 5.2, and the constants  $c$  and  $C$  are as in Theorem 1.4.

**Proof.** Combine Theorems 1.4 and 5.2.

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** By Theorem 6.2, the partial sums of the series  $h *_T \mu + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n *_T \mu$  are unconditionally bounded. The Bessaga-Pełczyński Theorem from [5] tells us that for any Banach space, unconditionally bounded series are unconditionally convergent if and only if the Banach space does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $c_0$ . Since the space of measures  $M(\Sigma)$  does not contain  $c_0$ , we infer that there is a measure  $\eta \in M(\Sigma)$  such that

$$\eta = h *_T \mu + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n *_T \mu \quad (59)$$

unconditionally in  $M(\Sigma)$ . (To see that  $M(\Sigma)$  does not contain  $c_0$ , recall that  $M(\Sigma)$  is weakly complete, while  $c_0$  is not. See [10, Chap IV.9, Theorem 3, and IV.13.9].) It remains to show that  $\eta = \mu$ . By Proposition 2.4, we may reduce the problem to showing that for every  $A \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$T_t \mu(A) = T_t \eta(A) \quad (60)$$

for almost every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Since  $\mu$  is weakly analytic, the function  $t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)$  is in  $H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ . By Theorem 5.2 (iii), we have

$$T_t\mu(A) = h * T_t\mu(A) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n * T_t\mu(A) \quad (61)$$

for almost every  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . On the other hand, from the unconditional convergence of the series in (59), (3), and (6), it follows that

$$T_t\eta(A) = h * T_t\eta(A) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n * T_t\eta(A) \quad (62)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Comparing (61) and (62), we see that (60) holds, completing the proof of the theorem.

**Remarks 6.3** It is interesting to note that Theorem 6.1 implies the classical of F. and M. Riesz theorem for measures defined on the real line. To see this, consider a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  acting by translation on the Banach space of complex regular Borel measures on  $\mathbf{R}$ . It is easy to see that a regular Borel measure is analytic if and only if its Fourier-Stieltjes transform is supported in  $[0, \infty)$ . In this case, each term in (57) is in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ , being the convolution of an  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  function with a regular Borel measure. Thus, the unconditional convergence of the series in (57) implies that the measure  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous.

This argument provides a new proof of the F. and M. Riesz Theorem, based on Littlewood-Paley theory and the result of Bessaga-Pełczyński [5].

With Theorem 6.1 in hand, we can derive with ease several fundamental properties of analytic measures that were obtained previously by de Leeuw-Glicksberg [9], and Forelli [12]. We note however, that the techniques in [9] and [12] do not apply in our more general settings.

**Theorem 6.4** *Let  $T$  be a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  in  $M(\Sigma)$  that is sup path attaining, and let  $\mu$  be a weakly analytic measure in  $M(\Sigma)$ . Then the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t\mu$  is continuous from  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $M(\Sigma)$ .*

**Proof.** Using the uniform continuity of translation in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ , it is a simple matter to show that for any function  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ , and any weakly measurable  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$ , the mapping  $t \mapsto f *_T T_t\mu$  is continuous. Now use Theorem 6.1 to complete the proof.

Theorem 6.4 is very specific to representations of  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{T}$ , in the sense that no similar result holds on more general groups. To see this, consider the group  $G = \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T}$  with a lexicographic order on the dual group  $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\mu_0$  denote the normalized Haar measure on the subgroup  $\{(x, y) : y = 0\}$ , and consider the measure  $e^{-ix}\mu_0$ . Its spectrum is supported on the coset  $\{(m, 1) : m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  and thus it is analytic with respect to the regular action of  $G$  by translation in  $M(G)$ . Clearly, the measure  $e^{-ix}\mu_0$  does not translate continuously, and so a straightforward analog of Theorem 6.4 fails in this setting.

