

# Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles

-I-

BOBERNDTISSON<sup>1</sup>

MihaiP AUN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chalmers University of Technology  
Department of Mathematics  
S-41296 Goteborg

<sup>2</sup>Universite Henri Poincare  
Institut Elie Cartan  
54000 Nancy

Abstract. The main result of the present article is a (practically optimal) criterium for the pseudoeffectivity of the twisted relative canonical bundles of surjective projective maps. Our theorem has several applications in algebraic geometry; to start with, we obtain the natural analytic generalization of some semipositivity results due to Viehweg and Campana. As a byproduct, we give a simple and direct proof of a recent result due to Hacon (J. McKernan, S. Takayama and H. Tsuji concerning the extension of twisted pluricanonical forms. More applications will be offered in the sequel of this article.

## x0 Introduction

In this article our primary goal is to establish some positivity results concerning the twisted relative canonical bundle of projective morphisms.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be non-singular projective manifolds, and let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective projective map, whose relative dimension is equal to  $n$ . Consider also a line bundle  $L$  over  $X$ , endowed with a metric  $h = e^{-\phi}$ , such that the curvature current is semipositive (unless explicitly stated otherwise, all the metrics in this article are allowed to be singular). We denote by  $I(h)$  the multiplier ideal sheaf of  $h$  (see e.g. [10], [19]). Let  $X_y$  be the fiber of  $p$  over the point  $y \in Y$ , which is assumed to be general enough such that the restriction of the metric  $h$  to  $X_y$  is well defined (i.e. it is not identically  $+\infty$ ), and such that  $y$  is not a critical value of  $p$ . A point which satisfies these two requirements will be called "generic" throughout the present article. Under these circumstances, the space of  $(n;0)$  forms  $L$ -valued on  $X_y$  which belong to the multiplier ideal sheaf of the restriction of the metric  $h$  is endowed with a natural  $L^2$ -metric as follows

$$k_{X_y}^2 := \int_{X_y} c_n u \wedge \bar{u} \exp(-\phi)$$

(we use the standard abuse of notation in the relation above). Let us consider an orthonormal basis  $(u_j^{(y)})$  of the space  $H^0(X_y; (K_{X_y} + L) \otimes I(h))$ , endowed with the  $L^2$

metric above. The relative canonical bundle of  $p$  is denoted by  $K_{X=Y} := K_X \otimes p^*K_Y$ . Recall that the bundles  $K_{X_y}$  and  $K_{X=Y}|_{X_y}$  are isomorphic. Via this identification (which will be detailed in the paragraph 1) the sections above can be used to define a metric on the bundle  $K_{X=Y} \otimes L$  restricted to the fiber  $X_y$ , called the Bergman kernel metric.

Let  $Y^0 \subset Y$  be the Zariski open set of generic points in  $Y$ , and let  $X^0 \subset X$  be the inverse image of  $Y^0$  with respect to  $p$ . As  $y$  varies in  $Y^0$ , the above construction defines the relative Bergman kernel metric on the  $K_{X=Y} \otimes L$  over  $X^0$ .

Then we have the next result, which gives a pseudo-effectivity criteria for the bundle  $K_{X=Y} \otimes L$ .

**0.1 Theorem .** Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective projective map, and let  $(L; h)$  be a holomorphic line bundle endowed with a metric  $h$  such that:

- (1) the curvature current of  $(L; h)$  is semi-positive on  $X$ , i.e.  $c_1(L) \geq 0$ ;
- (2)  $H^0(X_y; (K_{X_y} \otimes L)|_{X_y}) \neq 0$  for some generic  $y \in Y$ .

Then the relative Bergman kernel metric of the bundle  $K_{X=Y} \otimes L|_{X^0}$  extends across  $X^0$  to a metric with semi-positive curvature current.

Several versions of the theorem above were established by the first named author in his series of articles on the plurisubharmonic variation of the Bergman kernels (see [1], [2], [3] and also [20] for the first results in this direction). Let us point out the main improvements we have got in the present article. In the first place, we allow the metric  $h$  to be singular. Secondly, the map  $p$  is not supposed to be a fibration (this will be crucial for the applications, as we will see in a moment. The way we are dealing with the singularities of  $p$  is by a careful estimate of the local weight of the fiberwise Bergman kernel metric near the singular points: the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem shows that its weights are uniformly bounded as we are getting close to the singular loci of  $p$ . Then the metric extends across  $X \setminus X^0$  by Hartogs type arguments.

A similar result has been announced by H. Tsuji in [27]. His idea of proof is based on an interesting reduction to the case of a locally trivial fibration (and then use the results [2]), but the somewhat sketchy argument does not seem to be quite complete.

One aspect of theorem 0.1 can be seen as a "global" version of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem: indeed, if  $Y$  is just a small polydisk, then under the hypothesis (1) and (2) above the theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi shows the existence of a section of the adjoint bundle extending the one we have on the central fiber, thus we get more than the pseudo-effectivity of the adjoint bundle. In the case under consideration, the base  $Y$  is compact and simple examples show that we cannot expect such a statement, but the theorem 0.1 implies that the metric version (i.e. replacing effectivity by pseudo-effectivity) still holds.

Assume that  $E$  is a pseudo-effective line bundle on a projective manifold  $X$ , in the sense that  $E$  carries a (possibly singular) metric with semi-positive curvature. Then as a consequence of the  $L^2$  theory, there exist an ample line bundle  $A$  on  $X$  such that  $H^0(X; mE \otimes A) \neq 0$ , for all  $m \geq 0$  (see e.g. [9]). In this context, as a first application of the theorem 0.1 we have the next statement.

0.2 Corollary. Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a projective surjective map between non-singular manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $(L; h)$  be a pseudo-effective line bundle. Assume that for some generic  $y \in Y$  we have  $H^0(X_y; (K_{X_y} + L)|_{X_y}) \neq 0$ . Then for any ample line bundle  $A \rightarrow Y$  which is positive enough we have  $H^0(X; m(K_{X=Y} + L) + p^*A) \neq 0$ , for all  $m \geq 0$ .

Of course, the content of the above statement is that the positivity we have to add to the bundle  $m(K_{X=Y} + L)$  in order to make it effective comes from  $Y$ . Remark that a particular case of this result can be derived from the work of F. Campana (see [6]); also, it is consistent with the semi-positivity results obtained by T. Fujita, Y. Kawamata, J. Kollar and E. Viehweg (see [13], [17], [18], [33] as well as the references therein).

Let us explain in few words how the corollary is derived from the theorem 0.1. We have the next decomposition

$$m(K_{X=Y} + L) + p^*A = K_X + (m-1)(K_{X=Y} + L) + L + p^*(A - K_Y);$$

We denote by  $h_B$  the metric obtained in the theorem 1; we use it to endow the bundle  $(m-1)(K_{X=Y} + L) + L + p^*(A - K_Y)$  with the metric  $h_B^{m-1} \cdot h_L \cdot h_p(A - K_Y)$  where  $A \rightarrow Y$  is assumed to be positive enough, to compensate for the possible negativity of the canonical bundle of  $Y$  (for the precise positivity properties of  $A$ , see the paragraph 3). Now by hypothesis there exist  $u_y \in H^0(X_y; (K_{X_y} + L)|_{X_y}) \neq 0$  and if we denote by  $u_A$  some section of  $p^*A$ , then the section  $u_y \otimes u_A$  satisfy the integrability properties needed in order to extend it over  $X$  by using once again the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem. Remark that here the fact that the metric  $h_B$  is explicitly given over a Zariski dense open set is absolutely crucial.

To introduce the next application, let us recall the notion of restricted volume (see [5], [12]). Let  $E \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle. If  $V \subset X$  is an irreducible  $d$ -dimensional sub-manifold, let us denote by

$$H^0(X \setminus V; mE) := \text{Im} (H^0(X; mE) \rightarrow H^0(V; mE_V));$$

and let  $h^0(X \setminus V; mE)$  be the dimension of this space. Then the restricted volume of  $E$  to  $V$  is

$$\text{Vol}_V(X; L) := \limsup_m \frac{d!}{m^d} h^0(X \setminus V; mL)$$

The definition of a maximal center is slightly more involved, and it will not be recalled here (see [16], [25] and also the paragraph 3 of this article). However, let us just mention that given an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D = \sum_j \nu_j Z_j$ , the maximal centers of the pair  $(X; D)$  are the higher codimensional analog of the irreducible components  $Z_j$  above such that  $\nu_j = 1$ .

The corollary above can be used to provide a rather quick, simple and transparent proof of the next statement due to S. Takayama ([26], see also [14] and [28]), which is crucial in the investigation of the properties of the pluricanonical series.

0.3 Theorem ([27], [26], [14]). Let  $X$  be a non-singular projective manifold, such that  $K_X$  is pseudo-effective. Let  $L$  be a line bundle which can be written as  $L = A + D$

where  $A$  is  $Q$ -ample and  $D$  is a  $Q$ -effective divisor. Assume that  $V$  is an irreducible maximal center of  $(X; D)$  such that there exists a section of some multiple of  $K_X + L$  vanishing on some ample divisor and which is not identically zero when restricted to  $V$ . Then

$$\text{Vol}_V(X; K_X + L) = \text{Vol}(V).$$

We would like to remark that even if our proof goes along the same lines as the previous ones, the exposition is substantially simplified by the use of the corollary 0.2 (which allow us to bypass the use of Kawamata subadjunction theorem [17]).

