

# HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON THE TWISTED FINITE POINCARÉ UPPER HALF-PLANE

JORGE SOTO-ANDRADE AND JORGE VARGAS

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that the induced representation from a non trivial character of the Coxeter torus of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$ , for a finite field  $F$ , is multiplicity-free; we give an explicit description of the corresponding (twisted) spherical functions and a version of the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F$  be a finite field, with  $q$  elements, and  $E$  be its unique quadratic extension. Put  $G = \mathrm{GL}(2, F)$  and denote by  $K$  the Coxeter torus of  $G$ , realized as the subgroup of all matrices  $m_z (z \in E^\times)$  of the maps  $w \mapsto zw (w \in E)$  with respect to a fixed  $F$ -basis of  $E$ . Recall that the finite homogeneous space  $\mathcal{H} = G/K$  may be looked upon as the finite analogue of (the double cover of) the classical Poincaré Upper Half Plane (see [4]). Harmonic analysis on  $\mathcal{H}$  amounts to decompose the induced representation  $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \mathbf{1}$  from the unit character  $\mathbf{1}$  of  $K$  to  $G$ . We are interested here in the “twisted” version of this, i.e., the decomposition of the induced representation  $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \Phi$  from a non (necessarily) trivial character  $\Phi$  of  $K$  to  $G$ . The real analogue of this case has been considered in [1]. We prove that this representation is multiplicity-free, taking advantage of the fact that this is so for  $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \mathbf{1}$  (see [4]) and reducing the computation of the multiplicities in  $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \Phi$  to the ones in  $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \mathbf{1}$ . We also give an explicit description of the corresponding (twisted) spherical functions. Finally, we give a version of the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

## 2. THE MULTIPLICITY ONE THEOREM FOR $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \Phi$ .

**2.1. The case  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}$ .** We consider first the special case  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}$  in which the multiplicity one theorem follows from a geometric argument. In fact, we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_K^G \mathbf{1} \simeq (L^2(\mathcal{H}), \tau),$$

where  $L^2(\mathcal{H})$  stands for the space of all complex functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  endowed with the usual canonical scalar product, and  $\tau$  denotes the natural representation of  $G$  in

---

Soto-Andrade was partially supported by FONDECYT Grants 92-1041 and 1940590, DTI – U. Chile, ICTP, ECOS-France and NSF Grant DMS-9022140 at MSRI. Vargas was partially supported by CONICET, CONICOR, SecytUNC, ICTP and TWAS.

$L^2(\mathcal{H})$ , defined by  $(\tau_g f)(z) = f(g^{-1}.z)$ , where  $z \mapsto g.z$  is the homographic action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , given by

$$g.z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G, z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**Definition 1.** For all  $z, w \in \mathcal{H}$ , we put

$$D(z, w) = \frac{N(z - w)}{N(z - \bar{w})}$$

with the convention that  $D(z, w) = \infty$  if  $w = \bar{z}$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $D$  is an orbit classifying invariant function for the homographic action of  $G$  in  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ .

**Corollary 1.** The commuting algebra of  $(L^2(\mathcal{H}), \tau)$  is commutative.

This follows from the fact that, the classifying invariant  $D$  being symmetric, the  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  are also symmetric.  $\square$

**2.2. The case of general  $\Phi$ .** Let's denote by  $\phi$  the restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $F^\times$ . We will prove that every twisting of an irreducible representation  $\pi_\theta^d$  of  $G$  (where the superscript  $d$  denotes the dimension of  $\pi$  and  $\theta$  its character parameter) by the character  $(\Phi + \Phi^q)$  is isomorphic to a representation of the form  $\pi_{\theta'}^{d'} + \pi_{\theta''}^{d''}$ , when restricted to  $K$ . In fact we will work with the characters  $\chi_\theta^d$  of the irreducible representations  $\pi_\theta^d$  of  $G$ , for which we keep the notations of [5] or [2].

**Lemma 1.** On  $K$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi + \Phi^q)\chi_{\alpha, \alpha}^q &= \chi_{\Phi(\alpha \circ N)}^{q-1} + \chi_{\phi\alpha, \alpha}^{q+1}, \\ (\Phi + \Phi^q)\chi_{\alpha, \alpha}^1 &= \chi_{\phi\alpha, \alpha}^{q+1} - \chi_{\Phi(\alpha \circ N)}^{q-1}, \\ (\Phi + \Phi^q)\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{q+1} &= \chi_{\phi\alpha, \beta}^{q+1} + \chi_{\alpha, \phi\beta}^{q+1}, \\ (\Phi + \Phi^q)\chi_{\Lambda}^{q-1} &= \chi_{\Phi\Lambda}^{q-1} + \chi_{\Phi^q\Lambda}^{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Now for a character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , we have  $\chi \circ \text{Frob} = \chi$  on  $K$ , as it follows from the character table. Therefore  $\sum_K \bar{\Phi}(k^q)\chi(k) = \sum_K \bar{\Phi}(k)\chi(k)$  because Frob is an involutive automorphism.

