COORDINATE FREE CLASSIC GEOMETRIES I. PROJECTIVE CASE

Sasha Anan⁰in and Carlos H.G rossi

A bstract. This is the rst of a series of papers dedicated to a coordinate-free approach to several classic geom etries such as hyperbolic (real, com plex, quaternionic), elliptic (spherical, Fubini-Study), and lorentzian ones. These geom etries carry a certain sim ple structure that is in some sense stronger than the riem annian one. Their basic geom etrical objects have linear nature. Such objects provide natural com pactications of commonly studied geom etries. The usual riem annian concepts are easily derivable from the strong structure and thus gain their coordinate-free form. M any exam ples show how this view can help when dealing with explicit classic geom etries and illustrate fruitful features of the approach. In this paper, only projective aspects of classic geom etries are studied.

The methods were rst tested in [AGG] and [AGu] where they were successfully applied to constructing com plex hyperbolic manifolds and to solving problem s in com plex hyperbolic geom etry.

1. Classic geometries: introduction, de nition, examples, and motivation

1.1. Introduction. This series of papers constitutes an attempt to system atically develop a coordinate-free view on several classic geometries. The approach originates from [AGG] where, in order to simplify formulae, we expressed several complex hyperbolic geometry concepts in a more invariant and convenient form.

As it turns out, the riem annian structure in m any classic geom etries (hyperbolic, spherical, Fubini-Study, etc.) is just a shadow of a simpler one. For example, the tangent vectors to the grassm annian G r_k (k;V) at a nondegenerate point p, where V is a vector space with a herm itian form, are linear m aps $p ! p^2$. A more adequate object should be simply a linear m ap V ! V, a footless tangent vector: being composed with the two projectors related to p, i.e., being observed from p, it becomes a usual tangent vector. The product t_1t_2 , where $t_1;t_2 : V ! V$ and t_1 stands for the adjoint to t_1 , is the structure that provides the herm itian (riem annian) m etric at p given by $ht_1;t_2 i \coloneqq tr(t_1t_2)$ for $t_1;t_2$ observed from the same point p. The (2;1)-symmetrization of the triple product tt_2t_1 provides the curvature tensor R ($t_2;t_1$)t for $t;t_1;t_2$ observed from the same point (see Subsection 4.4). Taking m ore observers in the previous examples, we obtain m ore geometric characteristics. D istance, for instance, appears when one observer sees the m ote in the other observer's eye, i.e., when the projectors related to their points are composed.

The basic objects in a classic geometry are linear in nature. This makes grassmannians (and Cgrassmannians; see Subsection 1.7 and the forthcoming papers) a place where these objects naturally vary. So, grassmannians should be studied even if one is interested only in geometries embedded into projective spaces. Regarding a classic geometry as a homogeneous space related to the corresponding unitary (or orthogonal) group is de cient : it does not allow to go outside the absolute which would be useful for the following reasons. The absolute (formed by degenerate points) divides G r_k (k;V) into riemannian and pseudo-riemannian pieces. Only one of them is traditionally considered as a classic

²⁰⁰⁰ M athem atics Subject C lassi cation. 53A 20 (53A 35, 51M 10).

F irst author partially supported by the Institut des H autes E tudes Scienti ques (IH E S).

Second author partially supported by FAPEM IG (grant 00163/06) and by the M ax-P lanck-G esellschaft.

geom etry. The grassm annian can be therefore seen as its compactication. The points in each piece are in fact basic geom etrical objects (living in the traditional piece) whose type is related to the compacti-

cation. Thus, each piece is equipped with its natural (pseudo-)riem annian geom etry. Such geom etries t each other: geom etrical objects (geodesics, totally geodesic subspaces, equidistant loci, etc.) pass through the absolute, leaving one piece and entering another. M oreover, this global picture sheds light on the geom etry of the absolute. In particular, the general structure described above (the one that provides the herm itian m etric at nondegenerate points) is inherited by the absolute. In the case of real hyperbolic space, for instance, this explains the interrelation between the conform al structure on the absolute and the m etric structure on the ball.

In classic geom etries, the geom etrical concepts and objects can be introduced and handled synthetically. This suggests the above m odi cation of the usual riem annian tools and leads to simple linear and herm itian algebra.

The initial steps of this coordinate-free approach can be found in literature. The following is a (very likely incomplete) list of references to some contributions concerning classic geometries: [K le] (the concept of a projective model); [A m], [B eP] (coordinate-free description of them etric in a particular case); [C hG], [H sil], [H si2], (linear approach to elementary geometric objects such as geodesics, totally geodesic spaces, bisectors, etc.); [G ir] (linear description of equidistant hypersurfaces in the complex hyperbolic plane); [T hu], [San], [H Sa], [G ol] (some use of linear and herm itian tools in real or complex hyperbolic geometry); [C hK] (lorentzian projective compactic cation of real hyperbolic space).

1.2. De nition. Let K denote one of the following elds: R (real numbers), C (complex numbers), or H (quaternions). A classic geometry is a right K-vector space V equipped with a hermitian form h; i. By de nition (see, for instance, [Lan]), the form is hermitian if it takes values in K, is biadditive, and satis es the identities $hkv_1; v_2 i = khv_1; v_2 i$, $hv_1; v_2 ki = hv_1; v_2 ik$, and $hv_1; v_2 i = hv_2; v_1 i$ for all $v_1; v_2 2$ V and k 2 K

Behind this de nition there is indeed more geometry than it might appear at the rst glance. The tangent space to a point p in the projective space $P_K V$ has a well-known description as the R-vector space (C-vector space if K = C)

$$T_{p} P_{K} V = Lin_{K} (p; V=p)$$

of all K-linear transform ations from p to V=p. Here and in what follows, we frequently do not distinguish the notation of a point in P_KV , of a chosen representative of it in V, and of the corresponding onedimensional subspace when a concept or expression does not depend on interpretation. For instance, the subspace p^2 of V is well de ned for any p 2 P_KV .

If p is not isotropic, that is, if hp; $p_i \in 0$, then we can naturally identify V = p with p^2 . In this case, we interpret the tangent space as $T_p P_K V = Lin_K (p; p^2)$. It inherits the R-bilinear form

(1.4)
$$(t_1;t_2) := \frac{tr_R(t_1t_2)}{dim_R K};$$

where $t_1; t_2: p! p^?$ are tangent vectors, $t_1: p^? ! p$ stands for the adjoint¹ of t_1 in the sense of the herm itian form, and $tr_R(t_1t_2)$ denotes the trace of the R-linear map $t_1t_2: p! p$. We will refer to this form as the metric of a classic geometry. In the case of K = C, we have the herm itian metric

(1.5)
$$ht_1; t_2 i := tr_c (t_1 t_2):$$

¹In terms of the identication $\text{Lin}_{R}(p;p^{2}) = p^{2} \text{hp}; i$, we have (vhp; i) = phv; i and tr_R $(vhp; i) = dim_{R} K$ Rehp;vi, where v 2 p². This treatment is useful while performing explicit calculations.

It is easy to see that Reht_1 ; t_2 i = $(t_1; t_2)$. Obviously, the (herm itian) metric depends smoothly on a nonisotropic p. If the herm itian form in V is nondegenerate, then the metric is nondegenerate. We want the reader that the case K = H contains some peculiarities. The tangent space $T_p P_H V$ is not an H-vector space and it makes no sense to speak of a herm itian metric on it.

The signature of a point divides $P_K V$ into three parts: positive points, nullpoints, and negative points, de ned respectively as

 $EV = fp 2 P_K V jhp; pi > 0g;$ $SV = fp 2 P_K V jhp; pi = 0g;$ $BV = fp 2 P_K V jhp; pi < 0g;$

1.6. Exam ples. W e take

(1) K = C, dim_C V = 2, the form of signature + + , and the sign + in the de nition of the herm itian metric. We obtain the usual 2-dimensional sphere of constant curvature.

(2) K = C, dim_C V = 2, the form of signature + , and the sign in the denition of the herm itian metric. Let $p \ge P_C V$ be nonisotropic. From the orthogonal decomposition V = $p = p^2$ it follows that the herm itian metric in $T_p P_C V$ is positive denite. We get two hyperbolic Poincare discs B V and E V.

(3) K = R, dim $_{\rm R}$ V = 3, the form of signature + + , and the sign . We arrive at the hyperbolic Beltram i-K lein disc B V .

(4) K = C, dim_C V = 3, the form of signature + + , and the sign . The open 4-ball B V is the complex hyperbolic plane H_c².