There are 2 major ingredients needed in the proof of 0.3. The first one is the technique invented by Y.-T. Siu to prove the invariance of plurigenera, see [29], [30]. The version which will be used here is due to S. Takayama, but we will offer a simpler proof, in the same spirit as in [24]. The second one is simply the corollary 0.2.

Let us explain very vaguely how the 2 techniques combine to prove the theorem. In the first place, the corollary 0.2 can be used to construct an injective morphism between the space of sections of the line bundle  $mK_V$  into the space of sections of the bundle  $m(K_X + L)_Y$ , in such a way that each element of the image is  $L^2$  with respect to a certain metric. The integrability property and the invariance of plurigenera show that we can extend further the sections of  $m(K_X + L)_Y$  which belong to the image of our morphism over the whole manifold  $X$ .

In our forthcoming article [4], the analog of the corollary 0.2 under the hypothesis  $|H^0(X_Y; m_0 K_{X_Y} + L)| \neq 0$  will be considered; here  $m_0$  denotes a positive integer. The effective version of it seem to require the recent results concerning the finite generation of the canonical ring of projective manifolds.

Acknowledgements. The second named author would like to thank F. Campana, Y. Kawamata, S. Takayama, H. Tsuchi and E. Viehweg for very interesting discussions concerning various aspects of this work; he would equally like to express his gratitude to his friends and colleagues at the Institute Elie Cartan (Nancy) for the nice atmosphere during the "groupe de travail" where topics like the extension of pluricanonical forms were extensively analyzed.

## x1 Relative Bergman kernels

Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective holomorphic map with compact  $n$ -dimensional fibers, onto a complex manifold  $Y$ . At first we will also assume that the map  $p$  defines a smooth fibration, i.e. that the differential  $dp$  is surjective at each point, so that the fibers

$$X_y := p^{-1}(y)$$

are smooth manifolds. Let  $(L; \cdot)$  be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over the

total space  $X$ . For each  $y$  in  $Y$  we let

$$E_y = H^0(X_y; L \otimes K_y + K_{X_y})$$

be the space of global holomorphic  $L$ -valued  $(n; 0)$ -forms on  $X_y$ . The Hermitian metric on  $L$  induces a Hilbert norm  $H_y$  on  $E_y$  by

$$(1.1) \quad \|u\|_y^2 = \int_{X_y} c_n u \wedge \bar{u} e \quad :$$

Near a point in  $Y$  we can choose local coordinates  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ , which define a local  $(m; 0)$ -form  $dt = dt_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt_m$ , that trivializes the canonical bundle of  $Y$ ,  $K_Y$ . A choice of such form gives a natural map from forms  $u$ , on the fibers  $X_y$  to sections  $\alpha$ , to  $K_X$  over  $X_y$  by

$$\alpha = u \wedge p(dt) = u \wedge dt;$$

where in the last equality we abuse notation slightly by thinking of  $t$  as a function on  $X$ . When dealing with forms on  $X$  we will mostly use the notation  $dt$ , but we will recur to  $p(dt)$  for emphasis occasionally.

Conversely, given a local section  $\alpha$  to  $K_X$  we can write  $\alpha = u \wedge dt$  locally. The restriction of  $u$  to fibers is then uniquely defined and thus defines a form on  $K_{X_y}$ . We will call  $u$  the trace of  $\alpha$  on  $K_{X_y}$ . Altogether the correspondence between  $u$  and  $\alpha$  gives us an identification between forms on a fiber and restrictions of sections to  $K_X$  to the fiber. This identification clearly depends on the choice of  $dt$ , but the map  $(u; dt) \mapsto u \wedge dt$  is an invariantly defined isomorphism

$$K_{X_y} \oplus p(K_Y) \otimes K_y \xrightarrow{\sim} K_X \otimes K_y;$$

for any  $y$ . Hence we also get an isomorphism

$$K_{X_y} \otimes (K_X \otimes p(K_Y)) \otimes K_y = K_{X=Y} \otimes K_y;$$

where  $K_{X=Y} = (K_X \otimes p(K_Y))$  is the relative canonical bundle of  $p$ . If  $t$  is any choice of local coordinates on  $Y$ , then the isomorphism is given by

$$u \mapsto u \wedge p(dt) = dt.$$

The Hilbert norm (1.1) therefore defines a norm on sections to  $L + K_{X=Y}$  over  $X_y$ .

For any  $y$  in  $Y$  we now define the Bergman kernel for this norm by

$$B_y = \sum_j u_j \bar{u}_j;$$

for any choice of orthonormal basis  $(u_j)$  of  $(L + K_{X=Y}) \otimes K_y$ . It is well known that this definition is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis. In the manifold case, that we are dealing with here, the Bergman kernel is not a function, but  $\log B_y$  defines a metric on  $(L + K_{X=Y}) \otimes K_y$  in the sense that

$$u \bar{u} = B_y$$

is a well defined function if  $u$  is a section to  $L + K_{X=Y}$  over  $X_Y$ . As  $y$  varies, we get a metric on the line bundle  $L + K_{X=Y}$  over all of  $X$ . We will refer to this metric as the relative Bergman kernel metric and write  $B = e$ .

In the classical case of plane domains there is a well known extremal characterization of the Bergman kernel as

$$B(x) = \sup_{\|h\|_1} \int |h(x)|^2 dx$$

We will use repeatedly a variant of this in our setting. Choose local coordinates  $x$  near a point in a fiber  $X_Y$ . Then  $dx=dt$  is a local frame for  $K_{X=Y}$ . Choose also some local trivialization of  $L$  near the same point. With respect to these local frames  $\alpha$  is represented by a function  $\alpha^0$ , and any section  $\alpha=dt = u \wedge p(dt)=dt$  to  $L + K_{X=Y}$  over  $X_Y$  can be written

$$\alpha=dt = u^0(x)dx=dt:$$

Then

$$e^0(x) = \sup_{\|u\|_Y} \int |u^0(x)|^2 dx$$

The proof of this is exactly as in the classical case. It is of paramount importance that, since our local trivializations are given by  $dx=dt$ , the coefficient that enters in the extremal characterization is the coefficient of  $\alpha$  (and not any coefficient of  $u$ ). It is the presence of the factor  $p(dt)$  - which vanishes on the singular locus of  $p$  - in  $\alpha$  that will allow us to control the Bergman kernel metric near the singular locus.

Our first result, Theorem 0.1, says that if  $\alpha$  is a, possibly singular, metric of non-negative curvature on  $L$ , then  $e$  is a metric of nonnegative curvature of  $L + K_{X=Y}$  - unless  $B$  is identically 0. More precisely,  $e$  is semipositive over the Zariski open set where  $p$  is a smooth fibration, and extends in a unique way to a semipositive metric over the singular fibers.

To start explaining the proof of this, let us first assume that  $\alpha$  is smooth, and that  $p$  defines a smooth fibration, i.e that  $dp$  is surjective everywhere. Theorem 0.1 is then an easy consequence of Theorem 1.2 in [3]. This theorem says that the Hilbert norms  $\| \cdot \|_Y$  on  $E_Y$  define a positively curved Hermitian metric on a vector bundle  $E$  over  $Y$ , with fibers  $E_Y$ . The complex structure on  $E$  is such that a local section to  $E$

$$y \ni u_y$$

is holomorphic if and only if the associated sections

$$y \ni \alpha_y = u_y \wedge dt$$

to  $K_X$  are holomorphic, and hence define holomorphic sections  $\alpha=dt$  to  $K_{X=Y}$ . (The bundle  $E$  is the direct image  $p_*(L + K_{X=Y})$  of  $L + K_{X=Y}$  under the map  $p$ .) The precise statement of Theorem 1.2 in [3] is that  $E$  with the  $L^2$ -Hermitian metric is (weakly) Nakano positive, but the weaker property of Griffiths positivity is all we use here.

Choose local coordinates  $(t; z)$  near a point in  $X$ , with respect to which the map  $p$  is the trivial fibration  $(t; z) \ni t$ . These local coordinates give us local frames,  $dt$  and  $dt \wedge dz$  for  $p_*(K_Y)$  and  $K_X$  respectively, and hence the local frame  $dt \wedge dz=dt$  for

$K_{X=Y}$ . With respect to this frame,  $\mu^0$  is given by a function  $u^0$ , and we need to prove that this function is plurisubharmonic. This means that

$$u^0(t; h(t))$$

is plurisubharmonic of  $t$  if  $(t; h(t))$  is any local holomorphic map.

We now use the same local coordinates, and the map  $h$ , to define a local holomorphic section to the dual bundle of  $E$ ,  $E^*$ . Let  $u_y$  be an element in  $E_y$ , and let

$$u_y \wedge p^*(dt) = dt$$

be the associated element in  $K_{X=Y}$ . With respect to the trivializations

$$u_y \wedge p^*(dt) = dt = u^0(t; z) (dz \wedge dt) = dt:$$

We put

$$k_y(u_y) = u^0(t; h(t)):$$

If  $u_y$  depends holomorphically on  $y$ , then  $u^0$  is a holomorphic function of  $t$  and  $z$ , so it follows that  $y \mapsto u_y$  is a holomorphic section to  $E^*$ . By the extremal characterization of Bergman kernels

$$k_y k^2 = \sup_{\|k\|=1} |j^0(t; h(t))|^2 = e^{-\mu^0(t; h(t))}:$$

Since the logarithm of the norm of any section to the dual of a Griffiths positive bundle is plurisubharmonic, it follows that  $\mu^0$  is plurisubharmonic, which is what we wanted to prove.

