

AREA ESTIMATES FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL IMMERSIONS OF MEAN CURVATURE TYPE IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES OF HIGHER CODIMENSION

Steffen Fröhlich

Abstract

We establish area bounds for two-dimensional immersions in \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^n . Namely, for μ -stable immersions in \mathbb{R}^3 (\mathbb{R}^n), for graphs in \mathbb{R}^3 which solve quasilinear equations in divergence form, and for graphs which are critical for Fermat-type variational problems in \mathbb{R}^n .

MCS 2000: 35J60, 53A07, 53A10

Keywords: Twodimensional immersions, higher codimension, area estimates

1 Introduction

1.1 The results

In this paper we prove area bounds for the following types of surfaces:

1. μ -stable immersions of prescribed mean curvature-type in \mathbb{R}^3 (\mathbb{R}^n) in terms of a suitable stability constant and of curvature terms (chapter 2, section 2.3, 2.5, 2.6);
2. graphs $(x, y, \zeta(x, y))$ of mean curvature type in \mathbb{R}^3 , which are solutions of non-homogeneous divergence form equations (chapter 3, section 3.2);
3. graphs $(x, y, \zeta_1(x, y), \dots, \zeta_{n-2}(x, y))$ in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, which are critical for Fermat-type variational problems (chapter 4, section 4.3).

For example, area bounds are crucial for compactness results (see e.g. [7] for such results concerning weighted minimal surfaces, see section 2.2 below), but also for various gradient and curvature estimates for nonlinear differential systems: Here, we mention to [3], where such a bound for Fermat-type graphs in \mathbb{R}^n was left unproved, further [12]-[16], [20], [21], where area bounds are used for various curvature estimates, and, finally, [17] for curvature estimates of n -manifolds in \mathbb{R}^m using techniques from [9], [23].

We will not discuss isoperimetric inequalities. But we mention that such inequalities are established e.g. in [7] for immersed critical points of elliptic variational problems (2.8) using Fourier series methods, or in [9] (and references therein) for mean curvature immersions using a generalized Sobolev inequality. We want to extend this later method in a further paper to prove other area bounds for immersions of mean-curvature type (see the discussion in section 2.2).

2 μ -stable geodesic discs of mean curvature-type

In the first part of this note we consider immersions

$$X = X(u, v) = (x^1(u, v), x^2(u, v), x^3(u, v)) \in C^3(B, \mathbb{R}^3) \quad (2.1)$$

on the closed unit disc $B := \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u^2 + v^2 \leq 1\}$ such that $\text{rank } \partial X = 2$ in B for its Jacobian $\partial X \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}$. The unit normal vector of X is defined as

$$N = \frac{X_u \times X_v}{|X_u \times X_v|} \quad \text{in } B \quad (2.2)$$

with the partial derivatives X_u and X_v of X , and \times means the usual vector product in \mathbb{R}^3 .

In the next two sections we specify the class of immersion we will deal with.

2.1 First step: Introduction of weighted metrics

We equip the immersions with a weighted metric of Finsler type: Let us given a symmetric and positive definite weight matrix

$$\mathbf{G}(X, Z) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}) \quad (2.3)$$

with the properties: For all $(X, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ there hold

- (G1) $\mathbf{G}(X, Z) = \mathbf{G}(X, \lambda Z)$ for all real $\lambda > 0$;
- (G2) $\mathbf{G}(X, Z) \circ Z^t = Z^t$, where the upper t denotes transposition;
- (G3) $(1 + g_0)^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \xi \circ \mathbf{G}(X, Z) \circ \xi^t \leq (1 + g_0) |\xi|^2$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, with real $g_0 \in [0, +\infty)$;
- (G4) $\det \mathbf{G}(X, Z) = 1$.

Now, let X be an immersion with Gauss map N , and let $\mathbf{G}(X, Z)$ be a weight matrix as above. We define the components h_{ij} of the weighted first fundamental form of X and the associated line element ds_g as (use the summation convention, and set $u^1 \equiv u$, $u^2 \equiv v$)

$$h_{ij} := X_{u^i} \circ \mathbf{G}(X, N) \circ X_{u^j}^t, \quad ds_g^2 = h_{ij} du^i du^j. \quad (2.4)$$

2.2 Second step: Definition μ -stability

We want to prove an area estimate for so-called μ -stable immersions in \mathbb{R}^3 :

Definition. *The immersion X is called μ -stable with real $\mu > 0$ and a function $q \in C^1(B, \mathbb{R})$ iff*

$$\iint_B \nabla_{ds_g^2}(\varphi, \varphi) W \, dudv \geq \mu \iint_B (q - K) W \varphi^2 \, dudv \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(B, \mathbb{R}) \quad (2.5)$$

with a weighted metric ds_g^2 from (2.4), where $q - K \geq 0$ in B with the Gaussian curvature K of the surface, and where

$$\nabla_{ds_g^2}(\varphi, \psi) := h^{ij} \varphi_{u^i} \psi_{u^j}, \quad h_{ij} h^{jk} = \delta_i^k, \quad (2.6)$$

is the Beltrami operator w.r.t. ds_g^2 , δ_i^k is the Kronecker symbol.

Examples.

In the calculus of variations we are faced with “weighted” and “unweighted” problems:

1. A conformally parametrized surface of constant mean curvature $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ (as a critical point of the area functional with a suitable volume constraint) is stable iff

$$\iint_B |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dudv \geq 2 \iint_B (2h_0^2 - K) W \varphi^2 \, dudv \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(B, \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.7)$$

that is, it is μ -stable with $\mu = 2$ and $q \equiv 2h_0^2$. We have $q \equiv 0$ for minimal surfaces. Furthermore, in this case $\mathbf{G}(X, Z) \equiv \mathbb{E}^3$ with the three-dimensional unit matrix $\mathbb{E}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, such that $\nabla_{ds^2}(\varphi, \varphi) = \frac{1}{W} |\nabla \varphi|^2 = \frac{1}{W} (\varphi_u^2 + \varphi_v^2)$ using conformal parameters, and where ds^2 stands for the non-weighted line element.

