

Vector-valued Lagrange interpolation and mean convergence of Hermite series

Hermann König (Kiel)¹

Abstract:

Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We prove interpolation inequalities of Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type for X -valued polynomials g of degree $\leq n$ on \mathbb{R} ,

$$c_p \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i \|g(t_i) e^{-t_i^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|g(t) e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq d_p \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i \|g(t_i) e^{-t_i^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} ,$$

where $(t_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ are the zeros of the Hermite polynomial H_{n+1} and $(\mu_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ are suitable weights. The validity of the right inequality requires $1 < p < 4$ and X being a UMD-space. This implies a mean convergence theorem for the Lagrange interpolation polynomials of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} taken at the zeros of the Hermite polynomials. In the scalar case, this improves a result of Nevai [N]. Moreover, we give vector-valued extensions of the mean convergence results of Askey-Wainger [AW] in the case of Hermite expansions.

¹Supported by the Brazilian-German CNP_q - GMD agreement

1 Introduction and results

Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [Z, chap.X] proved interpolation inequalities for trigonometric polynomials g of degree n of the form

$$1/3 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} |g(x_j)|^p / (2n+1) \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq c_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} |g(x_j)|^p / (2n+1) \right)^{1/p}$$

where $x_j = \frac{\pi j}{2n+1}$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $c_p > 0$ depends on p only. The left inequality is true for $p = 1, \infty$ as well. A similar result holds for ordinary polynomials with weight function $(1 - x^2)^{-1/2}$, the x_j 's being replaced by the zeros of the Tchebychev polynomials, or, more generally, for Jacobi polynomial weights $(1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta$ and corresponding zeros under suitable restrictions on p , provided that $1/(2n + 1)$ is replaced by the weight sequence of the corresponding Gaussian quadrature formula, which is natural if one considers the case $p = 2$. See Askey [A], König-Nielsen [KN].

We prove an analogue of this type of inequality in the case of the Hermite polynomials H_n , orthogonal with respect to $e^{-t^2} dt$ on \mathbb{R} . Let

$$h_n := \pi^{-1/4} (2^n n!)^{-1/2} H_n, \quad \mathcal{H}_n(t) := h_n(t) e^{-t^2/2}$$

denote the L_2 -normalized Hermite polynomials and Hermite functions, respectively. Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_n(t) h_m(t) e^{-t^2} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_n(t) \mathcal{H}_m(t) dt,$$

see Szegö [Sz, 5.5]. Let $t_1 > \dots > t_{n+1}$ denote the zeros of H_{n+1} and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}$ the corresponding Gaussian quadrature weights. Thus, for any polynomial q of degree $\leq 2n + 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(t) e^{-t^2} dt = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j q(t_j). \quad (1)$$

The Lagrange functions l_j , $l_j(t) := h_{n+1}(t)/(h'_{n+1}(t_j)(t - t_j))$, satisfy $l_j(t_i) = \delta_{ij}$. The weights λ_j can be calculated from

$$\lambda_j = 2/h'_{n+1}(t_j)^2 = 1/(nh_n(t_j)^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |l_j(t)|^2 e^{-t^2} dt \quad (2)$$

$j = 1, \dots, n+1$, see Szegö [Sz, chap. 3.4, 5.5, 15.3]. Let $\mu_j := \lambda_j e^{t_j^2}$. If necessary, we indicate the dependence on h_{n+1} by superscript, $t_j = t_j^{n+1}$, $\lambda_j = \lambda_j^{n+1}$, $\mu_j = \mu_j^{n+1}$. Recall that $t_1 \leq \sqrt{2n+3}$.

Let X be a Banach space and $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ denote the space of (classes of) p -th power Bochner-

integrable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ with norm $\|f\|_p = (\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\|^p dt)^{1/p}$.

Choose $1 < p < \infty$. A Banach space X is an UMD-space provided that the Hilbert-transform on \mathbb{R}

$$Hf(t) := p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(s)}{t-s} ds, f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$$

defines a bounded linear operator $H : L_p(\mathbb{R}; X) \longrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$. It is well-known that this holds for some $1 < p < \infty$ if and only if it holds for all $1 < p < \infty$, and the property is thus independent of $1 < p < \infty$, see J.Schwartz [S]. All $L_q(\mu)$ -spaces where $1 < q < \infty$ or all reflexive Orlicz spaces are UMD-spaces, see Fernandez-Garcia [FG].

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a Banach space X , the X -valued polynomials of degree $\leq n$ will be denoted by $\Pi_n(X) = \{ \sum_{j=0}^n x_j t^j | x_j \in X \}$. We let $\Pi_n = \Pi_n(\mathcal{K})$ for

$\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Recall that (t_j) were the zeros of H_{n+1} . The Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund interpolation inequalities for the Hermite polynomials then state:

Theorem 1. Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

(a) The following are equivalent:

- (1) There is $c_p > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $q \in \Pi_n(X)$
- $$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq c_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|q(t_j)e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (3)$$
- (2) X is a UMD-space and $1 < p < 4$.

- (b) (1) Let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then there is $c_\delta > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $N := 2n + 3$ and $q \in \Pi_m(X)$ where $m \leq 2n$,

$$\left(\sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j \|q(t_j)e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c_\delta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \quad (4)$$

- (2) If X is a UMD-space and $4/3 < p \leq \infty$, there is $c_p > 0$ such that for all n and $q \in \Pi_n(X)$

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|q(t_j)e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}. \quad (5)$$

Remarks

- i) As indicated, the μ_j 's and t_j 's depend on n as well. It seems likely that (5) holds for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and all Banach spaces as in the Jacobi case; in (4) the terms involving the zeros with largest absolute value are omitted on the left.

- ii) The papers of Pollard [P], Askey-Wainger [AW] and Nevai [N] strongly suggest the choice of the weight function $e^{-p/2t^2}$ instead of e^{-t^2} for $p \neq 2$ if positive results are to be expected.

Inequalities of type (3) imply mean convergence results for interpolating polynomials. Given a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, $I_n f := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} f(t_j) l_j$ is the interpolating polynomial at the zeros of the Hermite polynomial H_{n+1} , $I_n f(t_j) = f(t_j)$.

Let $L_p(\mathbb{R}, e^{-p/2t^2}; X) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X \mid g \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X) \text{ where } g(t) = f(t)e^{-t^2/2}\}$.

Theorem 2.