This proves Theorem 0.1 in the smooth case, i.e. when both the metric and the fibration  $p$  are smooth. Let us now still assume that  $X$  is smooth but relax the assumption on  $p$ , so that  $p$  is a general surjective holomorphic map. Then the degeneracy locus of  $p$  is an analytic subvariety  $W$  of  $X$  and  $p(W)$  is an analytic subvariety of  $Y$ . By Sard's theorem  $p(W)$  has zero measure in  $Y$ , so it is a proper subvariety,  $W^0$  of  $Y$ . Outside of  $p^{-1}(W^0)$ ,  $p$  is a smooth fibration, and by the previous discussion, has semipositive curvature there. We want to prove that  $\mu^0$  extends to a semipositive metric across  $p^{-1}(W^0)$ . Since  $p^{-1}(W^0)$  is pluripolar, it suffices for this to prove that  $\mu^0$  stays locally bounded from above. The next lemma is the crucial step.

1.1 Lemma. Let  $D$  be a polydisk in  $C^{n+m}$ , and let

$$p : D \rightarrow V$$

be a holomorphic map from  $D$  to a bounded open set in  $C^m$ . Assume  $D_0 := p^{-1}(0)$  is a smooth  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $D$ , and let  $u$  be a holomorphic  $(n; 0)$ -form on  $D_0$ . Let  $\alpha = u \wedge p^*(dt)$  where  $t = (t_1; \dots; t_m)$  are standard coordinates on  $C^m$  and write

$$\alpha = u^0 dz;$$

where  $z = (z_1; \dots; z_{n+m})$  are standard coordinates on  $C^{n+m}$ . Then, for any compact subset  $K$  of  $D$  and  $z$  in  $D_0 \setminus K$ ,

$$|j^0(z)|^2 \leq C_K \int_{D_0} |u; u| e^{-\mu^0} :$$

Proof. By the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem there is a holomorphic  $(n + m; 0)$ -form  $\tilde{U}$  in  $D$  such that

$$\tilde{U} = \alpha$$

on  $D_0$  and

$$\int_D |\tilde{U}|^2 e^{-C \int_{D_0} |u; u| e^{-p}} ;$$

where the constant  $C$  only depends on the sup-norm of  $p$ . Since  $\tilde{U}$  is locally bounded from above it follows that

$$\int_K |\tilde{U}|^2 \leq C^0 \int_{D_0} |u; u| e^{-p} ;$$

The lemma now follows from the meanvalue inequality applied to  $\tilde{U}$ .  $\square$

1.2 Remark. The main point in the lemma is that the constant  $C_K$  does not blow up as we approach a singular fiber – the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem implies that the fiberwise Bergman kernel automatically has the right normalization to extend over the singular fibers of  $p$ .

If we choose local coordinates and local trivializations near a point on a singular fiber of  $p$  it follows immediately from the lemma, together with the extremal characterization of Bergman kernels, that the relative Bergman kernel stays bounded near a singular fiber. Hence the metric extends (uniquely!) to a semipositive metric on  $L + K_{X=Y}$ .

1.3 Remark. Two examples may serve to illustrate what happens near the singular locus. Let us first look at the case when  $X$  is the blow-up of  $Y$  at a point, and, say,  $L$  is trivial. Then

$$s := p(dt) = dt$$

defines a global holomorphic section to the relative canonical bundle  $K_{X=Y}$  ( $s$  does not depend on the choice of local frame  $dt$ ). Since  $s$  vanishes to order one precisely on the exceptional divisor  $D$ , we see that

$$K_{X=Y} = (D);$$

and that  $h_D := \int_D |\tilde{U}|^2$  defines a singular pseudoeffective metric on  $K_{X=Y}$ . We claim that  $h_D$  equals the relative Bergman kernel metric in this case. To see this, note that outside of the singular locus the fibers  $X_Y$  are just points, and elements,  $u$ , in  $E_Y$  are just numbers. Then

$$\alpha = dt = u \wedge p(dt) = dt = \int u^0 dx = dt;$$

and to compute the Bergman kernel of this zero-dimensional fiber we need to take the supremum of  $\int u^0 dx$  over all  $u$  of modulus 1. Clearly this equals  $\int s^0 dx$  where

$$s = \int s^0 dx = dt;$$

proving our claim. A very similar argument applies, and the same conclusion holds, in the more general situation of a map  $p$  with a finite number of preimages over a generic point in the base.

The second example illustrates what happens when the dimension of the fiber does not jump at the singular locus. The perhaps simplest such example is when  $n = m = 1$  and  $p(z_1; z_2) = z_1^2 - z_2^3$ . Then the fiber  $D_0 = \{z_1^2 = z_2^3\}$  can be parametrized by

$$\gamma(t) = (t^3; t^2);$$

and any holomorphic form  $u$  on  $D_0$  can be written

$$u = h(t) dt;$$

with  $h$  holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $u$  has finite  $L^2$ -norm on  $D_0$  if and only if  $h$  extends holomorphically across  $0$ . On the other hand

$$dp = 2z_1 dz_1 - 3z_2^2 dz_2;$$

and

$$d = (1 - z_2) dz_1 - (z_1 = z_2^2) dz_2;$$

so we get

$$\alpha = u \wedge dp = 2h(t) dt \wedge dz_2;$$

Therefore  $\alpha^0$  is finite at the origin if and only if  $h$  has a pole of order at most 2 at  $0$ . Since by the extremal characterization of Bergman kernels, the Bergman kernel at the origin equals

$$\sup \int \alpha^0(0) = k^2;$$

we see that Bergman kernels tend to be small – not big – on singular fibers.

It now remains to relax the condition that  $p$  be smooth to obtain the full proof of Theorem 0.1. We may again assume that  $p$  is a smooth fibration, since the general case then follows from Lemma 1.1 by the same argument as before. We then need to approximate  $p$  by a sequence of smooth semipositive metrics. This is the point where we use the assumption that  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is projective, which permits us to reduce the problem to the Stein case. This is the object of the next section.

## x2 Stein and projectiveibrations

We will now discuss the proof of Theorem 0.1 in the general case. First, since  $X$  is assumed to be projective, we can remove a divisor and get a Stein submanifold. After that we can remove another divisor, defined by a section to  $L$ , and get a smaller Stein submanifold over which  $L$  is trivial. We will prove that the relative Bergman kernel metric for this Stein submanifold has nonnegative curvature. But, since divisors are removable for  $L^2$  holomorphic functions, the relative Bergman kernel metrics for  $X$  and for the Stein submanifold are identical, so Theorem 0.1 follows.

We first consider a smooth Stein fibration over a domain  $W$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . By this we mean that we are given a Stein manifold  $D$  of dimension  $n + m$ , together with a holomorphic

surjective map  $p$  from  $D$  to  $W$  whose derivative  $dp$  is everywhere of maximal rank. Then the fibers  $D_t = p^{-1}(t)$  are  $n$ -dimensional Stein manifolds. Let  $\mu$  be a plurisubharmonic function on the total space  $D$ .

We let  $E_t$  be the space of global holomorphic  $(n;0)$ -forms  $u$  on  $D_t$  that lie in  $L^2$  in the sense that

$$\|u\|_t^2 = \int_{D_t} |u|^2 e^{-\mu} < \infty;$$

This is now, in general, an infinite dimensional space, but we can still define its Bergman kernel

$$B_t = \sum_j u_j \bar{u}_j;$$

and a metric  $B = e^{-\mu}$  on  $K_{D=W} = K_D$  as in the previous section. If  $u$  lies in  $E_t$  we write  $\alpha = u \wedge dt$  and

$$\alpha = u^0 dz;$$

given local coordinates on  $D$ . We then have, by the extremal characterization of Bergman kernels, that

$$e^{-\mu(z)} = \sup |u^0(z)|^2;$$

with respect to the induced local trivializations, where the supremum is taken over all forms  $u$  of norm at most 1.

**2.1 Theorem.** In the above situation, the relative Bergman kernel metric has nonnegative curvature, i.e.

$$i\partial\bar{\partial} \log B \geq 0;$$

The proof follows closely the methods of [3] section 6, but there seems to be no obvious way to reduce the statement to the situation treated there, so we shall basically retrace the arguments with some modifications.

The strategy of the proof is as follows. We first prove the theorem in a smooth situation. It is then clear that the relative Bergman kernel is continuous, so to prove that it is plurisubharmonic it suffices to verify subharmonicity on complex lines. This means in particular that we may assume the base is one dimensional. Finally, the general case is reduced to the smooth case.