2. Critical points X of variational problems

$$\iint_B F(X, X_u \times X_v) dudv \longrightarrow \text{extr!} \quad (2.8)$$

are immersions of mean-curvature type, that is, they solve

$$\nabla_{ds_g^2}(X, N) = -2H_G(X, N) = -\frac{\text{trace } \mathbf{F}_{XZ}(X, N)}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{F}_{ZZ}(X, N)}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{XZ} = (F_{x^i z^j})_{i,j=1,2,3} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ etc., with the weighted mean curvature $H_G(X, Z)$ w.r.t.

$$\mathbf{G}(X, Z) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{F}_{ZZ}(X, Z)}{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{F}_{ZZ}(X, Z)}} + (z^i z^j)_{i,j=1,2,3} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.10)$$

and ds_g^2 chosen as in (2.4). This weight matrix was first introduced in [20]. For example, assume that the integrand in (2.8) has the form $F = F(Z)$. If for a critical point (a so-called G-minimal surface, $H_G(X, N) \equiv 0$) the second variation is non-negative, then it can be shown (see [12])

$$\iint_B \nabla_{ds_g^2}(\varphi, \varphi) W dudv \geq \mu \iint_B (-K) W \varphi^2 dudv \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(B, \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.11)$$

that is, a critical point is μ -stable with $q \equiv 0$ and a suitable $\mu > 0$.

Independent of the theory of the second variation, various stability criteria were developed by analysing spherical properties of the immersions:

3. For example, stability for minimal surfaces [1], for surfaces of prescribed constant mean curvature [19], [13], for F-minimal surfaces [6], or for weighted minimal surfaces [12].
4. In [2] the reader can find stability criteria for minimal surfaces in the three-sphere S^3 , in the hyperbolic space H^3 , and in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . This last result was improved for minimal graphs with flat normal bundle in [16].

2.3 An estimate for the area growth of geodesic discs

Using methods which go back to [18] and [20] we prove the following area bound:

Theorem. *Let the immersion X be μ -stable in the sense of (2.5), such that*

$$\mu > \frac{1 + g_0}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad q \geq 0 \text{ in } B. \quad (2.12)$$

Let it represent a geodesic disc $\mathfrak{B}_r(X_0)$ of radius $r > 0$ and center $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}[X] \leq \frac{2\pi\mu}{2\mu - (1 + g_0)} r^2 \quad (2.13)$$

for the area $\mathcal{A}[X]$ of the immersion.

Remarks. 1. The assumption $q \geq 0$ in B is needed in the estimate (2.21). If it is not fulfilled, we could proceed in (2.21) with $q^-(u, v) := \min \{q(u, v), 0\}$. It would follow

$$\mathcal{A}[X] \leq \frac{2\pi\mu}{2\mu - (1 + g_0)} r^2 - \frac{\mu r^2}{2\mu - (1 + g_0)} \iint_B q^-(u, v) W(u, v) dudv. \quad (2.14)$$

2. The proof of the theorem uses intrinsic methods. Therefore, it could be extended to μ -stable immersions in Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 3$. But up to now we are not able to transform critical points of general elliptic variational problems in spaces of higher codimension into a weighted form as given in (2.9), (2.10) (see also the remarks in section 4.1).

3. The smallest value for μ , such that a growth estimate of this form is true, is not known; see the discussion in [11].

For the proof we need the following result (see [12]):

Lemma. *Let the immersion X be given. We denote by ds^2 its non-weighted line element, and by ds_g^2 its weighted element w.r.t. a weight matrix $\mathbf{G}(X, Z)$. Then there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + g_0)^{-1} \iint_B \nabla_{ds^2}(\varphi, \varphi) W dudv &\leq \iint_B \nabla_{ds_g^2}(\varphi, \varphi) W dudv \\ &\leq (1 + g_0) \iint_B \nabla_{ds^2}(\varphi, \varphi) W dudv \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(B, \mathbb{R})$ with the Beltrami operators ∇_{ds^2} and $\nabla_{ds_g^2}$ from (2.6).

Proof of the Theorem. 1. Due to the Lemma, the μ -stability (2.5) yields

$$\iint_B \nabla_{ds^2}(\varphi, \varphi) W dudv \geq \frac{\mu}{1 + g_0} \iint_B (q - K) W \varphi^2 dudv. \quad (2.16)$$

2. Introduce geodesic polar coordinates $(\varrho, \varphi) \in [0, r] \times [0, 2\pi]$. For curves $\varrho = \text{const}$ on the surface, the integral formula of Bonnet and Gauss reads

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \kappa_g(\varrho, \varphi) \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varphi + \int_0^{\varrho} \int_0^{2\pi} K(\tau, \varphi) \sqrt{P(\tau, \varphi)} d\tau d\varphi = 2\pi \quad (2.17)$$

with the geodesic curvature κ_g . For the area element P there hold $P(\varrho, \varphi) > 0$ for all $(0, r] \times [0, 2\pi)$, as well as

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0+} P(\varrho, \varphi) = 0, \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} = 1 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in [0, 2\pi). \quad (2.18)$$

Following [5], §81, for such curves it holds $\kappa_g \sqrt{P} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \sqrt{P}$ for $(\varrho, \varphi) \in (0, r] \times [0, 2\pi)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varphi &= \int_0^{2\pi} \kappa_g(\varrho, \varphi) \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varphi \\ &= 2\pi - \int_0^{\varrho} \int_0^{2\pi} K(\tau, \varphi) \sqrt{P(\tau, \varphi)} d\tau d\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

3. Define the function $L(\varrho) := \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varphi$, $0 < \varrho \leq r$, with the derivatives

$$L'(\varrho) = 2\pi - \int_0^{\varrho} \int_0^{2\pi} K(\tau, \varphi) \sqrt{P(\tau, \varphi)} d\tau d\varphi, \quad L''(\varrho) = - \int_0^{2\pi} K(\varrho, \varphi) \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varphi. \quad (2.20)$$

4. Consider the test function $\Phi(\varrho) := 1 - \frac{\varrho}{r}$, $0 < \varrho \leq r$. It holds $\nabla_{ds_P^2}(\Phi, \Phi) = \Phi'(\varrho)^2$ with the line element ds_P^2 w.r.t. the geodesic polar coordinates. Using $q \geq 0$ we estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \Phi'(\varrho)^2 L(\varrho) d\varrho &= \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi'(\varrho)^2 \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varrho d\varphi \\ &\geq \frac{\mu}{1+g_0} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ q(\varrho, \varphi) - K(\varrho, \varphi) \right\} \Phi(\varrho)^2 \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varrho d\varphi \\ &= \frac{\mu}{1+g_0} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} q(\varrho, \varphi) \Phi(\varrho)^2 \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varrho d\varphi + \frac{\mu}{1+g_0} \int_0^r L''(\varrho) \Phi(\varrho)^2 d\varrho \\ &\geq \frac{\mu}{1+g_0} \int_0^r L''(\varrho) \Phi(\varrho)^2 d\varrho. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

5. Together with (2.18), integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r L''(\varrho) \Phi(\varrho)^2 d\varrho &= L'(\varrho) \Phi(\varrho) \Big|_{\varrho=0+}^{\varrho=r} - 2 \int_0^r L'(\varrho) \Phi(\varrho) \Phi'(\varrho) d\varrho \\ &= -2\pi + 2 \int_0^r L(\varrho) \Phi'(\varrho)^2 d\varrho. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Thus, $\int_0^r L(\varrho) \Phi'(\varrho)^2 d\varrho \leq \frac{2\pi\mu}{2\mu-(1+g_0)}$, and the statement follows with $\Phi'^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}$.

