

B-ORBITS OF NILPOTENT ORDER 2 AND LINK PATTERNS

A. MELNIKOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we describe geometry of orbits of upper triangular matrices of nilpotent order 2 under conjugation by the group of upper triangular invertible matrices in terms of link patterns.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over complex numbers. Let $\mathbf{G} = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a general linear group. Consider its action on \mathbf{M} by conjugation. For $u \in \mathbf{M}$ let $\mathcal{O}_u := \mathbf{G}.u := \{AuA^{-1} \mid A \in \mathbf{G}\}$ denote its orbit.

Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{u \in \mathbf{M} \mid u^k = 0 \text{ for some } k\}$ be the nilpotent cone of \mathbf{M} . The classical Jordan-Gerstenhaber theory gives a complete combinatorial description of geometry of the variety of (nilpotent) orbits \mathcal{O}_u where $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ in terms of Young diagrams.

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_n \subset \mathbf{M}$ be a subalgebra of strictly upper-triangular matrices. Let $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a (Borel) subgroup of upper-triangular invertible matrices. Consider its action on \mathfrak{n} by conjugation. For $u \in \mathfrak{n}$ let $\mathcal{B}_u := \mathbf{B}.u = \{AuA^{-1} \mid A \in \mathbf{B}\}$ denote its orbit under action of \mathbf{B} . In general for $n \geq 6$ the number of such orbits is infinite and there is no combinatorial theory in the spirit of Jordan-Gerstenhaber theory describing the geometry of these orbits.

However, if we take a subvariety of matrices of nilpotent order 2, that is $\mathcal{X} := \{u \in \mathfrak{n} \mid u^2 = 0\}$ then the number of \mathbf{B} orbits in \mathcal{X} is equal to the number of involutions of the symmetric group \mathbf{S}_n . Moreover, as we show in the paper the complete combinatorial description of geometry of \mathbf{B} orbits in \mathcal{X} in terms of link patterns is obtained in the spirit of Jordan-Gerstenhaber theory.

1.2. Let us first recall in short Jordan-Gerstenhaber theory.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0) \vdash n$ be a partition of n (ordered in decreasing order). Set $\lambda^* := \{\lambda_1^* \geq \lambda_2^* \geq \dots \geq \lambda_i^* > 0\}$ to be the conjugate partition, that is $\lambda_i^* = \#\{j \mid \lambda_j \geq i\}$.

The unique eigenvalue of a nilpotent matrix is 0, so that Jordan form of $u \in \mathfrak{N}$ is defined completely by the lengths of its Jordan blocks, which is defined in turn by some partition of n . Since each nilpotent orbit has a unique Jordan form up to the order of Jordan blocks we have a bijection between nilpotent orbits and ordered partitions. We can write $J(u) := \lambda$ and $\mathcal{O}_\lambda := \mathcal{O}_u$ where λ is the corresponding partition. The geometry of $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}$ is described combinatorially in terms of this bijection. We begin with the formula of the dimension of an orbit:

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda = n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l (\lambda_i^*)^2.$$

Our next goal is a combinatorial description of the closure of an orbit.

Key words and phrases. Borel adjoint orbits; involutions and link patterns.

A partial order on partitions (called a dominance order) is defined as follows. Let $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$ be ordered in decreasing order $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \lambda_k > 0)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \mu_l > 0)$. Set $\lambda \geq \mu$ if for any $j : 1 \leq j \leq \min(k, l)$ one has $\sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^j \mu_i$.

By a theorem of M. Gerstehaber (cf. [2] for example)

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda = \coprod_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathcal{O}_\mu. \quad (*)$$

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0)$ we define the corresponding Young diagram D_λ of λ to be an array of k rows of cells starting on the left with the i -th row containing λ_i cells.

Young diagrams are a very convenient visualization of the partitions with respect to nilpotent orbits. Indeed, the dual partition used in $(*)$ is simply the list of lengths of the columns of the corresponding Young diagrams. As well $\mu < \lambda$ if D_μ is obtained from D_λ by pushing down some cells. In particular, the cover of a given partition (that is all the maximal partitions strictly smaller than the given one) with respect to the dominance order is described easily in the terms of Young diagrams.

Indeed, let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots)$ be in the cover of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots)$. Then μ is obtained from λ in one of two ways:

- (i) There exists i such that $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \geq 2$. Then $\mu_j = \lambda_j$ for $j \neq i, i+1$ and

$$\mu_i = \lambda_i - 1, \quad \mu_{i+1} = \lambda_{i+1} + 1.$$

In this case $\dim \mathcal{O}_\mu = \dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda - 2$.

- (ii) There exists i such that $\lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_{i+2} = \dots = \lambda_{i+k} = \lambda_i - 1$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $\lambda_{i+k+1} = \lambda_i - 2$. Then $\mu_j = \lambda_j$ for $j \neq i, i+k+1$ and

$$\mu_i = \lambda_i - 1, \quad \mu_{i+k+1} = \lambda_i - 1.$$

In this case $\dim \mathcal{O}_\mu = \dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda - 2(k+1)$.


In particular the cover of a nilpotent orbit is not equidimensional.

The above result can be described by Young diagrams as follows.

In the first case D_μ is obtained from D_λ by pushing one box down one row (and possible across several columns). For example

$$D_\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & X \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad D_\mu = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & X & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

In the second case diagram D_μ is obtained from D_λ by pushing one box across one column (and possible down several rows). For example



1.3. In this paper we show that link patterns play the same role for the combinatorial description of geometry of **B** orbits in \mathcal{X} as Young diagrams for the description of geometry of nilpotent orbits. First we describe the bijection between **B** orbits in \mathcal{X} and link patterns.

Let $\mathbf{S}_n^2 := \{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n \mid \sigma^2 = Id\}$ be the subset of involutions. For any $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ let N_σ be the matrix obtained from the representation matrix of σ by erasing the lower-triangular part (including the main diagonal), that is


$$(N_\sigma)_{i,j} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i < j \text{ and } \sigma(i) = j; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One can see at once that $N_\sigma \in \mathcal{X}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$. Moreover by [6, 2.2] one has

$$\mathcal{X} = \coprod_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2} \mathcal{B}_{N_\sigma}.$$

Thus **B** orbits in \mathcal{X} are labeled by involutions of \mathbf{S}_n . Put $\mathcal{B}_\sigma := \mathcal{B}_{N_\sigma}$.

Given $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ the corresponding link pattern is an array of n points on a (horizontal) line where points i, j are connected by an arc if $\sigma(i) = j$. We will call such points end points of an arc. The points not connected by an arc to any other point are called the fixed points of a link pattern. For example consider $\sigma = (1, 3)(2, 6)(4, 7) \in \mathbf{S}_7^2$. Its link pattern P_σ is



It has only one fixed point, namely 5.

Remark. I found the notion of link pattern in the papers in combinatorics and mathematical physics [13], [4], [1]. In general the link patterns considered there are patterns with maximal possible number of arcs and a link pattern is a circles with n points rather than a line. Respectively the authors use a word "arch" not "arc". I adopted the notion of a link pattern from them, however it seems to me that the word "arc" is more appropriate in the representation given here.

1.4. Let us explain the role of link patterns in the description of geometry of **B** orbits in \mathcal{X} .

Let \mathcal{B}_σ be an orbit in \mathcal{X} and P_σ be the corresponding link pattern. We begin with formula of $\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma$ in terms of a link pattern. Given $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k) \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ (in cyclic form).

- Put $\ell(P_\sigma) := k$ to be the number of arcs in P_σ (we will call it the length of the pattern);

- Arcs $(i, j), (i', j')$ where $i < i'$ of P_σ are called intersecting if $i < i' < j$ and $j' > j$. We say that arcs $(i, j), (i', j')$ have a crossing in this case. Put $c(P_\sigma)$ to be the number of crossings of arcs in P_σ ;
- For $s : 1 \leq s \leq k$ put $f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma)$ to be the number of fixed points under arc (i_s, j_s) and $f(P_\sigma) := \sum_{s=1}^k f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma)$.

In our example $\ell(P_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)}) = 3$, $c(P_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)}) = 2$, $f_{(1,3)}(P_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)}) = 0$, $f_{(2,6)}(P_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)}) = f_{(4,7)}(P_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)}) = 1$, so that $f(P_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)}) = 2$.

As we show in 3.1 one has

$$\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma = \ell(P_\sigma) \cdot (n - \ell(P_\sigma)) - c(P_\sigma) - f(P_\sigma).$$

Thus, in our example $\dim \mathcal{B}_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)} = 3 \cdot 4 - 2 - 2 = 8$.