Let X be a UMD-space and $1 < p < 4$. Let $\alpha > 1/p$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t)\|_X (1 + |t|)^\alpha e^{-t^2/2} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Then the interpolating polynomials $I_n f$ at the zeros of the Hermite polynomials H_{n+1} converge to f in the $L_p(\mathbb{R}, e^{-p/2t^2}; X)$ -norm,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|(f(t) - I_n f(t))e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0 \quad (7)$$

The same statement is false, in general, if $p > 4$.

Remarks

- (i) In the scalar case $X = \mathcal{K}$, this improves the result of Nevai [N] where $\alpha = 1$ is assumed. Nevai's result, however, holds for any $1 < p < \infty$.

- (ii) Condition (5) clearly guarantees that $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, e^{-p/2t^2}; X)$. Under the weaker assumption that $f \in C(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}; e^{-p/2t^2}; X)$ convergence (7) does not hold, in general, as we show below.

Askey-Wainger [AW] prove their mean convergence result for the expansions of the L_p -functions on \mathbb{R} into Hermite functions for $4/3 < p < 4$. Their proof generalizes to the vector-valued setting if X is a UMD-space. The necessity of the UMD condition of the following result is a consequence of theorem 1. Given $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$, we let $a_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mathcal{H}_j(t) dt$, $P_n f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \mathcal{H}_j$. We have:

Theorem 3.

Let X be a Banach space and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) For all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$, $P_n f \rightarrow f$ in L_p -norm, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t) - P_n f(t)\|^p dt \rightarrow 0.$$

- (2) X is a UMD-space and $4/3 < p < 4$.

Work on this paper started during a visit of the University of Campinas. The author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the colleagues there, in particular D.L.Fernandez and K.Floret.

2 Hermite asymptotics, zeros and quadrature weights

We need some estimates for the quadrature weights and the zeros of the Hermite polynomials. The zeros $t_1 > \dots > t_{n+1}$ of H_{n+1} are in the interval $(-\sqrt{N}, \sqrt{N})$ where $N := 2n + 3$; $t_{[\frac{n+2}{2}]}$ is the one closest to 0 and, more precisely, $\sqrt{N} - t_1 = \mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt[6]{N})$, Szegő [Sz, 6.32]. Given sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $c > 0$, we write $a_n \lesssim_c b_n$ if $a_n \leq c b_n$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We write $a_n \sim_c b_n$ if $a_n \lesssim_c b_n$ and $b_n \lesssim_c a_n$. A similar notation will be used for real functions. Define $\Phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$2/3 \Phi(x)^{3/2} = \int_x^1 (1 - s^2)^{1/2} ds, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

One checks easily that $\Phi(x) \sim_c (1 - x^2)$ with $c = 2^{2/3}$ and that $|\Phi'(x)|^{-1/2} = (\Phi(x) / (1 - x^2))^{1/4} \sim_d 1$ with $d = 2^{1/6}$.

Skovgaard's asymptotic formula for H_{n+1} yields that for $0 \leq t \leq \sqrt{N} - \sqrt[6]{N}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t) = c_n / n^{1/12} |\Phi'(t/\sqrt{N})|^{-1/2} \{Ai(-N^{2/3} \Phi(\frac{t}{\sqrt{N}})) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n^{7/6} \Phi(t/\sqrt{N})^{1/4}})\}$$

where $\lim_n c_n = 2^{5/12}$, cf. Askey-Wainger [AW, p.700] (there is a misprint, it should be H_n instead of \mathcal{H}_n).

Using the relation between Airy and Bessel functions

$$Ai(-z) = \sqrt{z}/3 (J_{1/3}(\zeta) + J_{-1/3}(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{z}} \cos(\zeta - \pi/4) (1 + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\zeta}))$$

for large $\zeta := 2/3 z^{3/2}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t) = \frac{g_n(t)}{n^{1/8}(\sqrt{N}-t)^{1/4}} \cos(\frac{2}{3}N\Phi(\frac{t}{\sqrt{N}})^{3/2} - \frac{\pi}{4}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n^{9/8}(\sqrt{N}-t)^{1/4}}) \quad (8)$$

where $g_n(t) \sim 1$ for some $c > 0$ independent of n and $t \leq \sqrt{N} - \frac{1}{\sqrt[6]{N}}$.

We note that $N\Phi(t/\sqrt{N})^{3/2} \sim n^{1/4}(\sqrt{N}-t)^{3/2}$.

Define $k_{n,j} := \sup\{|\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)| : t \in [t_{j+1}, t_j]\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \dots, n$. By (8), there is $c > 0$ independent of n and j such that

$$k_{n,j} \sim c^{-1} n^{-1/8} (\sqrt{N} - |t_j|)^{-1/4}. \quad (9)$$

Lemma 1.

There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$(t_j - t_{j+1}) \sim \mu_j = \frac{2}{n\mathcal{H}_n(t_j)^2} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j)^2} \sim \frac{1}{n^{1/4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{N}-|t_j|}}$$

The sequence $(\mu_j)_{j=1, \dots, [(n+2)/2]}$ is decreasing in j .

Proof.

The equalities follow from (2) and $\mu_j = \lambda_j e^{t_j^2}$. By symmetry we may assume $t_j \geq 0$,

$j \leq [\frac{n+2}{2}]$. \mathcal{H}_{n+1} satisfies the differential equation

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1}''(t) + (N - t^2)\mathcal{H}_{n+1} = 0, \quad N = 2n + 3, \quad (10)$$

Szegő [Sz, 5.5]. Let $f(t) := \mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t)^2 + (N - t^2)\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)^2$.

Then $f'(t) = -2t\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)^2 \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$, i.e. f is decreasing. Hence $\mu_j = 1/\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j)^2 \geq 1/\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_{j+1})^2 = \mu_{j+1}$, using $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t_i) = 0$.

