

Control Theory for Semigroups over Local Fields

Marcelo Firer and Daniel Miranda
(Universidade Estadual de Campinas)

Abstract

Let G be a 1-connected, almost-simple Lie group over a local field and S a subsemigroup of G with non-empty interior. The action of the regular hyperbolic elements in the interior of S on the flag manifold G/P and on the associated Euclidean building allows us to prove that the invariant control set exists and is unique. We also provide a characterization of the set of transitivity of the control sets: its elements are the fixed points of type w for a regular hyperbolic isometry, where w is an element of the Weyl group of G . Thus, for each w in W there is a control set D_w and $W(S)$ the subgroup of the Weyl group such that the control set D_w coincides with the invariant control set D_1 is a Weyl subgroup of W . We conclude by showing that the control sets are parameterized by the lateral classes $W(S)nW$:

Contents

1	Semigroups and Control Sets	3
2	Buildings	4
2.1	Coxeter Groups and Complexes	4
2.2	Tits Building	5
2.3	Automorphism Groups and BN-pairs	6
3	Geometrical Representation of Buildings	7
3.1	Spherical Buildings	8
3.2	Euclidean Buildings	8
3.3	The Geometry of Euclidean Buildings	10
3.3.1	The Building at the Infinite	11
4	Algebraic Groups over Local Fields	12
4.1	Local fields	12
4.2	Basic Definitions	13
4.3	The spherical building of an algebraic group	14
4.4	The Euclidean building of an algebraic group over a Local field	15
4.5	Some consequences of the action in E	15
4.5.1	Definitions	15
4.5.2	Cartan-Iwasawa Decomposition	16
4.5.3	Bruhat Cellular Decomposition	17
4.6	Some consequences of the action in $j_E j$	18
4.6.1	Isometries	18
4.6.2	The action of the hyperbolic Isometries	21
5	Semigroups and Control Theory	24
5.1	Subgroup $W(S)$	27

1 Semigroups and Control Sets

Our purpose in this text is to study a particular example of semigroup: namely, the semigroup of a Lie group over a local field. This task will be tackled in an essentially geometrical way, by means of a detailed study of the action of the semigroup in a suitable space. This approach leads to a rich theory that relates the semigroup to the control sets. We begin with some basic definitions:

Let X be a topological space and $C(X)$ the group of homeomorphisms of X , a semigroup (of homeomorphism) is a set $S \subset C(X)$ closed under composition.

Let S be a semigroup of homeomorphism of X and x a point in X : The set $Sx = \{gx \mid g \in S\}$ is called the orbit of x by the action of S : We will refer to the closure $\text{cl}(Sx)$ of Sx in X as the approximate orbit. A subset of X is S -invariant if $SD \subset D$:

We say that the action of S on a set $A \subset X$ is approximately transitive if $A \subset \text{cl}(Sx)$ for all $x \in A$.

Definition 1 A control set for S in X is a subset $D \subset X$ which fulfils the following conditions:

1. $D \subset \text{cl}(Sx)$ for all $x \in D$
2. D is maximal with the first property
3. $\text{int} D \neq \emptyset$;

The first two items of the previous definition of control set says that these sets are maximal sets where the action of S is approximately transitive.

We introduce the following partial ordering between control sets: D_1 is smaller than D_2 if there exist $x \in D_1$ and $s \in S$ such that $sx \in D_2$. A maximal control set with respect to this ordering is called an invariant control set, which is clearly S -invariant.

We define the set of transitivity as

$$D_0 = \{x \in D : x \in \text{int}(S^{-1}x)\}$$

Proposition 2 Let S be a semigroup of homeomorphisms with non-empty interior, D a control set for S in X and D_0 the set of transitivity of D . Then the following hold:

1. $D_0 = \text{int}(Sx)$ for all $x \in D_0$

$$2. \text{cl}(D_0) = D$$

$$3. \text{For any } x, y \in D_0, \exists s \in S \text{ with } sx = y$$

The last item above elucidate why D_0 is called the set of transitivity of D .

When the topological space X is compact, an application of Zorn's Lemma yields the existence of an invariant control set D .

In the very special case in which $S = G$, with G a semi-simple Lie group, San Martin has developed a powerful theory relating the semigroups and the control sets in the ag manifold G/P . Among others results San Martin proved that if $\text{int}(S) \neq \emptyset$; then there is a unique control set in G/P , and that for each element of the Weyl group $w \in W$ there exist a control set D_w on maximal ag G/P , whose elements of the set of transitivity are fixed points of type w , for some regular h . For more information and another results see [?] and [?].

We generalize these results for algebraic groups over a local field.

2 Buildings

2.1 Coxeter Groups and Complexes

Let I be a finite set of indexes. A Coxeter matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ is a square matrix with values in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $m_{ij} = 1$ if and only if $i = j$ and $m_{ij} = m_{ji}$:

A Coxeter matrix defines a Coxeter group of type M , the group $W(M)$ defined by the presentation

$$W(M) = \langle r_i : r_i^2 = (r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1; i, j \in I \rangle$$

To simplify the notation we denote $W(M)$ by W wherever it is clear which Coxeter Matrix is been referred.

We can see identify the set of indexes I with the the set $\{r_i : i \in I\}$ of order two generators of W and call the pair $(W; I)$ a Coxeter system.

A special subgroup of a Coxeter group W with generators J is a subgroup $W(J)$ generated by a subset $J \subset I$. A special coset is a coset $wW(J)$, determined by a special subgroup $W(J)$.

Now we will describe the chamber complex, the Coxeter complex, associated to a Coxeter system, that will be crucial in this work. Let V be a poset with the usual inclusion order. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of finite subsets of V containing every singleton $\{v\} \subset V$ and satisfying the condition that, if

$A \geq 2$ and $B \leq A$ then $B \geq 2$. Such a pair $(V; \cdot)$ is called a simplicial complex and \cdot a family of simplices. Given simplices $B \leq A \geq 2$ we say that B is a face of A .

The cardinality r of a simplex A is said rank of A and $r - 1$ is said dimension of A . Two simplices A, B in a simplicial complex are adjacent if they have a codimension 1 face. A gallery is a sequence of maximal simplices in which any two consecutive ones are adjacent.

We will say that Δ is a chamber complex if all maximal simplices have the same dimension and any two can be connected by a gallery. The maximal simplices will then be called chambers. A chamber complex is said labelled by a set I ; if there is a surjective function $\rho: V \rightarrow I$ such that the restriction to each chamber is a bijection..

Given a Coxeter Group $W(I)$, we consider the set of all special cosets $\Delta = (W; I)$. We define on Δ a partial order inverse to the inclusion: $W(J) \leq W(L)$ if $L \subseteq J$. With this order we find the posets $(\Delta; \leq)$ and $(P(I); \leq)$ are isomorphic and thus a simplicial structure can be induced on Δ , thus called the Coxeter complex of $(W; I)$. The maximal elements in $(W; I)$, are called chambers. They are the minimal special cosets, i.e., the sets with one element w with $w \in W$: The adjacency relation is determined as follows: $w_1 \leq w_2$ if $w_1 = r_i w_2$ with $r_i \in I$. We remark that every Coxeter complex can be labelled by I , the set of generators of W : When considering the usual action of W in the set of its cosets by left product, we have the following:

Proposition 3 [Hu]

1. The group W acts on $(W; I)$ by type-preserving automorphisms.
2. The action of the group W is transitive on the collection of simplices of a given type.

2.2 Tits Building

A building is a simplicial complex Δ ; together with a family \mathcal{A} of subcomplexes (called the set of apartments) satisfying the following axioms:

1. Each apartment α is a Coxeter complex.
2. For any two simplices $A, B \in \Delta$, there is an apartment α containing both of them.

3. If Σ and Σ^0 are two apartments containing A and B , then there is an isomorphism $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ fixing A and B pointwise.
4. Every codimension 1 simplex is a face of at least three chambers (thickness axiom).

It is clear, by Axiom B2, that every two apartments are isomorphic. It also implies that two maximal simplices A and B have the same dimension and can be connected by a gallery in the apartment containing both of them, and so Σ is a chamber complex. Furthermore Σ is a labelled chamber complex labeled by I , the set of generators of the Coxeter group of any given apartment. The isomorphism of apartments $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ postulated in Axiom B2 can, a posteriori, be taken as label preserving isomorphism.

