

GENERATION OF MUTUALLY UNBIASED BASES AS POWERS OF A UNITARY MATRIX IN 2-POWER DIMENSIONS

ROD GOW

ABSTRACT. Let q be a power of 2. We show by representation theory that there exists a $q \times q$ unitary matrix of multiplicative order $q + 1$ whose powers generate $q + 1$ complex pairwise mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{C}^q . When q is a power of an odd prime, there is a $q \times q$ unitary matrix of multiplicative order $q + 1$ whose first $(q + 1)/2$ powers generate $(q + 1)/2$ complex pairwise mutually unbiased bases. We also show how the existence of these matrices implies the existence of special orthogonal decompositions of certain simple Lie algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let d be a positive integer and let \mathbb{C}^d denote a vector space of dimension d over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. Let $\langle u, v \rangle$ denote a positive definite hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$, which is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second. Let $B_1 = \{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$ and $B_2 = \{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ be orthonormal bases of \mathbb{C}^d . We say that the bases B_1 and B_2 are *mutually unbiased* if

$$|\langle u_i, v_j \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{d}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If we identify the orthonormal bases B_1 and B_2 with $d \times d$ unitary matrices U_1 and U_2 , respectively, then the condition that the bases are mutually unbiased is equivalent to saying that each entry of the product $U_1 U_2^\dagger = U_1 U_2^{-1}$, where U_2^\dagger denotes the conjugate-transpose of U_2 , has absolute value $1/\sqrt{d}$.

Following [7], let $N(d)$ denote the maximum number of orthonormal bases of \mathbb{C}^d which are pairwise mutually unbiased. It has been proved that $N(d) \leq d + 1$, and furthermore the equality $N(d) = d + 1$ occurs whenever d is a power of a prime. It is presently unknown if the equality $N(d) = d + 1$ ever happens when d is not a power of a prime.

Explicit constructions of $d + 1$ mutually unbiased bases when d is a power of a prime have been based on properties of finite fields, [7]. Somewhat less explicit constructions use the irreducible representations of extra-special p -groups to realize the bases as eigenspaces of finite abelian groups acting on \mathbb{C}^d . See, for example, [1] and the references of [7].

The main purpose of this paper is to show that when $d = 2^a$, we can construct $d + 1$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases of \mathbb{C}^d as the powers of a unitary matrix of multiplicative order $d + 1$. This matrix arises as an automorphism of an extra-special 2-group which has a special action on the maximal abelian subgroups of the extra-special group. The equivalent construction when $d = p^a$, with p an odd

School of Mathematical Sciences, University College, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland, *E-mail address*: rod.gow@ucd.ie.

prime, yields a unitary matrix of multiplicative order $d + 1$ whose first $(d + 1)/2$ powers provide $(d + 1)/2$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases of \mathbb{C}^d . We do not know if it is possible to achieve $d + 1$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases of \mathbb{C}^d using the powers of a single matrix in this case.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF A CLASS OF p -GROUPS

We shall try to keep our exposition reasonably self-contained and work from basic principles of finite group theory. Let p be a prime and let $q = p^a$, where a is a positive integer. Let \mathbb{F} denote the finite field of order q^2 . We define a multiplication on the set $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ by putting

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (a + c, a^q c + b + d)$$

for all ordered pairs (a, b) and (c, d) in $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ is a finite group of order q^4 , which we shall denote by G_q . The identity element is $(0, 0)$ and the inverse of (a, b) is $(-a, a^{q+1} - b)$. The center $Z(G_q)$ of G_q consists of all elements $(0, b)$ and is elementary abelian of order q^2 .

We set $x = (a, b)$, $y = (c, d)$ and let $[x, y]$ denote the commutator $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. We find that

$$[x, y] = (0, a^q c - c^q a).$$

Note that any element e of \mathbb{F} expressible in the form $e = a^q c - c^q a$ satisfies $e^q = -e$. It follows that the commutator subgroup G'_q , which is generated by the commutators $[x, y]$, consists of elements of the form $(0, e)$, where $e^q = -e$, and hence $|G'_q| = q$.

Assuming that $a \neq 0$, it is easy to check that the centralizer of x consists of all elements (c, d) , where d is an arbitrary element of \mathbb{F} and $a^q c = ac^q$. Thus the centralizer of x has order q^3 .