Since  $D$  is Stein it has a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion,  $\mu$ . Let  $D^c = \mu < c$ . By Sard's lemma,  $D^c$  is smoothly bounded and strictly pseudoconvex for almost all choices of  $c$ . For such a value of  $c$  we can also apply Sard's lemma to the map  $p$  from  $D^c$  to  $W$ , and conclude that  $D_t^c = D^c \setminus D_t$  is also smoothly bounded outside a closed set of  $t$  with measure zero. The main step in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following proposition.

**2.2 Proposition.** Assume that  $D^c$  is smoothly bounded,  $0$  lies in  $W$  and that  $D_0^c$  is also smoothly bounded. Let  $\mu$  be smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighbourhood of the closure of  $D^c$ . Let  $u_0$  be a holomorphic  $(n;0)$ -form which is smooth up to the boundary of  $D_0^c$ , and let  $U_0 = u_0 \wedge dt$ . Then  $U_0$  can be extended holomorphically to a form  $U$  on  $D^c \setminus p^{-1}(V)$  for some small neighbourhood  $V$  of  $0$ , in such a way that  $u_t$ , the trace of  $U$  on nearby fibers  $D_t^c$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \|u_t\|_t^2 \geq 0$$

for  $t = 0$ .

Before continuing, let us see right away why the proposition implies a version of Theorem 2.1 for  $D^c$  with the smooth weight  $\varphi$ . Choose a point  $z$  on  $D_0^c$ , and take  $u_0$  to be a form that realizes the supremum in (1.1). From the regularity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on the smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex manifold  $D_0^c$  it follows that  $u_0$  is smooth up to the boundary. Extend  $u_0$  by the proposition. Let  $t \mapsto z(t)$  be a holomorphic section to the fibration such that  $z(0) = z$ . Then, by the extremal characterization of Bergman kernels

$$\log(z(t)) = \log U^0(z(t)) - \log \|k_t\|_t^2;$$

with equality for  $t = 0$ . Since  $\log U^0(z(t))$  is harmonic, formula (2.1) implies that the Laplacian of  $\log(z(t))$  with respect to  $t$  is nonnegative at the origin, and it follows that  $\log(z(t))$  is plurisubharmonic.

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.2 which follows the lines of [3], section 6, with some simplifications since the base is one-dimensional and the fibration Stein.

First we note that since  $D_0^c$  is smoothly bounded and strictly pseudoconvex, we can always find some holomorphic  $(n+1;0)$ -form  $U$  defined near  $D_0^c$  with trace  $u_0$  on the fiber over 0. We can also write  $U = u \wedge dt$ , for some  $(n;0)$ -form  $u$ . This is clear locally and can also be achieved globally by patching together local choices of  $u$  via a partition of unity. Then  $u$  is not a priori a holomorphic form, but its restriction to fibers is holomorphic. We claim however that, since  $D$  is Stein, we can in this case even choose  $u$  so that it is holomorphic in the ambient space  $D^c$ , near  $D_0^c$ .

For this we first find a smooth  $(1;0)$  tangent vector field,  $f$ , on  $D$ , so that

$$d\varphi(f) = d\varphi dt.$$

Again, such a field is easily found locally by choosing local coordinates with respect to which the fibration is trivial, and  $f$  is then obtained globally by a partition of unity. Let  $w = \bar{\partial}f$ . Then  $w$  is a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $(0;1)$ -form with values in the subbundle of the holomorphic tangent bundle of  $D$  consisting of vectors tangent to fibers (i.e. the kernel of  $d\varphi$ ). Since  $D$  is Stein,  $w = \bar{\partial}g$ , where  $g$  is a field with values in the same subbundle. Replacing  $f$  by  $f - g$  we get a holomorphic tangent vector field on  $D$  satisfying (1.3). We can then take  $u$  equal to the contraction of  $U$  with  $f$ . This way  $U = u \wedge dt$  and  $u = u_0$  on the fiber over 0.

The proof consists in modifying  $u$  to the first order at 0, so that we replace  $u$  by  $u + tu^0$  to achieve (2.1). The existence of a suitable  $u^0$  follows from the following lemma.

2.3 Lemma. Let  $\Omega = \{f < 0\}$  be a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain in an  $n$ -dimensional Stein manifold. Let  $\varphi$  be a smooth plurisubharmonic function on  $\Omega$ . Assume  $\bar{\partial}\varphi$  does not vanish on the boundary of  $\Omega$ . Let  $w_0$  and  $w_1$  be forms in  $\mathcal{O}^1(\Omega)$  of bidegree  $(n;0)$  that are smooth up to the boundary. Then there are a holomorphic  $(n;0)$ -form  $u^0$  and a form  $\vartheta$  of bidegree  $(n-1;0)$ , smooth up to the boundary so that

$$\bar{\partial}\vartheta = w_1 + u^0$$

in  $\Omega$  and

$$\bar{\partial}\vartheta = w_0$$

on the boundary of  $X$ . Moreover, if  $\omega$  is any Kähler metric on the ambient Stein manifold,  $\omega|_X$  can be chosen to satisfy

$$\bar{\partial} \wedge \omega = 0$$

in  $X$ .

Proof. Since  $\bar{\partial}$  does not vanish on  $X$  we can find a smooth  $(n-1;0)$ -form  $a$  on  $X$  so that

$$a \wedge \bar{\partial} = w_0$$

on  $X$ . Moreover,  $a$  can be chosen so that  $\bar{\partial} a \wedge \omega = 0$ . Let  $u^0$  be the orthogonal projection of  $w_1 \wedge a$  on the space of holomorphic  $(n;0)$ -forms, square integrable against the weight  $e^{-\omega}$ . Then

$$v := w_1 \wedge a - u^0$$

is orthogonal to the space of holomorphic forms, so it can be written

$$v = \bar{\partial} \psi$$

for some  $\psi$ , smooth up to the boundary, satisfying the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann boundary condition. We can also choose  $\psi$  so that  $\bar{\partial} \psi = 0$ . Define  $u^0$  by

$$u^0 \wedge \omega = \psi :$$

Then the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann conditions on the boundary say that  $u^0 \wedge \bar{\partial} = 0$  there, and  $\bar{\partial} u^0$  equals  $\bar{\partial} \psi$ . Hence

$$\psi = u^0 + a$$

satisfies all the requirements in the lemma.  $\square$

We shall apply the lemma with  $X$  being the central fiber  $D_0^c$ . We take  $w_0$  equal to the trace of  $\bar{\partial} \wedge u$  and  $w_1$  equal to the trace of  $\bar{\partial} u$  on  $D_0^c$ . This means that

$$\bar{\partial} \wedge u = w_0 \wedge dt = \bar{\partial} \wedge \bar{\partial} \wedge dt$$

on  $\partial D$  for  $t=0$ , and

$$\bar{\partial} u = w_1 \wedge dt = (\bar{\partial} + u^0) \wedge dt$$

for  $t=0$ .

Extend  $u^0$  holomorphically to a neighbourhood of the central fiber in the same way that we extended  $u_0$  before, and put  $v = u - t(-1)u^0$ . Then

$$\bar{\partial} v = \bar{\partial} \wedge dt$$

for  $t=0$ . Extend  $v$  smoothly so that

$$\bar{\partial} \wedge u = \bar{\partial} \wedge \bar{\partial} \wedge dt = 0$$

continues to hold on  $\partial D$  for  $t$  near 0. We claim that  $v$  satisfies the conclusion of Proposition 2.2.

For the computations in the proof of Proposition 2.1 it is convenient to represent the norm  $s$  over the fiber as a push-forward of a form. Let  $\chi$  be the characteristic function of  $D^c$  in  $D$ , and put

$$T^0 = [\chi; v]_e$$

Then, at a point  $t$  in the base

$$p_*(T^0) = \int v_t^2 \chi_t^2;$$

since the push-forward of a form is precisely its fiberwise integral. We next modify this representation of the norm of  $v$  somewhat, by putting

$$g := v \wedge dt$$

and

$$T = [g; g]_e :$$

Then  $T$  has the same push-forward as  $T^0$  since terms containing a factor  $dt$  vanish under the push-forward. Note that  $g$  satisfies the following three equations:

$$\bar{\partial}g = \bar{\partial} \wedge dt$$

everywhere,

$$(2.2) \quad \partial g = \partial v \wedge dt = 0$$

for  $t = 0$ , and

$$g \wedge \partial = 0$$

on  $\partial D$  for  $t$  near 0. In particular, the last condition means that  $T$  and  $\chi$  satisfy the hypotheses of the next lemma, taken from [2].

2.4 Lemma. Let  $\chi$  be a smooth real-valued function in an open set  $U$  in  $C^{n+1}$ . Assume that  $\partial \chi \neq 0$  on  $S = \{z; \chi(z) = 0\}$ , so that  $S$  is a smooth real hypersurface. Let  $T$  be a real differential form of bidimension  $(1;1)$  defined where  $\partial \chi \neq 0$ , with coefficients extending smoothly up to  $S$ . Assume

$$\partial \wedge T$$

vanishes on  $S$ , and that

$$\partial \wedge \bar{\partial} \wedge T$$

vanishes to second order on  $S$ . Extend  $T$  to a current  $T'$  in  $U$  by putting  $T' = 0$  where  $\chi > 0$ . Then

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}T' = \int_{\chi < 0} i\partial\bar{\partial}T + \frac{i\partial\bar{\partial} \wedge T dS}{\partial \chi};$$

where  $dS$  is surface measure on  $S$  and  $\chi$  is a characteristic function.