Note that \mathbf{S}_n^2 can be stratified by the length of link patterns. Put $\mathbf{S}_n^2(k) := \{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2 \mid \ell(P_\sigma) = k\}$. One has $\mathbf{S}_n^2 = \coprod_{k \leq \frac{n}{2}} \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$.

Note that this stratification partition \mathbf{B} orbits in \mathcal{X} into sets belonging to the same nilpotent orbit. Indeed, put $\mathcal{O}_\sigma := \mathcal{O}_{N_\sigma}$, then $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_{\sigma'}$ iff $\ell(P_\sigma) = \ell(P_{\sigma'})$. This is because a nilpotent orbit of nilpotent order 2 is completely defined by the rank of its representing matrix and $\text{Rank}(N_\sigma) = \ell(P_\sigma)$.

1.5. Further we describe the closure of a \mathcal{B}_σ in terms of P_σ .

Let (i_s, j_s) be some arc of P_σ . If $i, j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n$ are such that $i \leq i_s$ and $j \geq j_s$ we say that arc (i_s, j_s) belongs to segment $[i, j]$. For $i, j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n$ let $(R_\sigma)_{i,j}$ be the number of arcs in P_σ belonging to $[i, j]$. Just for convenience we can represent R_σ as an $n \times n$ matrix with integer non negative entries by setting $(R_\sigma)_{i,j} = 0$ for any $i \geq j$. In our example we get

$$R_{(1,3)(2,6)(4,7)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define a partial order on $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ by $A \succeq B$ if for any $i, j : 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ one has $(A)_{i,j} \geq (B)_{i,j}$. This order induces a partial order on involutions by putting $\sigma \succeq \sigma'$ if $R_\sigma \succeq R_{\sigma'}$. Then, by 2.4 and 3.2 one has

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma = \coprod_{\sigma' \preceq \sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'}.$$

1.6. Let us apply the previous result to the cover of a given σ with respect to order \preceq . We describe the cover in terms of link patterns only.

First, notice that nilpotent orbits of nilpotent order 2 are ordered linearly with respect to a dominance order. Indeed, given λ, μ corresponding to nilpotent orbits of nilpotent order 2 then $\lambda^* = (n - k, k)$ and $\mu^* = (n - m, m)$. One has $\mu \leq \lambda$ iff $m \leq k$ otherwise $\mu > \lambda$. Thus for any nilpotent orbits $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'$ of nilpotent order 2 one has either $\overline{\mathcal{O}'} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{O}'} \supset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. In particular the cover of partition $(n - k, k)^*$ consists of the unique partition $(n - k + 1, k - 1)^*$ (it is of type (ii) described in 1.2).

Let $\mathcal{C}(\sigma)$ denote the cover for a given $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ with respect to order \preceq . In 3.9 we show that $\sigma' \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma)$ iff $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = 1$ so that the cover with respect to order \preceq is equidimensional.

However, from geometric point of view there are two interesting subsets of elements smaller than σ . The first one is $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ the subset of maximal elements smaller than σ in the set of patterns of smaller length. The second one is $\mathcal{D}(\sigma) := \mathcal{C}(\sigma) \cap \mathbf{S}_n^2(\ell(P_\sigma))$.

Let $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$. Geometric meaning of $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ is provided by

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap (\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\sigma \setminus \mathcal{O}_\sigma) = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{(n-k+1, k-1)^*} = \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma)} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'}.$$

As for $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ it helps to understand the structure of $\mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$. In particular for $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ such that $\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma = \dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'}$, one has $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'}) = 1$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{D}(\sigma') \neq \emptyset$.

We give the combinatorial description in terms of link patterns of both of them. The description of $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ is very simple. We call an arc of P_σ **external** if there is no arc over it, i.e. $(i, j) \in P_\sigma$ is external if for any arc $(i', j') \neq (i, j)$ in P_σ one has $(i, j) \notin [i', j']$.

All the elements of $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ are obtained from σ by deleting an external arc. So the number of elements in $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ is equal to the number of external arcs in σ and all the elements in $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ are in $\mathbf{S}_n^2(\ell(P_\sigma) - 1)$.

Note that in general

- the set $\{\mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} \mid \sigma' \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma)\}$ is not equidimensional;
- $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ is **not** a subset of $\mathcal{C}(\sigma)$.

$\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ consists of 3 possible types of elements. We describe them in 3.4-3.6.

Both $\mathcal{N}(\sigma), \mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ are of special interest when \mathcal{B}_σ is of maximal possible dimension, that is of $\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma = \ell(P_\sigma)(n - \ell(P_\sigma))$.

Remarks. (i) All the results mentioned above are based on [7] and we use heavily the results of this paper in our proofs. The advantage of the representation of involutions by link patterns is that the complex combinatorics of [7] becomes easy and natural in this language.

- (ii) The fact that $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = 1$ for any $\sigma' \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma)$ was shown already in [9]. However, the proof provided there involves a lot of computations and here we prove it in a few lines.

1.7. In the last section we apply the technique of link patterns to the study of orbital varieties of nilpotent order 2.

First in 4.2, we simplify the combinatorial description (in terms of Young tableaux) of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_T$ obtained in [7, 4.3].


Further, we apply the technique to the study of intersections of orbital varieties associated to $\mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)^*}$. Let $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{N_\sigma} = \mathcal{O}_{N_{\sigma'}} = \mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)^*}$. The general picture of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'} \cap \mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)^*}$ was considered in [8], [9]. As it is shown there these intersections can be very complex. In particular, even intersections of codimension 1 are reducible in general and intersections of higher codimensions are not equidimensional in general. To compute $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'} \cap \mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)^*}$ we use matrix $R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ defined by

$$(R_{\sigma, \sigma'})_{i, j} := \min\{(R_\sigma)_{i, j}, (R_{\sigma'})_{i, j}\}$$

As it was shown in [8, 5.6] this intersection is a union of all $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma''}$ where $\sigma'' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ are such that $R_{\sigma''} \preceq R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$. In particular this intersection is irreducible iff there exists $\sigma'' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ such that $R_{\sigma, \sigma'} = R_{\sigma''}$.

To simplify the results on intersections we pass from link patterns to so called (generalized) meanders. To do this we draw two link patterns on the same line of points in such a way that the arcs of the first link pattern are drawn upward and the arcs of the second one are drawn downward.

For example consider $\sigma = (1, 3)(2, 5)(4, 7)$, $\sigma' = (1, 4)(3, 5)(6, 7) \in \mathbf{S}_7^2$. Their generalized meander $M_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ is



These generalized meanders are useful for computing $R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$. But they are too complex to see the general picture without computing $R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$.

By 1.4 $\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma = (n - k)k$ iff P_σ is a link pattern without intersecting arcs and without fixed points under the arcs and this is the maximal possible dimension. Moreover, by 1.2 $\dim \mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)^*} = n^2 - k^2 - (n - k)^2 = 2k(n - k)$. Since in general $\dim \mathcal{B}_u \leq 0.5 \dim \mathcal{O}_u$ for $u \in \mathfrak{n}$ we get that \mathcal{B}_σ corresponding to link patterns without intersecting arcs and without fixed points under the arcs are \mathbf{B} orbits of maximal possible dimension. Moreover they are Lagrangian subvarieties of \mathcal{O}_σ and are dense in some orbital varieties corresponding to \mathcal{O}_σ . The details about orbital varieties can be found for example in [3].

The orbits of maximal dimension are the most interesting from the point of view of representation theory on one hand and have the most simple link patterns on the other hand. Taking a meander corresponding to 2 such link pattern we get a classical meander (that is without crossing arcs) without fixed points under any (upward or downward) arc. In 4.3 we show that the intersection of closures is of codimension one if and only if the corresponding meander is "sewed" and has $k - 1$ cycles. These results simplify some of the results obtained in [9].

In the end one can find the index of notation in which symbols appearing frequently are given with the subsection where they are defined. I hope that this will help the reader to find his way through the paper.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to A. Elashvili for the discussions on biparabolic algebras and the role of meanders in their description. He was first to introduce the world of meanders to me. Through these discussions I realized the role that they (and link patterns) play in the description of \mathbf{B} orbits of nilpotent order 2.

2. \mathbf{B} ORBITS IN \mathcal{X} AND INVOLUTIONS

2.1. Put $\mathfrak{B}_{(n-k, k)} = \coprod_{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)} \mathcal{B}_\sigma$. As we noted in 1.4 $\mathfrak{B}_{n-k, k} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)^*}$ so that $\mathcal{X} = \coprod_{k \leq \frac{n}{2}} \mathfrak{B}_{(n-k, k)}$.