We now consider the irreducible complex characters of G_q .

Lemma 1. *The group G_q has exactly q^3 irreducible complex characters of degree 1. All other irreducible complex characters of G_q have degree q and they vanish outside $Z(G_q)$.*

Proof. Since the commutator subgroup G'_q has order q , G_q has exactly $|G_q : G'_q| = q^3$ different irreducible characters of degree 1. Now let x be any non-central element of G_q . The second orthogonality relation implies that, as the centralizer of x has order q^3 ,

$$q^3 = \sum |\chi(x)|^2,$$

where the sum extends over all irreducible characters χ of G_q . The characters of degree 1 each contribute 1 to this sum, and since there are q^3 of them, we deduce that $\psi(x) = 0$ for any irreducible character ψ of degree greater than 1. Finally, Schur's Lemma implies that $|\psi(z)| = \psi(1)$ for all elements z of $Z(G_q)$ and hence we obtain by the first orthogonality relation

$$|G_q| = q^4 = \sum |\psi(z)|^2 = |Z(G_q)|\psi(1)^2 = q^2\psi(1)^2,$$

where the sum extends over all elements z of $Z(G_q)$. We deduce that $\psi(1) = q$, as required. \square

Next, we construct an automorphism of G_q which ultimately will be used to generate the mutually unbiased bases.

Lemma 2. *Let α be an element of order $q+1$ in \mathbb{F} . Then the mapping $\sigma : G_q \rightarrow G_q$ given by*

$$\sigma(a, b) = (\alpha a, b)$$

is an automorphism of order $q+1$ of G_q .

Proof. Given elements $x = (a, b)$, $y = (c, d)$ in G_q , we have

$$\sigma(xy) = \sigma(a + c, a^q c + b + d) = (\alpha(a + c), a^q c + b + d)$$

and

$$\sigma(x)\sigma(y) = (\alpha a, b)(\alpha c, d) = (\alpha(a + c), \alpha^{q+1} a^q c + b + d).$$

We deduce that $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$, since $\alpha^{q+1} = 1$ by hypothesis. Thus, σ is an automorphism of G_q and it is clear that σ has order $q+1$, since α has order $q+1$. \square

Next, we construct a maximal abelian subgroup A of G_q by setting

$$A = \{(a, b) : a^q = a\},$$

where b runs over \mathbb{F} . It is straightforward to verify that A is indeed abelian and $|A| = q^3$. Applying the automorphism σ , we define subgroups A_i so that

$$A_i = \sigma^{i-1}(A)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq q+1$ (thus $A_1 = A$).

Lemma 3. *Suppose that $p = 2$. Then we have $A_i \cap A_j = Z(G_q)$ if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q+1$, and G_q is the union of A_1, \dots, A_{q+1} .*

Proof. Suppose that $(c, d) \in A_i \cap A_j$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq q+1$. Then we have $c = \alpha^{i-1}a = \alpha^{j-1}a_1$, where $a^q = a$, $a_1^q = a_1$. Since we need only to investigate the case when a and a_1 are both non-zero, we deduce that $(\alpha)^{q(i-j)} = \alpha^{i-j}$. However, as $\alpha^q = \alpha^{-1}$, we obtain that $\alpha^{2(i-j)} = 1$. Since α has odd order, this is only possible if $i = j$. \square

The result above does not hold when p is odd, since $\alpha^{(q+1)/2} = -1$, and consequently

$$A_{i+(q+1)/2} = A_i$$

for $1 \leq i \leq (q+1)/2$. It is however easy to establish the following analogue of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that p is odd. Then we have $A_i \cap A_j = Z(G_q)$ if $1 \leq i \neq j \leq (q+1)/2$.*

3. THE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP G_q

Let χ be an irreducible character of G_q of degree q and let X be an irreducible representation of G_q with character χ . We may assume that $X(G_q)$ consists of unitary matrices. Lemma 1 shows that $\chi(x) = 0$ if $x \notin Z(G_q)$ and thus we see that no element outside $Z(G_q)$ is in the kernel of X . On the other hand, setting $Z = Z(G_q)$ for brevity, $X(Z)$ is certainly contained in the center of $X(G_q)$ and it is a non-trivial cyclic group consisting of scalar multiples of the identity by Schur's Lemma. Since Z is an elementary abelian p -group, we deduce that $|X(Z)| = p$ and $|\ker X| = q^2/p$. It follows that $X(G_q)$ has order q^2p . Of course, $X(G_q)$ is an extra-special p -group, but we do not need to know this.