Note that for any  $T$  satisfying the hypothesis of the lemma, we have that

$$T \wedge \theta = 0$$

since  $\theta$  is proportional to  $\bar{\theta}$  and supported on the set where  $\bar{\theta} = 0$ . The lemma says that we also have

$$i\bar{\theta} \wedge T = T \wedge \frac{i\bar{\theta}}{g_j} dS;$$

in the sense of currents.

We are now ready to compute  $\bar{\theta}$  and  $\theta\bar{\theta}$  of  $\|k\|_t^2 = p(T)$ . First note that

$$(2.3) \quad p(g \wedge \bar{\theta} g e) = 0:$$

This follows since the pushforward of a form of bidegree  $(n+1; n)$  must be of bidegree  $(1; 0)$  in  $t$ , but since  $\bar{\theta} g = \theta \wedge dt$  the pushforward above is of degree 1 in  $t$ . Taking  $\bar{\theta}$  of this relation we see that

$$p(c_n (1)^n g \wedge \overline{i\bar{\theta} g e}) =$$

$$(2.4) \quad ip(c_n \bar{\theta} g \wedge \bar{\theta} g e) + ip(c_n \bar{\theta} \wedge g \wedge \bar{\theta} g e) = A + B:$$

To compute the last term here we take  $\theta$  of the relation (recall that  $\theta \wedge g = 0$ )

$$p(c_n \bar{\theta} \wedge g \wedge g e) = 0;$$

and find that

$$p(c_n i\bar{\theta} \wedge g \wedge g e) + i(1)^{n+1} B = 0:$$

From (the conjugate of) (2.3) it next follows that

$$\bar{\theta} p(T) = p(c_n (1)^n g \wedge \overline{\theta g e});$$

which by (2.2) vanishes for  $t = 0$ , so the origin is a stationary point for the norm of  $v$ . Differentiating once again we get (again since  $\theta \wedge g = 0$ )

$$(2.5) \quad i\bar{\theta} \bar{p}(T) = p(ic_n \theta g \wedge \overline{\theta g e}) - p(c_n g \wedge \overline{i\bar{\theta} g e}):$$

Again by (2.2) the first term is zero for  $t = 0$ , so we only need to analyze the second term. We use

$$i(\bar{\theta}\theta + \theta\bar{\theta}) = i\bar{\theta}$$

and find that

$$p(c_n g \wedge \overline{i\bar{\theta}\theta g e}) = p(c_n i\bar{\theta} \wedge g \wedge g e) - p(c_n g \wedge \overline{i\bar{\theta} g e}):$$

Combining with (2.4) and (2.5) we finally find that

$$(2.6) \quad i\bar{\theta} \bar{p}(T) = p(ic_n \theta g \wedge \overline{\theta g e}) - p(c_n i\bar{\theta} \wedge g \wedge g e) +$$

$$+ i(1)^n p(c_n \bar{\partial} g \wedge \bar{\partial} g e) = p(T \wedge \frac{i\partial\bar{\partial}}{j} dS):$$

This formula holds in the sense of currents, but since the norm of  $u$  is a smooth function of  $t$  and the right hand side is continuous, the formula must also hold in every point.

We want to prove that  $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log p(T)$  is negative at the origin. Since the origin is a stationary point for the norm function, it suffices to prove this inequality without the logarithm. This follows from (2.6) if we can only prove that

$$(2.7) \quad i(1)^n p(c_n \bar{\partial} g \wedge \bar{\partial} g e) \leq 0:$$

But

$$i(1)^n c_n \bar{\partial} g \wedge \bar{\partial} g = c_n \bar{\partial} \wedge \bar{\partial} \text{idt} \wedge \bar{\partial} t$$

so (2.7) follows since the quadratic form

$$c_n \bar{\partial} \wedge \bar{\partial}$$

is negative semidefinite on primitive  $(n-1;1)$ -forms, i.e. forms satisfying  $\bar{\partial}! = 0$  (this is a classical fact about primitive forms and not hard to check choosing an orthonormal basis for the metric!).  $\square$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. By the comments immediately after that proposition we also see that Theorem 2.1 follows if we are outside of the singular locus of  $p$ , assume  $\bar{\partial}$  to be smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic, replace  $D$  by  $D^c$  and moreover assume that  $c$  is not a critical value for the restriction of the exhaustion function to any slice  $D_t^c$ . We shall now see how the general case of Theorem 2.1 follows from this.

Assume at first that  $\bar{\partial}$  is smooth in all of  $D$  and that we are away from the singular locus. Choose  $c$  to be a noncritical value of  $\bar{\partial}$ , and let  $\bar{\partial}^c$  be computed for  $D^c$ . Then it follows from the above that  $\bar{\partial}^c$  is plurisubharmonic in the open and dense subset of  $D^c$  where  $t$  is such that  $c$  is noncritical for the restriction of  $\bar{\partial}$  to  $D_t^c$ . Moreover, by Lemma 1.1,  $\bar{\partial}^c$  is locally bounded from above, and so extends from the subset in a unique way to a plurisubharmonic function,  $\bar{\partial}^c$  to all of  $D^c$ . Simple normal family arguments show that

$$c < c$$

and

$$c^+ < c;$$

if  $\epsilon > 0$ . Moreover, both  $\bar{\partial}^c$  and  $\bar{\partial}^c$  decrease with  $c$ , so they must have the same limit as  $c$  tends to infinity. But the limit of  $\bar{\partial}^c$  equals to  $\bar{\partial}$  (computed in all of  $D$ ), and the limit of  $\bar{\partial}^c$  is plurisubharmonic, so it follows that  $\bar{\partial}$  is plurisubharmonic.

This proves Theorem 2.1 when  $\bar{\partial}$  is smooth and we are away from the singular locus. Another application of Lemma 1.1 then shows that  $\bar{\partial}$  extends plurisubharmonically across the singular locus. Finally, to remove the assumption that  $\bar{\partial}$  be smooth we again consider regular values  $c$  of the exhaustion function. A general plurisubharmonic can now be written as a decreasing limit of smooth strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighbourhood of the closure of  $D^c$ . Applying Theorem 1.1 to  $D^c$  with the weight  $\bar{\partial}^c$ ,

we see that the corresponding Bergman kernels are plurisubharmonic and decrease to the Bergman kernel for the weight  $\lambda$ . Hence the limit is again plurisubharmonic, and letting  $c$  tend to infinity we see that  $\lambda$  is plurisubharmonic or identically equal to  $-\infty$  in  $D$ .  $\square$

Thus, by the discussion at the beginning of this section, the proof of the theorem 0.1 is equally finished.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of the corollary 0.2

Before going into the details of the proof, we would like to mention the next result of Campana (see [6]); it is a generalization of Viehweg (type semipositivity theorems (see e.g. [34]; in connection with this, see also the paper [17] of Kawamata)

Theorem ([6]). Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a projective surjective map with connected fibers between non-singular manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ , whose first Chern class contains an effective  $Q$ -divisor, which has trivial multiplier ideal sheaf when restricted to the general fiber of  $p$ . Then  $p_* \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_{X=Y} + L))$  is weakly positive, for any positive and enough divisible integer  $m$ .

We do not intend to explain here the notion of weak positivity introduced by Viehweg in [33], [34]; however, let us point out a consequence of the previous result which is stated in the paper [8].

Theorem ([8]). Under the above assumptions, for any ample divisor  $A_Y$  on  $Y$  some large enough multiple of the line bundle  $K_{X=Y} + L + p_* A_Y$  is effective.

Therefore, our corollary 0.2 can be seen as a generalization and/or effective version of the result above (since there is no hypothesis on the multiplier sheaf in our statement and moreover we are able to control the restriction over some generic fiber of the sections produced by the theorem above).

Next, we are going to explain the proof of 0.2. As we have already mentioned, our arguments rely heavily on the theorem 0.1, and on the next version of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem, proved by Manivel (see [23], [21], [11] and the references quoted there).

3.1 Theorem ([21]). Let  $X$  be a projective  $n$ -dimensional manifold, and let  $Z \subset X$  be the zero set of a holomorphic section  $s \in H^0(X; E)$  of a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$ ; the subset  $Z$  is assumed to be non-singular, of codimension  $r$ . Let  $(F; h)$  be a line bundle, endowed with a (possibly singular) metric  $h$ , such that:

- (1)  $\int_X h(F) + \int_X \log |s|^2 = 0$  as current on  $X$ ;
- (2)  $\int_X h(F) + \int_X \log |s|^2 = 1 = \frac{\int_X h(E) s; \bar{s}}{\int_X |s|^2}$  for some  $\lambda > 1$ ;
- (3)  $|s|^2 = \exp(\lambda \phi)$  on  $X$ , and the restriction of the metric  $h$  on  $Z$  is well defined.

Then every section  $u \in H^0(Z; (K_X + F)|_Z)$  admits an extension  $\tilde{u}$  to  $X$  such that

$$\int_Z \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2 \exp(-\rho_F)}{|\tilde{u}|^{2r} (\log |\tilde{u}|)^2} \leq C \int_Z \frac{|u|^2 \exp(-\rho_F) dV_Z}{|u|^{2r} (ds)^2};$$

where  $h = e^{-\rho_F}$ , provided the right hand side is finite.