Any collection \mathcal{A} of apartments satisfying the former axioms will be called a system of apartments for Σ .

Proposition 4 The apartments are convex, i.e., given two chambers of Σ , then every minimal gallery of Σ connecting these chambers is contained in Σ .

2.3 Automorphism Groups and BN-pairs

Let Σ be a building, \mathcal{A} a system of apartments for Σ and a group G acting on Σ as simplicial automorphism that preserves labels and that leaves A invariant, i.e., if $g \in G$ then $g(A) = A$.

We say that the action of G is strongly transitive if G acts transitively in the pairs (Σ, C) ; with $C \in \mathcal{C}$, in other words, if G is transitive in the apartments and the stabilizer of an apartment is transitive on the chambers of Σ .

Henceforward we will assume that G acts strongly transitive in Σ and choose $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$, called the fundamental apartment and fundamental chamber, respectively.

The following subgroups of G will be of particular interest:

$$B = \{g \in G : gC_0 = C_0g\} \quad (1)$$

$$N = \{g \in G : g\sigma = \sigma g\} \quad (2)$$

$$T = \{g \in G : g \text{ fixes } \sigma \text{ pointwise}\} \quad (3)$$

$$W = N/T \quad (4)$$

Given $J \subseteq I$ we define $P_J \leq G$ as the subgroup of G generated by $\langle B, W(J) \rangle$, where $W(J)$ is the special subgroup with generators indexed by J . A face of type J is a simplex of Σ with vertices labeled by J .

Proposition 5 Given $J^0 \subseteq J$ and a face A of type $J \setminus J^0$, then the stabilizer P_{J^0} of A satisfies:

$$P_{J^0} = \left[\bigcup_{w \in W^0} BwB : \right]$$

In particular, we have the Bruhat decomposition of G :

$$G = \bigcup_{w \in W} BwB$$

As a consequence of the Bruhat decomposition and the Axiom of Thickness, we have that $BwB \cap B j B = B w j B$ and $j B j \subseteq B$ for every $j \in J$. All those properties are stated as postulates in the definition of BN-pairs.

Definition 6 A Tits system or BN-pair is a group G with two subgroups $B; N$ satisfying:

BN 0. $\langle B, N \rangle = G$

BN 1. Denoting $T := B \setminus N \subset N$, then $W = N/T$ is a Coxeter group with generators $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$

BN 2. $B j B = B w B \cap B j w B$ for all $w \in W$ and $j \in J$

BN 3. $j B j \not\subseteq B$ for all $j \in J$

The relation between buildings and BN-pairs are stated in the next two Theorems Now we can state the Tits's Theorems that relates the structure of building with the structure of BN-pairs

Theorem 7 ([Bro] pg. 100-115) Given a building with G a group acting strongly transitive. Dening $B; C$ and N as in 1 we the pair $(B; N)$ is a Tits system. Reciprocally, every system of Tits $(B; N)$ in a group G defines a building in which the chamber are the cosets of B and the equivalence relation is given by $gB \sim j g^0 B \iff gP_j = g^0 P_j$ and nally, the action of G is strongly transitive and N stabilizes an apartment.

3 Geometrical Representation of Buildings

When the Coxeter group is an isometry group of a space we can give a geometrical meaning to the Coxeter Complex and to the building.

3.1 Spherical Buildings

Let V be a finite-dimensional real vector space with an inner product, $H \subset V$ be a hyperplane and ν an unit normal vector to H . The reflection with respect to H is the linear transformation defined as $s_H(v) = v - 2\langle v, \nu \rangle \nu$.

A finite reflection group is a finite group W of linear transformations of V generated by reflections s_H , where H ranges over a set \mathcal{H} of hyperplanes. In other words, W is a discrete subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(V)$, that is generated by reflections.

We say that a finite reflection group W is essential relative to V if W acts on V with no nonzero fixed points. Given a finite reflection group W and V_0 its space of fixed points, it's clear that any subgroup W' stabilizes V_0 and its orthogonal complement V_0^\perp and W' is essential relative to V_0^\perp .

A finite reflection group $(W; V)$ is called reducible if V decomposes as $V = V^0 \oplus V^\perp$ with V^0 and V^\perp non-trivial and W invariant, i.e., $W V^0 = V^0$ and $W V^\perp = V^\perp$.

Let $F = \{f_H = \langle \cdot, \nu \rangle; \nu \in \mathcal{H}\}$ the set of all linear functionals associated with \mathcal{H} . Given a function $f: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we associate the set:

$$C = \{x \in V : \langle x, \nu \rangle = f(\nu) \text{ for all } \nu \in F\}$$

The chambers are the non empty C . The chambers form a partition of V into disjoint convex cones.

A set B is called a face of A if it's the intersection of C with a subspace $S_T = \{x \in V : \langle x, \nu \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \nu \in T\}$. In this case we write $B \leq A$. A wall is a maximal proper face.

A Coxeter complex is called spherical if it is isomorphic to the complex associated to a finite reflection group. It can be demonstrated that is spherical if and only if has finite diameter. A building is called spherical if its apartments are spherical. The diameter of a spherical building is finite and equal to the diameter of any apartment.

Two chambers C, C' in a spherical building are said to be opposite if $d(C, C') = \text{diam}(A)$.

3.2 Euclidean Buildings

Let V be a finite-dimensional real vector space with an inner product. The isometry group of V is the semidirect product $O(V) \ltimes V$. A affine reflection group W is a discrete subgroup of $O(V) \ltimes V$, that is generated by reflections in affine hyperplanes.

We define $H = \{H : s_H \in W\}$ and $F = \{H : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$. We can define the chambers for the affine reflection group in a similar way as we define for the finite groups. In the affine case the chambers are bounded and they are the open Dirichlet domains for the action of W in \mathbb{R}^n .

Henceforth, let C_0 be a fixed chamber, that we denominate fundamental chamber, and let J be the reflections in the walls of C_0 .

Proposition 8.1.

1. The action of W is simply transitive in the chambers.
2. W is generated by J .
3. $W; J$ is a Coxeter Complex.
4. Exists $x \in V$ such that the stabilizer W_x is isomorphic to a finite Coxeter group \overline{W} .
5. $W \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \overline{W}$, with n being the dimension of V .

A point $x \in V$ such that W_x is isomorphic to \overline{W} is called special point.

A (n abstract) Coxeter complex is said Euclidean if it is isomorphic to the complex j associated to an affine reflection group. The complex j is called the geometric realization of the complex. We can choose a norm in the vector space V such that the chambers has diameter 1, this norm are called the canonical norm and with it every simplicial isomorphism $\phi: j \rightarrow j^0$ induces a isometry on the geometrical realization, $j \xrightarrow{\phi} j^0$.

Let j be the geometric realization of a Euclidean Coxeter complex, and let \mathcal{H} be the associated set of hyperplanes in V . Fix $x \in V$ and let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ be the set of hyperplanes through x and parallel to some element of \mathcal{H} . The set $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is finite. Let $F = \{H : H \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}\}$ the set of all linear functional associated with $H \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Given a function $f: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we associate the set:

$$A = \{y \in V : (x)f(y - x) > 0, \forall f \in F\}$$

The sectors are the non empty A . The sectors form a partition of V into disjoint convex cones. Given A and B sectors, A is called a subsector of B if $A \subset B$.

A set B is called a face of A if its the intersection of A with a subspace $S_T = \{y \in V : f(y - x) = 0, \forall f \in T\}$. In this case we write $B \subset A$. A wall is a maximal proper face. These cells will simply be referred to as conical cells based at x . Clearly if A is a sector based at x , the set $A - x + y$ is a sector based at y .

A building \mathcal{B}_E is called Euclidean if its apartments are Euclidean. A geometrical realization $j_E j$ of a Euclidean Building is a building such that each apartment is a finite Coxeter complex.

3.3 The Geometry of Euclidean Buildings

Euclidean Buildings are very nice geometrical spaces. They are the n -dimensional generalization of trees and some kind of discrete symmetric spaces of non-positive curvature.

