

COXETER ELEMENTS AND PERIODIC AUSLANDER–REITEN QUIVER

A. KIRILLOV AND J. THIND

INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, to study a root system R one starts by choosing a set of simple roots $\Pi \subset R$ (or equivalently, polarization of the root system into positive and negative parts) which is then used in all constructions and proofs. To prove that the resulting answers are independent of the choice of simple roots, one uses the fact that any two choices of simple roots are conjugate under the action of the Weyl group. For example, this is the usual way to construct the Dynkin diagram.

In this paper, we discuss a different approach, starting not with a set of simple roots but with a choice of a Coxeter element C in the Weyl group. This is motivated by the geometric construction of root systems of ADE type from McKay correspondence, outlined in the next section; however, all our proofs are independent of the geometric construction. In particular, we show that for a simply-laced root system a choice of C gives rise to a natural construction of the Dynkin diagram, in which vertices of the diagram correspond to C -orbits in R ; moreover, it gives an identification of R with a certain subset $\hat{I} \subset I \times \mathbb{Z}_{2h}$, where h is the Coxeter number. The set \hat{I} has a natural quiver structure; we call it the *periodic Auslander-Reiten quiver*. Each simple root system Π compatible with C gives rise to an orientation Ω of I and to a subset of \hat{I} , corresponding to roots positive with respect to Π ; such a subset can be identified with the usual Auslander–Reiten quiver of the category $\text{Rep}(I, \Omega)$. Using this, we give a description of all possible simple root systems Π compatible with given Coxeter element.

We also show that C naturally gives rise to a “desymmetrization” of the bilinear form $(\ , \)$ on R : it gives us a non-degenerate integral **non-symmetric** bilinear form $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ such that $(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle$. This form is an analog of the Euler form in the theory of quiver representations.

This gives a combinatorial construction of the root system associated with the Dynkin diagram I : roots are vertices of \hat{I} , and the root lattice and the inner product admit an explicit description in terms of \hat{I} .

Many of the ideas developed in this paper were first suggested in Ocneanu’s work [Oc]; however, to the best of our knowledge, his constructions were never published.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation:

$R \subset E$ —a reduced, irreducible simply-laced root system in a Euclidean space E with inner product $(\ , \)$

W — Weyl group of R

$C \in W$ — a Coxeter element

h —Coxeter number

Acknowledgements. A.K. would like to thank V. Ostrik, A. Ocneanu, Y. Li, and X. He for helpful discussions.

1. MOTIVATION: GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION

In this section, we describe the motivation for the current work, which comes from the construction of root systems from McKay correspondence. None of the results of this section are used in the proofs in the remainder of the paper; however, this section is crucial for understanding the ideas behind the constructions.

Recall that the classical McKay correspondence is the correspondence between finite subgroups $G \subset SU(2)$ and affine Dynkin diagrams of ADE type. Under this correspondence, vertices of the Dynkin diagram correspond to irreducible representations of G ; we denote this set of vertices by I . It is also possible to construct the corresponding root system from G , without going through the construction of the Dynkin diagram. One such construction was suggested in [K]: if we consider the category \mathcal{C} of \bar{G} -equivariant sheaves on \mathbf{P}^1 (where $\bar{G} = G/\{\pm 1\}$), and the corresponding 2-periodic derived category $\mathcal{D} = D^b(\mathcal{C})/T^2$, where T is the translation functor, then the set of indecomposable objects of \mathcal{D} is an affine root system, with the inner product given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (x, y) &= \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle \\ \langle x, y \rangle &= \dim \mathrm{RHom}(x, y) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(x, y) - \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the set of all indecomposable objects can be very explicitly described. In this description of the root system there is no standard choice of a set of simple roots, but there is a fixed Coxeter element given by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)$.

However, in this paper we are interested not in affine but in finite root systems. For finite root systems of ADE type, there is a version of McKay correspondence described in [KO], [Os] (in which $SU(2)$ must be replaced by a quantum group at root of unity) but there is yet no reasonable construction of the analog of the category of equivariant sheaves on (quantum) \mathbf{P}^1 . However, by analogy with the affine case we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let I be a simply-laced Dynkin diagram with Coxeter number h . Then there exists a triangulated category \mathcal{D} and an exact functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}: \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(-2)$ (“twist”) with the following properties:*

- (1) *The category \mathcal{D} is 2-periodic: $T^2 = \mathrm{id}$*
- (2) *For any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}$, we have a canonical functorial isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(2h) = \mathcal{F}$, where h is the Coxeter number of I .*
- (3) *Let K be the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{D} . Define an inner product in K by (1.1). Then the set $\mathrm{Ind} \subset K$ of all isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{D} is a simply-laced root system, and the map $C: [\mathcal{F}] \mapsto [\mathcal{F}(-2)]$ is a Coxeter element for this root system.*
- (4) *Choose a function $p: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ (“parity function”) so that $p(i) = p(j) + 1$ if i, j are connected in I . Then there is a natural bijection between the set Ind of indecomposable objects in \mathcal{D} and the set*

$$\widehat{I} = \{(i, n) \in I \times \mathbb{Z}_{2h} \mid p(i) + n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$$

Under this bijection, the Coxeter element C defined above is identified with the operator $(i, n) \mapsto (i, n - 2)$. We will denote the indecomposable object corresponding to (i, n) by $X_i(n)$.

- (5) $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(X_i(n), X_j(n + 1)) = n_{ij}$ is the number of edges between i, j in I , and $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(X_i(n), X_j(n + 1)) = 0$. More generally, make \widehat{I} into a quiver by connecting, for every i, j, n , the vertices (i, n) and $(j, n + 1)$ by n_{ij} oriented edges. Then for any $(i, n), (j, m) \in \widehat{I}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}(X_i(n), X_j(m)) = \operatorname{Path}((i, n), (j, m)) / J$$

where Path is the space of paths in the quiver and J is some explicitly described quadratic ideal (cf. eq. (7.4) in [K]).

- (6) Let $h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2h}$ be a map satisfying the following condition: if i, j are connected in I , then $h(i) = h(j) \pm 1$. We will call any such map a “height function”; it defines an orientation Ω_h on I by $i \rightarrow j$ if $h(j) = h(i) + 1$.

For every such lifting h , define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_h: \mathcal{D} &\rightarrow \operatorname{Rep}(I, \Omega_h^{op}) \\ X &\mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in I} \operatorname{Hom}(X_i(h_i), X) \end{aligned}$$

where Ω_h^{op} is the orientation opposite to Ω_h and $\operatorname{Rep}(I, \Omega_h^{op})$ is the category of representations of corresponding quiver. Let $R\Phi_h$ be the corresponding derived functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(I, \Omega_h^{op})/T^2$. Then $R\Phi_h$ is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

An analog of this conjecture for affine root systems was proved in [K]; as mentioned above, in that case $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})/T^2$, where \mathcal{C} is the category of $G/\{\pm I\}$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on \mathbf{P}^1 . However, in the affine case the statement is slightly more complicated, as in this case indecomposable sheaves include both free sheaves and torsion ones. For finite-dimensional root systems, there are reasons to believe that all indecomposable sheaves will be “free sheaves” in a suitable sense.