For further extensions of the F. and M. Riesz Theorem, we introduce an additional notion. Let  $\delta \in M(\Sigma)^*$ , the Banach dual of  $M(\Sigma)$ , and suppose that  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  is weakly analytic. Then, since the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t\mu$  is continuous, it follows readily that the mapping  $t \mapsto \delta(T_t\mu)$  is bounded and continuous, and in particular it is measurable.

**Definition 6.5** *Let  $T$  be a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  satisfying (3), and (6). A weakly measurable  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  is called strongly analytic if for every  $\delta \in M(\Sigma)^*$  the mapping  $t \mapsto \delta(T_t\mu)$  is in  $H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ .*

By taking  $\delta(\mu) = \int_A d\mu$ , it follows readily that every strongly analytic measure is weakly analytic. As our next example shows, the converse is not true in general. We will show that the converse holds if the representation is sup path attaining.

**Example 6.6** Consider Example 2.5 (a) and (b) with  $\alpha < 0$ . Since, for every  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $T_t^\alpha(\nu - \delta_0)(A) = 0$  for almost all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , it follows that the measure  $(\nu - \delta_0)$  is weakly analytic with respect to the representations  $T^\alpha$ , for any  $\alpha < 0$ . We now show that this measure is not strongly analytic. For  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$ , let  $\mu_s$  and  $\mu_a$  denote the singular part, respectively, the absolutely continuous part, in the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\mu$  with respect to  $\nu$ . Define  $\Delta \in M(\Sigma)^*$  by  $\Delta(\mu) = \mu_s(\mathbf{R})$ . We have  $\Delta(T_t^\alpha(\nu - \delta_0)) = e^{i\alpha t}\delta_t(\mathbf{R}) = e^{i\alpha t}$ , which is not in  $H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ , since  $\alpha < 0$ .

**Theorem 6.7** *Suppose that  $t \mapsto T_t$  is a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  that is sup path attaining. Suppose that  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  is weakly analytic. Then  $\mu$  is strongly analytic.*

**Proof.** We need to check that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \delta(T_t\mu)g(t)dt = 0$$

for all  $f \in H^1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\delta \in M(\Sigma)^*$ . By Theorem 6.4, the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t(\mu)g(t)$  is Bochner integrable. Using a property of the Bochner integral, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \delta(T_t\mu)g(t)dt = \delta\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} T_t\mu g(t)dt.\right) \quad (63)$$

Since  $\mu$  is weakly analytic, the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t\mu(A)$  is in  $H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  for every  $A \in \Sigma$ , and hence the integral  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} T_t\mu(A)g(t)dt = 0$  for every  $A \in \Sigma$ . Thus, the measure defined by the Bochner integral on the right side of (63) is the zero measure, and the corollary follows.

The following application concerns bounded operators  $\mathcal{P}$  from  $M(\Sigma)$  into  $M(\Sigma)$  that commute with  $T$  in the following sense:

$$\mathcal{P} \circ T_t = T_t \circ \mathcal{P}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Note that such operators map weakly measurable elements in  $M(\Sigma)$  to the same. This nontrivial fact is a simple consequence of Theorem 6.4.

**Theorem 6.8** *Suppose that  $T$  is a representation of  $\mathbf{R}$  that is sup path attaining, and that  $\mathcal{P}$  commutes with  $T$ . Let  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  be weakly analytic. Then  $\mathcal{P}\mu$  is also weakly analytic.*

**Proof.** Let  $A \in \Sigma$ . Consider the bounded linear functional  $\delta_A$  in  $M(\Sigma)^*$  defined by

$$\delta_A\mu = \mathcal{P}(\mu)(A).$$

We have

$$T_t(\mathcal{P}(\mu))(A) = (\mathcal{P}(T_t\mu))(A) = \delta_A(T_t\mu).$$

From Theorem 6.7, it follows that the mapping  $t \mapsto T_t(\mathcal{P}(\mu))(A)$  is in  $H^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  and the theorem follows.

The last application of this section generalizes a result of de Leeuw-Glicksberg [9] and Forelli [12]. In this application, it is necessary to restrict to representations given by mappings of the sigma algebra.