We start with the computation of the dimension of \mathcal{B}_σ . Write $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ as a product of disjoint cycles of length 2. All over this paper the entries inside a given cycle are ordered in the increasing order. Thus, $\sigma = (i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_k, j_k)$ where $i_s < j_s$ and $\{i_s, j_s\} \cap \{i_t, j_t\} = \emptyset$ for any $1 \leq s \neq t \leq k$. We write $(i, j) \in \sigma$ or $(i, j) \in P_\sigma$ if $(i, j) = (i_s, j_s)$ for some $s \mid 1 \leq s \leq k$. For $(i, j) \in \sigma$ put

$$q_{(i, j)}(\sigma) := \#\{i_p < i \mid j_p < j\} + \#\{j_p \mid j_p < i\}. \quad (*)$$

Example. Take $\sigma = (1, 6)(3, 4)(5, 7) \in \mathbf{S}_7^2(3)$. Then $\ell(P_\sigma) = 3$ and $q_{(1, 6)}(\sigma) = 0$, $q_{(3, 4)}(\sigma) = 0$, $q_{(5, 7)}(\sigma) = 2 + 1 = 3$.

By [6, 3.1] one has

Theorem. For $\sigma = (i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_k, j_k) \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ (where $i_s < j_s$ for $s : 1 \leq s \leq k$ one has

$$\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma = kn - \sum_{s=1}^k (j_s - i_s) - \sum_{s=1}^k q_{(i_s, j_s)}(\sigma).$$

2.2. What is the maximal dimension of an orbit in $\mathfrak{B}_{(n-k, k)}$? We would like to provide a detailed answer to this question. To do this we define orbital varieties.

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected semisimple finite dimensional complex algebraic group and let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{G})$ be the corresponding semisimple Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ be its triangular decomposition. For $u \in \mathfrak{n}$ let $\mathcal{O}_u = \mathbf{G}.u$ be its adjoint (nilpotent) orbit. Note that by the identification of \mathfrak{g} with \mathfrak{g}^* through the Killing form \mathcal{O}_u is provided a symplectic structure.

Consider $\mathcal{O}_u \cap \mathfrak{n}$. This variety is reducible and its irreducible components are called orbital varieties associated to \mathcal{O}_u . Let \mathcal{V} be an orbital variety associated to \mathcal{O}_λ . By [11] and [12] one has $\dim \mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda$. (Moreover as it was pointed out in [3] this implies that an orbital variety is a Lagrangian subvariety of the nilpotent orbit it is associated to.)

In particular, if $\mathbf{G} = SL_n$ nilpotent orbits are described as we mentioned by Young diagrams. In this case there exists a very nice combinatorial characterization of orbital varieties in terms of Young tableaux. Recall that a Young tableau T associated to the Young diagram D_λ is obtained by filling the boxes of D_λ with numbers $1, \dots, n$ so that the numbers increase in rows from left to right and in columns from top to bottom. Given a Young tableau T associated to D_λ its shape is defined to be λ and denoted as $\text{sh}(T)$. Given $u \in \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ its Young diagram is again defined to be λ and denoted as $D_n(u)$, or simply $D(u)$. Now consider canonical projections $\pi_{1, n-i} : \mathfrak{n}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_{n-i}$ acting on a matrix by deleting the last i columns and the last i rows. Set $D_{n-1}(u) := D(\pi_{1, n-1}(u))$, $D_{n-2}(u) := D(\pi_{1, n-2}(u))$, \dots , $D_1(u) := D(\pi_{1, 1}(u))$ and $\phi(u) := (D_1(u), D_2(u), \dots, D_n(u))$. Put 1 into the unique box of $D_1(u)$. For any $i : 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ note that $D_{i+1}(u)$ differs from $D_i(u)$ by a single "corner" box. Put $i+1$ into this box. This gives a bijection from the set of the chains $(D_1(u), D_2(u), \dots, D_n(u))$ to the set of standard Young tableaux T of shape $D_n(u)$. In other words, we view a standard Young tableau as a chain of Young diagrams. For $u \in \mathfrak{n}$ put $\varphi(u) := T$ if T corresponds to $\phi(u)$ under this bijection. Set $\nu_T := \{u \in \mathfrak{n} \mid \varphi(u) = T\}$.

By Spaltenstein [10] orbital varieties associated to \mathcal{O}_λ are parameterized by standard Young tableaux of shape λ as follows. Let $\{T_i\}$ be the set of Young tableaux of shape λ . Set $\mathcal{V}_{T_i} := \overline{\nu_{T_i}} \cap \mathcal{O}_\lambda$. Then $\{\mathcal{V}_{T_i}\}$ is the set of orbital varieties associated to \mathcal{O}_λ .

Note that in particular by this construction $\dim \mathcal{B}_u \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}_u$. In general this inequality is strict. Moreover, obviously if $\dim \mathcal{B}_u = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{O}_u$ the corresponding orbital variety admits a dense \mathbf{B} orbit. Unfortunately, for $n \geq 6$ the vast majority of orbital varieties do not admit a dense \mathbf{B} orbit. However, orbital varieties of nilpotent order two always admit a unique dense orbit. That gives the answer to the question posed above.

To formulate this answer let us consider the corresponding Young tableaux in detail. Let $\mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}$ be the set of Young tableaux of shape $(n-k, k)^*$. For $T \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}$ set $T = (T_1, T_2)$,

where $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$ is the first column of T and $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_k \end{pmatrix}$ is the second column of T . It is

enough to define the columns as sets since the entries increase from top to bottom in the columns. We denote $\langle T_i \rangle$ when we consider it as a set.

Put $\sigma_T = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$ where $i_1 = j_1 - 1$, and $i_s = \max\{d \in \langle T_1 \rangle \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}\} \mid d < j_s\}$ for any $s > 1$. For example, take

$$T = \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 \\ 6 & 8 \end{array}$$

Then $\sigma_T = (3, 4)(2, 5)(6, 7)(1, 8)$.

Put $\mathcal{B}_T := \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_T}$. As it was shown in [5, 4.13]

Proposition. For $T \in \mathbf{Tab}_n^2$ one has $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_T = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_T$.

By [8, Remark 5.5] for $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ one has $\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma \leq k(n-k)$ and the equality is satisfied iff $\sigma = \sigma_T$ for some $T \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}$. That is the number of $\mathcal{B}_\sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_{(n-k, k)}$ of dimension $k(n-k)$ is equal to the number of Young tableaux in $\mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}$ which is $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \frac{n-2k+1}{n-k+1}$.

Let $\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma = k(n-k)$ where $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$ then $\sigma = \sigma_T$ where $\langle T_2 \rangle = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ and respectively $\langle T_1 \rangle = \{i\}_{i=1}^n \setminus \langle T_2 \rangle$.

2.3. In [7] the combinatorial description of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma$ (with respect to Zariski topology) for $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ is provided. Let us formulate this result.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ consider the canonical projections $\pi_{i,j} : \mathbf{n}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{n}_{j-i+1}$ acting on a matrix by deleting the first $i-1$ columns and rows and the last $n-j$ columns and rows. Define the rank matrix R_u of $u \in \mathbf{n}$ to be

$$(R_u)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \text{Rank}(\pi_{i,j}(u)) & \text{if } i < j; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously for any $y \in \mathcal{B}_u$ one has $R_y = R_u$ so that we can define $R_{\mathcal{B}_u} := R_u$.

Put $R_\sigma := R_{\mathcal{B}_\sigma} = R_{N_\sigma}$. Note that $\text{Rank}(\pi_{i,j}(N_\sigma))$ is simply the number of ones in matrix $\pi_{i,j}(N_\sigma)$ since all ones belong to different rows and columns.

Let \mathbb{Z}^+ be the set of non-negative integers. Put $\mathbf{R}_n^2 := \{R_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2\}$. By [7, 3.1, 3.3] one has

Proposition. $R \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ belongs to \mathbf{R}_n^2 if and only if it satisfies

- (i) $R_{i,j} = 0$ if $i \geq j$;
- (ii) For $i < j$ one has $R_{i+1,j} \leq R_{i,j} \leq R_{i+1,j} + 1$ and $R_{i,j-1} \leq R_{i,j} \leq R_{i,j-1} + 1$;
- (iii) If $R_{i,j} = R_{i+1,j} + 1 = R_{i,j-1} + 1 = R_{i+1,j-1} + 1$ then
 - (a) $R_{i,k} = R_{i+1,k}$ for any $k < j$ and $R_{i,k} = R_{i+1,k} + 1$ for any $k \geq j$;
 - (b) $R_{k,j} = R_{k,j-1}$ for any $k > i$ and $R_{k,j} = R_{k,j-1} + 1$ for any $k \leq i$;
 - (c) $R_{j,k} = R_{j+1,k}$ and $R_{k,i} = R_{k,i-1}$ for any $k : 1 \leq k \leq n$.