At the moment, however, this remains a conjecture: we do not yet have a complete construction of \mathcal{D} — this is the subject of current research and will be described in forthcoming papers.

This conjecture would immediately imply a number of purely combinatorial results; for example, it would imply that the set $(C\text{-orbits in } R)/C$ is in natural bijection with the vertices of the Dynkin diagram. In the current paper we will give a purely combinatorial proof of this and other results, not relying on the conjecture above.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper $R \subset E$ is a reduced irreducible simply laced root system (type A , D or E) with invariant symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) chosen so that $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ for $\alpha \in R$. We denote by r the rank of R , and W its Weyl group.

We will **not** fix a choice of a simple root system. Instead, recall that for different simple root systems Π, Π' , there is a unique element $w \in W$ such that $w(\Pi) = \Pi'$ which therefore gives a canonical bijection between simple roots $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\alpha' \in \Pi'$. Therefore, it is possible to use a

single index set I for indexing simple roots in each of the simple roots systems. More formally, this can be stated as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *There is a canonical indexing set I , which depends only on the root system R , such that for any simple root system Π there is a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} I &\rightarrow \Pi \\ i &\mapsto \alpha_i^\Pi \end{aligned}$$

which is compatible with the action of W : if $\Pi' = w(\Pi)$, then $w(\alpha_i^\Pi) = \alpha_i^{\Pi'}$.

For any $i, j \in I$, we define

$$n_{ij} = -(\alpha_i^\Pi, \alpha_j^\Pi) \in \{0, 1\}$$

(this obviously does not depend on the choice of simple root system Π); taking vertices indexed by I with i, j connected by n_{ij} unoriented edges gives us the Dynkin diagram of R ; abusing the notation, we will also denote this diagram by I .

For given simple root system Π , we denote by R_+^Π the corresponding set of positive roots. We also denote $s_i^\Pi = s_{\alpha_i^\Pi}$ the simple reflections.

3. COXETER ELEMENT AND COMPATIBLE SIMPLE ROOT SYSTEMS

From now on, we fix a choice of a Coxeter element $C \in W$ and denote by h the Coxeter number, i.e. the order of C .

Definition 3.1. We say that a simple root system $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is *compatible* with C if we can write $C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$.

By definition, for any Coxeter element there exists at least one compatible simple root system. However, not every simple root system is compatible with given C . More precisely, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *For given simple root system Π and Coxeter element C we have $l^\Pi(C) \geq r$, where $l^\Pi(C)$ is the length of a reduced expression for C given in terms of the simple reflections s_i^Π . Moreover, C is compatible with Π if and only if $l^\Pi(C) = r$.*

Proof. Note that $s_i(\omega_j) = \omega_j$ for $j \neq i$ and $s_i(\omega_i) = -\omega_i + \sum_j n_{ij}\omega_j$. If $l^\Pi(C) < r$ then $C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$, and there exists $i \in I$ such that $i \neq i_k$ for any k . Hence $C(\omega_i) = \omega_i$. However the Coxeter element has no fixed vectors in E (see [Kos1, Lemma 8.1]). Thus, $l^\Pi(C) \geq r$.

Now suppose that $l^\Pi(C) = r$, so $C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$. Then the argument above shows that every $i \in I$ must appear in $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$. Since $|I| = r$, it must appear exactly once, so C is compatible with Π . \square

The next proposition describes the set of Coxeter elements compatible with a fixed set of simple roots.

Proposition 3.3. [Shi]

- (1) Let C be a Coxeter element, Π a simple root system compatible with C . Define an orientation on I as follows: $\bullet \xrightarrow{i} \bullet$ if i precedes j in a reduced expression for C : $C = \dots s_i^\Pi \dots s_j^\Pi \dots$. Then this orientation does not depend on the choice of a reduced expression for C .
- (2) For fixed Π , the correspondence

$$\{\text{Coxeter elements compatible with } \Pi\} \rightarrow \{\text{orientations of } I\}$$

defined in Part 1, is a bijection.

Proof. For a fixed set of simple roots Π , if we have two expressions $C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r} = s_{i'_1} \cdots s_{i'_r}$ for a Coxeter element, we can obtain one from another by the operations $s_i s_j \rightarrow s_j s_i$ for $i, j \in I$ satisfying $n_{ij} = 0$. Indeed, it is known (see [Bour]) that any two reduced expressions for an element $w \in W$ can be obtained from each other by using operations $s_i s_j \rightarrow s_j s_i$ if $n_{ij} = 0$ and $s_j s_i s_j \rightarrow s_i s_j s_i$ if $n_{ij} = 1$; since for a Coxeter element every simple reflection appears only once, the second operation does not apply. Thus, the orientation on I does not depend on the choice of reduced expression.

Conversely, given an orientation on I , we can define a complete order on I : $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ so that all arrows are of the form $\bullet \xrightarrow{i_k} \bullet$ with $k < l$; thus, this orientation is obtained from the Coxeter element $s_{i_1}^\Pi \cdots s_{i_r}^\Pi$. One easily sees that the order is defined uniquely up to interchanging i, j with $n_{ij} = 0$ and thus the Coxeter element is independent of this choice of order. \square

Example 3.4. For the root system $R = A_n$ and $\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \dots, \alpha_n = e_n - e_{n+1}\}$ the Coxeter element $C = s_1 \cdots s_n$ corresponds to the orientation $\bullet \xrightarrow{1} \bullet \xrightarrow{2} \cdots \xrightarrow{n} \bullet$.

What we are interested in, however, is the opposite direction: given a fixed Coxeter element C , we would like to describe all simple root systems Π which are compatible with C .

For fixed compatible C , Π the following result shows how to construct another set of simple roots compatible with C , and describes how the corresponding orientations of I relate.

Proposition 3.5. Let C , Π be compatible and $i \in I$ be a sink (or source) for the corresponding orientation of I .

- (1) $C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}} s_i$ (if $i \in I$ is a sink) or $C = s_i s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}}$ (if $i \in I$ is a source).
- (2) $\Pi' = s_i^\Pi \Pi$ is also a set of simple roots compatible with C . In this case, we will say that Π is obtained from Π' by elementary reflection. Note that we only allow applying elementary reflection s_i to Π when i is a sink or source for orientation defined by Π .
- (3) The orientation of I corresponding to Π' is obtained from the orientation corresponding to Π by reversing the arrows at i . Thus a sink becomes a source, and vice versa.

Proof. We will prove the result for $i \in I$ a sink. The proof for a source is almost identical.

- (1) If i is a sink, all s_j which do not commute with s_i must precede s_i in the reduced expression for C . Thus, s_i can be moved to the end of the reduced expression.