Notice that the integrand in the integral over  $Z$  here is independent of the metric chosen.

We will often use the Theorem 3.1 in the following situation:  $(E; h_E)$  is a holomorphic line bundle with a smooth hermitian metric (remark that we do not require any curvature conditions for it) and  $Z$  is a hypersurface defined by a section  $s$  to  $E$ .  $(F^0; h_{F^0})$  is another holomorphic hermitian line bundle over  $X$  with a possibly singular metric, such that

$$h(F^0) \leq 1$$

in the sense of currents. Then  $F := E + F^0$  endowed with the product metric  $h_E h_{F^0}$  satisfies the curvature assumptions in Theorem 3.1 if  $\epsilon$  is large enough. Hence any section  $u$  to

$$(K_X + F)|_Z$$

that is square integrable over  $Z$  extends to a section to  $K_X + F$  over all of  $X$ . Note also that by adjunction  $(K_X + E)|_Z = K_Z$  and that the integrability assumption means that

$$\int_Z |u|^2 \exp(-\rho_F^0) < 1 :$$

Also, in the papers quoted above, the metric  $h$  is non-singular; however, since the manifold  $X$  above is projective, it is standard to derive 2.1 from the smooth case, by a regularization argument. We refer to [30], [31] for the details concerning the regularization process. Remark also that the only additional conditions needed in order to allow singular metrics are the integrability, and the generic finiteness of the restriction to the manifold we want to extend our form.

The bundle we are interested in can be decomposed as follows:

$$m(K_{X=Y} + L) + pA = K_X + (m-1)(K_{X=Y} + L) + L + p(A - K_Y)$$

(the bundle  $A$  will be chosen in a moment). Our goal is to show that it is effective by extending (a multiple of) the section  $0 \in H^0(X_Y; (K_{X_Y} + L)) \cap I(h)$  whose existence is given by the hypothesis.

We denote by  $h_B$  the metric on  $K_{X=Y} + L$  given by the theorem 1; the fact which will be crucial in what follows is that for any positive integer  $k$ , we have

$$|u|_{h_B}^k \leq O(1)$$

uniformly on  $X_Y$ , just by the construction of the metric  $h_B$ .

We choose now the bundle  $A_Y \rightarrow Y$  positive enough such that:

- (a)  $H^0(Y; A_Y) \neq 0$ ;
- (b) The point  $y \in Y$  is the common zero set of the sections  $(s_j)$  of an ample line bundle  $B \rightarrow Y$  and  $A_Y \otimes K_Y \otimes 2B$ , in the sense that the difference is an ample line bundle.

The bundle  $(m-1)(K_{X=Y} + L) + L + p(A_Y \otimes K_Y)$  is endowed with the metric

$$h_m := h_B^{(m-1)} \otimes h_L \otimes h_{A_Y \otimes K_Y};$$

its curvature is semi-positive on  $X$ , and the restriction to  $X_Y$  is well defined. The section we want to extend is  $u_m := u \otimes s_{p(A_Y)}$ , where  $s_{p(A_Y)}$  is the pull-back of some non-zero section given by the property (a) above. By the property (b) the positivity conditions in the extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi are satisfied with the bundle  $F$  given by

$$F = (m-1)(K_{X=Y} + L) + L + p(A_Y \otimes K_Y)$$

since

$$h_m \otimes (m-1)(K_{X=Y} + L) + L + p(A_Y \otimes K_Y) + \frac{p}{1-\epsilon} \overline{\log |s_j|^2} \otimes p(A_Y \otimes K_Y \otimes B);$$

The right hand side is semi-positive and it dominates the bundle  $B$ ; thus the requirements (1), (2) and (3) are verified.

Now the integrability condition is obviously ok, since we have

$$\int_{X_Y} |u_m|^2_{h_m} dV < C \int_{X_Y} |u|^2_{h_L} dV < 1$$

(the first inequality comes from the fact that the section  $u$  is pointwise bounded with respect to the hermitian metric  $h_B$ , and the second inequality is just the hypothesis).

Therefore, by the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem we can extend the section  $u_m$  over  $X$  and the corollary 0.2 is proved.  $\square$

Remark. In fact, we have just proved more than the corollary 0.2 states. Indeed, we have shown that given  $u \in H^0(X_Y; (K_{X_Y} + L) \otimes I(h))$ , then the section  $u \otimes s_{p(A_Y)}$  extends over all of  $X$  for any positive integer  $m$ .

#### 4.4 Maximal centers and asymptotic extensions

In this paragraph we would like to give a complete proof of the theorem 0.3. We have already mentioned that this result is not new, but we feel that the arguments which will be used here (which are mainly analytic) could be very useful in other problems in algebraic geometry.

To start with, we would like to recall the notions of restricted volume and maximal center of a line bundle, respectively of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor.

**Definition.** Let  $E \rightarrow X$  be a line bundle, and let  $V \subset X$  be an  $d$ -dimensional subset (which could be singular, but reduced and irreducible). We define

$$H^0(X; \mathcal{J}_V; mE) := \text{Im} (H^0(X; mE) \rightarrow H^0(V; mE_V));$$

and let  $h^0(X; \mathcal{J}_V; mE)$  be the dimension of this space. Then the restricted volume of  $E$  to  $V$  is

$$\text{Vol}_V(E) := \limsup_m \frac{d!}{m^d} h^0(X; \mathcal{J}_V; mL):$$

If the line bundle  $E$  is numerically effective, then we have  $\text{Vol}_V(E) = E^d \cdot V$ ; this is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem (see [5] and also [12]). This notion turned out to be very useful to deal with the linear systems which do have base-points, and one of the most spectacular result in the theory is the theorem 0.3 which will be discussed next. For further properties and consequences, we refer to [12].

Let  $D$  be an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$ . We denote by  $I(D)$  the multiplier ideal sheaf associated to  $D$  (see e.g. [10]). To introduce the notion of maximal center of the pair  $(X; D)$ , let us assume that we have the decomposition  $D = S + D^0$ , where  $S$  is a smooth hypersurface, and  $D^0$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor which do not contain  $S$  in its support. Then the adjunction formula gives  $K_X + D_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_S + D^0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , in particular the difference  $K_X + D_{\mathbb{Q}} - K_S$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $S$ . As we will see in a moment, a maximal center is a substitute in codimension greater than 1 of the hypersurface  $S$  above. We recall the following notions.

**Definition.**

- 1: We say that the pair  $(X; D)$  is klt at  $x \in X$  if  $I(D)_x = \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ ; the support of the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_X / I(D)$  is denoted by  $\text{Nklt}(X; D)$ .
- 2: The log-canonical threshold of the pair  $(X; D)$  at  $x$  is the rational number

$$\text{lt}_x(X; D) := \sup \{ t \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \mid I(tD)_x = \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \}$$

- 3: We denote by  $\text{MC}(X; D)$  the set of irreducible components  $V$  of  $\text{Nklt}(X; D)$  such that the log-canonical threshold of  $(X; D)$  at the generic point of  $V$  is equal to 1. The elements of this set are called maximal centers of  $(X; D)$ .

We refer to the papers [10], [25] for a more extensive presentation and some properties of the notions introduced above; here we will content ourself to recall some standard facts which will be needed in what follows.

Given a point  $x \in X$ , by a slight perturbation of the divisor  $D$  we can assume that there is at most one element of the set  $\text{MC}(X; D)$  containing  $x$ .

If the maximal center  $V$  is a divisor, then we have the decomposition  $D = V + D^0$ , where  $D^0$  is an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor, such that  $V$  is not contained in the support of  $D^0$ .

Assume now that the codimension of  $V$  is at least 2, and assume that there exist an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $A$  on  $X$  such that  $L := A + D$  is a genuine line bundle on  $X$ . In this context we have the next "concentration" lemma of Kawamata-Shokurov, see [25].

4.1 Lemma ([16], [25]). Let  $L = A + D$  be a line bundle, where  $A$  and  $D$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors ample and effective, respectively. We assume that  $V \in \text{M.C.}(X; D)$  is of codimension at least 2. Then there exist (another) decomposition  $L = A + D'$  such that:

- (A)  $V \in \text{M.C.}(X; D')$ .
- (B) There exist  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  a sequence of blow-ups, such that we have

$$(4.1) \quad (K_X + L) = K_Y + S + \sum_j E_j$$

where  $S$  and  $E_j$  are in normal crossings, and the next properties hold:

- (B.1)  $S$  is a smooth,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -contractible hypersurface of  $Y$ , such that  $\pi_*(S) = V$ ;
- (B.2) If for some index  $j$  we have  $V \in \text{M.C.}(E_j)$ , then the corresponding multiplicity  $\nu_j$  is strictly less than 1.
- (B.3) If the multiplicity  $\nu_j$  is a negative number, then the corresponding divisor  $E_j$  is contracted by  $\pi$ .
- (B.4) Some of the  $E_j$  is ample on  $Y$ , and the corresponding coefficient is positive and strictly less than 1.