(5) K = H, dim_H V = 2, the form of signature ++, and the sign +. We obtain the usual 4-sphere of constant curvature. There is no H-action on the tangent space $T_p P_H V$. However, xing a geodesic in $P_H V$ leads to a curious action of S^3 H on the tangent bundle $T P_H V$ (see Example 3.7). The same is applicable to Example 1.6 (6) that follows.

(6) K = H, dim_H V = 2, the form of signature + , and the sign . The open 4-ball B V is the real hyperbolic space H_R^4 (Example 3.7 shows a geometrical role of the 'additional' quaternionic structure).

In a similar way, we can describe m any other geom etries: elliptic geom etries such as spherical and Fubini-Study ones, hyperbolic geom etries including those of constant sectional or constant holom orphic curvature, som e lorentzian geom etries such as de Sitter and anti de Sitter spaces, etc.

The most elementary geometrical objects are the linear' ones, i.e., those given by the projectivization $P_K W$ of an R-vector subspace W = V. For instance, we can isometrically embed E xam ples (1) and (2) as projective lines in E xam ple (4) by taking for W an appropriate 2-dimensional C-vector subspace in V. (The negative part of a projective line of signature + is commonly known as a complex geodesic in H_C^2 .) Let us take a look at some less immediate

1.7. Examples. (1) We take dim $_{R}$ W = 2. Suppose that the herm it ian form, being restricted to W, is real and does not vanish. It is easy to see that W K ' W $_{R}$ K. The circle

$$GW := P_KW = P_RW'S$$

is said to be a geodesic. The projective line P_K (W K) is the projective line of the geodesic. By C orollary 5.5, the introduced circle, out of its isotropic points, is indeed a geodesic with respect to the m etric and every geodesic of the m etric arises in this way.

(2) Let $\dim_R W = 2$ in Example 1.6 (2). When W is not a C-vector space (otherwise, P_CW is simply a point in P_CV), the real part of the hermitian form over W can be nondegenerate indenite, denite, nonnull degenerate, or null. The circle P_CW is respectively said to be a hypercycle, metric circle, horocycle, or the absolute. Inside of either of the Poincare discs E V and B V, we get the usual hypercycles, metric circles, and horocycles.

(3) We can isom etrically embed (here the norm alizing factor in (1.4) plays its role) Example 1.6 (3) in Example 1.6 (4) by taking for W a 3-dimensional R-vector subspace such that the herm itian form, being restricted to W, is real and nondegenerate. We obtain the R-plane $P_CW = P_RW' P_R^2$, a maximal lagrangian submanifold. The R-planes play an important role in complex hyperbolic geometry (see, for instance, [G ol] and [AGG]).

(4) In Example 1.6 (4), let S V be an R-vector subspace, dim $_R S = 2$. Suppose that the herm itian form is real and nondegenerate over S. It is easy to see that S[?] is a one-dimensional C-vector space. Taking $W = S + S^{?}$, we arrive at the bisector $B = P_C W$. The geodesic G S, the projective line P_C (SC), and the point $P_C S^{?}$ are respectively the real spine, the complex spine, and the focus of the bisector. This description of a bisector immediately provides (see [AGG]) the well-known slice and meridional decompositions of a bisector (see [G ir], M os], and [G ol]). If the herm it ian form is inden ite over S, then $P_C W \setminus B V$ is a usual bisector (= a hypersurface equidistant from two points) in H_C^2 . Every bisector in H_C^2 is describable in this manner

We would like to illustrate the thesis that the basic linear objects form them selves spaces naturally endowed with a classic geometry structure:

In Example 1.6 (3), the real projective plane P_RV consists of the usual Beltram i-K lein disc BV equipped with its riemannian metric and of the M obius band EV endowed with a lorentzian metric. The hermitian form establishes a duality between points and projective lines (= geodesics) in P_RV : the point p 2 P_RV corresponds to the geodesic P_Rp^2 . In view of this duality, the classic lorentzian geometry of the M obius band EV is nothing but the geometry of geodesics in the Beltram i-K lein disc BV and vice versa. For the same reason, the classic pseudo-riem annian geometry of EV in Example 1.6 (4) is the geometry of the complex geodesics in H $_c^2$.

In Example 1.7 (2), we will indistinctly refer to hypercycles, metric circles, horocycles, and the absolute as circles. A point W in the grassmannian Gr_R (2;V) of 2-dimensional R-vector subspaces of V determines in $P_C V$ a circle if W is not a C-vector space and a point, otherwise. Clearly, W;W⁰2 Gr_R (2;V) provide the same circle if and only if W = W⁰c for some c 2 C . The C-grassmannian Gr_{C R} (2;V) is the quotient of Gr_R (2;V) by this action.

The singular bous of G $r_{C,R}(2;V)$ is form ed by the complex subspaces of V and, therefore, coincides with P_CV . It is easy to see that G $r_{C,R}(2;V)$ is topologically P_R^3 without an open 3-ball. It has P_CV as its boundary. The absolute, a 2-sphere with a single double point, is form ed by the horocycles and divides G $r_{C,R}(2;V)$ into two parts.

How can we equip the C-grassmannian G $r_{C,\mathbb{R}}(r;V)$ of r-dimensional R-vector subspaces of V with a classic geometry structure? Let W 2 G $r_{C,\mathbb{R}}(r;V)$ be a nondegenerate point, that is, the real form (;) = Reh ; i is nondegenerate over W. A tangent vector in T_W G $r_{C,\mathbb{R}}(r;V)$ is an R-linear transformation t:W ! W ? such that $tr_R(_W \text{ it}) = 0$, where the orthogonal W ? is taken with respect to (;) and $_W$ is the orthogonal projection onto W. The metric is given by $(t_1;t_2) \coloneqq tr_R(t_1t_2)$, where $t_1:W$? ! W is the adjoint in the sense of (;).

In this article, we study only projective classic geom etries and describe in a coordinate-free way several features of such geom etries. In particular, we obtain explicit expressions for the parallel displacement along geodesics in terms of the herm itian form (Corollaries 5.7 and 5.9). Applying these expressions to the case of complex hyperbolic geom etry, we get a geom etrical interpretation of the angle between cotranchal bisectors in H_c^2 (Examples 5.10 and 5.12). O ther explicit form ulae involving geodesics (Subsections 3.2 and 3.4), projective cones (Exam ple 3.6), bisectors (Exam ples 3.6 and 5.13), the Levi-C ivita connection (Proposition 4.3), the tensor of curvature (Subsection 4.4), and sectional curvatures (Subsection 4.5) are also provided.

In [AG oG] and [AG r], we treat grassm annians in the same spirit.

2. P relim inaries

Let $p \ge P_K V$ be a nonisotropic point. We introduce the following notation of orthogonal decomposition

$$V = p p^{2}; \quad v = v^{p} + {}^{p}v = {}^{0}[p]v + [p]v;$$

where

$$v^{p} \coloneqq {}^{0}[p]v \coloneqq p \frac{h^{p}; v^{i}}{h^{p}; p^{i}} 2 pK$$
 and ${}^{p}v \coloneqq [p]v \coloneqq v p \frac{h^{p}; v^{i}}{h^{p}; p^{i}} 2 p^{2}$

do not depend on the choice of a representative of p. D epending on circum stances, we choose the most convenient variant of notation.

The herm itian form over a 2-dimensional K-vector subspace of V can be null, de nite, nondegenerate inde nite, or nonnull degenerate. The corresponding projective line will be respectively called null, spherical, hyperbolic, or euclidean. We need a very rudimental form of Sylvester's criterion applicable to the case K = H.

2.1. Lem m a. Let W be a 2-dimensional K-vector space equipped with a nonnull herm itian form. The herm itian form is respectively de nite, nondegenerate inde nite, or degenerate if and only if D (p;q) > 0, D (p;q) < 0, or D (p;q) = 0, where D (p;q) = hp;pihq;qi hp;qihq;pi and p;q are any two K-linearly independent vectors in W. (O bviously, D (p;q) = 0 if p;q are K-linearly dependent.)

P roof. If one of p;q is nonisotropic (say, p) the result follows from $pq \in 0$, $hp_{i}p_{i} = 0$, and

$$hp;pih^{p}q;^{p}qi = hp;pihq;^{p}qi = hp;pi hq;qi \frac{hq;pihp;qi}{hp;pi} = D (p;q):$$

If both p;q are isotropic, we take a nonisotropic u 2 W. We can assume that u = pk + q for some $k \ge K$. Clearly, ${}^{u}q \in 0$, hu; ${}^{u}qi = 0$, and D (u;q) = hu; uih ${}^{u}qi$. It remains to observe that

D (u;q) = hpk + q;pk + qihq;qi hpk + q;qihq;pk + qi = khp;qihq;pik =
$$\frac{1}{2}k_{f}^{2}D$$
 (p;q)

2.2. R em ark. (1) Let L be a projective line. For every nonisotropic p 2 L there exists a unique q 2 L orthogonal to p, that is, such that hp;qi = 0.