- (2) Denoting temporarily $s_j = s_j^\Pi$, $s'_j = s_j^{\Pi'}$, we see that $s'_j = s_i s_j s_i$. Then, using Part 1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} C &= s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}} s_i \\ &= (s_i s_{i_1}) s_{i_1} (s_i s_{i_2}) s_{i_2} (s_i s_{i_3}) \cdots (s_i s_{i_{r-1}}) s_{i_{r-1}} s_i \\ &= s_i (s_i s_{i_1} s_i) (s_i s_{i_2} s_i) \cdots (s_i s_{i_{r-1}} s_i) \\ &= s_i s'_{i_1} \cdots s'_{i_{r-1}} = s'_i s'_{i_1} \cdots s'_{i_{r-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence C is compatible with Π' .

- (3) From $C = s'_i s'_{i_1} \cdots s'_{i_{r-1}}$ and Proposition 3.3 we see that i is a source for the orientation obtained from Π' and that the orientation is obtained by reversing all the arrows to i .

□

Theorem 3.6. *Fix a Coxeter element $C \in W$. Then:*

- (1) *The map*

$$\{\text{Simple root systems } \Pi \text{ compatible with } C\} \rightarrow \{\text{orientations of } I\}$$

defined in Proposition 3.3 is surjective. Two different simple root systems Π, Π' , both compatible with C , give the same orientation iff $\Pi' = C^k \Pi$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (2) *If Π, Π' are two simple root systems, both compatible with C , then Π' can be obtained from Π by a sequence of elementary reflections s_i as in Proposition 3.5.*

Proof. The fact that the map is surjective easily follows from Proposition 3.5 and the fact that any two orientations of a graph without cycles can be obtained one from the other by a sequence of operations s_i : sink \leftrightarrow source.

Now, assume that two simple root systems Π, Π' give the same orientation. Denoting as before $s_i = s_i^\Pi$, $s'_i = s_i^{\Pi'}$, we see that then for some complete order of I we have

$$C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r} = s'_{i_1} \cdots s'_{i_r}$$

(note that the order is the same for s_i and s'_i). Let $w \in W$ be such that $w(\Pi) = \Pi'$; then $s'_i = w s_i w^{-1}$ and therefore $C = (w s_{i_1} w^{-1}) \cdots (w s_{i_r} w^{-1}) = w C w^{-1}$, so w commutes with C . However, it is known ([Sp]) that the centralizer of the Coxeter element is the cyclic group generated by C . Thus, $w = C^k$.

Finally, to prove the last part, note that it is well known that any two orientations can be obtained one from another by a sequence of elementary reflections (reversing all arrows at a sink or a source). Thus, if Π, Π' are compatible with C , then applying a sequence of elementary reflections s_i as in Proposition 3.5, we can obtain from Π' a simple root system Π'' which gives the same orientation as Π . By Part 1, it means that $\Pi'' = C^k \Pi$. But notice that simple root system $C(\Pi)$ can be obtained from Π by a sequence of reflections s_i : namely, if $C = s_{i_1}^\Pi \cdots s_{i_r}^\Pi$, then consider the sequence of simple root systems

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0 &= \Pi, \quad \Pi_1 = s_{i_1}^\Pi(\Pi_0) \\ \Pi_2 &= s_{i_2}^{\Pi_1}(\Pi_1) = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_1}(\Pi_1) = s_{i_1} s_{i_2}(\Pi) \\ &\dots \\ \Pi_r &= s_{i_r}^{\Pi_{r-1}}(\Pi_{r-1}) = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}(\Pi) = C(\Pi) \end{aligned}$$

One easily sees that i_k is a source for Π_{k-1} , so the above sequence of elementary reflections is well-defined. \square

Corollary 3.7. *For a given Coxeter element C , we have a canonical bijection*

$$\{\text{Simple root systems } \Pi \text{ compatible with } C\} / C \rightarrow \{\text{orientations of } I\}$$

In particular, this shows that the number of simple root systems compatible with C is equal to $h2^{r-1}$, where h is the Coxeter number and r is rank. For example, for root system of type A_{n-1} , where the Coxeter number is n and rank is $n-1$, this gives $n2^{n-2}$ (compared with the number of all simple root systems, equal to $n!$).

We will give a graphical description of the set of all compatible simple root systems in terms of “height functions” later, in Theorem 5.8.

4. REPRESENTATIVES OF C -ORBITS

As before, we fix a Coxeter element C and choose a simple root system Π compatible with C . We define an order relation \leq on I by $i \leq j$ if there exists an oriented path $i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow j$, with orientation defined by Π as in Proposition 3.3. In this case, one easily sees that s_i must precede s_j in the reduced expression for C .

Using this relation we define $\beta_i^\Pi \in R$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \beta_i^\Pi = \sum_{j \leq i} \alpha_j^\Pi$$

Proposition 4.1.

- (1) *The β_i^Π are a basis of the root lattice, and $\alpha_i = \beta_i^\Pi - \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \beta_j^\Pi$.*
- (2) *Let $C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be a reduced expression for C . Then $\beta_{i_k} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}} \alpha_{i_k}$.*
- (3) *$\{\beta_1^\Pi, \dots, \beta_r^\Pi\} = \{\alpha \in R_+^\Pi \mid C^{-1}\alpha \in R_-^\Pi\}$ where R_\pm^Π are the sets of positive and negative roots defined by simple root system Π .*
- (4) *β_i^Π are representatives of the C -orbits in R .*

Proof. The first two parts are easily obtained by explicit computation (see, e.g. [Kos1, Theorem 8.1]). The other two parts are known; a proof can be found in [Bour, Chapter VI, §1, Proposition 33]. \square

Example 4.2. For the root system $R = A_n$ with simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \dots, \alpha_n = e_n - e_{n+1}\}$ and Coxeter element $C = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ we get $\beta_i^\Pi = \sum_{j \leq i} \alpha_j^\Pi = e_1 - e_i$.

Example 4.3. Let $I = I_0 \sqcup I_1$ be bipartite and $C = (\Pi_{i \in I_0} s_i)(\Pi_{i \in I_1} s_i)$. Note that no two adjacent indices lie in the same I_j , and that in the corresponding orientation of I all the arrows go from I_0 to I_1 . So I_0 are sources and I_1 are sinks. Then $\beta_i^\Pi = \alpha_i^\Pi$ for $i \in I_0$ and $\beta_i^\Pi = \alpha_i^\Pi + \sum_j n_{ij} \alpha_j^\Pi = -C(\alpha_i^\Pi)$ for $i \in I_1$. In this case the β_i^Π we obtain are the same C -orbit representatives as in [Kos] except that our β_i^Π for $i \in I_1$ are shifted by C .