Recall now the automorphism σ of G_q . We define the conjugate representation X^σ by

$$X^\sigma(x) = X(\sigma(x))$$

for all $x \in G_q$. Since σ fixes the elements of Z pointwise, and the character of X vanishes outside Z , it is clear that X^σ also has character χ . It follows that X and X^σ are equivalent representations and thus there exists an invertible matrix D , say, satisfying

$$X^\sigma(x) = X(\sigma(x)) = D^{-1}X(x)D$$

for all $x \in G_q$. We include a proof of the following well known lemma for completeness.

Lemma 5. *Assume the notation introduced above. Then multiplying the matrix D by a non-zero complex scalar if necessary, we may arrange for D to satisfy $D^{q+1} = I$, $\det D = 1$, and to be unitary. Furthermore, the resulting D has all its entries in the field of definition of X .*

Proof. We first note that we may assume that D as defined above has its entries in the field of definition of X , since it defines an equivalence between two representations over that field. Now it is straightforward to verify that

$$D^{-i}X(x)D^i = X(\sigma^i(x))$$

for each integer i and hence

$$D^{-(q+1)}X(x)D^{q+1} = X(x)$$

for all $x \in G_q$, since σ has order $q+1$. Therefore, D^{q+1} commutes with all $X(x)$ and hence is a scalar multiple of the identity by Schur's Lemma, say $D^{q+1} = \lambda I$.

Let d be the determinant of D . Taking determinants in the equality $D^{q+1} = \lambda I$, we obtain

$$d^{q+1} = \lambda^q.$$

We accordingly set $D_1 = d\lambda^{-1}D$ and calculate that

$$D_1^{q+1} = d^{q+1}\lambda^{-(q+1)}D^{q+1} = \lambda^{-1}D^{q+1} = I.$$

Thus, if we replace D by D_1 , we obtain $D^{q+1} = I$. We note also that $\det D = 1$ in this case.

Finally, since D normalizes $X(G_q)$, and the elements $X(x)$ are unitary, we see that

$$X(x)DD^\dagger X(x)^\dagger = X(x)DD^\dagger X(x)^{-1} = DD^\dagger$$

Thus DD^\dagger commutes with all elements $X(x)$ and is therefore a scalar multiple of the identity, by Schur's Lemma, say $DD^\dagger = \mu I$. But the diagonal entries of DD^\dagger are sums of the squares of the moduli of complex numbers and are thus positive real numbers. This implies that μ is a positive real number. We also have $D^{q+1} = I$ and it follows that

$$(D^\dagger)^{q+1} = I = \mu^{q+1}D^{-(q+1)} = \mu^{q+1}I.$$

We deduce that $\mu^{q+1} = 1$ and since μ is a positive scalar, $\mu = 1$. This means that D is unitary. \square

We note that we may take the field of definition of X to be $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ when $p = 2$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$, where ω is a primitive p -th root of unity, when p is odd, and consequently we may assume that D has all entries in the corresponding field.

4. CONSTRUCTION OF MUTUALLY UNBIASED BASES USING POWERS

Recall that the abelian subgroups A_i of G_q satisfy $|A_i : Z(G_q)| = q$ for all i . Let $x_{i,j}$, where $1 \leq j \leq q$, be a system of coset representatives of $Z(G_q)$ in A_i , where we choose $x_{i,1}$ to be the identity element. Let X be a fixed irreducible complex representation of G_q of degree q , consisting of unitary matrices. We set

$$\mathcal{C}_i = \{X(x_{i,j}) : 1 \leq j \leq q\}.$$

The subsets \mathcal{C}_i consist of q commuting unitary matrices and satisfy $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_j = I$ for $i \neq j$.