Remark that if we don't need the property that a unique exceptional divisor with multiplicity 1 in the decomposition above dominates  $V$ , all the other facts in the lemma are immediate consequences of the fact that  $V$  is the maximal center of  $(X; D)$ . However, this property will simplify the arguments of the proof of the next corollary.

We have divided the proof of 0.3 into two parts: the first one uses techniques developed in connection with the invariance of plurigenera, and the second one uses the semi-positivity of the relative canonical bundles (corollary 0.2).

#### 4.1 Invariance of Plurigenera techniques

The next result is due to S. Takayama in [25]; his proof follows very closely the techniques introduced by Y.-T. Siu in [30]. Rather than reproducing here his arguments, we will use a more direct approach taken from [24] (see also the papers [7], [26] and [32] for other variations and further results on this theme). The version which is best adapted for our proof of 0.3 is the following.

4.1.1 Theorem ([25], [32]). Let  $Z \rightarrow X$  be a non-singular hypersurface and let  $L = A + D$  be a big line bundle, where  $A$  and  $D$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles ample and effective, respectively. Let  $A_X$  be a line bundle on  $X$ , endowed with a smooth metric  $h_{A_X}$  whose

curvature is semi-positive. Assume that  $D$  does not contain  $Z$  in its support and that  $I(D|_Z) = \mathcal{O}_Z$ . Then for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the restriction morphism

$$H^0(X; m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X) \rightarrow H^0(Z; m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X|_Z)$$

is surjective.

*Proof.* Let us fix an ample line bundle  $B \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , positive enough so that the next conditions hold true.

For each  $0 \leq p \leq m-1$ , the bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + Z + L) + B)$  is generated by its global sections, which we denote by  $(s_j^{(p)})$ ;

Any section of the bundle  $\mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X + B|_Z)$  admits an extension to  $X$ .

Let  $u \in H^0(Z; m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X|_Z)$  be the section we want to extend. We consider the following statement:

$P_{k,p}$ : The sections  $u^k \in H^0(Z; (km + p)(K_X + Z + L) + kA_X + B|_Z)$  extend to  $X$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq m-1$  and  $j = 1, \dots, N$ ;

If we can show that  $P_{k,p}$  is true for any  $k$  and  $p$ , then another application of the extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi will end the proof; the argument goes as follows.

Let  $e_j^{(km)} \in H^0(X; km(K_X + Z + L) + kA_X + B)$  be an extension of  $u^k \in H^0(Z; m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X|_Z)$ . We take  $k \geq 0$ , so that

$$\frac{m-1}{mk} B < A;$$

in the sense that the difference is ample (we recall that  $L = A + D$ ). Let  $h_A$  be a smooth, positively curved metric on  $A - \frac{m-1}{mk} B$ .

We apply now the extension theorem 3.1 with  $F := (m-1)(K_X + Z + L) + L + A_X + Z$ ; a metric  $h$  on  $F$  is constructed as follows:

- (1) on the factor  $(m-1)(K_X + Z + L) + L + A_X$  we take the algebraic metric given by the family of sections  $e_j^{(k)}$  (more precisely, we take the  $\frac{m-1}{mk}$ -th root of this metric) multiplied with the canonical singular metric on  $D$  twisted the metrics  $h_A$  on  $A - \frac{m-1}{mk} B$  and  $h_{A_X}^{1-m}$  on  $(1-m)A_X$  respectively;
- (2) we take an arbitrary, smooth metric  $h_Z$  on the bundle associated to the divisor  $Z$ .

With our choice of the bundle  $F$ , the section  $u$  we want to extend becomes a section of the adjoint bundle  $K_X + F|_Z$  and the positivity requirements (1) and (2) in the extension theorem are satisfied since we have

$$h(F) + \frac{p}{1-\epsilon} \log \int_{D_Z} \bar{\omega}_Z^2 - h_A(A - \frac{m-1}{mk} B) > 0$$

(in the notation above,  $s$  is the canonical section of the bundle  $O(Z)$ ). Remark that the curvature of the bundle  $O(Z)$  does not affect in any way the (1;1)-form above, since it cancel out by the Poincaré-Lelong identity. Also, by an appropriate choice of the constant  $\epsilon$  in the extension theorem and a rescaling of the section  $s$ , the conditions (2) and (3) will be satisfied as well.

Concerning the integrability of  $u$ , remark that we have

$$\int_Z \frac{\int_P \int_j \exp(-\epsilon |D|) |j|^{(km)} |j|^{\frac{m-1}{mk}}}{|j|^{(km)} |j|^{\frac{m-1}{mk}}} = \int_Z \frac{\int_P \int_j \exp(-\epsilon |D|) |j|^{km} |s_j^{(0)}|^{\frac{m-1}{mk}}}{|j|^{km} |s_j^{(0)}|^{\frac{m-1}{mk}}} \int_Z \exp(-\epsilon |D|)$$

and this last integral converge by the hypothesis concerning the multiplier ideal sheaf of the restriction of  $D$  to  $Z$ .

Thus, it is enough to check the property  $P_{k,p}$ . For this, we will use an inductive procedure; the first steps are as follows.

(1) For each  $j = 1, \dots, N_0$ , the section  $u = s_j^{(0)} \in H^0(Z; m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X + B_Z)$  admits an extension  $\mathbf{a}_j^{(m)} \in H^0(X; m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X + B)$ , by the property  $P_{k,p}$ .

(2) We use the sections  $(\mathbf{a}_j^{(m)})$  to construct a metric on  $m(K_X + Z + L) + A_X + B$ ; we multiply it with the (positively curved) metric of  $L$  induced by  $A$  and  $D$ ; thus, we obtain a metric on the bundle  $m(K_X + Z + L) + L + A_X + B$ .

(3) Let  $F := m(K_X + Z + L) + L + A_X + B + Z$ ; for each  $j = 1, \dots, N_1$  let us consider the section  $u = s_j^{(1)} \in H^0(Z; (K_X + F)_Z)$ . It is integrable with respect to the metric produced at (2), since

$$\int_Z \frac{\int_P |ku| |s_j^{(1)}|^2}{\int_P |ku| |s_j^{(0)}|^2} \exp(-\epsilon |D|) dV < 1$$

again by hypothesis and  $P_{k,p}$ .

(4) We apply the extension theorem (as above, we take an arbitrary smooth metric on  $O(Z)$ ) and we get  $(\mathbf{a}_j^{(m+1)}) \in H^0(X; (m+1)(K_X + Z + L) + A_X + B)$  whose restriction on  $Z$  is precisely  $u = s_j^{(1)}$ .

Now the assertion  $P_{k,p}$  will be obtained by iterating the procedure (1)–(4) several times. Indeed, assume that the proposition  $P_{k,p}$  has been checked, and consider the set of global sections

$$(\mathbf{a}_j^{(km+p)}) \in H^0(X; (km+p)(K_X + Z + L) + kA_X + B)$$

which extend  $u^k = s_j^{(p)}$ . The metric associated to them twisted with the metric of  $L$  induce a metric on the bundle  $(km+p)(K_X + Z + L) + L + kA_X + B$ . Now if  $p < m-1$ , we can consider the family of sections  $u^k = s_j^{(p+1)} \in H^0(Z; K_X + F_Z)$ , where  $F := (km+p)(K_X + Z + L) + L + kA_X + B + Z$ . Each of them is integrable with respect to the previous metric (by the same arguments as in (3) above) and the extension theorem 3.1 show that  $P_{k,p+1}$  is verified. In the remaining case  $p = m-1$ , we will consider the bundle  $F$  as above twisted with  $A_X$  and its corresponding metric.

Over  $Z$ , the sections we will consider are  $u^{k+1} s_j^{(0)} \in H^0(Z; K_X + F)$ ; the rest of the proof goes along the same lines, thus we skip it.  $\square$

#### 4.2 End of the proof of 0.3

Let  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  be a log-resolution of the pair  $(X; D)$ , such that the properties in the lemma 4.1 are satisfied. We can rewrite the relation (4.1) as follows

$$(4.2.1) \quad (K_X + L) = K_Y + S + D_Y - E_Y$$

where  $D_Y, E_Y$  are effective divisors and  $E_Y$  is contracted by  $\pi$ .

If we denote by  $D_Y^h$  the "horizontal" part of the divisor  $D_Y$ , (i.e. the components of  $D_Y$  whose projection on  $X$  contain  $V$ ) then by the property  $(B_2)$  of the lemma, we have  $[D_Y^h] = 0$  (we recall that the integral part, respectively the fractional part of a divisor is obtained by considering the integral part, respectively the fractional part of its coefficients).

We restrict the above equality to  $S$  and use adjunction to get

$$(K_X + L)_{\mathcal{S}} = K_S + D_Y - E_Y$$

Next we subtract the inverse image of  $K_V$  and split the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors  $D_Y$  and  $E_Y$  according to their integral/fractional parts; the above relation becomes

$$(4.2.2) \quad (K_X + L - K_V)_{\mathcal{S}} - [D_Y] - [E_Y]_{\mathcal{S}} = K_{S=V} + fD_Y + gE_Y$$

We consider the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundle  $G := fD_Y + gE_Y$ . According with the notations in the lemma 4.1, we have

$$G = \sum_j (g_j - [g_j])E_j$$

and we can endow it with the canonical singular metric (observe in the first place that  $G$  is a genuine line bundle, by the relation (4.2.2) above). Along the next few lines, we will highlight some of its metric properties, needed in what will follow.