(2) Isotropic points in a hyperbolic projective line form an (n = 1)-sphere, where $n = \dim_R K$. A n euclidean projective line contains a single isotropic point

A linear transform ation in (1.3) can be regarded as a tangent vector in usual di erential term s: Let f be a K-valued sm ooth function de ned in a neighbourhood of p 2 $P_K V$ and let \hat{f} denote its lift to the corresponding neighbourhood of pK n f0g in V. C learly, $\hat{f}(vk) = \hat{f}(v)$ for all k 2 K. Every ' 2 Lin_K (p;V) de nes a tangent vector t 2 $T_p P_K V$ given by

(2:3)
$$t f := \frac{d}{d!} \int_{u=0}^{u} f (1 + u') p;$$

where "2 R.Notice that t vanishes if and only if ' p 2 pK.A lso, altering ' by adding pk to ' p, where $k \ge K$, does not change the vector t 2 Lin(p; V=p).

Let W V be an R-vector subspace. We call a point p 2 W projectively smooth in W if

$$\dim_{R} (pK \setminus W) = \min_{\substack{0 \le w \ge W}} \dim_{R} (wK \setminus W):$$

It is not di cult to see that the projectively sm ooth points in W provide an open sm ooth region in P $_{\rm K}$ W . M oreover, we have the following

2.4. Lem m a [AGG, Lem m a 5.2.1]. Let W V be an R-vector subspace, let $p \ge W$ be a projectively smooth point in W, and let ' $2 \operatorname{Lin}_{K}(p; V)$. Then t $2 \operatorname{T}_{p} P_{K} W$ if and only if ' $p \ge W + pK$

The tangent vector to a sm ooth path is expressible in term s of (1.4):

2.5. Lem m a [AGG, Lem m a 5.1.1]. Let $c: [a;b] ! P_K V$ be a smooth curve and let $c_0: [a;b] ! V$ be a smooth lift of c to V. If $c(t_0)$ is not isotropic, then the tangent vector $\underline{c}(t_0): c_0(t_0) ! c_0(t_0)^?$ is given by $\underline{c}(t_0): c_0(t_0) ? c_0(t_0)$

3.G eodesics

Let us rem ind the de nition in Example 1.7 (1). We take a 2-dimensional R-vector subspace W V such that the herm itian form, being restricted to W, is real and does not vanish. It is immediate that WK ' W _RK. Hence, $P_KW = P_RW$. The circle GW $\coloneqq P_KW$ is, by de nition, a geodesic. (C orollary 5.5 relates this concept to the common one.) The geodesic GW spans its projective line $P_K(WK)$. A geodesic is called spherical, hyperbolic, or euclidean depending on the nature of its projective line.

3.1. Lem m a. (1) Let $g_1;g_2 \ge P_K V$ be distinct and nonorthogonal. Then there exists a unique geodesic containing g_1 and g_2 .

(2) Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic and let $0 \le t \ge T_p P_K V$, $t : p \le p^2$. Then there exists a unique geodesic having t as its tangent vector at p. It is given by the subspace W = pR + tpR.

Proof. (1) Clearly, $g_1; g_2 2 G W$ for $W = g_1R + g_2hg_2; g_1iR$. If $g_1; g_2 2 G W^0$, then $W^0 = g_2k_2R + g_1k_1R$ for some $k_1; k_2 2 K$ such that $\overline{k_2}hg_2; g_1ik_1 2 R$. Hence, $W^0 = g_2k_2\overline{k_2}hg_2; g_1ik_1R + g_1k_1R = W k_1$, that is, $G W^0 = G W$.

(2) The geodesic G W , where W = pR + tpR, does not depend on the choice of p 2 pK. By Lem m a 2.4, t is a tangent vector to G W at p. Let G W 0 be a geodesic with tangent vector t. W e can choose W 0 so that p 2 W 0 . By Lem m a 2.4, tp 2 W 0 + pK. So, tp 2 p[?] implies tp 2 W 0 . In other words, W 0 = pR + tpR

W e denote by G $g_1;g_2$ the geodesic that contains given distinct nonorthogonal $g_1;g_2 \ge P_K V$.

Take distinct orthogonal $g_1;g_2 \ 2 \ P_K V$. Assume that the projective line L spanned by $g_1;g_2$ is nonnull. One of $g_1;g_2$ is nonisotropic | say, g_1 . Every geodesic in L passing through g_1 has the form G W with W = qR + $g_1R, g_1 \in q 2$ L, and $hq;g_1i 2 R \cdot So, g_1q 2 G W \cdot By Remark 2.2 (1), g_2$ is the only point in L orthogonal to g_1 . Hence, $g_1q = g_2$ in $P_K V$. In other words, every geodesic in L that passes through g_1 also passes through g_2 . In particular, every geodesic in an euclidean projective line passes through the isotropic point (see Remark 2.2 (2)). In this case, in the a ne chart K of nonisotropic points of L, the geodesics correspond to the straight lines. This justi es the term 'euclidean.' Since the m etric is actually null over euclidean lines, perhaps a m ore appropriate term would be a ne line.

3.2. Length of non-euclidean geodesics. Take a spherical projective line L, take a point $g_1 \ge L$, and choose the sign + in the de nition (1.4) of the metric. Let $g_1^0 \ge L$ denote the point orthogonal to g_1 . Fixing representatives $g_1; g_1^0 \ge V$ such that $hg_1; g_1 = hg_1^0; g_1^0 = 1$, we parameterize a lift $c_0(t) = g_1 \cos t + g_1^0 \sin t$ to V of a segment of geodesic c = c(t) joining g_1 and $g_2 = c(a)$, where t 2 [0;a] and a 2 [0; =2]. Since $c_0(t); c_0(t) = 0$ and $c_0(t); c_0(t) = 1$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $c_1(t); c_2(t) = 1$: Hence, 'c = c(t); c(t) = a. Noticing that ta $(g_1; g_2) = \cos^2 a$, where

(3.3) $ta(g_1;g_2) \coloneqq \frac{hg_1;g_2 ihg_2;g_1 i}{hg_1;g_1 ihg_2;g_2;j_1};$

6

we obtain

$$c = \arccos \frac{p}{ta(g_1;g_2)}$$

It follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 that, being L spherical, 0 ta $(g_1;g_2)$ 1. The rst equality occurs exactly when $g_1;g_2$ are orthogonal and the second, exactly when $g_1 = g_2$.

If L is a hyperbolic projective line, similar arguments involving \cosh , sinh, and the sign for the metric show that the length of a segment of geodesic c that contains no isotropic points and joins $g_1;g_2 \ 2 \ L$ is given by

$$c = \operatorname{arccosh}^{p} \overline{\operatorname{ta}(g_1;g_2)}:$$

In both cases, the distance is a monotonic function of the tance ta $(g_1;g_2)$ (see also [AGG])

3.4. Equations of a geodesic. Let the geodesic G $g_1;g_2$ obe non-euclidean and let L denote its projective line. We will show that x 2 L belongs to G $g_1;g_2$ o if and only if

$$b(x;g_1;g_2) = hx;g_1 ihg_1;g_2 ihg_2;xi hx;g_2 ihg_2;g_1 ihg_1;xi = 0:$$

The proof is straightforward. The above equation does not depend on the choice of representatives $x;g_1;g_2 \ 2 \ V$. If $x \ 2 \ G \ g_1;g_2 \ q$, then $b(x;g_1;g_2) = 0$ since the herm it ian form is real over W and we can assume $x;g_1;g_2 \ 2 \ W$. Suppose that $b(x;g_1;g_2) = 0$ for some $x \ 2 \ L$. We can take $g_1;g_2 \ 2 \ W$ and $x = g_1k + g_2$ for some $k \ 2 \ K$. The condition $b(x;g_1;g_2) = 0$ is equivalent to $hg_1;g_2 ihg_2;g_1 ihg_2;g_1 ihg_2;g_2 i \ k \ k) = 0$. Since L is not euclidean, we conclude from Lemma 2.1 that $k \ 2 \ R$, that is, $x \ 2 \ W$.