The trace inner product $f(U, V)$ of $q \times q$ complex matrices U and V is defined by

$$f(U, V) = \text{tr}(U^\dagger V),$$

where tr signifies the usual matrix trace. The trace inner product is a positive definite hermitian form on the space of complex matrices. Since for any element x of G_q outside $Z(G_q)$ we have $\text{tr} X(x) = 0$, it is straightforward to see that the non-identity elements of \mathcal{C}_i are orthogonal to the non-identity elements of \mathcal{C}_j with respect to the trace inner product.

We are now in a position to use a result of [1] to construct mutually unbiased bases.

Theorem 1. *Let q be a power of 2 and let X be an irreducible complex representation of G_q of degree q , consisting of unitary matrices. Let D be a $q \times q$ matrix that satisfies $D^{q+1} = I$ and $D^{-1}X(x)D = X(\sigma(x))$ for all x in G_q . Then the powers $D, D^2, \dots, D^{q+1} = I$ define $q+1$ mutually unbiased bases. Furthermore, all entries of D are in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$.*

Proof. The matrices in \mathcal{C}_1 commute and there therefore exists an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^q consisting of simultaneous eigenvectors of the elements of \mathcal{C}_1 . This orthonormal basis determines a unitary matrix B , say. Now the matrix D satisfies

$$D^{-(i-1)}\mathcal{C}_1 D^{i-1} = \mathcal{C}_i$$

and thus the corresponding unitary matrix constructed of simultaneous orthonormal eigenvectors of \mathcal{C}_i is $D^{-(i-1)}B$. By Theorem 3.2 of [1], the unitary matrices $D^{-(i-1)}B$ for $1 \leq i \leq q+1$ define $q+1$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases. But we may multiply these matrices on the right by B^{-1} and still retain the unbiased property. The matrices so obtained are simply the powers of D . □

When q is a power of an odd prime, we can obtain half the maximum number of mutually unbiased bases from the powers of a unitary matrix, as a minor modification of the proof above shows.

Theorem 2. *Let q be a power of an odd prime and let X be an irreducible complex representation of G_q of degree q , consisting of unitary matrices. Let D be a $q \times q$ matrix that satisfies $D^{q+1} = I$ and $D^{-1}X(x)D = X(\sigma(x))$ for all x in G_q . Then the powers $I, D^{-1}, \dots, D^{-(q-1)/2}$ define $(q+1)/2$ mutually unbiased bases.*

Proof. The proof is identical with the previous one, except that, since

$$D^{-(q+1)/2}\mathcal{C}_1 D^{(q+1)/2} = \mathcal{C}_1$$

we only obtain $(q+1)/2$ bases using the powers. □

It would clearly be of interest to know whether one can obtain $q + 1$ mutually unbiased bases using the powers of a single unitary matrix in the odd prime power case.

Example The matrix

$$\frac{1 + \sqrt{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 & \sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is unitary and has order 3. Its three different powers generate three mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{C}^2 .

5. REALITY QUESTIONS FOR MUTUALLY UNBIASED BASES

There is a concept of real mutually unbiased bases, which appears to be much more restrictive than its complex counterpart. Suppose that we have a positive definite symmetric bilinear form defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. An orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d corresponds to a real $d \times d$ orthogonal matrix. Orthonormal bases B_1 and B_2 of \mathbb{R}^d with corresponding $d \times d$ orthogonal matrices O_1 and O_2 are mutually unbiased when each entry of the product $O_1 O_2^{-1}$ has absolute value $1/\sqrt{d}$. This means that $\sqrt{d} O_1 O_2^{-1}$ is an Hadamard matrix. This condition alone is enough to show that pairs of real mutually unbiased bases can only exist for even values of d (and usually only values of d divisible by 4). See, for example, [2], for information on the existence problem for real mutually unbiased bases.

Let $N_{\mathbb{R}}(d)$ denote the maximum number of orthonormal bases of \mathbb{R}^d which are pairwise mutually unbiased. It can be proved that $N_{\mathbb{R}}(d) \leq d/2 + 1$, and furthermore the equality occurs when d is a power of 4. As we hinted above, in many cases the value of $N_{\mathbb{R}}(d)$ for specific d is substantially less than the general inequality suggests. See [2] for much more information on this topic.