$(G_1)$  The multiplier ideal sheaf of the metric is trivial. Indeed, this is an obvious consequence of the "normal crossings" condition and the fact that the coefficients  $G$  are in  $(0; 1)$  (since the divisors  $E_j$  are disjoint).

$(G_2)$  Given  $v \in V$  generic, we have  $H^0(S_v; K_{S_v} + G) \neq 0$ . Indeed, by the relation (4.2.2) and the property  $(B_2)$  of the lemma 4.1, the bundle  $K_{S_v} + G_{\mathcal{S}_v}$  is isomorphic with  $[E_Y]_{\mathcal{S}_v}$ , so our claim is that this line bundle has at least a non-zero section. It is the case since  $[E_Y]$  has a section whose restriction to  $S$  is non-trivial (recall the property  $(B_2)$ ), and so will be the further restriction of this section to some generic fiber.

$(G_3)$  The line bundle  $G$  is big. To see this, we recall that the property  $(B_4)$  says that some of the  $E_j$  in the decomposition (1) is ample on  $S$  and that the corresponding

coefficient  $\alpha_j$  belongs to  $(0;1)$ ; therefore, the ample part is not lost when we take  $\alpha_j = [\alpha_j]$  in the construction of  $G$ .

In conclusion, we have shown that we are in good position to apply our corollary 0.2: for any positive integer  $m$ , we get a non-trivial section  $\alpha \in H^0(S; A_X + m(K_{S=V} + G)|_S)$ , where  $A_X$  denotes here some positive enough but fixed line bundle on  $X$ . The multiplication with  $\alpha$  will define an injective map

$$(4.2.3) \quad \alpha : H^0(V; mK_V) \rightarrow H^0(S; A_X + m(K_Y + S + G)|_S)$$

as follows:  $\alpha(\cdot) = \alpha \cdot$ .

The result proved in the preceding paragraph 4.1 come now into the picture: the sections in the image of the morphism  $\alpha$  can be extended to  $Y$  thanks to the theorem 4.1.1. Indeed, the line bundle  $G$  is big (see  $(G_3)$  above) and the multiplier ideal of the restriction of its effective part to  $S$  is trivial (by the same argument as in  $(G_1)$ ).

Let us consider now a section  $u \in H^0(V; mK_V)$ . By the discussion above, there exists a section  $\alpha \in H^0(Y; A_X + m(K_Y + S + G))$  such that  $\alpha|_S = \alpha$ . We denote by  $s_m \in H^0(Y; mD_Y)$  the canonical section of the bundle  $mD_Y$ , and then we have  $\alpha \cdot s_m \in H^0(Y; A_X + m(K_X + L) + [E_Y])$  by the definition of  $G$  and the equality (4.2.1).

We recall now that every hypersurface in the support of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $E_Y$  is contracted by  $\pi$ , therefore Hartogs type arguments show that

$$(4.2.4) \quad \alpha \cdot s_m \in H^0(X; J_m(u) + s_m[E_Y])$$

for some section  $J_m(u) \in H^0(X; A_X + m(K_X + L))$ . In conclusion, we have defined an injective linear map

$$J_m : H^0(V; mK_V) \rightarrow H^0(X; J_m(u) + A_X + m(K_X + L))$$

By hypothesis there exists a section  $u \in H^0(X; m_0(K_X + L) + A_X)$  such that  $u|_Y \notin 0$ . Then by a multiplication with the section  $u$ , the above relation show the existence of a injective map

$$J_m^0 : H^0(V; mK_V) \rightarrow H^0(X; J_m(u) + (m + m_0)(K_X + L))$$

and this clearly implies the theorem 0.3, since the non-effective integer  $m_0$  will disappear as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Remark. As we have already mentioned in beginning the section 3, the version of the corollary 0.2 needed above can also be obtained by the generalization due to Campana of some semi-positivity results of Viehweg. However, we prefer to apply our result, not just because the technique needed to prove it is by far lighter, but also it provides an effective link between the canonical series of the manifolds  $V$  and  $X$ , respectively (see the comments page 15).

## References

- [1] Berndtsson, B.: Positivity of direct image bundles and convexity on the space of Kähler metrics *math arXiv: math.CV/0608385*.
- [2] Berndtsson, B.: Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains; (*math arXiv: math.CV/0505469*, to appear in *Ann Inst Fourier*).
- [3] Berndtsson, B.: Curvature of Vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations; to appear in *Ann. of Math.* (2007).
- [4] Berndtsson, B., Paun, M.: Bergman kernels and the pseudo-effectivity of the relative canonical bundles (Part two); in preparation.
- [5] Boucksom, S.: On the volume of a big line bundle; *Intern. J. Math.* 13 (2002), 1043{1063.
- [6] Campana, F.: Special varieties, orbifolds and classification theory; *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 54 (2004), 499{665.
- [7] Claudon, B.: Invariance for multiples of the twisted canonical bundle *math AG/0511736*, to appear in *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*.
- [8] Debarre, O.: Systemes pluricanoniques sur les varietes de type general; *Seminaire Bourbaki* 970, 2006-2007..
- [9] Demaily, J.-P.: Singular hermitian metrics on positive line bundles; *Proceedings of the Bayreuth conference Complex algebraic varieties*, April 2-6, 1990, edited by K. Hulek, T. Petemell, M. Schneider, F. Schreyer, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1507, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [10] Demaily, J.-P.: A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles; *J. Differential Geom.* 37 (1993), 323-374.
- [11] Demaily, J.-P.: On the Ohsawa-Takegoshi-Manivel extension theorem; *Proceedings of the Conference in honour of the 85th birthday of Pierre Lelong*, Paris, September 1997, *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhauser, 1999.
- [12] Ein, L. et al: Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series; available at *math AG/0607221*.
- [13] Fujita, T.: On Kähler fibre spaces over curves; *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 30 (1978), 779{794.
- [14] C.D. Hacon, J. McKernan: Boundedness of pluricanonical maps of varieties of general type; *Invent. Math.* Volume 166, Number 1 / October, 2006, 1-25.
- [15] Kawamata, Y.: Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fibre spaces; *Journ. Reine Angew. Math.* 363 (1985) 146.
- [16] Kawamata, Y., Matsuda, K. and Matsuki, K.: Introduction to the minimal model problem; *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985*, *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.* 10 (1987) 283{360.
- [17] Kawamata, Y.: Subadjunction of log canonical divisors; *Amer. J. Math.* 120 (1998) 893{899.
- [18] Kollar, J.: Subadditivity of the Kodaira dimension: Fibres of general type; *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985*, *Advanced Studies in Pure Math.* 10 (1987) 361{398.
- [19] Lazarsfeld, R.: *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry*; Springer, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*.
- [20] Maitani F., Yamaguchi H.: Variation of Bergman metrics on Riemann surfaces; *Mathematische Annalen* Volume 330, Number 3 / November, 2004, 477-489.
- [21] Manivel, L.: Unthomede prolongement  $L^2$  de sections holomorphes d'un fibre hermitien; *Math. Zeitschrift* 212 (1993), 107-122.
- [22] Nadel, A.M.: Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature; *Ann. of Math.* 132 (1990), 549{596.
- [23] Ohsawa, T., Takegoshi, K.: On the extension of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions; *Math. Z.*, 195 (1987), 197{204.
- [24] Paun, M.: Siu's Invariance of Plurigenera: a One-Tower Proof; preprint 2005, to appear in *J. Di. Geom.*
- [25] Takayama, S.: On the Invariance and Lower Semi-Continuity of Plurigenera of Algebraic Varieties; *J. Algebraic Geom.* 16 (2007), no. 1, 1{18.
- [26] Takayama, S.: Pluricanonical systems on algebraic varieties of general type; *Invent. Math.* Volume 165, Number 3 / September, 2005, 551-587.

- [27] Tsuji, H.: Variation of Bergman kernels of adjoint line bundles; *math.CV/0511342*.
- [28] Tsuji, H.: Pluricanonical systems of projective varieties of general type II; *math.CV/0409318*.
- [29] Siu, Y.-T.: Invariance of plurigenera; *Inv. Math.*, 134 (1998), 661–673.
- [30] Siu, Y.-T.: Extension of twisted pluricanonical sections with plurisubharmonic weight and invariance of semipositively twisted plurigenera for manifolds not necessarily of general type; *Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000)*, 223–277, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [31] Siu, Y.-T.: Multiplier ideal sheaves in complex and algebraic geometry; *Sci. China Ser. A* 48, 2005.
- [32] Varolin, D.: A Takayama-type extension theorem; *math.CV/0607323*, to appear in *Comp. Math.*
- [33] Viehweg, E.: Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for certain fibre spaces; *Algebraic Varieties and Analytic Varieties, Advanced Studies in Pure Math.* 1 (1983) 329–353.
- [34] Viehweg, E.: *Quasi-Projective Moduli for Polarized Manifolds*; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1995 as: *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 30*.

(version of March 12, 2007, printed on April 8, 2019)

Bo Berndtsson, bob@math.chalmers.se  
 Mihai Paun, paun@iecn.u-nancy.fr