Denote $\nu_{n,j} := \sup\{|\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t)| : t \in [t_{j+1}, t_j]\}$. In view of (10), \mathcal{H}_{n+1} is concave or convex in (t_{j+1}, t_j) , depending on whether \mathcal{H}_{n+1} is positive or negative there. Thus

$$\nu_{n,j} = \max\{|\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_{j+1})|, |\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j)|\} = |\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_{j+1})|.$$

Let $\bar{t} \in (t_{j+1}, t_j)$ be such that $\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(\bar{t}) = 0$, i.e. $\kappa_{n,j} = |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(\bar{t})|$. Using that f is decreasing, we find

$$\nu_{n,j}^2 = \mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_{j+1})^2 \geq (N - \bar{t}^2)\kappa_{n,j}^2 \geq \mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j)^2 = \nu_{n,j-1}^2,$$

and using (9) and $N - \bar{t}^2 \sim \sqrt{n}(\sqrt{N} - t_j)$,

$$\nu_{n,j} \underset{c}{\sim} \kappa_{n,j} \cdot \sqrt[4]{n} \sqrt{\sqrt{N} - t_j} \underset{c}{\sim} n^{1/8} (\sqrt{N} - t_j)^{1/4}.$$

Hence $\kappa_{n,j} \cdot \nu_{n,j} \underset{d}{\sim} 1$ with c, d independent of n and $j = 1, \dots, [(n+2)/2]$.

Since $\mu_{j+1} = 1/\nu_{n,j}^2$ ($j \geq 2$), the right estimate $\mu_j \sim n^{-1/4}(\sqrt{N} - t_j)^{-1/2}$ follows.

The mean value theorem, applied to \mathcal{H}_{n+1} in (t_{j+1}, t_j) , yields

$$t_j - t_{j+1} \geq \frac{\kappa_{n,j}}{\nu_{n,j}} = \frac{\kappa_{n,j} \cdot \nu_{n,j}}{\nu_{n,j}^2} \underset{d}{\sim} \frac{1}{\nu_{n,j}^2} = \mu_{j+1} \sim \mu_j. \quad (11)$$

For $t \in [-t_j, t_j]$, $N - t^2 \geq N - t_j^2$. Comparing the differential equation (10) in the interval $[-t_j, t_j]$ with

$$\mathcal{K}''(t) + (N - t_j^2)\mathcal{K}(t) = 0, t \in [-t_j, t_j],$$

Sturm's comparison principle yields the converse to (11),

$$t_j - t_{j+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{N-t_j^2}} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt[4]{n}\sqrt{\sqrt{N}-t_j}} \sim \mu_j. \quad \square$$

More precise information on the constants involved can be found from (8), analyzing the zeros of the cosine-term. We do not need this. We note however, that for all j with $|t_j| < \delta\sqrt{N}$ with fixed $0 < \delta < 1$, one has $\mu_j \sim_{\delta} n^{-1/2}$, cf. Nevai [N]. In contrast to this, $\mu_1 \sim_c n^{-1/6}$. It is in the range in between that lemma 1 is of importance. As a corollary, we get

Lemma 2.

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then there is $c > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j^p \leq cn^{1-p/2} \text{ (bounded for } p = 2\text{)}$$

Proof.

For $p = 1$ see Nevai [N]. Let $\alpha := p - 1$. Then by lemma 1

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j^p \sim_{c_1} \sum_{j=1}^n (t_j - t_{j+1}) \mu_j^\alpha \sim_{c_2} \sum_{j=1}^n (t_j - t_{j+1}) \frac{1}{n^{\alpha/4}} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{N} - |t_j|)^{\alpha/2}} \quad .$$

Since $1/(\sqrt{N} - t)$ is monotone for $t \geq 0$ and $(t_j - t_{j+1})$ is monotone in j (for the positive t_j 's), the last Riemann sum can be replaced by an integral

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j^p \sim_{c_3} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha/4}} \int_{-\sqrt{N}}^{\sqrt{N}} \frac{dt}{(\sqrt{N}-|t|)^{\alpha/2}} \sim_{c_4} n^{(1-\alpha)/2} = n^{1-p/2}. \quad \square$$

3 The interpolation inequalities

To prove theorem 1, we need two well-known facts about continuity in L_p , cf. Benedek-Murphy-Panzone [BMP] or Pollard [P].

Lemma 3.

Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, (Ω, μ) be a measure space and $k, r : \Omega^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be measurable such that

$$\sup_u \int_{\Omega} |k(u, v)| |r(u, v)|^{p'} d\mu(v) \leq M, \sup_v \int_{\Omega} |k(u, v)| |r(u, v)|^{-p} d\mu(u) \leq M \quad (12)$$

Then $T_k f(u) := \int_{\Omega} k(u, v) f(v) d\mu(v)$ defines an operator

$T_k : L_p(\Omega, \mu; X) \longrightarrow L_p(\Omega, \mu; X)$ of norm $\leq M$. Here $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

This follows from an application of Hölder's inequality. One consequence is

Lemma 4.

Let X be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$k(u, v) := | |\frac{u}{v}|^b - 1| / |u - v|$. Then T_k is bounded as a map

$T_k : L_p(\mathbb{R}; X) \longrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ provided that $-1/p < b < 1 - 1/p$

(actually if and only if).

For the convenience of the reader, here is a sketch of the proof (cf. [BMP]):

Take $r(u, v) := |u/v|^{1/pp'}$. To check (12), substitute $v/u = t$ to find

$$\sup_{u(\neq 0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |k(u, v)| r(u, v)^{p'} dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t^{-b} - 1| |t|^{-1/p} / |t - 1| dt.$$

This is finite: integrability at 0 is assured since $b < 1 - 1/p$, integrability at $\pm\infty$ since $b > -1/p$. Note that for $t \rightarrow 1$, the integrand tends to $|b|$. The second condition in (12) is checked similarly. \square

Instead of using this simple lemma 4 below one could also apply the general theory of weighted singular integral operators with weights in the Mucken-haupt class A_p , see. Garcia-Cuerva, Rubio de Francia [GR, ch. IV].

Proof of theorem 1.

a) (2) \Rightarrow (1)

We prove inequality (3) if X is an UMD-space and $1 < p < 4$.