□

Example. Let the immersion X with prescribed constant mean curvature h_0 be μ -stable with real $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$ and $q \equiv 2h_0^2$ (compare with (2.7)). Furthermore, let it represent a geodesic disc $\mathfrak{B}_r(X_0)$ with geodesic radius $r > 0$ and center X_0 . Then it holds

$$\mathcal{A}[X] \leq \frac{2\pi\mu}{2\mu-1} r^2 \quad (2.23)$$

due to $\mathbf{G}(X, Z) \equiv \mathbb{E}^3$, that is, $g_0 = 0$.

2.4 Remark: Area bounds for minimizers via outer balls

In [24] we find area bounds in terms of outer balls enclosing embedded minimizers for the general variational problem (2.8). Namely, denote by $\nu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow S^2$ its unit normal. Intersect the surface

with the closed ball $K_\varrho(X_0)$ of radius $\varrho > 0$ and center $X_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. Assume that $\mathcal{M} \cap K_\varrho(X_0)$ is simply connected. The greater of the two “caps” of the boundary $\partial K_\varrho(X_0)$, which are generated by this intersection, is denoted by \mathcal{K} . Now, assume that

$$m_1|Z| \leq \tilde{F}(X, Z) \leq m_2|Z| \quad \text{for all } (X, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \quad (2.24)$$

for the composition $\tilde{F} = F \circ X$, where $0 < m_1 \leq m_2 < +\infty$. Due to the minimality of X we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{M} \cap K_\varrho(X_0)] &= \int_{\mathcal{M} \cap K_\varrho(X_0)} d\mathcal{M} \leq \frac{1}{m_1} \int_{\mathcal{M} \cap K_\varrho(X_0)} \tilde{F}(X, \nu) d\mathcal{M} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_1} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \tilde{F}(X, \nu) d\mathcal{K} \leq \frac{m_2}{m_1} \int_{\mathcal{K}} d\mathcal{K} < \frac{4m_2\pi}{m_1} \varrho^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

2.5 An estimate in terms of the curvatura integra

The proof of our theorem allows the next result (see also [20]):

Proposition. *Let the immersion X represent a geodesic disc $\mathfrak{B}_r(X_0)$ of radius $r > 0$ and center X_0 . Let its Gaussian curvature satisfy*

$$K(\varrho, \varphi) \leq K_0 \quad \text{for all } (\varrho, \varphi) \in [0, r] \times [0, 2\pi] \quad (2.26)$$

with a real constant $K_0 \in [0, +\infty)$. Then it holds

$$\mathcal{A}[X] \leq r^2 \left\{ \pi + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ K_0 - K(\varrho, \varphi) \right\} \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varrho d\varphi \right\}. \quad (2.27)$$

Example. For minimal surfaces we have $K_0 = 0$.

Proof of the Proposition. For curves $\varrho = \text{const}$ we conclude from the second line in (2.19)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varrho} \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{P(\varrho, \varphi)} d\varphi \leq 2\pi + \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ K_0 - K(\tau, \varphi) \right\} \sqrt{P(\tau, \varphi)} d\tau d\varphi. \quad (2.28)$$

A first integrating w.r.t. the radius coordinate ϱ , and then a further integration w.r.t. to $\varrho = 0 \dots r$ proves the statement. \square

2.6 An estimate in terms of the boundary curvature

Given the immersion X with its C^2 -regular boundary curve. Denote by κ_g and κ_n its geodesic curvature and normal curvature, resp. It holds $\kappa = \sqrt{\kappa_g^2 + \kappa_n^2} \geq |\kappa_g|$ for the non-negative curvature of the boundary, and due to Bonnet-Gauß we conclude

$$\iint_B (-K) W du dv = \int_{\partial B} \kappa_g(s) ds - 2\pi \leq \int_{\partial B} \kappa(s) ds - 2\pi. \quad (2.29)$$

Inserting into (2.27) proves the

Corollary. *Under the above assumptions it holds*

$$\mathcal{A}[X] \leq \frac{K_0}{2} \mathcal{A}[X] r^2 + \frac{r^2}{2} \int_{\partial B} \kappa(s) ds \quad (2.30)$$

with the constant $K_0 \in [0, +\infty)$ from (2.26). In particular, if $K_0 = 0$ then it holds

$$\mathcal{A}[X] \leq \frac{r^2}{2} \int_{\partial B} \kappa(s) ds. \quad (2.31)$$

3 Graphs in \mathbb{R}^3

3.1 Introductory remarks

In this chapter we want to prove an upper area bound for graphs which solve non-homogeneous quasilinear equations. First, let us give some examples:

1. Critical points of variational problems

$$\iint_{\Omega} F(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) dx dy \longrightarrow \text{extr!} \quad (3.1)$$

have non-homogeneous divergence form (see section 4.2).

2. An equation of the form

$$A(\zeta_x, \zeta_y) \zeta_{xx} + 2B(\zeta_x, \zeta_y) \zeta_{xy} + C(\zeta_x, \zeta_y) \zeta_{yy} = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

with smooth coefficients can always be transformed into divergence form (see [4]).

3. By introducing a suitable weight matrix, solutions of

$$A(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) \zeta_{xx} + 2B(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) \zeta_{xy} + C(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) \zeta_{yy} = R(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) \quad (3.3)$$

can be transformed into the Beltrami form (2.9) (see i.e. [20], [21] for $R \equiv 0$). This would make the results of chapter 2 applicable to the objects of study in this part (see also the examples discussed in section 2.2).

3.2 An estimate in the general case

The next result follows ideas from [10], where area estimates for homogeneous divergence form equations are established, but where an explicit form as below is not needed. Therefore, we want to demonstrate all the essential steps.