We start defining a very special metric in the geometrical realization $j_E j$ of \mathcal{B}_E . Given two points $x, y \in j_E j$, the axiom (B1) of buildings says that there exists an apartment containing x and y . This apartment can be endowed with a metric such that the chambers have diameter 1. Let $d(x, y)$ be the distance of x and y in this metric. We define the canonical metric as:

$$d(x, y) = d(x, y)$$

Proposition 9 ([Ga] pg. 194) Given $f : \mathcal{B}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_E^0$ a morphism of Euclidean buildings. Then $j f j : j_E j \rightarrow j_E^0 j$ is an isometry of the geometrical realization.

The geometrical realization $j_E j$ with the canonical metric is a CAT (0) space. This means that the curvature of such spaces is smaller or equal to zero, in the sense that the triangles in such spaces are thinner than in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Given x, y, z three points in a metric space X , a comparison triangle $\bar{4}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 is a triangle with vertices $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ such that

$$d(x, y) = \bar{d}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}); d(y, z) = \bar{d}(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) \text{ and } d(x, z) = \bar{d}(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$$

A metric space X is called a CAT (0) space if for any geodesical triangle $\bar{4}$ in X and $\bar{4}$ a comparison triangle in \mathbb{R}^2 . We have that $d(x, y) \leq \bar{d}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ for any $x, y \in \bar{4}$.

A complete CAT (0) space is called Hadamard space. It is easy to see that the geometrical realization $j_E j$ of \mathcal{B}_E is a Hadamard space. (For a proof and a more general result see [Ga] pg. 197). From now on, a CAT (0) space means a complete one.

The CAT (0) inequality implies the following properties of the building $j_E j$

1. that given two points x, y there is a unique geodesic segment joining these points, and that this geodesic segment varies continuously with its endpoints

2. That the balls are convex and contractible, in particular it is simply connected and all of its higher homotopy groups are trivial.
3. A flat F is a set that is isometric to some \mathbb{R}^n . Every maximal flat is an apartment and vice versa. So we have a geometrical description of the apartments in the geometrical realization $j \in J$.
4. The apartments are convex and every geodesic is contained in an apartment. A geodesic is called regular if it's contained in just one apartment.

3.3.1 The Building at the Infinite

Given X a locally compact CAT(0) space, two geodesic rays $\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma'(t)$ are called asymptotic if exists a constant K such that $d(\gamma(t); \gamma'(t)) \leq K$ for all $t \geq 0$.

We define the set of points in the boundary of X , ∂X , as the equivalence class of asymptotic rays. The union $X \cup \partial X$ will be denoted by \overline{X} . Given $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in \partial X$, exists a geodesic ray starting at x and such that $\gamma(1) = \gamma$. This ray will be denoted by γ_x .

We introduce a topology at $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$ using as base to this topology the open sets of X and the following open sets:

$$U(x; R; \gamma) = \{z \in \overline{X} : z \notin B(x; R) \text{ and } d(\gamma_x(R); \gamma_z(R)) < \epsilon\}$$

with $x \in X$, $\gamma \in \partial X$ and R, ϵ real numbers. This topology does not depend of the choice of the base point, and with this topology \overline{X} is a compact space.

A fundamental fact of this topology is that given an isometry ϕ of a complete CAT(0) space, the natural extension of ϕ to \overline{X} is a homeomorphism.

The compactification of the geometrical realization of J , $\partial(j \in J)$, can be provided with a spherical building structure.

The face in the infinity c_1 of a conical cell c in $j \in J$ with vertex x_0 is defined as the set of points $\gamma \in \partial(j \in J)$ such that the open geodesic ray γ_x is contained in c . An Ideal simplex or simplex in the infinity is a subset F of $\partial(j \in J)$ for which exists a conical cell c such that $F = c_1$.

Given c, d two conical cells with vertex at x_0 , we say that the ideal simplex d_1 is a face of the ideal simplex c_1 if d is a face of c . In that case we write $d_1 \leq c_1$.

Theorem 10 ([Ga] pag. 279) The ideal simplex partition $\partial(j \in J)$. The face relation is well defined and the poset of ideal simplices of $\partial(j \in J)$ is the

geometrical realization of the spherical building associated with $j_E j$, i.e., $@(j_E j) = j_S j$. Moreover, the apartments of $@(j_E j)$ are in bijection with the maximal system of apartments of $j_E j$.

4 Algebraic Groups over Local Fields

4.1 Local fields

In what follows, k will denote a field with $\text{char}(k) = 0$. An ultrametric norm in a field k is a function $||\cdot||_k : Z_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, that satisfy

1. $||x||_k = 0, \quad x = 0$
2. $||xy||_k = ||x||_k ||y||_k$ and $||1||_k = 1$
3. $||x + y||_k \leq \max\{||x||_k, ||y||_k\}$

The last inequality is called ultrametric inequality or strong triangular inequality.

Another way to define an ultrametric norm is through a valuation. A valuation v of k is a homomorphism of the multiplicative group k^\times of k into the additive group of \mathbb{Z} that satisfies $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in k^\times$ with $x + y \neq 0$. By convention we define $v(0) = \infty$ and thus the last inequality can be interpreted for all $x, y \in k$.

A valuation induces an ultrametric norm in k : if we define $||x||_v = e^{-v(x)}$ and conversely a norm induces a valuation $v_{||\cdot||}(x) = -\log(||x||)$, thus we will use these concepts without distinction.

A field with a norm that satisfies the strong triangular inequality is said ultrametric field or Archimedean field. A field is said to be complete if it's complete in the topology induced by the norm.

A local field is a complete and locally compact ultrametric field.

Example 11 The p -adic field.

Given p a prime number, we can construct in \mathbb{Q} the p -adic norm. For all $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ we decompose $a = p^r \frac{m}{n}$ with r, m, n integers and m and n coprime with p . So we define the norm $||\cdot||_p$ in \mathbb{Q} by $||0||_p = 0$ and by $||a||_p = p^{-r}$ if $a \neq 0$.

The p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p is the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the norm $||\cdot||_p$.

We can construct a valuation in \mathbb{Q} setting $v(a) = v(p^r \frac{m}{n}) = r$. The norm that come from this valuation is equivalent to the p -adic one, in other words, these two norms generate the same topology.

Proposition 12 Let k be a local fld. Then:

1. $A = \{x \in k : v(x) \geq 0\}$ is a sub-ring of k called valuation ring.
2. The group of units A^\times of A is the kernel of v , i.e., $A^\times = v^{-1}(0)$.
3. Let $x \in k$ with $v(x) = -1$. Every element $x \in k$ can be expressed in a unique way as $x = \pi^n u$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A^\times$.
4. k is the fld of fractions of A .
5. The quotient ring $\hat{k} = A[\pi^{-1}]$ is a finite fld.

Every element of a local fld k is a accumulations point with respect to the ultrametric norm. So we can define the derivative of a function $f : U \rightarrow k$, with U a open set of k , at the point a by the usual way. The wellknown rules for differentiation of sums, products, quotients and composition carry over without any problem. Likewise we can define the differential of a multivariable function, manifolds and Lie groups over Local flds. For a complete description of the results about Lie group over local flds see [Se].

4.2 Basic Definitions

Given a local fld k with algebraic closure \bar{k} , the affine space $A^n = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ over k . and $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the algebra of the polynomials over k . We define the set of the zeros of $f \in A$ as $Z(f) = \{P \in A^n : f(P) = 0\}$. A subset Y of A^n is algebraic if exists $T \subset A$ such that $Y = Z(T)$.

The topology of Zariski in A^n is the topology in which the open sets are complements of the algebraic sets.

A non-empty set Y of a topological space X is said irreducible if it cannot be expressed as union of two closed proper disjoint subsets.

Definition 13 An affine algebraic variety X is a closed set irreducible of A^n . An algebraic variety is defined over k if X is the set of zeros of a finite number of polynomials with coefficients in k . If X is an algebraic variety over k , will denote by $X(k) = X \cap k^n$, the set of the k -rationals points.

Definition 14 A linear algebraic group G over k is a (Zariski) closed subgroup of $GL(k)$.