Hence, the multiplicity of  $\pi$  in  $\text{Ind}_K^G \Phi$  equals  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_K (\bar{\Phi} + \bar{\Phi}^q)(k)\pi(k)$  and so it is just the average of the multiplicities in  $\text{Ind}_K^G \mathbf{1}$  of two representations of  $G$  (one of which may be virtual!)

**Remark 1.** Put  $\pi_{\alpha, \alpha}^{q+1} = \pi_\alpha^q + \pi_\alpha^1$  and  $\pi_{\alpha \circ N}^{q-1} = \pi_\alpha^q - \pi_\alpha^1$  for every  $\alpha \in (F^\times)^\wedge$ . It is easy to check that in the degenerate cases  $\alpha = \beta$  (for  $\pi = \pi_{\alpha, \beta}^{q+1}$ ) and  $\Lambda = \Lambda^q$  (for  $\pi = \pi_{\Lambda}^{q-1}$ ) we find for the multiplicities  $m_1(\pi)$

$$m_1(\pi_{\alpha, \alpha}^{q+1}) = 1 \quad (\alpha \in (F^\times)^\wedge) \quad (1)$$

and

$$m_1(\pi_{\alpha \circ N}^{q-1}) = -\delta_{\alpha,1} \quad (\alpha \in (F^\times)^\wedge) \quad (2)$$

Using the fact that the multiplicities of the irreducible representations of  $G$  in  $\text{Ind}_K^G \mathbf{1}$  are at most one and also equations (1) and (2), we get that the multiplicities are also at most one in the more general case of  $\text{Ind}_K^G \Phi$ .  $\square$

**2.3. The multiplicities  $m_{\Theta,d}(\Phi)$  of  $\pi_\Theta^d$  in  $\text{Ind}_K^G \Phi$  for general  $\Phi \in (E^\times)^\wedge$ .** In Table 1 below,  $\pi_\Theta^d$  denotes an irreducible representation of  $G$ , of dimension  $d$  and parameter  $\Theta$ . Then  $d \in \{1, q, q+1, q-1\}$  and  $\Theta$  is of the form  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ , with  $\alpha, \beta \in (F^\times)^\wedge$  or  $\{\Lambda, \Lambda^q\}$  with  $\Lambda \in (E^\times)^\wedge$

TABLE 1. The multiplicities  $m_{\Theta,d}(\Phi)$

$\pi_\Theta^d$	$m_{\Theta,d}(\Phi)$
$\pi_{\alpha,\alpha}^1$	$\delta_{\alpha^2,\phi}$
$\pi_{\alpha,\alpha}^q$	$\delta_{\alpha^2,\phi} - \delta_{\alpha \circ N,\Phi}$
$\pi_{\alpha,\beta}^{q+1}$	$\delta_{\alpha\beta,\phi}$
$\pi_{\Lambda,\Lambda^q}^{q-1}$	$\delta_{\lambda,\phi} - \delta_{\Lambda,\Phi} - \delta_{\Lambda^q,\Phi}$

NOTATIONS. Here  $\alpha, \beta \in (F^\times)^\wedge$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $\Phi, \Lambda \in (E^\times)^\wedge$  with  $\Lambda \neq \Lambda^q$ , and  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\phi$ ) denotes the restriction of the character  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Phi$ ) to  $(F^\times)^\wedge$ .

### 3. THE TWISTED SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

**3.1. The averaging construction.** In this section  $G$  denotes an arbitrary finite group,  $K$  a subgroup of  $G$  and  $\Phi$  a one dimensional representation of  $K$ . We notice that the spherical functions for the representation  $\text{Ind}_K^G \Phi$  are obtained as weighted averages of the characters of  $G$ . More precisely:

**Definition 2.** Let  $L^1(G)$  be the group algebra of  $G$ , realized as the convolution algebra of all complex functions of  $G$  and let  $L_\Phi^1(G, K)$  be the convolution algebra of all complex functions  $f$  on  $G$  such that

$$f(kgk') = \Phi(k)f(g)\Phi(k')$$

for all  $g \in G, k, k' \in K$ . For any  $f \in L^1(G)$  put

$$(P_\Phi f)(g) = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{k \in K} \Phi^{-1}(k)f(kg)$$

for all  $g \in G$ .