Let $g \ge G \ g_1; g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_2 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_1 \circ$

 $t('g;g;g_1;g_2) := h'g;g_1ihg_1;g_2ihg_2;g_1 + hg;g_1ihg_1;g_2ihg_2;'g_1$

 $h' g; g_2 ihg_2; g_1 ihg_1; g_1 hg; g_2 ihg_2; g_1 ihg_1; g_1 = 0:$

It follows from $b(g;g_1;g_2) = 0$ that

$$(3:5) \qquad t('g+gk;g;g_1;g_2 = t('g;g;g_1;g_2) + k \quad b(g;g;g_2) + b(g;g_1;g_2) \quad k = t('g;g;g;g_2)$$

for every k 2 K. A lso, the equation t('g;g;g_1;g_2) = 0 does not depend on the choice of representatives for g;g_1;g_2. We take g;g_1;g_2 2 W. Ift 2 T_g G gg_1;g_2, then 'g 2 W + gK by Lem m a 2.4. Due to (3.5), we can assume that 'g 2 W. Hence, t('g;g;g_1;g_2) = 0. Conversely, suppose that t('g;g;g_1;g_2 = 0. We can take g = g_1r + g_2 for som e r 2 R (interchanging g_1 and g_2 if necessary). Since t 2 T_g L, it follows from Lem m a 2.4 that '(g) = g_1k_1 + g_2k_2 for som e k_1;k_2 2 K. Due to (3.5), we can assume that 'g = g_1k. Now, the condition t('g;g;g_1;g_2) = 0 means that hg_1;g_2ihg_2;g_1i hg_1;g_1ihg_2;g_2i (k k) = 0. By Lem m a 2.1, k 2 R, that is, 'g 2 W

3.6. Example: equations of the cone over a geodesic. We take dim $_K V = 3$ and a nondegenerate hem it ian form h; i. The hem it ian form establishes a correspondence between points and projective lines in $P_K V$: the point p 2 $P_K V$ corresponds to the projective line $P_K p^2$. We call p the polar point to $P_K p^2$.

Let G S be a non-euclidean geodesic. Clearly, S[?] is a K-vector space and $p \coloneqq P_K S^?$ is the (non-isotropic, by Lemma 2.1) polar point to the projective line of G S. Therefore, C $\coloneqq P_K (S + S^?)$ is the projective cone over G S with vertex p. All elements in S + S[?], except those in S[?], are projectively sm ooth.

A point x 2 P_KV that is distinct from p belongs to C if and only if px 2 G S. Hence, x 2 C m eans that $b(px;g_1;g_2) = 0$ (see Subsection 3.4), where $g_1;g_2 2 G S$ are distinct nonorthogonal points. This implies that C is given by the equation

 $b(x;g_1;g_2) = 0:$

Let c2 C be di erent from p and let ' 2 Lin_K (c;V). De ne a linear map 2 Lin_K (g;V) by putting $g \coloneqq {}^{p}c$ and $g \coloneqq {}^{p'}c$. Fix a representative c 2 S + S[?]. Clearly, g 2 S. If t 2 T_cC, then ' c 2 S + S[?] + cK by Lem m a 2.4. This implies that g 2 S + gK, that is, t 2 T_gGS. Conversely, ift 2 T_gGS, then g 2 S + gK S + S[?] + cK. Hence, ' c 2 S + S[?] + cK. In other words, t 2 T_cP_KV is tangent to C if and only ift (' c;g;g₁;g₂) = 0, where g₁;g₂ are distinct nonorthogonal points in GS. This is equivalent to

In the case of K = C, the projective cone C is nothing but the bisector with the real spine G S (see Example 1.7 (4) and the references therein). From the equation for the tangent space to a point of a bisector, one derives the expression

$$n(q;q_1;q_2) = q_1 \frac{hq_2;q_1}{hq_2;q_1i} \quad q_2 \frac{hq_1;q_1}{hq_1;q_2i}$$
 ihq; i

for the norm alvector n (q; q_1 ; q_2) at q to the bisector whose real spine is G a_1 ; q_2 o (see [AGG, P roposition 5.2.7]). This last expression permits to calculate, in term softhe herm itian form, the oriented angle between two bisectors with a common slice (see [AGG, Lemma 5.3.1] and Example 5.12)

3.7. Example: actions on tangent bundle given by the choice of a geodesic. We consider the case of K = H. The tangent space to a point in P_HV is not an H-vector space. In order to de ne an action of the sphere S^3 H over the tangent bundle T P_HV , we assume that V is an (H;H)-bim odule.

Let $p \ 2 \ P_H V$ and let ' 2 Lin_H (p;V). G iven k 2 S³ H, we de ne the linear map k' 2 Lin_H (kp;V) by putting (k')(kp) = k('p). In this way, we arrive at the left action (p;t) 7 (kp;t_{k'}) of S³ over the tangent bundle T P_HV (notice that changing 'p by 'p + pk⁰ results in the same t_{k'}). It is easy to verify that t_{k'} is also the image of t under the dimential d(k p), where k : $P_H V$ is induced by k :v 7 kv.

Suppose that the (H;H)-bin odule structure is compatible with the herm itian form, that is, $hv_1; kv_2 i = h\bar{k}v_1; v_2 i$ for all $v_1; v_2 2 V$ and k 2 H. Then, for a nonisotropic p and for t : p ! p[?], we have $d(k_1)t = kt:kp! (kp)^?$. Hence, out of isotropic points, k is an isom etry.

It is well known that every (H;H)-bim odule has the form $V = W_{R}$ H, where W = fv 2 V jkv = vk for every k 2 H g is the centre of the bim odule. The bim odule structure is compatible with h; i if and only if the form restricted to W is real. In other words, the choice of a bim odule structure compatible with the herm itian form is equivalent to the choice of a linear geometrical object P_KW corresponding to a maximal real subspace W in V.

In the particular case of dim $_{\rm H}$ V = 2, we get an action of S³ over P_HV by isom etries that is determ ined by the choice of an arbitrary geodesic G. This geodesic is the xed-point set of the action. The orbit of every other point is a 2-sphere. Thus, we obtain some foliation of P_HV nG by 2-spheres. The actions over P_HV for hyperbolic (E xam ple 1.6 (6)) and elliptic (E xam ple 1.6 (5)) geom etries produce topologically distinct foliations

In Section 5, we show that the geodesics introduced in Example 1.7 (1) are indeed geodesics with respect to the metric, out of their isotropic points. Thus, for the classic geometries, we can forget about the variational characterization of geodesics and deal only with the linear' one, which is much easier.

4. Levi-C ivita C onnection

From now on, we assume the herm itian form h; ito be nondegenerate. In particular, BV and EV are endowed with pseudo-riem annian metrics.

A lso, until the end of the article, we use the following conventions. Let $p \ 2 \ P_K V$ be nonisotropic. Extending by zero, we consider any tangent vector $t : p \ 1 \ p^2$ as a linear map $t \ 2 \ Lin_K (V;V)$. So, $T_p P_K V = Lin_K (p;p^2) \ Lin_K (V;V)$. (Obviously, $t = t^0[p], t = [p]t, t[p] = \ 0[p]t = 0$, and st = 0 for all tangent vectors $s;t \ 2 \ Lin_K (V;V)$ at p.) Conversely, given an arbitrary linear map $t \ 2 \ Lin_K (V;V)$, we de no the tangent vector $t_p := [p]t^0[p] \ at p$.

Let U V be a saturated open set (i.e., UK U) without isotropic points. A lifted eld over U is a smooth map X :U ! Lin_K (V;V) such that X (p)_p = X (p) and X (pk) = X (p) for all p 2 U and k 2 K. In other words, X correctly de ness a smooth tangent eld over P_KU. For example, every t 2 Lin_K (V;V) provides the standard lifted eld T related to t: it is given by the rule T (p) = t_p and is de ned for all nonisotropic p.

Fort2 Lin_K (V;V), we put

$$r_t X(p) \coloneqq \frac{d}{d!} = 0 X (1 + "t)p_p$$

Since [pk] = [p] and ${}^{0}[pk] = {}^{0}[p]$ for all $p \ge U$ and $k \ge K$, the eld $p ? r_{Y(p)}X$ is lifted for arbitrary lifted elds X and Y over U. O by iously, r enjoys the properties of an a ne connection.

4.1. Lem m a. Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic and let t be a tangent vector at p. Then

$$\frac{d}{d!} = 0^{0} [p + tp''] = \frac{d}{d!} = 0^{0} [p + tp''] = t + t :$$

Proof. By de nition, ${}^{0}[p + tp"] = (p + tp") \frac{hp + tp"; i}{hp; pi + "^{2}hp; tpi}$. Derivating,

$$\frac{d}{d''} = 0 (p + tp'') \frac{hp + tp''; i}{hp; pi + ''^2 htp; tpi} = p \frac{htp; i}{hp; pi} + tp \frac{hp; i}{hp; pi}$$

The second term equals t ${}^{0}[p] = t$. Put ' $= p \frac{htp; i}{hp; pi}$. Then

$$htx; yi = htx^{p}; yi = {}^{D}tp \frac{hp; xi}{hp; pi}; y = \frac{hx; pi}{hp; pi} htp; yi = {}^{D}x; p \frac{htp; yi^{E}}{hp; pi} = hx; yi$$

for every x; y 2 V. Hence, t = '

4.2. Lem m a. Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic. Let s and t be tangent vectors at p. Then

$$r_T S(x) = s[x]t t^0[x]s_v$$

for every nonisotropic x 2 $P_K V$, where S and T are the standard elds related to s and t. In particular, $r_T S(p) = 0$.