It is possible to demonstrate that there exists a unique irreducible component that contains the identity of G . This component will be denoted by G^0 and it is called the component of identity of G : A group G is said connected if $G = G^0$.

An algebraic group T over k is a torus of rank n , if T becomes isomorphic to $(GL_1)^n$ after the extension of the base field to the algebraic closure \bar{k} . A torus is split if it is isomorphic over k to $(GL_1)^n$.

An element g of an algebraic group is unipotent if $g - 1$ is a nilpotent element. An algebraic group is called unipotent if all its elements are unipotent. Any unipotent algebraic group is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of the group of upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries 1.

An algebraic group G over k is said reducible if it does not contain a non-trivial connected normal unipotent subgroup.

Finally, an algebraic group $G(k)$ is said semisimple if $G(k)$ does not contain a non-trivial connected soluble normal subgroup.

4.3 The spherical building of an algebraic group

If G is semisimple then $G(k)$ has a BN-pair to which we can associate a spherical building. We will describe briefly this construction (for more details see [Hu]).

A subgroup H of G is said parabolic when G/H is a complete variety. A Borel subgroup P is a minimal parabolic. We choose a Borel subgroup P such that it contains a maximal split torus $T(k)$.

Let N_{sph} the normalizer of T in $G(k)$.

Theorem 15 ([Hu], [Bo]) The triple $(G; P; N_{\text{sph}})$ is a BN-pair, to which we can associate a spherical building \mathcal{B}_s .

The following noted results can be seen as consequences of the previous theorem

Proposition 16 ([Bro] pags. 110) (Bruhat Decomposition) Each double class PgP , $g \in G$ can be written as PwP , $w \in W$. Also, we have that the application $w \mapsto PwP$ is a bijection of the Weyl group in the double class PgP , with $g \in G$.

Proposition 17 ([Ga] pags. 111) If $PgP I_1 g^{-1} = P I_2$ then $I_1 = I_2 = I$ and $g \in P^I$.

A decomposition of a algebraic group as a semidirect product ZN , with Z reductive and N unipotent is called a Levi decomposition and we call Z the Levi factor

Proposition 18 (Levi decomposition) Let N unipotent radical of P and Z the centralizer of the torus T . Then

$$P = ZN$$

4.4 The Euclidean building of a algebraic group over a Local eld

The spherical building stated in the previous section depends only of the algebraic structure of G . When the base eld is a local one, is possible to associate to G other BN -pair, that results in a Euclidean building for G .

Theorem 19 (Tits Theorem [TB]) Let G be a simple and simply connected group, over a local eld. Then we can associate to G , by a BN -pair, a Euclidean building E .

Using the Bruhat-Tits theorem we can give the following description of the Euclidean building:

Theorem 20 (Bro, pag 163) The building E associated to a group G with a Euclidean BN -pair is isomorphic to the flag complex of the incidence geometry consisting of the maximal bounded subgroups of G , where two distinct such subgroups P, Q are incident if and only if $P \cap Q$ is a maximal subgroup of P

The subgroups $P_{J^0} = \bigcup_{w \in W^0} BwB$ of G will be called paraholics. They are open and compact (in the non-Archimedian topology of G).

The spherical Building at the infinity associated with this Euclidean building coincides with the previous one.

4.5 Some consequences of the action in E

4.5.1 Definitions

Let G be a simple and simply connected group, over a local eld and E the euclidean building associated with G . We define the following subgroups of G :

N_{aff} = stabilizer of the apartment
 B = pointwise fixer of the chamber C
 T = $N_{\text{aff}} \setminus B$
 P = stabilizer of the chamber C_1
 N_{sph} = stabilizer of the apartment \mathcal{A}_1
 Z = $N_{\text{sph}} \setminus P$

The subgroup P is denominated minimal parabolic or Borel subgroup, and the subgroup B is the parahoric minimal or Iwahori subgroup. We remark, that $(B; N_{\text{aff}})$ is the Tits system that originate the euclidean building and $(P; N_{\text{sph}})$ is the Tits system associated with the spherical building.

Theorem 21 ([Ga], 259) $N_{\text{aff}} = N_{\text{sph}}$

So will write simply $N = N_{\text{aff}} = N_{\text{sph}}$.

We remark also that $W = N/T$ is the affine Weyl group and that $W_0 = N/Z$ is the spherical one.

We denote by N_{trans} the subgroup of N that acts on the apartment by translations.

Lemma 22 ([Ga] pages. 200) The Levi factor $Z = N \setminus P$ of the minimal parabolic P with respect to the apartment \mathcal{A}_1 is: $Z = N_{\text{trans}}$.

4.5.2 Cartan-Iwasawa Decomposition

Let K be the stabilizer in G of the special vertex s of the chamber C , we have that $K = BW_0B$ and that K is a maximal compact subgroup.

Let A be a sector in the apartment with vertex s . This sector determines a chamber A^1 in the spherical building $j_s j = \mathcal{A}(j_E j)$.

Let Z the subgroup of N that acts on the apartment by translations and let $Z_A \subset Z$ the subset of translations that sends s to a vertex in A . At least, let N be the unipotent subgroup of G that stabilizes the chamber A^1 of $\mathcal{A}(j_E j)$. Then we have the following decompositions, that are analogous to the Lie case.

Theorem 23 ([Brh], [Ron2] pg. 100)

1. (Iwasawa Decomposition) $G = K Z_A N$, and the double classes $K n G = N$ are in biunique correspondence with Z .
2. (Cartan Decomposition) $G = K Z_A K$ and the double classes $K n G = K$ are in biunique correspondence with Z_A .

4.5.3 Bruhat Cellular Decomposition

Let G be a simple and simply connected group, over a local field. We remark that the quotient group can be provided with a structure of manifold over the local field, so in particular the flag manifolds are manifolds over the local field ([Se] LG 4.10 and LG 4.11).

Let J the generators of the spherical Weyl group W_0 . Given $I \subset J$ a subset of generators of W_0 we define the two special groups $W_I = \langle h_{j_i} : i \in I \rangle$ and $W^I = \langle h_{j_k} : k \notin I \rangle$, to whose we can associate the following parabolics $P_I = P W_I P$ and $P^I = P W^I P$. We have that $G = \bigcup P^I; P_I$ and that $P^I \setminus P_I = P$.

Now consider the flag manifold G/P^I . For each $g \in G$ the image of g by the application $G \rightarrow G/P^I$ will be denoted by $\underline{g} = gP^I$.

Let N_I be the maximal unipotent subgroup of P^I and let \overline{N}_I be the maximal unipotent subgroup of P_I . The element $N^{-1} = \overline{N}$

The manifold $\overline{N}_I \setminus \underline{e}$ is called the open cell of G/P^I . This cell can be identified with \overline{N}_I because $n_2 n_1^{-1} \in P^I$ if $n_1 = n_2$. We will demonstrate that the open cell $\overline{N}_I \setminus \underline{e}$ is open and dense in the non-Archimedean topology of G/P^I .

Using the application $gP \rightarrow gP^I$ we can reduce the demonstration to the case $G=P$.

Theorem 24 (Bruhat cellular decomposition) Given $w \in W$ we define $\overline{N}^w := \overline{N} \setminus w\overline{N}w^{-1}$. Then the mapping $\underline{w} \mapsto \overline{N}^w$ in G/P is injective and G/P is the disjoint union of the cells $\overline{N}^w \underline{w}$, $w \in W$.

Proof. Using the Bruhat Decomposition and the Levi decomposition, respectively, we have:

$$G = \bigcup_{w \in W} G = \bigcup_{w \in W} P w P = \bigcup_{w \in W} N Z^{-1} w P = \bigcup_{w \in W} N^{-1} w P = \bigcup_{w \in W} \overline{N} w P$$

Since the previous union is disjoint, it may be used, as follow, to construct a decomposition of G/P into cells. Since the stabilizer of wP in G is wPw^{-1} , the stabilizer of $\underline{w} = wP \in G/P$ in \overline{N} is $\overline{N} \cap wPw^{-1} = \overline{N} \cap wNw^{-1}$. Therefore we can identify $\overline{N} w P$ with $\overline{N} = (\overline{N} \setminus wNw^{-1})$.