Theorem. *Let $\zeta \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ bounded and simply connected and with C^1 -regular boundary, solve the elliptic Dirichlet boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} F_p(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) + \frac{d}{dy} F_q(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) &= R(x, y, \zeta, \zeta_x, \zeta_y) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \zeta(x, y) &= \varphi(x, y) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. Assume that

(A1) for all $(x, y, z, p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^5$

$$F_p(x, y, z, p, q)^2 + F_q(x, y, z, p, q)^2 \leq k_0^2 \quad (3.5)$$

with a real constant $k_0 \in [0, +\infty)$;

(A2) with a further real constant $m_1 \in (0, +\infty)$

$$m_1 |\xi|^2 \leq (\xi_1, \xi_2) \circ \begin{pmatrix} F_{pp}(x, y, z, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) & F_{pq}(x, y, z, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \\ F_{qp}(x, y, z, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) & F_{qq}(x, y, z, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

for all $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and all $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{p}^2 + \tilde{q}^2 \leq 1$;

(A3) finally

$$F_p(x, y, z, 0, 0) = 0, \quad F_q(x, y, z, 0, 0) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Then it holds

$$\mathcal{A}[\zeta] \leq \left(1 + \frac{\|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \|R\|_{0,\Omega}}{m_1}\right) \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + \frac{\|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} k_0}{m_1} \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] \quad (3.8)$$

with the area $\mathcal{A}[\Omega]$ of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and the length $\mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega]$ of its boundary curve $\partial\Omega$, and the usual Schauder norms $\|\cdot\|_{0,\Omega}$ etc.

Proof of the Theorem. 1. Consider the function

$$\mu(t) := pF_p(x, y, z, tp, tq) + qF_q(x, y, z, tp, tq), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.9)$$

Assumption (A3) implies $\mu(0) = 0$ and $\mu(1) = pF_p(x, y, z, p, q) + qF_q(x, y, z, p, q)$.

2. For real $t \in [0, 1]$ we introduce a real number $m_1^* = m_1^*(t) \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$m_1^*(t) |\xi|^2 \leq (\xi_1, \xi_2) \circ \begin{pmatrix} F_{pp}(x, y, z, tp, tq) & F_{pq}(x, y, z, tp, tq) \\ F_{pq}(x, y, z, tp, tq) & F_{qq}(x, y, z, tp, tq) \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.10)$$

for all $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Namely, due to (A2) we demand

(α) if $p^2 + q^2 \geq 1$, then $m_1^*(t) \geq m_1$ for $t \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^2 + q^2}}$;

(β) if $p^2 + q^2 \leq 1$, then $m_1^*(t) \geq m_1$ for $t \leq 1$.

Note that in both cases $t^2 p^2 + t^2 q^2 \leq 1$.

3. Differentiating $\mu = \mu(t)$ yields

$$\mu'(t) = F_{pp}(x, y, z, tp, tq)p^2 + 2F_{pq}(x, y, z, tp, tq)pq + F_{qq}(x, y, z, tp, tq)q^2, \quad (3.11)$$

and by definition of $m_1^*(t)$ we have $m_1^*(t)(p^2 + q^2) \leq \mu'(t)$. It follows that

$$\mu(1) = \int_0^1 \mu'(t) dt \geq (p^2 + q^2) \int_0^1 m_1^*(t) dt. \quad (3.12)$$

4. Now, note that

(γ) if $p^2 + q^2 \geq 1$, then due to (α)

$$\mu(1) \geq (p^2 + q^2) \int_0^{(p^2+q^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} m_1^*(t) dt \geq (p^2 + q^2) \int_0^{(p^2+q^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} m_1 dt = m_1 \sqrt{p^2 + q^2}; \quad (3.13)$$

(δ) if $p^2 + q^2 \leq 1$, then due to (β)

$$\mu(1) \geq (p^2 + q^2) \int_0^1 m_1 dt = m_1(p^2 + q^2). \quad (3.14)$$

Summarising we arrive at (cp. [10], Lemma 4)

$$pF_p(x, y, z, p, q) + qF_q(x, y, z, p, q) \geq \begin{cases} m_1(p^2 + q^2), & \text{if } p^2 + q^2 \leq 1 \\ m_1 \sqrt{p^2 + q^2}, & \text{if } p^2 + q^2 \geq 1 \end{cases}. \quad (3.15)$$

5. Making use of the divergence structur of our Dirichlet problem we infer

$$\operatorname{div}(\zeta F_p, \zeta F_q) = pF_p + qF_q + \zeta \left(\frac{d}{dx} F_p + \frac{d}{dy} F_q \right) = pF_p + qF_q + \zeta R, \quad (3.16)$$

and integration by parts yields (cp. [10], Lemma 5)

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} (pF_p + qF_q) dx dy &= \iint_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\zeta F_p, \zeta F_q) dx dy - \iint_{\Omega} \zeta R dx dy \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \zeta(F_p, F_q) \cdot \nu^t ds - \iint_{\Omega} \zeta R dx dy \\ &\leq \|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega} \sqrt{F_p^2 + F_q^2} ds + \|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \|R\|_{0,\Omega} \mathcal{A}[\Omega] \\ &\leq \|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} k_0 \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] + \|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \|R\|_{0,\Omega} \mathcal{A}[\Omega] \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

with $\nu = \nu(s)$ normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

6. Taking $\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} \leq 1 + \sqrt{p^2 + q^2}$ for $p^2 + q^2 \geq 1$, and $\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} \leq 1 + p^2 + q^2$ for $p^2 + q^2 \leq 1$ into account, we calculate for $p^2 + q^2 \leq 1$ and $p^2 + q^2 \geq 1$ (cp. [10], Proof of Theorem III)

$$\iint_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2} dx dy \leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + \frac{1}{m_1} \iint_{\Omega} (pF_p + qF_q) dx dy. \quad (3.18)$$

The statement follows. □

Remark. Various variations of the proof are possible: For example, we could alter (3.17) to obtain

$$\iint_{\Omega} (pF_p + qF_q) dx dy \leq \|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} k_0 \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] + \|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \|R\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$$

with the L^1 -norm on Ω . Then (3.8) would change according to this new estimate.