Example 4.4. As a special case of the previous example, consider the Dynkin diagram of type D_{2n+1} , with simple root system

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1} = e_{2n-1} - e_{2n}, \alpha_{2n} = e_{2n} - e_{2n+1}, e_{2n+1} = e_{2n} + e_{2n+1}\}$$

and

$$I_0 = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n, 2n+1\}, \quad I_1 = \{1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}$$

The corresponding Coxeter element $C = (\prod_{i \in I_0} s_i)(\prod_{i \in I_1} s_i)$, and the representatives of C -orbits are

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i^\Pi &= \alpha_i \text{ for } i \in I_0 = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n, 2n+1\} \\ \beta_1^\Pi &= e_1 - e_3, \beta_3^\Pi = e_2 - e_5, \dots, \beta_{2i+1}^\Pi = e_{2i} - e_{2i+3}, \dots, \beta_{2n-3}^\Pi = e_{2n-2} - e_{2n-1} \\ \beta_{2n-1}^\Pi &= e_{2n-2} + e_{2n} \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1 shows the corresponding orientation, roots α_i and β_i for D_5 .




FIGURE 1. The Dynkin Diagram D_5 . β_i^Π is above the node $i \in I$, and the simple root α_i is below the node $i \in I$.

We now discuss how the set of β_i change when we change the simple root system Π (keeping C fixed). By Theorem 3.6, it suffices to describe how β_i change under elementary reflections.

Proposition 4.5. *Let Π be a simple root system compatible with C , and let $i \in I$ be a sink for the corresponding orientation. Then*

$$\beta_j^{s_i \Pi} = \begin{cases} C^{-1} \beta_i^\Pi & \text{for } j = i \\ \beta_j^\Pi & \text{for } j \neq i \end{cases}$$

Similarly, if i is a source, then

$$\beta_j^{s_i \Pi} = \begin{cases} C \beta_i^\Pi & \text{for } j = i \\ \beta_j^\Pi & \text{for } j \neq i \end{cases}$$

Proof. Denote for brevity $s_j = s_j^\Pi$, $s'_j = s_j^{s_i \Pi}$. For i a sink, we can write $C = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}} s_i$ and $\beta_i = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}} \alpha_i$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \beta_i &= (s_i s_{i_{r-1}} \cdots s_{i_1})(s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}}) \alpha_i \\ &= s_i \alpha_i \\ &= \alpha_i^{s_i \Pi} \\ &= \beta_i^{s_i \Pi} \end{aligned}$$

since i is a source for $s_i\Pi$.

Now take $i_j \neq i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{i_j}^\Pi &= s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{j-1}} \alpha_{i_j} \\ &= (s_i s_i) s_{i_1} (s_i s_i) \cdots (s_i s_i) s_{i_{j-1}} (s_i s_i) \alpha_{i_j} \\ &= s_i s'_{i_1} \cdots s'_{i_{j-1}} (s_i \alpha_{i_j}) \\ &= \beta_{i_j}^{s_i \Pi}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for i a source we can write $C = s_i s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}}$ and $\beta_i = \alpha_i$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}C\alpha_i &= s_i s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{r-1}} \alpha_i \\ &= s'_{i_1} \cdots s'_{i_{r-1}} s_i \alpha_i \\ &= \beta_i^{s_i \Pi}\end{aligned}$$

since i is a sink for $s_i\Pi$.

For $i_j \neq i$ a similar calculation to the case i a sink gives us that $\beta_{i_j}^\Pi = \beta_{i_j}^{s_i \Pi}$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let R/C be the set of C -orbits in R . Then we have a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned}I &\rightarrow R/C \\ i &\mapsto C\text{-orbit of } \beta_i^\Pi\end{aligned}$$

which does not depend on the choice of a simple root system Π compatible with C .

Proof. The fact that it is a bijection follows from Proposition 4.1. To show independence of the choice of Π , notice that by Proposition 4.5, if Π, Π' are obtained one from another by an elementary reflection, then the C -orbit of β_i^Π and $\beta_i^{\Pi'}$ coincide. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.6, any two simple root systems compatible with C can be obtained one from another by elementary reflections. \square

For future use, we also give here another proposition, describing action of C on β_i . Its geometric meaning will become clear in Section 6.

Proposition 4.7. $C\beta_i^\Pi = -\beta_i^\Pi + \sum_{j \rightarrow i} C\beta_j^\Pi + \sum_{j \leftarrow i} \beta_j^\Pi$

Proof. Let $i \in I$ be a sink. Then there are no $j \leftarrow i$ and from the proof of Proposition 4.5, $-C^{-1}\beta_i^\Pi = \alpha_i = \beta_i^\Pi - \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \beta_j^\Pi$. By applying C to this equation and rearranging we get the statement of the proposition.

Now if $i \in I$ is not a sink apply a sequence of reflections s_j with $j \geq i$ to make it one. This process replaces Π by another compatible simple root system Π' . By Proposition 4.5,

- (1) $\beta_i^\Pi = \beta_i^{\Pi'}$
- (2) if $j \rightarrow i$ for Π then $\beta_j^\Pi = \beta_j^{\Pi'}$
- (3) if $j \leftarrow i$ for Π then $\beta_j^{\Pi'} = C^{-1}\beta_j^\Pi$

Then by the above calculation we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_i^{\Pi'} + C\beta_i^{\Pi'} &= \sum_{j \rightarrow i \text{ in } \Pi'} C\beta_j^{\Pi'} \\
&= \sum_{j \rightarrow i \text{ in } \Pi} C\beta_j^{\Pi'} + \sum_{j \leftarrow i \text{ in } \Pi} C\beta_j^{\Pi'} \\
&= \sum_{j \rightarrow i \text{ in } \Pi} C\beta_j^{\Pi} + \sum_{j \leftarrow i \text{ in } \Pi} C(C^{-1}\beta_j^{\Pi}) \\
&= \sum_{j \rightarrow i \text{ in } \Pi} C\beta_j^{\Pi} + \sum_{j \leftarrow i \text{ in } \Pi} \beta_j^{\Pi}
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. PERIODIC AUSLANDER–REITEN QUIVER

We choose a “parity function” $p: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $p(i) = p(j) + 1$ if i and j are connected in I . Define

$$(5.1) \quad \widehat{I} = \{(i, n) \in I \times \mathbb{Z}_{2h} \mid p(i) + n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$$

We make \widehat{I} into a quiver by joining (i, n) to $(j, n+1)$ by n_{ij} oriented edges, where n_{ij} is the number of edges between i and j in I . We will call the resulting quiver the *periodic Auslander–Reiten quiver* and denote it also by \widehat{I} .

Remark 5.1. Note that the definition does not require a choice of orientation of I . However, if we do choose an orientation of I , then the quiver \widehat{I} can be identified with the Auslander–Reiten quiver of the 2-periodic derived category of representations of quiver I . This will be discussed in detail in subsequent papers.

Example 5.2. For the root system D_5 the quiver \widehat{I} is shown in Figure 2.