Since $\overline{N} = (\overline{N} \setminus w\overline{N}w^{-1}) (\overline{N} \setminus wNw^{-1})$, it follows that $\overline{N} w P = \overline{N}^w w P$ can be identified with $\overline{N}^w \underline{w}$. Thus the flag manifold G/P is disjoint union of the cells $\overline{N}^w \underline{w}$. ■

The cell $\overline{N} \setminus \underline{e}$ has the same dimension that G/P , while the cells $\overline{N}^w \underline{w}$ has codimension at least one, so the cell $\overline{N} \setminus \underline{e}$ is open and dense G/P .

Corollary 25 The open cell $\overline{N^-}$ is open and dense in G/P .

Let E be the euclidean building associated with G and j_E be its geometrical realization. We can associate to every simplex S a parabolic group P^I and a parabolic type I , so we can define an application:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^I : \mathcal{C}^I(E) &\rightarrow G/P^I \\ \theta^I : S &\rightarrow P^I \end{aligned}$$

The application θ^I can be decomposed in a family of applications, such that:

$$\theta^I : \mathcal{C}^I(E) \rightarrow G/P^I$$

With $\mathcal{C}^I(E)$ being the inverse image $\theta^{-1}(G/P^I)$. The simplices in $\mathcal{C}^I(E)$ are called the simplices of I type in $\mathcal{C}(E)$.

Now we consider the induced topology of $\mathcal{C}(j_E)$ in $\mathcal{C}^I(E)$, i.e., a sequence of simplices in $\mathcal{C}^I(E)$ converges if and only if this sequence converges in $\mathcal{C}(j_E)$. The sets $\mathcal{C}^I(E)$ are compact for every I .

Lemma 26 The topological spaces $\mathcal{C}^i(E)$ with the topology above and G/P with the ultrametric topology are homeomorphic.

Proof. The group G acts continuously and transitively in $\mathcal{C}^i(E)$ with P stabilizing a chamber, so we have a continuous bijection $\theta : G/P \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^i(E)$, but, as $\mathcal{C}^i(E)$ is compact, we have that θ is a homeomorphism. ■

A direct consequence of the previous lemma is the compactness of the ag manifold G/P (compare with [Ma, pag 55]).

At long last, we can conclude that

Lemma 27 Given a chamber C in $\mathcal{C}^i(E)$, and let N be the maximal unipotent group fixing C and let \overline{N} be the maximal unipotent group fixing the opposite chamber C^- . The orbit $\overline{N} \cdot C$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{C}(E)$.

4.6 Some consequences of the action in j_E

4.6.1 Isometries

The geometrical realization j_E of the building is a CAT(0) space, in which G acts as isometries.

We start stating some fundamental properties of isometries of CAT(0) spaces.

If γ is an isometry, the displacement function of $\gamma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by $d_\gamma(x) = d(x, \gamma x)$. The translation length of γ is the number $l_\gamma = \inf_{x \in X} d_\gamma(x)$. The set of points x such that $d_\gamma(x) = l_\gamma$ will be denoted M_γ in (X, d) .

An isometry γ is called semi-simple if M_γ in (X, d) is non empty.

Proposition 28

1. M_γ in (X, d) is γ -invariant.
2. If γ is an isometry of X , then $l_\gamma = l_{\gamma^{-1}}$ and $M_\gamma = M_{\gamma^{-1}}$ in (X, d) .
3. M_γ in (X, d) is a closed convex set.

The isometries can be classified as

1. elliptic if γ has a fixed point
2. hyperbolic if d_γ attains a strictly positive minimum;
3. parabolic if d_γ does not attain its minimum, in other words, if M_γ in $(X, d) = \emptyset$.

- Proposition 29
1. An isometry γ of (X, d) is hyperbolic if, and only if, exists a geodesic $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ which is translated non-trivially by γ , namely $c(t) = c(t+a)$, for some $a > 0$. such geodesic is called an axis for γ .
 2. The axes of a hyperbolic isometry γ are parallel to each other and their union is M_γ in (X, d) .

A hyperbolic isometry h is said regular if all the axes of h are contained in an apartment.

For a non regular hyperbolic isometry, we have, by definition, that exists at least an axis contained in more than one apartment.

If we consider the action of W_0 , The spherical Weyl group of G , in an apartment Σ , we can give another characterization of the regularity of h . To that we introduce the notation: given P a hyperplane in the apartment Σ , we denote by s_P the inversion in this hyperplane. After fixing an origin, we can associate to W_0 a family of hyperplanes $H = \{P : s_P \in W_0\}$. A hyperbolic isometry h is regular if its axes are not contained in any hyperplane $P \in H$. A non regular hyperbolic isometry is defined by negation

of the previous statement. In a more specific way a hyperbolic isometry h is said of type i if h has an axis contained in the hyperplane $P = H^\perp$, with $H = \{P : s_P \in W\}$ with W the maximal Weyl subgroup with this property.

Lemma 30. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}$ a family of apartments that contains a axis c of h . Then $U = \bigcap_i F_i$ and $I = \bigcap_i F_i^\perp$ are both invariants by h . In particular, if h is a regular hyperbolic isometry, i.e., every axes of h are contained in just one apartment, then this apartment are invariant by h .

Proof. Let F_i be a apartment containing the axis c . Then $h(F_i)$ is a apartment containing c since h leaves c invariant.

The family \mathcal{F} is finite (in the local case) and since h is a bijection, we have that h acts as permutations of the family \mathcal{F} . Consequently we have

$$hU = \bigcap_i h(F_i) = \bigcap_i F_i = U$$

and

$$hI = \bigcap_i h(F_i^\perp) = \bigcap_i F_i^\perp = I$$

are invariants. ■

Lemma 31. Let F be a apartment in the euclidean building (j, E, j) and h a hyperbolic isometry leaving F invariant. Then:

1. Exist a hyperbolic isometry \tilde{h} of (j, E, j) such that $\tilde{h}|_F = h$.
2. If F is not a maximal apartment then such two of these extensions \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 differ by a element of W , i.e., $\tilde{h}_1(\tilde{h}_2)^{-1} \in W$, with W being the isometry group of (j, E, j) that fixes pointwise.
3. If F is a maximal apartment this extension is unique.

Proof. Let \hat{F} be a maximal apartment containing F . The apartment \hat{F} is isometric to \mathbb{R}^n , with n the rank of the building, so we have that F is isometric to a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . It is well known, that in \mathbb{R}^n a isometry h of a subspace can be extended in a unique way to a isometry of \mathbb{R}^n , and so we have a unique extension of h to \hat{h} in \hat{F} . Now to extend \hat{h} to (j, E, j) consider C a chamber in \hat{F} and $\hat{h}(C)$ its image. The axioms of buildings assure the existence of a isometry \tilde{h} of (j, E, j) such that $\tilde{h}(C) = \hat{h}(C)$ and $\tilde{h}(\hat{F}) = \hat{F}$. This isometry satisfies $\tilde{h}|_F = h$.

By definition, we have that $\tilde{h}_1(\tilde{h}_2)^{-1}|_F$ is the identity, i.e., $\tilde{h}_1(\tilde{h}_2)^{-1} \in W_F$, and so we have the uniqueness if F is a maximal apartment. ■

Not all the geodesics of $j_E j$ can be a axis for an hyperbolic isometry of G . For instance, if our building is not thick, in other words, if $j_E j$ is isometric to a Coxeter Complex. The local finiteness of the group of Coxeter tells us that not all the straight line can be axes of an isometry coming from the group W . The previous theorem tells us that the geodesics that are axes for an isometry coming from the axiom the action of W in a flat are also axes for an isometry of $j_E j$.

In an analogous way to the real case we have that the hyperbolic isometries that fix an apartment are in bijection with the elements of the torus Z ; (22) and a isometry is regular if and only if it is contained in just one torus Z . The non-regular isometries have codimension in the regular ones, that is, the regular ones are dense.