Notice that the operator  $P_\Phi$  is just convolution with the idempotent function  $\varepsilon_K^\Phi \in L^1G$  which coincides with  $|K|^{-1}\Phi$  on  $K$  and vanishes elsewhere. Moreover  $L_\Phi^1(G, K)$  may be written as  $\varepsilon_K^\Phi * L^1G * \varepsilon_K^\Phi$  and its elements  $f$  are characterized by the properties

$$\varepsilon_K^\Phi * f = f = f * \varepsilon_K^\Phi$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\chi$  be the character of an irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ . Then  $P_\Phi(\chi)(e) \neq 0$  iff  $\pi$  appears in  $\text{Ind}_K^G \Phi$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 3.**  *$P_\Phi(\chi)$  is a non-zero function iff it doesn't vanish for  $g = e$ .  $\square$*

**Proposition 2.** *The mapping  $P_\Phi$  is an algebra epimorphism from the center  $Z(L^1G)$  of the convolution algebra  $L^1G$  onto the center  $Z(L_\Phi^1(G, K))$  of the convolution algebra  $L_\Phi^1(G, K)$ .*

*Proof:* We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Phi(f_1 * f_2) &= \varepsilon_K^\Phi * (f_1 * f_2) &= (f_1 * \varepsilon_K^\Phi) * f_2 \\ &= (f_1 * \varepsilon_K^\Phi * \varepsilon_K^\Phi) * f_2 &= (\varepsilon_K^\Phi * f_1) * (\varepsilon_K^\Phi * f_2) \\ &= P_\Phi f_1 * P_\Phi f_2. \end{aligned}$$

since  $f_1$  is central and  $\varepsilon_K^\Phi$  is idempotent. Moreover the dimension  $d$  of the image of  $Z(L^1G)$  under  $P_\Phi$  is the number of irreducible characters  $\chi$  of  $G$  such that  $P_\Phi(\chi) \neq 0$ ; but  $P_\Phi(\chi) \neq 0$  iff  $(P_\Phi \chi)(e) \neq 0$  and, the number  $(P_\Phi(\chi))(e)$  being the multiplicity in  $\text{Ind}_K^G \Phi$  of the representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  whose character is  $\chi$ , we see that  $d$  is just the number of irreducible representations  $\pi$  of  $G$  appearing in  $\text{Ind}_K^G \Phi$ , i. e. the dimension of the center of  $L_\Phi^1(G, K)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *The nonzero functions that satisfy the functional equation*

$$h(x)h(y) = \int_K \bar{\Phi}(k)h(xky) dk$$

*linearly span the center of the algebra  $L_\Phi^1(G, K)$ .*

*Proof:* The functions  $h$  that satisfy the above functional equation are exactly the complex multiples of the functions  $P_\Phi(\chi)$ ; for a proof (see [6]). Therefore the corollary follows.  $\square$

**3.2. Explicit formulae for the twisted spherical functions.** Define

$$S_\Lambda^\Phi(a) = -(q^2 - 1)^{-1} \sum_{(z,w) \in \Gamma_a} \Phi^{-1}(z)\Lambda(w)$$

for  $\Lambda \in (E^\times)^\wedge$  and  $a \in F^\times$ , where  $\Gamma_a$  denotes the set of all  $(z, w) \in E^\times \times E^\times$  such that  $N(w) = aN(z)$  and  $\text{Tr}(w) = 2(a + 1)^{-1}\text{Tr}(z)$ .

Then the spherical function  $\zeta_\Lambda^\Phi$  of  $G$  associated to the cuspidal character  $\chi_\Lambda^{q-1}$  of  $G$  is given on the representatives  $d(a, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  ( $a \in F^\times$ ) for the  $K$  - double cosets in  $G$ , by

$$\zeta_\Lambda^\Phi(d(a, 1)) = S_\Lambda^\Phi(a) + q(q+1)^{-1}\delta_{a,1}\delta_{\lambda,\phi},$$

where  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\phi$ ) denotes the restriction of the character  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Phi$ ) of  $E^\times$  to  $F^\times$ .

Notice that  $a = 1$  corresponds to the origin in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $a = -1$  corresponds to the antipode of the origin in  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is not difficult to check that these formulae for the spherical functions are equivalent to the ones given in [4] for the case  $\Phi = 1$ .

**3.3. A new form for the cuspidal spherical functions for  $\Phi = 1$  ( $\text{char } F \neq 2$ ).** For  $a \neq 1$ , one has the following new expression for the spherical functions estimated in [3]

$$\zeta_\Lambda^\Phi(a) = (q+1)^{-1} \sum_{u \in U} \varepsilon(\text{Tr}(u) - (a + a^{-1}))(\varepsilon\omega)(u),$$

for  $a \neq 1$ , where  $\varepsilon$  denotes the sign character of  $F^\times$ .