Let $q \in \Pi_n(X)$ and put $y_j := q(t_j)e^{-t_j^2/2}/(n^{1/8}\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j))$. Then q coincides with its interpolating polynomial

$$q(t) = I_n q(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} q(t_j) l_j(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} q(t_j) \frac{h_{n+1}(t)}{h'_{n+1}(t_j)(t - t_j)}$$

and we have to estimate

$$J := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|n^{1/8}\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j/(t - t_j)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \quad (13)$$

from above. Let $I_j := (t_j, t_{j-1})$, $|I_j| = (t_{j-1} - t_j)$ and χ_j be the characteristic function of I_j , for $j = 1, \dots, n+1$ (with $t_0 := \sqrt{N}$, $N = 2n+3$). The proof uses essentially that $1/(t - t_j)$ is close enough to the Hilbert transform of $-\chi_j/|I_j|$ at t which is

$$H(-\frac{\chi_j}{|I_j|})(t) = \frac{1}{|I_j|} \ln \left| \frac{t-t_{j-1}}{t-t_j} \right| = \frac{1}{|I_j|} \ln \left| 1 - \frac{|I_j|}{t-t_j} \right| .$$

We claim that for all $j = 1, \dots, n+1$

$$n^{1/8} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)| \left| \frac{1}{t-t_j} + H(\frac{\chi_j}{|I_j|})(t) \right| \leq f_j(t), t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (14)$$

where

$$f_j(t) = c_1 \min\left(\frac{1}{|I_j|}, \frac{|I_j|}{(t-t_j)^2}\right) |\sqrt{N} - |t||^{-1/4} .$$

By Skovgaard's asymptotic formula [AW, p.700] ((8) for $|t| < \sqrt{N}$)

$$n^{1/8} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)| \leq c_2 |\sqrt{N} - |t||^{-1/4}, t \in \mathbb{R} .$$

Thus, for $|t - t_j| > 2|I_j|$, (14) follows from $|x - \ln(1+x)| \leq x^2$ for $|x| \leq 1/2$, i.e.

$$\left| \frac{1}{t-t_j} + H(\frac{\chi_j}{|I_j|})(t) \right| \leq \frac{|I_j|}{(t-t_j)^2}$$

For $|t - t_j| \leq 2|I_j|$, one uses that \mathcal{H}_{n+1} has zeros at t_j to get (14).

We remark that for $j=1$, there is a singularity of the $H(\chi_1/|I_1|)$ -term at $t_0 = \sqrt{N}$ (where \mathcal{H}_{n+1} has no zero to compensate); in this case use $|\ln|x|| \leq 4|x|^{-1/4}$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $|I_1| \sim n^{-1/6}$, $|\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)| \lesssim n^{-1/12}$ [Sz, 6.32], [AW] to find

$$n^{1/8} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)| |H(\frac{\chi_1}{|I_1|})(t)| \leq c_3 / (|I_1| |\sqrt{N} - |t||^{1/4})$$

for $|t - t_1| < 2|I_1|$. Now (13) and (14) imply $J \leq J_1 + J_2$ where

$$J_1 = c_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| H \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j \chi_j / |I_j| \right) (t) \right\|^p |\sqrt{N} - |t||^{-p/4} dt \right)^{1/p}$$

$$J_2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \|y_j\| f_j(t) \right)^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

We estimate the "main term" J_1 and the "error-term" J_2 separately.

By lemma 4, the kernel $\frac{1}{|t-s|} \left| \left| \frac{s}{t} \right|^{1/4} - 1 \right|$ defines a bounded operator in $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ for $1 < p < 4$. Since the Hilbert transform with kernel $1/(t-s)$ is bounded in $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ by assumption, so is the weighted Hilbert transform with kernel $|s/t|^{1/4}/(t-s)$. Replacing s and t by $\sqrt{N} - s$ and $\sqrt{N} - t$, we find that for $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(s)}{t-s} \left| \frac{\sqrt{N}-s}{\sqrt{N}-t} \right|^{1/4} ds \right\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq c_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|g(s)\|^p ds \right)^{1/p},$$

c_p independent of g and N . Replacing t by $(-t)$ and g by g^- , $g^-(s) = g(-s)$, and then putting $f(s) = |\sqrt{N} - |s||^{1/4} g(s)$, we find

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(s)}{t-s} ds \right\|^p (\sqrt{N} - |t|)^{-p/4} dt \right)^{1/p} \leq 2 c_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(s)\|^p |\sqrt{N} - |s||^{-p/4} ds \right)^{1/p}$$

Take $f := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j \chi_j / |I_j|$ to estimate J_1

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\leq c_4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j \chi_j(s) / |I_j| \right\|^p |\sqrt{N} - |s||^{-p/4} ds \right)^{1/p}, c_4 = 2 c_p c_2 \\
&= c_4 \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \|y_j\|^p / |I_j|^p \left(\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |\sqrt{N} - |s||^{-p/4} ds \right) \right]^{1/p} \\
&\lesssim_{c_5} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \|y_j\|^p / |I_j|^{p-1} (\sqrt{N} - |t_j|)^{-p/4} \right]^{1/p} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \|q(t_j) e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p / (n^{p/8} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t_j)|^p |I_j|^{p-1} (\sqrt{N} - |t_j|)^{p/4}) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\sim \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|q(t_j) e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

using lemma 1: $n^{1/8} |\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j)| |I_j| (\sqrt{N} - |t_j|)^{1/4} \sim 1, |I_j| \sim \mu_j$.

To estimate J_2 , we note that there is c_6 such that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$t \in (\ell 2\sqrt{N}, (\ell+1)2\sqrt{N})$: $f_j(t) \leq c_4 \ell^{-9/4} f_j(t - 2\ell\sqrt{N})$. Moreover for $t \in (\sqrt{N}, 2\sqrt{N})$ and $s := 2\sqrt{N} - t \in (0, \sqrt{N})$ one finds $f_j(t) \leq f_j(s)$. Similar statements hold for $t < -\sqrt{N}$. Since $\sum_{\ell} \ell^{-9/4} < \infty$, this implies that there is c_7 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq c_7 \left(\int_{-2\sqrt{N}}^{2\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \|y_j\| f_j(t) \right)^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq 2c_7 \left(\int_{-\sqrt{N}}^{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \|y_j\| f_j(t) \right)^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\
&\lesssim_{c_8} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |I_k| \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n+1} \|y_j\| |I_j| / (t_k - t_j)^2 + \|y_k\| / |I_k| \right)^p (\sqrt{N} - |t_k|)^{-p/4} \right\}^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n+1} \frac{|I_j| |I_k|^{1/p}}{(t_k - t_j)^2} \frac{\|y_j\|}{(\sqrt{N} - |t_k|)^{1/4}} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \|y_k\|^p / |I_k|^{p-1} \cdot (\sqrt{N} - |t_k|)^{-p/4} \right)^{1/p} \\
&=: J_{21} + J_{22}.
\end{aligned}$$