Given a flat F and x_0 a base point in F , we associate to each hyperbolic isometry h a vector :

$$v_h = h(x_0) - x_0,$$

and we denote by $V_H := \{v_h : h \in H\}$. The action of Z in V_H is defined as

$$nv_h = v_{h^n}$$

makes V_H a Z module.

Given $H^0 \subset H$ a set of hyperbolic isometries, we can associate a vector space F^0 generated by v_h with $h \in H^0$. Como a ação de W_{aff} é irreduzível temos que $F = \langle v_h : h \in H \rangle$

4.6.2 The action of the hyperbolic Isometries

We want to characterize the action of the regular hyperbolic isometries in the Euclidean building and in the spherical building. Let h be a regular hyperbolic isometry, F the apartment invariant by h and $F = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n$ the apartment in the spherical building $@(j_E j)$ that is the boundary of the apartment F . We remark that we will keep this notation: when we are referring to a simplex of the spherical building $@(j_E j)$ associated to a simplex of the Euclidean building $j_E j$ we will denote both by the same symbol, using bold for the simplex in $@(j_E j)$.

The main attractor of a hyperbolic isometry h is the point $\in @ (j)$ such that $h^n(x) \rightarrow x$ for all $x \in X$. The chamber in the finite containing it will be denoted by $C(h)$. The main attractor of h^{-1} is called the principal repulsor of h will be denoted by $\bar{C}(h)$. We have that $C(h)$ is the opposite chamber to $\bar{C}(h)$ in F , with F the invariant apartment of h .

The main objective of that section is to understand the behavior of the orbit of $\in @ (j)$ by the action of regular hyperbolic isometry h .

Our first observation is that when γ is in the apartment invariants by h , i.e., $\gamma \in F = \partial(F)$, the action of h in γ is trivial and γ is fixed. So we can restrict ourselves to the case $\gamma \notin F$. Now we consider a apartment F^0 containing γ and γ^0 . In such a case, we have:

$$h^k(\gamma) \in \partial(h^k(F^0)) \cap \partial(h^k(F)) = \partial(h^k(F^0)) \cap \partial(F)$$

To give more accurate information about the orbit we will study the behavior of some geodesic segments that converges to the points γ and γ^0 . In our approach, we will use predominantly the Euclidian building, obtaining the concerning results to the spherical building "projecting the results in the infinite".

Lemma 32 ([Bro], pag. 176) When the apartments F and F^0 have a common chamber in the infinite C , then $F \setminus F^0$ contains a sector S such that $\partial(S) = C$.

Given a sector $S \subset F$, such that $\gamma \in \partial(S)$ with γ the principal repulsor of h , we will demonstrate that $h^k S$ is a family of increasing nested sectors, i.e., $h^k(S) \subset h^{k+1}(S)$.

Lemma 33 Let h be a regular hyperbolic isometry, and γ and γ^0 the main attractor and repulsor, respectively. Let F be the invariant apartment by h and $S \subset F$ a sector such that $\gamma \in \partial(S)$. Then $h^k S$ is a family of increasing nested sectors. Given any point x in the interior of S and γ_k a geodesic starting in x and not entirely contained in S , then the length $L(\gamma_k|_S)$ of the segment of γ_k contained in $h^k(S)$ grows linearly.

Proof. Since F is invariant by h and F is isometric to \mathbb{R}^n , we reduced the problem to a euclidean situation in the which we can consider h as a translation of \mathbb{R}^n . So we have $L(\gamma_k|_S) = kd(x_0; h(x_0))$ for all $x_0 \in F$. ■

Given a point x_0 in the interior of S , we define the geodesic segment $\gamma_k(t)$ as being the segment that starts at x_0 and such that $\gamma_k(1) = h^k(\gamma)$.

Let γ be the geodesic ray entirely contained in F that starts at x_0 and that is parallel to the segment γ^0 . An initial segment of γ coincides with an initial segment of γ^0 and in some point they fork as in a tree, with γ being prolonged in F and γ^0 being prolonged in F^0 . The same happens with γ_k and γ_k^0 , with the difference that γ_k^0 is prolonged in $h^k(F^0)$. The lemma below describes the growth of this intersection

Lemma 34 Let γ and γ_k be defined as above. Then, The intersection of γ and γ_k is a geodesic segment whose length grows linearly

Proof. By construction we know that $F^0 \setminus J = J$. Now we will prove that $F^1 \setminus J = J(S)$.

To demonstrate this, consider the geodesic segment h^0 . This segment passes by $h(x_0)$ and $h(\cdot)$, and is contained in $h(F^0)$. Also, $h^0 J$ is parallel to J .

Now let l be the geodesic contained in $h(F^0)$ that is parallel to h^0 and that passes in x_0 . The segment $l J$ is parallel to J , i.e., $F^1 \setminus J = J(S)$. Since the intersection $h(t) \setminus F^1(t)$ is equal to J , by the previous lemma we know that it grows linearly.

Using the same argument we have that $h(t) \setminus F^k(t) = J^k(S)$ and that this intersection grows linearly, i.e.,

$$L(h(t) \setminus F^k(t)) = L(h(t) \setminus F^0(t)) + kd(x_0; h(x_0)); 8x_0 \in F:$$

■

Now we can enunciate our principal results about the hyperbolic isometries

Let h be a regular hyperbolic isometry, F its invariant set and its main attractor. Also, let $G = K \times \mathbb{Z} \times N$ be the Iwasawa decomposition defined by h and F , in which $N = \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let \overline{N} be the unipotent group that fixes \cdot . Every chamber $C(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^i(\mathbb{R})$ can be expressed as $C(\cdot) = nw_0 C(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^i(\mathbb{R})$, with $w_0 \in W$ and $n \in N$.

Proposition 35 Let $C(\cdot) = nw_0 C(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^i(\mathbb{R})$ be a chamber and \cdot be the main attractor of the regular hyperbolic isometry h . Then:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h^k n w_0 C(\cdot) = w_0 C(\cdot)$$


Proof. Given $C(\cdot) = nw_0 C(\cdot)$ exists a set F^0 containing the chambers $C(\cdot)$ and $C(\cdot)$. Let F be the invariant set of h . The intersection of F and F^0 contains a sector S such that $\mathcal{C}(S) = C(\cdot)$.

Let x_0 be a point in the interior of S . Then we define the geodesic ray that starts in x_0 and finishes in nw_0 and the geodesic rays $h^k(t)$ that connect x_0 to $h^k(\cdot)$.

The segments h^k coincide in the intersection of the apartment $F \setminus h^k(F^0)$ that is increasing. Then by Prop. ???, that characterizes the convergence in the topology of Busemann, we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h^k n w_0 = w_0$$

■



5 Semigroups and Control Theory

In this section, G denotes a simple simply connected algebraic group over a local field. We remark that the group G is also a Lie group over a local field with the ultrametric topology $(G; \mathcal{U})$. Our principal object of study is the semigroups $S \subset G$ with non-empty interior in the ultrametric topology.

Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{E})$ be a regular point in the spherical building and $C(x)$ be the unique chamber that contains x . By the lemma 26, we can identify the chambers in $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{E})$ with the points in the maximal flag B and so, by abuse of notation, we write that $C(x) \in B$, or that $x \in B$.

Given $x \in B$, we denote by $B(x)$ the open Bruhat cell containing x (??).

Differently of the real case, we have semigroups of non-empty interior that doesn't contains hyperbolic isometry.

Proposition 36 Given $S \subset G$ a semigroup with non-empty interior. If S contains a hyperbolic isometry of E , then S contains a regular hyperbolic isometry in its interior.

Proof. Let $g \in \text{int}(S)$, as the simplicial structure of E is discrete g cannot be parabolic, so we can assume that g is elliptic. Let x be a fixed point by g and K_x the maximal compact subgroup fixing x . The subgroup $S_x = \{s \in S : sx = xg\}$ is a non-empty subgroup of K_x because $g \in \text{int}(S) \setminus K_x \neq \emptyset$, so we have that S_x is a open subgroup of G . Now, given a hyperbolic isometry $h \in S$ we have that ghg^{-1} is a hyperbolic isometry in the interior of S . A existência de uma isometria hiperbólica regular em $\text{int}(S)$ deve-se a argumentos de dimensão topicos ■

Proposition 37 Given $S \subset G$ a semigroup with non-empty interior. If S contains only elliptic isometries, then S is a open subgroup of G .