We will define the “twist” map $\tau: \widehat{I} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ by

$$(5.2) \quad \tau(i, n) = (i, n+2).$$

Our goal is to show that the set of vertices of \widehat{I} can be (almost) canonically identified with the root system R . To do so, we fix one of the vertices $i_0 \in I$ and choose an identification

$$(5.3) \quad \Phi_0: (C\text{-orbit of } \beta_{i_0}) \rightarrow \{(i_0, n) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{2h}, n + p(i_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\} \subset \widehat{I}$$

which identifies the Coxeter element with the twist: $\Phi_0(C\beta) = \tau\Phi_0(\beta)$.

Remark 5.3. The seeming arbitrariness in the choice of Φ_0 could have been avoided if in definition of \widehat{I} , instead of \mathbb{Z}_{2h} , we had used a suitable \mathbb{Z}_{2h} –torsor.

Theorem 5.4. *Let C be a fixed Coxeter element and Π a simple root system compatible with C . Then there exists a unique bijection $\Phi^\Pi: R \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ with the following properties*

- (1) *It identifies the Coxeter element with the twist: $\Phi^\Pi(C\beta) = \tau\Phi^\Pi(\beta)$.*
- (2) *It agrees with the identification $R/C \rightarrow I$ given in Theorem 4.6: $\Phi^\Pi(\beta_i^\Pi) = (i, *)$.*
- (3) *If, in the orientation defined by Π , we have $i \rightarrow j$, and $\Phi^\Pi(\beta_i^\Pi) = (i, n)$, then $\Phi^\Pi(\beta_j^\Pi) = (j, n+1)$ (see Figure 3).*




FIGURE 2. The quiver \hat{I} for type D_5 . For comparison, we also show the Dynkin diagram of D_5 next to it. Note that \hat{I} is periodic: the arrows leaving the top level are the same as the arrows going in to the bottom level.

(4) On the C -orbit of β_{i_0} , Φ^Π coincides with Φ_0 .

Proof. Since β_i^Π are representatives of C -orbits in R (Proposition 4.1), and each C -orbit has period h , it suffices to define $\Phi^\Pi(\beta_i^\Pi)$. On the other hand, condition (4) uniquely defines $\Phi^\Pi(\beta_{i_0}^\Pi)$, and it is easy to see that given $\Phi^\Pi(\beta_{i_0}^\Pi)$, conditions (2) and (3) uniquely determine $\Phi^\Pi(\beta_i^\Pi)$ for all $i \in I$ (since I is connected and simply-connected). \square

Theorem 5.5. *The bijection $\Phi: R \rightarrow \hat{I}$, defined in Theorem 5.4, does not depend on the choice of Π .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, it suffices to check that if Π' is obtained from Π by elementary reflection, then $\Phi^\Pi = \Phi^{\Pi'}$. To do so, it suffices to check that Φ^Π satisfies all the defining properties of




FIGURE 3. The map Φ . Vertices $\Phi(\beta_i)$ and edges between them are shown bold.

$\Phi^{\Pi'}$. The only property which is not obvious is (3): if in the orientation defined by Π' , we have $i \rightarrow j$, and $\Phi^{\Pi}(\beta'_i) = (i, n)$, then $\Phi^{\Pi}(\beta'_j) = (j, n+1)$, where for brevity we denoted $\beta_i = \beta_i^{\Pi}$ and $\beta'_i = \beta_i^{\Pi'}$.

Let $\Pi' = s_k \Pi$. If i, j are both distinct from k , then by Proposition 4.5, $\beta_i = \beta'_i$, $\beta_j = \beta'_j$, so property (3) for $\Phi^{\Pi'}$ coincides with the one for Φ^{Π} . So we only need to consider the case when $i = k$ or $j = k$; in these cases, s_k reverses orientation of edge between i and j .

If $i = k$, then for Π we have $j \rightarrow i$, so i is a sink for Π . Then by Proposition 4.5 $\beta'_j = \beta_j$, $\beta'_i = C^{-1}\beta_i$. By definition, if $\Phi^{\Pi}(\beta_i) = (i, n)$, then $\Phi^{\Pi}(\beta_j) = (j, n-1)$, and $\Phi^{\Pi}(\beta'_i) = \tau^{-1}(i, n) = (i, n-2)$ (see Figure 4). Thus, condition (3) for pair β'_i, β'_j is satisfied.




FIGURE 4.

Case $j = k$ is done similarly. □

Example 5.6. For Dynkin digram of type A_4 , with $\Pi = \{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_4 - e_5\}$ and $C = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$, the map $\Phi: R \rightarrow \hat{I}$ is shown in Figure 5. For A_n the figure is similar.

As an immediate application of this construction, we will show how this allows one to give an explicit description of the set of all simple root systems compatible with C .

Definition 5.7. A function $h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2h}$ satisfying $h(j) = h(i) \pm 1$ if i, j are connected in I and satisfying $h(i) \equiv p(i) \pmod{2}$, will be called a “height” function. (Here p is the parity function defined in the beginning of this section.)




FIGURE 5. Map Φ for root system of type A_4 . The figure shows, for each vertex in \hat{I} , the corresponding root $\alpha \in R$; notation (ij) stands for $e_i - e_j$. The set of positive roots (with respect to usual polarization of R) is shaded. Recall that the quiver \hat{I} is periodic.

Any height function gives us a “lifting” $I \rightarrow \hat{I}$ defined by $i \mapsto (i, h(i))$ and thus defines an orientation Ω_h on I : $i \rightarrow j$ if i, j are connected and $h(j) = h(i) + 1$. It is easy to see that two height functions give the same orientation iff they differ by an additive constant, or, equivalently, corresponding liftings differ by a power of τ .

Theorem 5.8. *Let Π be a simple root system compatible with C . Then the function $h^\Pi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2h}$ defined by $\Phi(\beta_i^\Pi) = (i, h^\Pi(i))$ is a height function. Conversely, every height function can be obtained in this way from a unique simple root system compatible with C .*

Proof. The fact that h^Π is a height function is obvious from the definition of Φ . To check that any height function can be obtained from some Π , note that by Theorem 3.6, for given height function h we can find a simple root system Π which would give the same orientation of I as h . Therefore, we would have $h^\Pi = h + a$ for some constant $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2h}$, which must necessarily be even. Take $\Pi' = C^{a/2}(\Pi)$; then $h = h^{\Pi'}$. \square

Corollary 5.9. *For a given Coxeter element C , we have a canonical bijection*

$$\{\text{Simple root systems } \Pi \text{ compatible with } C\} \rightarrow \{\text{Height functions on } I\}$$

The elementary reflections s_i can also be easily described in terms of height functions.

Proposition 5.10. *If Π is a simple root system compatible with C , i —a sink for orientation defined by Π , and $h^\Pi, h^{s_i\Pi}$ —corresponding height functions as defined in Theorem 5.8, then*

$$h^{s_i\Pi}(j) = \begin{cases} h(j) - 2 & j = i \\ h(j) & j \neq i \end{cases}$$

Similarly, if i is a source, then

$$h^{s_i \Pi}(j) = \begin{cases} h(j) + 2 & j = i \\ h(j) & j \neq i \end{cases}$$

(see Figure 6).