The step involving c_8 is by discretization, decomposing $(-\sqrt{N}, \sqrt{N})$

into the intervals I_j and using the definition of f_j . The term J_{22} is estimated as before; using the definition of y_j and lemma 1, we find

$$J_{21} \sim \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{kj} (\mu_j^{1/p} \|g(t_j) e^{-t_j^2/2}\|)^p \right| \right)^{1/p}$$

where $a_{kj} = \mu_j^{3/2-1/p} \mu_k^{1/2+1/p} / (t_k - t_j)^2$ for $j \neq k$ and $a_{kk} = 0$. To bound J_{21} by $M \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|g(t_j) e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p}$, we have to show that $A = (a_{kj})_{k,j=1}^{n+1}$ has norm $\leq M$ as a map from ℓ_p^{n+1} to ℓ_p^{n+1} , M being independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To do so, use lemma 3 with $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, $\mu\{j\} = 1$, $r_{kj} = (\mu_j/\mu_k)^{1/pp'}$. Calculation shows that the two conditions in (12) reduce to one condition, namely

$$\sup_{j=1, \dots, n+1} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{n+1} \mu_k^{3/2} \mu_j^{1/2} / (t_k - t_j)^2 \leq M.$$

We check this using lemma 1: $\mu_k \sim |t_k - t_{k+1}| \leq |t_k - t_j|$ for $k \neq j$. Thus we may replace discrete sums by integrals to find with constants independent of j and n

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{n+1} \mu_k^{3/2} \mu_j^{1/2} / (t_k - t_j)^2 \\ & \leq c_9 \sqrt{\mu_j} \sum_{k \neq j} \mu_k / |t_k - t_j|^{3/2} \\ & \leq c_{10} \sqrt{\mu_j} \int_{|t-t_j| \geq \mu_j} |t - t_j|^{-3/2} dt = 4c_{10} =: M. \end{aligned}$$

The estimates for J_1 and J_{21}, J_{22} together yield inequality (3).

- (b) (2) This statement is a dualization of the inequality just proved: Let $4/3 < p < \infty$ and X be a UMD-space. For any $q \in \Pi_n(X)$ there are functionals $\xi_j \in X^*$ with $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|\xi_j e^{-t_j^2/2}\|_{X^*}^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|q(t_j) e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \langle q(t_j), \xi_j \rangle e^{-t_j^2/2} \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \langle q(t_j), \xi_j \rangle =: I \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

Let $r := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \ell_j \in \Pi_n(X^*)$. Then $\langle q, r \rangle \in \Pi_{2n}$ is integrated exactly by Gaussian quadrature, and Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle q(t), r(t) \rangle e^{-t^2/2} dt \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t) e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|r(t) e^{-t^2/2}\|_{X^*}^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} .
\end{aligned}$$

Since X^* is a UMD-space as well and $1 < p' < 4$, we have by (3)

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|r(t) e^{-t^2/2}\|_{X^*}^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \leq c_{p'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|\xi_j e^{-t_j^2/2}\|_{X^*}^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} = c_{p'} .$$

(b) (1) We denote by

$\mathcal{K}_j(t, s) = \sum_{i=0}^j \mathcal{H}_i(t) \mathcal{H}_i(s)$, $\mathcal{K}^m(t, s) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{K}_j(t, s)$ the kernels of the orthogonal projection P_j onto $\Pi_j \cdot e^{-t^2/2} \leq L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and the first Césaro mean operator σ_m in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. It was shown by Freud [F1] and independently by Poiani [Po] that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}^m(t, s)| dt \leq M \tag{15}$$

Let $0 < \delta < 1$. By Nevai [N,p.265] there is $c_\delta \geq 1$ such that for all $q \in \Pi_{4n}$

$$\sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta \sqrt{N}} \mu_j |q(t_j) e^{-t_j^2/2}| \leq c_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(t) e^{-t^2/2}| dt.$$

We apply this to $q(t) e^{-t^2/2} = \mathcal{K}^m(t, s)$ for fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \leq 4n$ to get

$$\sup_{m \leq 4n} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j |\mathcal{K}^m(t_j, s)| \leq c_\delta \cdot M \quad (16)$$

From (15) and (16), we find for $m \leq 4n$ and $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $p = 1$ or ∞ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j \|\sigma_m f(t_j)\| &\leq \sup_s \sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j |\mathcal{K}^m(t_j, s)| \cdot \|f\|_{L_1(X)} \leq c_\delta M \|f\|_{L_1(X)} \\ \sup_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \|\sigma_m f(t_j)\| &\leq \sup_j \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}^m(t_j, s)| ds \cdot \|f\|_{L_\infty(X)} \leq M \|f\|_{L_\infty(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, for $f \in L_p(X)$, $m \leq 4n$

$$\left(\sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j \|\sigma_m f(t_j)\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c_\delta M \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}. \quad (17)$$

Replacing $c_\delta M$ by $3c_\delta M$, we may substitute the operator $v_{2n} := 2\sigma_{4n} - \sigma_{2n} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=2n}^{4n-1} P_j$ for σ_m in (17). However, $V_{2n}f = f$ for functions of the form $f(t) = q(t)e^{-t^2/2}$ where $q \in \Pi_{2n}(X)$. Thus for any $q \in \Pi_{2n}(X)$

$$\left(\sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq 3c_\delta M \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

This ends the proof of (b) of theorem 1.

Remark.

It is likely that (5) holds for all p and X ; however, this proof does not work: for $m = (1 + \epsilon)n$ with $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mu_1 |\mathcal{K}^m(t_1, t_1)| \underset{C_\epsilon}{\sim} n^{-1/6} \cdot n^{1/2} = n^{1/3}$$

tends to ∞ with n , and thus (16) does not hold if the sum is extended over all $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. On the other hand,

$$\sup_{m \leq n} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j |\mathcal{K}^m(t_j, s)| \leq M \quad (18)$$

is correct; Freud's rather elegant proof of (15) in [F1] may be modified to yield (18) in the discrete case. The reason why this only works for $m \leq n$ is that the biorthogonality relations

$$\delta_{kl} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h_k(t) h_l(t) e^{-t^2} dt = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j h_k(t_j) h_l(t_j)$$

are used which in the discrete case only hold if $k + l \leq 2n + 1$, i.e. essentially

$k, l \leq n$ is satisfied. Instead of V_{2n} as above, one might take $\epsilon > 0$ and $V_\epsilon := \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sigma_n - \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma_{(1-\epsilon)n}$ (assuming WLOG that $\epsilon n \in \mathbb{N}$) to find an inequality of type (5) for polynomials of degree $\leq (1-\epsilon)n$ and of type (3) by dualization without assumptions on X and p . Thus one finds the

Proposition.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, X be a Banach space and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there is $c_\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leq (1-\epsilon)n$ and all $q \in \Pi_m(X)$

$$c_\epsilon^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i \|q(t_i) e^{-t_i^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t) e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq c_\epsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i \|q(t_i) e^{-t_i^2/2}\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

This should be compared with theorem 1. We now return to the one part of theorem 1 still to be proved.