Proof.ByLemma 4.1,

$$r_{T} S(x) = r_{t_{x}} S(x) = \frac{d}{d!} \sum_{w=0}^{n} S(x + t_{x} x'') = \frac{d}{d!} \sum_{w=0}^{n} [x + t_{x} x''] S^{0}[x + t_{x} x''] = \frac{d}{d!} \sum_{w=0}^{n} [x + t_{x} x''] = \frac{d$$

 $= t_{x} + (t_{x}) s^{0}[x] + [x]st_{x} + (t_{x}) = s[x]t t^{0}[x]s_{x}$

since $[x](t_x) = (t_x)^{0}[x] = 0$

4.3. Proposition.r is the Levi-Civita connection for the (herm itian) metric on every component of $P_K V \; n \; S \; V$.

Proof. Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic. Let S and T be lifted local elds with S (p) = s and T (p) = t. In order to show that $r_S T = r_T S = [S;T]$ (p) = 0, we can assume that S and T are the standard elds related to s and t. It follows from Lemma 42 that $r_S T$ (p) = $r_T S$ (p) = 0. The proof of [S;T](p) = 0 follows [AGG, Lemma 5.52]: Let f be an analytic function and let f denote its lift to V. By de nition, T (x) f = $\frac{d}{d!} = \int_{0}^{1} f(x + x tx^{*})$. Therefore,

$$S(p)(Tf) = \frac{d}{d} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{d"} = 0 \quad \hat{f}(p + sp + p + sp + p + sp + p) = \frac{d}{d} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{d"} = 0 \quad \hat{f}(p + sp + p + sp + p) = \frac{d}{d} = \frac{d}{d} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{d"} = 0 \quad \hat{f}(p + sp + p + sp + p) = \frac{k_0"}{1 + 2hsp; spi=hp; pi} ;$$

where $k_0 := hsp;tpi=hp;pi$. Since $\hat{f}(pk) = \hat{f}(p)$ for every $k \ge K$, it follows that

$$\hat{f} p(1 k_0") + sp (1 k_0") + tp" = \hat{f} p + sp + tp \frac{\pi}{1 k_0"}$$

Being f analytic,

$$S(p)(Tf) = \frac{d}{d} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{d''} = 0 \quad f p + sp + tp'' \quad (p + sp)_{0} = 0$$
$$= \frac{d}{d} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{d''} = 0 \quad f(p + sp + tp - \frac{t}{1 - k_{0}}) = \frac{d}{d} = 0 \quad \frac{d}{d''} = 0 \quad f(p + sp + tp'') :$$

Hence, S(p)(Tf) = T(p)(Sf), that is, [S;T](p) = 0.

In order to verify that $v(S;T)(p) = r_v S(p);T(p) + S(p);r_v T(p)$ for a tangent vector v at p, we put '_1 = $\frac{d}{d''}_{=0} S(p + vp'')$ and '_2 = $\frac{d}{d''}_{=0} T(p + vp'')$. So,

$$r_v S(p); T(p) \dim_R K = [p]'_1^0[p]; T(p) \dim_R K = tr_R [p]'_1^0[p] T(p) = tr_R '_1 T(p);$$

S(p); $r_v T(p) dim_R K = tr_R S(p)'_2$, and

$$v(S;T)(p) \dim_R K = \frac{d}{d''} \operatorname{tr}_R S (p + vp'')T(p + vp'') = \operatorname{tr}_R '_1 T(p) + \operatorname{tr}_R S(p)'_2 :$$

Sim ilar argum ents work for the herm itian case

4.4. Curvature tensor. Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic and let $T_1;T_2;S$ be local lifted elds with $T_i(p) = t_i$ and S(p) = s. We wish to express the curvature tensor $R(T_1;T_2)S(p) \coloneqq r_{T_2}r_{T_1}S$ $r_{T_1}r_{T_2}S + r_{[T_1;T_2]}S(p)$ in term softhe herm itian form. We can assume that T_i and S are the standard elds related to t_i and s. By Lemma 4.2,

$$r_{T_1}r_{T_2}S(p) = \frac{d}{d!} [p + t_1p''] s [p + t_1p'']t_2 t_2 [p + t_1p'']s [p + t_1p''] ;$$

10

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\frac{d}{d!"} = 0 \quad [p + t_1 p'']s \quad [p + t_1 p'']t_2 \quad [p + t_1 p''] = 0$$

$$= (t_1 + t_1)s \quad [p]t_2 \quad [p]s \quad [p]s \quad (t_1 + t_1)t_2 \quad [p]s \quad [p]t_2 \quad (t_1 + t_1) = st_1t_2$$

and $\frac{d}{d^{"}}_{=0}$ [p+t_1p"]t₂ ⁰[p+t_1p"]s ⁰[p+t_1p"] = t_2t_1s. In other words, r_T_1 r_T_2 S (p) = st_1t_2 t_2t_1s. By symmetry, r_T_2 r_T_1 T (p) = st_2t_1 t_1t_2s. Since [T_1;T_2](p) = 0 (see the proof of Proposition 4.3), we arrive at

4.5. Sectional curvature. Constant curvature classic geom etries. Let p 2 $P_K V$ be non-isotropic. Let W $T_p P_K V$ be a 2-dimensional R-vector subspace such that the metric, being restricted to W, is nondegenerate. The sectional curvature of W is given by

SW = S(t_1;t_2) =
$$\frac{R(t_1;t_2)t_1;t_2}{(t_1;t_1)(t_2;t_2) (t_1;t_2)^2}$$

for R-linearly independent $t_1; t_2 \ge W$. We can assume that $t_j = v_jhp$; i, where $v_j \ge p^2$ and $hv_j; v_j = j \ge f$ 1;0;+1g for j = 1;2. Denote $k := hv_1; v_2 = i, k \ge K$. In this way, using the same sign as in (1.4), we obtain

$$(t_1t_1t_2;t_2)\dim_R K = tr_R (t_2t_1t_1t_2) = \dim_R K \quad \text{hp}; pilw_1; v_2 i h v_2; v_1 i:$$

In this way,

$$R(t_1;t_2)t_1;t_2 = hp;pi^2 k f + 1_2 2Re(k^2); (t_1;t_1) = hp;pi_1; (t_1;t_2) = hp;piRek:$$

Hence,

$$SW = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(k^2)}{(\operatorname{Re}k)^2}}{1 + \frac{3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}}{(\operatorname{Re}k)^2} = \frac{1 + \frac{3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}}{4 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Re}k)^2}$$

where the last equality follows from the identity $j_k f = 2 \operatorname{Re}(k^2) = \frac{3}{4} j_k + k f = (\operatorname{Re}k)^2$. By Lem m a 2.1, 1 2 f (Rek)² since (;) is nondegenerate over W.

Obviously, SW = 1 if K = R. If K \in R and if $v_1; v_2$ are K-linearly dependent, then $1 = j_1 j_2^2$ by Lemma 2.1. In this case, $j_1 j_2 = 1$, and it follows from the identity $j_1 j_2^2 = j_2 - k_1 j_2^2 = 4$. (Rek)² that SW = 4: Since $v_1; v_2 \ge p^2$ are always K-linearly dependent if dim $_K V = 2$, we arrive at the

4.5.1. R em ark. In every component of P_R^n , P_C^1 , and P_H^1 , the sectional curvature is constant

All the remaining possible values for SW can be extracted from the above form u.a. They are displayed in the following table, where W = $t_1R + t_2R$, $t_j = v_jhp$; i, and v_1 ; $v_2 2 p^2$ are K-linearly independent. The sign is the same as in (1.4).

Form over $v_1K + v_2K$, K f R	M etric over W	Sectional curvature
Inde nite	Inde nite	(1 ;1]
De nite	De nite	[l;4)
D egenerate	De nite	4
Inde nite	De nite	(4;1)

5. Parallel displacem ent along geodesics

Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic, let t be a tangent vector at p, and let T be the standard eld related to t. The sm ooth lifted eld

$$Tn(t)() \coloneqq \frac{T()}{ta(p;)}$$

is de ned out of $P_K p^2$ [SV.