3.3 Homogeneous divergence equations

Corollary. *In the homogeneous case $R \equiv 0$ we conclude from the Theorem*

$$\mathcal{A}[\zeta] \leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + \frac{\|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} k_0}{m_1} \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega]. \quad (3.19)$$

For example, let us consider minimal graphs with $F(p, q) = \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}$ such that

$$F_p(p, q) = \frac{p}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}}, \quad F_q(p, q) = \frac{q}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}}. \quad (3.20)$$

For (A1), we calculate $F_p^2 + F_q^2 = \frac{p^2 + q^2}{1 + p^2 + q^2} \leq 1 =: k_0$. Furthermore, we set $m_1 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$ due to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \cdot |\xi|^2 \leq (\xi_1, \xi_2) \circ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 + q^2}{(1 + p^2 + q^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} & -\frac{pq}{(1 + p^2 + q^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ -\frac{pq}{(1 + p^2 + q^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} & \frac{1 + p^2}{(1 + p^2 + q^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.21)$$

as well as $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{(1 + p^2 + q^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$, $p^2 + q^2 \leq 1$, for the “restricted” smallest eigenvalue from (A2).

Corollary. *For minimal graphs it holds*

$$\mathcal{A}[\zeta] \leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + \sqrt{8} \|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega]. \quad (3.22)$$

For the inhomogeneous divergence equation

$$\operatorname{div} \frac{(p, q)}{\sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}} = 2H(x, y, z) \quad (3.23)$$

with prescribed mean curvature H such that $h_0 = \|H\|_{0,\Omega}$, we conclude

Corollary. *In this case of prescribed mean curvature it holds*

$$\mathcal{A}[\zeta] \leq \left\{ 1 + 2\sqrt{8} h_0 \|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \right\} \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + \sqrt{8} \|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega]. \quad (3.24)$$

Remark. This result is not sharp. From the estimate of the next chapter we will conclude

$$\mathcal{A}[\zeta] \leq \left\{ 1 + 2h_0 \|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \right\} \operatorname{Area}[\Omega] + \|\zeta\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega]. \quad (3.25)$$

3.4 An interior estimate

The next result is motivated from [8] where sharp bounds for mean-curvature-graphs are proved.

Proposition. *For real $\nu > 0$ we define the interior set*

$$\Omega_\nu := \left\{ (x, y) \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}((x, y), \partial\Omega) > \nu \right\}. \quad (3.26)$$

Then, under the conditions of the above Theorem and the additional assumption

$$pF_p(x, y, z, p, q) + qF_q(x, y, z, p, q) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (x, y, z, p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \quad (3.27)$$

(compare with (3.20)) it holds

$$\iint_{\Omega_\nu} \sqrt{1 + \zeta_x^2 + \zeta_y^2} \, dx dy \leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + \frac{1}{m_1} \left(\frac{2k_0}{\nu} + \|R\|_{0,\Omega} \right) \|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \mathcal{A}[\Omega]. \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. Choose a test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\varphi(u, v) = 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega_\nu, \quad |\nabla \varphi(u, v)| \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.29)$$

We compute $\operatorname{div}(\varphi \zeta F_p, \varphi \zeta F_q) = \zeta \nabla \varphi \cdot (F_p, F_q)^t + \varphi(p F_p + q F_q) + \varphi \zeta R$. Integrating the divergence term would give no contribution due to $\varphi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega_\nu} (p F_p + q F_q) dx dy &\leq \iint_{\Omega} \varphi (p F_p + q F_q) dx dy \\ &= - \iint_{\Omega} \zeta \nabla \varphi \cdot (F_p, F_q)^t dx dy - \iint_{\Omega} \varphi \zeta R dx dy \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2k_0}{\nu} + \|R\|_{0,\Omega} \right) \|\zeta\|_{0,\Omega} \mathcal{A}[\Omega]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We proceed as in point 6, i.e. (3.15) and (3.18), of the proof of our theorem. \square

4 Fermat-type graphs in \mathbb{R}^n

4.1 Introductory remarks

In this final chapter we establish an area bound for graphs of Fermat-type in divergence form which are critical for the variational problem $(X = (x, y, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-2}))$

$$\iint_{\Omega} \Gamma(X) W dx dy \longrightarrow \text{extr!} \quad (4.1)$$

1. For $\Gamma(X) \equiv 1$ we have the usual area functional.
2. In contrast to the case of $n = 3$, the following area bounds depend additionally on the derivatives of the graphs on the boundary. For example, the area of the conformally parametrized minimal graph (z, z^n) , $z = x + iy \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depends on the maximum norm of the mapping (which does not depend on n) and the exponent n .
3. It remains open how to transform the Euler-Lagrange system of (4.1) into a Beltrami form by means of a suitable weight matrix (see the remarks in section 3.1).

4.2 The Euler-Lagrange equations

Let us start with the general functional

$$\mathcal{F}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-2}] = \iint_{\Omega} F(x, y, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-2}, \nabla \zeta_1, \dots, \nabla \zeta_{n-2}) dx dy. \quad (4.2)$$

We set $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-2})$, $p_\sigma = \zeta_{\sigma,x}$, $q_\sigma = \zeta_{\sigma,y}$ etc.

Proposition. *The $n - 2$ Euler-Lagrange equations of $\mathcal{F}[\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-2}]$ are*

$$\frac{dF_{p_\sigma}(x, y, \zeta, \nabla \zeta)}{dx} + \frac{dF_{q_\sigma}(x, y, \zeta, \nabla \zeta)}{dy} = F_{z_\sigma}(x, y, \zeta, \nabla \zeta) \quad \text{for } \sigma = 1, \dots, n - 2. \quad (4.3)$$

Corollary. *The non-parametric minimal surface system is*

$$\operatorname{div} \frac{(p_\sigma, q_\sigma)}{W} = - \operatorname{div} \frac{\left(p_\sigma \sum_{\theta=1}^{n-2} q_\theta^2 - q_\sigma \sum_{\theta=1}^{n-2} p_\theta q_\theta, q_\sigma \sum_{\theta=1}^{n-2} p_\theta^2 - p_\sigma \sum_{\theta=1}^{n-2} p_\theta q_\theta \right)}{W} \quad (4.4)$$

for $\sigma = 1, \dots, n-2$.

Proof of the Corollary. From $X_x = (1, 0, \zeta_{1,x}, \dots, \zeta_{n-2,x})$, $X_y = (0, 1, \zeta_{1,y}, \dots, \zeta_{n-2,y})$ it follows

$$h_{11} = 1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_{\sigma,x}^2 = 1 + p^2, \quad h_{12} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_{\sigma,x} \zeta_{\sigma,y} = p \cdot q^t, \quad h_{22} = 1 + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_{\sigma,y}^2 = 1 + q^2 \quad (4.5)$$

setting $p = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-2})$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_{n-2})$. Therefore, we have (let $W = F(p, q)$)

$$\mathcal{A}[\zeta] = \iint_{\Omega} F(p, q) \, dx dy \equiv \iint_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2 + p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2} \, dx dy. \quad (4.6)$$

Differentiation shows

$$F_{p_\sigma} = \frac{p_\sigma + p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \quad F_{q_\sigma} = \frac{q_\sigma + q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \quad (4.7)$$

together with $F_{z_\sigma}(p, q) \equiv 0$ for $\sigma = 1, \dots, n-2$. The statement follows. \square

Remark. For $n = 3$, the minimal surface system reduces to $\operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla \zeta}{W} = 0$ in Ω .