(a) (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Assume (1) holds. We have to show that necessarily $1 < p < 4$ holds and that X is a UMD-space.

Choose $q = h_n$ in inequality (3). Since by (2), $|\mathcal{H}_n(t_j)| = \sqrt{2/(n\mu_j)}$, the right side in (3) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j |\mathcal{H}_n(t_j)|^p\right)^{1/p} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j^{1-p/2}\right)^{1/p} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j\right)^{1/p} \sup_j \mu_j^{-1/2} \\ &\sim n^{-1/2} n^{1/2p} n^{1/4} = n^{1/2p-1/4} \end{aligned}$$

by using lemma 1 again. For the left side, we find using only the asymptotic behavior of \mathcal{H}_n near its maximum [AW]

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n\|_p \gtrsim n^{-1/6p-1/12} \quad .$$

Hence (3) requires $-\frac{1}{6p} - \frac{1}{12} \leq \frac{1}{2p} - \frac{1}{4}$, i.e. $p \leq 4$. For $p = 4$, a slightly more careful use of the formulas in [AW] shows

$$\|\mathcal{H}_n\|_4 \sim n^{-1/8}(\log n)^{1/4}$$

which is larger than $n^{-1/8}$ and excludes $p = 4$ as well.

We now show that (3) implies that the Hilbert matrix $A = (1/(i - j + 1/2))_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines a bounded operator $A : l_p(X) \rightarrow l_p(X)$. Since A is not bounded in l_1 , this excludes $p = 1$. A well-known approximation and scaling argument shows that the boundedness of A in $l_p(X)$ is equivalent to the boundedness of the Hilbert transform in $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$, i.e. X is a UMD-space. In this sense, A is a discrete version of the Hilbert transform. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we need the zeros (t_j^{n+1}) of H_{n+1} and (t_i^n) of H_n . Let $J := \{j \mid |t_j^{n+1}| \leq 1\}$, $I := \{i \mid |t_i^n| \leq 1\}$. Take any system $(x_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq X$ and define $q \in \Pi_n$ by

$$q(t) := \sum_{j \in J} (\mu_j^{n+1})^{-1/p} x_j e^{(t_j^{n+1})^2/2} l_j^{n+1}(t),$$

where $l_i^{n+1} \in \Pi_n$, $l_i^{n+1}(t_k^{n+1}) = \delta_{jk}$ for $i, k = 1, \dots, n+1$. Note that $q(t_k) = 0$ for $k \notin J$. Thus using assumption (3) and inequality (4) of (b)(1) of theorem 1 – but with μ_i^n, t_i^n instead of μ_j^{n+1}, t_j^{n+1} – we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i^n \|q(t_i^n) e^{-(t_i^n)^2/2}\|^p \\ & \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t) e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt \\ & \leq c_2 \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j^{n+1} \|q(t_j^{n+1}) e^{-(t_j^{n+1})^2/2}\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{i \in I} \left\| \sum_{j \in J} a_{ij} x_j \right\|^p \leq c_2 \sum_{j \in J} \|x_j\|^p.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &:= (\mu_i^n / \mu_j^{n+1})^{1/p} l_j^{n+1}(t_i^n) e^{(t_j^{n+1})^2/2} e^{-(t_i^n)^2/2} \\ &= (\mu_i^n / \mu_j^{n+1})^{1/p} \mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t_i^n) / [\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j^{n+1})(t_i^n - t_j^{n+1})] \text{ for } i \in I, j \in J. \end{aligned}$$

By (2), $1/|\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j^{n+1})| = \sqrt{\mu_j^{n+1}/2}$. Using the recursive formulas of the H_n 's, see Szegö [Sz, 5.5], $\mathcal{H}_n(t_i^n) = 0$, and again (2), one finds that

$$|\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t_i^n)| = |-\sqrt{n/(n+1)}\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t_i^n)| = \sqrt{n/(n^2-1)}/\sqrt{\mu_i^n},$$

$$a_{ij} = \epsilon_i \delta_j (\mu_i^n / \mu_j^{n+1})^{1/p-1/2} \sqrt{n/(2(n^2-1))} / (t_i^n - t_j^{n+1}),$$

$\epsilon_i = \text{sgn } \mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t_i^n), \delta_j = \text{sgn } \mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j^{n+1})$. By lemma 1, $\mu_i^n \underset{c_3}{\sim} \frac{1}{n} \underset{c_3}{\sim} \mu_j^{n+1}$ for $i \in I, j \in J$. Hence $B = (b_{ij})$ with $b_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}(t_i^n - t_j^{n+1})}$, $N = 2n+3$ defines a map

$B : l_p^{|J|}(X) \longrightarrow l_p^{|I|}(X)$ of norm $\leq b_2 b_3$ bounded independently of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Near zero, the asymptotic formula

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{1/4} [\cos(\sqrt{N}t - n\frac{\pi}{2}) + \frac{t^3}{6\sqrt{N}} \sin(\sqrt{N}t - n\frac{\pi}{2}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n})],$$

$$N = 2n+3$$

for the Hermite functions holds, [Sz, 8.22.6]. The zeros of \mathcal{H}_{n+1} in $[-1, 1]$ may be determined from the cos-term up to an error of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n})$, since $(\sqrt{N}t_j^{n+1} - n\frac{\pi}{2})$ is determined up to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$. The zeros of \mathcal{H}_{n+1} separate those of \mathcal{H}_n ; for \mathcal{H}_n , $N = 2n+3$ is replaced by $\tilde{N} = 2n+1$ with (again) $\sqrt{N} - \sqrt{\tilde{N}} = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$. Thus the difference $t_j^n - t_j^{n+1}$ is $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{N}} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n})$ and

$$|(t_i^n - t_j^{n+1}) - \frac{\pi(i-j+1/2)}{\sqrt{N}}| \leq c_4/n; i \in I, j \in J$$

and thus

$$|b_{ij} - \frac{1}{\pi(i-j+1/2)}| \leq c_5 \frac{1}{(i-j+1/2)^2} =: c_{ij}; i \in I, j \in J$$

where c_4, c_5 are independent of $n, i \in I$ and $j \in J$. Since $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded as a map $l_p(X) \longrightarrow l_p(X)$, we conclude that the finite Hilbert matrix $(\frac{1}{(i-j+1/2)})_{i \in I, j \in J} : l_p^{|J|}(X) \longrightarrow l_p^{|I|}(X)$ has norm independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. of I, J . Note that $|I| \sim |J| \sim \sqrt{n} \longrightarrow \infty$ for $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Thus A is continuous in

$l_p(X)$. This ends the proof of theorem 1. □

Remarks.