5.1. Lem m a. Let G be a geodesic and let t be a nonnull tangent vector to G at a nonisotropic p 2 G. Then the eld Tn(t) is nonnull and tangent to G wherever de ned.

Proof. Let g 2 G be nonisotropic and nonorthogonal to p. Clearly, ' = Tn(t)(g) \notin 0 since [g]t ⁰[g] = 0 would imply g 2 p[?]. By Lemma 3.1 (2), G = G W with W = pR + tpR. We can assume that g 2 W. Hence, 'g 2 W and Tn(t)(g) is tangent to G at g by Lemma 2.4

5.2. Lem m a. Let $p;q 2 P_K V$ be distinct nonorthogonal with p nonisotropic. Denote G [p;q] the oriented segment² of the geodesic G qp;qp that does not contain the point orthogonal to p. Let ':V ! V be given by ' = qhp;qi¹ hp; i. Then '_p is tangent to the oriented segment G [p;q] at p.

P roof. The tangent vector '_p does not depend on the choice of representatives p;q 2 V. We can assume that hp;pi = and hp;qi = a, where 2 f 1;+1g and a > 0. C learly, '_p : p ? ^pq(l=a). The curve $c_0(t) := p(1 t) + qt, t2$ [0;1], parameterizes a lift of G [p;q]. Indeed, p;p(1 t) + qt = 0 m eans that (1 a)t = 1, which is impossible. By Lem m a 2.5, the linear m ap $\underline{c}(0) : p$? ^pq is tangent to G [p;q] at p

5.3. Lem m a. Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic, let t be a tangent vector at p, and let T be the standard eld related to t. Then, for every nonisotropic x,

$$T(x) ta(p;) = 2ta(p;x)Re \frac{htx;xi}{hx;xi}$$

P roof is straightforward:

$$T (x) ta(p;) = \frac{d}{d''} \frac{hp; x + xtx''ihx + xtx''; pi}{hp; pi hx; xi + ''^2h^xtx; xtxi} = \frac{hp; xtxihx; pi + hp; xih^xtx; pi}{hp; pihx; xi} =$$
$$\frac{hp; xihx; txihx; pi + hp; xihtx; xihx; pi}{hp; pihx; xi^2} = 2ta(p; x) Re \frac{htx; xi}{hx; xi}$$

5.4. Theorem .Let G be a geodesic, let t be a nonnull tangent vector to G at a nonisotropic p 2 G, and let h 2 $T_p L$, where L stands for the projective line of G. Then, for every nonisotropic g 2 G not orthogonal to p,

$$r_{Tn(t)(g)} Tn(h) = 0$$

Proof. Denote by H and T the standard elds related to h and t, respectively. It su ces to show that $r_{T(g)} \frac{H()}{ta(p;)} g = 0$. By Lemma 3.1 (2), G = GW with W = pR + tpR. We can take g 2 W. By Lemmas 4.2 and 5.3,

$$r_{T(g)} \frac{H()}{ta(p;)} g = T(g) \frac{1}{ta(p;)} H(g)g + \frac{1}{ta(p;g)} r_{T(g)}H g =$$

² In the particular case of a spherical G φ ; φ , the segment G [p;q] is the shortest one from p to q.

$$= \frac{1}{ta(p;g)} [g] 2 \frac{htg;gi}{hg;gi} hg + h [g]tg t {}^0[g]hg :$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that hp = tpk for some k 2 K since both h and t are tangent to L at p. From hp[?] = tp[?] = 0, we conclude that hg = tgk. Finally, from [g] = 1 ${}^{0}[g]$, htg = 0, htg; gi 2 R, and hg = tgk, we obtain h [g]tg = h ${}^{0}[g]$ tg = $hg\frac{hg; tgi}{hg; gi} = \frac{htg; gi}{hg; gi}hg$ and t ${}^{0}[g]$ hg = t ${}^{0}[g]$ tgk = hg; tgi, htg; gi

$$tg \frac{Ig}{hg} gi k = \frac{Ig}{hg} hg$$

Theorem 5.4, Lemma 5.1, and Lemma 3.1 (2) have the following

5.5. C orollary. Out of isotropic points, a geodesic in the sense of Example 1.7 (1) is a geodesic of the Levi-C ivita connection r. Every geodesic of this connection appears in this way

Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic, let t be a tangent vector at p, and let T be the standard eld related to t. The sm ooth lifted eld

$$Ct(t)() := \frac{T()}{ta(p;)}$$

is de ned at every nonisotropic point in $P_K V \ n \ P_K p^2$ that belongs to the component of $P_K V \ n \ S V$ containing p.

5.6. Theorem . Let G be a geodesic, let t be a nonnull tangent vector to G at a nonisotropic p 2 G, and let v 2 $(T_p L)^2$, where L stands for the projective line of G. Then

$$r_{Tn(t)(q)}Ct(v) = ($$

for every nonisotropic g 2 G nP_K $p^{?}$ that belongs the component of P_KV n SV containing p.

Proof. Denote by U and T the standard elds related to v and t, respectively. It su ces to show that $r_{T(g)} \frac{U()}{t_{T(g)}} g = 0$. By Lemma 3.1 (2), G = GW with W = pR + tpR. We can take g 2 W. By Lemmas 4.2 and 5.3,

$$r_{T(g)} \frac{U()}{ta(p;)} g = T(g) \frac{1}{ta(p;)} U(g)g + \frac{1}{ta(p;g)} r_{T(g)}U g =$$
$$= \frac{1}{p ta(p;g)} [g] \frac{htg;gi}{hg;gi}vg + v [g]tg t^{0}g]vg :$$

By Lem ma 2.4, tpkhp; i2 $T_p L$ for all k 2 K. Taking v 2 $(T_p L)^2$ in the form v = whp; i with w 2 p^2 , we obtain hp;piRehw;tpki = 0. This implies that w 2 $(pK + tpK)^2$, vg 2 $(pK + tpK)^2$, and ${}^0g/vg = 0$. Finally, as in the proof of Theorem 5.4, v $g/tg = vg \frac{hg;tgi}{hg;gi} = \frac{htg;gi}{hg;gi}vg$

Let L be a non-euclidean projective line and let L 3 p be nonisotropic. It easily follows from the identication $T_p P_K V = p^2 hp$; i that $T_p P_K V = T_p L (T_p L)^2$. Hence, every tangent vector t 2 $T_p P_K V$ decomposes as t = h + v, where h 2 $T_p L$ and v 2 $(T_p L)^2$. This decomposition is called horizontal-vertical. Under the assumption that L is spanned by p and q, the horizontal-vertical decomposition is t = ${}^0 w$]t + [w]t, where w = pq .

5.7. C orollary. Let L be a non-euclidean projective line spanned by distinct, nonisotropic, and nonorthogonal points p;q 2 P_KV of the same signature. Let t = h + v be the horizontal-vertical

decomposition of t2 $T_p P_K V$ with respect to L. Then the parallel displacement of t from p to q along G [p;q] is given by Tn h)(q) + Ct(v)(q)

The above corollary expresses the parallel displacem ent along geodesics in a component of $P_K V$. However, in particular cases, some parallel displacem ent can be performed even if the nonisotropic and nonorthogonal points p;q lie in di erent components of $P_K V$ (we just bat an eye' while passing through SV): For a horizontal vector h, Tn (h) (q) gives a parallel displacem ent of h along G [p;q]. When $K = \frac{C}{P}$, for a vertical vector v, Ct(v) (q) gives a parallel displacem ent of v along G [p;q] (we x the sign of ta (p;q) 2 R i).

It remains to study the parallel displacement along euclidean geodesics. Let $p \ge P_K V$ be nonisotropic, let s be a tangent vector at p, and let S be the standard eld related to s. The smooth vector eld

$$Eu(s)(x) \approx \frac{1}{2}$$
 [p] ${}^{0}[x]s_{x} + S(x)$

is de ned out of isotropic points. C learly, Eu(s)(p) = S(p) = s.