FIGURE 6. Action of simple reflections on height functions

The proof is immediate from Proposition 4.5.

Abusing the language we will write $s_i h$ instead of $h^{s_i \Pi}$.

6. ROOT LATTICE

In the previous section, we constructed a canonical bijection $\Phi: R \rightarrow \widehat{I}$, which is independent of the choice of simple root system Π . Among other things, it allowed us to identify the set of all compatible Π with the set of “height functions”, by using $\Phi(\beta_i^\Pi)$. In this section, we further study this isomorphism. In particular, we describe the root lattice in terms of \widehat{I} .

Theorem 6.1. *Let the lattice Q be defined by*

$$(6.1) \quad Q = \mathbb{Z}^{\widehat{I}} / J,$$

where J is the ideal generated by relations, for each $(i, n) \in \widehat{I}$,

$$(6.2) \quad (i, n) - \sum_j (j, n+1) + (i, n+2) = 0$$

(the sum is over all vertices $j \in I$ connected to i). Then

- (1) *The identification $\Phi: R \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ defined in Theorem 5.5 descends to an isomorphism of lattices $Q(R) \rightarrow Q$, where $Q(R)$ is the root lattice of R .*
- (2) *For any height function h , elements $(i, h(i)) \in \widehat{I}$ form a basis of Q .*

Proof. Let h be a height function. Then classes $(i, h(i)), i \in I$, generate the lattice Q . Indeed, let Q_h be the subgroup generated by $(i, h(i))$. It follows from relations (6.2) that if i is a source for h , then $(i, h(i) + 2)$ is in Q_h ; thus, $Q_h = Q_{s_i h}$. Since any height function can be obtained from h by successive application of s_i , we see that Q_h contains all $(i, n) \in \widehat{I}$, so $Q_h = Q$. In particular, this implies that $\text{rank}(Q) \leq r$.

Next, we show that Φ^{-1} descends to a well-defined map $Q \rightarrow Q(R)$. To do so, we need to show that

$$\Phi^{-1}(i, n) - \sum_j \Phi^{-1}(j, n+1) + \Phi^{-1}(i, n+2) = 0$$

in $Q(R)$. Choosing a simple root system Π such that $\Phi^{-1}(i, n) = \beta_i^\Pi$, we see that this relation is equivalent to the relation

$$\beta_i^\Pi - \left(\sum_{j \rightarrow i} C\beta_j^\Pi + \sum_{j \leftarrow i} \beta_j^\Pi \right) + C\beta_i^\Pi = 0$$

proved in Proposition 4.7.

Since $\Phi^{-1}: \widehat{I} \rightarrow R$ is a bijection, the map $\Phi^{-1}: Q \rightarrow Q(R)$ is surjective. Since we have already shown that $\text{rank}(Q) \leq r$, this implies that $\text{rank}(Q) = r$, so that Φ^{-1} is an isomorphism, and that for fixed height function h , the classes $(i, h(i))$ form a basis of Q . \square

7. EULER FORM

Recall the conjectural picture laid out in Section 1. In particular, recall the Euler form $\langle X, Y \rangle = \dim \text{RHom}(X, Y) = \dim \text{Hom}(X, Y) - \dim \text{Ext}^1(X, Y)$ defined on \mathcal{D} . In this section we define the analog of such a form on both R and \widehat{I} and show how it can be used to give a definition of the inner product in terms of \widehat{I} .

Define a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\Pi$ on the root lattice $Q(R)$ by

$$(7.1) \quad \langle \beta_i^\Pi, \alpha_j^\Pi \rangle^\Pi = \delta_{ij}.$$

By Proposition 4.1, this completely determines $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\Pi$. Note that this form is non-degenerate but not symmetric.

Theorem 7.1.

- (1) $\langle \beta_i^\Pi, \beta_j^\Pi \rangle^\Pi = \begin{cases} 1 & i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \text{the number of paths } (i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow j).$
- (2) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^\Pi$ is integer valued on R and satisfies

$$(7.2) \quad \langle x, y \rangle^\Pi + \langle y, x \rangle^\Pi = \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$(7.3) \quad \langle x, y \rangle^\Pi = -\langle y, C^{-1}x \rangle^\Pi.$$

Note that the equation $\langle x, y \rangle = -\langle y, C^{-1}x \rangle$ in R corresponds to the statement of Serre Duality $\text{Hom}(X, Y) = \text{Ext}^1(Y, X(-2))^*$ in (conjectural) category \mathcal{D} from Section 1.

Proof. Since throughout the proof the simple root system Π will be fixed, we will drop the superscripts writing β_i for β_i^Π , etc.

- (1) Obvious from definition of β_j .

- (2) To see that $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle^\Pi$ symmetrizes to (\bullet, \bullet) , we use the identity $\alpha_i = \beta_i - \sum_{k \rightarrow i} \beta_k$ (see Proposition 4.1), which gives

$$\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle^\Pi = \langle \beta_i - \sum_{k \rightarrow i} \beta_k, \alpha_j \rangle^\Pi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } j \rightarrow i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle^\Pi + \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i \rangle^\Pi &= \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } i \rightarrow j \text{ or } j \rightarrow i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= (\alpha_i, \alpha_j). \end{aligned}$$

To prove relation (7.3), it suffices to prove that for all k, i one has

$$(7.4) \quad \langle \beta_k, C^{-1} \beta_i \rangle^\Pi = -\langle \beta_i, \beta_k \rangle^\Pi.$$

We will prove it by fixing k and using induction in i , using the partial order defined by the orientation. Thus, we can assume that (7.4) is true for all $j \geq i$.

Using Proposition 4.7, we can rewrite the left-hand side of (7.4) as

$$\langle \beta_k, C^{-1} \beta_i \rangle^\Pi = -\langle \beta_k, \beta_i \rangle^\Pi + \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \langle \beta_k, \beta_j \rangle^\Pi + \sum_{j \leftarrow i} \langle \beta_k, C^{-1} \beta_j \rangle^\Pi.$$

The first two terms can be rewritten as

$$-\langle \beta_k, \beta_i \rangle^\Pi + \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \langle \beta_k, \beta_j \rangle^\Pi = -\langle \beta_k, \alpha_i \rangle^\Pi = -\delta_{ik}.$$

The last term, using induction assumption, can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j \leftarrow i} \langle \beta_k, C^{-1} \beta_j \rangle^\Pi = -\sum_{j \leftarrow i} \langle \beta_j, \beta_k \rangle^\Pi = -\sum_{j \leftarrow i} (\text{number of paths } j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow k).$$