**Definition 6.9** Let  $T$  be a representation of  $M(\Sigma)$  given by mappings of  $\Sigma$ . A weakly measurable  $\sigma$  in  $M(\Sigma)$  is called quasi-invariant if  $T_t\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  are mutually absolutely continuous for all  $t \in G$ . Hence if  $\sigma$  is quasi-invariant and  $A \in \Sigma$ , then  $|\sigma|(A) = 0$  if and only if  $|T_t(\sigma)|(A) = 0$  for all  $t \in G$ .

**Theorem 6.10** In the notation of Definition 6.9, suppose that  $\mu \in M(\Sigma)$  is weakly analytic, and  $\sigma$  is a quasi-invariant measure. Write  $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_s$  for the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\mu$  with respect to  $\sigma$ . Then both  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_s$  are weakly analytic. In particular, the spectra of  $\mu_a$  and  $\mu_s$  are contained in  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mu) = \mu_s$ . Since  $\sigma$  is quasi-invariant, the operator  $\mathcal{P}$  commutes with  $T$ . Now apply Theorem 6.8.

**Acknowledgements** The work of the authors was supported by separate grants from the National Science Foundation (U.S.A.).

## References

- [1] N. Asmar and S. Montgomery-Smith, *Hahn's Embedding Theorem for orders and harmonic analysis on groups with ordered dual groups*, Colloq. Math. **70**, (1996), 235–252.
- [2] N. Asmar and S. Montgomery-Smith, *Analytic measures and Bochner measurability*, to appear in Bull. Science Math.
- [3] N. Asmar and S. Montgomery-Smith, *A transference theorem for ergodic  $H^1$* , to appear in Quart. J. Math. (Oxford).
- [4] N. Asmar and S. Montgomery-Smith, *Decomposition of analytic measures on groups and measure spaces*, preprint.
- [5] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **17** (1958), 151–164.
- [6] S. Bochner, *Boundary values of analytic functions in several variables and almost periodic functions*, Ann. of Math., **45** (1944), 708–722.
- [7] A. Calderón, *Ergodic theory and translation-invariant operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. , **157** (1971), 137–153.
- [8] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, “Transference methods in analysis”, Regional Conference Series in Math. **31**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. 1977.
- [9] K. de Leeuw and I. Glicksberg, *Quasi-invariance and analyticity of measures on compact groups*, Acta Math., **109** (1963), 179–205.
- [10] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, “Linear Operators”, Part I, Interscience Publishers, New York 1958.

- [11] R. E. Edwards, and G. I. Gaudry, “Littlewood-Paley and Multiplier Theory”, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Springer-Verlag, No. 90, Berlin, 1977.
- [12] F. Forelli, *Analytic and quasi-invariant measures*, *Acta Math.*, **118** (1967), 33–59.
- [13] H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables*, *Acta Math.* **99** (1958), 165–202.
- [14] H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Prediction theory and Fourier series in several variables II*, *Acta Math.* **106** (1961), 175–212.
- [15] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, “Abstract Harmonic Analysis I,” 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, *Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften*, Band 115, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1979.
- [16] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, “Abstract Harmonic Analysis II,” *Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen*, Band 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [17] S. Kakutani, *Concrete representation of abstract  $(L)$ -spaces and the mean ergodic theorem*, *Ann. of Math.*, **42** (1941), 523–537.
- [18] S. Kakutani, *Concrete representation of abstract  $(M)$ -spaces (A characterization of the space of continuous functions)*, *Ann. of Math.*, **42** (1941), 994–1024.
- [19] W. Rudin, “Fourier Analysis on Groups,” *Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No. 12, John Wiley, New York, 1962.
- [20] E. Stein, “Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals,” *Princeton Univ. Press*, Princeton, N. J., 1993.
- [21] K. Yosida, “Functional Analysis,” 6th Edition, *Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen*, Band 123, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.