Proof. Let $g \in \text{int}(S)$ be an elliptic isometry, the previous argument implies that $g^{-1} \in S$. So we have that the interior of S , $\text{int}(S)$, is a open subgroup of G . If $s \in S$, and $g \in \text{int}(S)$, then $gs \in \text{int}(S)$ but $g^{-1} \in \text{int}(S)$ and therefore $s \in \text{int}(S)$. ■

We assume henceforth that $S \subset G$ semigroups of non-empty interior that contains a hyperbolic isometry.

Let $h \in \text{int}(S)$ be a regular hyperbolic isometry and Ω its main attractor.

Lemma 38 For all $\Omega \subset B$ exists a $g \in S$ such that $g \in B(\Omega)$.

Proof. The proof is a immediate consequence from the fact that $B(\Omega)$ is open and dense on B . Let $\Omega \subset B$ and $A \subset \text{int}(S)$ be a open set. Then, A is open and intercepts the B -cell $B(\Omega)$ that is dense in B , i.e., $\exists g \in \text{int}(S)$ such that $g \in B(\Omega)$. ■

The ag manifold B is a compact space ([Ma, pag. 55]) and so we have, by the theorem ??, the existence of a invariant control set in B for the action of S . The following result assures the uniqueness of such control set in B

Theorem 39 Let $S \subset G$ be a semigroup with $\text{int}(S) \neq \emptyset$; and that contains a hyperbolic isometry. Then exists a unique invariant control set in B for the action of S .

P roof. We will prove that exists ϵ such that $\gamma \in \text{cl}(S)$ for all $\gamma \in B$, i.e., $\gamma \in \text{cl}(S)$. . Let γ be a main attractor for a regular hyperbolic isometry $h \in \text{int}(S)$. By the previous lemma we can assume that $\gamma \in B(\epsilon)$. So we have that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h^k(\gamma) = \gamma$, for all $\gamma \in B(\epsilon)$ and $\gamma \in \text{cl}(S)$ for all ϵ . Clearly this implies the uniqueness of the invariant control set. ■

We denote by D the unique invariant control set in B and by D_0 its set of transitivity.

Now we will characterize the others control sets in B .

We define

$$B = \{h \in \text{int}(S) : h \text{ is a regular hyperbolic isometry}\}$$

Given a regular hyperbolic isometry h , we have a single apartment that contains the axis of this isometry and an decomposition of Iwasawa associated $G = KAN$. The set of chambers in the spherical building fixed by h is in bijection with W , so we can associate to each one of these chambers a w -type. We observed that the w -type depends on the choice of h . We will denote for $b(h;w)$ the fixed point for h of the w -type.

Proposition 40 Let $(D_1)_0 := \{b(h;1) : h \in B\}$. Then $(D_1)_0 = D_0$.

P roof. As $(D_1)_0$ is the set of main attractors we have that $(D_1)_0 \subset D_0$. To demonstrate the other inclusion, we consider $\gamma = b(h;1) \in D_0$ with $h \in \text{int}(S)$ a regular hyperbolic isometry. Given $\gamma \in D_0$ exists $s_1, s_2 \in \text{int}(S)$ such that $s_1 = \gamma$ and $s_2 = \gamma$, because D_0 is the set of transitivity of D . Now we consider $h_2 = s_1 h^n s_2$. The following lemma proves that $s_1 h^n s_2$ is a regular hyperbolic isometry for n sufficiently large. ■

Proposition 41 Given h a regular hyperbolic isometry with main attractor γ and s_1, s_2 such that $s_2 s_1 \in P(\gamma)$; $P(\gamma)$ the parabolic subgroup that stabilizes γ , then for n sufficiently large, $s_1 h^n s_2$ is a regular hyperbolic isometry.

P roof. Let $g = s_2 s_1$, then $s_1 h^n s_2 = s_1 h^n g s_1^{-1}$. So it is enough prove that $h^n g$ is hyperbolic when g is a isometry fixing γ , the main attractor of h .

Now, let F be the invariant set by h and $F^0 = gF$, S be a sector in $F \setminus F^0$ and $S_2 = g^{-1}S \setminus S$, so we have $gS_2 \subset S$.

Since S_2 and gS_2 are sectors in F containing γ , we have that, for n large enough, $h^n g S_2 \subset S_2$, i.e., $h^n g$ is a regular hyperbolic isometry. ■

Theorem 42 For every $w \in W$ exists a control set D_w in B , which set of transitivity is

$$(D_w)_0 = \text{fb}(h;w) : h \in G$$

and these are all the control sets in B .

Proof. The theorem will be proved in three steps.

In the first step we will prove that for any control set D^0 exists a fixed point of w -type $b(h;w)$ in D^0 , for some regular hyperbolic isometry h .

In the second step we will prove that given two points with the same w -type $= b(h_1;w)$ and $= b(h_2;w)$, with $w \in W$ and $h_1, h_2 \in G$, then $\gamma \in \text{cl}(S)$ and $\gamma \in \text{cl}(S)$. And therefore, by Proposition ??, $(D_w)_0$ is contained in the transitivity set of a control set D_w .

At last, we will prove that $(D_w)_0 = \text{fb}(h;w) : h \in G$ is the set of transitivity of D_w .

(1) Given a control set D^0 and $\gamma \in D^0$, let P be the isotropy group of γ . If $\gamma \in D_0^0$ then $P \setminus \text{int}(S) \neq \emptyset$. The subgroup P admits the Iwasawa decomposition as $P = M AN^+$. The subset

$$= \{m \in M : \gamma h m \in \text{int}(S)g\}$$

has non-empty interior in M , since M normalizes AN . The fact that M is compact implies that γ is a subgroup of M . So $\text{int}(S) \setminus AN^+ \neq \emptyset$, and hence exists a regular hyperbolic isometry $g \in \text{int}(S)$ such that $g\gamma = \gamma$. In particular, γ is a fixed point of w -type for g .

(2) Given $\gamma = b(h_1;w)$ and $\gamma = b(h_2;w)$, if $b(h_1;1) = b(h_2;1)$ then $b(h_1;w)$ is in the same Bruhat cell that $b(h_2;w)$, and so by Proposition ??, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h_2)^n b(h_1;w) = b(h_2;w)$. If $b(h_1;1) \neq b(h_2;1)$, the Proposition ?? assure that exists $s_1 \in S$, such that $s_1 b(h_1;1) = b(h_2;1)$ since $(D_1)_0$ is a transitivity set, and thereby we reduce to the previous case.

(3) Now we will prove that for any control set D^0 its set of transitivity is $(D_w)_0$ for some w . Let $\gamma = b(g;w) \in D^0$ be the attractor of w -type in D^0 which existence was proved in (1).

Given $\gamma \in D_0^0$, then we have $s_1, s_2 \in \text{int}(S)$ such that $s_1 \gamma = \gamma$ and $s_2 \gamma = \gamma$. Then $h_2 = s_1 g^n s_2$ is a regular hyperbolic isometry and $\gamma = b(s_1 h^n s_2; w_1)$. Hence, D_w is the set of transitivity of D^0 . ■

5.1 Subgroup $W(S)$

In the previous section, we demonstrate that any control set for S in B is one D_w for some $w \in W$.

Now we will describe when the control sets D_w coincides. We start with the definition

$$W(S) = \{w \in W : D_w = D_1\}$$

The definition of the group $W(S)$ depends of the choice of a chamber C^+ in the apartment $F \cap \mathbb{A}_1(X)$. But, by abuse of notation we will write $W(S)$ instead of $W(S; C^+)$. If we chose another chamber $C_1^+ = gC^+$, then the conjugate of w by g acts in C_1^+ , i.e.,

$$w \in W(S; C^+), \quad gw g^{-1} \in W(S; gC^+):$$

So the conjugation by g defines an isomorphism between $W(S; C^+)$ and $W(S; gC^+)$. This isomorphism does not depend on the choice of the element g that takes C^+ to gC^+ , because different elements differ by an element that fixes the pointwise the chamber C^+ .