2.4. Recall a partial order \preceq on $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ defined in 1.5. Its restriction to \mathbf{R}_n^2 defines a partial order \preceq on \mathbf{S}_n^2 by putting $\sigma' \preceq \sigma$ if $R_{\sigma'} \preceq R_\sigma$. As it is shown in [7, 3.5]

Theorem. For any $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ one has

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma = \coprod_{\sigma' \preceq \sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'}.$$

2.5. Given $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ we define $R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ by

$$(R_{\sigma, \sigma'})_{i,j} := \min\{(R_\sigma)_{i,j}, (R_{\sigma'})_{i,j}\}.$$

Theorem 5.15 from [8] claims

Theorem. For any $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ one has

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'} = \coprod_{R_{\sigma'} \preceq R_{\sigma, \sigma'}} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma''}.$$

In particular, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'}$ is irreducible if and only if $R_{\sigma, \sigma'} \in \mathbf{R}_n^2$. In that case $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_\tau$ where $R_\tau = R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$.

3. \mathcal{B}_σ IN TERMS OF LINK PATTERNS

3.1. In this section we translate all the results of the previous section into the language of link patterns. Recall $\ell(P_\sigma)$, $c(P_\sigma)$, $f(P_\sigma)$ from 1.4. For any fixed point m of P_σ put $\mathbf{over}_m(P_\sigma) := \{(i, j) \in P_\sigma \mid m \in [i, j]\}$. For any $(i, j) \in P_\sigma$ put $\mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) := \{(i', j') \in P_\sigma \mid (i', j') \neq (i, j) \text{ and } (i, j) \in [i', j']\}$

Theorem. For $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ one has

$$\dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma = \ell(P_\sigma) \cdot (n - \ell(P_\sigma)) - c(P_\sigma) - f(P_\sigma).$$

Proof. Our proof is based on the recalculating the expression of Theorem 2.1 in the language of link patterns.

Let $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$. For a given $(i, j) \in P_\sigma$ we define

- $l_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$ to be the set arcs to the left of (i, j) , that is the set of $(i', j') \in P_\sigma$ belonging to $[1, i]$.
- $r_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$ to be the set of arcs to the right of (i, j) , that is the set of $(i', j') \in P_\sigma$ belonging to $[j, n]$.
- $\mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$ to be the set of arcs under (i, j) that is the set of $(i', j') \in P_\sigma$ where $(i', j') \neq (i, j)$ belonging to $[i, j]$.
- $c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)$ to be the set of arcs intersecting with (i, j) on the left that is the set of $(i', j') \in P_\sigma$ such that $i' \in [1, i - 1]$ and $j' \in [i, j]$.
- $c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)$ to be the set of arcs intersecting with (i, j) on the right that is the set of $(i', j') \in P_\sigma$ such that $i' \in [i, j]$ and $j' \in [j + 1, n]$.

Put $|S|$ to be cardinality of set S . Let us provide a few identities on the summing of the cardinalities of the sets defined above.

- (i) Note that any arc $(i', j') \neq (i, j)$ of P_σ belongs to one of the types mentioned above so that for any $(i, j) \in P_\sigma$

$$|l_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)| + |r_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)| + |\mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)| + |\mathbf{over}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)| = k - 1.$$

- (ii) Note also that for $(i, j) \in \sigma$ any integer point of $[i + 1, j - 1]$ is either a fixed point, or some end point of $(i', j') \in \mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$ or some end point of and arc intersecting (i, j) . Thus one has

$$j - i - 1 = f_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) + 2|\mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)|.$$

- (iii) Note that each intersection of arcs is an intersection of one arc on the left and of the other on the right so that

$$\sum_{s=1}^k |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_\sigma)| = \sum_{s=1}^k |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^r(P_\sigma)| = c(P_\sigma).$$

(iv) Note also that $\text{arc}(i', j') \in l_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma)$ iff $(i, j) \in r_{(i',j')}(P_\sigma)$ so that

$$\sum_{s=1}^k |l_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma)| = \sum_{s=1}^k |r_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma)|.$$

(v) Exactly in the same way $(i', j') \in \mathbf{under}_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma)$ iff $(i, j) \in \mathbf{over}_{(i',j')}(P_\sigma)$ so that

$$\sum_{s=1}^k |\mathbf{under}_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma)| = \sum_{s=1}^k |\mathbf{over}_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma)|.$$

(vi) Finally note that $\#\{j_p \mid j_p < i\}$ (from (*) of 2.1) is exactly $|l_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma)|$ and $\#\{i_p < i \mid j_p < j\}$ (from (*) of 2.1) is $|l_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i,j)}^l(P_\sigma)|$ so that

$$q_{(i,j)}(\sigma) = 2|l_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i,j)}^l(P_\sigma)|.$$

In the following computations we omit the notation (P_σ) in all the sets defined above since we consider only P_σ here. Starting from Theorem 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma &= kn - \sum_{s=1}^k (j_s - i_s) - \sum_{s=1}^k q_{(i_s, j_s)}(\sigma) \quad \text{by (ii),(vi)} \\ &= kn - \sum_{s=1}^k (1 + f_{(i_s, j_s)}(\sigma) + 2|\mathbf{under}_{(i_s, j_s)}| + |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l| + |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^r|) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=1}^k (2|l_{(i_s, j_s)}| + |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l|) \quad \text{by (iii) and def. } f(\sigma) \\ &= nk - k - f(\sigma) - 3c(P_\sigma) - \sum_{s=1}^k (2|\mathbf{under}_{(i_s, j_s)}| + 2|l_{(i_s, j_s)}|) \quad \text{by (iv),(v)} \\ &= nk - k - f(\sigma) - 3c(P_\sigma) - \sum_{s=1}^k (|\mathbf{under}_{(i_s, j_s)}| + |\mathbf{over}_{(i_s, j_s)}| + |l_{(i_s, j_s)}| + |r_{(i_s, j_s)}|) \quad \text{by (i)} \\ &= nk - k - f(\sigma) - 3c(P_\sigma) - \sum_{s=1}^k (k - 1 - (|c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l| + |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^r|)) \quad \text{by (iii)} \\ &= nk - k - f(\sigma) - 3c(P_\sigma) - k(k - 1) + 2c(P_\sigma) = \\ &= k(n - k) - f(P_\sigma) - c(P_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. Let us translate the definitions of 2.3 into the language of link patterns.

For $i, j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we define $\pi_{i,j}(P_\sigma)$ to be a link pattern from i to j . It consists only of arcs $(i', j') \in P_\sigma$ such that $(i', j') \in [i, j]$. On the other hand there is 1 in place (i', j') of matrix N_σ where $i' \geq i$ and $j' \leq j$ iff there exists $(i', j') \in \sigma$. Hence $\ell(\pi_{i,j}(P_\sigma))$ is equal to number of ones in $\pi_{i,j}(N_\sigma)$ which in turn is $\text{Rank}(\pi_{i,j}(N_\sigma))$ as it was noted in 2.3. Thus


$$(R_\sigma)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \ell(\pi_{i,j}(P_\sigma)) & \text{if } i < j; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

exactly as we have defined in 1.5. Together with 2.4 this provides the result announced in 1.5.

3.3. Now we can describe the cover of σ for our partial order in terms of link patterns. We start with the description of $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)$ defined in 1.6.

Recall from 1.6 $(i, j) \in P_\sigma$ is called external if $\mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma) = \emptyset$. Let $E(\sigma)$ be the set of external arcs in P_σ .

For example let $\sigma = (1, 3)(2, 7)(4, 5)$ then P_σ is



and $E(\sigma) = \{(1, 3), (2, 7)\}$.

For $(i, j) \in \sigma$ put $\sigma_{(i,j)}^-$ to be an involution obtained from σ by omitting (i, j) . Respectively $P_{\sigma_{(i,j)}^-}$ is obtained from P_σ by erasing arc (i, j) .