Corollary. *Critical points (x, y, ζ) of Fermat's functional with the integrand*

$$F(x, y, z, p, q) = \Gamma(x, y, z) \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2 + p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2} \quad (4.8)$$

solve the Euler-Lagrange system

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \frac{(p_\sigma, q_\sigma)}{W} &= 2H(X, \tilde{N}_\sigma) \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_\sigma^2 + q_\sigma^2}}{W} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma W} \left\{ \left[p^2 + q^2 + p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2 \right] \Gamma_{z_\sigma} - \sum_{\omega=1}^{n-2} (p_\sigma p_\omega + q_\sigma q_\omega) \Gamma_{z_\omega} \right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{\Gamma} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W} \Gamma, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W} \Gamma \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for $\sigma = 1, \dots, n-2$ with the mean curvature field

$$H(X, \tilde{N}_\sigma) = \frac{\Gamma_X(X) \cdot \tilde{N}_\sigma^t}{2\Gamma(X)W}, \quad X = (x, y, \zeta), \quad (4.10)$$

w.r.t. to the non-orthogonally unit normal field

$$\tilde{N}_\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \zeta_\sigma|^2}} (-\zeta_{\sigma,x}, -\zeta_{\sigma,y}, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \sigma = 1, \dots, n-2. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof of the Corollary. We compute

$$F_{p_\sigma} = \frac{p_\sigma + p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \Gamma, \quad F_{q_\sigma} = \frac{q_\sigma + q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \Gamma, \quad F_{z_\sigma} = \Gamma_{z_\sigma} W \quad (4.12)$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF_{p_\sigma}}{dx} &= \Gamma \frac{d}{dx} \frac{p_\sigma}{W} + \Gamma \frac{d}{dx} \frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} + \frac{p_\sigma + p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx}, \\ \frac{dF_{q_\sigma}}{dy} &= \Gamma \frac{d}{dy} \frac{q_\sigma}{W} + \Gamma \frac{d}{dy} \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} + \frac{q_\sigma + q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \frac{d\Gamma}{dy}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Thus, (4.3) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \frac{(p_\sigma, q_\sigma)}{W} &= \frac{\Gamma_{z_\sigma} W}{\Gamma} - \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{p_\sigma + p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx} - \frac{q_\sigma + q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \frac{d\Gamma}{dy}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Performing the differentiation gives $(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x} = \Gamma_x + \Gamma_{z_\omega} p_\omega$ etc.)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \frac{(p_\sigma, q_\sigma)}{W} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma W} \left\{ \Gamma_{z_\sigma} - p_\sigma \Gamma_x - q_\sigma \Gamma_y \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma W} \left\{ \left[p^2 + q^2 + p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2 \right] \Gamma_{z_\sigma} - \sum_{\omega=1}^{n-2} (p_\sigma p_\omega + q_\sigma q_\omega) \Gamma_{z_\omega} \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx} - \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \frac{d\Gamma}{dy} \\ &\quad - \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

With $\Gamma_X = (\Gamma_x, \Gamma_y, \Gamma_{z_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{z_{n-2}})$, the first row can be transformed into

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma W} \left\{ \Gamma_{z_\sigma} - p_\sigma \Gamma_x - q_\sigma \Gamma_y \right\} = \frac{1}{\Gamma W} \tilde{N}_\sigma \cdot \Gamma_X^t \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \zeta_\sigma|^2} = 2H(X, \tilde{N}_\sigma) \frac{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \zeta_\sigma|^2}}{W}, \quad (4.16)$$

and the statement follows. \square

Remark. For $n = 3$, the Euler-Lagrange system reduces to

$$\operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla \zeta}{W} = 2H(X, \tilde{N}) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (4.17)$$

4.3 An area estimate

The main result of this chapter is the following

Theorem. Let $\zeta \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{n-2}) \cap C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$ solve (4.1) where $\Gamma = \Gamma(x, y) \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ such that with real constants Γ_0, Γ_1 , and Γ_2 it holds

$$0 < \Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma(X) \leq \Gamma_1 < +\infty, \quad \Gamma_2 := \|\Gamma\|_{1,\Omega}, \quad h_0 := \sup_{(X,Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1} |H(X, Z)|. \quad (4.18)$$

We require the smallness condition

$$\Lambda := 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}(n-2)^2\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_0} \max_{\sigma=1,\dots,n-2} \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega} > 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Then it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda \cdot \mathcal{A}[\zeta] &\leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + (n-2)\mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] \max_{\sigma=1,\dots,n-2} \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \\ &\quad + 2(n-2)h_0 \mathcal{A}[\Omega] \max_{\sigma=1,\dots,n-2} \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\Omega} \\ &\quad + (n-2)^2\mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] \max_{\sigma=1,\dots,n-2} \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \|D^\top \zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

with the tangential derivative $D^\top \zeta_\sigma = (q_\sigma, -p_\sigma) \cdot \nu^t$, ν unit normal along $\partial\Omega$, $\sigma = 1, \dots, n-2$.

Remarks.

1. The third line in (4.20) does not appear if $n = 3$. Furthermore, in this case we set $\Lambda := 1$. Furthermore, $\Gamma_2 = 0$ implies $\Lambda = 1$.
2. If we prescribe boundary values $\zeta_{\sigma,R}$, we can replace the tangential derivatives $D^\top \zeta_\sigma$ by the derivatives of $\zeta_{\sigma,R}$.