Constructions of families of real pairwise mutually unbiased bases meeting the upper bound $d/2 + 1$ when d is a power of 4 generally use orthogonal geometry over the field of order 2 or real representations of extra-special 2-group. See, for example, Proposition 6 of [4] or Theorem 3.4 of [5]. We are interested in raising the question of whether there exists any analogue of Theorem 1 for real mutually unbiased bases in this case. Setting $q = 2^{2m-1}$, we thus look for a real $2^{2m} \times 2^{2m}$ orthogonal matrix of order $q + 1$ whose powers generate $q + 1$ real mutually unbiased bases. At present, we have not succeeded in showing that such matrices exist, but we note the following 4×4 example.

Example The matrix

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is orthogonal and has order 3. Its three different powers generate three mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{R}^4 . We obtain an Hadamard matrix if the matrix above is multiplied by 2.

6. CONNECTIONS WITH ORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITIONS OF SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

The paper [3] has shown a connection between the existence of $d + 1$ mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{C}^d and orthogonal decompositions of the special linear Lie algebra

$sl_d(\mathbb{C})$ into a direct sum of Cartan subalgebras. In this section we show how the automorphism σ introduced above gives extra information on such a decomposition in the 2-power case. We also obtain similar information about an orthogonal decomposition of the symplectic Lie algebra when d is a 2-power.

We employ the notation of Section 4. Let \mathcal{L} denote the special linear Lie algebra of all $q \times q$ complex matrices of trace zero. Recall that we have defined the subsets \mathcal{C}_i , which consist of $q-1$ commuting matrices of trace zero, together with the identity. These trace zero matrices are linearly independent, since they are pairwise orthogonal with respect to the trace inner product. Let \mathcal{H}_i denote the linear span of the non-identity elements in \mathcal{C}_i . Clearly, \mathcal{H}_i is an abelian subalgebra of \mathcal{L} of dimension $q-1$. Furthermore, as indicated in [3], Theorem 5.1, \mathcal{H}_i is self-normalizing in \mathcal{L} . For, up to conjugation by a unitary matrix, which induces an automorphism of \mathcal{L} , we may assume that \mathcal{H}_i is a $q-1$ -dimensional subspace of diagonal trace zero matrices, and hence equals the entire subspace of all such diagonal matrices, which is well known to be a Cartan subalgebra. We note also that \mathcal{H}_i is orthogonal to \mathcal{H}_j with respect to the Killing form of \mathcal{L} , since this is a scalar multiple of the usual trace form, [3], Lemma 4.2.

We therefore obtain a decomposition

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}_1 \perp \cdots \perp \mathcal{H}_{q+1}$$

of \mathcal{L} into an orthogonal direct sum of $q+1$ Cartan subalgebras. Now conjugation by the matrix D described in Theorem 1 induces an automorphism of order $q+1$ of \mathcal{L} . In the case that q is a power of 2, this automorphism transitively permutes the $q+1$ Cartan subalgebras, by the arguments of Theorem 1. (The automorphism of course preserves the Killing form.) Thus we may summarize our findings in this case.

Theorem 3. *Let q be a power of 2 and let \mathcal{L} denote the Lie algebra of all $q \times q$ complex matrices of trace 0. Then there is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}_1 \perp \cdots \perp \mathcal{H}_{q+1}$$

of \mathcal{L} into a direct sum of $q+1$ Cartan subalgebras \mathcal{H}_i which are transitively permuted by an automorphism of \mathcal{L} of order $q+1$.

We observe that a larger finite group of automorphisms permutes the summands of the decomposition above, while preserving the Killing form, since the group generated by $X(G_q)$ and D also has this property.

This result bears a superficial resemblance to a deep result of Thompson, which shows that the complex Lie algebra \mathcal{L} of type E_8 admits an orthogonal decomposition into a direct sum of 31 Cartan subalgebras, which are transitively permuted by a group of automorphisms isomorphic to the Dempwolff group.

We do not know if the corresponding orthogonal decomposition of the special linear Lie algebra admits a similar transitive action of an automorphism of order $q+1$ when q is a power of an odd prime.