By [7, 3.7, 3.9] one has

Proposition. $\mathcal{N}(\sigma) = \{\sigma_{(i,j)}^- \mid (i, j) \in E(\sigma)\}$

3.4. $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ defined in 1.6 is more involved. Its description given in [7, 3.11-3.14] is rather complicated. However it is much more short and elegant in the language of link patterns. $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ contains of three types of elements which we describe in 3 following subsections. The main idea which is very clear on the level of link patterns is that the elements of $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ are obtained either by moving one arc over a fixed point, or by crossing two arcs. If $P_{\sigma'}$ is obtained from P_σ in such way, then $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma'}$ must be of codimension 1 in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma$. A more delicate point is that all the elements of $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ are obtained in such way. This is exactly the content of Proposition 3.15 of [7].

We begin with constructing a link pattern obtained from a given one by moving one arc over some fixed point.

Given $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$ we put $\langle \sigma \rangle := \langle P_\sigma \rangle := \{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_k, j_k\}$ to be the set of end points of arcs.

For $(i, j) \in \sigma$ let $m = \max\{p < i \mid p \notin \langle \sigma \rangle\}$. If such m exists and for any $(i', j') \in \sigma$ such that $i' \in [m, i]$ one has $(i', j') \in [m, j]$ we put $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)}$ to be an involution obtained from σ by changing (i, j) to (m, j) . Otherwise put $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)} = \emptyset$.

In terms of link patterns this construction is described as follows. Let m be the closest fixed point of P_σ to the left of arc (i, j) . If such m exists (that is if there are fixed points to the left of arc (i, j)) and $\mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma) \subseteq \mathbf{over}_m(P_\sigma)$ then $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)}$ is an involution obtained from σ by changing (i, j) to (m, j) . Otherwise $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)} = \emptyset$.

Let $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)}$ be the involution obtained from σ by changing (i, j) to (m, j) . Note that by 3.2 we get

$$(R_{\sigma_{\frown(i,j)}})_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (R_\sigma)_{s,t} - 1 & \text{if } m < s \leq i \text{ and } t \geq j; \\ (R_\sigma)_{s,t} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$


Thus, if $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)} \neq \emptyset$ then $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)} < \sigma$.

Exactly in the same way, for $(i, j) \in \sigma$ let $m = \min\{p > j \mid p \notin \langle \sigma \rangle\}$. If such m exists and for any $(i', j') \in \sigma$ such that $j' \in [j, m]$ one has $(i', j') \in [i, m]$ we put $\sigma_{(i,j)\frown}$ to be an involution obtained from σ by changing (i, j) to (i, m) . Otherwise put $\sigma_{(i,j)\frown} = \emptyset$.


Again, the translation of this procedure to the language of link patterns provide us the following description of the procedure. Let m be the closest fixed point of P_σ to the right of arc (i, j) . If such m exists (that is if there are fixed points to the right of arc (i, j)) and $\mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_\sigma) \subseteq \mathbf{over}_m(P_\sigma)$ then $\sigma_{(i,j)\frown}$ is an involution obtained from σ by changing (i, j) to (i, m) . Otherwise $\sigma_{(i,j)\frown} = \emptyset$.

And again exactly as in case $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)}$ if $\sigma_{(i,j)\frown} \neq \emptyset$ then $\sigma_{(i,j)\frown} < \sigma$.


For example, let $\sigma = (1, 6)(3, 5)(4, 7) \in \mathbf{S}_8^2$.




Then $\sigma_{\frown(1,6)} = \emptyset$ and $\sigma_{(1,6)\frown} = (1,8)(3,5)(4,7)$ so that



$\sigma_{\frown(3,5)} = (1,6)(2,5)(4,7)$ and $\sigma_{(3,5)\frown} = \emptyset$ so that



Finally, $\sigma_{\frown(4,7)} = (1,6)(3,5)(2,7)$ and $\sigma_{(4,7)\frown} = (1,6)(3,5)(4,8)$ so that



As an easy corollary of Theorem 3.1 we get

Proposition. *Let σ' be either $\sigma_{\frown(i,j)}$ or $\sigma_{(i,j)\frown}$. Then $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = 1$.*

Proof. By our note above $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma$.

Let us compute $\dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'}$. It is enough to compute it in one of the cases since one case can be obtained from the other by mirroring P_σ around the point $\frac{1+n}{2}$.

For example let us consider case $\sigma' = \sigma_{\frown(i,j)}$. Let m be the fixed point such that $(i, j) \rightarrow (m, j)$. Recall notation from the proof of Theorem 3.1. Note that

- $f_{(m,j)}(P_{\sigma'}) = f_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma}) + 1$ and since $\mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma}) \subset \mathbf{over}_m(P_{\sigma})$ one has $c_{(m,j)}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = c_{(i,j)}^r(P_{\sigma})$.
- Again, since $\mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma}) \subset \mathbf{over}_m(P_{\sigma})$ one has $\mathbf{over}_{(m,j)}(P_{\sigma'}) = \mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma})$ so that for any $(i', j') \in \mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma})$ one has $f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma})$ and $c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma})$.
- Exactly in the same way for $(i', j') \in P_{\sigma}$ such that $(i', j') \notin \mathbf{over}_m(P_{\sigma})$ one has $f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma})$ and $c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma})$.
- If $(i', j') \in (\mathbf{over}_m(P_{\sigma}) \setminus \mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma})) \cap l_{(i,j)}^l(P_{\sigma})$ (that is $i' < m$ and $i < j' < j$) then again $f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma})$ and $c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma})$.
- Finally, if $(i', j') \in (\mathbf{over}_m(P_{\sigma}) \setminus \mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma})) \cap l_{(i,j)}^r(P_{\sigma})$ (that is $i' < m$ and $m < j' < i$) then $f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = f_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma}) - 1$ and $c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = c_{(i',j')}^r(P_{\sigma}) + 1$.

Set $t := |(\mathbf{over}_m(P_{\sigma}) \setminus \mathbf{over}_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma})) \cap l_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma})|$. Summarizing the calculations above we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(P_{\sigma'}) &= \sum_{s=1}^k f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_{\sigma'}) = f(P_{\sigma}) + 1 - t; \\ c(P_{\sigma'}) &= \sum_{s=1}^k c_{(i_s, j_s)}^r(P_{\sigma'}) = c(P_{\sigma}) + t; \end{aligned}$$

so that $\dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = k(n-k) - f(P_{\sigma'}) - c(P_{\sigma'}) = \dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma} - 1$. \square

3.5. Let us again consider $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$. Take $i, j \in \langle \sigma \rangle$ such that $i < j$. Assume that they belong to different pairs, that is $i \in \{i_s, j_s\}$ and $j \in \{i_t, j_t\}$ where $s \neq t$. Put $\sigma_{i \rightleftharpoons j}$ to be an involution obtained by interchanging places of i and j in the pairs. Assume that $\{i_s, j_s\} = \{i, p\}$ and $\{i_t, j_t\} = \{j, q\}$ then $\sigma_{i \rightleftharpoons j} = (i, q)(j, p) \dots$ where by dots we denote all the pairs of σ but $(i_s, j_s), (i_t, j_t)$. Note that pairs (i, q) and (j, p) may be given in that case not in canonical order that is it can happen that $i > q$ or $j > p$, so that strictly speaking we must write pairs $\{i, q\}, \{j, p\}$.

The link pattern $P_{\sigma'}$ described below is obtained from P_{σ} by crossing some arc with an arc to the left of it.

Let $(i, j) \in \sigma$. Translating [7, 3.13] we put

$$L_{(i,j)}(\sigma) := \{(i_s, j_s) \in \sigma \mid j_s < i \text{ and } \{p\}_{p=j_s+1}^{i-1} \subset \langle \pi_{i_s, j_s}(P_{\sigma}) \rangle\}$$

In other words $(i_s, j_s) \in L_{(i,j)}(\sigma)$ if $(i_s, j_s) \in l_{(i,j)}(P_{\sigma})$ and there are no fixed points between j_s and i in $\pi_{i_s, j_s}(P_{\sigma})$. Note that $L_{(i,j)}(\sigma)$ may contain a few elements. Put


$$S_{(i,j) \leftrightarrow}(\sigma) := \begin{cases} \{\sigma_{j_s \rightleftharpoons i} \mid (i_s, j_s) \in L_{(i,j)}(\sigma)\} & \text{if } L_{(i,j)}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that for $(i_s, j_s) \in L_{(i,j)}(\sigma)$ one has


$$(R_{\sigma_{j_s \rightleftharpoons i}})_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (R_{\sigma})_{s,t} - 1 & \text{if } i \leq s < j_s \text{ and } t \geq j \text{ or } j_s \leq t < i \text{ and } s \leq i_s; \\ (R_{\sigma})_{s,t} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus for any $(i_s, j_s) \in L_{(i,j)}(\sigma)$ one has $\sigma_{j_s \rightleftharpoons i} < \sigma$.