Proof of the Theorem. 1. We add the $n-2$ identities $\zeta_\sigma \operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} = \operatorname{div} \frac{\zeta_\sigma \nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} - \frac{|\nabla \zeta_\sigma|^2}{W}$:

$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_\sigma \operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \operatorname{div} \frac{\zeta_\sigma \nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \frac{p_\sigma^2 + q_\sigma^2}{W} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \operatorname{div} \frac{\zeta_\sigma \nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} - \frac{p^2 + q^2}{W}. \quad (4.21)$$

For the area element we have

$$\frac{1}{W} - W = \frac{1 - [1 + p^2 + q^2 + p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2]}{W} = -\frac{p^2 + q^2}{W} - \frac{p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2}{W}, \quad (4.22)$$

therefore,

$$W = \frac{1}{W} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \operatorname{div} \frac{\zeta_\sigma \nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_\sigma \operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} + \frac{p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2}{W}. \quad (4.23)$$

2. Multiply the Euler-Lagrange equations (4.9) by ζ_σ . Summation gives ($\Gamma_{z_\sigma} \equiv 0$!)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_\sigma \operatorname{div} \frac{\nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} &= 2 \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} H(X, \tilde{N}_\sigma) \zeta_\sigma \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_\sigma^2 + q_\sigma^2}}{W} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_\sigma \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \left\{ \frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \zeta_\sigma \Gamma_x + \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \zeta_\sigma \Gamma_y \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Note that in the second line

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_\sigma, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_\sigma \right) \\ &= \zeta_\sigma \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{p_\sigma^2 q^2 - p_\sigma q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W} + \frac{q_\sigma^2 p^2 - p_\sigma q_\sigma (p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

and adding up brings

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \zeta_\sigma \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W}, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_\sigma, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_\sigma \right) - \frac{2}{W} \{p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Now, (4.23) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
W &= \frac{1}{W} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \operatorname{div} \frac{\zeta_\sigma \nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} - \frac{p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2}{W} - 2 \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} H(X, \tilde{N}_\sigma) \zeta_\sigma \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_\sigma^2 + q_\sigma^2}}{W} \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_\sigma, \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_\sigma \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \left\{ \frac{p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \zeta_\sigma \Gamma_x + \frac{q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t)}{\Gamma W} \zeta_\sigma \Gamma_y \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

3. This last identity will be integrated by parts.

(i) First, observe that due to $\frac{1}{W} \leq 1$, it holds $\iint_\Omega \frac{1}{W} dx dy \leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega]$.

(ii) The second is evaluated as

$$\sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \iint_\Omega \operatorname{div} \frac{\zeta_\sigma \nabla \zeta_\sigma}{W} dx dy \leq \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\nabla \zeta_\sigma \cdot \nu^t|}{W} |\zeta_\sigma| ds \leq (n-2) \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] \max_\sigma \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0, \partial\Omega} \tag{4.28}$$

taking $\frac{\sqrt{1+p_\sigma^2+q_\sigma^2}}{W} \leq 1$ for $\sigma = 1, \dots, n-2$ into account.

(iii) The third term is non-positive by Hölder's inequality.

(iv) Analogously, we have

$$2 \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \iint_\Omega H(X, \tilde{N}_\sigma) \zeta_\sigma \frac{\sqrt{1 + p_\sigma^2 + q_\sigma^2}}{W} dx dy \leq 2(n-2) h_0 \mathcal{A}[\Omega] \max_\sigma \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0, \Omega}. \tag{4.29}$$

(v) We consider the second line in (4.27): First, note that

$$p_\sigma q^2 - q_\sigma(p \cdot q^t) = \sum_{\vartheta=1}^{n-2} (p_\sigma q_\vartheta - p_\vartheta q_\sigma) q_\vartheta, \quad q_\sigma p^2 - p_\sigma(p \cdot q^t) = \sum_{\vartheta=1}^{n-2} (q_\sigma p_\vartheta - q_\vartheta p_\sigma) p_\vartheta, \tag{4.30}$$

and, therefore, multiplication by p_σ resp. q_σ , and summation brings

$$p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma, \theta=1}^{n-2} (p_\sigma q_\theta - p_\theta q_\sigma)^2. \tag{4.31}$$

Integration yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \iint_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{p_{\sigma} q^2 - q_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_{\sigma}, \frac{q_{\sigma} p^2 - p_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_{\sigma} \right) dx dy \\
&= \sum_{\sigma, \omega=1}^{n-2} \iint_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{(p_{\sigma} q_{\omega} - p_{\omega} q_{\sigma}) q_{\omega}}{W} \zeta_{\sigma}, -\frac{(p_{\sigma} q_{\omega} - p_{\omega} q_{\sigma}) p_{\omega}}{W} \zeta_{\sigma} \right) dx dy \\
&= \sum_{\sigma, \omega=1}^{n-2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{(p_{\sigma} q_{\omega} - p_{\omega} q_{\sigma}) \zeta_{\sigma}}{W} (q_{\omega}, -p_{\omega}) \cdot \nu^t ds \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma, \omega=1}^{n-2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\zeta_{\sigma}| |D^{\top} \zeta_{\omega}| ds \\
&\leq (n-2)^2 \mathcal{L}[\partial \Omega] \max_{\sigma} \|\zeta_{\sigma}\|_{0, \partial \Omega} \|D^{\top} \zeta_{\sigma}\|_{0, \partial \Omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

(vi) To control the last term in (4.27) we use again (4.29), (4.30), and $(p_{\sigma} q_{\vartheta} - p_{\vartheta} q_{\sigma})^2 \leq 2[p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2]$ from (4.31)

$$\frac{|p_{\sigma} q^2 - q_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)|}{W} \leq \sum_{\vartheta=1}^{n-2} \frac{|p_{\sigma} q_{\vartheta} - q_{\sigma} p_{\vartheta}| |q_{\vartheta}|}{W} \leq \sqrt{2} \sum_{\vartheta=1}^{n-2} \frac{\sqrt{p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2}}{W} |q_{\vartheta}|, \tag{4.33}$$

and analogously for $\frac{|q_{\sigma} p^2 - p_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)|}{W}$, and we obtain

$$\frac{|p_{\sigma} q^2 - q_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)|}{\Gamma W} \leq \sqrt{2} (n-2) \Gamma_0^{-1} |q|, \quad \frac{|q_{\sigma} p^2 - p_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)|}{\Gamma W} \leq \sqrt{2} (n-2) \Gamma_0^{-1} |p|. \tag{4.34}$$

Then we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \iint_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{p_{\sigma} q^2 - q_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_{\sigma} \Gamma_x + \frac{q_{\sigma} p^2 - p_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)}{W} \zeta_{\sigma} \Gamma_y \right\} dx dy \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma=1}^{n-2} \Gamma_2 \iint_{\Omega} |\zeta_{\sigma}| \left\{ \frac{|p_{\sigma} q^2 - q_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)|}{W} + \frac{|q_{\sigma} p^2 - p_{\sigma}(p \cdot q^t)|}{W} \right\} dx dy \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} (n-2)^2 \Gamma_2}{\Gamma_0} \max_{\sigma} \|\zeta_{\sigma}\|_{0, \Omega} \iint_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2 + p^2 q^2 - (p \cdot q^t)^2} dx dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