The decomposition described in Theorem 3 can be refined into a similar decomposition of the symplectic Lie algebra. We sketch some of the details here. The representation X of G_q described in Theorem 1 has a real-valued character but it cannot be defined over the real numbers. We say that it is of symplectic type, as the elements $X(x)$ preserve a non-degenerate symplectic form. This means that

there is an invertible $q \times q$ skew-symmetric matrix S , say, such that

$$X(x)SX(x)^T = S$$

for all $x \in G_q$. Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that, given our normalization of D , D also satisfies $DSD^T = S$.

The symplectic Lie algebra \mathcal{L} consists of all $q \times q$ matrices A which satisfy

$$AS + SA^T = 0.$$

It has dimension $q(q+1)/2$ and rank $q/2$.

Suppose that x is an element of G_q such that $X(x)$ has order 4. Then $X(x)^2 = -I$ and hence

$$X(x)^{-1} = -X(x).$$

It follows that the equality $X(x)SX(x)^T = S$ is equivalent to $X(x)S + SX(x)^T = 0$ in this case. Thus the elements of order 4 in $X(G_q)$ are in the symplectic Lie algebra.

Consider now the abelian subgroup $X(A_i)$ of $X(G_q)$. It is straightforward to show that, if $q = 2^m$, it is isomorphic to a direct product

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$$

of cyclic groups and thus contains 2^m elements of order 4. Let \mathcal{D}_i denote the linear span of the elements of order 4 in $X(A_i)$. This is an abelian subalgebra of dimension $q/2$ of \mathcal{L} , which must be a Cartan subalgebra, as it consists of diagonalizable elements and has the appropriate dimension.

The $q+1$ subalgebras \mathcal{D}_i , where $1 \leq i \leq q+1$, are all orthogonal with respect to the Killing form of \mathcal{L} , for the same reason as given in the proof of Theorem 3. Finally, D induces an automorphism of order $q+1$ of \mathcal{L} by conjugation, since it preserves the symplectic form determined by S (indeed the group generated by $X(G_q)$ and D has this property). This automorphism transitively permutes the Cartan subalgebras \mathcal{D}_i , since D maps the elements of order 4 in $X(A_i)$ into the elements of order 4 in $X(A_{i+1})$.

Summarizing, we have proved the following.

Theorem 4. *Let q be a power of 2 and let \mathcal{L} denote the symplectic Lie algebra of $q \times q$ complex matrices. Then there is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}_1 \perp \cdots \perp \mathcal{H}_{q+1}$$

of \mathcal{L} into a direct sum of $q+1$ Cartan subalgebras \mathcal{H}_i which are transitively permuted by an automorphism of \mathcal{L} of order $q+1$.

It is interesting to observe that at the time of this writing, orthogonal decompositions of the symplectic Lie algebra are only known in this 2-power rank case. The paper [6] contains related and more general constructions for orthogonal decompositions of Lie algebras using the geometry of finite vector spaces, and contains many references to earlier work.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bandyopadhyay, P. O. Boykin, V. Roychowdhury and F. Vatan, *A new proof for the existence of mutually unbiased bases*, Algorithmica **34** (2002), 512-528.
- [2] P. O. Boykin, M. Sitharam, M. Tarifi and P. Wocjan, *On the maximal number of real mutually unbiased bases*, arXiv.org e-Print archive quant-ph/0502024
- [3] P. O. Boykin, M. Sitharam, P. H. Tiep and P. Wocjan, *Mutually unbiased bases and orthogonal decompositions of Lie algebras*, arXiv.org e-Print archive quant-ph/0506089

- [4] P. J. Cameron and J. J. Seidel, *Quadratic forms over $GF(2)$* , Indag. Math **25** (1973), 1-8.
- [5] A. R. Calderbank, P. J. Cameron, W. M. Kantor and J. J. Seidel, *\mathbb{Z}_4 -Kerdock codes, orthogonal spreads, and extremal Euclidean line-sets*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **75** (1997), 436-480.
- [6] W. M. Kantor, Note on Lie algebras, finite groups and finite geometries. Groups, difference sets, and the Monster (eds K. T. Arasu *et al.*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996), pp. 73-81.
- [7] A. Klappenecker and M. Rötteler, *Constructions of mutually unbiased bases*. Finite fields and applications (eds. G. L. Mullen *et al.*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 2948, Springer, Berlin, 2004), pp. 137-144