#### 4. HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

For this section,  $G$  denotes an arbitrary finite group,  $K$  any subgroup of  $G$  and  $\Phi$  any linear character of  $K$ .

Let  $\hat{G}^\Phi$  be set of all the equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $G$  that contain the character  $\Phi$  when restricted to  $K$ . For each equivalence class we choose, once and for all, a representative  $(\pi, V_\pi)$ . As usual, for each  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$ , the Fourier Transform  $\mathcal{F}(f)$ , valued in the class  $(\pi, V_\pi)$ , is the linear operator  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $V_\pi$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\pi) := \pi(f) := \frac{1}{|G|} \int_G f(g)\pi(g^{-1})dg := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\pi(g^{-1}).$$

We recall the statement of the Plancherel theorem for a function  $f \in L_\Phi^1(G, K)$

$$f(g) = \frac{1}{G} \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}^\Phi} d_\pi \text{trace}(\pi(f)\pi(g));$$

here  $g \in G$  arbitrary and  $d_\pi := \dim V_\pi$ .

For any complex valued function  $f$  on  $G$ , let  $|\text{supp}(f)|$  denote the number of elements of the support of  $f$ . That is, the number of points of  $G$  where  $f$  takes nonzero values.

**Proposition 3 (Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle).** *For any nonzero function  $f \in L_\Phi^1(G, K)$  we have*

$$|\text{supp}(f)| \left( \sum_{\pi \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(f))} d_\pi \right) \geq |G|.$$

Here  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(f))$  is the subset of  $\hat{G}^\Phi$  where  $\mathcal{F}(f)$  does not vanish.

*Proof:* For any function  $f$  on  $G$  we recall that

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^2; \quad \|f\|_\infty = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|; \quad \|f\|_2^2 \leq \|f\|_\infty^2 |\text{supp}(f)| \quad (*)$$

From now on, we fix a  $G$ -invariant inner product on  $V_\pi$ . Then  $T^*$  denotes the adjoint of a linear operator  $T$  on  $V_\pi$  with respect to this inner product. Also  $\|T\|$  denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm on  $\text{End } V_\pi$  defined by  $\text{trace}(TS^*)$ , for  $S, T \in \text{End } V_\pi$ .

Since  $f \in L_\Phi^1(G, K)$ , as we pointed out before, the Plancherel Theorem says that we have that  $\text{supp}(f)$  is contained in  $\hat{G}^\Phi$  and that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{G} \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}^\Phi} d_\pi \text{trace}(\pi(f)\pi(x)).$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product says that the first of the two following inequalities is true,

$$\text{trace}(\pi(f)\pi(x)) \leq \|\pi(f)\| \|\pi(x)\| \leq \|\pi(f)\|,$$

the second inequality follows from the fact that  $\|T\| = 1$  for a unitary operator.

Putting together the last two statements we get

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{G} \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}^\Phi} d_\pi \|\mathcal{F}(f)(\pi)\|$$

The classical Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that  $d_\pi = d_\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} d_\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}$  imply that

$$\|f\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}^\Phi} d_\pi \|\mathcal{F}(f)(\pi)\|^2 \sum_{\pi \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(f))} d_\pi.$$

Now the  $L^2$ -version of Plancherel Theorem says that

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}^\Phi} d_\pi \|\mathcal{F}(f)(\pi)\|^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\|f\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{1}{|G|} \|f\|_2^2 \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}^\Phi} d_\pi.$$

Since  $f$  is nonzero, we apply (\*) to the above inequality and get the desired result.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Galina, E. and Vargas, J., Eigenvalues and eigenspaces for the twisted Dirac operator over  $SU(n, 1)$  and  $Spin(2n, 1)$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **345** (1994), 97-113.
- [2] Helversen-Pasotto, A., Représentation de Gelfand-Graev et identités de Barnes, Enseign. Math. **32** (1986), 57-77.
- [3] Katz, N., Estimates for Soto-Andrade sums, J. reine angew. Math. **438** (1993), 143-161.
- [4] Soto-Andrade, J., Geometrical Gel'fand Models, tensor quotients and Weil representations, Proc. Symp. Pure Maths., **47**, AMS, Providence, 1987, 305-316.

- [5] Soto-Andrade, J., Répresentations de certains groupes symplectiques, Mém. **55-56**, Soc. Math. France, 1975.
- [6] Varadarajan, Spherical functions, Springer, Berlin.

JORGE SOTO-ANDRADE, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE, CASILLA 653, SANTIAGO, CHILE

*E-mail address:* `sotoandr@mate.uncor.edu`, `sotoandr@abello.dic.uchile.cl`

JORGE VARGAS, FAMAF, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA

*E-mail address:* `vargas@mate.uncor.edu`