Thus, the left-hand side of (7.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta_k, C^{-1} \beta_i \rangle^\Pi &= -\delta_{ik} - \sum_{j \leftarrow i} (\text{number of paths } j \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow k) \\ &= -(\text{number of paths } i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow k) = -\langle \beta_i, \beta_k \rangle^\Pi \end{aligned}$$

which proves (7.4). □

Theorem 7.2. *For fixed C , the form $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle^\Pi$ does not depend on the choice of simple root system Π compatible with C . Thus, we will denote this form $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ and call it the Euler form defined by C .*

Proof. Consider the difference $\ll \bullet, \bullet \gg = \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle^{\Pi_1} - \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle^{\Pi_2}$. Since these two forms have the same symmetrization, $\ll \bullet, \bullet \gg$ is skew-symmetric and satisfies (7.4). Thus,

$$\ll x, y \gg = -\ll y, C^{-1}x \gg = \ll C^{-1}x, y \gg$$

so $\ll (1 - C^{-1})x, y \gg = 0$. Since 1 is not an eigenvalue for C^{-1} (see [Kos1, Lemma 8.1]), the operator $1 - C^{-1}$ is invertible, so the form $\ll \bullet, \bullet \gg$ must be identically zero. □

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ be the Euler form defined in Theorem 7.2. Then*

- (1) The form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is C -invariant: $\langle Cx, Cy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$.
- (2) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, (1 - C^{-1})^{-1}y \rangle = \langle (1 - C)^{-1}x, y \rangle$
- (3) Let ω_i^Π be fundamental weights: $(\omega_i^\Pi, \alpha_j^\Pi) = \delta_{ij}$. Then $\beta_i^\Pi = (1 - C)\omega_i^\Pi$
- (4) Operator $(1 - C)$ is an isomorphism $P(R) \rightarrow Q(R)$, where $Q(R)$, $P(R)$ are root and weight lattices of R respectively.

Proof.

- (1) Choose a compatible simple root system Π ; then by definition $\langle \beta_i^\Pi, \alpha_j^\Pi \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. On the other hand, $C(\Pi)$ is also a compatible simple root system, and $\alpha_i^{C(\Pi)} = C\alpha_i^\Pi$, $\beta_i^{C(\Pi)} = C\beta_i^\Pi$, so we get

$$\langle C\beta_i^\Pi, C\alpha_j^\Pi \rangle = \delta_{ij} = \langle \beta_i^\Pi, \alpha_j^\Pi \rangle.$$

- (2) First we write $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle$ for some A . Then using (7.3) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, C^{-1}y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, Ay \rangle - \langle x, AC^{-1}y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, A(1 - C^{-1})y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A = (1 - C^{-1})^{-1}$. (Note that $1 - C^{-1}$ is invertible since 1 is not an eigenvalue for C^{-1} .) The second identity is proved in a similar way.

- (3) From Part (2) we have that $\delta_{ij} = ((1 - C)^{-1}\beta_i^\Pi, \alpha_j^\Pi)$ and hence $\beta_i^\Pi = (1 - C)\omega_i^\Pi$.
- (4) Since ω_i form a basis of $P(R)$, and β_i form a basis of $Q(R)$ (Proposition 4.1), this follows from part (3).

□

As an immediate corollary, we see get the following result, describing the Euler form in \widehat{I} .

Proposition 7.4. *There exists a unique function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widehat{I}}: \widehat{I} \times \widehat{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying*

- (1) $\langle (i, n), (j, n) \rangle = \delta_{ij}$,
 $\langle (i, n), (j, n+1) \rangle = \text{the number of paths } (i, n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (j, n+1)$
 $= \text{number of edges between } i, j \text{ in } I$.
- (2) For any $q = (k, m) \in \widehat{I}$ we have

$$\langle q, (i, n) \rangle_{\widehat{I}} - \sum_{j=i} \langle q, (j, n+1) \rangle_{\widehat{I}} + \langle q, (i, n+2) \rangle_{\widehat{I}} = 0.$$

Proof. Uniqueness easily follows by induction: for fixed $q = (i, n)$, condition (1) defines $\langle q, (*, n) \rangle_{\widehat{I}}$, $\langle q, (*, n+1) \rangle_{\widehat{I}}$. Then condition (2) can be used to define $\langle q, (*, n+2) \rangle_{\widehat{I}}$. Continuing in this way, we see that these two conditions completely determine $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widehat{I}}$.

To prove existence, note that the form $\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_{\widehat{I}} = \langle \Phi^{-1}(q_1), \Phi^{-1}(q_2) \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Euler form on R defined in Theorem 7.2, satisfies all required properties. □

Note that the proof of uniqueness actually gives a very simple and effective algorithm for computing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widehat{I}}$.

Theorem 7.5. *For a simply-laced Dynkin diagram I , define the set \widehat{I} and lattice Q by (5.1), (6.1) respectively. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\widehat{I}}$ be the Euler form defined in Proposition 7.4, and let $(x, y)_{\widehat{I}} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\widehat{I}} + \langle y, x \rangle_{\widehat{I}}$.*

Then $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\widehat{I}}$ is a positive definite symmetric form on Q , and $\widehat{I} \subset Q$ is a root system with Dynkin diagram I .

Proof. Let R be a root system with Dynkin diagram I , and C — a Coxeter element in the corresponding Weyl group. Then the map Φ constructed in Theorem 5.5 identifies $R \rightarrow \widehat{I}$, $Q(R) \rightarrow Q$ and the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) in Q with $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\widehat{I}}$. \square

8. POSITIVE ROOTS AND THE LONGEST ELEMENT IN THE WEYL GROUP

Let Π be a simple root system compatible with C and R_+^Π — the corresponding set of positive roots. Then bijection $\Phi: R \rightarrow \widehat{I}$ constructed in Theorem 5.5 identifies R_+^Π with a certain subset in \widehat{I} . This subset can be identified with the usual Auslander–Reiten quiver of the category of representations of quiver I with orientation defined by Π ; this will be discussed in detail in forthcoming papers.

In this section, we give an explicit description of the set $\Phi(R_+^\Pi)$ in terms of \widehat{I} .

Theorem 8.1. *Let Π be a simple root system compatible with C , and $-\Pi = \{-\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$ the opposite simple root system. Let $h^\Pi, h^{-\Pi}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2h}$ be the corresponding height functions as defined in Theorem 5.8.*

Let Δ be the set of all vertices of \widehat{I} “between” h^Π and $h^{-\Pi}$:

$$(8.1) \quad \Delta^\Pi = \{(i, n) \in \widehat{I} \mid h^\Pi(i) \leq n < h^{-\Pi}(i)\}.$$

(see Figure 7). We will consider Δ^Π as a quiver, with same edges as \widehat{I} .