6. Taking our results together, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[\zeta] &\leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + (n-2) \mathcal{L}[\partial \Omega] \max_{\sigma} \|\zeta_{\sigma}\|_{0, \partial \Omega} + 2(n-2) h_0 \mathcal{A}[\Omega] \max_{\sigma} \|\zeta_{\sigma}\|_{C^0(\Omega)} \\
&\quad + (n-2)^2 \mathcal{L}[\partial \Omega] \max_{\sigma} \|\zeta_{\sigma}\|_{0, \partial \Omega} \|D^{\top} \zeta_{\sigma}\|_{0, \partial \Omega} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2} (n-2)^2 \Gamma_2}{\Gamma_0} \max_{\sigma} \|\zeta_{\sigma}\|_{0, \Omega} \mathcal{A}[\zeta].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Rearranging proves the statement. \square

Remark. In (4.35) we need the special form $\Gamma = \Gamma(x, y)$. Otherwise, due to (4.34) there would remain terms quadratically in $p_{\vartheta}, q_{\vartheta}$ in the integrand.

4.4 Minimal surfaces

We consider the special case $\Gamma \equiv 1$ (that is, $h_0 = 0$):

Corollary. *Let $\zeta \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n-2}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$ solve the minimal surface system (4.4). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\zeta] &\leq \mathcal{A}[\Omega] + (n-2)\mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] \max_{\sigma=1,\dots,n-2} \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \\ &\quad + (n-2)^2 \mathcal{L}[\partial\Omega] \max_{\sigma=1,\dots,n-2} \|\zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\partial\Omega} \|D^\top \zeta_\sigma\|_{0,\partial\Omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

References

- [1] BARBOSA, J.L.; DO CARMO, M.: *On the size of stable minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3* . Am. Journal Math. **98**, 515–528, 1974.
- [2] BARBOSA, J.L.; DO CARMO, M.: *Stability of minimal surfaces and eigenvalues of the Laplacian*. Math. Z. **173**, 13–28, 1980.
- [3] BERGNER, M.; FRÖHLICH, S.: *On two-dimensional immersions of prescribed mean curvature in \mathbb{R}^n* . Accept. for publication in Zeitschrift Anal. Anw.
- [4] BERS, L.: *Univalent solutions of Linear elliptic systems*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **VI**, 513–526, 1953.
- [5] BLASCHKE, W.; LEICHTWEISS, K.: *Elementare Differentialgeometrie*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **1**, Springer, 1973.
- [6] CLARENZ, U.: *Sätze über Extremalen zu parametrischen Funktionalen*. Bonner mathematische Schriften **322**, 1999.
- [7] CLARENZ, U.; VON DER MOSEL, H.: *Isoperimetric inequalities for parametric variational problems*. Ann. I.H. Poincaré - AN **19**, No. 5, 617–629, 2002.
- [8] COLDING, T.H.: *Sharp estimates for mean curvature flow of graphs*. J. reine angew. Math. **574**, 187–195, 2004.
- [9] ECKER, K.: *Regularity theory for mean curvature flow*. Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [10] FINN, R.: *On equations of minimal surface type*. Annals of Math. **60**, No. 3, 1954.
- [11] FISCHER-COLBRIE, D.; SCHOEN, R.: *The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of non-negative scalar curvature*. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **33**, 199–211, 1980.
- [12] FRÖHLICH, S.: *Curvature estimates for μ -stable G -minimal surfaces and theorems of Bernstein type*. Analysis **22**, 109–130, 2002.
- [13] FRÖHLICH, S.: *A note on μ -stable surfaces with prescribed constant mean curvature*. Zeitschrift Anal. Anw. **22**, Nr. 2, 455–462, 2003.
- [14] FRÖHLICH, S.: *On twodimensional immersions that are stable for parametric functionals of constant mean curvature type*. Differential Geom. and Appl. **23**, 235–256, 2005.
- [15] FRÖHLICH, S.: *On 2-surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 and R^n* . Proceedings of the 5th Conference of Balkan Society of Geometers, Mangalia 2005.
- [16] FRÖHLICH, S.: *μ -stability of 2-immersions of prescribed mean curvature and flat normal bundle in Euclidean spaces of higher codimension*. Preprint.
- [17] FRÖHLICH, S.; WINKLMANN, S.: *Curvature estimates for graphs with prescribed mean curvature and flat normal bundle*. Accept. for publication in manuscripta mathematica.

- [18] GULLIVER, R.: *Minimal surfaces of finite index in manifolds of positive scalar curvature*. In: Calculus of variations and partial differential equations, S. Hildebrandt, D. Kinderlehrer, M. Miranda, Ed., Lecture Notes in mathematics **1340**, 115–122, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [19] RUCHERT, H.: *Ein Eindeutigkeitssatz für Flächen konstanter mittlerer Krümmung*. Arch. math. **33**, 91–104, 1979.
- [20] SAUVIGNY, F.: *A-priori-Abschätzungen der Hauptkrümmungen für Immersionen vom Mittleren-Krümmungs-Typ mittels Uniformisierung und Sätze vom Bernstein-Typ*. Habilitationsschrift, Göttingen 1988.
- [21] SAUVIGNY, F.: *Curvature estimates for immersions of minimal surface type via uniformization and theorems of Bernstein type*. manuscripta math. **67**, 567–582, 1990.
- [22] SAUVIGNY, F.: *Introduction of isothermal parameters into a Riemannian metric by the continuity method*. Analysis **19**, No. 3, 235–243, 1999.
- [23] SCHOEN, R.; SIMON, L.; YAU, S.T.: *Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces*. Acta Math. **134**, 275–288, 1975.
- [24] WINKLMANN, S.: *Krümmungsabschätzungen für stabile Extremalen parametrischer Funktionale*. Dissertations, Universität Duisburg-Essen (Campus Duisburg), 2004.
- [25] WINKLMANN, S.: *Estimates for stable hypersurfaces of prescribed F -mean curvature*. Preprint, SM-DU-602, Universität Duisburg-Essen, 2005.

Steffen Fröhlich
 Freie Universität Berlin
 Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik
 Institut für Mathematik I
 Arnimalle 2-6
 D-14195 Berlin
 Germany
 e-mail: sfroehli@mi.fu-berlin.de