Henceforth, $b_0 \in B$ is the image of the chamber C^+ , used to define $W(S) = W(S; C^+)$.

Lemma 43 Given $b_0 \in (D_1)_0$ Then are equivalent:

1. $w \in W(S)$;
2. $w b_0 \in (D_1)_0$, with w being a representative of w in M , and $W = M \rtimes M$.

Proof. Let $b_0 \in (D_1)_0$, $w \in W(S)$ and w a representative of w in M . Then, by the characterization of (D_w) , $w b_0 \in (D_w)_0$, i.e., $w b_0 \in (D_w)_0 = (D_1)_0$. Now we prove the reciprocal, let $w \in W(S)$, the hypothesis that $D_w = D_1$, implies that $(D_w)_0 = (D_1)_0$, but $(D_w)_0 = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} : w \cdot h \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ and do $w b_0 \in (D_w)_0$. ■

Proposition 44 $W(S)$ is a subgroup of W .

Proof. Let $b \in (D_1)_0$, w_1 and $w_2 \in W(S)$ and w_1 and w_2 they representatives in M . By the Lemma 43 $w_1 b \in (D_1)_0$. Now using the Lemma 43 again for $w_1 b$ we conclude that $(w_1 w_2 (w_1)^{-1}) w_1 b \in D_0$ and therefore $w_1 w_2 b \in D_0 \cap W(S)$ is a semigroup of W , but since W is finite $W(S)$ is a subgroup. ■

$W(S)$ is not only a subgroup of W , but a Weyl subgroup of W , i.e., $W(S) = W_\Sigma$ for some subset Σ of simple roots.

Theorem 45 $W(S) = W_\Sigma$, for some

P roof. Let $H = \{h \in \text{int}(S) : W(S)h = hg\}$.

Suppose that $H \neq \emptyset$; and let $h \in H$ be an isometry of maximum regularity in H , and β be its type. We will prove $W(S) = W$ proving that $W(S)$ acts transitively in $C_h = \{ \text{the chambers that has } h \text{ as wall} \}$.

Since $h \in \text{int}(S)$ we have a $h_C \in \text{int}(S)$ such that h_C is a regular hyperbolic isometry that has the chamber $C \in C_h$ as main attractor.

So every chamber $C \in C_h$ belongs to the set of transitivity of the invariant control set in B and so S acts transitively in C_h .

If $H = \emptyset$; we will prove that $W = W(S)$. Let C be a chamber in the set of transitivity D_0 of the invariant control set in B and let h a regular hyperbolic isometry that has C as the main attractor.

We denote $h_w = wh^n$ and we have that all h_w are regular hyperbolic isometries for n sufficiently large. Let K be the cone and K_N be the lattice defined as follow :

$$K = \sum_{w \in W} a_w v_{h_w} : a_w \in \mathbb{R}^+ g$$

$$K_N = \sum_{w \in W} a_w v_w h_w : a_w \in \mathbb{N} g$$

The first observation is that K is a vector space, because the absence of fixed points implies that $v_{h^1} + \dots + v_{h^w} = 0$. And as the action of W is irreducible and $W(S) \subset W$ acts without fixed points we have that K is the whole apartment F .

Since $K_N \subset \text{int}(S)$ is a lattice in K we have that for any chamber $C \in \mathcal{C}_1(F)$ exists hyperbolic isometry $h^0 \in K_N \cap \text{int}(S)$, such that h^0 has C as the attractor chamber, and therefore $W(S)$ acts transitively in the chambers of $\mathcal{C}_1(F)$. But, as W acts simply transitive in the chambers of $\mathcal{C}_1(F)$, we conclude that $W(S) = W$. ■

The map $w \mapsto D_w$ defined in the theorem of characterization of $(D_w)_0$ it is not necessarily bijective. The following theorem says that we can parametrize the control sets by the lateral classes $W(S)nW$.

Theorem 46 $D_{w_1} = D_{w_2}$ if and only if, $w_1 w_2^{-1} \in W(S)$. Hence the control sets of S in B are in bijection with $W(S)nW$.

P roof. We will just demonstrate that $(D_{w_1})_0 = (D_{w_2})_0$ if and only if, $w_1 w_2^{-1} \in W(S)$.

(i) Let $b_0 \in D_0 = (D_1)_0$. By the characterization of the control sets, we have that $w_1 b_0 \in (D_1)_0$, and by hypothesis we have $(D_{w_1})_0 = (D_{w_2})_0$, and

since $w_1 b \in (\mathbb{D}_{w_2})_0$ we have by the definition of $(\mathbb{D}_{w_2})_0$ and by conjugation, that $(w_1 w_2 (w_1)^{-1})^{-1} w_1 b \in \mathbb{D}_0$, and so $(w_2)^{-1} w_1 \in W(S)$.

(()) Let $b^0 = w_1 b_0 \in (\mathbb{D}_1)_0$. Then $(w_2)^{-1} b^0 \in \mathbb{D}_0$, since $w_2^{-1} w_1 \in W(S)$. And $(w_1 w_2 (w_1)^{-1})^{-1} w_1 b_0 \in \mathbb{D}_0$ and consequently $b^0 \in (\mathbb{D}_{w_2})_0$, by the definition of $(\mathbb{D}_{w_2})_0$. ■

References

- [AK] ARNOLD, L., KLIEMANN, W.; Qualitative theory of stochastic systems. Probabilistic Analysis and Related Topics, Vol 3 pp.1-79, Academic Press 1983.
- [Bo] BOREL, ARMAND Linear algebraic Groups, Benjamin 1969.
- [Bro] BROWN, KENNETH; Buildings, Springer Verlag 1989.
- [Brh] BRUHAT, F.; Representations des groupes de Lie semi-simples complexes C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 238 (1954) 437-439.
- [BT] BRUHAT, F.; TITS, J.; Groupes reductifs sur un corps local, IHES, 1972
- [Br] BRIDSON, M.; HAEFLIGER, A.; Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature, Springer Verlag 1999.
- [FR] FIRER, M.; ROCIO, O. Invariant Control Sets on Flag Manifolds and Ideal Boundaries of Symmetric Spaces, Journal of Lie Theory, Volume 13, 2003.
- [Ga] GARRET, PAUL, Buildings and Classical Groups
- [GJT] GUIVARCH, Y.; JI, L.; TAYLOR, J.C.; Compactifications of Symmetric Spaces, Birkhauser, 1998
- [Hu] HUMPHREYS, J.E., Linear Algebraic Groups, Springer Verlag, New York, 1975
- [Ma] MARGULIS, M.A.; Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups, Springer Verlag 1990
- [Ron1] RONAN, MARK; Lecture on Buildings, Perspectives in Mathematics, Academic Press, 1989

- [Ron2] RONAN, MARK ; Buildings: Main Ideas and Applications I & II, London Mathematical Society 24 1992 1-51
- [San1] SAN MARTIN, L.A.B Semigroups Actions on Homogeneous Spaces, Semigroup Forum Vol. 50 (1995) 59-88
- [San2] SAN MARTIN, L.A.B, Maximal Semigroups in Semi-Simple Lie Groups, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society vol. 353 numero 12 5165-5184
- [San3] SAN MARTIN, L.A.B, Control sets and semigroups in semisimple Lie groups, Semigroups in Algebra, Geometry and Analysis, New York 1995
- [T] SAN MARTIN, L.A.B. ; TONELLI, P ; Invariant Control Sets on Flag Manifold Math. Control Signals Systems 6 (1993) 41-61
- [Sch] SCHIKHOF, W. H. ; Ultrametric Calculus, Cambridge 1984.
- [Se] SERRE, JEAN-PIERRE ; Lie Algebras e Lie Groups, Benjamin 1965.
- [TB] TITS, J; BRUHAT, F. ; Groupes Reductifs sur un Corps Local, I.H.E.S., 1972
- [Tits74] TITS, J; Buildings of Spherical Type and Finite BN Pairs, Lecture Notes 386 Springer Verlag 1974
- [Tits86] TITS, J; Buildings and Group Amalgamations, London Mathematical Society 121 1986
- [Tits88] TITS, J; Theorie des groupes, Resume du cours, Annuaire du College de France, 1988-89