For example, let $\sigma = (1, 5)(2, 4)(3, 6)(7, 9)(10, 11) \in \mathbf{S}_{11}^2$. Its link pattern is



One has $L_{(1,5)}(\sigma) = L_{(2,4)}(\sigma) = L_{(3,6)}(\sigma) = \emptyset$, $L_{(7,9)}(\sigma) = \{(1, 5), (3, 6)\}$, so that



and $L_{(10,11)}(\sigma) = \{(7,9)\}$ so that



Again, as an easy corollary of Theorem 3.1 we get

Proposition. *If $L_{(i,j)}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ then $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = 1$ for any $\sigma \in S_{(i,j) \rightarrow}(\sigma)$*

Proof. By the note above $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma$. As well by the definition of $L_{(i,j)}(\sigma)$ $P_{\sigma'}$ differs from P_σ by one additional cross obtained from changing pairs $(i_s, j_s)(i, j)$ to $(i_s, i)(j_s, j)$ as we show below.

Indeed, since there are no fixed points between j_s and i in $\pi_{i_s, j}(P_\sigma)$ we get that in particular there are no fixed points between j_s and i in P_σ so that $f(P_\sigma) = f(P_{\sigma'})$. As well the absence of fixed points between j_s and i in $\pi_{i_s, j}(P_\sigma)$ means that $\mathbf{over}_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) \cap l_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) = \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{over}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) \cap r_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) = \emptyset$ so that $c(P_{\sigma'}) = c(P_\sigma) + 1$. Thus by Theorem 3.1 $\dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = \dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma - 1$. \square

3.6. The last type of elements in $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ is obtained by crossing two concentric arcs. It is defined as follows. For $(i, j) \in \sigma$ recall $\mathbf{over}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$ and $\mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$ from 3.1 and put

$$Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma) := \{(i_s, j_s) \in \mathbf{over}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) \mid \mathbf{under}_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) = \{(i, j)\} \cup \mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)\}$$

In other words $(i_s, j_s) \in Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma)$ if $(i_s, j_s) \in \mathbf{over}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$ and there are no arcs between (i_s, j_s) and (i, j) in P_σ . Note that $Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma)$ may contain a few elements. Put


$$S_{(i, j) \downarrow \downarrow}(\sigma) := \begin{cases} \{\sigma_{i_s \rightleftharpoons i} \mid (i_s, j_s) \in Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma)\} & \text{if } Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset; \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\sigma_{i_s \rightleftharpoons i} = \sigma_{j_s \rightleftharpoons j}$. Note also that for $(i_p, j_p) \in Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma)$ one has


$$(R_{\sigma_{i_p \rightleftharpoons i}})_{s, t} = \begin{cases} (R_\sigma)_{s, t} - 1 & \text{if } i_p < s \leq i \text{ and } j \leq t < j_s; \\ (R_\sigma)_{s, t} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus for any $(i_p, j_p) \in Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma)$ one has $\sigma_{i_p \rightleftharpoons i} < \sigma$.


For example, let $\sigma = (1, 11)(2, 6)(3, 9)(4, 5) \in \mathbf{S}_{11}^2$. Its link pattern is




One has $Ov_{(1,11)}(\sigma) = \emptyset$, $Ov_{(2,6)}(\sigma) = \{(1, 11)\}$ so that



and $Ov_{(3,9)}(\sigma) = \{(1, 11)\}$ so that



Finally $Ov_{(4,5)}(\sigma) = \{(2, 6), (3, 9)\}$ so that



And again exactly as in 3.5 we get

Proposition. *If $Ov_{(i,j)}(\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ then $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = 1$ for any $\sigma \in S_{(i,j)1}(\sigma)$*

Proof. Exactly as in 3.5 we get that $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} \subset \overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma$ and exactly as in 3.5 we get that $f(P_{\sigma'}) = f(P_\sigma)$ and $c(P_{\sigma'}) = c(P_\sigma) + 1$ which together provide us the result. \square

3.7. By Proposition 3.15 from [7] and Propositions 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 we get

Theorem. *Let $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k) \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ then*

(i)

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma) = \prod_{s=1}^k \sigma_{(i_s, j_s) \curvearrowright} \sqcup \prod_{s=1}^k \sigma_{\curvearrowright (i_s, j_s)} \sqcup \prod_{s=1}^k S_{(i_s, j_s) \leftrightarrow}(\sigma) \sqcup \prod_{s=1}^k S_{(i_s, j_s) \downarrow}(\sigma)$$

(ii) *Let $\sigma' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ be such that $\sigma' < \sigma$. Then $\sigma' \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ iff $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = 1$.*

3.8. Now we are ready to finish the description of $\mathcal{C}(\sigma)$. Note first that

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{C}(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{D}(\sigma) \cup \mathcal{N}(\sigma)$$

So we have to find $\mathcal{N}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{C}(\sigma)$.

Consider $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k) \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$. Let $E_{\max}(\sigma)$ be the subset of arcs in $E(\sigma)$ without fixed points outside of them. In that case all the fixed points of P_σ are under arc (i, j) so that $(i, j) \in E_{\max}(\sigma)$ iff $f_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) = n - 2k$. We can use this fact to define $E_{\max}(\sigma)$ formally:

$$E_{\max}(\sigma) := \{(i, j) \in E(\sigma) \mid f_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) = n - 2k\}$$

Lemma. *Consider $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k) \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$ and let $(i, j) \in E(\sigma)$.*

(i) *If $(i, j) \notin E_{\max}(\sigma)$ then $\sigma_{(i, j)}^- \notin \mathcal{C}(\sigma)$.*

(ii) *If $(i, j) \in E_{\max}(\sigma)$ then $\dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-} = \dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma - 1$.*

Proof. To prove (i) note that for any $(i, j) \in E(\sigma)$ one has $\mathbf{over}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) = \emptyset$. So the conditions of 3.4 for $(i, j) \in E(\sigma)$ are satisfied iff $(i, j) \notin E_{\max}(\sigma)$ and in this case $S = \{\sigma_{\curvearrowright (i, j)}, \sigma_{(i, j) \curvearrowright}\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\sigma' \in S$ and let $P_{\sigma'}$ be obtained from P_σ by replacing (i, j) to (i', j') . Then obviously $\sigma_{(i, j)}^- = (\sigma')_{(i', j')}$ so that $\sigma_{(i, j)}^- < \sigma' < \sigma$ and $\sigma_{(i, j)}^- \notin \mathcal{C}(\sigma)$.

To compute (ii) we use notation from the proof of theorem 3.1. Consider an arc $(i_s, j_s) \in P_\sigma$.

- If (i_s, j_s) does not intersect (i, j) then

$$f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) = f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) \quad \text{and} \quad |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_\sigma)| = |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-})|.$$

- If (i_s, j_s) intersects (i, j) on the left i is a new fixed point under (i_s, j_s) in $P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}$ comparing to P_σ and the crossings from the left of (i_s, j_s) are the same in both patterns, so that

$$f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) = f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_\sigma)| = |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-})|$$

- If (i_s, j_s) intersects (i, j) on the right then j is a new fixed point under (i_s, j_s) in $P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}$ comparing to P_σ and there is one less crossing from the left of (i_s, j_s) in $P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}$ comparing to P_σ , so that

$$f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) = f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_\sigma)| = |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-})| + 1$$

- Finally, since there are no fixed points outside of (i, j) in P_σ one has $f_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) = n - 2k$

Summarizing we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(P_\sigma) &= \sum_{s=1}^k f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) = \sum_{(i_s, j_s) \neq (i, j)} f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_\sigma) + f_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma) = \\ & \sum_{(i_s, j_s) \neq (i, j)} f_{(i_s, j_s)}(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) - |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| - |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)| + n - 2k = \\ & f(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) - |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| - |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)| + n - 2k; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(P_\sigma) &= \sum_{s=1}^k |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_\sigma)| = \sum_{(i_s, j_s) \neq (i, j)} |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| = \\
 &\left(\sum_{\substack{(i_s, j_s) \neq (i, j) \\ (i_s, j_s) \notin c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)}} |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-})| \right) + \left(\sum_{(i_s, j_s) \in c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)} |c_{(i_s, j_s)}^l(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-})| + |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)| \right) + |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| = \\
 &c(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) + |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)|
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim \mathcal{B}_\sigma &= k(n-k) - f(P_\sigma) - c(P_\sigma) = \\
 &k(n-k) - \left(f(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) - |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| - |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)| + n - 2k \right) - \\
 &\left(c(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) + |c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma)| + |c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma)| \right) = \\
 &k(n-k) - (n-2k) - f(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) - c(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) = \\
 &(k-1)(n-k+1) + 1 - f(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) - c(P_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-}) = \dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_{(i, j)}^-} + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

3.9. As a straightforward corollary of theorem 3.7 and lemma 3.8, we get

Theorem. Let $\sigma = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k) \in \mathbf{S}_n^2$. One has

- (i) $\mathcal{C}(\sigma) = \mathcal{D}(\sigma) \sqcup \{\sigma_{(i, j)}^- \mid (i, j) \in E_{\max}(\sigma)\}$.
- (ii) $\sigma' \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma)$ iff $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma} \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = 1$.