- (1) *The map Φ gives identification $R_+^\Pi \rightarrow \Delta^\Pi$.*
- (2) *Define a partial order on Δ by $q_1 \preceq q_2$ if there exists a path from q_1 to q_2 in Δ , and extend it to a complete order, writing*

$$\Delta = \{\alpha(1) = (i_1, n_1), \alpha(2) = (i_2, n_2), \dots, \alpha(l) = (i_l, n_l)\}$$

so that $\alpha(a) \preceq \alpha(b) \implies a \leq b$. Then

$$s_{i_1}^\Pi \dots s_{i_l}^\Pi$$

is a reduced expression for the longest element w_0^Π of the Weyl group.

Proof. First, we prove that $\Delta^\Pi \subset \Phi(R_+^\Pi)$. Indeed, choose $i \in I$ and let k to be the smallest positive integer such that $\beta = \Phi^{-1}(i, h^\Pi(i) + 2k) \notin R_+^\Pi$. Then β satisfies $\beta \in R_+^{-\Pi}$, $C^{-1}\beta \in R_+^\Pi$. By Proposition 4.1 applied to $-\Pi$, we see that we must have $\beta = \beta_i^{-\Pi}$, so $h^\Pi(i) + 2k = h^{-\Pi}(i)$. Therefore, for all n satisfying $h^\Pi(i) \leq n < h^{-\Pi}(i)$, we have $(i, n) \in \Phi(R_+^\Pi)$.

Reversing the roles of $\Pi, -\Pi$, we see that the set $\Delta^{-\Pi} = \{(i, n) \in \widehat{I} \mid h^{-\Pi}(i) \leq n < h^\Pi(i)\}$ satisfies $\Delta^{-\Pi} \subset \Phi(R_+^{-\Pi}) = \Phi(-R_+^\Pi)$. Since $\widehat{I} = \Delta^\Pi \sqcup \Delta^{-\Pi}$, we must have $\Delta^\Pi = \Phi(R_+^\Pi)$. In particular, $l = |\Delta^\Pi| = |R_+^\Pi|$.




FIGURE 7. Positive roots in \widehat{I} , for diagram of type D_5 . Bold lines show $\Phi(\beta_i^\Pi)$ and $\Phi(\beta_i^{-\Pi})$; the shaded area is the set $\Delta = \Phi(R_+^\Pi)$.

To prove the second part, consider a sequence of sets $\Delta_0 = \Delta^\Pi$, $\Delta_1 = \Delta_0 \setminus \{\alpha(1)\} = \{\alpha(2), \dots, \alpha(l)\}$, $\Delta_k = \Delta_{k-1} \setminus \{\alpha(k)\} = \{\alpha(k+1), \dots, \alpha(l)\}$, $\Delta_l = \emptyset$.

It is immediate from the definitions that one can write

$$(8.2) \quad \Delta_k = \{(i, n) \in \widehat{I} \mid h_k(i) \leq n < h^{-\Pi}(i)\}.$$

for the sequence of height functions $h_0 = h^\Pi$, $h_1 = s_{i_1} h_0, \dots, h_k = s_{i_k} h_{i_{k-1}}, \dots, h_l = h^{-\Pi}$, and i_k is a source for h_{k-1} . In the same way, we can define a sequence of corresponding simple root systems $\Pi_0 = \Pi$, $\Pi_1 = s_{i_1} \Pi, \dots, \Pi_l = -\Pi$. One easily sees that

$$\Pi_2 = s_{i_2}^{\Pi_1}(\Pi_1) = s_{i_1}^{\Pi} s_{i_2}^{\Pi} s_{i_1}^{\Pi}(\Pi_1) = s_{i_1}^{\Pi} s_{i_2}^{\Pi}(\Pi).$$

Repeating this argument, we get that $\Pi_k = s_{i_1}^{\Pi} \dots s_{i_k}^{\Pi}(\Pi)$; in particular,

$$-\Pi = \Pi_l = s_{i_1}^{\Pi} \dots s_{i_l}^{\Pi}(\Pi)$$

Since $l = |R_+| = l(w_0)$, we see that $s_{i_1}^{\Pi} \dots s_{i_l}^{\Pi}$ is a reduced expression for the longest element w_0^Π . \square

Example 8.2. Consider the case $R = A_4$ from Example 5.6. We obtain the expression $w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1$ for the longest element.

Note that the second part of the theorem is equivalent to the algorithm for constructing a reduced expression for w_0 in terms of Auslander–Reiten quiver, given in [Bed] (in the form given here it is reformulated in [Z, Theorem 1.1]).

Example 8.3. Let Π be such that it defines a bipartite orientation on I , as in Example 4.3, so that $C = (\Pi_{i \in I_0} s_i)(\Pi_{i \in I_1} s_i)$. Assume additionally that the Coxeter number is even: $h = 2g$. Then it is known that $w_0 = C^g$ (see [Kos]), and thus $-\Pi = C^g(\Pi)$, and the corresponding height functions are related by $h^{-\Pi} = h^{\Pi} + 2g$. In this case, the set $\Phi(R_+^{\Pi})$ is a “horizontal strip”. However, as the example of type A shows (see Example 5.6), in general the set $\Phi(R_+^{\Pi})$ can have a more complicated shape.

REFERENCES

- [Bed] R. Bedard, *On commutation classes of reduced words in Weyl groups*, European J. Combin. **20** (1999), 483–505.
- [Bour] N. Bourbaki, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, Chapters 4-6*, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2002.
- [K] A. Kirillov Jr, *McKay correspondence and equivariant sheaves on \mathbf{P}^1* , Moscow Math. J. **6** (2006), pp. 505–529.
- [KO] A. Kirillov, V. Ostrik, *On a q -analogue of the McKay correspondence and the ADE classification of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ conformal field theories*, Adv. in Math. **171** (2002), 183–227.
- [Kos] B. Kostant, *The Coxeter element and the branching law for the finite subgroups of $SU(2)$* , The Coxeter legacy, 63–70, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Kos1] B. Kostant, *The principal three-dimensional subgroups and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group*, Amer. J. Math **81** (1959), pp. 973–1032
- [Os] V. Ostrik, *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*, Transform. Groups **8** (2003), no. 2, 177–206.
- [Oc] A. Ocneanu, *Quantum subgroups, canonical bases and higher tensor structures*, talk at the workshop *Tensor Categories in Mathematics and Physics*, Erwin Schrödinger Institute, Vienna, June 2004
- [Shi] J.-Y. Shi, *The enumeration of Coxeter elements*, J. Algebraic Combin. **6** (1997), no. 2, 161–171.
- [Sp] T.A. Springer, *Regular elements of finite reflection groups*, Inv. Math., **25** (1974), 159–198.
- [Z] S. Zelikson, *Auslander-Reiten quivers and the Coxeter complex*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **8** (2005), 35–55.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY AT STONY BROOK, STONY BROOK, NY 11794, USA

E-mail address: kirillov@math.sunysb.edu

URL: <http://www.math.sunysb.edu/~kirillov/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY AT STONY BROOK, STONY BROOK, NY 11794, USA

E-mail address: jthind@math.sunysb.edu