- (a) The proof shows that X has to be a UMD-space already if (3) only holds for those $q \in \Pi_n(X)$ with $q(t_j) = 0$ for all $|t_j| > 1$.
- (b) Theorem 1 shows that $(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(t)e^{-t^2/2}|^p dt)^{1/p}$ is essentially determined by the values of q in $(-\sqrt{N}, \sqrt{N})$, see also Freud [F2]. This corresponds to the fact that, if $|q(\bar{t})|e^{-\bar{t}^2/2} = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |q(t)|e^{-t^2/2}$ for $q \in \Pi_n$, then $|\bar{t}| \leq \sqrt{N}$, as can be shown using Gaussian quadrature techniques.
- (c) For a UMD-space and $4/3 < p < 4$, the subspace $\Pi_n(X)$ of $L_p(\mathbb{R}, e^{-t^2/2p}; X)$ is uniformly isomorphic to $l_p^{n+1}(X)$, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} J_n : \Pi_n(X) &\longrightarrow l_p^{n+1}(X), \\ q &\longrightarrow (\mu_j^{1/p} e^{-t_j^2/2} q(t_j))_{j=1}^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy $\sup_n \|J_n\| \|J_n^{-1}\| \leq c_p < \infty$, interpolation essentially yields the Banach-Mazur distance. This probably also holds for $1 < p \leq 4/3$.

4 Mean convergence of interpolating polynomials and expansions

We now give the Proof of theorem 2 :

Let X be a UMD-space, $1 < p < 4$ and $\alpha > 1/p$. Define the norm

$$|||g||| := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|g(t)\|_X (1 + |t|)^\alpha e^{-t^2/2}$$

for those $g \in C(\mathbb{R}; X)$ where this is finite. Take $f \in C(\mathbb{R}; X)$ satisfying (6). Then $|||f||| < \infty$, and moreover, f can be approximated by polynomials $q_n \in \Pi_n(X)$ in $||| \cdot |||$, $|||f - q_n||| \rightarrow 0$ ((6) allows the restriction to a finite intervall where this clearly is possible).

Let $\|g\|_p := (\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|g(t)\|^p e^{-t^2/2p} dt)^{1/p}$. Since $q_n - I_n f \in \Pi_n(X)$ and $I_n f(t_j) = f(t_j)$, theorem 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - I_n f\|_p &\leq \|f - q_n\|_p + \|q_n - I_n f\|_p \\ &\leq (\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{(1+|t|)^{\alpha p}})^{1/p} |||f - q_n||| + (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \| (q_n(t_j) - f(t_j)) e^{-t_j^2/2} \|^p)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

The integral is finite ($\alpha p > 1$), thus the first term tends to zero. The second term approaches zero just as well: using again $\mu_j \sim (t_j - t_{j+1})$, see lemma 1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \| (f(t_j) - q_n(t_j)) e^{-t_j^2/2} \|^p)^{1/p} &\leq |||f - q_n||| \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j / (1 + |t_j|)^{\alpha p})^{1/p} \\ &\leq c |||f - q_n||| \cdot (\int_{\mathbb{R}} dt / (1 + |t|)^{\alpha p})^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|f - I_n f\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

For $p > 4$ the same statement does not hold, as we will show now. The example is an extension and modification of Nevai's [N]. Assume $p > 4$ and choose α with $1/p < \alpha < 1/4$. Consider the Banach spaces

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &:= (\{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous, } |f(t)|(1 + |t|)^\alpha e^{-t^2/2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } |t| \rightarrow \infty\}, ||| \cdot |||) \\ L_p &:= \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\|_p = (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| e^{-t^2/2} dt)^{1/p} < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

If theorem 2 would hold for this α and p , the interpolating operators $I_n : C_0 \longrightarrow L_p$, $f \longmapsto I_n f$ would be uniformly bounded by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|I_n : C_0 \longrightarrow L_p\| = M < \infty.$$

Let $\epsilon_j := \text{sgn } \mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j)$, $J := \{j \mid t_j \leq 0\}$ and define

$$f(t_j) := \begin{cases} \epsilon_j (1 + |t_j|)^{-\alpha} e^{t_j^2/2} & : j \in J \\ 0 & : j \notin J \end{cases}.$$

This obviously can be extended to define a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|f\| = 1$. We show that $I_n f$ is bounded from below by a suitable multiple of h_{n+1} , for $0 \leq t \leq \sqrt{N}$: Then $0 \leq t - t_j \leq 2\sqrt{N}$ for $j \in J$. Using (2), $\mu_j \geq c_1/\sqrt{n}$ (lemma 1) and $|J| \sim n/2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |I_n f(t)| e^{-t^2/2} &= \sum_{j \in J} \frac{|\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)|}{|\mathcal{H}'_{n+1}(t_j)|(1+|t_j|)^\alpha(t-t_j)} \geq c_2 \sum_{j \in J} \sqrt{\mu_j} n^{-(1+\alpha)/2} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)| \\ &\geq c_3 n^{-1/4-\alpha/2} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \sqrt{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Skovgaard's formula (8), $p > 4$ and $\alpha < 1/4$, we get for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq \|I_n\| \|f\| \geq \|I_n f\|_p \geq c_3 n^{1/4-\alpha/2} \left(\int_0^{\sqrt{N}} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \sim n^{1/4-\alpha/2} n^{-1/12-1/6p} \\ &= n^{1/6-1/6p-\alpha/2} \geq n^{1/24-1/6p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $1/24 - 1/6p \leq 0$, $p \leq 4$, contradicting our assumption $p > 4$.