4. RELATIONS ON SET OF MAXIMAL **B** ORBITS AND MEANDERS

4.1. In this section we apply the results obtained above to the closures of **B** orbits of dimension $k(n-k)$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{(n-k, k)}$, that is of the maximal possible dimension. Such orbit is dense in an orbital variety associated to $\mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)}^*$ and every orbital variety associated to $\mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)}^*$ admits such **B** orbit as we explained in 1.7. As it was shown in [7, 4.5] the closure of an orbital variety of nilpotent order 2 is a union of orbital varieties. In [7, 4.3] the combinatorial description of such orbital variety in terms of Young tableaux is given. We would like to use link patterns to simplify this description.

Let $T \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}$ be some two column tableau, then by Proposition 2.2 \mathcal{B}_T is the dense **B**-orbit in \mathcal{V}_T . By definition of an orbital variety $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_T = \mathcal{V}_T \sqcup \bigcup_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma_T)} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{\sigma'}$. Moreover, since an

element of $\mathcal{N}(\sigma_T)$ is obtained from σ_T by erasing an external arc it is a link pattern without fixed points under an arc and without arc crossings, thus $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma'}$ for $\sigma' \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_T}$ is $\sigma' = \sigma_{T'}$ for some $T' \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k+1, k-1)}$.

How to define $\{T' \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k+1, k-1)} \mid \sigma_{T'} \in \mathcal{N}(\sigma_T)\}$? The answer given in [7, 4.3] is too complex to be satisfactory. Let us try to understand the picture using link patterns.

Given $\sigma_T = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$ ordered in increasing order according to the second entry of the cycle (that is $j_1 < \dots < j_k$). Then $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_k \end{pmatrix}$ (cf. 2.2). Moreover, set $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ defines σ_T

completely. In turn $\sigma_{T'}$ is obtained from σ_T by erasing some external arc, that is $T'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ j_{l-1} \\ j_{l+1} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$

for some $l : 1 \leq l \leq k$. To determine $T' : \sigma_{T'} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_T}$ we must find $E(\sigma_T)$ – the subset of external arcs. The arc $(i_l, j_l) \in E(\sigma_T)$ if for any $(i_s, j_s) \in \sigma$ such that $s > l$ one has $i_s > j_l$ (this happens since arcs do not intersect). Thus for any $s > l$ there must be at least $2(s-l) - 1$ points between j_l and j_s . In other words the necessary condition is $j_s - j_l \geq 2(s-l)$. This is also a sufficient condition. Let us formulate it as a lemma

Lemma. *Let $T \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k,k)}$ be such that $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_k \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\sigma_T = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$. Then $E(\sigma_T) = \{(i_l, j_l) \mid l = k \text{ or } \forall s : l < s \leq k \Rightarrow j_s - j_l \geq 2(s-l)\}$.*

Proof. First of all let us show that if $(i_l, j_l) \in E(\sigma_T)$ then for any $s > l$ one must have $j_s - j_l \geq 2(s-l)$. Indeed, for any $t : l < t \leq s$ one has (as we already mentioned) $i_t > j_l$ and $j_t \leq j_s$ so that $[j_l + 1, j_s]$ must contain at least $2(s-l)$ points, therefore $j_s - j_l \geq 2(s-l)$.

Exactly in the same way we show that if $j_s - j_l \geq 2(s-l)$ for any $s > l$ then $(i_l, j_l) \in E(\sigma_T)$. Indeed, for $s = l + 1$ one has $j_{l+1} \geq j_l + 2$ so that $j_{l+1} - 1 \in \langle T_1 \rangle$ and $i_{l+1} = j_{l+1} - 1$. Assume that we already know arcs $(i_{l+1}, j_{l+1}), \dots, (i_{s-1}, j_{s-1})$ and $i_{l+1}, \dots, i_{s-1} \in [j_l + 1, j_s]$. By the construction $i_s = \max\{r\}_{r=1}^n \setminus \{i_p, j_p\}_{p=1}^{s-1}$. And since there are at least $2(s-l)$ points in $[j_l + 1, j_s]$ and only $2(s-l-1) + 1$ are occupied we get that $i_s \in [j_l + 1, j_s]$. \square

4.2. Consider $T \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k,k)}$ and let $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_k \end{pmatrix}$. For $b \in \langle T_2 \rangle$ put $T\langle b \rangle$ to be the tableau

obtained from T by moving b from T_2 to an appropriate place in T_1 .

Let us denote by $\mathcal{N}(T) := \{S \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k+1,k-1)} \mid \mathcal{V}_S \subset \mathcal{V}_T\}$. By dimension reasoning it is obvious that $\mathcal{V}_S : S \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ are orbital varieties of maximal dimension in $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_T \setminus \mathcal{V}_T$. Moreover the fact that \mathcal{V}_T admits a dense \mathbf{B} orbit and Proposition 3.3 provide that these are all maximal orbital varieties in $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_T \setminus \mathcal{V}_T$. In other words $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_T = \mathcal{V}_T \sqcup \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{N}(T)} \overline{\mathcal{V}}_S$.

As a straightforward corollary of Lemma 4.1 we get

Theorem. *Let $T \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k,k)}$ and $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 \\ \vdots \\ j_k \end{pmatrix}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{N}(T) = \{T\langle j_i \rangle \mid i = k \text{ or } \forall s : i < s \leq k \Rightarrow j_s - j_i \geq 2(s-i)\}.$$

This theorem simplifies Theorem 4.3 from [7].


4.3. Now let us consider the intersections of orbital varieties associated to $\mathcal{O}_{(n-k,k)^*}$ in terms of link patterns. First note that for $T, S \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k,k)}$ one has

$$\mathcal{V}_T \cap \mathcal{V}_S = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_T \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_S \cap \mathcal{O}_{(n-k,k)^*} = \coprod_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(K) \\ \sigma \preceq \sigma_T, \sigma_S}} \mathcal{B}_\sigma.$$

We will try to understand these intersections in terms of meanders. We draw one link pattern upwards and one link pattern downwards. If both link patterns of a meander are without intersections we get a classical meander, otherwise we get a generalized meander.

As we have mentioned in the introduction a generalized meander $M_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ corresponding to $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$ provides us an easy way to compute $R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$. However for a generalized meander the picture seems to be too complex to understand it without going to matrix $R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$.

As it is shown in [9, 3.8] even an intersection of codimension 1 for generic \mathbf{B} orbits of the same dimension can be reducible. Let us use this example to construct the corresponding generalized meander. Let $\sigma = (1, 5)(3, 4)$ and $\sigma' = (2, 4)(3, 5)$ in $\mathbf{S}_5^2(2)$. Note that $\dim \mathcal{B}_{(1,5)(3,4)} = \dim \mathcal{B}_{(2,4)(3,5)} = 5$. The generalized meander is



and

$$R_{(1,5)(3,4), (2,4)(3,5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$


One can easily check using Proposition 2.3 that $R_{(1,5)(3,4), (2,4)(3,5)} \notin \mathbf{R}_5^2$ so that the intersection is reducible by Theorem 2.5. Computing $R_{(1,4)(3,5)}$ and $R_{(1,5)(2,4)}$ we get $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(1,5)(3,4)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(2,4)(3,5)} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(1,4)(3,5)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(1,5)(2,4)}$ so that the intersection is of pure codimension 1 however it is reducible.

4.4. The picture for the orbital varieties associated to $\mathcal{O}_{(n-k,k)^*}$ is much more pleasant. Let us give a few combinatorial notation on (classical) meanders that we need in what follows. Recall $\langle P_\sigma \rangle$ from 3.4. Let $M_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ be a meander consisting of link patterns $P_\sigma, P_{\sigma'}$. We call $M_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ **sewed** if for any arc $(i, j) \in P_\sigma$ one has $\langle P_{\sigma'} \rangle \cap \{i, j\} \neq \emptyset$ and for any arc $(i', j') \in P_{\sigma'}$ one has $\langle P_\sigma \rangle \cap \{i', j'\} \neq \emptyset$. In other words if from any end point of one side one can pass by the arcs to some end point of the other side. A **cycle** of a meander is a subset of arcs giving a closed path.