5.8. Theorem . Let G be an euclidean geodesic, let t be a nonnull tangent vector to G at a non-isotropic p 2 G, and let s 2 $T_p P_K V$. Then, for every nonisotropic g 2 G,

$$r_{Tn(t)(q)} Eu(s) = 0$$

Proof. It su ces to show that $r_{T(g)} Eu(s) g = 0$, where T is the standard eld related to t. By Lemma 3.1 (2), G = G W with W = pR + tpR. We can take $g \ge W$. Notice that, being orthogonal to p, each one of tp, tg, and ^pg represents the only isotropic point u 2 G. Clearly, hu; G = 0. It follows that [g]t = [p]t = t. Hence, s [g]t = st = 0. Also, ${}^{0}[g] [p] {}^{0}[g] = 0$. Now, using $[g](t_{g}) = (t_{g}) g = 0$, we obtain

$$2 r_{T(g)} Eu(s) g = [g] \frac{d}{d''} = 0 [g + t_g g''] [p] [g + t_g g''] s [g + t_g g''] g + 2 [g] s [g] t_g 2 [g] t [g] sg =$$

$$= [g] t_g + (t_g) [p] [g] sg + [g] [p] t_g + (t_g) sg + [g] [p] [g] st_g + (t_g) g 2 [g] t [g] sg =$$

$$= [g] [p] t_g + (t_g) sg 2 [g] t [g] sg$$

by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. Since (') = ', hg;t gi = htg;gi = 0, [g] [p]g = pg, and the projections are self-adjoint, we obtain

$$[g] [p](t_g) sg = [g] [p] {}^0[g]t [g]sg = [g] [p] {}^0[g]t sg t g \frac{hg;sgi}{hg;gi} = = [g] [p] g \frac{hg;t sgi}{hg;gi} g \frac{hg;t gihg;sgi}{hg;gi^2} = {}^pg \frac{htg;sgi}{hg;gi}:$$

It follows from [p]t = [g]t = t and sg 2 p[?] that $[g] [p]t_g sg = [g]t^0[g]sg = tg\frac{hg;sgi}{hg;gi} = tg\frac{h^pg;sgi}{hg;gi}$. It remains to observe that ^pg and tg are R-proportional

5.9. Corollary. Let $p;q2 P_K V$ be distinct and nonisotropic points that span an euclidean projective line and let t 2 $T_p P_K V$. Then the parallel displacement of t from p to q along G [p;q] is given by Eu(t)(q)

The following three examples concern complex hyperbolic geometry. For basic background on the subject, see [Gol] or Section 5 in [AGG]. As in Example 1.6 (4), we take K = C, dim_c V = 3, the form

of signature + + and the sign in the de nition (1.5) of the herm itian metric. Thus, BV is the complex hyperbolic plane H_c^2 .

5.10. Example: area form ula. Let $p_1; p_2; p_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ [SV be points in a complex geodesic L. W ith the use of vertical parallel displacement, we will show that the oriented area of the plane triangle 4 $(p_1; p_2; p_3)$ is given by

(5:11) A rea 4
$$(p_1; p_2; p_3) = \frac{1}{2} \arg h p_1; p_2 i h p_2; p_3 i h p_3; p_1 i;$$

where arg varies in [;].

First, we take $p_j \ge SV$, j = 1;2;3. We have $L = P_C p^2$, where $p \ge EV$ is the polar point to L (see the beginning of Example 3.6). By Lemma 2.4, $(T_qL)^2 = pChq$; i for every $q \ge LnSV$. Let $v \coloneqq pchp_1$; i 2 $(T_{p_1}L)^2$, c 2 C . Making the parallel displacement of v along the segment of geodesic G $[p_1;p_2]$, then along G $[p_2;p_3]$, and nally along G $[p_3;p_1]$, we end up with some $v^0 \ge (T_{p_1}L)^2$. By Corollary 5.7,

$$v^{0} = -\frac{p[p_{1}] [p_{3}] [p_{2}] v^{0} [p_{2}] {}^{0} [p_{3}] {}^{0} [p_{1}]}{ta (p_{1};p_{2}) ta (p_{2};p_{3}) ta (p_{3};p_{1})} = -\frac{pchp_{1};p_{2} ihp_{2};p_{3} ihp_{3};p_{1} ihp_{1}; i}{hp_{2};p_{2} ihp_{3};p_{3} ihp_{1};p_{1}i} \frac{pchp_{1};p_{2} ihp_{3};p_{1} ihp_{1}; i}{ta (p_{1};p_{2}) ta (p_{2};p_{3}) ta (p_{3};p_{1})}$$

because $p \ge p_j^2$. Clearly, $(T_{p_1} \perp)^2$ is a one-dimensional C-vector space. The oriented angle $(v;v^0)$ from v to v^0 , taken in [;], is an additive measure of a triangle. Hence, it is proportional to the oriented area of 4 ($p_1; p_2; p_3$). In terms of the herm itian metric (1.5),

$$\langle v; v^0 \rangle = arghv; v^0 i = arg \quad hp_1; p_2 ihp_2; p_3 ihp_3; p_1 i$$

due to $p \ge E \lor$ and $p_2; p_3 \ge B \lor$. The form ula is extendable to isotropic points. Considering a suitable ideal triangle, we not the factor of proportionality 1=2 in (5.11).

The obtained formula (without orientation taken into account) can be found in [Gol]. Using the horizontal parallel displacement instead of the vertical one, we would arrive at the well-known area formula in terms of the angles. Curiously, the formula (5.11) seems to appear more naturally in the context of complex hyperbolic geometry. A similar formula holds for a plane spherical triangle

5.12. Example: some geometry behind the angle between bisectors. Let B_1 and B_2 be bisectors in H_C^2 with hyperbolic real spines G_1 and G_2 . Assume that these bisectors share a common slice S whose polar point is $p \ge EV$. Let $v_j \ge SV \setminus G_j$ denote some vertex of B_j , j = 1;2. Then the point $q_j := {}^pv_j$ is the intersection point of the real spine of B_j with the slice S. D enote by $G[q_j;v_j) = G_j$ the oriented segment of the real spine that starts with q_j and ends with v_j . Let $B[q_j;v_j) = B_j$ denote the corresponding oriented segment of bisector: $B[q_j;v_j)$ is oriented with respect to the orientation of $G[q_j;v_j)$ and to the natural orientation of its slices. Denote

$$u = 1$$
 $\frac{hv_2; v_1: hp; pi}{hv_2; p: hp; v_1:}$:

In other words, u = 1 $\frac{1}{(v_1; v_2; p)}$, where $(v_1; v_2; p)$ is Goldman's invariant [Gol]. Let $q_2 S \cdot W$ e choose representatives $p; v_1; v_2 2 V$ such that $hp; pi = hp; v_j i = 1$. Thus,

> $q_j = v_j$ p; $hq_j; v_j i = 1$; $hq_j; q_i = hv_j; q_i;$ $hq_j; q_j i = 1$; $q_j v_j = p;$ $hq_2; q_i i = hv_2; v_1 i 1 = u;$ $ta(q_i; q_2) = j_1 j_2^2$:

In particular, u = 0. A coording to [AGG, Proposition 52.7 and Lemma 52.9],

$$n(q;q_j;v_j) = q_j \frac{hv_j;q_i}{hv_j;q_ji} \quad v_j \frac{hq_j;q_i}{hq_j;v_ji} \quad i=phv_j;qiihq; i$$

is a norm all vector to the oriented segment B $[q_j;v_j)$ at q. Both norm all vectors in question belong to the C-vector space $(T_q S)^2$ and, therefore, the oriented angle $\langle q; B [q_1;v_1); B [q_2;v_2) \rangle$ from B $[q_1;v_1)$ to B $[q_2;v_2)$ at q can be calculated as

$$\langle q; B [q_1; v_1); B [q_2; v_2) = arg n (q; q_1; v_1); n (q; q_2; v_2) = arg hq; qihq; v_1 ihv_2; qi =$$

= arg $hq; v_1 ihv_2; qi$ = arg $hq; q_1 ihq_2; qi$ = arg $uhq; q_1 ihq_2; qi$

since $uhq_1; q_2 i = ju \hat{f}$. In other words, using the previous example,

$$\langle q; B [q_1; v_1); B [q_2; v_2) \rangle$$
 argu 2A rea $\langle q; q_1; q_2 \rangle \mod 2$:

We can see that the angle in question is composed of two parts. The constant angle argu is independent of q 2 S (in [H si3], this angle is called prespinal). The nonconstant angle 2A rea(q;q_1;q_2) depends only on the mutual position of q;q_1;q_2 in S. Let us show that the constant angle is the angle from the real spine G [q_1;v_1) to the real spine G [q_2;v_2) measured with the help of parallel displacement along the segment of geodesic G [q_1;q_2].