This proves theorem 2. □

In a similar way we may prove that the assumption

$$f \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}; e^{-t^2/2}) =: Y$$

does not suffice, in general, to prove the convergence $I_n f \rightarrow f$ in L_p -norm (Remark (ii) after theorem 2):

Assume this would hold. Introduce the norm

$$\|f\|_0 := \max(\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t)| e^{-t^2/2}, (\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|f(t)| e^{-t^2/2})^p dt)^{1/p}), f \in Y$$

on Y . Then $(Y, \|\cdot\|_0)$ is a Banach space and by Banach-Steinhaus we would have

$\|I_n f\|_p \leq M \|f\|_0$. Define $f \in Y$ as above, with $\alpha = 0$, and $\|f\|_0 = 1$. By the above estimates (for all $1 \leq p < \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$M \geq \|I_n f\|_p \geq c_4 n^{1/4} \left(\int_0^{\sqrt{N}} |\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

The right side is of order $n^{1/6p'}$ for $p > 4$, $n^{1/8}(\log n)^{1/4}$ for $p = 4$ and $n^{1/2p}$ for $p < 4$. In any of these cases, this contradicts the uniform boundedness by M .

□

It remains to give the Proof of theorem 3 :

Recall that we put $a_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mathcal{H}_j(t) dt$, $P_n f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \mathcal{H}_j$ for $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$.

- (2) \Rightarrow (1) If X is a UMD-space and $4/3 < p < 4$, $P_n f \rightarrow f$ in $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$. The scalar proof of Askey-Wainger $X = \mathbb{R}$ [AW] directly generalizes to the X -valued case, by using the boundedness of the Hilbert-transform and of the weighted Hilbert-transforms with kernels $\frac{1}{t-s} |\frac{t}{s}|^{\pm 1/4}$ also in the vector valued case of $L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ for $4/3 < p < 4$ (the latter follows using lemma 4).

(1) \Rightarrow (2) We assume that $P_n f \longrightarrow f$ for all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)$. Already in the scalar case, $4/3 < p < 4$ is necessary [AW]. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|P_n : L_p(\mathbb{R}; X) \longrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}; X)\| = M < \infty.$$

Using this, we can dualize inequality (4). Let $0 < \delta < 1$. We claim that there is a_δ such that for all $q \in \Pi_n(X)$ with $q(t_j) = 0$ for $|t_j| > \delta\sqrt{N}$, one has

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|^p dt\right)^{1/p} \leq a_\delta \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j \|q(t_j)e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p\right)^{1/p} \quad (19)$$

By remark (a) at the end of section 3, this will imply that X has to be a UMD-space. For such q , let $f(t) := q(t)e^{-t^2/2}$. Since P_n projects onto $\Pi_n(X) \cdot e^{-t^2/2}$, $P_n f = f$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R}; X^*)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|g(t)\|_{X^*}^{p'} = 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|q(t)e^{-t^2/2}\|_X^p dt\right)^{1/p} &= (1 - \epsilon) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\|_X^p dt\right)^{1/p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle g(t), f(t) \rangle dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle g(t), P_n f(t) \rangle dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle P_n g(t), f(t) \rangle dt =: I \end{aligned}$$

Since $P_n g(t)$ is of the form $r(t)e^{-t^2/2}$ for some $r \in \Pi_n(X^*)$, $\langle P_n g(t), f(t) \rangle = \langle r(t), q(t) \rangle e^{-t^2}$ is integrated exactly by Gaussian quadrature. Using $q(t_j) = 0$ for $|t_j| > \delta\sqrt{N}$, inequality (4) for X^* (being UMD as well) and $4/3 < p' < 4$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \lambda_j \langle r(t_j), q(t_j) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j \langle P_n g(t_j), f(t_j) \rangle \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{|t_j| \leq \delta\sqrt{N}} \mu_j \|P_n g(t_j)\|_{X^*}^{p'}\right)^{1/p'} \left(\sum_j \mu_j \|f(t_j)\|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_\delta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|P_n g(t)\|^{p'} dt\right)^{1/p'} \left(\sum_j \mu_j \|q(t_j)e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_\delta M \left(\sum_j \mu_j \|q(t_j)e^{-t_j^2/2}\|^p\right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

which gives (19). In the last step $\|P_n = P_n^* : L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}; X^*) \longrightarrow L_{p'}(\mathbb{R}; X^*)\| \leq M$ was used. This ends the proof of theorem 3.

□

References.

- [A] R. Askey; Mean convergence of orthogonal series and Lagrange interpolation, *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.* 23(1972), 71-85.
- [AW] R. Askey, S. Wainger; Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series, *Amer. J. Math.* 87(1965), 695-708.
- [BMP] A.I. Benedek, E.R. Murphy, R. Panzone; Cuestiones del analisis de Fourier, *Notas de Algebra y Analisis* 5(1974), Univ. Bahia Blanca, Argentina.
- [FG] D.L. Fernandez, J.B. Garcia; Interpolation of Orlicz-valued function spaces and U.M.D. property, *Studia Math.* 99(1991), 23-39.
- [F1] G. Freud; ber die $(C, 1)$ - Summen der Entwicklungen nach orthogonalen Polynomen, *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.* 14(1963), 197-208.
- [F2] G. Freud; On an inequality of Markov type, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 12(1971), 570-573.
- [GR] J. Garcia-Cuerva, J.L. Rubio de Francia; Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North Holland, 1985.
- [KN] H. König, N.J. Nielsen; Vector-valued L_p -convergence of orthogonal series and Lagrange interpolation, preprint, 1992.
- [N] P.G. Navai; Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation II, *J. Appr. Th.* 30(1980), 263-276.
- [P] H. Pollard; Mean convergence of orthogonal series II, *Transact. AMS* 63(1948), 355-367.
- [PO] E.L. Poiani; Mean Cesaro summability of Laguerre and Hermite series, *Transactions AMS* 173(1972), 1-31.
- [S] J. Schwartz; A remark on inequalities of Calderon-Zygmund type for vector-valued functions, *Comm. Pures Appl. Math.* 14(1961), 785-799.
- [Sz] G. Szegö; Orthogonal polynomials, AMS, Providence, 1959.
- [Z] A. Zygmund; Trigonometric series, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1968.