Now let us consider meanders corresponding to orbital varieties associated to $\mathcal{O}_{(n-k,k)^*}$. Note that $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{V}_T}(\mathcal{V}_T \cap \mathcal{V}_S) = 1$ iff $\mathcal{D}(\sigma_T) \cap \mathcal{D}(\sigma_S) \neq \emptyset$.


Since for $\sigma' \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_T)$ one has $\dim \mathcal{B}_{\sigma'} = k(n-k) - 1$ we get that $P_{\sigma'}$ either has one fixed point under an arc, or exactly two arcs intersecting.

If there is one fixed point m under an arc (i, j) there are exactly two ways to get a bigger link pattern (without fixed points under the arcs) and by maximality of dimension both of them will be link patterns of some orbital variety:




where dots on $[i, m]$ are all end points of arcs belonging to $\pi_{i,m}(P_\sigma)$ and dots on $[m, j]$ are end points of arcs belonging to $\pi_{m,j}(P_\sigma)$.

Note that M_{σ_T, σ_S} in that case consists of $k - 1$ cycles $(i_s, j_s) \neq (i, m), (m, j)$ and one line connecting i and j via m so that the meander is sewed:




If there is one intersection of arcs $(i, j), (i', j')$ there are exactly two ways to get a bigger link pattern (without crossings) and by maximality of dimension both of them are link patterns of some orbital variety:



where dots on $[i, i']$ are all end points of arcs belonging to $\pi_{i,i'}(P_\sigma)$, dots on $[i', j]$ are all end points of arcs belonging to $\pi_{i',j}(P_\sigma)$ and dots on $[j, j']$ are all end points of arcs belonging to $\pi_{j,j'}(P_\sigma)$.

Note that M_{σ_T, σ_S} consists of $k - 1$ cycles: $k - 2$ cycles of type (i_s, j_s) where $\{i_s, j_s\} \cap \{i, i', j, j'\} = \emptyset$ and a cycle involving $\{i, i', j, j'\}$:




Thus, in both cases we get a sewed meander with $k - 1$ cycles. And these are the only types of sewed meanders constructed from link patterns with k arcs without fixed points under the arcs with $k - 1$ cycles.

As well one can see from the pictures above that for $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma_T)$ there exists exactly one S such that $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma_T} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\sigma_S} \supset \{\sigma\}$ and in this case $\mathcal{D}_{\sigma_T} \cap \mathcal{D}_{\sigma_S} = \{\sigma\}$. Summarizing we get

Theorem. For $S, T \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}$ one has $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{V}_T}(\mathcal{V}_T \cap \mathcal{V}_S) = 1$ if and only if M_{σ_T, σ_S} is a sewed meander with $(k - 1)$ cycles.

4.5. May be meanders are helpful also for the description of the intersection of two generic orbital varieties associated to $\mathcal{O}_{(n-k, k)}$. Let $T, S \in \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}$ and let M_{σ_T, σ_S} be the corresponding meander. Is it possible to read out from M_{σ_T, σ_S} the number of components in the intersection and their dimensions without consulting R_{σ_T, σ_S} ? I do not see an easy way to do this. Let us show the example of not equidimensional intersection of orbital varieties for $n = 6$ provided in [8, 5.7]. Put $\sigma_T = (2, 3)(5, 6)$ and $\sigma_S = (1, 2)(4, 5)$. One has $\dim \mathcal{B}_{(2,3)(5,6)} = \dim \mathcal{B}_{(1,2)(4,5)} = 8$. The corresponding meander is



We get a sewed meander without cycles (that is with $k - 2$ cycles), so it is plausible that the intersection is of codimension 2. However, we need R_{σ_T, σ_S} to study the details. We get

$$R_{\sigma_T, \sigma_S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Proposition 2.3 $R_{\sigma_T, \sigma_S} \notin \mathbf{R}_6^2$ so that by Theorem 2.4 the intersection is reducible. Computing $R_{(1,3)(4,6)}$, $R_{(1,6)(2,5)}$ we get

$$\mathcal{V}_T \cap \mathcal{V}_S = (\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(1,3)(4,6)} \cup \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(1,6)(2,5)}) \cap \mathcal{O}_{(4,2)^*}$$

and $\dim \mathcal{B}_{(1,3)(4,6)} = 6$ and $\dim \mathcal{B}_{(1,6)(2,5)} = 4$ so that $\mathcal{V}_T \cap \mathcal{V}_S$ contains one component of codimension 2 and another component of codimension 4. The question is how this can be read out from the meander without computing R_{σ_T, σ_S} .

INDEX OF NOTATION

- 1.1 $\mathcal{O}_u, \mathfrak{R}, \mathbf{B}, \mathcal{B}_u, \mathcal{X}$
- 1.2 $\lambda, \lambda^*, J(u), \mathcal{O}_\lambda, D_\lambda$
- 1.3 $\mathbf{S}_n^2, N_\sigma, \mathcal{B}_\sigma, P_\sigma$
- 1.4 $\ell(P_\sigma), c(P_\sigma), f(P_\sigma), \mathbf{S}_n^2(k)$
- 1.5 $[i, j], R_\sigma, \succeq$
- 1.6 $\mathcal{C}(\sigma), \mathcal{N}(\sigma), \mathcal{D}(\sigma)$
- 1.7 $R_{\sigma, \sigma'}$
- 2.1 $\mathfrak{B}_{(n-k, k)}, q_{(i, j)}(\sigma)$
- 2.2 $\mathcal{V}_T, \mathbf{Tab}_{(n-k, k)}, T_1, T_2, \langle T_i \rangle, \sigma_T, \mathcal{B}_T$
- 2.3 $\pi_{i, j}, R_u, R_\sigma, \mathbf{R}_n^2$
- 3.1 $\mathbf{over}_m(P_\sigma), \mathbf{over}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma), l_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma), r_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma), c_{(i, j)}^l(P_\sigma), c_{(i, j)}^r(P_\sigma), \mathbf{under}_{(i, j)}(P_\sigma)$
- 3.2 $\pi_{i, j}(P_\sigma)$
- 3.3 $E(\sigma), \sigma_{\overline{(i, j)}}$
- 3.4 $\langle \sigma \rangle, \sigma_{\curvearrowright(i, j)}, \sigma_{(i, j)\curvearrowleft}$
- 3.5 $\sigma_{i \rightleftharpoons j}, L_{(i, j)}(\sigma), S_{(i, j)\leftrightarrow}$
- 3.6 $Ov_{(i, j)}(\sigma), S_{(i, j)\downarrow\uparrow}$
- 3.8 $E_{\max}(\sigma)$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Di Francesco, P. Zinn-Justin, From Orbital Varieties to Alternating Sign Matrices, math-ph/0512047.
- [2] W. Hesselink, *Singularities in the nilpotent scheme of a classical group*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **222** (1976), pp. 1-32.
- [3] A. Joseph, On the variety of a highest weight module, J. of Algebra 88 (1984), 238-278.

- [4] A. Knutson, P. Zinn-Justin, A scheme related to the Brauer loop model, math.AG/0503224.
- [5] A. Melnikov, *Orbital varieties in \mathfrak{sl}_n and the Smith conjecture*, J. of Algebra, **200** (1998), pp. 1-31.
- [6] A. Melnikov, *B-orbits in solutions to the equation $X^2 = 2$ in triangular matrices*, J. of Algebra, **223** (2000), pp. 101-108.
- [7] A. Melnikov, *Description of B-orbit closures of order 2 in upper-triangular matrices*, Transformation Groups, Vol. 11 No. 2, 2006, pp. 217-247.
- [8] A. Melnikov, J.G.N. Pagnon, *Intersections of components of a Springer fiber for the hook and two column cases*, math.RT/0607673.
- [9] A. Melnikov, J.G.N. Pagnon, *Intersections of components of a Springer fiber of codimension one for the two column case*, math.RT/0701178.
- [10] N. Spaltenstein, *The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold*, Proc. Konin. Nederl. Akad. **79** (1976), pp. 452-456.
- [11] N. Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes de sous-groupes de Borel*, LN in Math. 964 Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [12] R. Steinberg, *On the desingularization of the unipotent variety*, Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 209-224.
- [13] J.-B. Zuber, *On the counting of fully packed loop configurations: some new conjectures*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 11(2004), R13