By Lem m a 52, $t_j := q_j v_j hq_j; v_j i^{-1} hq_j; i = phq_j; i \text{ is tangent to G} (q_j; v_j) \text{ at } q_j. By Corollary 5.7, the parallel displacement of <math>t_1$ along G ($q_1; q_2$) is given by

$$Ct(t_{1})(q_{2}) = \frac{p_{2}[t_{1} \ ^{0}(q_{2})]}{ta(q_{1};q_{2})} = \frac{q_{2}p_{1}p_{1};q_{2}ihq_{2}; i}{j_{1}j_{1}q_{2};q_{2}i} = \frac{\overline{u}}{j_{1}j}p_{1}q_{2}; i = \frac{\overline{u}}{j_{1}j}t_{2}:$$

This implies the result, illustrated by the following picture:



It easily follows from Sylvester's criterion that u completely characterizes the conguration of B $[q_1;v_1)$ and B $[q_2;v_2)$ and that every u 2 C with juj 1 is possible. The geometric meaning of u is clear now: juf is the tance between the complex spines of the bisectors and argu is the angle between their real spines, in the above sense

5.13. Example: meridional and parallel displacements. Let B be a bisector in P_cV as introduced in Example 1.7 (4), let G and L be the real and complex spines of B, and let $p_1; p_2 2$ G be distinct, nonisotropic, and nonorthogonal points. Denote by S_j the slice of B that contains p_j , j = 1; 2. Take $q_1 2 S_1$ di erent from the focus f of B. The slice S_j is spanned by p_j and f. By Lemma 2.4, the complex spine and the slices are orthogonal.

The vector $\mathbf{v} \coloneqq p_1 \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{i}^{-1} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{i}$ is tangent to G $[\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{q}_1]$ S₁ at \mathbf{p}_1 by Lemma 5.2 and is thus orthogonal to the complex spine of B. Let Ct(v) (\mathbf{p}_2) denote the parallel displacement of v from \mathbf{p}_1 to \mathbf{p}_2 along G $[\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_2]$ given by Corollary 5.7 and by the considerations right after it. Then there exists a unique \mathbf{q}_2 2 S₂ such that

$$p_2 q_2 h p_2; q_2 i^1 h p_2; i = Ct(v)(p_2);$$

(This can be seen by considering q_2 in the form $q_2 = p_2 + fc, c 2 C$.) We call q_2 the meridional displacement of q_1 from p_1 to p_2 along G [p_1 ; p_2]. In explicit terms,

$$q_2 = p_2hp_1; q_1i \frac{p}{ta(p_1;p_2)} + p_1q_1hp_1; p_2i:$$

The meridional displacement identies almost all slices of the bisector (the only exceptions are the slices tangent to SV, if they exist). Such identication, called the slice identication, is an important tool for constructing and characterizing complex hyperbolic manifolds in [AGG] and [AGu].

The meridional and parallel displacements are related as follows. As is easy to see, every slice S of B has the form $S = P_C g^2$, where $g \ge G$ is the polar point to S. If g is nonisotropic, we associate to every nonnull tangent vector $t \ge T_g P_C V$ the point tg $\ge S$. Denote by $g_j \ge G$ the polar points to S_j . The parallel displacement along G $[g_1;g_2]$ produces the meridional displacement of the associated points:



Indeed, $g_1; g_2$ are nonorthogonal and nonisotropic. Let t_1 be a tangent vector at g_1 . By C orollary 5.7, the parallel displacement of t_1 from g_1 to g_2 along G $[g_1; g_2]$ is given by

$$t_{2} = Tn(h)(g_{2}) + Ct(v)(g_{2}) = \frac{h}{ta(g_{1};g_{2})} + \frac{v}{ta(g_{1};g_{2})}; g_{2}$$

where $t_1 = h + v$ is the horizontal-vertical decomposition of t_1 with respect to L, that is, $h \ge T_{g_1} L$ and $v \ge (T_{g_1} L)^2$. We can assume that $h \in 0$ (otherwise, the focus f is the point associated to both t_1

and t_2). It is easy to see that ta $(g_1;g_2) = ta(p_1;p_2)$. Since ${}^0[g_1]g_2$ and g_1 are C -proportional, the point in S_2 associated to t_2 has the form

$$t_{2}g_{2} = \frac{g_{2}hg_{2}}{t_{a}(g_{1};g_{2})} + \frac{g_{2}hg_{2}}{t_{a}(g_{1};g_{2})} / \frac{g_{2}hg_{1}hp_{1};p_{1}hp_{2};p_{2}i}{hp_{2};p_{1}i} \frac{f_{a}(p_{1};p_{2})}{t_{a}(p_{1};p_{2})} + vg_{1}hp_{1};p_{2}i;$$

where ' m eans C -proportionality. By Lem ma 2.4, hg₁ 2 ($p_1C + q_1C$) \ $q_1^2 = p_1C$ because h 2 T $_{q_1}$ L. Also, vg₁ 2 fC. From t₁ = h + v and from the orthogonal decomposition $p_2C + q_2C$, it follows now that $p_1 t_1 g_1 = vg_1$ and $[g_2 lhg_1 = {}^0 [p_2 lhg_1 = p_2 \frac{hp_2; hg_1 i}{hp_2; p_2 i}$. It remains to observe that hg₁ 2 p_1C implies that $hp_2; hg_1 i = hp_2^{p_1}; hg_1 i = \frac{hp_1; hg_1 ihp_2; p_1 i}{hp_1; p_1 i}$

6.R eferences

AGG]S.Anan⁰in, Carlos H.Grossi, N.Gusevskii, Complex hyperbolic structures on disc bundles over surfaces. I.General Settings. A Series of Examples, preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/m.ath/0511741

[AG oG] S. A nan⁰in, E duardo C. B ento G on calves, C arlos H. G rossi, C cordinate-free C lassic G eom etries. II. C onform al Structure, in preparation

[AGr] S. Anan⁰in, Carlos H. Grossi, Coordinate-free Classic Geometries. III. Plucker Map, in preparation

[AGu]S.Anan⁰in, N.Gusevskii, Com plex Hyperbolic Structures on Disc Bundles over Surfaces. II.Exam ple of a Trivial Bundle, preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0512406

[A m] V. I. A mold, M athem atical M ethods of C lassical M echanics, G TM 60, Springer-Verlag, N ew York, 1997. xx+ 509 pp.

[BeP] R. Benedetti, C. Petronio, Lectures on Hyperbolic Geometry, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 2008. ix+ 323 pp.

[ChG]S.S.Chen,L.G reenberg, Hyperbolic Spaces, Contributions to analysis (a collection of papers dedicated to Lipm an Bers), A cadem ic Press (1974), 49{87

[ChK]Y.Cho,H.Kim, The analytic continuation of hyperbolic space, preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/m ath/0612372

[G ir] G. G iraud, Sur certaines fonctions automorphes de deux variables, Ann. Ec. Norm. (3), 38 (1921), 43{164

[G ol] W . M . G oldman, C om plex H yperbolic G eom etry, O xford M athem atical M onographs. O xford Science Publications. The C larendon P ress, O xford U niversity P ress, N ew York, 1999. xx+ 316 pp.

[G ro]C.H.G rossi, E lem entary tools for classic and com plex hyperbolic geom etries, PhD thesis, State University of Campinas, 20 Septem ber 2006, iii+134 pp.

[HSa] J. Hakim, H. Sandler, Application of Bruhat decomposition to complex hyperbolic geometry, J. Geom. Anal. 10 (2000), 435{453

[H sil] P.H.H sieh, Linear submanifolds and bisectors in CHⁿ, Forum .M ath. 10 (1998), 413{434

[H si2] P.H.H sieh, Sem ilinear submanifolds in complex hyperbolic space, Forum .M ath.11 (1999), 673{694

[H si3] P.H.H sieh, Cotranchal Bisectors in Complex Hyperbolic Space, Geometriae Dedicata 97 (2003), 93 (98

[K le] F.K lein, Vorlesungen uber hohere G com etrie, G rundlehren der mathem atischen W issenschaften 22, Julius Springer, Berlin, 1926. viii+ 405 pp.

[Lan] S. Lang, Algebra, Advanced Book Program . Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., California, 1984. xx+714 pp.

[M an] Yu. I. M anin, G auge Field Theory and C om plex G eom etry, A Series of C om prehensive Studies in M athem atics 289, Springer-Verlag, 1988. x+ 297 pp. $[M\ os]\ G\ .D\ .M\ ostow\ , O\ n\ a\ rem\ arkable\ class\ of\ polyhedra\ in\ com\ plex\ hyperbolic\ space, P\ aci\ c\ J\ .M\ ath$. 86 (1980), 171{276}

[San]H.Sandler, D istance form ulas in com plex hyperbolic space, Forum M ath.8 (1996), N o.1, 93{106 [Fhu]W.P.Thurston, Three-D in ensionalG com etry and Topology. I, P rinceton M athem atical Series, P rinceton U niversity P ress, P rinceton, 1997. x+ 311 pp.

D epartamento de M atematica, IM ECC, U niversidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-970{Campinas{SP,Brasil E-m ailaddress: Ananin Sasha@yahoo.com

M ax-Planck-Institut fur M athematik, V ivatsgasse 7,53111 Bonn, G ermany E-m ailaddress: grossi ferreira@yahoo.com